## THE ROLE OF THE P53 FAMILY PROTEINS IN HYDROXYUREA INDUCED EMBRYOTOXICITY IN THE ORGANOGENESIS STAGE MOUSE EMBRYO

by

## Nazem El Husseini

Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics

McGill University, Montreal

October, 2017

A thesis submitted to McGill University in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

© Copyright by Nazem El Husseini, 2017

### **ABSTRACT**

The embryonic stress response to DNA damage during organogenesis was examined using the model teratogen, hydroxyurea. Intraperitoneal administration of hydroxyurea (400 mg/kg or 600 mg/kg) to timed pregnant CD-1 mice on gestational day 9 significantly affected the expression of ~1300 transcripts in the embryo within three hours. Pathway analysis predicted that the P53 signaling pathway was the most activated in response to hydroxyurea. The steady-state levels of P53 and its phosphorylation increased dramatically in hydroxyurea-exposed embryos. The nuclear localization of phosphorylated P53 was increased significantly in the embryo heart and rostral and caudal neuroepithelia. Hydroxyurea also induced P53 transcriptional activity, leading to the upregulation of P53 downstream targets involved in DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis.

Using a *Trp53* null transgenic mouse model, the effects of treatment with hydroxyurea on P53 family members, P63 and P73, were determined in the gestational day 9 embryo. Appreciable amounts of P63 and P73 were detected under normal conditions; hydroxyurea did not affect their steady-state or phosphorylation levels. *Trp63* and *Trp73* transcript levels were affected by hydroxyurea treatment, although only *Trp73* levels were P53-dependent. As transcription factors, neither P63 nor P73 were capable of compensating for the absence of P53 in upregulating the expression of downstream targets involved in cell cycle arrest (*Cdkn1a, Rb1*) or apoptosis (*Fas, Pmaip1*) in response to hydroxyurea exposure. Hydroxyurea induction of Caspase-3 and MST-1 cleavage, markers for apoptosis and DNA damage repair, required the presence of P53. Thus, P53 is the main member of the P53 family that responds to genotoxic stress in the organogenesis-stage embryo.

To determine whether P53 acts as a suppressor or inducer of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity,  $Trp53^{+/+}$ ,  $Trp53^{+/-}$  and  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos were exposed *in utero* on gestational day 9 with saline or hydroxyurea (200 or 400 mg/kg). On gestational day 18,  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses from the saline treatment group were found to exhibit a higher rate of malformations compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates. Hydroxyurea treatment induced a dose-dependent increase in resorptions and congenital malformations; these included hypoplastic tails and fore- and hindlimb syndactly, oligodactyly and amelia. In the 200 mg/kg treatment group, hydroxyurea exposed fetuses lacking P53 had a higher rate of malformations than their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates

i

and the rate of resorptions was elevated in these fetuses after exposure to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea. Thus, the organogenesis stage embryo responds to hydroxyurea-induced stress by activating the P53 signaling pathway that mediates DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptotic factors to suppress hydroxyurea embryotoxicity.

### <u>RÉSUMÉ</u>

Ce projet consiste à étudier la réponse embryonnaire au stress oxydatif induit par les dommages à l'ADN produits par un tératogène connu, l'hydroxyurée, au cours de l'organogenèse. Dans les trois heures suivant une injection intrapéritonéale d'hydroxyurée (400 ou 600 mg/kg) chez des souris CD-1 au jour 9 de gestation, plus de 1300 transcrits embryonnaires voient leur expression affectée. L'analyse des voies de signalisation impliquées dans cette réponse prédit une activation marquée de la voie P53 : chez les embryons exposés, les niveaux d'expression de P53 et sa phosphorylation augmentent de façon drastique, et la localisation nucléaire de la forme phosphorylée de P53 révèle une expression prononcée dans le cœur et les neuro-épithéliums rostraux et caudaux des embryons. L'exposition à l'hydroxyurée stimule également l'activité transcriptionnelle de P53, conduisant à une activation de ses cibles impliquées dans la réparation des dommages à l'ADN, l'arrêt du cycle cellulaire ou l'apoptose.

Nous avons exploré les effets de l'hydroxyurée au jour 9 de gestation sur d'autres membres de la famille P53, les protéines P63 et P73, grâce au modèle de souris transgénique knockout *Trp53*. Dans des conditions normales, des niveaux non négligeables de P63 et P73 sont détectés ; l'hydroxyurée n'affecte ni leur production ni leur phosphorylation. En revanche, les niveaux de transcription de *Trp63* et *Trp73* sont affectés par l'exposition à l'hydroxyurée, bien que seuls les niveaux de *Trp73* ne soient affectés par l'absence de P53. Par ailleurs, ni P63 ni P73 ne peuvent compenser l'absence de P53 dans la stimulation de l'arrêt du cycle cellulaire (*Cdkn1a, Rb1*) ou de l'apoptose (*Fas, Pmaip1*). Le clivage de la Caspase-3 et de MST-1, marqueurs de l'apoptose et de la réparation des dommages à l'ADN, requièrent également la présence de P53. Ainsi, P53 est l'acteur principal de la réponse au stress génotoxique au cours de l'organogénèse chez l'embryon.

Afin de déterminer si P53 agit comme un suppresseur ou un inducteur de l'embryotoxicité de l'hydroxyurée, nous avons exposé des embryons  $Trp53^{+/+}$ ,  $Trp53^{+/-}$  et  $Trp53^{-/-}$  au jour de gestation 9 à une solution saline ou à 200 ou 400 mg/kg d'hydroxyurée. Au jour 18 de gestation, les fœtus  $Trp53^{-/-}$  ayant été exposés à la solution saline présentent plus de malformations que les fœtus  $Trp53^{+/+}$ . Les fœtus exposés à l'hydroxyurée présentent quant à eux une augmentation dose-dépendante du nombre de résorptions et de malformations congénitales (queue hypoplasique, syndactylie des membres antérieurs et postérieurs, oligodactylie et

iii

dysmélie). Après une exposition à 200 mg/kg d'hydroxyurée, les fœtus présentant une déficience en P53 présentent plus de malformations que les fœtus  $Trp53^{+/+}$ . Enfin, après une exposition à la dose la plus élevée d'hydroxyurée, le taux de résorptions est plus important chez les fœtus  $Trp53^{-/-}$ . Ainsi, l'embryon en cours d'organogénèse répond au stress induit par une exposition à l'hydroxyurée par une activation de la voie de signalisation P53, qui contrôle la mise en place de mécanismes de réparation de l'ADN, d'arrêt du cycle cellulaire et d'apoptose pour contrecarrer ses effets.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT	i
RÉSUMÉ	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	x
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xiii
PREFACE	xvi
Format of the Thesis	xvi
Contribution of Authors	xvi
CHAPTER I Introduction	1
1.1 Statement of the problem and purpose of the investigation	2
1.2 Embryogenesis	
1.2.1 Organogenesis	
1.2.1.1 Skeletal development	6
1.2.1.2 Limb development	7
1.2.1.3 Stem cells	9
1.2.2 Susceptibility to genotoxic and oxidative stress-inducing agents	
1.2.2.1 The DNA damage stress response	
1.2.2.2 The oxidative stress response	
1.3 Hydroxyurea	14
1.3.1 Mechanism of action	15
1.3.2 Hydroxyurea developmental toxicity	
1.4 The P53 protein family	
1.4.1 The P53 protein	
1.4.1.1 P53 regulation	
1.4.1.2 P53 functions under stress and normal conditions	
1.4.1.3 The role of P53 in embryonic development	
1.4.1.4 The role of P53 in the embryonic response to developmental toxicants	
1.4.2 The P63 and P73 proteins	

1.4.2.1 The P63 protein	
1.4.2.1.1 The role of P63 in embryonic development	
1.4.2.2 The P73 protein	
1.4.2.2.1 The role of P73 in embryonic development	
1.4.3 The role of P63 and P73 in response to genotoxic and oxidative stress-inc	lucing agents 44
1.4 Hypothesis & objectives	
CHAPTER II Hydroxyurea Exposure Activates the P53 Signaling Pathway in M Organogenesis-Stage Embryos	urine 48
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
REFERENCES	
CONNECTING TEXT	
CHAPTER III The Roles of P53 and its Family Proteins, P63 and P73, in the DN Stress Response in Organogenesis Stage Mouse Embryos	A Damage 101
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
REFERENCES	
CONNECTING TEXT	
CHAPTER IV P53 Suppresses Hydroxyurea Embryotoxicity	
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
REFERENCES	
CHAPTER V Discussion	
5.1 Summary	

5.2 The early embryonic response to oxidative and genotoxic stress	
5.3 Expression of skeletal and limb developmental genes in the embryonic response genotoxic stress	onse to 163
5.4 The P53 signaling pathway in the early embryonic stress response	
5.5 The P53 family of proteins in the early embryonic stress response	165
5.6 The role of P53-mediated apoptosis in the suppression of embryotoxicity	169
5.7 Conclusions	172
ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS	
REFERENCES	

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Fig. 1.1	Schematic representation of the exon structure of human p53, p63 and p73.
Fig. 1.2	P53 signaling in response to stress.
Fig. 1.3	Expression patterns of <i>Trp53</i> , <i>Trp63</i> and <i>Trp73</i> in gestational day 9 mouse embryos.
Fig. 2.1	Gene expression profiles and activated signaling pathways in gestational day 9 embryos after exposure to hydroxyurea
Fig. 2.2	Schematic of factors related to P53, P63 and P73 predicted to be affected by hydroxyurea exposure
Fig. 2.3	Quantification of P53 and phospho-P53 protein levels in embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 2.4	Immunolocalization of phospho-P53 in whole-mount sections of embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 2.5	Quantification of phospho-P53 co-localization with DAPI nuclear staining in the heart, and rostral and caudal neuroepithelia after saline or hydroxyurea exposure on gestational day 9
Fig. 2.6	Expression levels of <i>Trp53</i> , <i>Cdkn1a</i> , <i>Fas</i> and <i>Trp53inp1</i> in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea
Fig. 2.7	Quantification of P53INP1 levels in response to saline or hydroxyurea in gestational day 9 embryos
Fig S2.1	Box plots of microarrays displaying transcriptomic data of gestational day 9 embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea.
Fig S2.2	Principal component and quality assurance analysis of microarray chips
Fig S2.3	Schematic of embryonic regions examined for nuclear localization of phospho- P53

Fig. 3.1	Expression levels of <i>Trp53</i> , <i>Trp63</i> and <i>Trp73</i> in <i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup> , <i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup> and <i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup> - embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 3.2	Protein quantification and phosphorylation status of P53, P63 and P73 in $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 3.3	Expression levels of transcripts uniquely regulated by P53, P63 and P73 in $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 3.4	Expression levels of transcripts commonly regulated by all P53 family members in $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 3.5	Quantification of protein levels of cleaved Caspase-3, cleaved MST-1 and phosphorylated H2AX in $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Fig. 4.1	Effects of saline and hydroxyurea on fetal weights and crown-rump lengths in $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ gestational day 18 fetuses exposed to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Functions of P53/P63/P73 in development and stress response.
Table 2.1	Genes upregulated in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea compared to control
Table 2.2	G1/S cell cycle checkpoint regulation pathway genes upregulated in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea
Table 2.3	Pro-apoptotic pathway genes upregulated in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea
Table 2.4	P53 signaling pathway genes upregulated in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea
Table 2.5	Top predicted activated upstream regulators in gestational day 9 embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea
Table 3.1	Prediction analysis of unique and common markers of P53, P63 and P73 transcriptional activity
Table 4.1	Number of implantations, resorptions and malformed fetuses of $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ fetuses on gestational day 18 after exposure to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9
Table 4.2	Types and incidence of malformations of $Trp53^{+/+}$ , $Trp53^{+/-}$ and $Trp53^{-/-}$ fetuses on gestational day 18 after exposure to saline or hydroxyurea on gestational day 9

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

μg, microgram	CO2, carbon dioxide
2-CdA, 2-chloro-2'-deoxyadenosine	DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
4-HNE, 4-hydroxynonenol	DBD, DNA binding domain
400H-CPA,	Ddit4l, DNA Damage Inducible Transcript 4
4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide	Like
ADULT, acro-dermato-ungual- lacrimal-	DNA, deoxyribonucleic acid
tooth syndrome	dNTP, deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate
AER, apical ectodermal ridge	EDTA, Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
Aldh4a1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 4 family	EEC, ectrodactyly, ectodermal dysplasia,
member A1	clefting syndrome
Anxa8, annexin A8	EGF, epidermal growth factor
AP-1, activator protein 1	ERK, extracellular signal regulated kinase
APAF, apoptotic peptidase activating factor	ESC, embryonic stem cell
ATP, Adenosine triphosphate	Fas, Fas Cell Surface Death Receptor
BAK, BCL2 Antagonist/Killer	FGF, fibroblast growth factor
BAX, BCL2 Associated X, Apoptosis	g, gram
Regulator	GD, gestational day
BMP, bone morphogenetic protein	GIT, gastrointestinal tract
BSA, bovine serum albumin	GLS2, Glutaminase liver isoform,
bw, body weight	mitochondrial
Cat, catalase	GLUT1, glucose transporter 1
CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase	<i>Gpx1</i> , glutathione peroxidase 1
Cdkn1a, Cyclin Dependent Kinase Inhibitor	GSH, reduced glutathione
1A	H, heart
CHARGE, coloboma, heart defects, atresia	h, hour
choanae, growth retardation, genital	HIF, hypoxia inducible factor
abnormalities, and ear abnormalities	Hox, homeobox
syndrome	HU, hydroxyurea
CNE, caudal neuroepithelium	ICM, inner cell mass

IPA<sup>TM</sup>, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis Jag2, Jagged 2 JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase kg, kilogram LMS, limb-mammary syndrome MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase mg, milligram min, minute MST-1, mammalian sterile 20-like-1 mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin NF-  $\kappa$ B, nuclear factor  $\kappa$ B NRF, nuclear factor-E2 related factor OD, oligomerization domain P53INP1, tumor protein P53 inducible nuclear protein 1 PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis Pax9, paired box 9 PBS, phosphate-buffered saline PCA, principal component analysis PCBP2, Poly(RC) Binding Protein 2 *Phlda3*, pleckstrin homology like domain family A member 3 PITX1, paired-like homeodomain 1 Pmaip1, phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetateinduced protein 1 PVDF, Polyvinylidene fluoride qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction *Rb1*, retinoblastoma 1 *Ref-1*, redox factor 1 RNA, ribonucleic acid

RNE, rostral neuroepithelium RNOS, reactive nitrogen and oxygen species RNR, ribonucleotide reductase RUNX2, runt-related transcription factor 2 s, second SAL, saline SAM, sterile alpha motif SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate Sesn1, sestrin 1 SHFM, nonsyndromic split hand/foot malformation SHH, sonic hedgehog pathway SOD, superoxide dismutase SOX9, sex-determining region Y box 9 protein TA, transactivation TAD, transactivation domain TBS, tris-buffered saline TBS-T, tris-buffered saline, Tween *Tbx5*, T-box transcription factor 5 TRX, thioredoxin UV. ultraviolet VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor WNT, wingless-related integration site *Zfp365*, zinf finger protein 365 ZPA, zone of polarizing activity  $\Delta N$ , lacking the transactivation N-terminal domain μl, microliter µm, micrometer

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I first acknowledge that the work produced in this dissertation is in the name of **God**, the **All Merciful**, who allows us to learn of His beauty and majesty by understanding His creation.

A wise man once said: "Those who are thankful to the people around them, show their thanks to God". I would like to honor this maxim by expressing my immense appreciation and sense of gratitude for my supervisor, **Dr. Barbara Hales**. Any scientific merit or skills I may have acquired during my graduate studies are primarily due to her guidance and mentorship. She trained me to become a better researcher and communicator. Her open-door policy, patience and sage advice helped me overcome many obstacles throughout my studies and taught me the value of perseverance and seeking council. Having her as a mentor was a great blessing.

I would like to thank **Dr. Bernard Robaire** for his support and valuable input on my thesis work. I am especially grateful that he trusted me with the responsibility of being his teaching assistant for several consecutive years and that he always received my feedback and suggestions with sincere concern and respect.

I am indebted to the members of my supervisory committee, **Drs. Anne McKinney**, **Dusica Maysinger** and **Bruce Allen**, for their beneficial suggestions and encouraging words; they gave me a stronger sense of confidence throughout my studies and a positive motivation to continuously improve my work.

I must thank my predecessors who helped get me started on the path towards completing my thesis: **Serena Banh, Dr. Ava Schlisser, Dr. France Paradis** and **Dr. Chunwei Huan**g. Without their mentorship and training I would not have been able to reach this stage. I thank them for dedicating their time and energy to teach me the various theories and techniques needed to conduct my studies. I would also like to thank my colleagues: **Han "Aileen" Yan**, for training me to perform skeletal analysis and for participating in the Pharmacology Green Committee as a member and collaborator on many projects, as well as **Dr. Océane Albert**, for sharing her technical expertise and caring support on the many occasions I sought her advice.

I extend my gratitude to the members of the Robaire lab as well: **Dr. Thomas Nardelli, Anne-Marie Downey** and **Heather Fice.** Their support, encouragement and friendly discussions made it exceptionally easy to overcome the challenges and stresses of graduate school. I am truly happy to have shared the past years with them and hope that we remain friends in the next chapter of our lives.

I must thank **Ms. Trang Luu** for her constant desire to assist me with my numerous requests; I shall always be grateful for her kindness. I am also indebted to **Ms. Elise Boivin-Ford** for her excellent work ethic, friendly nature and ability to create a fun and communal atmosphere. Her efforts truly made the lab members feel like a family.

I would also like to thank the technicians and administrators at the McIntyre animal facility for their cooperation and assistance; their friendly demeanor and professionalism made my animal work progress smoothly and with little trouble.

I am also thankful for the administrators of the Pharmacology department, especially **Ms. Chantal Grignon** and **Ms. Tina Tremblay**. Their advice and help throughout my years of study were priceless and I strongly believe the department owes much of its success to their abilities.

I would like to thank **Dr. Josephine Nalbantoglu** and the administrators of the Doctoral Internship Program for allowing me to participate and perform a 3-month internship at Health Canada. I am also very grateful for the mentorship and guidance of my internship managers, **Dr. Tara Barton-Maclaren** and **Dr. Francina Webster**; their kind and friendly support made the internship experience all the more worthwhile.

I am grateful for my parents, **Khaled** and **Hala**, whose love and support I can never repay. Their commitment to provide what is best for their children, their trust in my abilities, and their encouragement to do what I believe in have been a constant inspiration that helped propel me through the many challenges I have faced in my training. I also thank my sisters, **Nazli** and **Mona**, for their companionship and loving advice. I hope that I made my family proud and that I have not caused them much hardship.

I also express my deepest thanks to Sh. Khaled al Bakkar, Dr. Umar Abdullah, Sh. Hamdi bin Issa, Dr. Mohammad Raef Smaoui, Omar Fakhry, Manar Al Ghamian, Oubai Al Kurdi, Owais Khan, Seif Zeineldeen, Hussein Daou, Muhammad Ahmer Wali and Ismail Samir for their tutelage and friendship which have helped me cultivate a more wholesome way of living throughout my graduate studies.

Finally, I am tremendously grateful for the love of my life, my wife, **Sara Al Habyan**. Having her share with me the adventures of graduate life has been the greatest blessing I have ever experienced; her presence always reminds me of the beautiful things in this world, and her

xiv

smile instantly resolves any regrets or difficulties I may have encountered. I eagerly look forward to the adventures of my next chapter in life with her by my side. I thank God day and night for such a blessing.

#### **PREFACE**

## Format of the Thesis

This is a manuscript-based thesis in accordance with the Thesis Preparation and Submission Guidelines outlined by the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies at McGill University. This thesis entails five chapters. Chapter I provides an introduction to the subject matter of the three studies presented in this dissertation. An overview of embryonic development is briefly discussed, along with a review of the current understanding of how embryos respond to genotoxic and oxidative stress during organogenesis. A description of the model teratogen, hydroxyurea, is given, with a focus on its ability to cause DNA damage and oxidative stress. A detailed description of the P53 family proteins, P53, P63 and P73, is also presented, with a focus on their regulation and function during development and in stress response.

Chapters II, III and IV show the data from the three studies performed for this dissertation. Chapters II and III are published in Toxicological Sciences (Chp. II: 152, 297-308, 2016; Chp. III: 162, 439-449, 2017). Chapter IV has been submitted for publication.

Chapter V discusses the conclusions that can be surmised from the findings presented in the data chapters and their relevance to the fields of developmental toxicology and molecular biology. Lastly, lists of original contributions and references are provided.

### **Contribution of Authors**

All the experiments and analyses in this thesis were performed by the candidate with the exception of the microarray experiment described in Chapter II, which was performed by Dr. Ava Schlisser.

# CHAPTER I

## Introduction

### 1.1 Statement of the problem and purpose of the investigation

Our understanding of the biology of mammalian development has improved dramatically over the past few decades; the early discovery of the process of fertilization and zygote formation, as well as the molecular genetic and epigenetic underpinnings that can influence progeny outcome, show us how miraculous, and perilous, the process of mammalian development is. However, with the ever-changing environment of the modern world, our current understanding of the ways by which various external factors can influence the normal progression of embryonic and fetal development is limited. Around 3-6% of babies born worldwide suffer from a congenital defect, most of which are idiopathic in nature (Turnpenny *et al.*, 2005; Yoon *et al.*, 1997). In addition, the incidence of specific congenital defects has been increasing over time (Correa *et al.*, 2007; Parker *et al.*, 2010). It is possible that the cause of many of these defects is due to xenobiotic agents that include environmental, chemical, biological, physical or nutritional factors that negatively affect mammalian development (Saffran, 1996).

To better equip ourselves with the necessary means to protect current and future generations from the effects of teratogens during gestation, we first need to better understand the mechanisms by which the embryo responds to such detrimental influences. Since mammalian development is most vulnerable to teratogenic insult during the stage of organ formation, known as organogenesis, it is our aim to study and identify the embryonic response mechanisms to stressful teratogenic stimuli at this sensitive stage of development.

To this effect, we aim to examine the organogenesis-stage murine embryo's *in vivo* response to the genotoxic and oxidative effects of a known teratogen, hydroxyurea. Previously it was shown that hydroxyurea activates several stress response signaling pathways, including the DNA damage response pathway and the MAPK signaling pathway (Banh and Hales, 2013). These pathways are known to activate a downstream mediator that acts as a central regulator of the cell's response to stress (Kruse and Gu, 2009). We propose that this mediator is the P53 protein and that its signaling pathway is involved in the early embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea. P53 is a well-known DNA damage and oxidative stress response protein and has been shown to have important roles in development (Armstrong *et al.*, 1995; Choi and Donehower, 1999). It is known to be activated in the organogenesis-stage embryo in response to a variety of known teratogens, but whether it functions as a suppressor or inducer of the

embryotoxicity of such agents is unclear (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010). In addition, P53 is one member of a larger family of similar proteins that include P63 and P73, which are essential for proper embryonic development and have many similar functions to P53 (Moll and Slade, 2004). Whether these proteins are involved in the embryo's response to teratogenic stress is unknown.

To learn more about how the embryo copes with teratogenic insult, we aim to determine if indeed P53 and its homologs are involved in the early embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea and whether they function to suppress or induce its embryotoxicity.

#### **1.2 Embryogenesis**

Mammalian embryogenesis is a fascinating and complex process. Amazingly, the fundamental aspects of this process do not differ greatly between different species of vertebrates; the early embryos of humans and mice, for example, undergo the same basic steps of fertilization, cleavage, gastrulation and organogenesis. Indeed, as Karl Ernst von Baer observed in 1828, in what was later canonized as the "von Baer's laws", it is only after the gastrulation stage that the distinct morphological features that set humans and mice apart can start to be observed, and even then the mechanisms by which most vertebrate organ systems develop share remarkable characteristics (Abzhanov, 2013). Consequentially, the study of mammalian embryogenesis relies greatly on the mouse as a model organism, which has proven to be an astounding and versatile means of understanding not only how normal development takes place, but also how teratogens and genetic mutations can disrupt this fine-tuned process.

The process of embryogenesis in mice and humans shares a common beginning with the successful fertilization of an ovum by a spermatozoon, which produces a diploid cell called the zygote (Gilbert, 2010). This occurs in the oviduct of the pregnant mother, where the zygote undergoes a process of holoblastic cleavage, in which several mitotic divisions of the whole zygote result in the formation of small cells called blastomeres that eventually form a sphere known as the blastula. At this stage, the blastula transforms into a blastocyst that contains two main layers; the inner cell mass (ICM) (which is the precursor for embryonic tissue, the amnion and yolk sac) and the trophoblast (which gives rise to the extraembryonic tissue). At this point, which is around gestational day (GD) 5 in mice and GD 6-13 in humans, embryos can attach to the uterine endometrium, thus forming an implantation site (Hood, 2016). This is an essential feature of mice and humans, as throughout most of their gestation embryos obtain nutrients

directly from the mother and not from the yolk sac, unlike other species. They can achieve this by forming the placenta, an organ composed of the embryo's chorion (which originates from the trophoblast) and the mother's decidua (which originates from the uterine wall) (Hood, 2016).

While the trophoblast forms the basis for the chorion, the ICM divides into two further layers: the lower hypoblast and the upper epiblast. The hypoblast surrounds the embryo and gives rise to the extraembryonic endoderm, which in many species later forms the yolk sac. However, the epiblast undergoes another segregation, forming an extraembryonic ectoderm (which is the basis for the amnion) and the embryonic epiblast (Chazaud *et al.*, 2006). The cells in the embryonic epiblast rearrange themselves relative to one another to form the germ layers. At this point, around GD 6 in the mouse and GD 10-13 in humans, the embryo is in the gastrulation phase and the germ layers develop into three distinct entities: the ectoderm, the mesoderm and the endoderm (Gilbert, 2010; Hood, 2016). Each of these layers gives rise to specific body tissues and organs, which become defined during the organogenesis stage.

#### **1.2.1 Organogenesis**

Following specific axial and differentiation cues, the three germ layers go through a series of migrations and structural changes that build and shape the embryo and then continue to grow and differentiate into specialized tissues and organs throughout the period of organogenesis. The phase of organogenesis overall lasts from GD 9 in the mouse and GD 21 in humans up to the end of gestation (and in some systems even postnatally); thus the process of organogenesis occurs relatively early in development and lasts for a long period (Hood, 2016). It is during organogenesis that the embryo is most vulnerable to insult; the disruption of any of the fine processes that govern the formation of various organs may result in detrimental and catastrophic events that lead to congenital malformations and death (Wilson, 1965).

During gastrulation, the cells of the embryonic epiblast form the endoderm (which eventually forms the inner lining of the gut and the lungs), the ectoderm (which forms the surface epidermal layer of the skin and forms the brain and nervous system) and the mesoderm (which is between the endoderm and ectoderm and forms the blood, heart, kidney, gonads, bones, muscles and connective tissues) (Gilbert, 2010). This occurs by the movement of epiblastic cells of the mesoderm and endoderm through the primitive streak, a central structure within the embryo that forms by the thickening of cells at the posterior marginal zone. A process

of intercalation and convergent extension causes the streak to progress lengthwise, and ultimately serves to define the anterior-posterior axis of the embryo and to separate its left and right portions. Concomitantly, as cells form the primitive streak, a depression is formed that is called the primitive groove. This allows cells to pass through and migrate into the deeper layers of the embryo, setting the stage for the division of the three germ layers.

During this phase of gastrulation, as the primitive streak elongates, cells entering through it are transformed from epithelial to mesenchymal in nature. Cells that are going through the posterior end of the streak give rise to the majority of the mesodermal tissues. Those that are in the anterior end of the streak form the endoderm, head mesoderm and the notochord. The notochord is a structure that is found in all chordates; in mammals, it serves as a foundation for the eventual formation of the neural tube (Gilbert, 2010).

The neural tube is the basis for the formation of the central nervous system. It is derived from the dorsal ectoderm that gives rise to a region called the neural plate. The neural plate goes through a process of primary and secondary neurulation that invaginates and hollows out tissues to form two tubes that eventually fuse together, forming the neural tube (Harrington *et al.*, 2009). As the embryo goes through the stages of organogenesis and the neural tube develops in the brain and spinal cord, the rostral neuropore closes first (around GD 9 in the mouse embryo) while the caudal neuropore closes later (around GD 10 in the mouse embryo) (Kaufman, 1992).

Along the neural tube multiple structures termed "somites" form in pairs; originating from the mesoderm, somites are made up of several compartments, including the sclerotome – which forms the vertebrae that surround and protect the spinal cord – and the myotome – which forms the muscles of the back, rib cage and ventral body wall. In addition, they form the tendons, vertebral joints and the proximal ends of the ribs (Christ *et al.*, 2007; Gilbert, 2010; Mittapalli *et al.*, 2005). An interesting feature of somites is the periodicity of their formation; they appear at exactly the same time on either side of the embryo, appear at set intervals (although this varies slightly in the mouse embryo), and their number is set from the initial stages of mesoderm formation (Tam, 1981). Actually, this is what allows for the identification of the stages through which the embryo progresses during organogenesis (from 13-20 pairs at the start of organogenesis on GD 9 in the mouse embryo, to 60 somites by the end, on GD 15) (Gilbert, 2010; Kaufman, 1992).

By GD 9, the mouse embryo has just completed "turning", a unique phenomenon that does not occur in human embryos. This step orients the embryo so that the head is facing the ventral side of the tail. At this stage, the rostral end of the neural tube closes while the caudal end is still in the process of closing, showing that the neural tube is still in its elementary stages of development and that there is a developmental lag between the rostral and caudal ends of the embryo. In addition, the heart starts to beat regularly, coinciding with the initial circulation of red blood and a significant increase in the number of nucleated red blood cells. Another feature of development at this stage is the appearance of the forelimb bud, which rises from the mid-trunk region around the level of somites 8-12 (Kaufman, 1992).

While many organs and systems are formed throughout organogenesis, we will be focusing on the processes occurring in early organogenesis in the mouse embryo (~GD 9), with an emphasis on skeletal and limb development, as they relate the most to this thesis.

#### **1.2.1.1 Skeletal development**

As mentioned earlier, the somites are involved in the development of the skeleton. However, this is only part of the picture; somites generate the vertebral skeleton, but the lateral plate mesoderm generates the limb skeleton, and the pharyngeal arch, craniofacial bones and cartilage develop from the neural crest region. Taken together, the process of skeletal development is known as osteogenesis, and involves two main processes: intramembranous ossification, whereby mesenchymal tissue is directly converted into bone, and endochondral ossification, whereby mesenchymal tissue is first converted to cartilage that is later replaced by bone (Gilbert, 2010). It is the latter process that occurs predominantly in limb formation, and so we will mainly focus our discussion on this type of osteogenesis.

The process of endochondral ossification can be divided into five main stages, starting with the commitment of mesenchymal cells to becoming cartilage cells. This is governed by the Sonic hedgehog pathway (SHH) which acts in a paracrine fashion on nearby cells to stimulate commitment (Sosic *et al.*, 1997). This then leads to the next stage, when the committed mesenchymal cells start to form condensed, compact nodules and differentiate into a type of cartilage-producing cells known as chondrocytes. At this stage an important transcription factor, the sex-determining region Y box 9 protein (SOX9), begins to be produced to activate transcription factors that induce the production of collagen, an essential component of cartilage

(Hall and Miyake, 1995). In the third stage, the chondrocytes divide rapidly and release an extracellular matrix that gives the cartilage its form. In the fourth stage, the chondrocytes switch from a rapidly proliferating phase to a more quiescent one, where they grow in size and volume and become hypertrophic. This is regulated by another transcription factor, runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2), and requires a shift from aerobic to anaerobic conditions (Shapiro *et al.*, 1982). These hypertrophic chondrocytes release new types of collagen and fibrous proteins (i.e. collagen X and fibronectin) which induce calcification. In addition, they produce vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), an angiogenesis factor that promotes blood vessel formation (Gerber et al., 1999). As the blood vessels enter and spread through the cartilage matrix, the fifth and final phase of osteogenesis occurs. The hypertrophic chondrocytes die while cells that border the cartilage matrix become osteoblasts, so that chondrocytes become replaced by bone cells that begin to form the bone matrix. This process is also governed by RUNX2, however new factors are now also involved, including the transcription factor OSTERIX that is regulated by the wingless-related integration site (WNT) signaling pathway (Hu et al., 2005; Nakashima et al., 2002). By this stage the process of endochondral ossification is complete and the bones continue to grow in size or stall, based on external environmental and signaling factors (Gilbert, 2010). Thus, the process of bone formation relies on the precise timing and intensity of signaling molecules, most of which are part of canonical signaling pathways (e.g. SHH, WNT) that act in a paracrine fashion to stimulate the production of transcription factors and extracellular matrix ingredients. Therefore, any agent that disrupts these pathways could ultimately affect bone formation and growth, leading to structural anomalies and the failure of organ formation. Indeed, teratogenic agents, such as thalidomide and valproic acid, cause severe birth defects of the limbs and skeletal system by directly or indirectly disrupting the pathways that govern osteogenesis (Knobloch and Ruther, 2008; Paradis and Hales, 2013).

#### **1.2.1.2 Limb development**

Limb development begins early in the organogenesis phase and originates from mesodermal cells. It is a tightly controlled process that involves a variety of signaling pathways that govern three main dimensions to ensure a properly developed limb: i) the proximal-distal axis, which determines the positions of bones in the stylopod (most proximal), zeugopod, and autopod (most distal); ii) the anterior-posterior axis, which determines the position and identity

of each digit; iii) the dorsal-ventral axis, which distinguishes the knuckle-side from the palm-side of the limb (Gilbert, 2010).

In the mouse embryo, the forelimb buds are first observed on GD 9, followed by the appearance of the hindlimb buds on GD 10. Limb buds originate from a region known as the limb field; mesenchymal cells from the somatic layer of the mesodermal plate of the limb field, as well as cells from the somites, proliferate and accumulate to form the beginning stages of the limb bud (Gilbert, 2010; Kaufman, 1992). The growth of buds of the fore- and hindlimbs is determined by a variety of signaling and transcription factors, including fibroblast growth factor 10 (FGF10), paired-like homeodomain 1 (PITX1), and T-box transcription factors 4 and 5 (TBX4 and TBX5). These factors determine the site of limb bud outgrowth from the mesodermal plate, and distinguish forelimbs from hindlimbs (Chapman *et al.*, 1996; DeLaurier *et al.*, 2006; Ohuchi *et al.*, 1997).

The apical ectodermal ridge (AER) is an essential structure that develops at the distal part of the limb bud, at the boundary of the dorsal and ventral ectoderm; it determines and maintains the three main dimensions of limb development (Saunders and Reuss, 1974). It does this by keeping the mesenchymal cells right underneath it in a continuously proliferating state to promote growth along the proximal-distal axis; it maintains the expression of signaling molecules that specify the anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral axes (Gilbert, 2010). An example of these signaling molecules is SHH which, as mentioned earlier, is part of the SHH signaling pathway and is also involved in osteogenesis. In the limb bud, SHH controls the anteriorposterior axis from its site of production in the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA), a region found in the posterior portion of the limb bud. The gradient expression of SHH across the anteriorposterior axis right underneath the AER eventually determines the position and identity of the various digits that form (Riddle et al., 1993). Naturally, osteogenesis is a central component to this process and thus SHH serves a dual role; it maintains the anterior-posterior axis and induces mesenchymal cells to commit to becoming the cartilage-producing chondrocytes. As such, the bones of the limbs (e.g. digits, carpels, tibia, etc.) are formed by the same basic process of endochondral osteogenesis (Gilbert, 2010).

After the digits are formed and the three-dimensional pattern of the autopod has been well-defined, another important process takes place to give the limb its final shape. This process is known as "sculpting" and involves the death of cells within specific regions of the autopod.

These regions include the interdigital necrotic zone (which is located between the digits), the interior necrotic zone (which separates the ulna and radius within the zeugopod), and the anterior and posterior necrotic zones (which shape the ends of the limb) (Saunders and Gasseling, 1962). The process of cell death occurs by apoptosis (and not necrosis, as the earlier terms suggest); this is a type of programmed cell death that is associated with the fragmentation of DNA and the activation of the proteolytic caspase enzyme cascade (Mori *et al.*, 1995). The bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) are thought to be the main signaling molecules that prime a cell in the necrotic zones to undergo apoptosis (Yokouchi et al., 1996). Interestingly, these molecules are expressed throughout the mesenchyme underlying the AER, so to limit the regions where cell death takes place, another molecule. Noggin, is co-expressed to suppress BMP's pro-apoptotic signal in regions other than the necrotic zones (Merino et al., 1998). Once primed for apoptosis, cells in the necrotic zones activate signaling pathways that regulate cell survival, including the "guardian of the genome", the P53 transcription factor (see Section 1.4.1). Disruptions in the signaling pathways that govern the growth of the limb bud, the determination of the three axes, as well as the death of cells in the necrotic zones, could lead to a variety of limb defects, such as adactyly (when the fetus is born without digits), ectrodactyly (a deficiency or absence of one or more digits in the hand or foot, also known as split hand/split feet malformation), syndactyly (where two or more digits are fused), and phocomelia (when the bones of one or more limbs are stunted or fail to develop), among others.

### 1.2.1.3 Stem cells

An important feature of embryonic cells that allows them to undergo organogenesis is "stemness". Embryonic cells are initially stem cells, undifferentiated cells that have the ability to give rise to all specialized tissue types. Interestingly, only the zygote has totipotent stem cells which can produce both embryonic and extraembryonic tissue. In contrast, embryonic stem cells derived from the ICM are pluripotent and can give rise to all types of tissue except the trophoblast. Stem cells are unique in that they are able regenerate; once they divide by mitosis one daughter cell retains its undifferentiated characteristic while the other commits to one or more paths of differentiation (Gilbert, 2010). How each daughter cell decides whether to remain undifferentiated or not depends on several factors, including the niche environment and signaling cues from the surrounding tissue. Indeed, stem cells persist even into adulthood, albeit with

much limited potency compared to embryonic stem cells; adult stem cells are multipotent, are restricted to certain niches and can give rise to a subset of related specialized cells rather than all tissue types (e.g. hematopoietic stem cells). As mentioned earlier, the three germ layers of the embryonic epiblast have the potential to generate the tissues of all three germ layers, thus the regulation and preservation of stem cells is a crucial factor for the proper development of the embryo. Some of the factors involved in maintaining stem cells during the embryonic and adult stages of life include the P53 family of proteins (as is discussed later), showing that agents that can disrupt their activity or expression may lead to congenital malformations by affecting the integrity of stem cell populations in the embryo.

### 1.2.2 Susceptibility to genotoxic and oxidative stress-inducing agents

During organogenesis, embryonic cells undergo a tremendous increase in proliferation and later differentiation to lay down the foundation for the development and growth of various organs and systems. As such, these cells experience increased aberrant DNA damage that occurs from the inborn errors that arise naturally during replication, as well as from DNA oxidizing agents that are produced from the cell as a by-product to cellular growth and metabolism (i.e., reactive nitrogen and oxygen species [RNOS]) (Ames and Shigenaga, 1992; Burcham, 1999).

#### **1.2.2.1** The DNA damage stress response

Since the sustention of damaged DNA can jeopardize the function and survival of the cell and its daughters, an intrinsic component that enables them to maintain their viability is the DNA damage repair machinery. This conglomeration of various enzymes and signaling proteins acts in response to various types of DNA damage to maintain the native structure of the DNA, both at the nucleotide and the macromolecular levels. Several types of repair mechanisms that can be triggered by specific forms of DNA damage (e.g. nucleotide excision repair, base excision repair, mismatch repair and recombination repair) have been adapted by mammalian cells (Norbury and Hickson, 2001; Wood, 1996). The embryo is no exception to this; typical DNA damage response markers (e.g.  $\gamma$ H2AX foci, P53BP1, cleaved PARP) can be detected as early as the cleavage stage in the rodent embryo and almost all of the genes for the various DNA repair proteins are expressed throughout embryogenesis (Grenier *et al.*, 2012; Vinson and Hales, 2002a). Interestingly, the type and level of expression of different DNA repair proteins varies

depending on the stage of development; in the rodent embryo, the expression levels of *Atm* (upstream regulator of the DNA damage response pathway), *Ercc1* (involved in nucleotide excision repair), *Ung1* and *Ung2* (both involved in base excision repair), among others, are much higher in mid-organogenesis (i.e. GD 12) than in earlier stages (i.e. GD 9 and 10). In addition, their levels differ between various parts of the conceptus; while both the yolk sac and embryo proper have equal levels of expression in early organogenesis, the levels increase dramatically in the embryo (relative to the yolk sac) by mid-organogenesis (Vinson and Hales, 2002b; Vinson and Hales, 2001a; Vinson and Hales, 2001b). These findings suggest that the embryo has the capacity to repair damaged DNA, yet the extent of this ability changes over time, rendering it potentially more susceptible to DNA damage in early organogenesis.

While endogenous sources of DNA damage occur naturally throughout embryogenesis, and can be successfully countered by the nascent repair machinery, several extrinsic genotoxic agents have also been shown to trigger the embryonic cell's defenses. Xenobiotic genotoxicants can interact directly with DNA, causing physical and structural damage, or they can act indirectly by disrupting DNA segregation, affecting chromatin structure or deregulating the DNA replication process.

Regardless of the mechanism of inducing damage, the cellular pathways that respond to DNA damage generally share a uniform objective: either to repair the damage or, failing that, to instigate cellular death to avoid transferring the damaged DNA to daughter cells. If this mechanism fails during organogenesis, an excess of cells with irreparable DNA or aberrant cell death would lead to the disruption of organ formation, yielding structural or functional birth defects. Since the embryo's ability to respond to and repair damage inflicted by genotoxicants varies throughout development, there are certain "windows" of susceptibility in which it can be especially vulnerable to genotoxic damage. In addition, the embryonic cell's defense systems are not as resilient as those of adult cells, therefore embryos are more sensitive to low doses of genotoxicants (Wells *et al.*, 2010). As such, many xenobiotic genotoxicants are considered teratogenic as they can disrupt the fine-tuned developmental processes that are essential for organ formation at doses that apparently do not affect the health of the mother. Examples of such agents include pharmaceuticals (e.g. cyclophosphamide, hydroxyurea), physical agents (e.g. ultraviolet and ionizing radiation), and environmental chemicals (e.g. benzo[a]pyrene, toluene) (Wells *et al.*, 2009).

#### **1.2.2.2** The oxidative stress response

A mechanism of DNA damage that elicits an additional embryonic damage response is oxidative stress. This form of stress occurs when the redox homeostasis of the cell, i.e. the various mechanisms that regulate the levels of RNOS, is disrupted such that the level of RNOS is beyond what the cell's intrinsic control mechanisms can handle. An excessive increase in RNOS results in aberrant oxidation and nitration of macromolecules, including DNA, protein and lipids, which affects their function and stability (Cadenas and Sies, 1985; Dennery, 2007).

Normally, endogenous RNOS are released from the mitochondria, where the electron transport chain, which produces energy in the form of ATP leaks RNOS as a by-product (Dennery, 2007), and from the activity of endogenous enzymes (e.g. nitric oxide synthases) (Förstermann and Sessa, 2012). In addition, they may be produced from other primary messengers, such as epidermal growth factor (EGF-1) (Sundaresan *et al.*, 1996). The embryonic cell has many proteins and molecules that maintain a healthy redox balance and provide it with the adequate levels of RNOS required to perform some of its physiological functions. Indeed, the level of RNOS can promote or suppress cell growth, survival, proliferation and differentiation, all of which are hallmarks of embryogenesis and organogenesis (Schafer and Buettner, 2001). In addition, RNOS can act as important signaling messengers, activating transcription factors that are necessary for embryonic development, such as hypoxia inducible factor (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ), nuclear factor  $\kappa B$  (NF-  $\kappa B$ ), wingless and integration site (WNT), activator protein 1 (AP-1) and nuclear factor-E2 related factor 1 (NRF-1) (Chen *et al.*, 2003; Forsythe *et al.*, 1996; Gomez del Arco *et al.*, 1997; Shin *et al.*, 2004; Yang *et al.*, 2004).

Since proper embryonic development relies heavily on the precise timing of activation or inactivation of these redox-sensitive factors the embryo's redox status varies throughout embryogenesis. At the early post-implantation stage, oxygen levels are relatively low and the embryo's environment is hypoxic; this is desired since low oxygen levels promote proliferation at this stage. However, differentiation requires higher levels of RNOS which means that in early organogenesis, when the placenta begins to mature (i.e. around GD 9 of the mouse embryo), the embryo is first exposed to a high oxygen concentration (Chen *et al.*, 1999; Kaufman, 1992; Lee *et al.*, 2006; New and Coppola, 1970; Schafer and Buettner, 2001; Takahashi and Zeydel, 1982). This makes the stage of early organogenesis particularly sensitive to oxidative stress as

the redox switch is made from anaerobic to aerobic conditions. As the embryo develops further it acquires a stronger anti-oxidant defense system to cope with the higher oxygen levels and so it is able to withstand insult from stronger environmental oxidants (Hansen, 2006).

The efficacy and resilience of this antioxidant system change throughout development and can be overwhelmed by exogenous oxidizing agents, in a manner similar to that of the DNA damage repair machinery. In addition, the expression of various antioxidant elements is heterogeneous in the developing embryo, with certain tissues having more or less expression depending on their function and stage of development, rendering certain regions more susceptible to oxidative stress (and hence the development of possible malformations) than others (Gnanabakthan and Hales, 2009; Hansen, 2006; Yan and Hales, 2006). Typical antioxidant levels and enzyme activities, such as the glutathione regulating enzymes, glutathione peroxidase and glutathione reductase, increase remarkably between GD 10 and GD 11 in the yolk sac of the rat embryo (equivalent to GD 9 and GD 10 in the mouse) and continue to increase up until late organogenesis, while remaining at very low and constant levels in the embryo proper. The level of superoxide dismutase (SOD), which catalyzes the neutralization of the hyper-reactive superoxide anion, is slightly higher in the embryo compared to the yolk sac in early organogenesis but it is still quite low relative to later stages of development (Choe et al., 2001). In addition, the expression of thioredoxin (another endogenous antioxidant) is detected on GD 10, with levels being very low or almost undetectable before then; it increases gradually from GD 10 to 16 (Kobayashi et al., 2000). Moreover, the caudal regions of the embryo at GD 9 are more susceptible to lipid and protein 4-hydroxynonenol (4-HNE) adducts, as well as other alterations produced from oxidative stress, most likely due to the lower levels of antioxidants in this region of the embryo, compared to the rostral regions, at that stage of development (Gnanabakthan and Hales, 2009; Yan and Hales, 2006). Strategies that aim at bolstering the embryo's antioxidant defenses, including transgenic overexpression of antioxidant proteins or supplementation with free radical scavengers, have proven effective in protecting the embryo against oxidative insult (Harris and Hansen, 2012; Larouche and Hales, 2009). Thus, while the embryo does possess antioxidant mechanisms, their relatively low abundance and activity in early organogenesis, coupled with increasing levels of oxygen exposure and RNOS production, render the organogenesis-stage embryo vulnerable to damage from oxidative stress-inducing chemicals.

Many pharmaceutical and environmental chemicals can cause oxidative stress, including some well-known teratogens (Kovacic and Somanathan, 2006). Although recent publications show that the embryotoxic mechanism of action of the most infamous teratogen, thalidomide, involves the binding of the E3 ubiquitin ligase, cereblon (which prevents it from degrading endogenous proteins and hence leading to cellular dysregulation and maldevelopment), thalidomide is also capable of releasing hydroxyl radicals and depleting endogenous glutathione, leading to oxidative DNA damage, a key event in the embryotoxicity of several known teratogens (Hansen *et al.*, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2015; Parman *et al.*, 1999). Hydroxyurea, an anticancer drug, inhibits the activity of ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) by releasing nitric oxide radicals, eventually causing cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Jiang *et al.*, 1997); discussed in section 1.3.1). Many inorganic metals, such as chromium, can also induce oxidative stress-induced DNA damage, causing reproductive defects in mice (Acharya *et al.*, 2006; Yang *et al.*, 2005).

As discussed previously, alteration of the embryo's capacity to differentiate or proliferate could very likely result in structural and functional birth defects. While the mechanisms by which various teratogens induce oxidative stress may differ, the common outcome is an increase in cellular oxidation, either by the depletion of the cell's antioxidant capacity or the boosting of RNOS production. If cells of the early organogenesis embryo experience increased oxidation of DNA, proteins and lipids that render them dysfunctional and irreparable, they will either undergo defective proliferation and differentiation or instigate excessive cell death (Dennery, 2007). Furthermore, since not all regions of the early organogenesis stage embryo express the same levels and kinds of antioxidants, this makes certain tissues and organs more susceptible to oxidative stress-induced damage and cell death than others, leading to the development of distinct malformations (Gnanabakthan and Hales, 2009; Hansen, 2006). Thus, if not properly controlled or repaired, oxidative-stress induced damage can result in a teratogenic outcome.

### 1.3 Hydroxyurea

Hydroxyurea (chemical formula: CH<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is a pharmaceutical compound first synthesized in 1869 (Dresler, 1869) and approved for medical use in the USA in 1967, where it is currently manufactured and sold by Bristol-Myers Squib (Liebelt *et al.*, 2007). It is indicated for treating melanoma, ovarian carcinoma, chronic myeloid leukemia and thalassemia.

Additionally, it is used in patients with sickle-cell anemia to reduce the frequency of painful crises and the need for blood transfusion in adults and children (Liebelt, *et al.*, 2007).

Typical doses of hydroxyurea for the treatment of sickle-cell anemia are between 15-35 mg/kg bw per day, while doses for the treatment of certain solid tumors can be as high as 80 mg/kg bw every third day. Treatment is usually chronic and may last for years (Liebelt, *et al.*, 2007). Hydroxyurea is usually formulated and administered as capsules (200, 300, 400 or 500 mg) or tablets (1000 mg). It is well absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) after oral administration and reaches peak plasma concentrations within 1-4 hours (Bristol-Myers-Squib, 2016). It distributes in the body at an apparent volume of distribution equivalent to total body water (~42 liters) and enters the cerebrospinal fluid approximately 3 hours after oral administration (Bristol-Myers-Squib, 2016; Stevens, 1999).

In mice and other animal models, hydroxyurea is readily absorbed through the oral route, can reach peak plasma levels within 7 min after intraperitoneal (i.p) injection (Warner *et al.*, 1983), and can cross the placental barrier to reach the embryo. Half of the administered hydroxyurea is metabolized into urea, which is then mainly excreted in the urine (Adamson *et al.*, 1965). Hydroxyurea has a very short half-life ( $t_{\frac{1}{2}} \le 30$  min) in adult rats and mice, but has a much longer half-life in embryos ( $t_{\frac{1}{2}} \sim 85$  min) (Wilson *et al.*, 1975). This makes hydroxyurea a potential hazard to the proper development of the conceptus during pregnancy.

### 1.3.1 Mechanism of action

Hydroxyurea acts as an anti-neoplastic agent; it is cytotoxic, resulting in cell cycle arrest and cell death (Philips *et al.*, 1967). The main known mechanism of action is through the inhibition of ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), an enzyme essential for the conversion of ribonucleoside triphosphates (NTPs) into deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs), the building blocks of DNA. By depleting the pools of dNTPs, hydroxyurea effectively stalls DNA replication forks, rendering the cell incapable of progressing through DNA replication, and instigating an arrest of the cell cycle at the S-phase, causing growth inhibition and increasing the possibility of cell death (Grallert and Boye, 2008; Koc *et al.*, 2004).

Hydroxyurea is reported to inhibit RNR by producing free radicals that scavenge a tyrosyl free radical in the M2 subunit of RNR which is necessary for its enzymatic activity (Iman *et al.*, 2016; Yarbro, 1992). Indeed, several studies have shown that hydroxyurea produces the

free radical nitric oxide (·NO), which then yields a number of harmful RNOS, such as the hydroxyl radical (·OH), the nitrogen dioxide radical (·NO<sub>2</sub>) and peroxynitrite (ONOO<sup>-</sup>) (Burkitt and Raafat, 2006; Jiang, *et al.*, 1997; Pacelli *et al.*, 1996). These radicals are known to induce direct and indirect DNA damage, alter protein structure and activity, and elicit oxidative stress, ultimately leading to cell injury and death (Eiserich *et al.*, 1999; Jones *et al.*, 2009; Wells, *et al.*, 2009).

Interestingly, a recent study showed that hydroxyurea can induce cytostatic effects and DNA damage in a RNR-independent mechanism (Liew *et al.*, 2016). The hyperthermophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus* contains a RNR species which is insensitive to hydroxyurea-inhibition since it does not need the tyrosyl radical in order to be enzymatically active (Jordan and Reichard, 1998; She *et al.*, 2001). When treated with hydroxyurea, these cells still undergo cell cycle arrest and have DNA strand breaks in a dose-dependent fashion. The apparent mechanism by which hydroxyurea causes this effect is through the dysregulation of specific replication initiation and cell division factors, such as primase, rather than the depletion of dNTP pools (Liew, *et al.*, 2016). Thus, it may be possible that hydroxyurea exerts its cytostatic and DNA damaging effects through various mechanisms, including oxidative stress and replication fork stalling.

The mechanisms of action of hydroxyurea in the treatment of sickle cell anemia is thought to be by indirectly promoting the synthesis of fetal hemoglobin; as hydroxyurea arrests the synthesis of erythrocytes it allows for new immature progenitors that can synthesize fetal hemoglobin to proliferate and differentiate into mature red blood cells (Halsey and Roberts, 2003; Yang and Pace, 2001). In addition, hydroxyurea induces nitric oxide concentrations, *in vivo* and *in vitro*, which can activate soluble guanylyl cyclase, leading to the upregulation of  $\gamma$ globin, a necessary component for fetal hemoglobin synthesis (Cokic *et al.*, 2003; Jiang, *et al.*, 1997; Nahavandi *et al.*, 2000; Pacelli, *et al.*, 1996).

### **1.3.2 Hydroxyurea developmental toxicity**

Hydroxyurea induces DNA replication fork stalling, DNA strand breaks and oxidative stress, rendering it a powerful cytotoxic substance; coupling these observations with hydroxyurea's ability to cross the placenta and its longer half-life in embryos makes it a potential teratogen (Liebelt, *et al.*, 2007; Wilson, *et al.*, 1975). Indeed, hydroxyurea has been shown to be

a potent teratogen in mice, rats and rabbits, producing severe gross and skeletal malformations (DeSesso and Jordan, 1977; Wilson, *et al.*, 1975; Yan and Hales, 2005). The manifestation of congenital malformations largely depends on the species under study, concentration of the drug and the time of exposure during gestation. Animal studies have shown that pregnant rats and mice treated with hydroxyurea between GD 6 and 15, with single or daily doses, produced fetuses with cleft palate, cleft lip, short and kinky tails, phocomelia, syndactyly, ectrodactyly and adactyly of the fore- and hind-limbs, hydrocephaly, and anophthalmia (Bristol-Myers-Squib, 2016; Chaube and Murphy, 1966; DeSesso *et al.*, 2000; Yan and Hales, 2005). In addition, hydroxyurea exposure has been reported to reduce bone ossification, live litter size, fetal size and weight, and cause neurobehavioral deficits (Aliverti *et al.*, 1980; DeSesso and Jordan, 1977; Vorhees *et al.*, 1979).

The teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea used in animal studies are higher than the recommended human therapeutic doses, but in some cases they are only 0.3 times above the maximum recommended therapeutic levels (on a mg/m<sup>2</sup> basis). As such, women are advised to avoid pregnancy during treatment and to discontinue the treatment if they discover that they have become pregnant or plan to do so (Bristol-Myers-Squib, 2016). While there are not many reported cases of women being exposed to hydroxyurea during pregnancy, there have been a few incidents recorded in the literature. So far, there have been no studies conducted on hydroxyurea exposure effects on pregnant women, so a causal link between hydroxyurea and birth defects in humans is unascertainable (Liebelt, et al., 2007). In a case-series, 31 pregnant women were treated with hydroxyurea during either the first, second, or third trimesters, or throughout the gestation period (Thauvin-Robinet et al., 2001). The pregnancy outcomes showed no major malformations and no pre/post-natal chromosomal aberrations, but a few abortions and *in utero* fetal deaths were recorded. However, it is not possible to ascertain if these abortions were correlated with hydroxyurea or the underlying medical condition for which the women were being treated (e.g. sickle cell anemia, chronic myeloid leukemia, or essential thrombocythemia) (Thauvin-Robinet, et al., 2001).

The mechanism by which hydroxyurea causes malformations in animal models is still being investigated. While it has been extensively studied, several molecular processes and cellular signaling pathways are found to be affected by hydroxyurea in the developing embryo. The most prominent mechanisms of hydroxyurea teratogenicity are thought to be DNA damage

and oxidative stress that lead to cell cycle arrest and programmed cell death (i.e. apoptosis) (DeSesso, *et al.*, 2000; Torchinsky *et al.*, 2005; Yan and Hales, 2006). Hydroxyurea is most teratogenic during the stage of organogenesis (DeSesso, 2010). When the embryo switches from anaerobic to aerobic metabolism it becomes highly sensitive to the RNOS that are produced by hydroxyurea; the inhibition of cell division due to DNA replication fork stress causes developmental delays of vital organs such as the limbs, digits and skeleton (Wells, *et al.*, 2009; Yan and Hales, 2005).

In the organogenesis stage mouse embryo, hydroxyurea has been shown to disrupt the activities of several transcription factors and proteins that maintain redox homeostasis and DNA integrity. In mouse embryos treated on GD 9, hydroxyurea increases the DNA binding affinity of AP-1, a redox-sensitive transcription factor that regulates a variety of anti-oxidant, apoptotic and cell cycle control genes (Yan and Hales, 2005). In addition, hydroxyurea leads to an increase in  $\gamma$ H2AX foci, a marker of DNA strand breaks, and activates the MAPK signaling cascade by inducing the phosphorylation of MEK3/6 and P38 (Banh and Hales, 2013). The MAPK signaling pathway is sensitive to oxidative stress and DNA damage and can control the cell's fate by the induction or prevention of cell death, by directly or indirectly inducing caspase-mediated apoptosis (Cargnello and Roux, 2011). Yet, during organogenesis, hydroxyurea has varying effects on different MAPKs; while both P38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) are activated, another major MAPK, extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK), is not. Also, P38 and JNK play distinct roles in the embryo's response to hydroxyurea; inhibiting P38 induces fetal mortality rather than malformations, while inhibiting JNK produces the inverse effect (Yan and Hales, 2008). Interestingly, P38 and JNK have common downstream targets, such as AP-1 and P53 (Turjanski et al., 2007). While hydroxyurea has been shown to affect AP-1 activity in the organogenesis-stage embryo, its effect on P53 remains to be determined.

#### 1.4 The P53 protein family

The P53 protein family consists of three functionally and structurally homologous proteins: P53, P63 and P73. Since P53 is considered the evolutionary product of P63 and P73, and was the first to be discovered, there is a tendency to consider P53 as the archetypal member of this group (Lane and Crawford, 1979; Linzer and Levine, 1979; Melino *et al.*, 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2002). Yet, research has shown that both P63 and P73 play distinct, as well as similar, roles
to P53 under normal and stress conditions, including in mammalian development (Levrero *et al.*, 2000; Moll and Slade, 2004).

Like P53, both P63 and P73 generally function as tumor suppressors by inducing cell cycle arrest, DNA damage repair, senescence, autophagy or apoptosis (Table 1.1). In addition, P53, P63 and P73 all have different isoforms (Fig 1.1) that can produce the opposite effects (Grob *et al.*, 2001; Murray-Zmijewski *et al.*, 2006; Petitjean *et al.*, 2008; Wilhelm *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, there appears to be some inter-dependence between the three homologs; P53 has been shown to require P63 and P73 to exert its transcriptional activity under specific conditions, and all three proteins share considerable promoter occupancy *in vivo* (Fatt *et al.*, 2014; Flores *et al.*, 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2010). However, unlike P53, both P63 and P73 are essential for the development of mammalian organ systems, such as the epidermis, limbs and the nervous system (Donehower *et al.*, 1992; Yang *et al.*, 1999; Yang *et al.*, 2000).

These unique and overlapping functions highlight a complex relationship between the three homologs of the P53 family, yet how they interact and function during mammalian organogenesis remains unknown. Of particular interest is their response and influence on the teratogenicity of cytotoxic compounds, such as hydroxyurea, considering their ability to induce DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and cell death.

	P53	P63	P73
Developmental expression	- Neural tube - Limb	- Epidermal - Limb	- Neural
Null mutation mice	<ul><li>Late Cancers</li><li>Exencephaly</li><li>Limb defects</li></ul>	<ul><li>Missing limbs</li><li>Defective Skin</li><li>Lethal</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Neurobehavioural deficits</li> <li>Pheromonal defects</li> <li>Growth defects</li> </ul>
Stemness	-	+	+
Senescence	+		+
DNA Damage	+	+/-	+
Cell Cycle	+	+	+
Apoptosis	+	+	+

Table 1.1: Functions of P53/P63/P73 in development and the stress response.

Simplified classification of the functions of the p53 family members. Members of this family are involved in different cellular functions, and knockout mice reveal different developmental phenotypes. For simplicity, and because current information is incomplete, this scheme does not differentiate among the TA (containing the transactivation domain) and  $\Delta N$  (lacking the N-terminal transactivation domain) protein isotypes. +: indicates evidence for positive regulation by the protein. -: indicates evidence for negative regulation by the protein. Adapted from Melino et al. (2003).



#### Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of the exon structures of human p53, p63 and p73.

The transactivation domain (TAD), DNA binding domain (DBD) and the oligomerization domain (OD) are indicated in grey, the sterile alpha motif (SAM) domain is also indicated. The numbers of the exons are indicated in Roman numerals above each full length protein. Exons read out of frame with the  $\alpha$  variant are in black. Exons spliced out in each isoform are indicated on the right. The  $\Delta$ NP63 and  $\Delta$ NP73 isoforms lacking the TAD, which are translated from an alternative ATG, are indicated in dotted grey. Adapted from Levrero *et al.* (2000).

# 1.4.1 The P53 protein

First discovered in 1979 (Lane and Crawford, 1979; Linzer and Levine, 1979), the 53 KDa tumor suppressor protein, P53, is one of the most studied proteins in the biological and medical field (Vogelstein *et al.*, 2000). The protein contains several domains: a transactivation domain (TAD), a DNA binding domain (DBD), and an oligomerization domain (OD). The *Trp53* gene has more than one transcription start site, yielding up to nine different mRNA variants that can lack the C-terminus OD ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) or the N-terminus TAD (the  $\Delta$ N isoforms) (Murray-Zmijewski, *et al.*, 2006). P53 is the central figure of a signaling pathway that controls vital cellular processes in response to a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors (Levrero, *et al.*, 2000).

Indeed, P53 and its signaling pathway have been studied extensively in relation to its role in tumor formation and cancer therapy; they have been conclusively shown to suppress and prevent tumor growth in animal studies (Donehower, *et al.*, 1992). In fact, about 50% of all human tumors are found to have a mutated and inactive P53 protein or defective P53 signaling pathway (Hainaut and Hollstein, 2000; Vogelstein, *et al.*, 2000). Due to its central role in protecting cells against tumorigenesis, it has been coined "the guardian of the genome" (Lane, 1992). However, there have been many studies that indicate an important role for P53 in other physiological processes, including mammalian embryonic development (Armstrong, *et al.*, 1995; Barkic *et al.*, 2009; Choi and Donehower, 1999; Hosako *et al.*, 2007; Sah *et al.*, 1995; Vousden and Lane, 2007; Zhang *et al.*, 2017).

# 1.4.1.1 P53 regulation

As P53 is a major determinant of the cell's response to stress factors, it is very tightly regulated. While current research reveals increasingly complex modes of P53 regulation and action, the classical model of P53 regulation and signaling is as follows: under normal conditions, P53 is maintained at low basal levels in the cytoplasm. It is bound by the E3-ubiquitin ligase, MDM2 (or its homolog, MDM4), which acts as a negative regulator of P53 by targeting it for proteasomal degradation via ubiquitination (Harris and Levine, 2005; Kruse and Gu, 2009; Shi and Gu, 2012). However, under stress conditions P53 undergoes extensive post-translational modifications; it can be phosphorylated on several serine and threonine residues by a variety of upstream kinases (e.g. ATM, ATR, CHK1/2, P38, JNK, ERK) and/or acetylated on several lysine residues by acetyl transferases (e.g. TIP60, CBP/P300). These modifications lead

to its dissociation from MDM2 (or MDM4) and its subsequent transactivation. Following this, P53 translocates to the nucleus where it binds to specific promotor sites on the DNA and acts as a transcription factor by up- or down-regulating the transcription of a variety of downstream gene targets. Through the expression of specific genes, P53 can arrest cell cycle progression (e.g. *Cdkn1a, Rb*), induce DNA damage repair (e.g. *R2*), autophagy (e.g. *Dram*), or apoptosis (e.g. *Puma, Noxa*), as well as affect other cellular processes (Vousden and Prives, 2009).

However, several recent findings challenge the established classical model, revealing an even more complex regulatory mechanism. As more tools were developed to study transcriptional activity and DNA binding *in vivo*, it was found that P53 has the ability to bind DNA in the absence of stress (Kruse and Gu, 2009). ChIP assays revealed that the sites at which P53 is bound under normal conditions do not necessarily contain the P53 consensus sequence binding site, suggesting that P53 controls the expression of a multitude of genes for normal homeostasis that are not directly involved in cell cycle arrest or apoptosis (Liu et al., 2004). Moreover, it was found that under normal conditions P53 binds the promoter sites of its downstream effector targets, such as *Cdkn1a*, yet is unable to promote their transcription, possibly due to repression by MDM2, or its homologs, MDM4 and MDMX (Kaeser and Iggo, 2002). Indeed, MDM2, MDM4 and MDMX were found to complex with P53 at specific promoter sites, suggesting that the specificity of P53 in regulating the transcription of its downstream effectors depends largely on other co-factors, not just its post-translational modifications (Barboza et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2008). Yet, while it appears that P53 binding specificity to the promoter sites of its downstream targets does not rely on its post-translational modifications alone, its ability to activate their transcription, as well as the functional outcome, does. In response to DNA damage, the MAPK pathway initiates, with the ATM and ATR kinases phosphorylating their downstream targets, CHK1 and/or CHK2, which then activate the effector kinases, P38 and JNK (Appella and Anderson, 2001; Shieh et al., 2000; Shieh et al., 1997). P38 and JNK are able to preferentially phosphorylate specific serine residues on P53; P38 phosphorylates Ser15 (Ser18 in murine P53), while JNK phosphorylates Ser20 (Ser23 in murine P53). Knock-in mutation studies conducted in mice showed that while P53 activation is not dependent on the phosphorylation of just one residue, the phosphorylation of both residues is required for the dissociation of the MDM2-P53 complex and the transactivation of P53 (Chao et al., 2003; Chao et al., 2006; MacPherson et al., 2004). These modifications usually lead to a

transcriptional activity mediated outcome, whereupon depending on the severity of the stress, P53 modulates the expression of specific genes that prime the cell for cell cycle arrest, senescence or apoptosis.

Other types of post-translational modifications exist as well; P53 acetylation by the histone acetyltransferase, TIP60, is essential for P53-mediated apoptosis but not cell cycle arrest (Sykes *et al.*, 2006; Tang *et al.*, 2006). In addition, other types of P53 modifications, such as methylation, sumoylation and neddylation, can control its binding affinity and transcriptional activity. The methylation of Lys372 of P53 by the methyltransferase SET9 induces the P53-mediated expression of *Cdkn1a* (Chuikov *et al.*, 2004), while the dimethylation of Lys370 and Lys382 allows P53 to bind the P53BP1 promoter site, a DNA damage repair factor (Huang *et al.*, 2007). Methylation by SMYD2 and SET8 can repress P53 transcriptional activity, and while not yet determined *in vivo*, both sumoylation and neddylation are believed to act to retain P53 in the cytoplasm and prevent its activity (Abida *et al.*, 2007; Carter *et al.*, 2007; Huang *et al.*, 2006; Shi *et al.*, 2007).

These findings reveal a complex regulatory mechanism for the stabilization and activation of P53 that occurs both at the cytoplasmic and at the DNA level. The involvement of a multitude of co-factors and post-translational events ensures a tightly-controlled, yet rapid and specific, cellular response to stress.

#### 1.4.1.2 P53 functions under stress and normal conditions

Generally, P53 is known for its roles as a tumor suppressor and as a survival factor against cytotoxic stress, by causing the cell to undergo cell cycle arrest or programmed-cell death via apoptosis. Various external stress factors lead to the stabilization and activation of P53. These include RNOS-mediated oxidative stress, heat shock, and DNA-damaging agents (e.g. UV rays,  $\gamma$ -irradiation, cyclophosphamide, and hydroxyurea) (Sablina *et al.*, 2005; Seillier M., 2012).

While the type of stress can influence the method of P53 activation differentially, most cause P53 to elicit either cell cycle arrest or apoptosis. Initially, these effects were thought to rely solely on P53's transcriptional activity (Fig 1.1), where P53 upregulates genes involved in cell cycle inhibition (e.g. *Cdkn1a*) or apoptosis (e.g. *Puma, Noxa*) (Kruse and Gu, 2009). However, in addition to its transcription-based functions, P53 has non-transcriptional activities. Once

stabilized in the cytoplasm, P53 can migrate to the mitochondrion, where it interacts with the pro-apoptotic proteins BAX and BAK and the anti-apoptotic protein BCL to induce apoptosis (Chipuk *et al.*, 2004; Leu *et al.*, 2004; Mihara *et al.*, 2003). It is well-established that the mitochondrion is essential for the initiation and propagation of the intrinsic apoptotic cascade; P53 binds BAX and BAK, forming pores in the outer mitochondrial membrane that allow for the release of cytochrome C, which then signals APAF-1 and pro-caspase 9 to form the apoptosome, that subsequently activates pro-caspase 3 into its cleaved effector form. At the same time, P53 binds the anti-apoptotic BCL-X and BCL2 proteins, preventing them from abrogating the effects of BAX and BAK (Elmore, 2007). Thus, P53 can induce apoptosis in response to stress in a transcription independent manner (Fig 1.2).

It is important to note that P53 seems to exert a balancing act when it comes to the path the cell takes in response to stress; low-level stress signals promote P53-mediated cell-cycle arrest, while sustained or high-level stress pushes P53 towards the pro-apoptotic route (Eliaš *et al.*, 2014; Vousden and Prives, 2009). The proposed theory behind this phenomenon stipulates that since the main aim of P53 is to protect the cell from external insults, such as genotoxic compounds, it preferentially promotes cell cycle arrest to give time for the damage to be repaired by the cell's repair machinery (e.g. the MRN complex for DNA strand breaks) after which the cell can resume its normal cycle (Gatz and Wiesmuller, 2006; Robison *et al.*, 2005). However, if the damage is irreparable then P53 opts for "sacrificing" the cell via apoptosis, to prevent the passing on of damaged DNA to daughter cells and thus preserve tissue integrity (Geske *et al.*, 2001; Vousden and Prives, 2009).



# Figure 1.2: P53 signaling in response to stress.

Upon stimulus from DNA damage or oxidative stress, several upstream kinases (e.g. P38 and JNK) phosphorylate P53 on specific residues (i.e. S15 and S20 respectively) leading to its stabilization and transactivation. Subsequently, P53 can translocate to the nucleus, where it acts as a transcription factor and upregulates genes involved in cell cycle arrest (e.g. *Cdkn1a, Rb1*) and apoptosis (e.g. *Fas, Pmaip1, Trp53inp1*). P53 can also induce apoptosis by migrating to the mitochondrion and promoting the release of cytochrome C, eventually leading to the cleavage and activation of the effector apoptotic protein, Caspase-3.

Other than its function in response to stress, P53 also has basal level activity, regulating several cellular processes to maintain proper homeostasis. P53 has a role in the regulation of glycolysis; under normal conditions P53 inhibits the expression of the glucose transporters GLUT1 and GLUT4, decreases fructose-2,6-bisphosphate levels by increasing *Tigar* expression (which increases fructose bisphosphatase levels), while also modulating NF-κB levels, ultimately leading to the downregulation of glycolysis (Bensaad *et al.*, 2006; Kawauchi *et al.*, 2008; Schwartzenberg-Bar-Yoseph *et al.*, 2004). Conversely, P53 is a positive regulator of oxidative phosphorylation; P53 upregulates the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, and cytochrome c oxidase synthase 2 (SCO2), both of which are components of the mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation cascade that is the main source for ATP production (Matoba *et al.*, 2006; Okamura *et al.*, 1999). These effects help maintain a positive metabolic outcome for the cell's energy requirements.

Concomitant with its role in mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, P53 maintains the mitochondrion's integrity under both normal and stress conditions. P53 upregulates P53R2, a subunit of the ribonucleotide reductase enzyme that maintains mitochondrial DNA (Bourdon *et al.*, 2007), and preserves mitochondrial DNA copy number by regulating the mitochondrion's damage checkpoint pathway (Kulawiec *et al.*, 2009).

In addition, P53 plays an important role in maintaining redox homeostasis and promoting the cell's anti-oxidant defenses. Even though P53 tends to promote RNOS production and release under stress conditions to instigate apoptosis, under normal conditions P53 reduces intracellular RNOS levels to prevent DNA oxidation, fatty acid peroxidation and the formation of protein adducts (Sablina, *et al.*, 2005). P53 performs its anti-oxidant functions by regulating a number of downstream targets involved in preserving redox status, such as aldehyde dehydrogenase (*Aldh4a1*), glutathione peroxidase (*Gpx1*), Mn-superoxide dismutase (*Sod2*), catalase (*Cat*), and Sestrins 1 & 2 (*Sesn1*, *Sesn2*) (Hussain *et al.*, 2004; O'Connor *et al.*, 2008; Yoon *et al.*, 2004). These transcripts code for enzymes that are proven anti-oxidants, which break down or neutralize potent RNOS, thus protecting the cell's organelles and DNA against damaging oxidation. Interestingly, the P53 protein itself is redox-sensitive; several cysteine residues of P53 are susceptible to the formation of di-sulfide bridges with glutathione (GSH) in oxidative stress conditions (Velu *et al.*, 2007). These cysteine residues lie within P53's DNA binding domain and are important for its binding to promoter sequences (Sun *et al.*, 2003). In addition, P53 activity

can be enhanced by several redox proteins, such as thioredoxin (TRX) and redox factor 1 (REF-1) (Hanson *et al.*, 2005; Seemann and Hainaut, 2005). Thus, P53 is capable of both influencing and being influenced by cellular redox status under basal and stress conditions.

Although P53 primarily promotes cell death via apoptosis under stress conditions, it is also involved in regulating other cell death mechanisms, such as autophagy. Autophagy is the process by which the cell removes damaged organelles and parts of the cytoplasm by sequestration and digestion, to maintain the cell and the genome, and to recycle cellular components to be used in biosynthetic pathways (Levine and Kroemer, 2008; Mathew et al., 2007). The main component of autophagy is the autophagosome, a double membrane vesicle that absorbs cellular debris and organelles from the cytoplasm, and fuses with lysosomes containing digestive enzymes for their eventual degradation (Xie and Klionsky, 2007). Under basal conditions, cells experience autophagy to maintain homeostasis and promote cell survival, but upon stress, the rate of autophagy increases, leading to a form of programmed cell death (Berry and Baehrecke, 2007; Yu et al., 2004). P53 both inhibits and promotes autophagy, depending on the context. Although the exact mechanism is still unclear, cytoplasmic (but not nuclear) P53 inhibits autophagy under basal conditions by downregulating AKT, a positive regulator of autophagy, and upregulating mTOR, a negative regulator of autophagy (Tasdemir et al., 2008). Under conditions of extreme or sustained stress, P53 upregulates the expression of DRAM, SESN1 and SESN2, which induce autophagy (Budanov and Karin, 2008; Crighton et al., 2006). Thus, P53 is capable of regulating several forms of programmed cell death under basal and stress conditions, adding to the complexity of P53's role in determining cell fate.

Another function of P53 under basal conditions is its involvement in stem cell renewal. P53 mRNA and protein have been detected in mouse and human embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Chandrasekaran *et al.*, 1981; Qin *et al.*, 2007); however, during late stages of embryogenesis – particularly when cells have undergone terminal differentiation – the levels of P53 decrease sharply (Lin *et al.*, 2005; Schmid *et al.*, 1991). In addition, ESCs lacking P53 exhibit increased proliferation and a significantly decreased ability to spontaneously differentiate (Qin, *et al.*, 2007). Moreover, P53 inhibits the expression of both NANOG and OCT4, two factors that are essential for maintaining stem cell pluripotency (Loh *et al.*, 2006). P53 was also found to inhibit stem cell renewal in adult stem cells, including hematopoietic progenitor cells and neural stem cells (Liu *et al.*, 2009; Meletis *et al.*, 2006). As expected, P53 also functions as a stress response factor in embryonic stem cells; hydroxyurea promotes P53-mediated apoptosis in mouse embryonic stem cells by activating P38 and increasing P53 acetylation (Heo *et al.*, 2014). Thus, P53 plays a role as a promoter of cellular differentiation and quiescence in embryonic and adult stem cells, under basal conditions.

Its ability to exert functions in the cytoplasm and the nucleus under both basal and stress conditions, along with its ability to directly or indirectly control the expression of thousands of genes, makes P53 a central node in the regulation of many crucial cellular processes (Riley *et al.*, 2008). These processes include cell division, senescence, programmed cell death, redox homeostasis, and cell differentiation, all of which are crucial elements to ensure proper embryonic development. Thus, P53 may have consequential roles in how the embryo develops under both basal and stress conditions.

# 1.4.1.3 The role of P53 in embryonic development

Under normal conditions during embryonic development, *Trp53* expression can be detected as early as GD 8.5 in the mouse embryo, when organogenesis commences (Schmid, *et al.*, 1991). The expression of *Trp53* at this stage is rather homogenous and can be found in proliferating but not terminally differentiated cells (Chen *et al.*, 2015). The reason for this may be that P53 promotes cellular differentiation during this phase of development, as tissues and organs become more specialized in function and morphology; indeed, P53 has been shown to induce cellular differentiation and inhibit stem cell renewal both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Gil-Perotin *et al.*, 2006; Mazzaro *et al.*, 1999; Meletis, *et al.*, 2006; Porrello *et al.*, 2000). At later stages of development (i.e. > GD 12.5) *Trp53* expression becomes more heterogeneous and sharply declines in cells that are terminally differentiated. In addition, P53 activity seems to be restricted to the developing nervous system, even in later stages of embryogenesis (Gottlieb *et al.*, 1997; Komarova *et al.*, 1997). Overall, basal expression remains low throughout development except during the organogenesis phase, thus rendering the murine embryo especially sensitive to P53 activation between GD 8 to GD 12 (Fig. 1.3).



Trp53



Trp63



Trp73

# Figure 1.3: Expression patterns of *Trp53*, *Trp63* and *Trp73* in GD 9 mouse embryos.

Lateral view of GD 9 mouse embryos showing the expression of *Trp53* (EMAGE #25302), *Trp63* (EMAGE #26006) and *Trp73* (EMAGE #26081) using whole mount *in situ* hybridization. *Trp53* is present in the forelimb bud and neural tube. *Trp63* is present in the AER of the forelimb bud. *Trp73* levels are barely detectable at GD 9 but start to be observed from GD 10 onwards. All images were obtained from the EMAGE database (Richardson *et al.*, 2014) on October 2017. While initial knockout animal studies showed that P53 is not essential for normal mammalian development, subsequent studies showed that *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> mouse embryos exhibited some congenital malformations. These included exencephaly, anencephaly, ocular abnormalities and increased lethality, particularly in female embryos (Armstrong, *et al.*, 1995; Sah, *et al.*, 1995). Strangely, female embryos were overwhelmingly affected, even when different strains of *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> mice were used (i.e. 129sv/C57BL6, BALBc, SWR and 129/Ola) (Armstrong, *et al.*, 1995).

P53 may be involved in osteogenesis and skeletal development; *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* adult mice exhibit increased bone mass and bone formation. P53 has been shown to inhibit osteoblastogenesis by inhibiting the expression of the osteoblast differentiation factor, *Osterix* (Wang *et al.*, 2006). In addition, P53 binds to the osteoblast-promoting transcription factor, RUNX2, in response to DNA damage (Ozaki *et al.*, 2013), and decreases its expression in osteoblast progenitor cells (Lengner *et al.*, 2006).

Another organ that relies on P53 for its proper development is the limb bud. Pruning of the AER and interdigital regions occurs by apoptosis and is essential for proper digit formation (Scott, 1979). This process must be tightly controlled by P53 to prevent abnormal limb development; abnormal limb development was observed in cultured limbs exposed to P53-activating chemicals (e.g. cyclophosphamide) (Moallem and Hales, 1995), while limbs lacking P53 showed spontaneous malformations that increased significantly when exposed to cyclophosphamide (Moallem and Hales, 1998). In addition, low P53 levels are necessary for the initiation and regeneration of limb buds; salamanders exhibit decreased P53 levels during blastemal formation which then revert to normal levels when the limb starts to differentiate (Yun *et al.*, 2013).

While a causal link between P53 and human congenital malformations is difficult to establish, studies on mutant *Trp53* knock-in mice, in which P53 is activated, showed embryos exhibiting a phenotype similar to that of the CHARGE syndrome (Van Nostrand *et al.*, 2014). This congenital defect presents with a myriad of structural and growth abnormalities, such as: coloboma of the eye, atresia of the nasal choanae, retardation of growth, genital/urinary abnormalities, and inner ear defects (Zentner *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, in over 70% of CHARGE syndrome sufferers, the *CHD7* gene, which regulates chromatin remodeling, is mutated. CHD7 was found to bind to the *Trp53* promotor and reduce P53 expression, thus

increased P53 activity may be related to the occurrence of CHARGE-like defects in *CHD7* mutant individuals (Van Nostrand, *et al.*, 2014).

A recent study also showed that under conditions of low and sustained stress, the P53 pathway is responsible for maintaining tissue fitness during embryogenesis. In genetically mosaic murine embryos containing  $Mdm2^{+/-}Mdm4^{+/-}$  and wild type cells, the double knockout cells were outcompeted during embryogenesis via a P53 based mechanism (Zhang, *et al.*, 2017). This phenomenon occurred in a non-cell autonomous fashion, where cells exhibiting a mildly higher activity of P53 than their surrounding wild type counterparts had reduced proliferation, without necessarily undergoing cell death.

Taken together, these findings suggest that P53 regulates a variety of important physiological processes during organogenesis, which can be negatively affected if P53 regulation and activity are disrupted, resulting in improper mammalian development and the possible manifestations of congenital malformations.

# 1.4.1.4 The role of P53 in the embryonic response to developmental toxicants

As observed in adult tissue, P53 expression in the developing embryo increases significantly in response to stress-including that caused by known teratogens (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010). In several teratology studies, P53 has been found to be activated in the organogenesis stage embryo by compounds that elicit oxidative stress (e.g. phenytoin, benzo[a]pyrene), DNA damage (e.g. UV light), and apoptosis (e.g. 2-chloro-2-deoxyadenosine, cyclophosphamide). However, whether P53 acts as a teratological suppressor – by protecting the embryo against teratogenic insults – or as a teratological inducer – by promoting the teratogenicity of developmental toxicants – is not clear. The divergence in the incidence of certain malformations caused by different teratogens in Trp53 wild type and Trp53-deficient embryos suggests that the role of P53 as a suppressor or inducer of teratogenesis depends on the timing of exposure and the mechanism of action of the teratogen in question (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010).

In studies examining the effects of oxidative stressinducing chemicals in *Trp53*-deficient embryos, benzo[a]pyrene was found to increase the incidence of malformations in the litters of  $Trp53^{+/-}$  dams more than in those of  $Trp53^{+/+}$  dams (Nicol *et al.*, 1995). In addition, in the litters of benzo[a]pyrene-treated  $Trp53^{+/-}$  dams, more intrauterine deaths (i.e. resorptions) were

observed in  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates. Intriguingly, although all dams were mated with  $Trp53^{+/-}$  males, the percentage of  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos produced from untreated  $Trp53^{+/-}$  dams was less than the expected Mendelian ratio (17% vs. 25%), suggesting that many of these embryos died before implantation. Thus, a role for P53 as a teratological suppressor was established, but whether the instigation of apoptosis is the mechanism by which P53 exerts this protective role is unclear, at least with oxidative-stress inducing agents.

 $\gamma$ -Radiation leads to the activation of P53 in virtually all tissues of the GD 8.5 mouse embryo – except the heart – and much of the caudal and rostral regions of the GD 10 embryo (Gottlieb, *et al.*, 1997). Consequentially,  $\gamma$ -irradiation at 2 Gy of GD 9.5-10.5 *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos at the low rate of 1.2 mGy/min resulted in a 12% increase in malformations, versus no malformations in the wild type (Kato *et al.*, 2001). Similarly, 2 Gy of X-irradiation of *Trp53<sup>+/+</sup>* and *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos on GD 9.5 led to an increase in the incidence of malformations and a decrease in fetal deaths in the *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses, compared to *Trp53* wild types; 70% of the *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos exhibited anomalies, with only 7% dying, while the wild type embryos had an incidence of 20% anomalies and 60% death (Norimura *et al.*, 1996). Interestingly, the number of apoptotic cells in *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos exposed to ionizing radiation was much less than in their wild type counterparts, suggesting that in cases of radiation-induced DNA damage, P53 mediates its teratogenic response by activating apoptosis in an effort to rid the embryo of damaged - and potentially maldeveloped - tissues.

This suggestion is supported by studies conducted with agents that are known to induce apoptosis; cyclophosphamide is a DNA alkylating agent that forms DNA crosslinks, resulting in apoptosis (Hall and Tilby, 1992). When treated with its pre-activated analog, 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide (4OOH-CPA), *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* cultured murine limbs showed more malformations and less DNA fragmentation – a marker of apoptosis – than their wild type counterparts (Moallem and Hales, 1998). Torchinsky et al. showed that wild type embryos treated with cyclophosphamide showed increased malformations in the limbs and little accumulation of P53 - compared to the head and liver - suggesting that the lack of sufficient P53 accumulation is the reason why the limbs were more sensitive to teratogenic insult to cyclophosphamide (Torchinsky *et al.*, 1999). These studies suggest that P53 acts as a teratological suppressor by reducing the incidence of malformed tissue via the induction of apoptosis in damaged cells.

In contrast, studies conducted with the DNA nucleotide analog, 2-chloro-2deoxyadenosine (2-CdA), suggested a different role for P53. Wild type mouse embryos treated on GD 8 exhibited an increase, rather than a decrease, in the incidence of a specific eye defect, micropthalmia, compared to *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos (Wubah *et al.*, 1996). Interestingly, this effect was also correlated with an increase of apoptosis in the malformed, wild type embryos, suggesting that 2-CdA causes a P53-mediated induction of apoptosis that contributes to the malformation. Thus, at least for this type of defect and teratogen, P53 acts as a teratological inducer rather than a suppressor.

A common finding of these studies is the involvement of P53 in the embryo's response to teratogen exposure, particularly compounds that cause oxidative stress and DNA damage. The explanation for why P53 acts as a teratological suppressor in some cases, but a teratological inducer in others, is still unclear. However, possible factors that influence this discrepancy are the type of tissue affected by each teratogen, the level of P53 activity and expression in different embryonic tissues, the time and duration of teratogenic exposure (early vs. late, acute vs. chronic), and the mechanism of action of the teratogen in question. Whether the other members of the P53 family of proteins play a role in determining P53's response to teratogenic stress is unknown.

#### 1.4.2 The P63 and P73 proteins

When it was first discovered in the late 70s, P53 was not known to have any homologs. However, that changed with the discovery of P63 and P73 almost two decades later (Kaghad *et al.*, 1997; Yang *et al.*, 1998). Both proteins were found to be very similar in structure to P53, containing an acidic N-terminal transactivation (TA) domain, a core DNA-binding domain (DBD), and a C-terminal oligomerization domain (OD). In addition, they can bind to the majority of P53 DNA-binding sites and act as transcription factors, upregulating many P53 downstream gene targets (e.g. *Cdkn1a, Fas, Rb1*, etc). Moreover, they are able to respond to cellular stress stimuli (e.g. oxidative stress, DNA damage, UV light) and induce caspase-mediated apoptosis (Celardo *et al.*, 2013; Jost *et al.*, 1997; Ramadan *et al.*, 2005; Satija and Das, 2016). Lastly, similar to P53 (Murray-Zmijewski, *et al.*, 2006), the gene structure of *Trp63* and *Trp73* contains two transcription start sites which allow for the formation of two classes of P63 and P73, a TA-domain containing isoform (TAP63 & TAP73), and a N-terminus truncated

isoform ( $\Delta$ NP63 &  $\Delta$ NP73) which lacks the TA domain. In addition, each isoform can form several C-terminus-modified variants, resulting in a total of three variants for each of the TA and  $\Delta$ N isoforms of P63 ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ), and seven variants for P73 ( $\alpha$ - $\zeta$ ) (Yang and McKeon, 2000).

Yet, there are some fundamental differences between P53 and its more recently discovered homologs (Table 1.1). First, while they do share many domains, both P63 and P73, but not P53, contain a sterile alpha motif (SAM) at the C-terminus. Secondly, while a clear role for P53 in tumor suppression has been well-established, that is not the case with P63 and P73; although there is some evidence P63 and P73 mutations and deletions are associated with specific types of cancers, and heterozygous mice deficient for P63 and/or P73 develop certain types of tumors with a higher burden than those generated from P53-knockout mice, homozygous knockout animals do not develop tumors and not many human cancers are associated with mutated P63 and P73 compared to P53. (Dohn *et al.*, 2001; Flores *et al.*, 2005; Kaghad, *et al.*, 1997; Parsa *et al.*, 1999). However, both P63 and P73 were shown to be essential for the proper development of key organs and systems during embryogenesis (Moll and Slade, 2004).

# 1.4.2.1 The P63 protein

As mentioned earlier, P63 is a homolog of the tumor suppressor protein P53. While it does exhibit properties similar to P53, it has unique functions and expression patterns in response to stress and during development.

P63 is found in the cytoplasm under normal conditions, where it exists in a variety of isoforms. The full-length isoform, TAP63 $\alpha$ , contains all the P53 domains with the addition of a sterile alpha motif (SAM), while the  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  isoforms closely resemble P53 structure (Moll and Slade, 2004). In addition, P63 is targeted by E3-ubiquitin ligases, such as Itch, which are believed to negatively regulate P63 protein levels under normal conditions, targeting it for proteasomal degradation (Rossi *et al.*, 2006a).

Upon activation, P63 oligomerizes and translocates to the nucleus where it binds to promoter binding sequences to control the transcription of a variety of downstream targets. Genotoxic stress can induce P63 phosphorylation by c-ABL on Tyr149, Tyr171, Tyr289, prompting P63 to stabilize and translocate to the nucleus (Gonfloni *et al.*, 2009). Likewise, other kinases, such as CDK2 and ATM, have been shown to phosphorylate and stabilize P63 in

response to stress (Huang *et al.*, 2008). Nuclear translocation and DNA binding of P63 induce the transcription of genes involved in cell cycle arrest and DNA damage repair and can trigger an apoptosis cascade that leads to cell death via the upregulation of pro-apoptotic proteins (e.g. NOXA, PUMA, BAX) that inhibit the pro-survival BCL2 factors (Dohn, *et al.*, 2001; Jacobs *et al.*, 2005; Kerr *et al.*, 2012).

While phosphorylation of TAP63 isoforms leads to their stabilization and activation, the opposite is true for the  $\Delta N$  isoforms. These isoforms act as negative regulators, counteracting the effects of their TA counterparts. Therefore, under stress conditions, when the effects of the TA isoforms are required by the cell, the  $\Delta N$  isoforms are targeted for degradation by phosphorylation in order to allow the TA isoform to exert its effect to the fullest (Kartasheva *et al.*, 2002; Petitjean, *et al.*, 2008; Westfall *et al.*, 2005). Intriguingly, TAP63 isoforms can bind to the promoter sites of  $\Delta NP63$  and  $\Delta NP73$  and induce their transcription, thus maintaining a fine balance of negative feedback to control and regulate the cell's response to genotoxic stress and prevent rampant cell cycle disruption or cell death (Lanza *et al.*, 2006; Rocco *et al.*, 2006).

# 1.4.2.1.1 The role of P63 in embryonic development

The *Trp63* gene is highly expressed in adult normal tissue, specifically in the nuclei of basal cells of the skin, cervix, tongue, esophagus, mammary glands, prostate and urothelium (Yang, *et al.*, 1998). It is most abundant in squamous epithelia but, in a shared characteristic with *Trp53*, the levels of *Trp63* decrease in terminally differentiated cells. Thus, P63 is found to be highly expressed in keratinocytes with high proliferative potential, such as stem cells, but is low in cells undergoing terminal differentiation (Parsa, *et al.*, 1999; Pellegrini *et al.*, 2001). Indeed, *Trp63*-null epithelial progenitor cells fail to undergo the characteristic asymmetric cell division that is required for the maintenance and regeneration of stem cells (Lu *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, upon stimulus, these *Trp63*-null cells tend to undergo differentiation rather than maintain basal levels of progenitor cells, suggesting that P63 is required to preserve and regenerate stem cell populations, at least within the epithelium (Barrandon and Green, 1987; Watt, 1998; Yang and McKeon, 2000). Indeed,  $\Delta$ NP63 has been found to maintain the survival of neural stem cells, mainly by counteracting the effects of P53 (Cancino *et al.*, 2013; Dugani *et al.*, 2009; Fatt, *et al.*, 2014).

During murine embryonic development, *Trp63* can be detected as early as GD 9 in the embryonic ectoderm (Fig 1.3), including the forelimb and – to a more restricted degree – the hindlimb buds (Chung *et al.*, 2011; Tadeu and Horsley, 2013). While homozygote *Trp63* knockout mice do not develop tumors, the fetuses die at birth and display severe congenital malformations: a complete absence of all squamous epithelial tissue, including skin, mammary glands, whiskers and teeth, as well as stunted or absent limbs (Mills *et al.*, 1999). The affected limbs show absence of the radii, carpals and digits of the forelimb, as well as the femur, tibia, fibula, talus and digits of the hindlimb. Developmental studies suggest that the absence of epithelial tissue is due to the disruption of stem cell maintenance, for which P63 is responsible, while the limb defects are a result of the failure of the formation of the AER, a structure that is essential for limb growth and progression; the AER exhibits high P63 expression during organogenesis (Yang, *et al.*, 1999). Thus, P63 plays an essential role during embryonic development, particularly in the formation of limbs and bones.

Indeed, mutations in the Trp63 gene have been associated with a number of human congenital syndromes that include limb malformations (Brunner et al., 2002). Mutations of *Trp63* have been found in patients with ectrodactyly, ectodermal dysplasia, clefting (EEC) syndrome (Celli et al., 1999); nonsyndromic split hand/foot malformation (SHFM) (Ianakiev et al., 2000); ankyloblepharon, ectodermal dysplasia, clefting (AEC) syndrome (McGrath et al., 2001); acro-dermato-ungual- lacrimal-tooth (ADULT) syndrome (Amiel et al., 2001); and limbmammary syndrome (LMS) (van Bokhoven et al., 1999). Almost all mutations detected in the families that exhibit one of these syndromes lie within the DNA binding domain of P63, with some found within the SAM region. While the exact consequence of these mutations on P63 function is undetermined, it appears likely that missense or frameshift mutations within the DNA binding domain result in the disruption of P63's structural integrity and/or its ability to bind the DNA, thus leading to a loss-of-function effect. However, a report by Chitayat et al. shows that deletion of the 3q27 region of the genome, in which the *Trp63* lies, did not result in the occurrence of limb deformities (Chitayat et al., 1996). In addition, the fact that Trp63 can yield a number of isoforms, each with transactivating and repressive functions, adds another layer of complexity, whereby mutations in these isoforms can result in partial-loss-of-function, dominantgain-of-function, or a change of function (Celli, et al., 1999). Thus, it is likely that disrupting the

activity and regulation of the various isoforms of P63 contributes to the manifestation of congenital malformations, including limb defects.

#### 1.4.2.2 The P73 protein

The other member of the P53 family of proteins is P73. Like P63, it shares many domains and sequence structures with P53: a N-terminal transactivation (TA) domain, a DNA binding domain, and a C-terminal oligomerization domain. It also has two promoter sites in its gene structure, yielding TA and  $\Delta$ N classes of isoforms, with its  $\alpha$  isoform containing a SAM at the C-terminus (Levrero *et al.*, 1999).

Like P53 and P63, P73 is able to regulate the cell cycle and promote cell death via apoptosis in response to DNA damage and oxidative stress. While the exact mechanism of its regulation is not as well established as that of P53, it appears to share many of the basic components of P53 regulation. Under normal conditions P73 can be bound and ubiquitinated by E3-ubiquitin ligases which target it for proteasomal degradation. These ubiquitin ligases include TRIM28, a DNA damage response protein that has been shown to interact with and assist the P53-negative regulator MDM2 (Noon et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2005). Yet, under specific genotoxic stress conditions (e.g. etoposide), P73 dissociates from TRIM28 when it is phosphorylated on Tyr99 by the kinase c-ABL, leading to its stabilization and transactivation (Satija and Das, 2016). Other kinases that are known to interact with and regulate P73 are the checkpoint kinases, CHK1 and CHK2, as well as the stress-response related kinase, JNK (Jones et al., 2007; Urist et al., 2004). Recall that these kinases are also upstream regulators of P53. Activated P73 can translocate to the nucleus and bind DNA, including the promoter binding sites to which P53 and P63 bind, and can upregulate cell cycle arrest (e.g. Cdkn1a, Gadd45), DNA damage repair (Brca2, Rad51), and cell death-related transcripts (e.g. Puma, Bax) (Lin et al., 2009; Satija and Das, 2016). However, P73 has also been shown to upregulate genes that are not commonly regulated by P53. These genes include DNA repair genes (e.g. *Mrel1*) and genes involved in the Notch pathway signaling (e.g. Jag2), among others (Fontemaggi et al., 2002; Lin, et al., 2009; Sasaki et al., 2002).

An interesting characteristic of P73, which it shares with P63, is the interaction between the TA and  $\Delta N$  isoforms. While the TA variants function as transcription factors that maintain DNA integrity and promote cell cycle arrest and cell death in response to stress, the  $\Delta N$  variants

have the opposite effects by promoting the cell's survival and replication potential. Indeed, while  $\Delta$ NP73 forms are unable to promote gene expression, they can affect the function of TAP73, as well as P53, in a dominant-negative fashion to inhibit their transcriptional activity (Melino, *et al.*, 2002).  $\Delta$ NP73 can interact with the oligomerized TAP73 (Ishimoto *et al.*, 2002) and can compete with P53 at its DNA binding sites (Stiewe *et al.*, 2002), ultimately leading to an inhibition of apoptosis. Interestingly, the second promoter site of the *Trp73* gene, which is the starting site for the transcription of  $\Delta$ NP73, can be bound by both P53 and TAP73, suggesting that  $\Delta$ NP73 contributes to a negative feedback loop that maintains a balance between the pro-apoptotic P53 and TAP73 and the pro-survival  $\Delta$ NP73 (Nakagawa *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, the levels of  $\Delta$ NP73 have been found to be increased in cells of various types of cancers, while those of TAP73 are relatively repressed, showing that the TA and  $\Delta$ N isoforms of P73 act in a tug-of-war like scenario to maintain cell senescence, proliferation and survival (Di *et al.*, 2013; Douc-Rasy *et al.*, 2002; Guan and Chen, 2005).

# 1.4.2.2.1 The role of P73 in embryonic development

*Trp73* expression is detectable around GD 10 in the mouse embryo, with peak levels at GD 15 (Fig. 1.3). It is mostly restricted to the brain, specifically the Cajal-Retzius neurons of the hippocampus, the fimbria of the hippocampus (a.k.a the cortical hem area) and the choroid plexus (Cabrera-Socorro *et al.*, 2007; Diez-Roux *et al.*, 2011; Meyer *et al.*, 2004). Consequentially, P73 was found to play an essential role in embryonic development, especially in the development of the central nervous system (CNS). While *Trp73*-null mice (which lack all P73 isoforms) are viable at birth, many of them die prematurely due to neurological, inflammatory and pheromonal defects. The absence of P73 increases the incidence of hydrocephaly and cortical hypoplasia, leading to a severe disorganization of the CA1, CA3 and the dentate gyrus regions of the hippocampus, as well as a decrease in Cajal-Retzius neurons (Yang, *et al.*, 2000). These effects are probably the cause of the neuro-behavioral deficits observed in older animals; adult mice deficient in P73 exhibit increased anxiety, loss of memory and learning, impaired reflex and neuromuscular function, as well as disrupted sensorimotor coordination (Niklison-Chirou *et al.*, 2013; Talos *et al.*, 2010).

Interestingly, the  $\Delta NP73$  isoform seems to play a major role in neurodevelopment. In the mouse it is expressed as early as GD 12 in the choroid plexus, vomeronasal area, stria terminalis,

preoptic area and the hippocampal Cajal-Retzius neurons (Pozniak *et al.*, 2000; Tissir *et al.*, 2009). Mice that were specifically deleted for the  $\Delta$ NP73 isoform showed a slightly distinct phenotype from their TAP73-null (which contained only the  $\Delta$ NP73 isoform) or fully ablated P73 (which lacked all P73 isoforms) counterparts. Even though they did display cortical hypoplasia and loss of Cajal-Retzius neurons – like mice lacking the full *Trp73* gene (i.e. both isoforms) – the  $\Delta$ NP73-null mice showed milder neurodegeneration in general and their behavior was not affected as much (Niklison-Chirou *et al.*, 2016; Wilhelm, *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the TAP73-null mice display a phenotype that is intermediate between that exhibited by full P73 ablation and  $\Delta$ NP73-deficient mice. The fact that  $\Delta$ NP73 has anti-apoptotic effects in neurons suggests that both isoforms of P73 contribute to neurodevelopment and may have opposing and complementary functions in maintaining neuronal survival and promoting neurogenesis (Pozniak, *et al.*, 2000; Tissir, *et al.*, 2009; Wilhelm, *et al.*, 2010).

Like P53 and P63, P73 appears to play a role in stemness and differentiation, particularly of neuronal stem cells. Both TAP73 and  $\Delta$ NP73 are expressed in neuronal stem cells (NSCs) and their levels increase as the cells differentiate (Agostini *et al.*, 2010). In addition, P73 and TAP73 knockout mice have significantly lower numbers of stem cells in the sub-granular zone of the hippocampus and the sub-ventricular zone, which both normally contain progenitor cells for neurogenesis (Ehninger and Kempermann, 2008; Noctor *et al.*, 2004). However, P73 expression increases significantly in myeloid leukemic cells and neuroblastomas undergoing differentiation (De Laurenzi *et al.*, 2000; Tschan *et al.*, 2000). Thus, P73, like P53, promotes cell differentiation and, like P63, also plays a role in maintaining stem cell renewal. Interestingly, P73 has been shown to interact with P63 to counteract the effects of P53 and thus promote cell proliferation and stem cell regeneration (Dugani, *et al.*, 2009). The diverging roles of P73 in stem cell maintenance and differentiation may depend upon the effects of its various isoforms and how they interact with the other P53 family proteins, the stem cell lineage, as well as the stage of embryonic development (Dugani, *et al.*, 2009; Fatt, *et al.*, 2014; Fujitani *et al.*, 2010; Pozniak *et al.*, 2002; Talos, *et al.*, 2010).

# 1.4.3 The role of P63 and P73 in response to genotoxic and oxidative stress-inducing agents

Both P63 and P73 can and do respond to several genotoxicants that induce DNA double or single strand breaks. In addition, there seems to be a complex interplay between all three

members of the P53-family in response to DNA damage, with the aim of managing the cell's response to enact DNA repair, cell cycle arrest or cell death. This response varies depending on the toxicant, the dose, the cell type affected and the stage of development.

Genotoxic agents that lead to the activation of P63 include doxorubicin, a chemical that inhibits DNA replication by intercalating the DNA helix and stabilizing topoisomerase II (Tacar *et al.*, 2013). Once exposed, cells exhibit a rapid increase in the TAP63 isoform, which becomes phosphorylated, most likely by c-ABL kinase (Petitjean, *et al.*, 2008). Interestingly, doxorubicin treatment also induces  $\Delta NP73$  transcript levels; as mentioned earlier, the  $\Delta N$  isoforms of P73 and P63 can negatively regulate the activities of their TA isoform counterparts, as well as that of P53. Indeed,  $\Delta NP73$  has been found to inhibit the transcriptional activity of TAP63 $\gamma$ , TAP73 and P53 (Grob, *et al.*, 2001; Kartasheva, *et al.*, 2002), while  $\Delta NP63\alpha$  inhibits the activity of TAP73mediated apoptosis (Leong *et al.*, 2007; Rocco, *et al.*, 2006). Moreover,  $\Delta NP73$  is a transcriptional target of P53, TAP63 $\alpha/\gamma$  and TAP73 (Kartasheva, *et al.*, 2002; Lanza, *et al.*, 2006). The fact that P63 controls the expression of DNA repair enzymes under normal and stress conditions supports a role for P63 in the DNA damage response pathway (Lin, *et al.*, 2009; McDade *et al.*, 2014).

A similar function for P73 exists in the context of genotoxic stress; a wide range of DNA damaging agents (e.g. doxorubicin, cisplatin, camptothecin, etoposide) induce the expression, phosphorylation and acetylation of TAP73, leading to cell cycle arrest or apoptosis (Costanzo *et al.*, 2002; Irwin *et al.*, 2003; Jost, *et al.*, 1997; Satija and Das, 2016; Urist, *et al.*, 2004). Interestingly, the ability of TAP73 to promote apoptosis depends on the intensity of DNA damage as well as the availability of functional P53. Mutant P53 has been shown to inhibit the proapoptotic activity of TAP73 (Di Como *et al.*, 1999), while TAP73 is able to repress P53-mediated apoptosis under conditions of low DNA damage (Chen *et al.*, 2014). There seems to exist an interdependent relationship between P53 and P73 that maintains a "check and balance" mechanism in response to certain types of stress; P53 can upregulate TAP73 transcript levels in response to DNA damage, maintaining an auto-inhibitory loop that fine-tunes the cell's fate between survival and senescence as it tries to repair the damage (Wang *et al.*, 2007).

Another form of stress that can induce P63 and P73 activity is oxidative stress. Both proteins are involved, either directly or indirectly, in redox homeostasis and the regulation of oxidative stress response factors. P63, specifically the TAP63 $\gamma$  and  $\Delta$ NP63 $\gamma$  isoforms, can

directly bind to the promoter of  $Gpx^2$  – which encodes a glutathione peroxidase – and upregulate it in response to oxidative stress. Ultimately this enzyme is able to rescue cells from oxidative stress-induced P53-mediated apoptosis (Yan and Chen, 2006). In addition, the expression of Redd1 - a hypoxia-sensitive factor with antioxidant properties - is regulated by both P63 and P53 in embryonic and adult tissue. Interestingly, the expression pattern of *Redd1* in the developing mouse embryo parallels that of *Trp63*; in wild type embryos *Redd1* can be found in the AER of limb buds, the developing epidermis and the whisker pads; however, its levels are almost depleted in Trp63-null embryos (Ellisen et al., 2002). Similarly, P73 has been shown to mitigate oxidative stress damage; TAP73 itself is upregulated in response to oxidative stress and mouse embryonic fibroblasts that lack P73 show increased accumulation of ROS and a greater susceptibility to cell death (Du et al., 2013). Moreover, neurons treated with the antioxidant, Nacetyl cysteine, show a dramatic decrease in c-ABL mediated P73 activation, suggesting that P73 is responsive to RNOS (Klein et al., 2011). Additionally, PCBP2 – an RNA binding protein that stabilizes Trp73 and thus regulates P73 expression – is capable of upregulating P73 in response to oxidative stress. In PCBP2-deficient cells, P73 expression decreases while RNOS levels increase (Ren et al., 2016). This effect is possibly mediated by GLS2 – a glutaminase that replenishes the cell's pools of reduced glutathione - which is a downstream target of P73 (Velletri et al., 2013). Taken together, these findings show that both P63 and P73 are capable of regulating the expression of redox-sensitive factors and inducing antioxidant effects, suggesting that they play a role in the cell's oxidative stress response.

To our knowledge, no studies have been conducted to study the role of P63 and P73 to developmental toxicants. While studies have established a clear and essential role for P63 and P73 in proper embryonic development, none have examined whether they exhibit functions similar to that of P53 in terms of their ability to respond to teratogenic insult. The fact that both P63 and P73 are essential for development, coupled with their similarity to P53 in structure, function and signaling mechanisms, and the apparently complex interplay between them and their various isoforms, renders the question of their involvement in the embryonic stress response of immense importance. It is possible that they respond to genotoxic and oxidative stress during organogenesis in a similar fashion to what has been observed in immortalized and differentiating cell lines, and that P53's function as a teratogenic suppressor or inducer relies partially on the activity and regulation of P63 and P73. In addition, it is not known whether P63 and P73 can act

in a redundant fashion to teratogenic insult during embryogenesis, and so would be capable of instigating an embryonic stress response in the absence of P53.

Our understanding of the role of the P53 family and its signaling pathways in the embryonic stress response will enhance our ability to predict, identify, monitor and prevent potential teratogenic agents, and further our understanding of developmental biology.

# 1.4 Hypothesis & objectives

We propose that the P53 signaling pathway is involved in the early embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea in the organogenesis-stage murine embryo. We hypothesize that exposure to hydroxyurea activates the P53 protein, allowing it to function as a transcription factor that regulates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest pathways, ultimately leading to the development of malformations and embryonic death. We have tested this hypothesis through achieving the following objectives:

Objective 1: Determine whether the P53 signaling pathway is activated in the early embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea.

Objective 2: Determine if the P53 family proteins, P63 and P73, respond to hydroxyurea in a similar fashion to P53 in the early embryonic stress response.

Objective 3: Determine if P53 acts as a suppressor or inducer of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity.

Our ultimate objective is to understand the molecular mechanisms of the embryonic stress response to teratogenic insult in order to identify potential embryotoxic pharmaceutical or environmental chemicals and prevent their dissemination.

# **CHAPTER II**

# Hydroxyurea Exposure Activates the P53 Signaling Pathway in Murine Organogenesis-Stage Embryos

Nazem El Husseini, Ava E. Schlisser, Barbara F. Hales

Toxicological Sciences (2016) 152, 297-308

# ABSTRACT

Hydroxyurea, an anticancer agent and potent teratogen, induces oxidative stress and activates a DNA damage response pathway in the gestation day (GD) 9 mouse embryo. To delineate the stress response pathways activated by this drug, we investigated the effect of hydroxyurea exposure on the transcriptome of GD 9 embryos. Timed pregnant CD-1 mice were treated with saline or hydroxyurea (400 mg/kg or 600 mg/kg) on GD 9; embryonic gene and protein expression were examined 3 h later. Microarray analysis revealed that the expression of 1346 probe sets changed significantly in embryos exposed to hydroxyurea compared to controls; the P53 signaling pathway was highly affected. In addition, P53 related family members, P63 and P73, were predicted to be activated and had common and unique downstream targets. Western blot analysis revealed that active phospho-P53 was significantly increased in drugexposed embryos; confocal microscopy showed that the translocation of phospho-P53 to the nucleus was widespread in the embryo. Furthermore, qRT-PCR showed that the expression of P53-regulated genes (Cdkn1A, Fas, Trp53inp1) was significantly upregulated in hydroxyureaexposed embryos; the concentration of the redox sensitive P53INP1 protein was also increased in a hydroxyurea dose-dependent fashion. Thus, hydroxyurea elicits a significant effect on the transcriptome of the organogenesis stage murine embryo, activating several key developmental signaling pathways related to DNA damage and oxidative stress. We propose that the P53 pathway plays a central role in the embryonic stress response and the developmental outcome after teratogen exposure.

# **INTRODUCTION**

During gestation embryos may be exposed to a variety of harmful environmental and therapeutic agents with detrimental effects on development, resulting in birth defects, miscarriage, or even embryonic death. Organogenesis is a particularly vulnerable stage of embryo development (Carney *et al.*, 2004). During this time exposure to a wide variety of teratogens, including thalidomide, ethanol, anticonvulsants or anticancer drugs, may compromise normal embryo development (Kovacic and Somanathan, 2006). The ability of embryos to respond to the stress triggered by teratogenic exposures is likely to be an important determinant of their developmental outcome. Many such exposures activate specific stress response signaling pathways in the organogenesis-stage conceptus; these include the oxidative stress, DNA damage response, P53, hypoxia, NFkB, and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) signaling pathways (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010; Vinson and Hales, 2003; Wells, *et al.*, 2009).

Stress responses may play an adaptive role in protecting the embryo from insult, for example by regulating cell proliferation or cycle checkpoints and providing the time to repair damaged DNA, thus ensuring embryo survival and normal development (Aylon and Oren, 2007). Alternatively, activation of these stress response pathways may "tip the balance" in favor of excess cell death and altered cell differentiation pathways, leading to abnormal development or embryolethality (Brill *et al.*, 1999; Faustman, 2012). Genetic or pharmacological manipulation of a number of these pathways has been shown to alter embryo fate after a teratogen exposure (Nicol, *et al.*, 1995; Yan and Hales, 2006). There is a clear need to identify the stress response factors that are at play in the embryo during organogenesis. It is also important to understand how they are coordinated. Does exposure to a teratogen activate distinct stress response signaling pathways or does it disrupt several interactive pathways? The goal of this study was to analyze the effects of exposure to a model teratogen, hydroxyurea, on the organogenesis stage murine embryo transcriptome.

Hydroxyurea, a drug used clinically in the treatment of sickle cell anemia and chronic myeloid leukemia, inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, depleting the endogenous pool of ribonucleotides and inhibiting DNA synthesis, leading to DNA replication fork stalling and strand breaks (Timson, 1975). Hydroxyurea is a potent teratogen in animal models; it causes severe hind-limb (syndactyly, polydactyly), tail (curled or absent) and craniofacial (cleft palate, exencephaly) defects in murine embryos exposed during organogenesis (DeSesso, 1979;

DeSesso, *et al.*, 2000; Yan and Hales, 2005). We have shown that exposure to teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea induces oxidative stress (Schlisser *et al.*, 2010; Yan and Hales, 2005), activates the P38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathways (Yan and Hales, 2008) and triggers a DNA damage response (Banh and Hales, 2013) in organogenesis-stage murine embryos. Glutathione depletion (Yan and Hales, 2006) or the overexpression of superoxide dismutase (Larouche and Hales, 2009), altering the oxidative stress response, or inhibition of the activation of the P38 or JNK MAPK signaling pathways (Yan and Hales, 2008) will modify the teratogenicity of hydroxyurea.

Our goal is to use a genome-wide approach to delineate the major cellular pathways that are activated in the murine embryo in response to a teratogenic dose of hydroxyurea during organogenesis. Our data show that hydroxyurea exposure has a significant impact on the gene expression profile in these embryos. Genome-wide pathway analysis of the genes with changes in expression has revealed that many are downstream of the tumor suppressor protein P53.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Experimental Animals**

Timed-pregnant CD1 mice were purchased from Charles River Canada Ltd (St. Constant, QC, Canada) and housed in the McIntyre Animal Resource Centre (McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada). Animal treatments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Canadian Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. Mice were mated by the supplier between 8:00 and 10:00 AM on gestation day (GD) 0. Between 8:00 and 10:00 AM on GD 9 pregnant dams were treated with either saline (control) or hydroxyurea (Aldrich Chemical Co., Madison, WI) at 400 or 600 mg/kg (designated as HU400 and HU600, respectively) by intraperitoneal injection. Previous studies showed a decrease in fetal weights and an increase in the incidence of caudal malformations in the 400 mg/kg treatment group; these effects were more severe and were accompanied by embryolethality in the 600 mg/kg treatment group (Schlisser and Hales, 2013). The dams were euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation and cervical dislocation after 3 h; this time point was chosen based on previous reports that hydroxyurea activation of stress response mechanisms in GD9 embryos, such as P38 MAPK, peaked at 3 h (Yan and Hales, 2008). The uteri were removed and embryos were explanted in Hanks' balanced salt solution (Invitrogen Canada, Inc., ON, Canada). At the time of collection, whole embryos from each litter were separated for future sample processing: 2-3 embryos were stored in 4% paraformaldehyde for immunofluorescence experiments; 6-10 embryos were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for Western blot analysis; 2 embryos were stored in RNAlater Stabilization Reagent (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) for qRT-PCR.

#### **RNA Extraction, Microarray Probe Preparation and Hybridization**

Agilent SurePrint G3 Mouse GE 8x60k Microarrays (Agilent Technologies, Mississauga, ON, Canada) were used to probe embryonic gene expression in control and hydroxyurea-treated embryos (HU400) at 3 h post treatment. Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy<sup>®</sup> Plus Mini Kits (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The RNA concentration and purity of each sample were assessed by spectrophotometry using a NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) and Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Mississauga, ON, Canada). For each sample, 600 ng of Cy3 labeled cDNA was used for the single-color microarray. After 17 h of hybridization at 65°C, the microarray slides were washed as per the

recommendations for the Agilent hybridization kit. Arrays were scanned with Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner. Whole litters were pooled for each sample and each experiment was replicated 6 times.

## **Microarray Analysis**

Analysis of the scanned microarrays was done using Agilent's Feature Extraction software version 11.5.1.1. Statistical analysis, clustering and principal component analysis (PCA) were done using GeneSpring Gx version 13.1 (Agilent Technologies). Detected flags were filtered based on expression (20<sup>th</sup>-100<sup>th</sup> percentiles) and 1.5-fold change. Pathway statistical analysis and prediction of activated upstream regulators were done using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>™</sup> (IPA) software (Qiagen). Pathway Studio<sup>™</sup> (Elsevier) was used to generate the schematic representation of the P53 pathway with highlighted downstream targets. Venny v2.0.2, a free online tool, was used to create the Venn diagrams and lists of P53/P63/P73 overlapping and unique targets (Oliveros, 2007-2015). Several arrays were determined to be of low quality by PCA and quality analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2.1 and S2.2) and were not included, reducing the number of replicate experiments for each treatment to four.

# Western Blotting

Whole tissue lysates were prepared for detection and quantification of P53, phospho-P53 (S15), P53INP1 and Actin protein concentrations. Each sample, consisting of 6-10 embryos, was lysed and processed as previously described (Banh and Hales, 2013). Total protein content from each sample was quantified using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd., Mississauga, ON, Canada). Proteins (20 µg) were loaded and separated on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred at 14 volts overnight at 4°C to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.). Afterwards, membranes were blocked with either 5% BSA or milk in 1X TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against P53 (1:1000, cs2524, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA), phospho-P53 (S15) (1:1000, cs12571, Cell Signaling Technology), P53INP1 (1:1000, ab202026, Abcam Toronto, ON, Canada) or Actin (1:1000, sc-1616, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX, USA) overnight at 4° C. Membranes were washed five times for five minute intervals with 1X TBST-T and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-

mouse (1:5000, cs-7076, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-rabbit (1:5000, NA934V, GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) or anti-goat (1:5000, sc-2020, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) secondary antibodies.

Membranes were washed and proteins were detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence technique (ECL Prime, RPN2236, GE Healthcare). Protein bands were quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, MD, USA) where the area under the curve represents band intensity. All intensities were normalized to that of the Actin loading control.

# **Real-Time qRT-PCR**

RNA extracted from whole embryos was diluted to a working solution of 2 ng RNA/µl and transcripts were quantified using the Power SYBR Green RNA-to-C<sub>T</sub> 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction was composed of 10 µl SYBR Green Master Mix, 1-2 µl forward/reverse primer, 0.16 µl Reverse Transcriptase mix, 5 µl sample and completed to 20 µl with RNase-DNase-free water. The PCR reactions were conducted under the following conditions: 48° C for 30 min, 95° C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95° C for 15 s, 55° C for 30 s, 72° C for 30 s and melting curve at 95° C for 15 s, 60° C for 15 s and 95° C for 15 s. Primer sets were purchased from Qiagen: TNF receptor superfamily member 6 (Fas, QT00095333); transformation related protein 53 (Trp53, QT00101906); tumor protein p53 inducible nuclear protein 1 (Trp53inp1, QT00112910); cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (Cdkn1a, QT00137053); hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (Hprt1, QT00166768). Serial dilutions of whole embryo RNA pooled from all treatment groups were used as an internal reference and to create a standard curve for optimizing primer efficiency and concentration. Each reaction was conducted in triplicate, averaged and normalized to the amounts of Hprt1 RNA transcripts. The levels of *Hprt1* were found to be stable in all treatment groups. The relative quantity of each transcript was determined by the StepOnePlus<sup>™</sup> Software (version 2.3).

# **Preparation of Slides for Immunofluorescence**

Whole embryo sagittal sections were prepared as described previously (Banh and Hales, 2013). Phospho-P53 immunoreactivity was detected as follows: sections were blocked with 10%
goat serum (0.5% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% goat serum in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature in a dark humidified chamber followed by incubation with the phospho-P53 primary antibody (1:100, cs12571, Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4° C. Next, slides were washed three times for five minute intervals in PBS, followed by a 1 h incubation with AlexaFluor®-594 goat anti-rabbit polyclonal secondary antibody (1:200, A-11037, Life Technologies Inc., Burlington, ON) at room temperature. Slides were then washed three times for five minute intervals in PBS, mounted with Vectashield mounting medium supplemented with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) as a counterstain (H-1200, Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlington, ON) and covered with glass cover slips. Each slide was mounted with 2-3 embryos from each treatment group. Negative control experiments were done in parallel by blocking the phospho-P53 primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature with phospho-P53 blocking peptide (1:50, SAB 51094, Signalway Antibody LLC, Baltimore, MD) then proceeding with the above protocol.

### **Confocal Microscopy**

Visualization of phospho-P53 immunoreactivity and cellular localization was done using a Leica TCS 8MP multiphoton confocal microscope. For whole embryo imaging, tile images were scanned at 400 Hz with an optical slice of 5 µm, zoom factor equal to 1, and a pinhole setting of 600 µm using a HC PL APO CS2 20x/0.75 water immersion objective lens. The tiled images were then stitched together using the proprietary Leica Image Analysis software (version 3.2). For cellular localization of phospho-P53, a HC PL APO CS2 63x/1.40 oil immersion lens was used to acquire z-stack images of two randomly selected regions within three different tissues, the heart (H), the caudal neuroepithelium (CNE), and the rostral neuroepithelium (RNE) of 1-2 embryos per treatment group, from 4-5 different litters. All images were captured at a 1024 x 1024 resolution and optical and laser settings were optimized and maintained within each imaging experiment; the 522 nm laser was used for phospho-P53 fluorescence excitation, while the multiphoton laser line was set at 730 nm for DAPI excitation.

## **Quantitative Image Analysis**

Phospho-P53 intensity and nuclear localization were analyzed using Imaris<sup>™</sup> image analysis software (version 8.1.2, Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland). For whole embryo analysis,

stitched tile scan images acquired from the Leica software were cropped and imported into Imaris<sup>™</sup>. The mean intensity of the red-channel, representing phospho-P53, was isolated and measured by creating a red-channel specific surface mask, utilizing a region-of-interest approach to neutralize any background signal and to determine the optimal threshold intensity, subsequently applying the optimal parameters to the whole image. Parameters for the surface mask were selected by using the images from the HU600 treatment group. The average mean intensities of phospho-P53 from 4-5 litters per treatment group were compared. Nuclear localization of phospho-P53 was quantified as follows: z-stack images taken at 63x magnification were quantified by applying a whole image surface mask specific to the bluechannel, representing the DAPI counterstain. The mean intensity of the red-channel within the mask was quantified, reflecting the content of phospho-P53 immunoreactivity within the nuclear staining, as shown in the movie file (Supplementary data movie file, movie1.mpg). The nuclear localization of phospho-P53 was compared between three different tissues within each embryo and across the treatment groups. These regions were selected based on earlier studies that showed significant levels of phospho-P38 MAPK intensity as a result of hydroxyurea exposure (Banh and Hales, 2013). The average mean nuclear intensity was determined from 1-2 embryos per litter from each treatment group.

## **Statistical Analyses**

All data were statistically analyzed using the GraphPad Prism Software (version 5, Graph Pad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) except for the microarray and pathway analysis results. The microarray data were analyzed in GeneSpring using an unpaired t-test with a Benjamini-Hochberg multiple comparison correction to compare differences between the control and hydroxyurea treatment group. Pathway analysis was conducted using the Fisher's Exact test in the IPA<sup>TM</sup> software.

Data from all other experiments were log transformed then tested using 1-way or 2-way ANOVA, followed by a Bonferroni *post-hoc* multiple comparison correction, to detect statistical differences between the control and hydroxyurea treated groups. The 2-way ANOVA test was used to analyze statistical differences of phospho-P53 nuclear translocation between the control and hydroxyurea treated groups as well as differences between the three selected tissues, and any

statistically significant interaction between the treatment and tissue variables. The level of significance for all statistical tests was set to p < 0.05.

## RESULTS

### Hydroxyurea exposure activates the P53 pathway

Microarray experiments were conducted using GD 9 embryos exposed to saline or hydroxyurea (400 mg/kg) for 3 h. All raw data are deposited in the GEO repository, under study number GSE54579 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE54579). A total of 28,080 probe sets were detected under both conditions; principal component analysis revealed a clear separation between the control and the hydroxyurea treated group (Fig. S2.2). Data filtration and analysis of statistical differences revealed that the expression of 1346 transcripts was significantly changed by at least 1.5 fold in hydroxyurea-treated embryos compared to control; 553 were upregulated while 793 were downregulated (Fig.2.1A). Upregulated transcripts with the greatest fold change included cell cycle checkpoint regulators (*Ptprv, Cdkn1a, Ccng1*), programmed cell death factors (*Pmaip1, Fas, Tp53inp1, Phlda3, Anxa8*) and DNA damage response factors (*Zfp365, Ddit41*) (Table 2.1). Thus, hydroxyurea exposure alters the expression of genes that regulate cell cycle arrest and cell death by apoptosis, in addition to inducing a DNA damage response.

To delineate the cellular pathways and upstream regulators that are activated during organogenesis in response to a teratogenic dose of hydroxyurea, we ran a pathway analysis on the microarray data. Of the 1346 transcripts detected in the microarray, only 743 transcripts were assigned a functional pathway by the IPA software. The most significantly activated pathway in GD 9 embryos exposed to hydroxyurea was the P53 pathway (p= 1.6e-5) (Fig. 2.1B); two MAPK pathways, the P38 and JNK pathways, were also predicted to be activated (data not shown). As anticipated based on the individual genes that were highly upregulated, pathway analysis revealed that hydroxyurea exposure activated cell death/pro-apoptotic pathways and cell cycle checkpoint pathways; in addition, it affected mitochondrial function and aryl hydrocarbon receptor signaling (Fig. 2.1B, Tables 2.2 and 2.3).

The p53 pathway was the highest predicted activated upstream regulator after hydroxyurea treatment; indeed, many of the most affected genes were downstream targets of P53 transcriptional regulation (Fig. 2.2A, Table 2.4). Other predicted upstream regulators related to the P53 pathway and protein family included BRCA1, P63 and P73 (Table 2.5). A comparative analysis of genes predicted to be regulated by p53 family members showed that 90 genes were downstream targets of P53; there were four and five unique genes that were targets of P63 and

P73, respectively. Interestingly, there were a number of genes that were shared or common targets of all three proteins, P53, P63 and P73, as depicted in the overlapping regions of the Venn diagram (Fig. 2.2B). Together, these data show that the tumor-suppressor protein P53 and its related family members, P63 and P73 play a major role in the response of embryos to exposure to a teratogenic dose of hydroxyurea during organogenesis.



# Figure 2.1: Hydroxyurea significantly impacts gene expression profiles in GD 9 embryos and activates several cell cycle and cell death related pathways.

A) *Left*: Volcano plot of all detected entities in the microarray. Blue dots indicate transcripts that were significantly changed (-Log p-value = 1.3; p <0.05) by at least 1.5 fold. *Right*: Bar graph of detected probes that were significantly upregulated and downregulated by at least 1.5 fold in response to hydroxyurea treatment in GD 9 embryos.

B) Data collected from GeneSpring were analyzed using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>™</sup> (IPA) software to predict and examine the molecular pathways that were most affected by hydroxyurea exposure. Vertical bars indicate the level of significance of each pathway indicated by –Log p-value; boxes (■) indicate the ratio between detected genes in the microarray and total number of known genes in the database for that pathway. Statistical analysis was conducted with the IPA software. N=4 for each treatment group.



# Figure 2.2: Pathway analysis predicts P53 as the most activated upstream regulator in response to hydroxyurea exposure in GD 9 embryos

A) Schematic representation of interactions between P53 and downstream targets detected in the microarray. Colors indicate the level of transcript expression as determined by the Log fold change extracted from the microarray data by GeneSpring; red denotes upregulation, blue denotes downregulation, while grey denotes no effect.

B) Venn diagram of common and unique genes associated with the transcription factors P53, P63 and P73. Data were extracted from analysis of predicted activated upstream regulators as determined by the IPA<sup>™</sup> software.

Table 2.1: Genes upregulated in embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea compared to control, filtered by fold change > 9.0 and p < 0.05, sorted by descending order

Gene Symbol	Description	Fold Change	p -value
Agtr1b	angiotensin II receptor, type 1b	18.87	0.0002
Ptprv	protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, V	18.49	0.0007
1700007K13Rik	3 <i>Rik</i> RIKEN cDNA 1700007K13 gene		0.0000
Tap1	transporter 1, ATP-binding cassette, sub-family B		0.0003
Svop	SV2 related protein	16.06	0.0003
Eda2r	ectodysplasin A2 receptor, transcript variant 3	14.11	0.0011
Cdkn1a	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)	12.70	0.0009
Pmaip1	phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein	1 12.17	0.0002
Zfp365	zinc finger protein 365	12.08	0.0001
Prrg4	proline rich Gla (G-carboxyglutamic acid) 4	11.32	0.0003
	(transmembrane)		
Fas	Fas (TNF receptor superfamily member 6)	10.99	0.0002
Ddit4l	DNA-damage-inducible transcript 4-like	10.26	0.0002
Zfp750	zinc finger protein 750	10.00	0.0004
P2ry6	pyrimidinergic receptor P2Y, G-protein coupled,	6 9.70	0.0002
Ltb4r1	leukotriene B4 receptor 1	9.54	0.0002
Eva1c	eva-1 homolog C (C. elegans)	9.43	0.0002
D630023F18Rik	RIKEN cDNA D630023F18 gene	9.33	0.0006
Trp53inp1	transformation related protein 53 inducible nuclea	ar 9.32	0.0001
	protein 1		
Ccng1	cyclin G1	9.17	0.0000

Gene Symbol	Gene Name	Fold Change p-Value	
CDKN1A	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)	12.70	0.0004
CDKN2B	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2B	2.55	0.0026
RB1	retinoblastoma 1	2.40	0.0008
CCNE1	cyclin E1	1.87	0.0017
FOX01	forkhead box O1	1.62	0.0027
ATM	ATM serine/threonine kinase	1.57	0.0010

Table 2.2: G1/S cell cycle checkpoint regulation pathway genes that were upregulated by>1.5 fold in embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea

Table 2.3: Pro-apoptotic pathway genes that were upregulated by >1.5 fold in embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea

Gene Symbol	Gene Name	Fold Change	p-Value
FAS	Fas cell surface death receptor	10.99	0.0002
	tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily		0.0002
TNFRSF10A	member 10a	4.99	
APAF1	apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1	3.90	0.0003
BBC3	BCL2 binding component 3	2.73	0.0007
CASP7	caspase 7, apoptosis-related cysteine peptidase	2.26	0.0008
BIK	BCL2-interacting killer (apoptosis-inducing)	1.92	0.0011
DAPK1	death-associated protein kinase 1	1.89	0.0037

Gene Symbol	Gene Name	Fold Change	p-Value	
CDKN1A	cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (p21, Cip1)	12.70	0.0009	
FAS	Fas cell surface death receptor	10.99	0.0002	
TP53INP1	tumor protein p53 inducible nuclear protein 1	9.32	0.0001	
CCNG1	cyclin G1	9.17	0.0000	
TNFRSF10A	tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member	4.99	0.0002	
	10a			
APAF1	apoptotic peptidase activating factor 1	3.90	0.0003	
PIDD1	p53-induced death domain protein 1	3.35	0.0010	
BBC3	BCL2 binding component 3	2.73	0.0007	
PML	promyelocytic leukemia	2.50	0.0011	
RB1	retinoblastoma 1	2.40	0.0008	
PERP	PERP, TP53 apoptosis effector	1.77	0.0008	
GADD45A	growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, alpha	1.76	0.0017	
ATM	ATM serine/threonine kinase	1.57	0.0010	
KAT2B	K(lysine) acetyltransferase 2B	1.56	0.0034	

Table 2.4: P53 signaling pathway genes that were upregulated by >1.5 fold in embryos exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea

Table 2.5: Top predicted activated upstream regulators in GD 9 embryos exposed to 400mg/kg hydroxyurea by descending p-value order

Upstream	Malagula Tuna	<b>Predicted</b> Activation	Activation	p-Value Of
Regulator	<i>Molecule</i> Type	State	Z-Score	Overlap
TP53	transcription	Activated	5.74	3.12E-23
	regulator			
BRCA1	transcription	Activated	3.17	5.49E-10
	regulator			
CCND1	transcription	-	0.63	5.09E-09
	regulator			
CDKN1A	kinase	-	0.11	2.81E-08
TP63	transcription	Activated	2 40	4 79E 09
	regulator		5.49	4./8E-U8
TOPBP1	other	-	-1.89	1.54E-07
TP73	transcription	Activated	Activated 3.01	1.69E-07
	regulator			
IGF1	growth factor	-	1.68	2.77E-07
РТН	other	-	0.69	3.75E-07

# P53 and phospho-P53 protein concentrations are significantly increased after hydroxyurea exposure

Since P53 activity is largely regulated post-transcriptionally the next question was whether hydroxyurea exposure affected the protein concentrations of P53 and phospho-P53 in treated embryos. Using Western blots P53 and phospho-P53 protein (Ser15) levels were found to be significantly increased in both hydroxyurea treatment groups compared to the control group (Fig. 2.3A & B). Quantitative analysis showed that in the HU400 and HU600 treated embryos P53 concentrations were increased by 3 and 4 fold respectively, while phospho-P53 levels were increased 3 and 5.5 fold. These results indicate that phosphorylated P53 accumulates in murine embryos in response to a teratogenic dose of hydroxyurea.



# Figure 2.3: P53 and Phospho-P53 protein levels increased in a dose-dependent fashion after hydroxyurea exposure

A) *Top*: Representative blots of P53 protein expression. *Bottom*: Quantification of P53 levels in embryos from the 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea treatment group (HU400) (Fold change [FC]= 2.83, SEM=  $\pm 0.36$ ) and the 600 mg/kg group (HU600) (FC= 3.96, SEM=  $\pm 0.48$ ). B) *Top*: Representative blots of phospho-P53 protein expression. *Bottom*: Quantification of phospho-P53 levels in HU400 (FC= 3.1, SEM=  $\pm 1.5$ ) and HU600 treated embryos (FC= 5.55, SEM=  $\pm 1.2$ ). P53 and phospho-P53 levels were significantly upregulated in both hydroxyurea treated groups compared to control. All protein levels were normalized to Actin protein expression. N=4-5 for each treatment group. \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni *post-hoc* test.

# Hydroxyurea treatment induces phospho-P53 immunoreactivity and nuclear localization in various embryonic tissues

To determine whether hydroxyurea activates P53 in a tissue-specific manner phospho-P53 immunoreactivity was visualized in paraffin-embedded whole embryo sections using confocal microscopy. Phospho-P53 immunoreactivity significantly increased in a dose-dependent fashion throughout the embryo (Fig. 2.4A), with a significant 20-fold increase in the highest hydroxyurea dose group, HU600 (Fig. 2.4B). The extent to which phospho-P53 was translocated to the nucleus was determined by quantifying the co-localization of phospho-P53 and DAPI. The nuclear concentrations of phospho-P53 in three regions, the heart, the caudal neuroepithelium (CNE) and the rostral neuroepithelium (RNE), were compared (Fig. 2.5A; these embryo regions are depicted in Supplementary data Fig. S2.3). Nuclear concentrations of phospho-P53 were significantly elevated in all three regions of the embryos exposed to hydroxyurea treatment, compared to controls (Fig. 2.5B). There were no significant differences of phospho-P53 nuclear translocation between the three different tissues within each treatment group.







В

А

# Figure 2.4: Hydroxyurea exposure induced a widespread increase in phospho-P53 immunoreactivity

A) Representative multiphoton and confocal microscopy images taken at 20x of whole embryo sections showing increasing phospho-P53 immunoreactivity (red, top panel) and the DAPI nuclear counterstain (blue, bottom panel). B) Average mean intensity of phospho-P53 immunoreactivity in whole embryo sections. A significant increase in phospho-P53 intensity was detected in the HU400 and HU600 groups. N=4-5 for each treatment group. \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001, 1-way ANOVA with Bonferroni *post-hoc* test.



# Figure 2.5: Nuclear translocation of phospho-P53 increased significantly with hydroxyurea treatment

A) Representative multiphoton and confocal images of phospho-P53 immunoreactivity taken at 63x magnification. 3 tissues were analyzed per embryo across all treatment groups (Heart, CNE: caudal neuroepithelium, RNE: rostral neuroepithelium). B) Quantification of phospho-P53 colocalization with DAPI. N=4-5 for each tissue and treatment group. \* p<0.05, \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\*\*\* p<0.0001 compared to control, 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni *post-hoc* test. There were no statistically significant differences in phospho-P53 nuclear translocation between tissues in the embryo, and no statistically significant interaction between the treatment and tissue variables.

### P53 downstream transcription targets are significantly upregulated by hydroxyurea

The induction of specific P53 regulated genes was quantified using qRT-PCR to assess P53 pathway activation and to validate the microarray data. Although *Trp53* transcript levels did not change with hydroxyurea exposure compared to control (Fig. 2.6A), *Cdkn1a* (Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Inhibitor 1A [also known as P21, CIP1]), *Fas* (Fas Cell Surface Death Receptor) and *Trp53inp1* (Tumor protein p53-inducible nuclear protein 1) were significantly upregulated in both the HU400 and HU600 treatment groups (Fig. 2.6B-D). We examined the protein expression level of P53INP1 in response to hydroxyurea exposure as a marker for P53 downstream pro-apoptotic effects. While P53INP1 was almost undetected in control embryos, expression increased significantly in response to hydroxyurea exposure in both the HU400 and HU600 treatment groups (Fig. 2.7).





D



# Figure 2.6: Transcription levels of P53 downstream targets in response to hydroxyurea exposure

A) *Trp53* transcript levels after hydroxyurea treatment were not significantly different compared to control, N=3. B-D) Hydroxyurea exposure significantly induced transcript levels of *Cdkn1a* (HU400 FC=12.02, SEM=±1.90; HU600 FC= 26.11, SEM=±7.95, N=5), *Fas* (HU400 FC=2.83, SEM=±0.54; HU600 FC= 5.6, SEM=±1.59, N=4-5) and *Trp53inp1* (HU400 FC= 7.77, SEM=±0.93; HU600 FC= 7.52, SEM=±0.44, N=5). Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the transcript relative to *Hprt1*. \* p<0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001, 1-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni *post-hoc* test



## Figure 2.7: Upregulation of P53INP1 in response to hydroxyurea treatment

A) Representative blot of P53INP1 protein expression levels in embryos exposed to hydroxyurea compared to controls. B) Quantification of immunoblots showed that hydroxyurea treatment significantly upregulated P53INP1 in the HU400 (FC= 9.95, SEM=  $\pm 0.75$ ) and HU600 (FC= 10.78, SEM= 2.29) groups. N=4-5 for each treatment group. \*\*\*\* p<0.0001, 1-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni *post-hoc* test.

### DISCUSSION

Hydroxyurea exposure has a significant impact on the gene expression profile of organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. Genome-wide pathway analysis reveals that many significantly changed genes are downstream targets of the tumor suppressor protein P53. Indeed, P53 is the hub in the regulation of a wide gene network in these embryos. We show here that hydroxyurea exposure significantly increases overall P53 protein levels in the embryo and specifically increases the concentrations of phosphorylated P53. Phospho-P53 immunoreactivity was widespread throughout hydroxyurea exposed embryos.

P53, the "guardian of the genome", is a transcription factor that regulates the expression of numerous annotated genes in response to stress (Chang et al., 2014); P53 activates the expression of genes that are important in cell cycle regulation, the DNA damage response, apoptosis, senescence, cellular metabolism, mitochondrial function, and the oxidative stress response (Ashcroft et al., 1999; Aylon and Oren, 2007). P53 has important roles in murine embryonic development in addition to its role as a tumor suppressor gene; P53 has been shown to regulate the differentiation and modeling of embryonic progenitor nephrons in the kidney, of osteoblasts during bone formation, and of the interdigital tissue of developing limbs (Aboudehen et al., 2012; Armstrong, et al., 1995; Lorda-Diez et al., 2015; Schmid, et al., 1991; Wang, et al., 2006). In situ hybridization studies showed that P53 transcripts are widely expressed in organogenesis-stage murine embryos up to GD 10 (Schmid, et al., 1991). Using mice in which the p53-dependent promoter of Mdm2 was tagged with lacZ, Gottlieb and co-workers (Gottlieb, et al., 1997) demonstrated that the pattern of P53 activation in response to ionizing radiationinduced DNA damage became progressively more restricted with embryo age. P53 expression was strongly activated throughout the embryo on GD 8.5 but by GD 10.5 positive staining was observed in the brain regions, the branchial arches, the maxillary area and the limb buds; no staining was observed in the heart. Here we report that immunoreactive phospho-P53 is widespread throughout the embryo after hydroxyurea exposure, however the translocation of phospho-P53 to the nucleus appears to be treatment and dose-dependent but not embryo regionspecific. A previous study from our lab showed that phospho-P38a expression was increased in the neuroepithelium and neural tube, but not in the somites or the heart, after hydroxyurea exposure (Banh and Hales, 2013); P53 phosphorylation (serine 18 in the mouse is equivalent to

serine 15 in human P53) is catalyzed by the P38 MAPK kinase in response to DNA strand breaks (Sluss *et al.*, 2004).

Pathway analysis revealed that cell cycle checkpoint pathways and pro-apoptotic factors are activated in embryos in response to hydroxyurea exposure; these include *Cdkn1A* (P21), a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor that binds and deactivates CDK1, a major driver of the cell cycle (Harper *et al.*, 1993), *Fas*, which is involved in the extrinsic apoptotic pathway, and the autophagy-related factor *Trp53inp1* (Adachi *et al.*, 1997; Seillier *et al.*, 2012). Whether these downstream factors elicit their effects in a pattern that mimics the embryo-wide expression and activation of P53 is yet to be determined. The expression of other genes is also highly upregulated in response to hydroxyurea. These include *Ptprv*, which transcribes a G1/S cell cycle checkpoint regulator that acts downstream of P53, *Pmaip1*, which transcribes the protein NOXA that induces mitochondrial mediated apoptosis, *Phdla3*, which represses the Akt1 pathway and thereby induces apoptosis, and *Zfp365*, which transcribes a zinc-finger protein that is involved in the DNA damage repair of stalled replication forks (Doumont *et al.*, 2005; Kurata *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2013). Thus, hydroxyurea induces DNA damage repair factors as well as apoptotic and cell-cycle regulators.

Other P53 family members, namely P63 and P73, might possibly play a role in the response of the embryo to teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea as they also have been shown to respond to DNA damage from other anti-cancer agents (Lin, *et al.*, 2009; Wilhelm, *et al.*, 2010). These transcription factors have overlapping as well as distinct roles from P53 and are expressed in a region-specific manner during organogenesis (Levrero, *et al.*, 2000); when P63 is mutated or knocked out in mice, the offspring suffer from developmental defects that are similar to the malformations that are observed after hydroxyurea exposure (e.g. truncated or absent fore- and hind-limbs) (Duijf *et al.*, 2003; Mills, *et al.*, 1999). Our microarray data analysis revealed that several transcripts are common targets of two or all of these tumor suppressor family members but each of these upstream regulators is also associated with its unique set of downstream targets. The possible roles of these upstream regulators in the embryonic response to teratogenic stress and the functions of their unique downstream targets are not clear.

*In utero* exposure to hydroxyurea activates MAPK signaling, inducing the phosphorylation and activation of P38 and JNK (Yan and Hales, 2008), which are known to respond to both DNA damage and oxidative stress. Our pathway analysis and qRT-PCR data

revealed a DNA damage response but did not detect an increase in the oxidative stress response at the transcript level. Previous studies have shown an increase in 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE) protein adducts after hydroxyurea exposure, suggesting that oxidative stress is induced by this drug (Schlisser, *et al.*, 2010). However, we did observe a significant upregulation of P53INP1 at both the transcript and protein levels in this study. P53INP1, a P53 downstream effector that is known to respond to oxidative stress, interacts with P53 via a specific binding domain to regulate its pro-apoptotic function (Peuget *et al.*, 2014; Seillier, 2012). P53 itself is also redox sensitive (Forsberg and Di Giovanni, 2014). Thus, any oxidative stress response in the embryo after 3 h of exposure to hydroxyurea may be best detected at the post-translational level.

P53 is upregulated in embryos exposed to several known teratogens (e.g. ionizing radiation, cyclophosphamide, valproic acid) (Hosako, et al., 2007; MacCallum et al., 1996; Paradis and Hales, 2015). A number of labs have investigated the role of P53 in mediating the response of embryos to teratogen exposures. Trp53 deficient embryos exhibit more malformations than their wild type counterparts when exposed to teratogenic doses of benzo[a]pyrene or ionizing radiation, suggesting that p53 plays a protective role (Nicol, et al., 1995; Norimura, et al., 1996). Other labs have reported that Trp53 null embryos are more resistant than their wild type counterparts to teratogen exposures that included 2-chloro-2'deoxyadenosine and cyclophosphamide (Pekar et al., 2007; Wubah, et al., 1996). While each of these teratogens may damage DNA, it is important to note that they activate different pathways in the embryo; while benzo[a]pyrene induces oxidative stress, ionizing radiation, 2-chloro-2'deoxyadenosine and cyclophosphamide activate a strong apoptotic response (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010). In addition, the timing and duration of exposure to a teratogen during development may be an important factor in determining developmental outcome. Thus, it is possible that P53 plays a role as both a teratological inducer and suppressor depending on the type and timing of the insult.

Our data demonstrate that organogenesis-stage embryos respond to exposure to a potent teratogen and genotoxic agent by activating the P53 signaling pathway. P53 may also play a central role in cross-talk with other stress response pathways, such as the oxidative stress and DNA damage response pathways in the developing embryo after a teratogenic insult.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Wolfgang Reintsch (McGill University, Montreal) for his assistance and guidance in using the IMARIS software and with confocal microscopy, and Yaned Gaitan for her assistance with extracting the microarray data using the Agilent Feature Extraction software.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at http://toxsci.oxfrodjounrals.org.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES:**



All Samples

## Figure S2.1: Box plots of arrays from the microarray chips.

*Top*: Box plots of the arrays from three microarray chips each containing two control and two treated samples (N=6 per treatment group). *Bottom*: Box plots of the arrays after removing the chip with the greatest variation, leaving two microarray chips each containing two control and two treated samples (N=4 per treatment group) y-axis: normalized expression values, x-axis: sample identification number.


В



#### Figure S2.2: Principal component and quality analysis of microarray chips

- A) Three-dimensional graphs depicting principal component analysis (PCA) before (left) and after (right) removal of low quality chip. Red dots indicate expression profiles from HU-treated samples, blue dots indicate expression profiles from control samples
- B) Graphs showing quality control parameters before (top) and after (bottom) removal of low quality chip. Descriptions of error parameters can be found on the GeneSpring<sup>™</sup> website.



#### Figure S2.3: Embryonic regions examined for nuclear localization of phospho-P53

Image of paraffin-embedded section of GD9 embryo showing three regions selected for quantification of phospho-P53 nuclear localization: RNE=rostral neuroepithelium, CNE= caudal neuroepithelium. Blue color indicates DAPI nuclear staining, red color indicates phospho-P53 staining.

#### REFERENCES

Aboudehen, K., Hilliard, S., Saifudeen, Z., and El-Dahr, S. S. (2012). Mechanisms of p53 activation and physiological relevance in the developing kidney. *American journal of physiology*. *Renal physiology* **302**(8), F928-40.

Adachi, S., Cross, A. R., Babior, B. M., and Gottlieb, R. A. (1997). Bcl-2 and the outer mitochondrial membrane in the inactivation of cytochrome c during Fas-mediated apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **272**(35), 21878-82.

Armstrong, J. F., Kaufman, M. H., Harrison, D. J., and Clarke, A. R. (1995). Highfrequency developmental abnormalities in p53-deficient mice. *Current biology* : *CB* **5**(8), 931-6.

Ashcroft, M., Kubbutat, M. H., and Vousden, K. H. (1999). Regulation of p53 function and stability by phosphorylation. *Molecular and cellular biology* **19**(3), 1751-8.

Aylon, Y., and Oren, M. (2007). Living with p53, dying of p53. *Cell* 130(4), 597-600.
Banh, S., and Hales, B. F. (2013). Hydroxyurea exposure triggers tissue-specific
activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling and the DNA damage response in
organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society*

of Toxicology 133(2), 298-308.

Brill, A., Torchinsky, A., Carp, H., and Toder, V. (1999). The role of apoptosis in normal and abnormal embryonic development. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics* **16**(10), 512-9.

Carney, E. W., Scialli, A. R., Watson, R. E., and DeSesso, J. M. (2004). Mechanisms regulating toxicant disposition to the embryo during early pregnancy: an interspecies comparison. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **72**(4), 345-60.

Chang, G. S., Chen, X. A., Park, B., Rhee, H. S., Li, P., Han, K. H., Mishra, T., Chan-Salis, K. Y., Li, Y., Hardison, R. C., Wang, Y., and Pugh, B. F. (2014). A comprehensive and high-resolution genome-wide response of p53 to stress. *Cell Rep* **8**(2), 514-27.

DeSesso, J. M. (1979). Cell death and free radicals: a mechanism for hydroxyurea teratogenesis. *Med Hypotheses* **5**(9), 937-51.

DeSesso, J. M., Jacobson, C. F., Scialli, A. R., and Goeringer, G. C. (2000). Hydroxylamine moiety of developmental toxicants is associated with early cell death: a structure-activity analysis. *Teratology* **62**(5), 346-55. Doumont, G., Martoriati, A., Beekman, C., Bogaerts, S., Mee, P. J., Bureau, F., Colombo, E., Alcalay, M., Bellefroid, E., Marchesi, F., Scanziani, E., Pelicci, P. G., and Marine, J. C. (2005). G1 checkpoint failure and increased tumor susceptibility in mice lacking the novel p53 target Ptprv. *EMBO J* 24(17), 3093-103.

Duijf, P. H., van Bokhoven, H., and Brunner, H. G. (2003). Pathogenesis of splithand/split-foot malformation. *Hum Mol Genet* **12 Spec No 1**, R51-60.

Faustman, E. M. (2012). Experimental approaches to evaluate mechanisms ofdevelopmental toxicity In *Developmental and reproductive toxicology a practical approach* (R.D. Hood), 3rd ed., pp. 29-33. Informa Healthcare,, New York.

Forsberg, K., and Di Giovanni, S. (2014). Cross Talk between Cellular Redox Status, Metabolism, and p53 in Neural Stem Cell Biology. *Neuroscientist* **20**(4), 326-342.

Gottlieb, E., Haffner, R., King, A., Asher, G., Gruss, P., Lonai, P., and Oren, M. (1997). Transgenic mouse model for studying the transcriptional activity of the p53 protein: age- and tissue-dependent changes in radiation-induced activation during embryogenesis. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1381-90.

Harper, J. W., Adami, G. R., Wei, N., Keyomarsi, K., and Elledge, S. J. (1993). The p21 Cdk-interacting protein Cip1 is a potent inhibitor of G1 cyclin-dependent kinases. *Cell* **75**(4), 805-16.

Hosako, H., Little, S. A., Barrier, M., and Mirkes, P. E. (2007). Teratogen-induced activation of p53 in early postimplantation mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **95**(1), 257-69.

Kovacic, P., and Somanathan, R. (2006). Mechanism of teratogenesis: electron transfer, reactive oxygen species, and antioxidants. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **78**(4), 308-25.

Kurata, K., Yanagisawa, R., Ohira, M., Kitagawa, M., Nakagawara, A., and Kamijo, T. (2008). Stress via p53 pathway causes apoptosis by mitochondrial Noxa upregulation in doxorubicin-treated neuroblastoma cells. *Oncogene* **27**(6), 741-54.

Larouche, G., and Hales, B. F. (2009). The impact of human superoxide dismutase 1 expression in a mouse model on the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* **85**(9), 800-7.

Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Wang, J. Y., and Melino, G. (2000). The p53/p63/p73 family of transcription factors: overlapping and distinct functions. *J Cell Sci* **113** ( **Pt 10**), 1661-70.

Lin, Y. L., Sengupta, S., Gurdziel, K., Bell, G. W., Jacks, T., and Flores, E. R. (2009). p63 and p73 transcriptionally regulate genes involved in DNA repair. *PLoS genetics* **5**(10), e1000680.

Lorda-Diez, C. I., Garcia-Riart, B., Montero, J. A., Rodriguez-Leon, J., Garcia-Porrero, J. A., and Hurle, J. M. (2015). Apoptosis during embryonic tissue remodeling is accompanied by cell senescence. *Aging* **7**(11), 974-85.

MacCallum, D. E., Hupp, T. R., Midgley, C. A., Stuart, D., Campbell, S. J., Harper, A., Walsh, F. S., Wright, E. G., Balmain, A., Lane, D. P., and Hall, P. A. (1996). The p53 response to ionising radiation in adult and developing murine tissues. *Oncogene* **13**(12), 2575-87.

Mills, A. A., Zheng, B., Wang, X. J., Vogel, H., Roop, D. R., and Bradley, A. (1999). p63 is a p53 homologue required for limb and epidermal morphogenesis. *Nature* **398**(6729), 708-13.

Nicol, C. J., Harrison, M. L., Laposa, R. R., Gimelshtein, I. L., and Wells, P. G. (1995). A teratologic suppressor role for p53 in benzo[a]pyrene-treated transgenic p53-deficient mice. *Nature genetics* **10**(2), 181-7.

Norimura, T., Nomoto, S., Katsuki, M., Gondo, Y., and Kondo, S. (1996). p53-dependent apoptosis suppresses radiation-induced teratogenesis. *Nat Med* **2**(5), 577-80. Oliveros, J. C. (2007-2015). Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams.

Paradis, F. H., and Hales, B. F. (2015). Valproic Acid Induces the Hyperacetylation of P53, Expression of P53 Target Genes, and Markers of the Intrinsic Apoptotic Pathway in Midorganogenesis Murine Limbs. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol*.

Pekar, O., Molotski, N., Savion, S., Fein, A., Toder, V., and Torchinsky, A. (2007). p53 regulates cyclophosphamide teratogenesis by controlling caspases 3, 8, 9 activation and NF-kappaB DNA binding. *Reproduction* **134**(2), 379-88.

Peuget, S., Bonacci, T., Soubeyran, P., Iovanna, J., and Dusetti, N. J. (2014). Oxidative stress-induced p53 activity is enhanced by a redox-sensitive TP53INP1 SUMOylation. *Cell Death Differ* **21**(7), 1107-18.

Schlisser, A. E., Yan, J., and Hales, B. F. (2010). Teratogen-induced oxidative stress targets glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in the organogenesis stage mouse embryo. *Toxicol Sci* **118**(2), 686-95.

Schmid, P., Lorenz, A., Hameister, H., and Montenarh, M. (1991). Expression of p53 during mouse embryogenesis. *Development* **113**(3), 857-65.

Seillier, M. (2012). Antioxidant Role of p53 and of Its Target TP53INP1 In *Antioxidant Enzyme* (M. A. El-Missiry), 1st ed., pp. 122-134. INTECH, Place of publication not identified.

Seillier, M., Peuget, S., Gayet, O., Gauthier, C., N'Guessan, P., Monte, M., Carrier, A., Iovanna, J. L., and Dusetti, N. J. (2012). TP53INP1, a tumor suppressor, interacts with LC3 and ATG8-family proteins through the LC3-interacting region (LIR) and promotes autophagydependent cell death. *Cell Death Differ* **19**(9), 1525-35.

Sluss, H. K., Armata, H., Gallant, J., and Jones, S. N. (2004). Phosphorylation of serine 18 regulates distinct p53 functions in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**(3), 976-84.

Timson, J. (1975). Hydroxyurea. *Mutat Res* **32**(2), 115-32.

Torchinsky, A., and Toder, V. (2010). Mechanisms of the embryo's response to embryopathic stressors: a focus on p53. *Journal of reproductive immunology* **85**(1), 76-80.

Vinson, R. K., and Hales, B. F. (2003). Genotoxic stress response gene expression in the mid-organogenesis rat conceptus. *Toxicol Sci* **74**(1), 157-64.

Wang, X., Kua, H. Y., Hu, Y., Guo, K., Zeng, Q., Wu, Q., Ng, H. H., Karsenty, G., de Crombrugghe, B., Yeh, J., and Li, B. (2006). p53 functions as a negative regulator of osteoblastogenesis, osteoblast-dependent osteoclastogenesis, and bone remodeling. *The Journal of cell biology* **172**(1), 115-25.

Wells, P. G., McCallum, G. P., Chen, C. S., Henderson, J. T., Lee, C. J., Perstin, J., Preston, T. J., Wiley, M. J., and Wong, A. W. (2009). Oxidative stress in developmental origins of disease: teratogenesis, neurodevelopmental deficits, and cancer. *Toxicol Sci* **108**(1), 4-18.

Wilhelm, M. T., Rufini, A., Wetzel, M. K., Tsuchihara, K., Inoue, S., Tomasini, R., Itie-Youten, A., Wakeham, A., Arsenian-Henriksson, M., Melino, G., Kaplan, D. R., Miller, F. D., and Mak, T. W. (2010). Isoform-specific p73 knockout mice reveal a novel role for delta Np73 in the DNA damage response pathway. *Genes & development* **24**(6), 549-60.

Wubah, J. A., Ibrahim, M. M., Gao, X., Nguyen, D., Pisano, M. M., and Knudsen, T. B. (1996). Teratogen-induced eye defects mediated by p53-dependent apoptosis. *Current biology : CB* **6**(1), 60-9.

Yan, J., and Hales, B. F. (2005). Activator protein-1 (AP-1) DNA binding activity is induced by hydroxyurea in organogenesis stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **85**(2), 1013-23.

Yan, J., and Hales, B. F. (2006). Depletion of glutathione induces 4-hydroxynonenal protein adducts and hydroxyurea teratogenicity in the organogenesis stage mouse embryo. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **319**(2), 613-21.

Yan, J., and Hales, B. F. (2008). p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways play distinct roles in the response of organogenesis-stage embryos to a teratogen. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **326**(3), 764-72.

Zhang, Y., Park, E., Kim, C. S., and Paik, J. H. (2013). ZNF365 promotes stalled replication forks recovery to maintain genome stability. *Cell Cycle* **12**(17), 2817-28.

#### **CONNECTING TEXT**

In the previous chapter we established that the P53 signaling pathway is involved in the embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea exposure. The P53 protein is highly expressed and phosphorylated in embryos exposed to hydroxyurea, ultimately translocating to the nucleus and upregulating downstream transcripts involved in cell cycle arrest and cell death. We also found that other upstream regulators are potentially activated in response to hydroxyurea exposure, including the P53 homologs, P63 and P73. In the following chapter, we examine the roles of P63 and P73 in the organogenesis stage embryo under normal and stressed conditions, and whether they act similarly to P53 in response to hydroxyurea exposure.

#### **CHAPTER III**

### The Roles of P53 and Its Family Proteins, P63 and P73, in the DNA Damage Stress Response in Organogenesis Stage Mouse Embryos

Nazem El Husseini and Barbara F. Hales

Toxicological Sciences (2017) 162, 439-449

#### ABSTRACT

Members of the P53 transcription factor family, P53, P63 and P73, play important roles in normal development and in regulating the expression of genes that control apoptosis and cell cycle progression in response to genotoxic stress. P53 is involved in the DNA damage response pathway that is activated by hydroxyurea in organogenesis-stage murine embryos. The extent to which P63 and P73 contribute to this stress response is not known. To address this question, we examined the roles of P53, P63 and P73 in mediating the response of Trp53-positive and Trp53deficient murine embryos to a single dose of hydroxyurea (400 mg/kg) on gestational day 9. Hydroxyurea treatment downregulated the expression of Trp63 and upregulated Trp73 in the absence of effects on the levels of Trp53 transcripts; Trp73 upregulation was P53-dependent. At the protein level, hydroxyurea treatment increased the levels and phosphorylation of P53 in the absence of effects on P63 and P73. Upregulation of the expression of genes that regulate cell cycle and apoptosis, Cdkn1a, Rb1, Fas, Trp53inp1 and Pmaip1, was P53-dependent in hydroxyurea-treated embryos. The increase in cleaved Caspase-3 and cleaved mammalian sterile-20 like-1 (MST-1) kinase levels induced by hydroxyurea was also P53-dependent; in contrast, the increase in phosphorylated H2AX, a marker of DNA double strand breaks, in response to hydroxyurea treatment was only partially P53 dependent. Together, our data show that P53 is the principal P53 family member that is activated in the embryonic DNA damage response.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Hydroxyurea, a drug used to treat sickle cell anemia and cancer, inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, causing DNA strand breaks as a result of replication fork stalling, leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Kovacic, 2011). In mice, exposure to hydroxyurea during organogenesis causes DNA damage (Banh and Hales, 2013) and leads to gross and skeletal malformations in the fore- and hind-limbs, tail and craniofacial regions (Yan and Hales, 2005). Doses of hydroxyurea that are teratogenic in organogenesis-stage murine embryos increase P53 phosphorylation and activate the P53 signaling pathway (El Husseini *et al.*, 2016); using microarray and pathway analysis, we demonstrated that genes that control DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis are upregulated. Fitting to its proposed role as a "Guardian of the Genome", previous studies have reported that P53 activation protects the developing conceptus against congenital malformations after exposure to DNA damaging agents such as benzo[a]pyrene, cyclophosphamide, or radiation (Mikheeva *et al.*, 2004; Nicol, *et al.*, 1995; Norimura, *et al.*, 1996). Nevertheless, the ocular teratogenic effects of 2-chloro-2'-deoxyadenosine were reduced in P53 null transgenic mice (Wubah, *et al.*, 1996). It is clear that the role of P53 in mediating how embryos respond to a stressor is complex.

P53 is a member of a family of proteins that includes the homologs P63 and P73 (Levrero, *et al.*, 2000). Each of the P53 family proteins has unique functions during embryonic development. Under normal conditions, P53 is present at low concentrations during organogenesis and is largely inactive (Choi and Donehower, 1999). In contrast, P63 is essential for proper limb and epithelial development, while P73 is involved in the development of the nervous and immune systems (Yang, *et al.*, 1999; Yang, *et al.*, 2000). Both P63 and P73 have numerous isoforms which have been reported to have divergent and even antagonistic functions (Levrero, *et al.*, 2000). P63 and P73 each have two transcription start sites which produce protein isoforms with transactivation domains (TA), or with truncated N-termini (ΔN) that lack the TA domain (Murray-Zmijewski, *et al.*, 2006). Each of these isoforms also has several splice variants (P63: α-γ, P73: α-ζ) (Levrero, *et al.*, 2000); the functions of these isoforms during development are not well understood. The TA isoforms of P63 and P73 exhibit significant functional homology to P53 in response to stress stimuli, including DNA damage (Levrero, *et al.*, 1999; Yang, *et al.*, 2002).

103

Since the DNA binding domains of P63 and P73 share considerable homology to that of P53 they bind to many P53 DNA binding sites and upregulate a number of the same downstream target genes (Riley, et al., 2008). All three P53 family proteins are activated in response to various stress stimuli and act as transcription factors, translocating from the cytoplasm to the nucleus and upregulating the expression of transcripts that are involved in regulating cell cycle arrest (e.g. Cdkn1a, Rb1) and apoptosis (e.g. Fas, Pmaip1, Trp53inp1) (Costanzo, et al., 2002; Kruse and Gu, 2009; Petitjean, et al., 2008). Furthermore, under certain stress conditions, the ability of P53 to promote apoptosis appears to rely on the activation of P63 and P73 (Fatt, et al., 2014; Flores, et al., 2002). However, there are some gene targets that are unique to each family member (Fontemaggi, et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2003; Yang, et al., 2010). Trp53inp1, a direct downstream transcriptional target of P53, is involved in mediating apoptosis and the response to oxidative stress (Seillier M., 2012). Although they are not direct targets, the expression levels of *Pax9* and *Tbx5*, which are involved in limb and skeletal development, have been negatively correlated with the upregulation of P63 and P73, respectively (Holembowski et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011). In addition, Jag2 expression is upregulated directly by P63 and P73, but is either mildly upregulated or not upregulated at all by P53 (Sasaki, et al., 2002; Wu, et al., 2003).

One of the main mechanisms by which P53 family proteins induce apoptosis is by activation of the caspase cascade. All three transcription factors are capable of inducing proapoptotic factors (e.g. *Pmaip1, Puma, Bax, Bak*) which interact with the mitochondrial membrane to release cytochrome c into the cytoplasm and activate the caspase cascade (Borrelli *et al.*, 2009; Chipuk, *et al.*, 2004; Gong *et al.*, 1999; Gressner *et al.*, 2005; Jones, *et al.*, 2007; Schuler *et al.*, 2000). This involves the promotion of pro-Caspase-3 cleavage into its proteolytic form, cleaved Caspase-3, the effector caspase that drives the process of apoptotic cell death by the specific cleavage of key cellular proteins (Porter and Janicke, 1999). One of the targets of Caspase-3 is the mammalian sterile 20-like-1 (MST-1) kinase (Lee *et al.*, 2001). MST-1 is a serine/threonine kinase that is involved in promoting DNA damage repair and apoptosis (Pefani and O'Neill, 2016); after cleavage by Caspase-3, MST-1 translocates to the nucleus where it phosphorylates the tail of the H2AX histone variant on Ser139, contributing to the formation of  $\gamma$ H2AX foci (Teraishi *et al.*, 2006). These foci are important for the modification of chromatin structure and the recruitment of the DNA damage repair machinery (e.g. the MRE11/RAD50/NBS1 complex) required to repair DNA double-strand breaks (Furuta *et al.*,

104

2003; Rogakou *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore,  $\gamma$ H2AX foci are essential for nuclear condensation and DNA fragmentation that are hallmarks of the commitment of a cell to apoptosis (Wen *et al.*, 2010). Previously, it has been shown that hydroxyurea exposure increases the levels of  $\gamma$ H2AX foci in the caudal regions of the organogenesis-stage embryo (Banh and Hales, 2013). The contributions of P53, P63 and P73 to the activation of Caspase-3 and MST-1 and the increase in  $\gamma$ H2AX foci that is triggered in the organogenesis-stage embryo by DNA damage remain to be determined.

Although genotoxic agents and oxidative stress have been reported to increase the protein levels of both P63 and P73 in *in vivo* and *in vitro* models (Flores, *et al.*, 2002; Gonfloni, *et al.*, 2009; Klein, *et al.*, 2011; Petitjean, *et al.*, 2008), the contributions of these proteins in the response of embryos to DNA damage is not known. Here, we elucidated the impact of exposure to hydroxyurea on the activation of P63 and P73 in early embryos in the presence and absence of P53.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Experimental Animals**

*Trp53* transgenic (B6.129S2-Trp53tm1Tyj/J) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and housed in the McIntyre Animal Resource Centre (Montreal, QC, Canada). Animal treatments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Canadian Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. To produce timed pregnant mice heterozygote females were mated with heterozygote males overnight; the mice were separated at 10:00 AM the following day (designated as gestation day 0, or GD 0). Between 8:00 and 10:00 AM on GD 9 pregnant dams were treated with either saline (control) or 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea (HU) (Aldrich Chemical Co., Madison, WI) by intraperitoneal injection.

All dams were euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation and cervical dislocation 3 h after hydroxyurea treatment. Tail tips were taken for DNA extraction and genotyping. The uteri were removed and embryos were explanted in Hanks' balanced salt solution (Invitrogen Canada, Inc., ON, Canada). At the time of collection, single whole embryos representing each genotype from every litter, were separated for future sample processing for genotyping, Western Blot analysis and real-time qRT-PCR experiments.

#### **DNA, RNA and Protein Extraction from Single Embryos**

Embryos were placed in RNAlater Stabilization Reagent (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada) at the time of collection and stored at -80° C. RNA, DNA and protein were extracted from single embryos using the RNeasy® Plus Mini Kit and the DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit with a modified protocol (Qiagen, Mississauga, ON, Canada). RNA and DNA were extracted using the manufacturer's protocol and the concentration and purity of each sample were assessed by spectrophotometry using a NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Proteins were precipitated from the remaining embryonic lysate using ice-cold 100% acetone and dissolved in protein lysis buffer (8M urea, 2M thiourea, 0.5% CHAPS). Total protein content from each sample was quantified using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd., Mississauga, ON, Canada).

#### **Genotyping by High Resolution Melt-Curve Analysis**

DNA from individual embryos was extracted as described above for genotyping. Tail tip samples obtained from adult male and female mice were used to extract DNA using a modified version of the ethanol precipitation method (Zeugin, 1985). Briefly, tissue samples were kept overnight at 55° C in 250 µl of DNA extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% SDS) and 0.4 mg/ml proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Samples were then centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min to remove fur and debris, followed by the addition of 250 µl 100% ethanol to the supernatant. Lastly, the samples were centrifuged to precipitate the DNA, the ethanol was discarded and the pellet left to air dry. The DNA was then dissolved in distilled water and the concentration was determined using a NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific).

The Power SYBR Green RNA-to-C<sub>T</sub> 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) were used to do high resolution melt-curve analysis to determine the zygosity of adult and embryonic DNA samples (Pryor and Wittwer, 2006). Each reaction was composed of 5  $\mu$ l of 25 ng/ $\mu$ l DNA mixed with 10 µl SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.3 µl of each of the 10 µM forward and reverse primers, and completed to a total reaction volume of 20 µl with DNAse and RNAse free water. Samples were run in single-plex for each allele. Temperature cycling was determined per the supplier's recommended protocol: 95° C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95° C for 15s, 60° C for 30s, and 72° C for 30s. Subsequently, the melt-curve stage was as follows: 95° C for 15s, 60° C for 1 min, followed by incremental increases of 0.3° C up to 95° C. The peak melting temperatures for the mutant and wild type amplicons matched those of the supplier ( $\sim 78^{\circ}$  C and  $\sim 84^{\circ}$  C, respectively). The sequences of the forward and reverse primers for the wild type and mutant alleles of *Trp53* were provided by the supplier (wild type forward: AGGCTTAGA GGTGCAAGCTG; mutant forward: CAGCCTCTGTTCCACATACACT; common reverse: TGGATGGTGGTATACTCAGAGC) and were synthesized by Alpha DNA (Montreal, QC, Canada).

#### Western Blotting using SDS-PAGE and Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> gels

Single embryos from across all treatment groups and genotypes were used for the detection and quantification of proteins by Western blot analysis. The phosphorylated forms of proteins were detected using Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> acrylamide gel electrophoresis (Waco Chemicals USA

Inc., Richmond, VA). Proteins (10  $\mu$ g) were loaded and separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> gels were prepared in the same manner as for regular acrylamide gels, but with the addition of 20 µM Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> and 20 µM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. A negative control for the Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> gel was done by incubating 20 µM Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> and 20 µM MgCl<sub>2</sub> with 10 mM EDTA before preparing the acrylamide gel. After gel electrophoresis, Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> gels were washed twice in 10 mM EDTA for 5 min, and twice in transfer buffer. Proteins from regular and Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> gels were then transferred to PVDF membranes at 14 volts overnight at 4° C (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Ltd.). Afterwards, membranes were blocked with 5% milk in 1X TBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated overnight, at 4° C, with primary antibodies against P53 (1:1000, cs2524, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA), P63 (1:1000, PA121739, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), P73 (1:1000, ab189896, Abcam), cleaved Caspase-3 (1:1000, cs9661, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), yH2AX (1:1000, cs9718, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), MST-1 (1:1000, cs3682, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) or actin (1:1000, sc-1616, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, TX). Membranes were washed three times for 5 min intervals with 1X TBS-T and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase conjugated anti-rabbit (1:5000, cs7074, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), anti-mouse (1:5000, cs7076, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) or anti-goat (1:5000, sc-2020, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) secondary antibodies.

Membranes were washed and proteins were detected using the enhanced chemiluminescence technique (ECL Prime, RPN2236, GE Healthcare). Protein bands were visualized using the Amersham Imager 600 (General Electric Healthcare, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, MD, USA) where the area under the curve represents band intensity. All intensities were normalized to that of the actin loading control. An internal control was run on each blot to account for inter-blot variation.

#### **Real-time qRT-PCR**

RNA extracted from individual embryos was diluted to a working solution of 4 ng RNA/ $\mu$ l and transcripts were quantified using the Power SYBR Green RNA-to-C<sub>T</sub> 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction was composed of 10  $\mu$ l SYBR Green Master Mix, 1-2  $\mu$ l forward/reverse primer, 0.16  $\mu$ l Reverse Transcriptase mix, 5  $\mu$ l sample and completed to 20  $\mu$ l

with RNase-DNase-free water. The PCR reactions were conducted under the following conditions: 48° C for 30 min, 95° C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95° C for 15 s, 60° C for 30 s, and 72° C for 30 s. The following primer sets were purchased from Qiagen: transformation related protein 53 (Trp53, QT00101906); transformation related protein 63 (Trp63, QT00197904); transformation related protein 73 (Trp73, QT00123487); cyclindependent kinase inhibitor 1A (Cdkn1a, QT00137053); Fas cell surface death receptor (Fas, QT00095333); phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein 1 (*Pmaip1*, QT00163506); retinoblastoma 1 (*Rb1*, QT00164255); transformation related protein 53 inducible nuclear protein 1 (Trp53inp1, QT00112910); jagged 2 (Jag2, QT01043819); paired box 9 (Pax9, QT00110040); T-box transcription factor 5 (Tbx5, QT00124362); hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (Hprt1, QT00166768). Serial dilutions of embryonic RNA, pooled from all treatment groups and genotypes, were used as an internal reference and to create a standard curve for optimizing primer efficiency and concentration. Each reaction was done in triplicate, and was averaged and normalized to the amounts of *Hprt1* RNA transcripts. The levels of *Hprt1* were found to be stable in all treatment groups. The relative quantity of each transcript was determined using the StepOnePlus<sup>™</sup> Software (version 2.3).

#### **Statistical Analyses**

All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism Software (version 5, Graph Pad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). Data were tested by 2-way ANOVA, followed by a Bonferroni *post-hoc* multiple comparison correction, to detect statistical differences between the control and hydroxyurea-treated groups and the different genotypes. The litter was used to define the statistical unit (n). The level of significance for all statistical tests was set to p < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

#### Hydroxyurea exposure affects the transcript levels of Trp63 and Trp73, but not Trp53

Hydroxyurea treatment did not affect the transcript levels of Trp53 in  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos (Fig. 3.1A). As expected, the levels of Trp53 decreased by 50% in the heterozygote embryos ( $Trp53^{+/-}$ ) and Trp53 was not detected in the homozygote knockout ( $Trp53^{-/-}$ ) embryos in either the control or hydroxyurea-treated groups. Transcript levels of Trp63 were significantly reduced in all drug-treated embryos (Fig. 3.1B). This effect was independent of the Trp53 gene as the levels of Trp63 were not statistically different between hydroxyurea-treated embryos that expressed or lacked Trp53. The transcript levels of Trp73 were significantly increased by hydroxyurea exposure in  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos (Fig. 3.1C). Interestingly, hydroxyurea-increased Trp73 expression was dependent on the gene dosage of Trp53; the induction of Trp73 expression by hydroxyurea was ablated in both  $Trp53^{+/-}$  and  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos. In addition, the levels of Trp73 in saline-treated  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos were significantly less than in their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates. Thus, the regulation of Trp73 transcription in the organogenesis-stage embryo relies on P53.







b.



111

## Figure 3.1: Effects of hydroxyurea on the relative expression of *Trp53*, *Trp63* and *Trp73* in embryos.

*A-C:* Relative expression levels of *Trp53*, *Trp63* and *Trp73*. Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the transcript relative to *Hprt*. C= control, HU= hydroxyurea. p<0.05, 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test, n=3. (\*) denotes significant change compared to control group with the same genotype, (a) denotes significant change compared to the *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> control group, (b) denotes significant change compared to the *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> hydroxyurea-treated group.

## Hydroxyurea exposure increases the protein levels and phosphorylation of P53 but not those of P63 and P73

Low or no P53 immunoreactivity was detected in control GD 9 embryos from all genotypes (Fig. 3.2A). Hydroxyurea treatment of  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos induced a significant increase in P53 protein levels (Fig. 3.2A-B). The amounts of P53 detected in hydroxyurea-exposed embryos reflected the Trp53 gene dosage; P53 decreased by 50% in  $Trp53^{+/-}$  embryos and was not detected in  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos. Since an essential component of P53 stabilization is its post-translational phosphorylation, Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> gels were used to determine if P53 was phosphorylated. Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> causes phosphorylated proteins to migrate at a slower rate during electrophoresis, due to their relatively higher molecular weights and altered electric charge (Kosako, 2009). In hydroxyurea-treated  $Trp53^{+/+}$  and  $Trp53^{+/-}$  embryos a higher molecular weight band (~55 KDa), representing phosphorylated P53, appeared above the P53 band (~53 KDa) (Fig. 3.2C). As expected, no detectable levels of phosphorylated P53 were found in  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos.

TAP63 $\alpha$ , the P63 full length isoform, is shown in Fig. 3.2D. In contrast to P53, P63 was readily detected in control embryos from all genotypes. Furthermore, hydroxyurea treatment did not significantly alter the expression of TAP63 $\alpha$  (Fig. 3.2E). Moreover, no higher molecular weight TAP63 $\alpha$  band was observed in Phos-tag<sup>TM</sup> gels, suggesting that hydroxyurea treatment did not induce P63 phosphorylation (Fig. 3.2F).

P73 protein immunoreactivity was detected in control embryos (Fig. 3.2G); hydroxyurea treatment did not alter P73 protein concentrations in embryos with or without *Trp53* (Fig. 3.2H). In addition, no higher molecular weight form of P73 was observed in Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> gels (Fig. 3.2I). Thus, both P63 and P73 proteins are present during organogenesis; however, they are not responsive to hydroxyurea treatment and their expression is not affected by the absence of P53.



## Figure 3.2: Effects of hydroxyurea treatment on P53, P63 and P73 protein expression and phosphorylation status in embryos

<u>*Top*</u>: Representative Western blots and quantification of P53 (*A-B*), P63 (*D-E*) and P73 (*G-H*) immunoreactivity, normalized to the loading control, actin, across all treatment groups and *Trp53* genotypes. Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the protein relative to actin.

<u>Bottom</u>: Representative Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> blots of P53 (*C*), P63 (*F*), P73 (*I*). Only P53 showed a higher molecular weight band, representing phosphorylated P53, p-P53.

C= control, HU= hydroxyurea. p<0.05, 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test, n=3. (\*) denotes significant change compared to control group with the same genotype, (b) denotes significant change compared to the  $Trp53^{+/+}$  hydroxyurea-treated group.

### P53 family proteins and regulation of the expression of genes involved in skeletal and limb development or cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to hydroxyurea-induced stress in the organogenesis-stage embryo

In our previous study, we identified a number of transcripts with altered expression in hydroxyurea-treated embryos that are either directly or indirectly associated with the transcriptional activity of one or more of the P53 family proteins (El Husseini, *et al.*, 2016). These transcripts are involved in cell cycle regulation (*Cdkn1a, Rb1*), apoptosis (*Fas, Pmaip1, Tp53inp1*) or skeletal and limb development (*Pax9, Tbx5, Jag2*) (Table 3.1).

Using qRT-PCR we assessed the levels of transcripts that are specifically associated with the transcriptional activity of P53 (*Trp53inp1*), P63 (*Pax9*), P73 (*Tbx5*) or both P63 and P73 (*Jag2*) (Fig. 3.3A), under control and hydroxyurea-treated conditions, in the presence and absence of *Trp53*. *Trp53inp1* transcript levels were significantly upregulated by hydroxyurea in *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> embryos; this effect was abolished in *Trp53* null embryos (Fig. 3.3B). In contrast, the transcript levels of *Pax9* and *Tbx5* decreased in response to hydroxyurea treatment and were not affected by the presence or absence of *Trp53* (Fig. 3.3C-D). Lastly, hydroxyurea treatment induced *Jag2* transcript levels in a *Trp53*-dependent manner (Fig. 3.3E).

We then assessed the level of transcripts that are commonly regulated by all three P53 family members (*Cdkn1a, Fas*), by P53 and P63 (*Pmaip1*) or by P53 and P73 (*Rb1*) (Fig.3.4A). For each of these downstream target genes, transcript levels were significantly increased in embryos exposed to hydroxyurea; this induction was ablated by the deletion of *Trp53* (Fig. 3.4B-E). In addition, the levels of each of these transcripts were significantly lower in the saline-treated *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos than in their *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> littermates. Thus, the induction of these downstream markers showed a strong dependence on P53 transcriptional activity, rather than on P63 or P73.

Together, P53 transcriptional activity has a dominant impact on gene expression in embryos in response to hydroxyurea treatment. Conversely, it appears that neither P63 nor P73 have active roles as transcription factors in regulating the expression of P53 family signaling pathway genes in the organogenesis-stage embryo exposed to a DNA damaging agent.

117

Protein	Gene	Prediction	References
P53	Trp53inp1	1	(Kenzelmann Broz et al., 2013; Okamura et al., 2001)
	Cdkn1a	1	(Kenzelmann Broz, et al., 2013; Nayak and Das, 2002)
	Fas	1	(Lima et al., 2011; Munsch et al., 2000; Thiery et al., 2005)
	Pmaip1	1	(Hamard <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
	Rb1	$\uparrow$	(Hammond <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Kenzelmann Broz, <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Porrello, <i>et al.</i> , 2000)
P63	Pax9	↓	(Wang, et al., 2011)
	Cdkn1a	1	(Helton et al., 2008; Petitjean, et al., 2008; Sen et al., 2011)
	Fas	1	(Gressner, et al., 2005; Petitjean, et al., 2008)
	Pmaip1	1	(Kerr, <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
	Jag2	1	(Candi et al., 2007; Sasaki, et al., 2002; Wu, et al., 2003)
P73	Tbx5	↓	(Holembowski, et al., 2014)
	Cdkn1a	1	(Jung et al., 2001; Nozell and Chen, 2002; Ozaki et al., 1999)
	Fas	1	(Dicker et al., 2006; Terrasson et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2008)
	Rb1	1	(De Laurenzi, et al., 2000)
	Jag2	1	(Fontemaggi, et al., 2002; Sasaki, et al., 2002)

Table 3.1: Prediction analysis of unique and common markers of P53, P63 and P73transcriptional activity.

Genes associated with the transcriptional activity of each of the P53 family proteins. Arrows indicate the predicted changes in expression in response to hydroxyurea if each of the P53 proteins is activated; upregulation (green), downregulation (red). Prediction analysis is based on Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA<sup>TM</sup>) software using microarray data from (El Husseini, *et al.*, 2016).













## Figure 3.3: Effects of hydroxyurea exposure in embryos on the relative expression of genes that are downstream targets of unique P53 family proteins.

*A:* Selected candidates of unique downstream targets of P53, P63 and P73. *B-E:* Relative expression levels of *Trp53inp1*, *Pax9*, *Tbx5 and Jag2*. Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the transcript relative to *Hprt*. C= control, HU= hydroxyurea. p<0.05, 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test, n=3. (\*) denotes significant change compared to control group with the same genotype, (b) denotes significant change compared to the *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> hydroxyurea-treated group.



# Figure 3.4: Effects of hydroxyurea exposure in embryos on the relative expression of transcripts that control cell cycle progression and apoptosis and are regulated by P53 family proteins.

*A:* Selected candidates of common downstream targets of P53, P63 and P73. *B-E:* Relative expression levels of *Cdkn1a, Fas, Pmaip1* and *Rb1*. Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the transcript relative to *Hprt*. C= control, HU= hydroxyurea. p<0.05, 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test, n=3. (\*) denotes significant change compared to control group with the same genotype, (a) denotes significant change compared to the *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> hydroxyurea-treated group.

## Hydroxyurea treatment induces P53-dependent Caspase-3 and MST-1 cleavage and H2AX phosphorylation in organogenesis-stage embryos

The cleavage of Caspase-3 was investigated in control and hydroxyurea-treated embryos as a marker of apoptosis. Cleaved Caspase-3 was not detected in control embryos from any genotype (Fig. 3.5A). A significant increase in cleaved Caspase-3 was observed in hydroxyurea-treated  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos and  $Trp53^{+/-}$  embryos (Fig. 3.5B). However, no appreciable cleaved Caspase-3 was observed in hydroxyurea-treated  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos (Fig. 3.5A), indicating that hydroxyurea-induced Caspase-3 cleavage is dependent on the presence of P53.

To determine if activation of Caspase-3 by P53 leads to the cleavage of MST-1 and the subsequent phosphorylation of H2AX, cleaved MST-1 and  $\gamma$ H2AX were examined using Western blots. A significant increase in cleaved MST-1 occurred in hydroxyurea-treated *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> embryos; the levels of cleaved MST-1 decreased in a dose-dependent manner relative to *Trp53* concentration (Fig. 3.5C-D), reflecting the trend observed with cleaved Caspase-3. In contrast, all hydroxyurea-treated embryos, including the *Trp53*<sup>+/-</sup> and *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos, exhibited strong upregulation of  $\gamma$ H2AX levels compared to controls (Fig. 3.5E-F). However, after hydroxyurea treatment,  $\gamma$ H2AX levels were significantly lower in *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos compared to their *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> littermates (Fig. 3.5F).



## Figure 3.5: Effects of hydroxyurea exposure on the cleavage of Caspase-3 and MST-1 and H2AX phosphorylation in embryos.

Representative Western blots and quantification of cleaved Caspase-3 (*A-B*), cleaved MST-1 (*C-D*) and  $\gamma$ H2AX (*E-F*) protein expression levels, normalized to the loading control, actin. Each bar represents the fold change of the mean quantity of the protein relative to actin. C= control, HU= hydroxyurea. p<0.05, 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test, n=3. (\*) denotes significant change compared to control group with the same genotype, (b) denotes significant change compared to the *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> hydroxyurea-treated group.
#### DISCUSSION

The exposure of organogenesis-stage embryos to a DNA damaging agent, hydroxyurea, induces a stress response that is complex. Hydroxyurea treatment does not affect steady state concentrations of the *Trp53* transcript; in contrast, *Trp63* and *Trp73* expression profiles are differentially affected, suggesting that their transcriptional regulation differs in response to genotoxic stress during organogenesis. While *Trp63* levels decrease with hydroxyurea treatment, *Trp73* levels are significantly increased. In addition, the levels of *Trp73*, but not *Trp63*, are significantly affected by the absence of *Trp53*, suggesting a dependence of *Trp73* transcriptional regulation on P53. Indeed, it has been shown that P53 binds to a promoter site that is upstream of the *Trp73* gene and can upregulate *Trp73* in response to stress (Daily *et al.*, 2011; Wang, *et al.*, 2007).

At the protein level, P53 is not detected in control embryos; in contrast, both P63 and P73 proteins are easily detected. P53 is minimally expressed under normal conditions due to its constant ubiquitination by MDM2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase which targets P53 for proteasomal degradation (Kruse and Gu, 2009). The mechanisms by which P63 and P73 are regulated are less well understood, although they do seem to share some mechanisms of regulation with P53. Under normal conditions, both have been reported to be targeted for proteasomal degradation in certain cells (Rossi *et al.*, 2006b; Satija and Das, 2016). However, unlike P53, appreciable amounts of P63 and P73 are found in organogenesis-stage embryos. It is not surprising that the regulation of these two proteins during organogenesis differs from that of P53 since P63 and P73 are essential for the maintenance of normal embryo development (Yang, *et al.*, 1999; Yang, *et al.*, 2000).

Hydroxyurea treatment increases the steady state concentrations and phosphorylation of P53 in the embryo, in the absence of effects on the levels or phosphorylation of P63 or P73. Under stress conditions, P53, P63 and P73 are all phosphorylated by a variety of upstream kinases; phosphorylation promotes their stabilization and transactivation in certain cell types, leading to the activation of cell cycle arrest and cell death pathways (Agami *et al.*, 1999; Gonfloni, *et al.*, 2009; Jones, *et al.*, 2007; Petitjean, *et al.*, 2008; Sanchez-Prieto *et al.*, 2002; Satija and Das, 2016; Shi and Gu, 2012). How P53 family proteins respond to a DNA damaging agent may be determined by the stressor (Levrero, *et al.*, 1999; Levrero, *et al.*, 2000); indeed, various genotoxicants have been reported to have different effects on the protein concentrations

and activation of individual P53 family members, resulting in altered cellular stress responses (Kaghad, *et al.*, 1997; Liu *et al.*, 1996; Mirkes *et al.*, 2000; Yang, *et al.*, 2002). In the embryo, P53 may be more sensitive or more responsive to a wider range of stress stimuli than P63 or P73 (Appella and Anderson, 2001; Gottlieb, *et al.*, 1997; Kruse and Gu, 2009; Moallem and Hales, 1998).

Several studies have shown that all P53 family proteins can translocate to the nucleus under stress conditions and act as transcription factors, upregulating the expression of downstream transcripts (Kruse and Gu, 2009; Petitjean, et al., 2008; Satija and Das, 2016). We found that hydroxyurea altered the expression of several genes involved in limb and skeletal development that are predicted to be regulated by one or more of the P53 family members. The dysregulation of the expression of *Pax9*, *Tbx5* and *Jag2* suggests that they may be partially involved in mediating the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea; *Pax9* is involved in skeletal development (McGlinn et al., 2005; Peters et al., 1998), Tbx5 is essential for limb bud initiation (Agarwal et al., 2003), while Jag2 codes for an important factor of the Notch signaling pathway and is required for proper limb bud development and digit formation (Jiang et al., 1998; Xu et al., 2010). It is clear that Pax9 and Tbx5 are not affected by the absence of P53, whereas Jag2 is. The lack of P63 and P73 upregulation in hydroxyurea-exposed embryos and the concomitant decrease in Pax9 and Tbx5 transcript levels suggest that other upstream regulators (i.e. not P63 or P73) may be involved in the transcriptional suppression of these genes. Indeed, *Pax9* transcription may be regulated by the sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathway (LeClair et al., 1999), while that of *Tbx5* may be regulated by Hox proteins, HOXB1, HOXC4 and HOXB9, all of which play pivotal roles in embryonic development (Minguillon et al., 2012).

The expression of genes that regulate cell cycle arrest and apoptosis was also affected in embryos exposed to hydroxyurea. Hydroxyurea strongly induced the expression of a unique P53 marker, *Trp53inp1*, as well as cell cycle arrest (*Cdkn1a*, *Rb1*) and apoptosis (*Fas*, *Pmaip1*) genes that may be activated by all three P53 family members. This induction was ablated in the absence of *Trp53*, indicating that P53 is the main transcriptional activator in their response to hydroxyurea during organogenesis; P63 and P73 are incapable of compensating for the lack of P53 in regulating these genes. This finding is consistent with the observation that in wild type mouse embryonic fibroblasts P63 binds to the *Cdkn1a* promoter only when P53 is present; when *Trp53* is knocked out, neither P63 nor P73 can induce *Cdkn1a* transcription, suggesting that P53

is required to drive *Cdkn1a* transcriptional activity (Flores, *et al.*, 2002). Taken together, we conclude that P53 is the main transcriptional activator of genes involved in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to hydroxyurea in the organogenesis-stage embryo. Indeed, P53 responds to hydroxyurea-induced stress by mediating cell cycle arrest to allow for DNA damage repair or, failing this, to instigate apoptosis (Gatz and Wiesmuller, 2006; Vousden and Prives, 2009).

The accumulation of cells with irreparable DNA breaks instigates cell death in the form of Caspase-3 mediated apoptosis. Here we report that cleaved Caspase-3 is almost undetectable in the  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos. The relationship between P53 accumulation and caspase-mediated apoptosis due to genotoxic stress is well established (Pekar, *et al.*, 2007; Schuler, *et al.*, 2000). There are conflicting reports regarding the ability of P63 and P73 to induce apoptosis in the absence of P53. In tissues lacking Trp53, but containing Trp63 and Trp73, apoptosis has been reported to be either decreased or increased in response to  $\gamma$ -irradiation-induced DNA damage (Flores, *et al.*, 2002; Senoo *et al.*, 2004). Our data suggest that P53 is the main driver of apoptosis in response to DNA damage during organogenesis.  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos exposed to hydroxyurea may undergo a form of "less regulated" cell death if Caspase-3 dependent apoptosis is not activated; indeed, Moallem and Hales (1998) reported that  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryonic limbs underwent necrosis, rather than apoptosis, after exposure to a pre-activated metabolite of cyclophosphamide, a DNA damaging anticancer drug.

Hydroxyurea induces replication fork stalling, causing DNA strand breaks that result in the accumulation of phosphorylated H2AX at the sites of damage (Zeman and Cimprich, 2014). An increase in  $\gamma$ H2AX was observed in all hydroxyurea-exposed embryos; however,  $\gamma$ H2AX accumulation was significantly lower in *Trp53*<sup>-/-</sup> embryos treated with hydroxyurea than in their *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> littermates. This decrease in  $\gamma$ H2AX in the absence of P53 may be due to the absence of appreciable cleaved Caspase-3, resulting in low levels of cleaved MST-1. Caspase cleavage activates MST-1 (Lee, *et al.*, 2001; Oh *et al.*, 2009) and decreased MST-1 activation leads to less H2AX phosphorylation (Wen, *et al.*, 2010). However, other kinases, including the DNA damage response proteins, ATM and ATR, may phosphorylate H2AX in response to genotoxic stress and, at least partially, compensate for the decrease in MST-1 activation (Burma *et al.*, 2001; Ward and Chen, 2001).

While P63 and P73 are essential for crucial developmental processes under normal conditions (Yang, *et al.*, 1999; Yang, *et al.*, 2000), our study shows that P53 is the main member of the P53 family that drives the early embryonic stress response to DNA damage.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Shafqat Rasool (McGill University, Montreal) for his advice on the preparation of the Phos-tag<sup>™</sup> SDS-PAGE gels.

#### REFERENCES

Agami, R., Blandino, G., Oren, M., and Shaul, Y. (1999). Interaction of c-Abl and p73alpha and their collaboration to induce apoptosis. *Nature* **399**(6738), 809-13.

Agarwal, P., Wylie, J.N., Galceran, J., Arkhitko, O., Li, C., Deng, C., Grosschedl, R., and Bruneau, B.G. (2003). Tbx5 is essential for forelimb bud initiation following patterning of the limb field in the mouse embryo. *Development* **130**(3), 623-33.

Appella, E., and Anderson, C.W. (2001). Post-translational modifications and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. *Eur J Biochem* **268**(10), 2764-72.

Banh, S., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Hydroxyurea exposure triggers tissue-specific activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling and the DNA damage response in organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **133**(2), 298-308.

Borrelli, S., Candi, E., Alotto, D., Castagnoli, C., Melino, G., Vigano, M.A., and Mantovani, R. (2009). p63 regulates the caspase-8-FLIP apoptotic pathway in epidermis. *Cell death and differentiation* **16**(2), 253-63.

Burma, S., Chen, B.P., Murphy, M., Kurimasa, A., and Chen, D.J. (2001). ATM phosphorylates histone H2AX in response to DNA double-strand breaks. *J Biol Chem* **276**(45), 42462-7.

Candi, E., Rufini, A., Terrinoni, A., Giamboi-Miraglia, A., Lena, A.M., Mantovani, R., Knight, R., and Melino, G. (2007). DeltaNp63 regulates thymic development through enhanced expression of FgfR2 and Jag2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**(29), 11999-2004.

Chipuk, J.E., Kuwana, T., Bouchier-Hayes, L., Droin, N.M., Newmeyer, D.D., Schuler, M., and Green, D.R. (2004). Direct activation of Bax by p53 mediates mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. *Science* **303**(5660), 1010-4.

Choi, J., and Donehower, L.A. (1999). p53 in embryonic development: maintaining a fine balance. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **55**(1), 38-47.

Costanzo, A., Merlo, P., Pediconi, N., Fulco, M., Sartorelli, V., Cole, P.A., Fontemaggi, G., Fanciulli, M., Schiltz, L., Blandino, G., Balsano, C., and Levrero, M. (2002). DNA damagedependent acetylation of p73 dictates the selective activation of apoptotic target genes. *Mol Cell* **9**(1), 175-86.

Daily, K., Patel, V.R., Rigor, P., Xie, X., and Baldi, P. (2011). MotifMap: integrative genome-wide maps of regulatory motif sites for model species. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 495.

De Laurenzi, V., Raschella, G., Barcaroli, D., Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli, M., Ranalli, M., Catani, M.V., Tanno, B., Costanzo, A., Levrero, M., and Melino, G. (2000). Induction of neuronal differentiation by p73 in a neuroblastoma cell line. *J Biol Chem* **275**(20), 15226-31.

Dicker, F., Kater, A.P., Prada, C.E., Fukuda, T., Castro, J.E., Sun, G., Wang, J.Y., and Kipps, T.J. (2006). CD154 induces p73 to overcome the resistance to apoptosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells lacking functional p53. *Blood* **108**(10), 3450-7.

El Husseini, N., Schlisser, A.E., and Hales, B.F. (2016). Editor's Highlight: Hydroxyurea Exposure Activates the P53 Signaling Pathway in Murine Organogenesis-Stage Embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **152**(2), 297-308.

Fatt, M.P., Cancino, G.I., Miller, F.D., and Kaplan, D.R. (2014). p63 and p73 coordinate p53 function to determine the balance between survival, cell death, and senescence in adult neural precursor cells. *Cell death and differentiation* **21**(10), 1546-59.

Flores, E.R., Tsai, K.Y., Crowley, D., Sengupta, S., Yang, A., McKeon, F., and Jacks, T. (2002). p63 and p73 are required for p53-dependent apoptosis in response to DNA damage. *Nature* **416**(6880), 560-4.

Fontemaggi, G., Kela, I., Amariglio, N., Rechavi, G., Krishnamurthy, J., Strano, S., Sacchi, A., Givol, D., and Blandino, G. (2002). Identification of direct p73 target genes combining DNA microarray and chromatin immunoprecipitation analyses. *J Biol Chem* **277**(45), 43359-68.

Furuta, T., Takemura, H., Liao, Z.Y., Aune, G.J., Redon, C., Sedelnikova, O.A., Pilch, D.R., Rogakou, E.P., Celeste, A., Chen, H.T., Nussenzweig, A., Aladjem, M.I., Bonner, W.M., and Pommier, Y. (2003). Phosphorylation of histone H2AX and activation of Mre11, Rad50, and Nbs1 in response to replication-dependent DNA double-strand breaks induced by mammalian DNA topoisomerase I cleavage complexes. *J Biol Chem* **278**(22), 20303-12.

Gatz, S.A., and Wiesmuller, L. (2006). p53 in recombination and repair. *Cell death and differentiation* **13**(6), 1003-16.

Gonfloni, S., Di Tella, L., Caldarola, S., Cannata, S.M., Klinger, F.G., Di Bartolomeo, C., Mattei, M., Candi, E., De Felici, M., Melino, G., and Cesareni, G. (2009). Inhibition of the c-Abl-TAp63 pathway protects mouse oocytes from chemotherapy-induced death. *Nat Med* **15**(10), 1179-85. Gong, J.G., Costanzo, A., Yang, H.Q., Melino, G., Kaelin, W.G., Jr., Levrero, M., and Wang, J.Y. (1999). The tyrosine kinase c-Abl regulates p73 in apoptotic response to cisplatininduced DNA damage. *Nature* **399**(6738), 806-9.

Gottlieb, E., Haffner, R., King, A., Asher, G., Gruss, P., Lonai, P., and Oren, M. (1997). Transgenic mouse model for studying the transcriptional activity of the p53 protein: age- and tissue-dependent changes in radiation-induced activation during embryogenesis. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1381-90.

Gressner, O., Schilling, T., Lorenz, K., Schulze Schleithoff, E., Koch, A., Schulze-Bergkamen, H., Lena, A.M., Candi, E., Terrinoni, A., Catani, M.V., Oren, M., Melino, G., Krammer, P.H., Stremmel, W., and Muller, M. (2005). TAp63alpha induces apoptosis by activating signaling via death receptors and mitochondria. *Embo j* **24**(13), 2458-71.

Hamard, P.J., Barthelery, N., Hogstad, B., Mungamuri, S.K., Tonnessen, C.A., Carvajal, L.A., Senturk, E., Gillespie, V., Aaronson, S.A., Merad, M., and Manfredi, J.J. (2013). The C terminus of p53 regulates gene expression by multiple mechanisms in a target- and tissue-specific manner in vivo. *Genes Dev* **27**(17), 1868-85.

Hammond, E.M., Mandell, D.J., Salim, A., Krieg, A.J., Johnson, T.M., Shirazi, H.A., Attardi, L.D., and Giaccia, A.J. (2006). Genome-wide analysis of p53 under hypoxic conditions. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **26**(9), 3492-504.

Helton, E.S., Zhang, J., and Chen, X. (2008). The proline-rich domain in p63 is necessary for the transcriptional and apoptosis-inducing activities of TAp63. *Oncogene* **27**(20), 2843-50.

Holembowski, L., Kramer, D., Riedel, D., Sordella, R., Nemajerova, A., Dobbelstein, M., and Moll, U.M. (2014). TAp73 is essential for germ cell adhesion and maturation in testis. *J Cell Biol* **204**(7), 1173-90.

Jiang, R., Lan, Y., Chapman, H.D., Shawber, C., Norton, C.R., Serreze, D.V., Weinmaster, G., and Gridley, T. (1998). Defects in limb, craniofacial, and thymic development in Jagged2 mutant mice. *Genes Dev* **12**(7), 1046-57.

Jones, E.V., Dickman, M.J., and Whitmarsh, A.J. (2007). Regulation of p73-mediated apoptosis by c-Jun N-terminal kinase. *Biochem J* **405**(3), 617-23.

Jung, M.S., Yun, J., Chae, H.D., Kim, J.M., Kim, S.C., Choi, T.S., and Shin, D.Y. (2001). p53 and its homologues, p63 and p73, induce a replicative senescence through inactivation of NF-Y transcription factor. *Oncogene* **20**(41), 5818-25.

Kaghad, M., Bonnet, H., Yang, A., Creancier, L., Biscan, J.C., Valent, A., Minty, A., Chalon, P., Lelias, J.M., Dumont, X., Ferrara, P., McKeon, F., and Caput, D. (1997). Monoallelically expressed gene related to p53 at 1p36, a region frequently deleted in neuroblastoma and other human cancers. *Cell* **90**(4), 809-19.

Kenzelmann Broz, D., Spano Mello, S., Bieging, K.T., Jiang, D., Dusek, R.L., Brady, C.A., Sidow, A., and Attardi, L.D. (2013). Global genomic profiling reveals an extensive p53regulated autophagy program contributing to key p53 responses. *Genes Dev* **27**(9), 1016-31.

Kerr, J.B., Hutt, K.J., Michalak, E.M., Cook, M., Vandenberg, C.J., Liew, S.H., Bouillet, P., Mills, A., Scott, C.L., Findlay, J.K., and Strasser, A. (2012). DNA damage-induced primordial follicle oocyte apoptosis and loss of fertility require TAp63-mediated induction of Puma and Noxa. *Mol Cell* **48**(3), 343-52.

Klein, A., Maldonado, C., Vargas, L.M., Gonzalez, M., Robledo, F., Perez de Arce, K., Munoz, F.J., Hetz, C., Alvarez, A.R., and Zanlungo, S. (2011). Oxidative stress activates the c-Abl/p73 proapoptotic pathway in Niemann-Pick type C neurons. *Neurobiol Dis* **41**(1), 209-18. Kosako, H. (2009). Phos-tag Western blotting for detecting stoichiometric protein phosphorylation in cells.

Kovacic, P. (2011). Hydroxyurea (therapeutics and mechanism): metabolism, carbamoyl nitroso, nitroxyl, radicals, cell signaling and clinical applications. *Med Hypotheses* **76**(1), 24-31.

Kruse, J.P., and Gu, W. (2009). Modes of p53 regulation. Cell 137(4), 609-22.

LeClair, E.E., Bonfiglio, L., and Tuan, R.S. (1999). Expression of the paired-box genes Pax-1 and Pax-9 in limb skeleton development. *Dev Dyn* **214**(2), 101-15.

Lee, K.K., Ohyama, T., Yajima, N., Tsubuki, S., and Yonehara, S. (2001). MST, a physiological caspase substrate, highly sensitizes apoptosis both upstream and downstream of caspase activation. *J Biol Chem* **276**(22), 19276-85.

Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Melino, G., and Wang, J.Y. (1999). Structure, function and regulation of p63 and p73. *Cell death and differentiation* **6**(12), 1146-53.

Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Wang, J.Y., and Melino, G. (2000). The p53/p63/p73 family of transcription factors: overlapping and distinct functions. *J Cell Sci* **113** ( **Pt 10**), 1661-70.

Lima, R.T., Busacca, S., Almeida, G.M., Gaudino, G., Fennell, D.A., and Vasconcelos, M.H. (2011). MicroRNA regulation of core apoptosis pathways in cancer. *Eur J Cancer* **47**(2), 163-74.

Liu, Z.G., Baskaran, R., Lea-Chou, E.T., Wood, L.D., Chen, Y., Karin, M., and Wang, J.Y. (1996). Three distinct signalling responses by murine fibroblasts to genotoxic stress. *Nature* **384**(6606), 273-6.

McGlinn, E., van Bueren, K.L., Fiorenza, S., Mo, R., Poh, A.M., Forrest, A., Soares, M.B., Bonaldo Mde, F., Grimmond, S., Hui, C.C., Wainwright, B., and Wicking, C. (2005). Pax9 and Jagged1 act downstream of Gli3 in vertebrate limb development. *Mech Dev* **122**(11), 1218-33.

Mikheeva, S., Barrier, M., Little, S.A., Beyer, R., Mikheev, A.M., Kerr, M.K., and Mirkes, P.E. (2004). Alterations in gene expression induced in day-9 mouse embryos exposed to hyperthermia (HS) or 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide (4CP): analysis using cDNA microarrays. *Toxicol Sci* **79**(2), 345-59.

Minguillon, C., Nishimoto, S., Wood, S., Vendrell, E., Gibson-Brown, J.J., and Logan, M.P.O. (2012). Hox genes regulate the onset of <em>Tbx5</em> expression in the forelimb. *Development* **139**(17), 3180-3188.

Mirkes, P.E., Wilson, K.L., and Cornel, L.M. (2000). Teratogen-induced activation of ERK, JNK, and p38 MAP kinases in early postimplantation murine embryos. *Teratology* **62**(1), 14-25.

Moallem, S.A., and Hales, B.F. (1998). The role of p53 and cell death by apoptosis and necrosis in 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide-induced limb malformations. *Development* **125**(16), 3225-34.

Munsch, D., Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Bourdon, J.C., Nagata, S., May, E., Yonish-Rouach, E., and Reisdorf, P. (2000). Human and mouse Fas (APO-1/CD95) death receptor genes each contain a p53-responsive element that is activated by p53 mutants unable to induce apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **275**(6), 3867-72.

Murray-Zmijewski, F., Lane, D.P., and Bourdon, J.C. (2006). p53/p63/p73 isoforms: an orchestra of isoforms to harmonise cell differentiation and response to stress. *Cell death and differentiation* **13**(6), 962-72.

Nayak, B.K., and Das, G.M. (2002). Stabilization of p53 and transactivation of its target genes in response to replication blockade. *Oncogene* **21**(47), 7226-9.

Nicol, C.J., Harrison, M.L., Laposa, R.R., Gimelshtein, I.L., and Wells, P.G. (1995). A teratologic suppressor role for p53 in benzo[a]pyrene-treated transgenic p53-deficient mice. *Nat Genet* **10**(2), 181-7.

Norimura, T., Nomoto, S., Katsuki, M., Gondo, Y., and Kondo, S. (1996). p53-dependent apoptosis suppresses radiation-induced teratogenesis. *Nat Med* **2**(5), 577-80.

Nozell, S., and Chen, X. (2002). p21B, a variant of p21(Waf1/Cip1), is induced by the p53 family. *Oncogene* **21**(8), 1285-94.

Oh, S., Lee, D., Kim, T., Kim, T.S., Oh, H.J., Hwang, C.Y., Kong, Y.Y., Kwon, K.S., and Lim, D.S. (2009). Crucial role for Mst1 and Mst2 kinases in early embryonic development of the mouse. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **29**(23), 6309-20.

Okamura, S., Arakawa, H., Tanaka, T., Nakanishi, H., Ng, C.C., Taya, Y., Monden, M., and Nakamura, Y. (2001). p53DINP1, a p53-inducible gene, regulates p53-dependent apoptosis. *Mol Cell* **8**(1), 85-94.

Ozaki, T., Naka, M., Takada, N., Tada, M., Sakiyama, S., and Nakagawara, A. (1999). Deletion of the COOH-terminal region of p73alpha enhances both its transactivation function and DNA-binding activity but inhibits induction of apoptosis in mammalian cells. *Cancer Res* **59**(23), 5902-7.

Pefani, D.E., and O'Neill, E. (2016). Hippo pathway and protection of genome stability in response to DNA damage. *FEBS J* **283**(8), 1392-403.

Pekar, O., Molotski, N., Savion, S., Fein, A., Toder, V., and Torchinsky, A. (2007). p53 regulates cyclophosphamide teratogenesis by controlling caspases 3, 8, 9 activation and NF-kappaB DNA binding. *Reproduction* **134**(2), 379-88.

Peters, H., Neubuser, A., Kratochwil, K., and Balling, R. (1998). Pax9-deficient mice lack pharyngeal pouch derivatives and teeth and exhibit craniofacial and limb abnormalities. *Genes Dev* **12**(17), 2735-47.

Petitjean, A., Ruptier, C., Tribollet, V., Hautefeuille, A., Chardon, F., Cavard, C., Puisieux, A., Hainaut, P., and Caron de Fromentel, C. (2008). Properties of the six isoforms of p63: p53-like regulation in response to genotoxic stress and cross talk with DeltaNp73. *Carcinogenesis* **29**(2), 273-81. Porrello, A., Cerone, M.A., Coen, S., Gurtner, A., Fontemaggi, G., Cimino, L., Piaggio, G., Sacchi, A., and Soddu, S. (2000). p53 regulates myogenesis by triggering the differentiation activity of pRb. *J Cell Biol* **151**(6), 1295-304.

Porter, A.G., and Janicke, R.U. (1999). Emerging roles of Caspase-3 in apoptosis. *Cell death and differentiation* **6**(2), 99-104.

Pryor, R.J., and Wittwer, C.T. (2006). Real-time polymerase chain reaction and melting curve analysis. *Methods Mol Biol* **336**, 19-32.

Riley, T., Sontag, E., Chen, P., and Levine, A. (2008). Transcriptional control of human p53-regulated genes. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **9**(5), 402-12.

Rogakou, E.P., Nieves-Neira, W., Boon, C., Pommier, Y., and Bonner, W.M. (2000). Initiation of DNA fragmentation during apoptosis induces phosphorylation of H2AX histone at serine 139. *J Biol Chem* **275**(13), 9390-5.

Rossi, M., De Simone, M., Pollice, A., Santoro, R., La Mantia, G., Guerrini, L., and Calabro, V. (2006). Itch/AIP4 associates with and promotes p63 protein degradation. *Cell Cycle* **5**(16), 1816-22.

Sanchez-Prieto, R., Sanchez-Arevalo, V.J., Servitja, J.M., and Gutkind, J.S. (2002). Regulation of p73 by c-Abl through the p38 MAP kinase pathway. *Oncogene* **21**(6), 974-9.

Sasaki, Y., Ishida, S., Morimoto, I., Yamashita, T., Kojima, T., Kihara, C., Tanaka, T., Imai, K., Nakamura, Y., and Tokino, T. (2002). The p53 family member genes are involved in the Notch signal pathway. *J Biol Chem* **277**(1), 719-24.

Satija, Y.K., and Das, S. (2016). Tyr99 phosphorylation determines the regulatory milieu of tumor suppressor p73. *Oncogene* **35**(4), 513-27.

Schuler, M., Bossy-Wetzel, E., Goldstein, J.C., Fitzgerald, P., and Green, D.R. (2000). p53 induces apoptosis by caspase activation through mitochondrial cytochrome c release. *J Biol Chem* **275**(10), 7337-42.

Seillier M., P.S., Dusetti N.J, Carrier A. (2012). Antioxidant Role of p53 and of Its Target TP53INP1. In *Antioxidant Enzyme* (M. A. El-Missiry, Ed.) Eds.), pp. 117-138. InTech.

Sen, T., Sen, N., Huang, Y., Sinha, D., Luo, Z.G., Ratovitski, E.A., and Sidransky, D. (2011). Tumor protein p63/nuclear factor kappaB feedback loop in regulation of cell death. *J Biol Chem* **286**(50), 43204-13.

Senoo, M., Manis, J.P., Alt, F.W., and McKeon, F. (2004). p63 and p73 are not required for the development and p53-dependent apoptosis of T cells. *Cancer Cell* **6**(1), 85-9.

Shi, D., and Gu, W. (2012). Dual Roles of MDM2 in the Regulation of p53: Ubiquitination Dependent and Ubiquitination Independent Mechanisms of MDM2 Repression of p53 Activity. *Genes Cancer* **3**(3-4), 240-8.

Teraishi, F., Guo, W., Zhang, L., Dong, F., Davis, J.J., Sasazuki, T., Shirasawa, S., Liu, J., and Fang, B. (2006). Activation of sterile20-like kinase 1 in proteasome inhibitor bortezomibinduced apoptosis in oncogenic K-ras-transformed cells. *Cancer Res* **66**(12), 6072-9.

Terrasson, J., Allart, S., Martin, H., Lule, J., Haddada, H., Caput, D., and Davrinche, C. (2005). p73-dependent apoptosis through death receptor: impairment by human cytomegalovirus infection. *Cancer Res* **65**(7), 2787-94.

Thiery, J., Abouzahr, S., Dorothee, G., Jalil, A., Richon, C., Vergnon, I., Mami-Chouaib, F., and Chouaib, S. (2005). p53 potentiation of tumor cell susceptibility to CTL involves Fas and mitochondrial pathways. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)* **174**(2), 871-8.

Vousden, K.H., and Prives, C. (2009). Blinded by the Light: The Growing Complexity of p53. *Cell* **137**(3), 413-31.

Wang, J., Liu, Y.X., Hande, M.P., Wong, A.C., Jin, Y.J., and Yin, Y. (2007). TAp73 is a downstream target of p53 in controlling the cellular defense against stress. *J Biol Chem* **282**(40), 29152-62.

Wang, X., Ouyang, H., Yamamoto, Y., Kumar, P.A., Wei, T.S., Dagher, R., Vincent, M., Lu, X., Bellizzi, A.M., Ho, K.Y., Crum, C.P., Xian, W., and McKeon, F. (2011). Residual embryonic cells as precursors of a Barrett's-like metaplasia. *Cell* **145**(7), 1023-35.

Ward, I.M., and Chen, J. (2001). Histone H2AX is phosphorylated in an ATR-dependent manner in response to replicational stress. *J Biol Chem* **276**(51), 47759-62.

Wei, J., O'Brien, D., Vilgelm, A., Piazuelo, M.B., Correa, P., Washington, M.K., El-Rifai, W., Peek, R.M., and Zaika, A. (2008). Interaction of Helicobacter pylori with gastric epithelial cells is mediated by the p53 protein family. *Gastroenterology* **134**(5), 1412-23.

Wen, W., Zhu, F., Zhang, J., Keum, Y.S., Zykova, T., Yao, K., Peng, C., Zheng, D., Cho, Y.Y., Ma, W.Y., Bode, A.M., and Dong, Z. (2010). MST1 promotes apoptosis through phosphorylation of histone H2AX. *J Biol Chem* **285**(50), 39108-16.

Wu, G., Nomoto, S., Hoque, M.O., Dracheva, T., Osada, M., Lee, C.C., Dong, S.M., Guo, Z., Benoit, N., Cohen, Y., Rechthand, P., Califano, J., Moon, C.S., Ratovitski, E., Jen, J., Sidransky, D., and Trink, B. (2003). DeltaNp63alpha and TAp63alpha regulate transcription of genes with distinct biological functions in cancer and development. *Cancer Res* **63**(10), 2351-7.

Wubah, J.A., Ibrahim, M.M., Gao, X., Nguyen, D., Pisano, M.M., and Knudsen, T.B. (1996). Teratogen-induced eye defects mediated by p53-dependent apoptosis. *Curr Biol* **6**(1), 60-9.

Xu, J., Krebs, L.T., and Gridley, T. (2010). Generation of mice with a conditional null allele of the Jagged2 gene. *Genesis* **48**(6), 390-3.

Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2005). Activator protein-1 (AP-1) DNA binding activity is induced by hydroxyurea in organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **85**(2), 1013-23.

Yang, A., Kaghad, M., Caput, D., and McKeon, F. (2002). On the shoulders of giants: p63, p73 and the rise of p53. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **18**(2), 90-5.

Yang, A., Schweitzer, R., Sun, D., Kaghad, M., Walker, N., Bronson, R.T., Tabin, C., Sharpe, A., Caput, D., Crum, C., and McKeon, F. (1999). p63 is essential for regenerative proliferation in limb, craniofacial and epithelial development. *Nature* **398**(6729), 714-8.

Yang, A., Walker, N., Bronson, R., Kaghad, M., Oosterwegel, M., Bonnin, J., Vagner, C., Bonnet, H., Dikkes, P., Sharpe, A., McKeon, F., and Caput, D. (2000). p73-deficient mice have neurological, pheromonal and inflammatory defects but lack spontaneous tumours. *Nature* **404**(6773), 99-103.

Yang, A., Zhu, Z., Kettenbach, A., Kapranov, P., McKeon, F., Gingeras, T.R., and Struhl, K. (2010). Genome-wide mapping indicates that p73 and p63 co-occupy target sites and have similar dna-binding profiles in vivo. *PLoS One* **5**(7), e11572.

Zeman, M.K., and Cimprich, K.A. (2014). Causes and consequences of replication stress. *Nat Cell Biol* **16**(1), 2-9.

Zeugin, J.A.J.J.A. (1985). Ethanol precipitation of DNA. Focus 7(4), 1-2.

Zhu, F., Dolle, M.E., Berton, T.R., Kuiper, R.V., Capps, C., Espejo, A., McArthur, M.J., Bedford, M.T., van Steeg, H., de Vries, A., and Johnson, D.G. (2010). Mouse models for the p53 R72P polymorphism mimic human phenotypes. *Cancer Res* **70**(14), 5851-9.

#### **CONNECTING TEXT**

In chapter III, we observed that P53 is the primary member of the P53 family of transcription factors that is activated in the embryonic stress response to hydroxyurea exposure. While P63 and P73 are detected under normal and stress conditions in the embryo, their levels of expression and activation are unaffected by hydroxyurea; only P53 is capable of inducing the common downstream targets that are involved in cell cycle arrest and cell death in hydroxyurea-exposed embryos. In chapter IV, we use *Trp53* null fetuses to inquire whether the activation of P53 acts to suppress or induce the embryotoxic effects of hydroxyurea.

# **CHAPTER IV**

# P53 Suppresses Hydroxyurea Embryotoxicity

Nazem El Husseini and Barbara F. Hales

Submitted Manuscript

#### ABSTRACT

Hydroxyurea is a potent teratogen in mice, causing severe limb and skeletal defects. Treatment of timed pregnant mice on gestational day (GD) 9 with hydroxyurea elicits an early embryonic stress response that involves activation of the P53 transcription factor. Whether P53 activation is a mechanism of suppression or enhancement of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity is unknown. To address this question we treated  $Trp53^{+/-}$  timed pregnant mice with saline or hydroxyurea (200 or 400 mg/kg) on GD 9; fetuses were examined for viability and external malformations on GD 18. Treatment with saline resulted in a low incidence of resorptions and malformations in  $Trp53^{+/-}$ and  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses. After treatment with 200 mg/kg hydroxyurea, the incidence of resorptions was elevated for  $Trp53^{+/-}$  fetuses; malformations were observed among both the  $Trp53^{+/-}$  and  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses. Treatment with 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea elicited an increased incidence of resorptions among fetuses from all three genotypes; none of the Trp53-deficient fetuses survived. Moreover, at this dose of hydroxyurea exencephaly and limb and tail defects were observed in a high proportion of the surviving  $Trp53^{+/+}$  and  $Trp53^{+/-}$  fetuses. These data demonstrate that fetal P53 genotype is an important determinant of the effects of hydroxyurea during organogenesis; P53 acts to suppress the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

P53 is a tumor suppressor protein that acts as a transcription factor in response to various stress stimuli, including genotoxic and oxidative stress (Kruse and Gu, 2009). Under normal conditions P53 concentrations are tightly controlled; in response to stress P53 is post-translationally modified and translocated to the nucleus where it upregulates the transcription of several downstream targets involved in apoptosis and cell cycle arrest (El Husseini, *et al.*, 2016; Granetto *et al.*, 1996). P53 deletions (e.g. in *Trp53*-deficient mice) and gene mutations (in mice and humans) are associated with an increase in the incidence of tumors (Donehower, *et al.*, 1992; Hollstein *et al.*, 1991).

In the murine embryo, *Trp53* expression is maintained at low concentrations throughout development, but increasing levels are detected during the critical stage of organogenesis, as early as gestational day (GD) 8 and up to GD 12 (Chen, *et al.*, 2015; Schmid, *et al.*, 1991). P53 is important for proper embryonic development; a subset of *Trp53*-null embryos display birth defects, such as exencephaly and limb malformations (Armstrong, *et al.*, 1995; Donehower, *et al.*, 1992; Sah, *et al.*, 1995). In response to genotoxic stress, P53 activity increases dramatically in several regions of the organogenesis-stage embryo (Gottlieb, *et al.*, 1997; Komarova, *et al.*, 1997). Exposure to teratogens that induce genotoxic stress leads to significant upregulation of P53 protein levels and of the transcript levels of many of the P53 downstream targets that are involved in apoptosis (Gottlieb, *et al.*, 1997; Mikheeva, *et al.*, 2004; Moallem and Hales, 1998; Norimura, *et al.*, 1996). Embryos lacking P53 show higher rates of malformations when exposed to various genotoxic teratogens, such as 2-chloro-2-deoxyadenosine, show a reduced incidence of specific malformations in the absence of P53 (Wubah, *et al.*, 1996). Thus, the role of P53 as a suppressor or inducer of embryotoxicity is uncertain.

Hydroxyurea is an anti-neoplastic agent that is used to treat chronic myeloid leukemia and sickle cell anemia (Bristol-Myers-Squib, 2016; Davies and Gilmore, 2003). It is a genotoxicant that inhibits ribonucleotide reductase, resulting in the depletion of deoxyribonucleotides and the stalling of the DNA replication fork (Fishbein and Carbone, 1963). It induces cell cycle arrest at the G1/S phase and leads to cell death by apoptosis in proliferating cells (Heo, *et al.*, 2014; Kovacic, 2011; Philips, *et al.*, 1967). Since organogenesis is dependent on rapidly proliferating cells, embryos at this stage of development are highly susceptible to the

effects of hydroxyurea (Aliverti, *et al.*, 1980; DeSesso, *et al.*, 2000; DeSesso and Jordan, 1977). The treatment of timed pregnant CD-1 mice with hydroxyurea on GD 9 produces dosedependent increases in the incidence of resorptions and fetuses with severe limb (e.g. syndactyly, oligodactyly), tail (e.g. hypoplastic or curly tails) and skeletal defects (e.g. amelia, short ribs) (Schlisser and Hales, 2013; Yan and Hales, 2005). Exposure to hydroxyurea also elicits an early stress response in the embryo that involves the upregulation of P53 and its downstream signaling pathways (El Husseini, *et al.*, 2016). The activation of P53 promotes caspase-mediated apoptosis via the cleavage and activation of Caspase-3 (Chapter III). Whether the activity of P53 in the early embryonic stress response influences the outcome of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity remains to be determined. Here we have tested the hypothesis that P53 acts as a suppressor of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Experimental Animals**

*Trp53* transgenic mice (B6.129S2-Trp53tm1Tyj/J) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Sacramento, CA, USA) and housed in the McIntyre Animal Resource Centre (Montreal, QC, Canada) to maintain and expand colonies. *Trp53* heterozygote females were mated with *Trp53* heterozygote males so that only the fetal genotypes differ within each litter. One breeding pair per cage was housed overnight and the females were separated from the males at 10:00 AM the following day (designated as GD 0). Animal treatments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines outlined in the Canadian Guide to the Care and Use of Experimental Animals. Between 8:00 and 10:00 AM on GD 9 pregnant dams were treated with either saline (SAL, n= 5), 200 mg/kg (HU200, n= 4) or 400 mg/kg (HU400, n=4) hydroxyurea (Aldrich Chemical Co., Madison, WI) by intraperitoneal injection.

#### **Examination of Pregnancy Outcome and External Malformations**

On GD 18, all dams were euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation and cervical dislocation. The uteri were removed and examined for implantation sites and resorptions. Fetuses that did not respond to prodding stimuli were considered dead. All fetuses were numbered according to their site of implantation in ascending order, from left to right. Live fetuses were weighed after explantation and then euthanized by hypothermia. Crown-rump lengths (CRL) were determined using a digital caliper. External malformations were then recorded, including the type, incidence and severity. Internal tissues, as well as tissues from resorption sites, were collected from each transgenic fetus for genotyping.

#### **DNA Extraction**

The DNA of *Trp53* adult and fetal transgenic mice was extracted from tail tips or internal tissues using a modified version of the ethanol precipitation method (Zeugin, 1985). Briefly, tissue samples were kept overnight at 55° C in 250 µl of DNA extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL, 10 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1% SDS) and 0.4 mg/ml proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Samples were then centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min to remove fur and debris, followed by two additions of 100% ethanol to the supernatant. Lastly, the sample was centrifuged to precipitate the DNA, the ethanol was discarded and the pellet left to air dry. DNA

was then dissolved in distilled water and the concentration was determined by a NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA).

#### **Genotyping by High Resolution Melt-Curve Analysis**

To determine the zygosity of adult and fetal samples, the Power SYBR Green RNA-to-C<sub>T</sub> 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) were used to carry out high resolution melt-curve analysis (Pryor and Wittwer, 2006). Each reaction was composed of 5 µl of 25 ng/µl DNA mixed with 10 µl SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.3 µl of each of the 10 mM forward and reverse primers, and completed to a total reaction volume of 20 µl with DNAse and RNAse free water. Samples were run in singleplex for each allele. Temperature cycling was determined as per the supplier's recommended protocol; 95° C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95° C for 15 s, 60° C for 30 s, and 72° C for 30 s. Subsequently, the melt-curve stage was as follows: 95° C for 15 s, 60° C for 1 min, followed by incremental increases of 0.3° C up to 95° C. The peak melting temperatures for the mutant and wild type amplicons matched those of the supplier ( $\sim 78^{\circ}$  C and  $\sim 84^{\circ}$  C, respectively). The sequences of the forward and reverse primers for the wild type and mutant alleles of Trp53 were provided by the supplier (Wild type forward: AGGCTTAGA GGTGCAAGCTG; mutant forward: CAGCCTCTGTTCCACATACACT; common reverse: TGGATGGTGGTATACTCAGAGC) and were synthesized by Alpha DNA (Montreal, QC, Canada).

#### **Statistical Analyses**

All data were statistically analyzed using the GraphPad Prism Software (version 5, Graph Pad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA.). Implantation sites, resorptions and malformations were tabulated. Total percentages were calculated based on the total number of implantation sites or fetuses examined, of the same genotype, within each treatment group, regardless of the litter source. Data were tested by the Fisher's Exact test or 2-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni *post-hoc* multiple comparison correction, as appropriate. The level of significance for all statistical tests was set to p < 0.05.

### RESULTS

# *Trp53-/-* fetuses are more susceptible to hydroxyurea-induced embryolethality and malformations than their *Trp53+/+* littermates

The numbers of implantation sites, resorptions and malformed fetuses that were observed when GD 9 heterozygote ( $Trp53^{+/-}$ ) timed pregnancy dams were treated with saline or hydroxyurea are shown in Table 4.1. The overall incidence of resorptions among the salinetreated litters was similar to that found in wild type (C57BL/6J) saline-treated animals (data not shown) and can be attributed to the background resorption rate that is inherent to this inbred mouse strain. A trend towards an elevated resorption rate after treatment with 400 mg/kg of hydroxyurea was observed, with the highest rates of resorptions in the  $Trp53^{+/-}$  and  $Trp53^{-/-}$ fetuses (Table 4.1).

Several malformations were observed in the saline-treated litters among the  $Trp53^{+/-}$  and  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses. These included exencephaly, digit defects and tail defects (Table 4.2). After treatment with 200 mg/kg of hydroxyurea the number of malformed fetuses increased and their genotype was found to be either  $Trp53^{+/-}$  or  $Trp53^{-/-}$  (Table 4.1). After treatment with 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea all of the  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses were resorbed and a trend towards an elevated incidence of malformations was observed among the  $Trp53^{+/+}$  and  $Trp53^{+/-}$  fetuses (Table 4.1).

Interestingly, the deletion of *Trp53* did not affect fetal growth, as assessed by fetal weights and crown-rump lengths (CRLs) (Fig. 4.1). In addition, hydroxyurea treatment had no significant effect on fetal weights or CRLs.

Treatment	SAL				HU200		HU400			
Dams (n)	5				4		4			
Conceptal Genotype	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>	
Implantation Sites (n)	8	27	12	4	17	8	6	16	4	
Resorptions (n)	0	2	1	0	3	0	2	6	4	
% Resorptions	0	7	8	0	18	0	33	38	100	
Viable Fetuses (n)	8	25	11	4	14	8	4	10	0	
Malformed Fetuses (n)	0	1	3	0	2	6	4	6	NA	
% Malformed Fetuses	0	4	27	0	14	75	100	60	NA	

 Table 4.1: The fetal *Trp53* zygosity of implantation sites, resorptions and malformed fetuses

 in transgenic litters treated with saline or hydroxyurea.

*Trp53*-deficient fetuses tended to exhibit a greater percentage of resorptions (in HU400) and malformations (in SAL and HU200 groups) than their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates.

Percentages are represented as the resorbed or malformed fetuses out of the total implantation sites and fetuses examined, respectively, per genotype within each treatment group.

SAL = saline, HU = hydroxyurea, NA = not applicable.



### Figure 4.1: Hydroxyurea did not affect fetal weights or CRLs in transgenic litters.

<u>A</u>: Fetal weights in transgenic fetuses did not differ between the three possible *Trp53* genotypes in any treatment group. Each bar represents the mean weight in grams of the fetuses examined in each treatment group. <u>B</u>: Fetal CRLs in transgenic fetuses did not differ between the three possible *Trp53* genotypes in any treatment group. Each bar represents the mean weight in grams of the fetuses examined in each treatment group. SAL= saline, HU= hydroxyurea, NA= not applicable.

# The majority of malformations induced by hydroxyurea are in the caudal region of the fetus

Several  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses among the litters that were exposed to saline (i.e. control) exhibited exencephaly and tail defects at levels that tended to be greater than what was observed in their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates (Table 4.2). The range of malformations observed among fetuses exposed to hydroxyurea included craniofacial anomalies (e.g. exencephaly), abdominal defects (e.g. gastroschisis), and a multitude of limb and tail abnormalities (Table 4.2). The  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses exposed to 200 mg/kg hydroxyurea showed a trend towards a higher incidence of syndactyly and hypoplastic tails compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates (Table 4.2).

Table 4.2: Types and incidence of malformations in transgenic litters by *Trp53* zygosity, in saline or hydroxyurea treatment groups.

		SAL			HU200			HU400		
		<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<b>Trp53</b> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/+</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>+/-</sup>	<i>Trp53</i> <sup>-/-</sup>
Craniofacial	Exencephaly	-	-	2 (18.2)	-	-	-	-	-	NA
	Cleft Lip	-	-	-	-	-	1 (12.5)	-	-	NA
Abdominal	Gastroschisis	-	-	-	-	-	2 (25.0)	-	-	NA
	Edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (25.0)	3 (30.0)	NA
Forelimb	Syndactyly	-	-	1 (8.3)	-	-	-	-	1 (10.0)	NA
	Oligodactyly =1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (10.0)	NA
Hindlimb	Syndactyly	-	1 (4.0)	-	-	2 (14.3)	2 (25.0)	2 (50.0)	1 (10.0)	NA
	Oligodactyly =1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 (75.0)	-	NA
Tail	Curly/ Hypoplastic	-	1 (4.0)	3 (27.3)	-	-	3 (37.5)	1 (25.0)	4 (40.0)	NA

Percentages (in parentheses) are represented as the malformed fetuses out of the fetuses examined per genotype within each treatment group; a single fetus may be represented more than once in listing individual defects. SAL = saline, HU = hydroxyurea, NA = not applicable. Oligodactyly =1 indicates missing one digit on any limb; oligodactyly >1 indicates missing more than one digit on any limb.

#### DISCUSSION

The overall impact of hydroxyurea exposure during organogenesis was enhanced in P53 heterozygote and null fetuses. Specifically, a trend towards higher rates of resorptions and malformations were observed in  $Trp53^{-/-}$  fetuses compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates. This suggests that P53 plays a role in limiting the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea. The P53 protein has been implicated in the ability of embryos to cope with a teratogenic exposure in several studies (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010). Ionizing radiation, cyclophosphamide, benzo[a]pyrene and phenytoin all increased the incidence of resorptions and malformations in fetuses lacking Trp53 compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  counterparts (Kato, *et al.*, 2001; Moallem and Hales, 1998; Nicol, *et al.*, 1995; Norimura, *et al.*, 1996; Wells, *et al.*, 2009). All these agents are well-characterized teratogens that share a common mechanistic outcome: the induction of apoptosis as a result of oxidative stress and DNA damage (Banh and Hales, 2013; Chaube and Murphy, 1966; DeSesso, *et al.*, 2000; Schlisser and Hales, 2013; Torchinsky and Toder, 2010).

We observed a trend towards a higher incidence of malformations in saline-treated *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses than their *Trp53<sup>+/+</sup>* counterparts. These malformations included tail defects and exencephaly, which are reminiscent of observations from earlier studies of *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos (Sah, *et al.*, 1995). During organogenesis, P53 activity is tightly controlled and is mainly restricted to the limb buds, where it helps "sculpt" the digits of the hind- and fore-limbs, and the neural tube, where it regulates the local cell turnover that is essential for proper neural tube closure (Choi and Donehower, 1999; Mori, *et al.*, 1995). These processes are driven by P53-regulated cell cycle progression and apoptosis (Donehower, *et al.*, 1992; Mendrysa *et al.*, 2011). Thus, an imbalance in P53-mediated regulation of cell cycle progression and apoptosis would disrupt limb development, leading to merged or absent digits, and prevent neural tubes from closing properly, resulting in the defects that are observed.

P53-mediated apoptosis increases in several tissues after exposure to a DNA damaging agent, resulting in the selective disposal of irreparable damaged cells to enable preservation of the integrity of the surrounding tissue and allow proper organ development (Appella and Anderson, 2001; Jacobson *et al.*, 1997; Zhang, *et al.*, 2017). Interestingly, hydroxyurea treatment of GD 9 *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos failed to activate Caspase-3 and to induce the expression of pro-apoptotic factors (*Fas, Trp53inp1, Pmaip1*) (Chapter III). Previous studies reported that *Trp53<sup>+/+</sup>* fetuses exposed to ionizing radiation displayed lower rates of malformation than

*Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses, yet showed an increase in apoptotic cells that was not observed in *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses (Kato, *et al.*, 2001; Norimura, *et al.*, 1996). Other studies have shown that a decrease in apoptosis may lead to unregulated forms of cell death, such as necrosis (Kerr *et al.*, 1972; Kuida *et al.*, 1996). Indeed, *Trp53*-deficient murine limb buds exposed to teratogenic doses of 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide displayed higher rates of malformation, compared to wild type, and underwent necrosis, as shown by the rupture of cell membranes and lack of DNA fragmentation (Moallem and Hales, 1998). As such, it is possible that the absence of P53 renders the embryo more susceptible to hydroxyurea embryotoxicity due to its inability to dispose of damaged cells via apoptosis, causing widespread irrevocable tissue damage, resulting in an elevated incidence of malformations and resorptions.

The ability of P53 to limit hydroxyurea embryotoxicity may be dose-dependent. In transgenic litters; 200 mg/kg hydroxyurea resulted in a higher percentage of malformed Trp53<sup>-/-</sup> fetuses than  $Trp53^{+/+}$ , however at 400 mg/kg the rate of malformations in  $Trp53^{+/+}$  fetuses increased and was almost equal to that of  $Trp53^{+/-}$  fetuses. Earlier reports showed that when  $Trp53^{+/+}$  fetuses were exposed to a high rate of ionizing radiation (1.06 Gy/min) a 70% increase in the incidence of malformations occurred, while the incidence of malformations was not higher than the control at 1.2 mGy/min (Kato, et al., 2001). The ability of the embryo to counter damaging effects appears to rely on a native characteristic of P53 function during embryonic development; Zhang et al. demonstrated that mildly-stressed embryonic cells are marked for elimination by P53 and are "out-competed" by healthier surrounding cells as an adaptive response that aims to protect organs from maldevelopment (Zhang, et al., 2017). On the other hand, higher genotoxic stress levels may result in P53 activity reaching a "tipping point", going from an adaptive response to an adverse response, where P53-mediated apoptosis surpasses the adaptive threshold and results in irrevocable tissue death, failure to replace this tissue leads to malformed organs (Currier et al., 2016; Vousden and Lane, 2007). Thus, P53 can suppress hydroxyurea-induced birth defects up to a certain "threshold".

While most studies indicate that P53 acts as a suppressor of the embryotoxicity of various teratogens, a few studies indicate the opposite. Wubah et al. demonstrated that *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses treated with the nucleoside analog 2-chloro-2-deoxyadenosine on GD 8 had lower incidences of eye defects (i.e. microphthalmia) than their wild type counterparts (Wubah, *et al.*, 1996). This effect appears to rely on the ability of P53 to induce apoptosis; after treatment with 2-chloro-2-

deoxyadenosine  $Trp53^{+/+}$  fetuses displayed more rampant apoptosis in the eye compared to *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses (Wubah *et al.*, 2001). In addition, a correlation between increasing 2-chloro-2deoxyadenosine dose and P53 induction was observed in wild type CD-1 (i.e. outbred) mice, showing that P53 responds to 2-chloro-2-deoxyadenosine -induced damage (Wubah, et al., 2001). A possible explanation for the discrepancy between studies that indicate P53 is acting as a suppressor of embryotoxicity and others that indicate that it induces embryotoxicity, is the degree of stress induced by the different teratogens that were examined. It is likely that the DNA lesions caused by 2-chloro-2-deoxyadenosine initiate a more acute and restricted response in the embryo than do hydroxyurea, benzo[a]pyrene, cyclophosphamide or phenytoin, all of which may cause widespread oxidative and genotoxic stress, (Torchinsky and Toder, 2010; Wells, et al., 2009). Furthermore, the ocular lens seems to be highly sensitive to P53-dependent apoptosis, increasing the chance of malformations due to irrevocable tissue death compared to other organs after exposure to P53-activating teratogens (Nakamura et al., 1995; Pan and Griep, 1995). Thus, depending on the sensitivity of the organ, and the mechanism of action and/or the level of stress that the teratogens induce, P53 activity may produce different outcomes (Kato, *et al.*, 2001; Wubah, et al., 1996).

While more litters and sample numbers are required to reach a definitive conclusion, we herein demonstrated a trend towards increasing susceptibility to malformations at 200 mg/kg hydroxyurea, and to resorptions at 400 mg/kg, in *Trp53*-deficient fetuses compared to *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> littermates. At the same time, we showed that increasing genotoxic stress increases the rate of malformations in *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> embryos. Thus, our findings suggest a paradigm in which P53 is capable of acting as a suppressor of embryotoxicity up to a certain threshold.

#### REFERENCES

Aliverti, V., Bonanomi, L., and Giavini, E. (1980). Hydroxyurea as a reference standard in teratological screening. Comparison of the embryotoxic and teratogenic effects following single intraperitoneal or repeated oral administrations to pregnant rats. *Archives of toxicology. Supplement.* = *Archiv fur Toxikologie. Supplement* **4**, 239-47.

Appella, E., and Anderson, C.W. (2001). Post-translational modifications and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. *Eur J Biochem* **268**(10), 2764-72.

Armstrong, J.F., Kaufman, M.H., Harrison, D.J., and Clarke, A.R. (1995). Highfrequency developmental abnormalities in p53-deficient mice. *Curr Biol* **5**(8), 931-6.

Banh, S., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Hydroxyurea exposure triggers tissue-specific activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling and the DNA damage response in organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **133**(2), 298-308.

Bristol-Myers-Squib (2016). HYDREA (hydroxyurea) capsules, for oral use In (

Chaube, S., and Murphy, M.L. (1966). The effects of hydroxyurea and related compounds on the rat fetus. *Cancer Res* **26**(7), 1448-57.

Chen, L., Zhang, G.X., Zhou, Y., Zhang, C.X., Xie, Y.Y., Xiang, C., He, X.Y., Zhang, Q., and Liu, G. (2015). BAC transgenic mice provide evidence that p53 expression is highly regulated in vivo. *Cell Death Dis* **6**, e1878.

Choi, J., and Donehower, L.A. (1999). p53 in embryonic development: maintaining a fine balance. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **55**(1), 38-47.

Currier, J.M., Cheng, W.Y., Menendez, D., Conolly, R., and Chorley, B.N. (2016). Developing a Gene Biomarker at the Tipping Point of Adaptive and Adverse Responses in Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells. *PLoS One* **11**(5), e0155875.

Davies, S.C., and Gilmore, A. (2003). The role of hydroxyurea in the management of sickle cell disease. *Blood Rev* **17**(2), 99-109.

DeSesso, J.M., Jacobson, C.F., Scialli, A.R., and Goeringer, G.C. (2000). Hydroxylamine moiety of developmental toxicants is associated with early cell death: a structure-activity analysis. *Teratology* **62**(5), 346-55.

DeSesso, J.M., and Jordan, R.L. (1977). Drug-induced limb dysplasias in fetal rabbits. *Teratology* **15**(2), 199-211.

Donehower, L.A., Harvey, M., Slagle, B.L., McArthur, M.J., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Butel, J.S., and Bradley, A. (1992). Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous tumours. *Nature* **356**(6366), 215-21.

El Husseini, N., Schlisser, A.E., and Hales, B.F. (2016). Editor's Highlight: Hydroxyurea Exposure Activates the P53 Signaling Pathway in Murine Organogenesis-Stage Embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **152**(2), 297-308.

Fishbein, W.N., and Carbone, P.P. (1963). Hydroxyurea: Mechanism of Action. *Science* **142**(3595), 1069-70.

Gottlieb, E., Haffner, R., King, A., Asher, G., Gruss, P., Lonai, P., and Oren, M. (1997). Transgenic mouse model for studying the transcriptional activity of the p53 protein: age- and tissue-dependent changes in radiation-induced activation during embryogenesis. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1381-90.

Granetto, C., Ottaggio, L., Abbondandolo, A., and Bonatti, S. (1996). p53 accumulates in micronuclei after treatment with a DNA breaking chemical, methylnitrosourea, and with the spindle poison, vinblastine. *Mutat Res* **352**(1-2), 61-4.

Heo, S.H., Cha, Y., and Park, K.S. (2014). Hydroxyurea induces a hypersensitive apoptotic response in mouse embryonic stem cells through p38-dependent acetylation of p53. *Stem Cells Dev* **23**(20), 2435-42.

Hollstein, M., Sidransky, D., Vogelstein, B., and Harris, C. (1991). p53 mutations in human cancers. *Science* **253**(5015), 49-53.

Jacobson, M.D., Weil, M., and Raff, M.C. (1997). Programmed cell death in animal development. *Cell* **88**(3), 347-54.

Kato, F., Ootsuyama, A., Nomoto, S., Kondo, S., and Norimura, T. (2001). Threshold effect for teratogenic risk of radiation depends on dose-rate and p53-dependent apoptosis. *Int J Radiat Biol* 77(1), 13-9.

Kerr, J.F., Wyllie, A.H., and Currie, A.R. (1972). Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. *British journal of cancer* **26**(4), 239-57.

Komarova, E.A., Chernov, M.V., Franks, R., Wang, K., Armin, G., Zelnick, C.R., Chin, D.M., Bacus, S.S., Stark, G.R., and Gudkov, A.V. (1997). Transgenic mice with p53-responsive

lacZ: p53 activity varies dramatically during normal development and determines radiation and drug sensitivity in vivo. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1391-400.

Kovacic, P. (2011). Hydroxyurea (therapeutics and mechanism): metabolism, carbamoyl nitroso, nitroxyl, radicals, cell signaling and clinical applications. *Med Hypotheses* **76**(1), 24-31.

Kruse, J.P., and Gu, W. (2009). Modes of p53 regulation. Cell 137(4), 609-22.

Kuida, K., Zheng, T.S., Na, S., Kuan, C., Yang, D., Karasuyama, H., Rakic, P., and

Flavell, R.A. (1996). Decreased apoptosis in the brain and premature lethality in CPP32-deficient mice. *Nature* **384**(6607), 368-72.

Mendrysa, S.M., Ghassemifar, S., and Malek, R. (2011). p53 in the CNS: Perspectives on Development, Stem Cells, and Cancer. *Genes & Cancer* **2**(4), 431-442.

Mikheeva, S., Barrier, M., Little, S.A., Beyer, R., Mikheev, A.M., Kerr, M.K., and Mirkes, P.E. (2004). Alterations in gene expression induced in day-9 mouse embryos exposed to hyperthermia (HS) or 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide (4CP): analysis using cDNA microarrays. *Toxicol Sci* **79**(2), 345-59.

Moallem, S.A., and Hales, B.F. (1998). The role of p53 and cell death by apoptosis and necrosis in 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide-induced limb malformations. *Development* **125**(16), 3225-34.

Mori, C., Nakamura, N., Kimura, S., Irie, H., Takigawa, T., and Shiota, K. (1995). Programmed cell death in the interdigital tissue of the fetal mouse limb is apoptosis with DNA fragmentation. *The Anatomical record* **242**(1), 103-10.

Nakamura, T., Pichel, J.G., Williams-Simons, L., and Westphal, H. (1995). An apoptotic defect in lens differentiation caused by human p53 is rescued by a mutant allele. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**(13), 6142-6.

Nicol, C.J., Harrison, M.L., Laposa, R.R., Gimelshtein, I.L., and Wells, P.G. (1995). A teratologic suppressor role for p53 in benzo[a]pyrene-treated transgenic p53-deficient mice. *Nat Genet* **10**(2), 181-7.

Norimura, T., Nomoto, S., Katsuki, M., Gondo, Y., and Kondo, S. (1996). p53-dependent apoptosis suppresses radiation-induced teratogenesis. *Nat Med* **2**(5), 577-80.

Pan, H., and Griep, A.E. (1995). Temporally distinct patterns of p53-dependent and p53independent apoptosis during mouse lens development. *Genes Dev* **9**(17), 2157-69. Philips, F.S., Sternberg, S.S., Schwartz, H.S., Cronin, A.P., Sodergren, J.E., and Vidal, P.M. (1967). Hydroxyurea. I. Acute cell death in proliferating tissues in rats. *Cancer Res* **27**(1), 61-75.

Pryor, R.J., and Wittwer, C.T. (2006). Real-time polymerase chain reaction and melting curve analysis. *Methods Mol Biol* **336**, 19-32.

Sah, V.P., Attardi, L.D., Mulligan, G.J., Williams, B.O., Bronson, R.T., and Jacks, T.

(1995). A subset of p53-deficient embryos exhibit exencephaly. Nat Genet 10(2), 175-80.

Schlisser, A.E., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Deprenyl enhances the teratogenicity of hydroxyurea in organogenesis stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **134**(2), 391-9.

Schmid, P., Lorenz, A., Hameister, H., and Montenarh, M. (1991). Expression of p53 during mouse embryogenesis. *Development* **113**(3), 857-65.

Torchinsky, A., and Toder, V. (2010). Mechanisms of the embryo's response to embryopathic stressors: a focus on p53. *J Reprod Immunol* **85**(1), 76-80.

Vousden, K.H., and Lane, D.P. (2007). p53 in health and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **8**(4), 275-83.

Wells, P.G., McCallum, G.P., Chen, C.S., Henderson, J.T., Lee, C.J., Perstin, J., Preston, T.J., Wiley, M.J., and Wong, A.W. (2009). Oxidative stress in developmental origins of disease: teratogenesis, neurodevelopmental deficits, and cancer. *Toxicol Sci* **108**(1), 4-18.

Wubah, J.A., Ibrahim, M.M., Gao, X., Nguyen, D., Pisano, M.M., and Knudsen, T.B. (1996). Teratogen-induced eye defects mediated by p53-dependent apoptosis. *Curr Biol* **6**(1), 60-9.

Wubah, J.A., Setzer, R.W., Lau, C., Charlap, J.H., and Knudsen, T.B. (2001). Exposuredisease continuum for 2-chloro-2'-deoxyadenosine, a prototype ocular teratogen. 1. Doseresponse analysis. *Teratology* **64**(3), 154-69.

Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2005). Activator protein-1 (AP-1) DNA binding activity is induced by hydroxyurea in organogenesis stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **85**(2), 1013-23.

Zeugin, J.A.J.J.A. (1985). Ethanol precipitation of DNA. Focus 7(4), 1-2.

Zhang, G., Xie, Y., Zhou, Y., Xiang, C., Chen, L., Zhang, C., Hou, X., Chen, J., Zong, H., and Liu, G. (2017). p53 pathway is involved in cell competition during mouse embryogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**(3), 498-503.

# CHAPTER V

Discussion

#### 5.1 Summary

The purpose of the research in this thesis is to better understand the embryonic response to stress during the sensitive developmental stage of organogenesis. In response to hydroxyurea, several signaling pathways are activated in the murine embryo on GD 9 that are involved in cell cycle regulation and apoptosis, including the P53 signaling pathway. We found that the P53 protein is robustly responsive to teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea, leading to the upregulation of transcripts involved in cell cycle arrest, DNA damage repair and apoptosis. The other P53 homologs, P63 and P73, do not respond in a similar fashion and fail to regulate factors involved in cell cycle progression and cell death in the absence of P53. Lastly, we show that P53 acts to suppress hydroxyurea's embryotoxicity since embryos lacking the *Trp53* gene are noticeably more susceptible to resorptions and malformations compared to their *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> littermates. These findings indicate a crucial role for P53 in the embryonic stress response to teratogenic insult, and the manifestation of congenital malformations associated with hydroxyurea exposure in murine embryos.

#### 5.2 The early embryonic response to oxidative and genotoxic stress

The organogenesis stage embryo is susceptible to various forms of extrinsic stress that can have lasting damaging effects that manifest as congenital malformations (Wells, *et al.*, 2010). The sensitivity of the embryo to various forms of insult at this stage stems from its immature and limited capacity to adapt to and repair the damage caused by such stresses, including oxidative and genotoxic stress.

We found that hydroxyurea induces the expression of several transcripts involved in DNA damage repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in embryos within 3 hours of treatment. Interestingly, transcripts coding for antioxidant elements, such as glutathione regulating enzymes, were not affected at the transcriptional level, although in earlier studies the GSH/GSSG ratio was disrupted by hydroxyurea exposure, leading to a significant decrease in GSH levels compared to GSSG (Schlisser and Hales, 2013). In addition, hydroxyurea exposure increased the levels of 4-HNE protein adducts in a dose-dependent fashion (Schlisser, *et al.*, 2010). A possible explanation for the lack of a strong antioxidant response is the immaturity of the embryonic antioxidant system in early organogenesis (Choe, *et al.*, 2001; Hansen, 2006). During this period, the embryo experiences an increased oxidative physiological environment as

a result of switching from anaerobic to aerobic respiration, which makes its redox balance very delicate and susceptible to extrinsic oxidizing agents. Thus, it may be that once exposed to hydroxyurea the embryo is incapable of efficiently adapting to the disruption in its redox balance, such as by increasing the transcription of glutathione regulating factors that can restore the balance in the long term. Instead, the affected cells have a more immediate, short-term response at the protein level which includes activating P53, increasing P53INP1 concentrations and promoting the transcription of cell cycle arrest and pro-apoptotic factors as a means to remove irreparable cells and thus limit the damage to the conceptus.

Hydroxyurea exposure also increased expression of transcripts involved in DNA damage repair, such as *Zfp365* and *Atm*, however many other DNA repair factors were not significantly affected (i.e. *Xrcc1*, *Lig1*, *Apex*, *Ung*). We observed increased  $\gamma$ H2AX concentrations in *Trp53*<sup>+/+</sup> embryos (Chapter III), and it was previously shown that hydroxyurea exposure increased  $\gamma$ H2AX foci mainly in the caudal region of the embryo, where most malformations were manifested (Banh and Hales, 2013). Hydroxyurea is known to be a potent genotoxicant, leading to DNA replication fork stalling and causing DNA strand breaks (Kovacic, 2011). Several teratogens are potent genotoxicants, such as UV light, benzo[a]pyrene and cyclophosphamide (Moallem and Hales, 1998; Nicol, *et al.*, 1995; Norimura, *et al.*, 1996). The capability of the embryo to repair DNA damage due to strand breaks and oxidative damage is quite limited during early organogenesis; many – but not all – of the DNA repair factors are in low abundance and fail to overcome the damage induced by extrinsic genotoxic agents (Vinson and Hales, 2002a).

Thus, the weak antioxidant defenses and immature DNA repair mechanisms of the embryo render it susceptible to insult from hydroxyurea, leading to DNA strand breaks, the disruption of protein function and the activation of stress response pathways (Wells, *et al.*, 2009). With teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea, the resulting stress response "tips the balance" from an adaptive to a more adverse effect leading to the manifestation of malformations and embryolethality (Brill, *et al.*, 1999; Hood, 2016).
# 5.3 Expression of skeletal and limb developmental genes in the embryonic response to genotoxic stress

Interestingly, CD-1 embryos exposed to hydroxyurea showed changes in the expression of several genes involved in skeletal and limb development (Chapter II). The analysis of microarray data using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis<sup>TM</sup> (IPA) revealed that the expression of several transcripts related to skeletal and limb development was significantly affected by at least 1.5 fold compared to control. One of these transcripts is Jag2, which is involved in the Notch signaling pathway and is important for the formation of the limb apical ectodermal ridge (AER). It also ensures proper digit formation by controlling the apoptosis of the interdigital space; knocking out Jag2 leads to a disrupted AER and the fusion of digits in mouse embryos (Jiang, et al., 1998; Pan et al., 2005; Xu, et al., 2010). Interestingly, our studies showed that Jag2 expression in response to hydroxyurea is influenced by P53 (Chapter III). Previous reports have linked other P53 family proteins, particularly P63 and P73, to Jag2 regulation (Fontemaggi, et al., 2002; Sasaki, et al., 2002; Wu, et al., 2003). While P53 is not generally considered a direct regulator of Jag2 transcription, it is possible that the effects we observe are mediated via the effects of P53 on P73 regulation; using qRT-PCR we showed that Trp73 expression is influenced by P53 (Chapter III), which is capable of binding to and activating the promoter of Trp73 (Wang, et al., 2007).

*Pax9* and *Tbx5*, both important transcription factors necessary for proper embryonic development, are two other transcripts that are downregulated by hydroxyurea (Chapter III). *Pax9* is an important factor in bone and tooth formation and responds to sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling (McGlinn, *et al.*, 2005). It is expressed during organogenesis in the distal anterior mesenchyme compartment of limb buds, as well as the maxillary and mandibular arches that give rise to the palate and teeth (LeClair, *et al.*, 1999; Peters, *et al.*, 1998). *Tbx5* is an early marker for limb bud fields, promoting the initiation of the fore-limb bud in particular, which fails to form in *Tbx5* knockout mouse embryos (Agarwal, *et al.*, 2003). Disrupting the levels of such important developmental regulators could partially contribute to the teratogenic effects of hydroxyurea, which mainly manifest as skeletal and limb deformities.

#### 5.4 The P53 signaling pathway in the early embryonic stress response

The mechanisms by which the embryo responds to oxidative and genotoxic stress are complex and involve multiple factors and signaling pathways. Even at the time of early organogenesis, several stress-sensitive signaling pathways are present and responsive. Here, we showed that exposure to hydroxyurea induces several significant changes at the transcriptional level in the organogenesis stage embryo merely 3 hours after treatment (Chapter II). Most of these transcripts cluster within signaling pathways that are involved in cell cycle regulation, apoptosis and DNA damage repair. The most prominent canonical pathway predicted by IPA<sup>TM</sup> to be activated is the P53 signaling pathway. Indeed, exposure to hydroxyurea increased P53 protein and phosphorylation levels in several tissues in the embryo, including the heart and the rostral and caudal neuroepithelium. This induction coincided with an increase in P53 transcriptional activity, as measured by elevated levels of *Cdkn1a*, *Trp53inp1* and *Fas*, which are all direct P53 downstream targets that are involved in cell cycle regulation and apoptosis.

The P53 protein is itself a downstream effector of several stress-response pathways, including the MAPK pathway, the DNA damage response pathway, and the oxidative stress response pathway. P53 is highly dependent on phosphorylation by several upstream kinases to promote its stability and dissociation from its negative regulator MDM2 (Kruse and Gu, 2009). These upstream regulators include DNA damage response kinases, such as Chk1/2 and ATR, which are activated in response to hydroxyurea (Ward and Chen, 2001). In addition, previous studies have shown that organogenesis-stage embryos exposed to hydroxyurea have an activated MAPK pathway, which involves the upregulation and activation of the P53-phosphorylating enzymes, P38 and JNK (Banh and Hales, 2013; Yan and Hales, 2008). Similarly, hydroxyurea activates the AP-1 transcription factor, which is a known redox-sensitive factor that induces antioxidant mechanisms and regulates P53 activity (Shaulian and Karin, 2001; Yan and Hales, 2005). In addition, P53 itself contains several redox-sensitive cysteine residues that alter its conformation and promote its transactivation and DNA binding capability once the redox status of the cell is disrupted (Hafsi and Hainaut, 2011). Thus, P53 is at the convergence of several upstream pathways that are activated in response to hydroxyurea, making it a signaling hub that directs the fate of cells – and consequently of embryos – in response to damaging stress. This makes P53 and its signaling pathway of great importance for the survival of the embryo after exposure to potentially damaging xenobiotics and hence a necessary subject of study.

Indeed, data gleaned from our studies and those of others can be integrated in predictive toxicological frameworks, known as adverse outcome pathways (AOPs). AOPs allow for a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular events that are initiated by environmental or pharmaceutical agents that may have toxic and teratogenic events (Vinken, 2013). They can serve as a supplemental tool that helps us to predict the potential toxicity of novel synthetic compounds, or untested chemicals, on the cellular, organismal and population levels. Efforts are currently underway to use data extracted from the ToxCast high-throughput screening and testing platforms to model the potential effects of environmental and pharmaceutical compounds on embryonic viability and development (Sipes et al., 2011). This form of predictive systems toxicology relies on understanding the P53 signaling pathway during embryogenesis; a recent study examining the toxic effects of 774 chemicals, using *in silico* modeling coupled with ToxCast data, showed the P53 signaling pathway was affected in a subset of chemicals involved in male reproductive toxicity (Leung et al., 2016). A similar study utilized P53 assays in highthroughput screening to predict the dysregulation of mitochondrial processes by chemicals that disrupt angiogenesis in an embryonic context, showing that P53 signaling was activated by 343 chemicals out of the initial 1032 used in the screen (Leung, 2016). Indeed, about 10% of the 10,000 chemicals included in the Tox21 library of testing batteries are capable of disrupting mitochondrial membrane potential, and thus may affect P53 signaling in both embryonic and adult cells (Attene-Ramos et al., 2015; Green et al., 2013; Green et al., 2011). This shows that our increasing understanding of the involvement of P53 signaling in embryonic development and stress response will prove critical to enriching our knowledge of AOPs and bolstering the goals of predictive toxicology and the Tox21 principles.

### 5.5 The P53 family of proteins in the early embryonic stress response

Our studies show that the P53 protein responds robustly to genotoxic stress induced by teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea in the organogenesis-stage embryo. P53 concentrations increase significantly in hydroxyurea-treated embryos, where it acts as a transcription factor that regulates cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. This is supported by the nuclear translocation of phosphorylated P53 and the upregulation of cell cycle arrest transcripts (e.g. *Cdkn1a, Rb1*) and pro-apoptotic transcripts (e.g. *Fas, Pmaip1, Trp53inp1*), as well as the cleavage of Caspase-3. Caspase-3 cleavage is a marker for the occurrence of apoptosis and is highly dependent on P53

activity; *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos exposed to hydroxyurea showed no detectable levels of Caspase-3 (Chapter III).

The P53 protein is well established as an inducer of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis under stress conditions, but is known to regulate basal functions during development under normal conditions as well (Kruse and Gu, 2009). In the mouse embryo, P53 expression is limited to certain periods of development and in specific tissues under normal conditions; by GD 10 it is mainly expressed in the central nervous system and the developing limb buds (Choi and Donehower, 1999; Donehower, et al., 1992). The developmental functions of P53 include the formation and closure of the neural tube; we observed a number of saline-treated *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* fetuses exhibiting exencephaly (Chapter IV), which results from a failure to close the neural tube. This observation is noted in the literature; a subset of Trp53 knockout embryos (~16%) was reported to display exencephaly (Sah, et al., 1995). Neural tube closure relies on the proper formation of neural folds that depend on local changes in cell turnover. This programmed turnover is largely a consequence of P53 regulation of cell cycle progression and apoptosis (Choi and Donehower, 1999). As such, an excessive activation of P53 is also associated with the formation of congenital defects; embryos that have over-activated P53 display exencephaly as well as a number of other defects related to the CHARGE syndrome (Van Nostrand, et al., 2014). Thus, an imbalance in P53-mediated regulation of cell cycle progression and apoptosis would prevent neural tubes from closing properly. This is also true for the development of other organs; embryonic limbs normally express P53 to "sculpt" the digits from the surrounding inter-digital space, which are removed by apoptosis (Mori, et al., 1995). However, lack of P53, or over-activation by genotoxicants, results in limb malformations (Armstrong, et al., 1995; Moallem and Hales, 1998; Wang et al., 2000). In our studies, we observed a higher incidence of limb malformations, including syndactyly, oligodactyly and amelia, in Trp53<sup>-/-</sup> embryos exposed to hydroxyurea compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates (Chapter IV). Together, these results show that a fine balance of P53 activity needs to be maintained during gestation to ensure a properly developed fetus, as the P53 protein is important for embryonic development and is susceptible to external influences from xenobiotic agents and stress factors.

Interestingly, our studies showed that other P53 family members, P63 and P73, are not influenced in the same manner as P53 by genotoxic stress during organogenesis. Although both proteins are readily detected under normal conditions, their levels are not altered by hydroxyurea

treatment (Chapter III). In addition, they are not phosphorylated by upstream kinases in the embryo, in contrast to what is observed in immortal cell lines and other *in vitro* models (Rossi, *et al.*, 2006a; Satija and Das, 2016). Moreover, while both proteins are known to act as transcription factors that regulate cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to stress, we observed that in the absence of P53, neither protein was capable of inducing the expression of *Cdkn1a, Rb1, Fas,* or *Pmaip1*, transcripts that are known to be downstream targets of these transcription factors (Chapter III). Thus, neither P63 nor P73 responds the same way as P53 does to hydroxyurea exposure. A possible explanation for this differential role in stress response during development may be the functions that P63 and P73 are responsible for during embryonic development that preclude them from acting as stress-response factors, like P53.

Both P63 and P73 are essential for embryonic development; lack of *Trp63* produces fetuses with severe limb deformities and skin defects that leads to their death soon after birth, while lack of *Trp73* produces fetuses with neurological, pheromonal and inflammatory defects (Yang, *et al.*, 1999; Yang, *et al.*, 2000). Interestingly, animals lacking either *Trp63* or *Trp73* do not develop tumors and inactivating mutations are not present in human cancers, unlike the case with *Trp53* (Moll and Slade, 2004). On the other hand, the majority of *Trp53* deficient embryos do not display congenital malformations while they are highly susceptible to cancers as they age (Donehower, *et al.*, 1992). This shows that while all three family members share similar structural homology, their functions during embryonic development differ significantly, with P63 and P73 playing a more essential part in maintaining proper gastrulation than P53.

It is possible that P63 and P73 play different roles at different stages of development (i.e. embryo vs. adult). Both P63 and P73 have been shown to be important for maintaining sufficient pools of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) during development, as well as driving cell differentiation after ESCs exit their pluripotent state (Wang *et al.*, 2017; Watt, 1998; Yang and McKeon, 2000). Using triple knockout (TKO) chimeric mouse embryos generated by CRISPER/Cas9 genome editing, Wang et al. showed that P53, P63 and P73 are essential for the differentiation of the embryonic germ layers; they regulate the expression of Wnt3 and co-operate with Smad2/3 signaling to promote genes involved in mesendodermal differentiation (Wang, *et al.*, 2017). Interestingly, most TKO embryos failed to gastrulate beyond GD 8, showing that these proteins are essential for embryonic development and tissue differentiation. However, whether the P53 family proteins play redundant roles in development and differentiation is still unclear. Van

Nostrand et al. used traditional breeding of Trp53, Trp63 and Trp73 knockout mice to generate double and triple knockout embryos, showing that the three P53 family members acted nonredundantly in embryonic development. While most of the embryos that lacked one or more of the P53 proteins displayed one or more malformations, these effects were not exacerbated in double or triple knockout embryos (Van Nostrand et al., 2017). Indeed, one embryo that lacked all three P53 family proteins had relatively normal development at GD 10 and no sign of failed gastrulation, although it did display malformations typical of Trp63-deficiency (Van Nostrand, et al., 2017). This shows that P63 and P73 are essential for proper embryonic development, while P53 is dispensable for gastrulation under normal conditions. Yet, as we have shown, in the context of genotoxic or oxidative damage during development, P53 may be the only member of this family that is capable of reacting to stress as a transcription factor that drives cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, while P63 and P73 remain relatively inactive (Chapter III). Although in vitro studies using immortal cell lines and adult tissue models showed P63 and P73 are capable of regulating cell cycle progression and apoptosis in response to DNA damage (Levrero, et al., 2000; Moll and Slade, 2004), the functions of P63 and P73 in response to DNA damage in an embryonic context have not been explored previously. Since P63 and P73 are essential for preserving gastrulation, it may be that they remain committed to this task in genotoxicantexposed embryos, while relegating the greater responsibility of the embryonic stress response to P53.

Indeed, this may be a trait related to the evolutionary origins of P53, P63 and P73. While the gene structure of the three family members is remarkably similar - where they all contain the three major domains (TAD, DBD and OD) and can produce both TA and  $\Delta$ N isoforms from different transcription start sites - there are distinct differences (Melino *et al.*, 2003). First, the full-length forms of P63 and P73 are much longer than that of P53, with 3 additional exons and a C-terminal SAM domain (Melino, *et al.*, 2003). Secondly, gene homology across species indicates that lower organisms, including protostomes such as squid and *Drosophila*, possess only one P53-like gene that resembles the structure of TA-P63 (with or without a SAM domain), while P53 and P73 only appear in more highly evolved organisms such as *Xenopus*, mouse and human (Yang, *et al.*, 2002). Thirdly, the sequence identity between P63 and P73 is far greater than between both of them and P53 (TAD: 60% vs. 25%, DBD: 85% vs. 65%, OD: 60% vs. 35%, SAM: 50% vs. 0%) (Yang, *et al.*, 2002). Lastly, while most mutations in P53 are

associated with tumor development, most mutations in P63 are associated with human congenital malformations, many of which are in the SAM domain that is absent from P53 (Brunner, *et al.*, 2002; Yang, *et al.*, 2002). This suggests that P53 originates from a prototypical gene that greatly resembles P63 and P73, and that the original function of this ancestral gene was to preserve embryonic development, mainly through stem cell maintenance. With the advent of P53, however, tumor suppression (in the form of regulating of cell cycle progression and apoptosis in response to extrinsic stressors) became a secondary, more specialized, function.

Another possible explanation for the difference in the response of the P53 family members to DNA damaging agents during organogenesis lies in the functions of their various isoforms and their efficiency in DNA-binding and induction of apoptosis compared to P53. Some reports show that the capacity of TA-P63 $\alpha$  and TA-P73 $\alpha$  to bind DNA and upregulate the transcription of downstream targets, such as Cdkn1a and Bax, is lower than that of P53 (Bamberger and Schmale, 2001; Ozaki, et al., 1999; Yang, et al., 1998). This appears to be due to the structures of TA-P63α and TA-P73α themselves; their SAM domains can auto-inhibit their activities, hindering their ability to transactivate and bind to the DNA (Ozaki, et al., 1999; Serber et al., 2002). Interestingly, this domain is absent from P53 which may be why P53 is more robust to transactivation and the induction of cell cycle arrest and apoptotic mechanisms compared to P63 and P73. Using Western blots and specific antibodies, we were able to detect several isoforms of P63 in organogenesis-stage embryos, but only the α form of P73 (Chapter III, data not shown). While other isoforms of P63, such as  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , do not have the SAM domain, they are still less efficient in binding to the promoters of downstream targets (e.g. Cdkn1a and Bax) compared to P53 (Bamberger and Schmale, 2001; Yang, et al., 1998). Hence, it appears that the structure of P53 allows it to be more sensitive and responsive to extrinsic stressors, permitting it to take a leading role in the embryonic stress response.

#### 5.6 The role of P53-mediated apoptosis in the suppression of embryotoxicity

The ability to respond quickly to a DNA damaging event, such as hydroxyurea exposure, shows that the embryo is capable of adapting to extrinsic detrimental effects. The activation of pathways that inhibit cell cycle progression and promote DNA damage repair is an attempt by the embryo to counter the damaging effects of hydroxyurea. However, embryos at the organogenesis stage have a weak arsenal of DNA damage repair factors (Vinson and Hales,

2002a) and thus may be particularly sensitive at this stage to damage from genotoxicants, like hydroxyurea.

A cell-process that is activated early in the embryo in response to hydroxyurea is apoptosis. The apoptotic machinery is present in the embryo from very early in development (Brill, *et al.*, 1999). Apoptosis, a form of programmed cell death, may be triggered when a cell is deemed to be damaged beyond repair (Elmore, 2007), or as part of normal development, such as when cells in the interdigital space undergo apoptosis to define and separate the digits (Mori, *et al.*, 1995). Apoptosis allows the dying cell to be consumed by neighboring cells, via phagocytosis, to prevent its toxic metabolites from damaging surrounding tissue (Elmore, 2007). Apoptosis can be triggered in the embryo by many stress factors, including hydroxyurea, which leads to the upregulation of pro-apoptotic factors (e.g. *Fas*, *Trp53inp1*, *Pmaip1*) and the expression of cleaved Caspase-3, the effector molecule involved in the caspase-cascade (Porter and Janicke, 1999).

The rate of apoptosis during development is tightly controlled by several signaling pathways, including that of P53 (Chipuk, *et al.*, 2004). At the same time, P53 is considered the most prominent factor in the response to DNA damaging agents (Brill, *et al.*, 1999). While apoptosis occurs normally during development, excessive levels (induced by high concentration of activated P53, for example) could disrupt normal development and cause malformations and/or embryonic death (Scott, 1977). Similarly, if a cell with damaged DNA is incapable of inducing apoptosis, it runs the risk of propagating the damaging effects to surrounding tissue and/or "daughter" cells, ultimately leading to the manifestation of congenital malformations (Wells, *et al.*, 2009). Cells that are destined to die but cannot induce apoptosis may also undergo necrosis, a form of unregulated cell death that can cause damaging effects to surrounding tissue (Elmore, 2007; Kerr, *et al.*, 1972). Moallem and Hales (1998) showed that *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* murine limbs exposed to a 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide displayed several malformations without any apoptotic hallmarks (e.g. DNA fragmentation and nuclear condensation). Indeed, closer examination of the cellular morphology of *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* limbs revealed cells displaying ruptured membranes and disintegrated organelles, a clear indication of necrosis.

These findings shed some light on the ability of P53 to suppress the embryotoxicity of known teratogens via its ability to regulate apoptosis. We observed that B6.129S2-Trp53tm1Tyj/J embryos lacking *Trp53* had a higher incidence of malformations when exposed

to hydroxyurea compared to their  $Trp53^{+/+}$  littermates (Chapter IV). Using Western blots, we showed that  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos do not express cleaved Caspase-3 when exposed to hydroxyurea, whereas  $Trp53^{+/+}$  do (Chapter III). These results suggest that when embryos are exposed to hydroxyurea, P53 suppresses the formation of defects by mediating apoptosis. Similar conclusions were drawn by other groups studying the effects of P53 in countering the embryotoxicity of different teratogens.

Nicol et al. was the first to demonstrate that Trp53-deficient embryos were more susceptible to the teratogenic effects of benzo[a]pyrene; these embryos displayed a higher incidence of an array of malformations, including short snout, club foot, curly tails and low set ears, as well as a higher rate of resorptions and postpartum lethality compared to wild type (Nicol, et al., 1995). Similarly, several studies examined the effects of ionizing radiation on inducing apoptosis in *Trp53*-deficient embryos; in wild type embryos, the embryotoxicity of ionizing radiation was linked to P53-mediated apoptosis (Kato, et al., 2001; Norimura, et al., 1996). Interestingly, 2 Gy of ionizing radiation did not increase apoptotic cells in Trp53<sup>-/-</sup> embryos exposed on GD 9.5, yet around 70% displayed malformations - a rate higher than that detected in  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos - reflecting our findings with hydroxyurea (Norimura, *et al.*, 1996). Moreover, Kato at al. showed that P53-mediated apoptosis was responsible for the removal of unrepaired cells that had sustained damage; GD 9.5  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos exposed to 2 Gy of ionizing radiation at a rate of 1.2 mGy/min displayed no increase in the rate of malformations, whereas *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos displayed malformations at levels that were 12% above control (Kato, et al., 2001). Interestingly, this was coupled with a 20% increase in apoptotic cells in the neural tube of  $Trp53^{+/+}$  embryos, which were not detected in  $Trp53^{-/-}$  embryos. Taken together, these results suggest that P53 protects the embryo from developing malformations by inducing apoptosis in tissues that are beyond repair and are destined to mal-develop, with the goal of replacing them with healthier tissue. This appears to be a native characteristic of P53 during embryonic development, where mildly-stressed cells are marked for elimination by P53 and thus are "out-competed" by healthier surrounding cells (Zhang, et al., 2017).

However, an excessive dose of a teratogen may overwhelm any P53 dependent adaptive responses, forcing it beyond its protective threshold and tipping it towards rampant apoptosis that produces malformed or resorbed fetuses (Currier, *et al.*, 2016; Torchinsky and Toder, 2010). It is likely that the ability of P53 to suppress the embryotoxicity of various DNA damaging

teratogens is limited by the dose or level of exposure. In our studies, the highest doses of hydroxyurea administered caused much higher incidences of malformations and resorptions in wild type embryos from both the CD1 strain (600 mg/kg vs. 400 mg/kg) (Chapter II) and the C57BL/6 strain (400 mg/kg vs. 200 mg/kg) (data not shown). In addition, Trp53<sup>+/+</sup> fetuses from transgenic litters exposed to 400 mg/kg hydroxyurea had a similar rate of malformation to that of  $Trp53^{+/-}$  fetuses, and a higher rate than  $Trp53^{+/+}$  fetuses exposed to 200 mg/kg (Chapter IV). Similarly, Kato et al. observed a 70% increase in the rate of malformations in wild type embryos exposed to a higher rate of ionizing radiation (1.06 Gy/min vs. 1.2 mGy/min) (Kato, et al., 2001). This dose-dependent effect is in line with Wilson's sixth principle of teratology which states "manifestations of deviant development increase in frequency and degree as dosage increases, from no-effect to the totally lethal level" (Wilson, 1959). In other words, the higher the intensity or dose of the teratogen, the greater the incidence and severity of malformations displayed, with the ultimate detrimental effect being embryolethality (i.e. resorption). Our work suggests that in the context of a DNA damaging teratogen, such as hydroxyurea, this effect is governed by P53-mediated apoptosis, which under low levels of genotoxic stress is capable of suppressing the rate of malformation, yet with increasing dose results in higher rates of resorption and malformation due to excessive apoptosis (as a result of increasing activated P53 concentrations). This describes a paradigm in which P53 is capable of acting as a suppressor of embryotoxicity up to a certain threshold.

# **5.7 Conclusions**

- 1) The results of our present studies show that the organogenesis-stage embryo is sensitive and responsive to teratogenic doses of hydroxyurea. The embryo is capable of instigating an early stress response at both the transcriptional and protein levels, with the significant involvement of the P53 signaling pathway, as well as cell death, cell cycle arrest and DNA damage repair mechanisms. P53 responds rapidly to hydroxyurea exposure and translocates to the nucleus in almost all major regions of the embryo (e.g. heart, CNE and RNE) to mediate cell cycle arrest and apoptosis via its transcriptional activity.
- 2) While the P53 homologs, P63 and P73, are present during organogenesis, their protein levels are not affected by hydroxyurea exposure, unlike P53. Also, P63 and P73 phosphorylation

does not occur. Moreover, neither P63 nor P73 can compensate for the absence of P53 in the transcriptional regulation of cell cycle arrest and apoptotic factors (e.g. *Cdkn1a, Rb1, Fas, Pmaip1*), and the activation of the caspase-cascade in response to hydroxyurea. Lastly, hydroxyurea dysregulates the transcription of several limb and skeletal development genes. Thus, P53 is the main member of the P53 family that mediates cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to hydroxyurea in the organogenesis-stage embryo.

3) The ultimate function of P53 is to protect the embryo against teratogenic damage caused by hydroxyurea. The absence of P53 renders the embryo more susceptible to hydroxyurea embryotoxicity, which is manifested by increased rates of malformations and resorptions in *Trp53<sup>-/-</sup>* embryos vs. *Trp53<sup>+/+</sup>* littermates. Thus, P53 acts as a suppressor of hydroxyurea embryotoxicity.

# **ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS**

- Hydroxyurea elicits an early embryonic stress response during organogenesis that involves the P53 signaling pathway. P53 phosphorylation occurs in several embryonic tissues, including the heart, RNE and CNE. Hydroxyurea induces the upregulation of P53INP1, a redox-sensitive pro-apoptotic factor, at the transcript and protein levels.
- 2) Hydroxyurea exposure does not affect the protein or phosphorylation levels of the P53 family members, P63 and P73. Both proteins are expressed under normal conditions during organogenesis, yet neither can compensate for the absence of P53 to regulate cell cycle arrest and pro-apoptotic factors, such as *Cdkn1a*, *Rb1*, *Fas* and *Pmaip1*.
- Hydroxyurea activates P53 during organogenesis, which then controls apoptosis, cell cycle arrest and DNA damage repair by regulating the expression levels of *Trp53inp1*, *Cdkn1a*, *Rb1*, *Fas*, *Pmaip1*, cleaved Caspase-3 and MST-1.
- 4) Hydroxyurea exposure disrupts regulation of the expression of *Pax9*, *Tbx5* and *Jag2* during organogenesis, all of which are involved in limb and skeletal development and may contribute to the teratogenic effects of hydroxyurea.
- P53 acts to suppress the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea by limiting the rate of malformations and resorptions.

## REFERENCES

Abida, W.M., Nikolaev, A., Zhao, W., Zhang, W., and Gu, W. (2007). FBXO11 promotes the Neddylation of p53 and inhibits its transcriptional activity. *J Biol Chem* **282**(3), 1797-804.

Aboudehen, K., Hilliard, S., Saifudeen, Z., and El-Dahr, S.S. (2012). Mechanisms of p53 activation and physiological relevance in the developing kidney. *American journal of physiology*. *Renal physiology* **302**(8), F928-40.

Abzhanov, A. (2013). von Baer's law for the ages: lost and found principles of developmental evolution. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **29**(12), 712-22.

Acharya, U.R., Mishra, M., Tripathy, R.R., and Mishra, I. (2006). Testicular dysfunction and antioxidative defense system of Swiss mice after chromic acid exposure. *Reprod Toxicol* **22**(1), 87-91.

Adachi, S., Cross, A.R., Babior, B.M., and Gottlieb, R.A. (1997). Bcl-2 and the outer mitochondrial membrane in the inactivation of cytochrome c during Fas-mediated apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **272**(35), 21878-82.

Adamson, R.H., Ague, S.L., Hess, S.M., and Davidson, J.D. (1965). The distribution, excretion and metabolism of hydroxyurea-C14. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **150**(2), 322-34.

Agami, R., Blandino, G., Oren, M., and Shaul, Y. (1999). Interaction of c-Abl and p73alpha and their collaboration to induce apoptosis. *Nature* **399**(6738), 809-13.

Agarwal, P., Wylie, J.N., Galceran, J., Arkhitko, O., Li, C., Deng, C., Grosschedl, R., and Bruneau, B.G. (2003). Tbx5 is essential for forelimb bud initiation following patterning of the limb field in the mouse embryo. *Development* **130**(3), 623-33.

Agostini, M., Tucci, P., Chen, H., Knight, R.A., Bano, D., Nicotera, P., McKeon, F., and Melino, G. (2010). p73 regulates maintenance of neural stem cell. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **403**(1), 13-7.

Aliverti, V., Bonanomi, L., and Giavini, E. (1980). Hydroxyurea as a reference standard in teratological screening. Comparison of the embryotoxic and teratogenic effects following single intraperitoneal or repeated oral administrations to pregnant rats. *Archives of toxicology*. *Supplement.* = *Archiv fur Toxikologie. Supplement* **4**, 239-47.

Ames, B.N., and Shigenaga, M.K. (1992). Oxidants are a major contributor to aging. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **663**, 85-96.

Amiel, J., Bougeard, G., Francannet, C., Raclin, V., Munnich, A., Lyonnet, S., and

Frebourg, T. (2001). TP63 gene mutation in ADULT syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet 9(8), 642-5.

Appella, E., and Anderson, C.W. (2001). Post-translational modifications and activation of p53 by genotoxic stresses. *Eur J Biochem* **268**(10), 2764-72.

Armstrong, J.F., Kaufman, M.H., Harrison, D.J., and Clarke, A.R. (1995). Highfrequency developmental abnormalities in p53-deficient mice. *Curr Biol* **5**(8), 931-6.

Ashcroft, M., Kubbutat, M.H., and Vousden, K.H. (1999). Regulation of p53 function and stability by phosphorylation. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**(3), 1751-8.

Attene-Ramos, M.S., Huang, R., Michael, S., Witt, K.L., Richard, A., Tice, R.R., Simeonov, A., Austin, C.P., and Xia, M. (2015). Profiling of the Tox21 Chemical Collection for Mitochondrial Function to Identify Compounds that Acutely Decrease Mitochondrial Membrane Potential. *Environmental health perspectives* **123**(1), 49-56.

Aylon, Y., and Oren, M. (2007). Living with p53, dying of p53. *Cell* 130(4), 597-600.
Bamberger, C., and Schmale, H. (2001). Identification and tissue distribution of novel
KET/p63 splice variants. *FEBS letters* 501(2-3), 121-6.

Banh, S., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Hydroxyurea exposure triggers tissue-specific activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling and the DNA damage response in organogenesis-stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **133**(2), 298-308.

Barboza, J.A., Iwakuma, T., Terzian, T., El-Naggar, A.K., and Lozano, G. (2008). Mdm2 and Mdm4 loss regulates distinct p53 activities. *Mol Cancer Res* **6**(6), 947-54.

Barkic, M., Crnomarkovic, S., Grabusic, K., Bogetic, I., Panic, L., Tamarut, S., Cokaric, M., Jeric, I., Vidak, S., and Volarevic, S. (2009). The p53 tumor suppressor causes congenital malformations in Rpl24-deficient mice and promotes their survival. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**(10), 2489-504.

Barrandon, Y., and Green, H. (1987). Three clonal types of keratinocyte with different capacities for multiplication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **84**(8), 2302-6.

Bensaad, K., Tsuruta, A., Selak, M.A., Vidal, M.N., Nakano, K., Bartrons, R., Gottlieb, E., and Vousden, K.H. (2006). TIGAR, a p53-inducible regulator of glycolysis and apoptosis. *Cell* **126**(1), 107-20.

Berry, D.L., and Baehrecke, E.H. (2007). Growth arrest and autophagy are required for salivary gland cell degradation in Drosophila. *Cell* **131**(6), 1137-48.

Borrelli, S., Candi, E., Alotto, D., Castagnoli, C., Melino, G., Vigano, M.A., and Mantovani, R. (2009). p63 regulates the caspase-8-FLIP apoptotic pathway in epidermis. *Cell Death Differ* **16**(2), 253-63.

Bourdon, A., Minai, L., Serre, V., Jais, J.P., Sarzi, E., Aubert, S., Chretien, D., de Lonlay, P., Paquis-Flucklinger, V., Arakawa, H., Nakamura, Y., Munnich, A., and Rotig, A. (2007). Mutation of RRM2B, encoding p53-controlled ribonucleotide reductase (p53R2), causes severe mitochondrial DNA depletion. *Nat Genet* **39**(6), 776-80.

Brill, A., Torchinsky, A., Carp, H., and Toder, V. (1999). The Role of Apoptosis in Normal and Abnormal Embryonic Development. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics* **16**(10), 512-519.

Bristol-Myers-Squib (2016). HYDREA (hydroxyurea) capsules, for oral use In (

Brunner, H.G., Hamel, B.C., and Bokhoven Hv, H. (2002). P63 gene mutations and human developmental syndromes. *Am J Med Genet* **112**(3), 284-90.

Budanov, A.V., and Karin, M. (2008). p53 target genes sestrin1 and sestrin2 connect genotoxic stress and mTOR signaling. *Cell* **134**(3), 451-60.

Burcham, P.C. (1999). Internal hazards: baseline DNA damage by endogenous products of normal metabolism. *Mutat Res* **443**(1-2), 11-36.

Burkitt, M.J., and Raafat, A. (2006). Nitric oxide generation from hydroxyurea: significance and implications for leukemogenesis in the management of myeloproliferative disorders. *Blood* **107**(6), 2219-22.

Burma, S., Chen, B.P., Murphy, M., Kurimasa, A., and Chen, D.J. (2001). ATM phosphorylates histone H2AX in response to DNA double-strand breaks. *J Biol Chem* **276**(45), 42462-7.

Cabrera-Socorro, A., Hernandez-Acosta, N.C., Gonzalez-Gomez, M., and Meyer, G. (2007). Comparative aspects of p73 and Reelin expression in Cajal-Retzius cells and the cortical hem in lizard, mouse and human. *Brain research* **1132**(1), 59-70.

Cadenas, E., and Sies, H. (1985). Oxidative stress: excited oxygen species and enzyme activity. *Adv Enzyme Regul* **23**, 217-37.

Cancino, G.I., Yiu, A.P., Fatt, M.P., Dugani, C.B., Flores, E.R., Frankland, P.W., Josselyn, S.A., Miller, F.D., and Kaplan, D.R. (2013). p63 Regulates adult neural precursor and newly born neuron survival to control hippocampal-dependent Behavior. *J Neurosci* **33**(31), 12569-85.

Candi, E., Rufini, A., Terrinoni, A., Giamboi-Miraglia, A., Lena, A.M., Mantovani, R., Knight, R., and Melino, G. (2007). DeltaNp63 regulates thymic development through enhanced expression of FgfR2 and Jag2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**(29), 11999-2004.

Cargnello, M., and Roux, P.P. (2011). Activation and function of the MAPKs and their substrates, the MAPK-activated protein kinases. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **75**(1), 50-83.

Carney, E.W., Scialli, A.R., Watson, R.E., and DeSesso, J.M. (2004). Mechanisms regulating toxicant disposition to the embryo during early pregnancy: an interspecies comparison. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **72**(4), 345-60.

Carter, S., Bischof, O., Dejean, A., and Vousden, K.H. (2007). C-terminal modifications regulate MDM2 dissociation and nuclear export of p53. *Nat Cell Biol* **9**(4), 428-35.

Celardo, I., Grespi, F., Antonov, A., Bernassola, F., Garabadgiu, A.V., Melino, G., and Amelio, I. (2013). Caspase-1 is a novel target of p63 in tumor suppression. *Cell Death Dis* **4**, e645.

Celli, J., Duijf, P., Hamel, B.C., Bamshad, M., Kramer, B., Smits, A.P., Newbury-Ecob, R., Hennekam, R.C., Van Buggenhout, G., van Haeringen, A., Woods, C.G., van Essen, A.J., de Waal, R., Vriend, G., Haber, D.A., Yang, A., McKeon, F., Brunner, H.G., and van Bokhoven, H. (1999). Heterozygous germline mutations in the p53 homolog p63 are the cause of EEC syndrome. *Cell* **99**(2), 143-53.

Chandrasekaran, K., McFarland, V.W., Simmons, D.T., Dziadek, M., Gurney, E.G., and Mora, P.T. (1981). Quantitation and characterization of a species-specific and embryo stagedependent 55-kilodalton phosphoprotein also present in cells transformed by simian virus 40. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **78**(11), 6953-7.

Chang, G.S., Chen, X.A., Park, B., Rhee, H.S., Li, P., Han, K.H., Mishra, T., Chan-Salis, K.Y., Li, Y., Hardison, R.C., Wang, Y., and Pugh, B.F. (2014). A comprehensive and high-resolution genome-wide response of p53 to stress. *Cell reports* **8**(2), 514-27.

Chao, C., Hergenhahn, M., Kaeser, M.D., Wu, Z., Saito, S., Iggo, R., Hollstein, M., Appella, E., and Xu, Y. (2003). Cell type- and promoter-specific roles of Ser18 phosphorylation in regulating p53 responses. *J Biol Chem* **278**(42), 41028-33. Chao, C., Herr, D., Chun, J., and Xu, Y. (2006). Ser18 and 23 phosphorylation is required for p53-dependent apoptosis and tumor suppression. *EMBO J* **25**(11), 2615-22.

Chapman, D.L., Garvey, N., Hancock, S., Alexiou, M., Agulnik, S.I., Gibson-Brown, J.J., Cebra-Thomas, J., Bollag, R.J., Silver, L.M., and Papaioannou, V.E. (1996). Expression of the Tbox family genes, Tbx1-Tbx5, during early mouse development. *Dev Dyn* **206**(4), 379-90.

Chaube, S., and Murphy, M.L. (1966). The effects of hydroxyurea and related compounds on the rat fetus. *Cancer Res* **26**(7), 1448-57.

Chazaud, C., Yamanaka, Y., Pawson, T., and Rossant, J. (2006). Early lineage segregation between epiblast and primitive endoderm in mouse blastocysts through the Grb2-MAPK pathway. *Developmental cell* **10**(5), 615-24.

Chen, D., Ming, L., Zou, F., Peng, Y., Houten, B.V., Yu, J., and Zhang, L. (2014). TAp73 promotes cell survival upon genotoxic stress by inhibiting p53 activity. *Oncotarget* **5**(18), 8107-22.

Chen, E.Y., Fujinaga, M., and Giaccia, A.J. (1999). Hypoxic microenvironment within an embryo induces apoptosis and is essential for proper morphological development. *Teratology* **60**(4), 215-25.

Chen, L., Kwong, M., Lu, R., Ginzinger, D., Lee, C., Leung, L., and Chan, J.Y. (2003). Nrf1 is critical for redox balance and survival of liver cells during development. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**(13), 4673-86.

Chen, L., Zhang, G.X., Zhou, Y., Zhang, C.X., Xie, Y.Y., Xiang, C., He, X.Y., Zhang, Q., and Liu, G. (2015). BAC transgenic mice provide evidence that p53 expression is highly regulated in vivo. *Cell Death Dis* **6**, e1878.

Chipuk, J.E., Kuwana, T., Bouchier-Hayes, L., Droin, N.M., Newmeyer, D.D., Schuler, M., and Green, D.R. (2004). Direct activation of Bax by p53 mediates mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. *Science* **303**(5660), 1010-4.

Chitayat, D., Babul, R., Silver, M.M., Jay, V., Teshima, I.E., Babyn, P., and Becker, L.E. (1996). Terminal deletion of the long arm of chromosome 3 [46,XX,del(3)(q27-->qter)]. *Am J Med Genet* **61**(1), 45-8.

Choe, H., Hansen, J.M., and Harris, C. (2001). Spatial and temporal ontogenies of glutathione peroxidase and glutathione disulfide reductase during development of the prenatal rat. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol* **15**(4), 197-206.

Choi, J., and Donehower, L.A. (1999). p53 in embryonic development: maintaining a fine balance. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **55**(1), 38-47.

Christ, B., Huang, R., and Scaal, M. (2007). Amniote somite derivatives. *Dev Dyn* **236**(9), 2382-96.

Chuikov, S., Kurash, J.K., Wilson, J.R., Xiao, B., Justin, N., Ivanov, G.S., McKinney, K., Tempst, P., Prives, C., Gamblin, S.J., Barlev, N.A., and Reinberg, D. (2004). Regulation of p53 activity through lysine methylation. *Nature* **432**(7015), 353-360.

Chung, J., Grant, R.I., Kaplan, D.R., and Irwin, M.S. (2011). Special AT-rich binding protein-2 (SATB2) differentially affects disease-causing p63 mutant proteins. *J Biol Chem* **286**(47), 40671-80.

Cokic, V.P., Smith, R.D., Beleslin-Cokic, B.B., Njoroge, J.M., Miller, J.L., Gladwin, M.T., and Schechter, A.N. (2003). Hydroxyurea induces fetal hemoglobin by the nitric oxidedependent activation of soluble guanylyl cyclase. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **111**(2), 231-9.

Correa, A., Cragan, J.D., Kucik, J.E., Alverson, C.J., Gilboa, S.M., Balakrishnan, R., Strickland, M.J., Duke, C.W., O'Leary, L.A., Riehle-Colarusso, T., Siffel, C., Gambrell, D., Thompson, D., Atkinson, M., and Chitra, J. (2007). Reporting birth defects surveillance data 1968-2003. *Birth defects research. Part A, Clinical and molecular teratology* **79**(2), 65-186.

Costanzo, A., Merlo, P., Pediconi, N., Fulco, M., Sartorelli, V., Cole, P.A., Fontemaggi, G., Fanciulli, M., Schiltz, L., Blandino, G., Balsano, C., and Levrero, M. (2002). DNA damagedependent acetylation of p73 dictates the selective activation of apoptotic target genes. *Mol Cell* **9**(1), 175-86.

Crighton, D., Wilkinson, S., O'Prey, J., Syed, N., Smith, P., Harrison, P.R., Gasco, M., Garrone, O., Crook, T., and Ryan, K.M. (2006). DRAM, a p53-induced modulator of autophagy, is critical for apoptosis. *Cell* **126**(1), 121-34.

Currier, J.M., Cheng, W.Y., Menendez, D., Conolly, R., and Chorley, B.N. (2016). Developing a Gene Biomarker at the Tipping Point of Adaptive and Adverse Responses in Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells. *PLoS One* **11**(5), e0155875.

Daily, K., Patel, V.R., Rigor, P., Xie, X., and Baldi, P. (2011). MotifMap: integrative genome-wide maps of regulatory motif sites for model species. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 495.

Davies, S.C., and Gilmore, A. (2003). The role of hydroxyurea in the management of sickle cell disease. *Blood Rev* **17**(2), 99-109.

De Laurenzi, V., Raschella, G., Barcaroli, D., Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli, M., Ranalli, M., Catani, M.V., Tanno, B., Costanzo, A., Levrero, M., and Melino, G. (2000). Induction of neuronal differentiation by p73 in a neuroblastoma cell line. *J Biol Chem* **275**(20), 15226-31.

DeLaurier, A., Schweitzer, R., and Logan, M. (2006). Pitx1 determines the morphology of muscle, tendon, and bones of the hindlimb. *Dev Biol* **299**(1), 22-34.

Dennery, P.A. (2007). Effects of oxidative stress on embryonic development. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **81**(3), 155-62.

DeSesso, J.M. (1979). Cell death and free radicals: a mechanism for hydroxyurea teratogenesis. *Med Hypotheses* **5**(9), 937-51.

DeSesso, J.M. (2010). 12.03 - Embryotoxicity: Anatomical, Physiological, and Functional A2 - McQueen, Charlene A. In *Comprehensive Toxicology (Second Edition)* (pp. 11-25. Elsevier, Oxford.

DeSesso, J.M., Jacobson, C.F., Scialli, A.R., and Goeringer, G.C. (2000). Hydroxylamine moiety of developmental toxicants is associated with early cell death: a structure-activity analysis. *Teratology* **62**(5), 346-55.

DeSesso, J.M., and Jordan, R.L. (1977). Drug-induced limb dysplasias in fetal rabbits. *Teratology* **15**(2), 199-211.

Di, C., Yang, L., Zhang, H., Ma, X., Zhang, X., Sun, C., Li, H., Xu, S., An, L., Li, X., and Bai, Z. (2013). Mechanisms, function and clinical applications of DNp73. *Cell Cycle* **12**(12), 1861-7.

Di Como, C.J., Gaiddon, C., and Prives, C. (1999). p73 function is inhibited by tumorderived p53 mutants in mammalian cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**(2), 1438-49.

Dicker, F., Kater, A.P., Prada, C.E., Fukuda, T., Castro, J.E., Sun, G., Wang, J.Y., and Kipps, T.J. (2006). CD154 induces p73 to overcome the resistance to apoptosis of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells lacking functional p53. *Blood* **108**(10), 3450-7.

Diez-Roux, G., Banfi, S., Sultan, M., Geffers, L., Anand, S., Rozado, D., Magen, A., Canidio, E., Pagani, M., Peluso, I., Lin-Marq, N., Koch, M., Bilio, M., Cantiello, I., Verde, R., De Masi, C., Bianchi, S.A., Cicchini, J., Perroud, E., Mehmeti, S., Dagand, E., Schrinner, S., Nurnberger, A., Schmidt, K., Metz, K., Zwingmann, C., Brieske, N., Springer, C., Hernandez, A.M., Herzog, S., Grabbe, F., Sieverding, C., Fischer, B., Schrader, K., Brockmeyer, M.,
Dettmer, S., Helbig, C., Alunni, V., Battaini, M.A., Mura, C., Henrichsen, C.N., Garcia-Lopez,
R., Echevarria, D., Puelles, E., Garcia-Calero, E., Kruse, S., Uhr, M., Kauck, C., Feng, G.,
Milyaev, N., Ong, C.K., Kumar, L., Lam, M., Semple, C.A., Gyenesei, A., Mundlos, S., Radelof,
U., Lehrach, H., Sarmientos, P., Reymond, A., Davidson, D.R., Dolle, P., Antonarakis, S.E.,
Yaspo, M.L., Martinez, S., Baldock, R.A., Eichele, G., and Ballabio, A. (2011). A highresolution anatomical atlas of the transcriptome in the mouse embryo. *PLoS biology* 9(1),
e1000582.

Dohn, M., Zhang, S., and Chen, X. (2001). p63alpha and DeltaNp63alpha can induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and differentially regulate p53 target genes. *Oncogene* **20**(25), 3193-205.

Donehower, L.A., Harvey, M., Slagle, B.L., McArthur, M.J., Montgomery, C.A., Jr., Butel, J.S., and Bradley, A. (1992). Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous tumours. *Nature* **356**(6366), 215-21.

Douc-Rasy, S., Barrois, M., Echeynne, M., Kaghad, M., Blanc, E., Raguenez, G., Goldschneider, D., Terrier-Lacombe, M.J., Hartmann, O., Moll, U., Caput, D., and Bénard, J. (2002). ΔN-p73α Accumulates in Human Neuroblastic Tumors. *The American Journal of Pathology* **160**(2), 631-9.

Doumont, G., Martoriati, A., Beekman, C., Bogaerts, S., Mee, P.J., Bureau, F., Colombo, E., Alcalay, M., Bellefroid, E., Marchesi, F., Scanziani, E., Pelicci, P.G., and Marine, J.C. (2005). G1 checkpoint failure and increased tumor susceptibility in mice lacking the novel p53 target Ptprv. *EMBO J* 24(17), 3093-103.

Dresler, S.R. (1869). Ueber den Hydroxylharnstoff. *Justus Liebigs Ann Chemie* **150**, 1317-22.

Du, W., Jiang, P., Mancuso, A., Stonestrom, A., Brewer, M.D., Minn, A.J., Mak, T.W., Wu, M., and Yang, X. (2013). TAp73 enhances the pentose phosphate pathway and supports cell proliferation. *Nat Cell Biol* **15**(8), 991-1000.

Dugani, C.B., Paquin, A., Fujitani, M., Kaplan, D.R., and Miller, F.D. (2009). p63 antagonizes p53 to promote the survival of embryonic neural precursor cells. *J Neurosci* **29**(20), 6710-21. Duijf, P.H., van Bokhoven, H., and Brunner, H.G. (2003). Pathogenesis of splithand/split-foot malformation. *Hum Mol Genet* **12 Spec No 1**, R51-60.

Ehninger, D., and Kempermann, G. (2008). Neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus. *Cell Tissue Res* **331**(1), 243-50.

Eiserich, J.P., Estevez, A.G., Bamberg, T.V., Ye, Y.Z., Chumley, P.H., Beckman, J.S., and Freeman, B.A. (1999). Microtubule dysfunction by posttranslational nitrotyrosination of alpha-tubulin: a nitric oxide-dependent mechanism of cellular injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**(11), 6365-70.

El Husseini, N., Schlisser, A.E., and Hales, B.F. (2016). Editor's Highlight: Hydroxyurea Exposure Activates the P53 Signaling Pathway in Murine Organogenesis-Stage Embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **152**(2), 297-308.

Eliaš, J., Dimitrio, L., Clairambault, J., and Natalini, R. (2014). The p53 protein and its molecular network: Modelling a missing link between DNA damage and cell fate. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics* **1844**(1), 232-247.

Ellisen, L.W., Ramsayer, K.D., Johannessen, C.M., Yang, A., Beppu, H., Minda, K., Oliner, J.D., McKeon, F., and Haber, D.A. (2002). REDD1, a developmentally regulated transcriptional target of p63 and p53, links p63 to regulation of reactive oxygen species. *Mol Cell* **10**(5), 995-1005.

Elmore, S. (2007). Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. *Toxicol Pathol* **35**(4), 495-516.

Fatt, M.P., Cancino, G.I., Miller, F.D., and Kaplan, D.R. (2014). p63 and p73 coordinate p53 function to determine the balance between survival, cell death, and senescence in adult neural precursor cells. *Cell Death Differ* **21**(10), 1546-59.

Faustman, E.M. (2012). Experimental approaches to evaluate mechanisms ofdevelopmental toxicity In *Developmental and reproductive toxicology a practical approach* (R.D. Hood, Ed.) Eds.)3rd ed., pp. 29-33. Informa Healthcare,, New York.

Fishbein, W.N., and Carbone, P.P. (1963). Hydroxyurea: Mechanism of Action. *Science* **142**(3595), 1069-70.

Flores, E.R., Sengupta, S., Miller, J.B., Newman, J.J., Bronson, R., Crowley, D., Yang, A., McKeon, F., and Jacks, T. (2005). Tumor predisposition in mice mutant for p63 and p73: evidence for broader tumor suppressor functions for the p53 family. *Cancer Cell* **7**(4), 363-73.

Flores, E.R., Tsai, K.Y., Crowley, D., Sengupta, S., Yang, A., McKeon, F., and Jacks, T. (2002). p63 and p73 are required for p53-dependent apoptosis in response to DNA damage. *Nature* **416**(6880), 560-4.

Fontemaggi, G., Kela, I., Amariglio, N., Rechavi, G., Krishnamurthy, J., Strano, S., Sacchi, A., Givol, D., and Blandino, G. (2002). Identification of direct p73 target genes combining DNA microarray and chromatin immunoprecipitation analyses. *J Biol Chem* **277**(45), 43359-68.

Forsberg, K., and Di Giovanni, S. (2014). Cross Talk between Cellular Redox Status, Metabolism, and p53 in Neural Stem Cell Biology. *Neuroscientist* **20**(4), 326-342.

Förstermann, U., and Sessa, W.C. (2012). Nitric oxide synthases: regulation and function. *European Heart Journal* **33**(7), 829-837.

Forsythe, J.A., Jiang, B.H., Iyer, N.V., Agani, F., Leung, S.W., Koos, R.D., and Semenza, G.L. (1996). Activation of vascular endothelial growth factor gene transcription by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Mol Cell Biol* **16**(9), 4604-13.

Fujitani, M., Cancino, G.I., Dugani, C.B., Weaver, I.C., Gauthier-Fisher, A., Paquin, A., Mak, T.W., Wojtowicz, M.J., Miller, F.D., and Kaplan, D.R. (2010). TAp73 acts via the bHLH Hey2 to promote long-term maintenance of neural precursors. *Curr Biol* **20**(22), 2058-65.

Furuta, T., Takemura, H., Liao, Z.Y., Aune, G.J., Redon, C., Sedelnikova, O.A., Pilch, D.R., Rogakou, E.P., Celeste, A., Chen, H.T., Nussenzweig, A., Aladjem, M.I., Bonner, W.M., and Pommier, Y. (2003). Phosphorylation of histone H2AX and activation of Mre11, Rad50, and Nbs1 in response to replication-dependent DNA double-strand breaks induced by mammalian DNA topoisomerase I cleavage complexes. *J Biol Chem* **278**(22), 20303-12.

Gatz, S.A., and Wiesmuller, L. (2006). p53 in recombination and repair. *Cell Death Differ* **13**(6), 1003-16.

Gerber, H.-P., Hillan, K.J., Ryan, A.M., Kowalski, J., Keller, G.-A., Rangell, L., Wright, B.D., Radtke, F., Aguet, M., and Ferrara, N. (1999). VEGF is required for growth and survival in neonatal mice. *Development* **126**(6), 1149-1159.

Geske, F.J., Lieberman, R., Strange, R., and Gerschenson, L.E. (2001). Early stages of p53-induced apoptosis are reversible. *Cell Death Differ* **8**(2), 182-91.

Gil-Perotin, S., Marin-Husstege, M., Li, J., Soriano-Navarro, M., Zindy, F., Roussel, M.F., Garcia-Verdugo, J.M., and Casaccia-Bonnefil, P. (2006). Loss of p53 induces changes in

the behavior of subventricular zone cells: implication for the genesis of glial tumors. *J Neurosci* **26**(4), 1107-16.

Gilbert, S.F. (2010). Developmental Biology. Sinauer Associates.

Gnanabakthan, N., and Hales, B.F. (2009). The oxidative stress response is region specific in organogenesis stage mouse embryos exposed to 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine. *Birth defects research. Part A, Clinical and molecular teratology* **85**(3), 202-10.

Gomez del Arco, P., Martinez-Martinez, S., Calvo, V., Armesilla, A.L., and Redondo, J.M. (1997). Antioxidants and AP-1 activation: a brief overview. *Immunobiology* **198**(1-3), 273-8.

Gonfloni, S., Di Tella, L., Caldarola, S., Cannata, S.M., Klinger, F.G., Di Bartolomeo, C., Mattei, M., Candi, E., De Felici, M., Melino, G., and Cesareni, G. (2009). Inhibition of the c-Abl-TAp63 pathway protects mouse oocytes from chemotherapy-induced death. *Nat Med* **15**(10), 1179-85.

Gong, J.G., Costanzo, A., Yang, H.Q., Melino, G., Kaelin, W.G., Jr., Levrero, M., and Wang, J.Y. (1999). The tyrosine kinase c-Abl regulates p73 in apoptotic response to cisplatininduced DNA damage. *Nature* **399**(6738), 806-9.

Gottlieb, E., Haffner, R., King, A., Asher, G., Gruss, P., Lonai, P., and Oren, M. (1997). Transgenic mouse model for studying the transcriptional activity of the p53 protein: age- and tissue-dependent changes in radiation-induced activation during embryogenesis. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1381-90.

Grallert, B., and Boye, E. (2008). The multiple facets of the intra-S checkpoint. *Cell Cycle* **7**(15), 2315-20.

Granetto, C., Ottaggio, L., Abbondandolo, A., and Bonatti, S. (1996). p53 accumulates in micronuclei after treatment with a DNA breaking chemical, methylnitrosourea, and with the spindle poison, vinblastine. *Mutat Res* **352**(1-2), 61-4.

Green, M.L., Pisano, M.M., Prough, R.A., and Knudsen, T.B. (2013). Release of targeted p53 from the mitochondrion as an early signal during mitochondrial dysfunction. *Cellular signalling* **25**(12), 2383-90.

Green, M.L., Singh, A.V., Ruest, L.B., Pisano, M.M., Prough, R.A., and Knudsen, T.B. (2011). Differential programming of p53-deficient embryonic cells during rotenone block. *Toxicology* **290**(1), 31-41.

Grenier, L., Robaire, B., and Hales, B.F. (2012). The activation of DNA damage detection and repair responses in cleavage-stage rat embryos by a damaged paternal genome. *Toxicol Sci* **127**(2), 555-66.

Gressner, O., Schilling, T., Lorenz, K., Schulze Schleithoff, E., Koch, A., Schulze-Bergkamen, H., Lena, A.M., Candi, E., Terrinoni, A., Catani, M.V., Oren, M., Melino, G., Krammer, P.H., Stremmel, W., and Muller, M. (2005). TAp63alpha induces apoptosis by activating signaling via death receptors and mitochondria. *Embo j* **24**(13), 2458-71.

Grob, T.J., Novak, U., Maisse, C., Barcaroli, D., Luthi, A.U., Pirnia, F., Hugli, B., Graber, H.U., De Laurenzi, V., Fey, M.F., Melino, G., and Tobler, A. (2001). Human delta Np73 regulates a dominant negative feedback loop for TAp73 and p53. *Cell Death Differ* **8**(12), 1213-23.

Guan, M., and Chen, Y. (2005). Aberrant expression of DeltaNp73 in benign and malignant tumours of the prostate: correlation with Gleason score. *Journal of clinical pathology* **58**(11), 1175-9.

Hafsi, H., and Hainaut, P. (2011). Redox control and interplay between p53 isoforms: roles in the regulation of basal p53 levels, cell fate, and senescence. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **15**(6), 1655-67.

Hainaut, P., and Hollstein, M. (2000). p53 and human cancer: the first ten thousand mutations. *Adv Cancer Res* **77**, 81-137.

Hall, A.G., and Tilby, M.J. (1992). Mechanisms of action of, and modes of resistance to, alkylating agents used in the treatment of haematological malignancies. *Blood reviews* **6**(3), 163-73.

Hall, B.K., and Miyake, T. (1995). Divide, accumulate, differentiate: cell condensation in skeletal development revisited. *Int J Dev Biol* **39**(6), 881-93.

Halsey, C., and Roberts, I.A. (2003). The role of hydroxyurea in sickle cell disease. *Br J Haematol* **120**(2), 177-86.

Hamard, P.J., Barthelery, N., Hogstad, B., Mungamuri, S.K., Tonnessen, C.A., Carvajal, L.A., Senturk, E., Gillespie, V., Aaronson, S.A., Merad, M., and Manfredi, J.J. (2013). The C terminus of p53 regulates gene expression by multiple mechanisms in a target- and tissue-specific manner in vivo. *Genes Dev* **27**(17), 1868-85.

Hammond, E.M., Mandell, D.J., Salim, A., Krieg, A.J., Johnson, T.M., Shirazi, H.A.,

Attardi, L.D., and Giaccia, A.J. (2006). Genome-wide analysis of p53 under hypoxic conditions. *Mol Cell Biol* **26**(9), 3492-504.

Hansen, J.M. (2006). Oxidative stress as a mechanism of teratogenesis. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **78**(4), 293-307.

Hansen, J.M., Harris, K.K., Philbert, M.A., and Harris, C. (2002). Thalidomide modulates nuclear redox status and preferentially depletes glutathione in rabbit limb versus rat limb. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **300**(3), 768-76.

Hanson, S., Kim, E., and Deppert, W. (2005). Redox factor 1 (Ref-1) enhances specific DNA binding of p53 by promoting p53 tetramerization. *Oncogene* **24**(9), 1641-7.

Harper, J.W., Adami, G.R., Wei, N., Keyomarsi, K., and Elledge, S.J. (1993). The p21 Cdk-interacting protein Cip1 is a potent inhibitor of G1 cyclin-dependent kinases. *Cell* **75**(4), 805-16.

Harrington, M.J., Hong, E., and Brewster, R. (2009). Comparative analysis of neurulation: first impressions do not count. *Molecular reproduction and development* **76**(10), 954-65.

Harris, C., and Hansen, J.M. (2012). Nrf2-mediated resistance to oxidant-induced redox disruption in embryos. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol* **95**(3), 213-8.

Harris, S.L., and Levine, A.J. (2005). The p53 pathway: positive and negative feedback loops. *Oncogene* **24**(17), 2899-908.

Helton, E.S., Zhang, J., and Chen, X. (2008). The proline-rich domain in p63 is necessary for the transcriptional and apoptosis-inducing activities of TAp63. *Oncogene* **27**(20), 2843-50.

Heo, S.H., Cha, Y., and Park, K.S. (2014). Hydroxyurea induces a hypersensitive apoptotic response in mouse embryonic stem cells through p38-dependent acetylation of p53. *Stem Cells Dev* **23**(20), 2435-42.

Holembowski, L., Kramer, D., Riedel, D., Sordella, R., Nemajerova, A., Dobbelstein, M., and Moll, U.M. (2014). TAp73 is essential for germ cell adhesion and maturation in testis. *J Cell Biol* **204**(7), 1173-90.

Hollstein, M., Sidransky, D., Vogelstein, B., and Harris, C. (1991). p53 mutations in human cancers. *Science* **253**(5015), 49-53.

Hood, R.D. (2016). *Developmental and Reproductive Toxicology: A Practical Approach, Third Edition*. CRC Press.

Hosako, H., Little, S.A., Barrier, M., and Mirkes, P.E. (2007). Teratogen-induced activation of p53 in early postimplantation mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **95**(1), 257-69.

Hu, H., Hilton, M.J., Tu, X., Yu, K., Ornitz, D.M., and Long, F. (2005). Sequential roles of Hedgehog and Wnt signaling in osteoblast development. *Development* **132**(1), 49-60.

Huang, J., Perez-Burgos, L., Placek, B.J., Sengupta, R., Richter, M., Dorsey, J.A., Kubicek, S., Opravil, S., Jenuwein, T., and Berger, S.L. (2006). Repression of p53 activity by Smyd2-mediated methylation. *Nature* **444**(7119), 629-32.

Huang, J., Sengupta, R., Espejo, A.B., Lee, M.G., Dorsey, J.A., Richter, M., Opravil, S., Shiekhattar, R., Bedford, M.T., Jenuwein, T., and Berger, S.L. (2007). p53 is regulated by the lysine demethylase LSD1. *Nature* **449**(7158), 105-8.

Huang, Y., Sen, T., Nagpal, J., Upadhyay, S., Trink, B., Ratovitski, E., and Sidransky, D. (2008). ATM kinase is a master switch for the Delta Np63 alpha phosphorylation/degradation in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells upon DNA damage. *Cell Cycle* **7**(18), 2846-55.

Hussain, S.P., Amstad, P., He, P., Robles, A., Lupold, S., Kaneko, I., Ichimiya, M., Sengupta, S., Mechanic, L., Okamura, S., Hofseth, L.J., Moake, M., Nagashima, M., Forrester, K.S., and Harris, C.C. (2004). p53-induced up-regulation of MnSOD and GPx but not catalase increases oxidative stress and apoptosis. *Cancer Res* **64**(7), 2350-6.

Ianakiev, P., Kilpatrick, M.W., Toudjarska, I., Basel, D., Beighton, P., and Tsipouras, P. (2000). Split-hand/split-foot malformation is caused by mutations in the p63 gene on 3q27. *American journal of human genetics* **67**(1), 59-66.

Iman, M., Khansefid, Z., and Davood, A. (2016). Modeling and Proposed Molecular Mechanism of Hydroxyurea Through Docking and Molecular Dynamic Simulation to Curtail the Action of Ribonucleotide Reductase. *Recent Pat Anticancer Drug Discov* **11**(4), 461-468.

Irwin, M.S., Kondo, K., Marin, M.C., Cheng, L.S., Hahn, W.C., and Kaelin, W.G., Jr. (2003). Chemosensitivity linked to p73 function. *Cancer Cell* **3**(4), 403-10.

Ishimoto, O., Kawahara, C., Enjo, K., Obinata, M., Nukiwa, T., and Ikawa, S. (2002). Possible oncogenic potential of DeltaNp73: a newly identified isoform of human p73. *Cancer Res* **62**(3), 636-41. Jacobs, W.B., Govoni, G., Ho, D., Atwal, J.K., Barnabe-Heider, F., Keyes, W.M., Mills, A.A., Miller, F.D., and Kaplan, D.R. (2005). p63 is an essential proapoptotic protein during neural development. *Neuron* **48**(5), 743-56.

Jacobson, M.D., Weil, M., and Raff, M.C. (1997). Programmed cell death in animal development. *Cell* **88**(3), 347-54.

Jiang, J., Jordan, S.J., Barr, D.P., Gunther, M.R., Maeda, H., and Mason, R.P. (1997). In vivo production of nitric oxide in rats after administration of hydroxyurea. *Mol Pharmacol* **52**(6), 1081-6.

Jiang, R., Lan, Y., Chapman, H.D., Shawber, C., Norton, C.R., Serreze, D.V., Weinmaster, G., and Gridley, T. (1998). Defects in limb, craniofacial, and thymic development in Jagged2 mutant mice. *Genes Dev* **12**(7), 1046-57.

Jones, E.V., Dickman, M.J., and Whitmarsh, A.J. (2007). Regulation of p73-mediated apoptosis by c-Jun N-terminal kinase. *Biochem J* **405**(3), 617-23.

Jones, L.E., Jr., Ying, L., Hofseth, A.B., Jelezcova, E., Sobol, R.W., Ambs, S., Harris, C.C., Espey, M.G., Hofseth, L.J., and Wyatt, M.D. (2009). Differential effects of reactive nitrogen species on DNA base excision repair initiated by the alkyladenine DNA glycosylase. *Carcinogenesis* **30**(12), 2123-9.

Jordan, A., and Reichard, P. (1998). Ribonucleotide reductases. *Annu Rev Biochem* 67, 71-98.

Jost, C.A., Marin, M.C., and Kaelin, W.G., Jr. (1997). p73 is a simian [correction of human] p53-related protein that can induce apoptosis. *Nature* **389**(6647), 191-4.

Jung, M.S., Yun, J., Chae, H.D., Kim, J.M., Kim, S.C., Choi, T.S., and Shin, D.Y. (2001). p53 and its homologues, p63 and p73, induce a replicative senescence through inactivation of NF-Y transcription factor. *Oncogene* **20**(41), 5818-25.

Kaeser, M.D., and Iggo, R.D. (2002). Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis fails to support the latency model for regulation of p53 DNA binding activity in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**(1), 95-100.

Kaghad, M., Bonnet, H., Yang, A., Creancier, L., Biscan, J.C., Valent, A., Minty, A., Chalon, P., Lelias, J.M., Dumont, X., Ferrara, P., McKeon, F., and Caput, D. (1997). Monoallelically expressed gene related to p53 at 1p36, a region frequently deleted in neuroblastoma and other human cancers. *Cell* **90**(4), 809-19. Kartasheva, N.N., Contente, A., Lenz-Stoppler, C., Roth, J., and Dobbelstein, M. (2002). p53 induces the expression of its antagonist p73 Delta N, establishing an autoregulatory feedback loop. *Oncogene* **21**(31), 4715-27.

Kato, F., Ootsuyama, A., Nomoto, S., Kondo, S., and Norimura, T. (2001). Threshold effect for teratogenic risk of radiation depends on dose-rate and p53-dependent apoptosis. *Int J Radiat Biol* 77(1), 13-9.

Kaufman, M.H. (1992). The Atlas of Mouse Development. Academic Press.

Kawauchi, K., Araki, K., Tobiume, K., and Tanaka, N. (2008). p53 regulates glucose metabolism through an IKK-NF-kappaB pathway and inhibits cell transformation. *Nat Cell Biol* **10**(5), 611-8.

Kenzelmann Broz, D., Spano Mello, S., Bieging, K.T., Jiang, D., Dusek, R.L., Brady, C.A., Sidow, A., and Attardi, L.D. (2013). Global genomic profiling reveals an extensive p53regulated autophagy program contributing to key p53 responses. *Genes Dev* **27**(9), 1016-31.

Kerr, J.B., Hutt, K.J., Michalak, E.M., Cook, M., Vandenberg, C.J., Liew, S.H., Bouillet, P., Mills, A., Scott, C.L., Findlay, J.K., and Strasser, A. (2012). DNA damage-induced primordial follicle oocyte apoptosis and loss of fertility require TAp63-mediated induction of Puma and Noxa. *Mol Cell* **48**(3), 343-52.

Kerr, J.F., Wyllie, A.H., and Currie, A.R. (1972). Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. *British journal of cancer* **26**(4), 239-57.

Klein, A., Maldonado, C., Vargas, L.M., Gonzalez, M., Robledo, F., Perez de Arce, K., Munoz, F.J., Hetz, C., Alvarez, A.R., and Zanlungo, S. (2011). Oxidative stress activates the c-Abl/p73 proapoptotic pathway in Niemann-Pick type C neurons. *Neurobiol Dis* **41**(1), 209-18.

Knobloch, J., and Ruther, U. (2008). Shedding light on an old mystery: thalidomide suppresses survival pathways to induce limb defects. *Cell Cycle* **7**(9), 1121-7.

Kobayashi, M., Nakamura, H., Yodoi, J., and Shiota, K. (2000). Immunohistochemical localization of thioredoxin and glutaredoxin in mouse embryos and fetuses. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **2**(4), 653-63.

Koc, A., Wheeler, L.J., Mathews, C.K., and Merrill, G.F. (2004). Hydroxyurea arrests DNA replication by a mechanism that preserves basal dNTP pools. *J Biol Chem* **279**(1), 223-30.

Komarova, E.A., Chernov, M.V., Franks, R., Wang, K., Armin, G., Zelnick, C.R., Chin, D.M., Bacus, S.S., Stark, G.R., and Gudkov, A.V. (1997). Transgenic mice with p53-responsive lacZ: p53 activity varies dramatically during normal development and determines radiation and drug sensitivity in vivo. *EMBO J* **16**(6), 1391-400.

Kosako, H. (2009). Phos-tag Western blotting for detecting stoichiometric protein phosphorylation in cells.

Kovacic, P. (2011). Hydroxyurea (therapeutics and mechanism): metabolism, carbamoyl nitroso, nitroxyl, radicals, cell signaling and clinical applications. *Med Hypotheses* **76**(1), 24-31.

Kovacic, P., and Somanathan, R. (2006). Mechanism of teratogenesis: electron transfer, reactive oxygen species, and antioxidants. *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **78**(4), 308-25.

Kruse, J.P., and Gu, W. (2009). Modes of p53 regulation. Cell 137(4), 609-22.

Kuida, K., Zheng, T.S., Na, S., Kuan, C., Yang, D., Karasuyama, H., Rakic, P., and Flavell, R.A. (1996). Decreased apoptosis in the brain and premature lethality in CPP32-deficient mice. *Nature* **384**(6607), 368-72.

Kulawiec, M., Ayyasamy, V., and Singh, K.K. (2009). p53 regulates mtDNA copy number and mitocheckpoint pathway. *J Carcinog* **8**, 8.

Kurata, K., Yanagisawa, R., Ohira, M., Kitagawa, M., Nakagawara, A., and Kamijo, T. (2008). Stress via p53 pathway causes apoptosis by mitochondrial Noxa upregulation in doxorubicin-treated neuroblastoma cells. *Oncogene* **27**(6), 741-54.

Lane, D.P. (1992). Cancer. p53, guardian of the genome. Nature 358(6381), 15-6.

Lane, D.P., and Crawford, L.V. (1979). T antigen is bound to a host protein in SV40transformed cells. *Nature* **278**(5701), 261-3.

Lanza, M., Marinari, B., Papoutsaki, M., Giustizieri, M.L., D'Alessandra, Y., Chimenti, S., Guerrini, L., and Costanzo, A. (2006). Cross-Talks in the p53 Family:  $\Delta$ Np63 is an Anti-Apoptotic Target for  $\Delta$ Np73 $\alpha$  and p53 Gain of Function Mutants. *Cell Cycle* **5**(17), 1996-2004.

Larouche, G., and Hales, B.F. (2009). The impact of human superoxide dismutase 1 expression in a mouse model on the embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea. *Birth defects research. Part A, Clinical and molecular teratology* **85**(9), 800-7.

LeClair, E.E., Bonfiglio, L., and Tuan, R.S. (1999). Expression of the paired-box genes Pax-1 and Pax-9 in limb skeleton development. *Dev Dyn* **214**(2), 101-15. Lee, D.H., Lim, B.S., Lee, Y.K., and Yang, H.C. (2006). Effects of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) on alkaline phosphatase activity and matrix mineralization of odontoblast and osteoblast cell lines. *Cell biology and toxicology* **22**(1), 39-46.

Lee, K.K., Ohyama, T., Yajima, N., Tsubuki, S., and Yonehara, S. (2001). MST, a physiological caspase substrate, highly sensitizes apoptosis both upstream and downstream of caspase activation. *J Biol Chem* **276**(22), 19276-85.

Lengner, C.J., Steinman, H.A., Gagnon, J., Smith, T.W., Henderson, J.E., Kream, B.E., Stein, G.S., Lian, J.B., and Jones, S.N. (2006). Osteoblast differentiation and skeletal development are regulated by Mdm2-p53 signaling. *J Cell Biol* **172**(6), 909-21.

Leong, C.O., Vidnovic, N., DeYoung, M.P., Sgroi, D., and Ellisen, L.W. (2007). The p63/p73 network mediates chemosensitivity to cisplatin in a biologically defined subset of primary breast cancers. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **117**(5), 1370-80.

Leu, J.I., Dumont, P., Hafey, M., Murphy, M.E., and George, D.L. (2004). Mitochondrial p53 activates Bak and causes disruption of a Bak-Mcl1 complex. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**(5), 443-50.

Leung, M., D. Kapraun, A. Williams, AND T. Knudsen (2016). An Evaluation of ToxCast Angiogenic Disruptors for Effects on Mitochondrial Bioactivity Profiles *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology* **106**(5), 389.

Leung, M.C., Phuong, J., Baker, N.C., Sipes, N.S., Klinefelter, G.R., Martin, M.T., McLaurin, K.W., Setzer, R.W., Darney, S.P., Judson, R.S., and Knudsen, T.B. (2016). Systems Toxicology of Male Reproductive Development: Profiling 774 Chemicals for Molecular Targets and Adverse Outcomes. *Environmental health perspectives* **124**(7), 1050-61.

Levine, B., and Kroemer, G. (2008). Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. *Cell* **132**(1), 27-42.

Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Melino, G., and Wang, J.Y.

(1999). Structure, function and regulation of p63 and p73. *Cell Death Differ* 6(12), 1146-53.Levrero, M., De Laurenzi, V., Costanzo, A., Gong, J., Wang, J.Y., and Melino, G.

(2000). The p53/p63/p73 family of transcription factors: overlapping and distinct functions. *J Cell Sci* **113** ( **Pt 10**), 1661-70.

Liebelt, E.L., Balk, S.J., Faber, W., Fisher, J.W., Hughes, C.L., Lanzkron, S.M., Lewis, K.M., Marchetti, F., Mehendale, H.M., Rogers, J.M., Shad, A.T., Skalko, R.G., and Stanek, E.J.

(2007). NTP-CERHR expert panel report on the reproductive and developmental toxicity of hydroxyurea. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol* **80**(4), 259-366.

Liew, L.P., Lim, Z.Y., Cohen, M., Kong, Z., Marjavaara, L., Chabes, A., and Bell, S.D. (2016). Hydroxyurea-Mediated Cytotoxicity Without Inhibition of Ribonucleotide Reductase. *Cell reports* **17**(6), 1657-1670.

Lima, R.T., Busacca, S., Almeida, G.M., Gaudino, G., Fennell, D.A., and Vasconcelos, M.H. (2011). MicroRNA regulation of core apoptosis pathways in cancer. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)* **47**(2), 163-74.

Lin, T., Chao, C., Saito, S., Mazur, S.J., Murphy, M.E., Appella, E., and Xu, Y. (2005). p53 induces differentiation of mouse embryonic stem cells by suppressing Nanog expression. *Nat Cell Biol* **7**(2), 165-71.

Lin, Y.L., Sengupta, S., Gurdziel, K., Bell, G.W., Jacks, T., and Flores, E.R. (2009). p63 and p73 transcriptionally regulate genes involved in DNA repair. *PLoS Genet* **5**(10), e1000680.

Linzer, D.I., and Levine, A.J. (1979). Characterization of a 54K dalton cellular SV40 tumor antigen present in SV40-transformed cells and uninfected embryonal carcinoma cells. *Cell* **17**(1), 43-52.

Liu, Y., Elf, S.E., Miyata, Y., Sashida, G., Liu, Y., Huang, G., Di Giandomenico, S., Lee, J.M., Deblasio, A., Menendez, S., Antipin, J., Reva, B., Koff, A., and Nimer, S.D. (2009). p53 regulates hematopoietic stem cell quiescence. *Cell Stem Cell* **4**(1), 37-48.

Liu, Y., Huang, X., He, X., Zhou, Y., Jiang, X., Chen-Kiang, S., Jaffrey, S.R., and Xu, G. (2015). A novel effect of thalidomide and its analogs: suppression of cereblon ubiquitination enhances ubiquitin ligase function. *FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology* **29**(12), 4829-39.

Liu, Y., Lagowski, J.P., Vanderbeek, G.E., and Kulesz-Martin, M.F. (2004). Facilitated search for specific genomic targets by p53 C-terminal basic DNA binding domain. *Cancer Biol Ther* **3**(11), 1102-8.

Liu, Z.G., Baskaran, R., Lea-Chou, E.T., Wood, L.D., Chen, Y., Karin, M., and Wang, J.Y. (1996). Three distinct signalling responses by murine fibroblasts to genotoxic stress. *Nature* **384**(6606), 273-6.

Loh, Y.H., Wu, Q., Chew, J.L., Vega, V.B., Zhang, W., Chen, X., Bourque, G., George, J., Leong, B., Liu, J., Wong, K.Y., Sung, K.W., Lee, C.W., Zhao, X.D., Chiu, K.P., Lipovich, L.,

Kuznetsov, V.A., Robson, P., Stanton, L.W., Wei, C.L., Ruan, Y., Lim, B., and Ng, H.H. (2006). The Oct4 and Nanog transcription network regulates pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Genet* **38**(4), 431-40.

Lorda-Diez, C.I., Garcia-Riart, B., Montero, J.A., Rodriguez-Leon, J., Garcia-Porrero, J.A., and Hurle, J.M. (2015). Apoptosis during embryonic tissue remodeling is accompanied by cell senescence. *Aging* **7**(11), 974-85.

Lu, B., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (1998). Asymmetric cell division: lessons from flies and worms. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **8**(4), 392-9.

MacCallum, D.E., Hupp, T.R., Midgley, C.A., Stuart, D., Campbell, S.J., Harper, A., Walsh, F.S., Wright, E.G., Balmain, A., Lane, D.P., and Hall, P.A. (1996). The p53 response to ionising radiation in adult and developing murine tissues. *Oncogene* **13**(12), 2575-87.

MacPherson, D., Kim, J., Kim, T., Rhee, B.K., Van Oostrom, C.T., DiTullio, R.A., Venere, M., Halazonetis, T.D., Bronson, R., De Vries, A., Fleming, M., and Jacks, T. (2004). Defective apoptosis and B-cell lymphomas in mice with p53 point mutation at Ser 23. *EMBO J* **23**(18), 3689-99.

Mathew, R., Karantza-Wadsworth, V., and White, E. (2007). Role of autophagy in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **7**(12), 961-7.

Matoba, S., Kang, J.G., Patino, W.D., Wragg, A., Boehm, M., Gavrilova, O., Hurley, P.J., Bunz, F., and Hwang, P.M. (2006). p53 regulates mitochondrial respiration. *Science* **312**(5780), 1650-3.

Mazzaro, G., Bossi, G., Coen, S., Sacchi, A., and Soddu, S. (1999). The role of wild-type p53 in the differentiation of primary hemopoietic and muscle cells. *Oncogene* **18**(42), 5831-5.

McDade, S.S., Patel, D., Moran, M., Campbell, J., Fenwick, K., Kozarewa, I., Orr, N.J., Lord, C.J., Ashworth, A.A., and McCance, D.J. (2014). Genome-wide characterization reveals complex interplay between TP53 and TP63 in response to genotoxic stress. *Nucleic acids research* **42**(10), 6270-85.

McGlinn, E., van Bueren, K.L., Fiorenza, S., Mo, R., Poh, A.M., Forrest, A., Soares, M.B., Bonaldo Mde, F., Grimmond, S., Hui, C.C., Wainwright, B., and Wicking, C. (2005). Pax9 and Jagged1 act downstream of Gli3 in vertebrate limb development. *Mech Dev* **122**(11), 1218-33. McGrath, J.A., Duijf, P.H., Doetsch, V., Irvine, A.D., de Waal, R., Vanmolkot, K.R., Wessagowit, V., Kelly, A., Atherton, D.J., Griffiths, W.A., Orlow, S.J., van Haeringen, A., Ausems, M.G., Yang, A., McKeon, F., Bamshad, M.A., Brunner, H.G., Hamel, B.C., and van Bokhoven, H. (2001). Hay-Wells syndrome is caused by heterozygous missense mutations in the SAM domain of p63. *Hum Mol Genet* **10**(3), 221-9.

Meletis, K., Wirta, V., Hede, S.M., Nister, M., Lundeberg, J., and Frisen, J. (2006). p53 suppresses the self-renewal of adult neural stem cells. *Development* **133**(2), 363-9.

Melino, G., De Laurenzi, V., and Vousden, K.H. (2002). p73: Friend or foe in tumorigenesis. *Nat Rev Cancer* **2**(8), 605-15.

Melino, G., Lu, X., Gasco, M., Crook, T., and Knight, R.A. (2003). Functional regulation of p73 and p63: development and cancer. *Trends Biochem Sci* **28**(12), 663-70.

Mendrysa, S.M., Ghassemifar, S., and Malek, R. (2011). p53 in the CNS: Perspectives on Development, Stem Cells, and Cancer. *Genes & Cancer* **2**(4), 431-442.

Merino, R., Ganan, Y., Macias, D., Economides, A.N., Sampath, K.T., and Hurle, J.M. (1998). Morphogenesis of digits in the avian limb is controlled by FGFs, TGFbetas, and noggin through BMP signaling. *Dev Biol* **200**(1), 35-45.

Meyer, G., Cabrera Socorro, A., Perez Garcia, C.G., Martinez Millan, L., Walker, N., and Caput, D. (2004). Developmental roles of p73 in Cajal-Retzius cells and cortical patterning. *J Neurosci* **24**(44), 9878-87.

Mihara, M., Erster, S., Zaika, A., Petrenko, O., Chittenden, T., Pancoska, P., and Moll, U.M. (2003). p53 Has a Direct Apoptogenic Role at the Mitochondria. *Molecular Cell* **11**(3), 577-590.

Mikheeva, S., Barrier, M., Little, S.A., Beyer, R., Mikheev, A.M., Kerr, M.K., and Mirkes, P.E. (2004). Alterations in gene expression induced in day-9 mouse embryos exposed to hyperthermia (HS) or 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide (4CP): analysis using cDNA microarrays. *Toxicol Sci* **79**(2), 345-59.

Mills, A.A., Zheng, B., Wang, X.J., Vogel, H., Roop, D.R., and Bradley, A. (1999). p63 is a p53 homologue required for limb and epidermal morphogenesis. *Nature* **398**(6729), 708-13.

Minguillon, C., Nishimoto, S., Wood, S., Vendrell, E., Gibson-Brown, J.J., and Logan, M.P.O. (2012). Hox genes regulate the onset of <em>Tbx5</em> expression in the forelimb. *Development* **139**(17), 3180-3188.

Mirkes, P.E., Wilson, K.L., and Cornel, L.M. (2000). Teratogen-induced activation of ERK, JNK, and p38 MAP kinases in early postimplantation murine embryos. *Teratology* **62**(1), 14-25.

Mittapalli, V.R., Huang, R., Patel, K., Christ, B., and Scaal, M. (2005). Arthrotome: a specific joint forming compartment in the avian somite. *Dev Dyn* **234**(1), 48-53.

Moallem, S.A., and Hales, B.F. (1995). Induction of apoptosis and cathepsin D in limbs exposed in vitro to an activated analog of cyclophosphamide. *Teratology* **52**(1), 3-14.

Moallem, S.A., and Hales, B.F. (1998). The role of p53 and cell death by apoptosis and necrosis in 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide-induced limb malformations. *Development* **125**(16), 3225-34.

Moll, U.M., and Slade, N. (2004). p63 and p73: roles in development and tumor formation. *Mol Cancer Res* **2**(7), 371-86.

Mori, C., Nakamura, N., Kimura, S., Irie, H., Takigawa, T., and Shiota, K. (1995). Programmed cell death in the interdigital tissue of the fetal mouse limb is apoptosis with DNA fragmentation. *The Anatomical record* **242**(1), 103-10.

Munsch, D., Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Bourdon, J.C., Nagata, S., May, E., Yonish-Rouach, E., and Reisdorf, P. (2000). Human and mouse Fas (APO-1/CD95) death receptor genes each contain a p53-responsive element that is activated by p53 mutants unable to induce apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **275**(6), 3867-72.

Murray-Zmijewski, F., Lane, D.P., and Bourdon, J.C. (2006). p53/p63/p73 isoforms: an orchestra of isoforms to harmonise cell differentiation and response to stress. *Cell Death Differ* **13**(6), 962-72.

Nahavandi, M., Wyche, M.Q., Perlin, E., Tavakkoli, F., and Castro, O. (2000). Nitric Oxide Metabolites in Sickle Cell Anemia Patients after Oral Administration of Hydroxyurea; Hemoglobinopathy. *Hematology* **5**(4), 335-339.

Nakagawa, T., Takahashi, M., Ozaki, T., Watanabe, K., Todo, S., Mizuguchi, H., Hayakawa, T., and Nakagawara, A. (2002). Autoinhibitory Regulation of p73 by  $\Delta$ Np73 To Modulate Cell Survival and Death through a p73-Specific Target Element within the  $\Delta$ Np73 Promoter. *Mol Cell Biol* **22**(8), 2575-85. Nakamura, T., Pichel, J.G., Williams-Simons, L., and Westphal, H. (1995). An apoptotic defect in lens differentiation caused by human p53 is rescued by a mutant allele. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **92**(13), 6142-6.

Nakashima, K., Zhou, X., Kunkel, G., Zhang, Z., Deng, J.M., Behringer, R.R., and de Crombrugghe, B. (2002). The novel zinc finger-containing transcription factor osterix is required for osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Cell* **108**(1), 17-29.

Nayak, B.K., and Das, G.M. (2002). Stabilization of p53 and transactivation of its target genes in response to replication blockade. *Oncogene* **21**(47), 7226-9.

New, D.A., and Coppola, P.T. (1970). Effects of different oxygen concentrations on the development of rat embryos in culture. *J Reprod Fertil* **21**(1), 109-18.

Nicol, C.J., Harrison, M.L., Laposa, R.R., Gimelshtein, I.L., and Wells, P.G. (1995). A teratologic suppressor role for p53 in benzo[a]pyrene-treated transgenic p53-deficient mice. *Nat Genet* **10**(2), 181-7.

Niklison-Chirou, M.V., Killick, R., Knight, R.A., Nicotera, P., Melino, G., and Agostini, M. (2016). How Does p73 Cause Neuronal Defects? *Molecular neurobiology* **53**(7), 4509-20.

Niklison-Chirou, M.V., Steinert, J.R., Agostini, M., Knight, R.A., Dinsdale, D., Cattaneo, A., Mak, T.W., and Melino, G. (2013). TAp73 knockout mice show morphological and functional nervous system defects associated with loss of p75 neurotrophin receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**(47), 18952-7.

Noctor, S.C., Martinez-Cerdeno, V., Ivic, L., and Kriegstein, A.R. (2004). Cortical neurons arise in symmetric and asymmetric division zones and migrate through specific phases. *Nature neuroscience* **7**(2), 136-44.

Noon, A.T., Shibata, A., Rief, N., Lobrich, M., Stewart, G.S., Jeggo, P.A., and Goodarzi, A.A. (2010). 53BP1-dependent robust localized KAP-1 phosphorylation is essential for heterochromatic DNA double-strand break repair. *Nat Cell Biol* **12**(2), 177-84.

Norbury, C.J., and Hickson, I.D. (2001). Cellular responses to DNA damage. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **41**, 367-401.

Norimura, T., Nomoto, S., Katsuki, M., Gondo, Y., and Kondo, S. (1996). p53-dependent apoptosis suppresses radiation-induced teratogenesis. *Nat Med* **2**(5), 577-80.

Nozell, S., and Chen, X. (2002). p21B, a variant of p21(Waf1/Cip1), is induced by the p53 family. *Oncogene* **21**(8), 1285-94.

O'Connor, J.C., Wallace, D.M., O'Brien, C.J., and Cotter, T.G. (2008). A novel antioxidant function for the tumor-suppressor gene p53 in the retinal ganglion cell. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **49**(10), 4237-44.

Oh, S., Lee, D., Kim, T., Kim, T.S., Oh, H.J., Hwang, C.Y., Kong, Y.Y., Kwon, K.S., and Lim, D.S. (2009). Crucial role for Mst1 and Mst2 kinases in early embryonic development of the mouse. *Mol Cell Biol* **29**(23), 6309-20.

Ohuchi, H., Nakagawa, T., Yamamoto, A., Araga, A., Ohata, T., Ishimaru, Y., Yoshioka, H., Kuwana, T., Nohno, T., Yamasaki, M., Itoh, N., and Noji, S. (1997). The mesenchymal factor, FGF10, initiates and maintains the outgrowth of the chick limb bud through interaction with FGF8, an apical ectodermal factor. *Development* **124**(11), 2235-44.

Okamura, S., Arakawa, H., Tanaka, T., Nakanishi, H., Ng, C.C., Taya, Y., Monden, M., and Nakamura, Y. (2001). p53DINP1, a p53-inducible gene, regulates p53-dependent apoptosis. *Mol Cell* **8**(1), 85-94.

Okamura, S., Ng, C.C., Koyama, K., Takei, Y., Arakawa, H., Monden, M., and Nakamura, Y. (1999). Identification of seven genes regulated by wild-type p53 in a colon cancer cell line carrying a well-controlled wild-type p53 expression system. *Oncol Res* **11**(6), 281-5.

Oliveros, J.C. (2007-2015). Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams.

Ozaki, T., Naka, M., Takada, N., Tada, M., Sakiyama, S., and Nakagawara, A. (1999). Deletion of the COOH-terminal region of p73alpha enhances both its transactivation function and DNA-binding activity but inhibits induction of apoptosis in mammalian cells. *Cancer Res* **59**(23), 5902-7.

Ozaki, T., Wu, D., Sugimoto, H., Nagase, H., and Nakagawara, A. (2013). Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) inhibits p53-dependent apoptosis through the collaboration with HDAC6 in response to DNA damage. *Cell Death Dis* **4**, e610.

Pacelli, R., Taira, J., Cook, J.A., Wink, D.A., and Krishna, M.C. (1996). Hydroxyurea reacts with heme proteins to generate nitric oxide. *Lancet* **347**(9005), 900.

Pan, H., and Griep, A.E. (1995). Temporally distinct patterns of p53-dependent and p53independent apoptosis during mouse lens development. *Genes Dev* **9**(17), 2157-69.
Pan, Y., Liu, Z., Shen, J., and Kopan, R. (2005). Notch1 and 2 cooperate in limb ectoderm to receive an early Jagged2 signal regulating interdigital apoptosis. *Dev Biol* **286**(2), 472-82.

Paradis, F.H., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Exposure to valproic acid inhibits chondrogenesis and osteogenesis in mid-organogenesis mouse limbs. *Toxicol Sci* **131**(1), 234-41.

Paradis, F.H., and Hales, B.F. (2015). Valproic Acid Induces the Hyperacetylation of P53, Expression of P53 Target Genes, and Markers of the Intrinsic Apoptotic Pathway in Midorganogenesis Murine Limbs. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol*.

Parker, S.E., Mai, C.T., Canfield, M.A., Rickard, R., Wang, Y., Meyer, R.E., Anderson, P., Mason, C.A., Collins, J.S., Kirby, R.S., and Correa, A. (2010). Updated National Birth Prevalence estimates for selected birth defects in the United States, 2004-2006. *Birth defects research. Part A, Clinical and molecular teratology* **88**(12), 1008-16.

Parman, T., Wiley, M.J., and Wells, P.G. (1999). Free radical-mediated oxidative DNA damage in the mechanism of thalidomide teratogenicity. *Nat Med* **5**(5), 582-5.

Parsa, R., Yang, A., McKeon, F., and Green, H. (1999). Association of p63 with proliferative potential in normal and neoplastic human keratinocytes. *J Invest Dermatol* **113**(6), 1099-105.

Pefani, D.E., and O'Neill, E. (2016). Hippo pathway and protection of genome stability in response to DNA damage. *FEBS J* **283**(8), 1392-403.

Pekar, O., Molotski, N., Savion, S., Fein, A., Toder, V., and Torchinsky, A. (2007). p53 regulates cyclophosphamide teratogenesis by controlling caspases 3, 8, 9 activation and NF-kappaB DNA binding. *Reproduction* **134**(2), 379-88.

Pellegrini, G., Dellambra, E., Golisano, O., Martinelli, E., Fantozzi, I., Bondanza, S., Ponzin, D., McKeon, F., and De Luca, M. (2001). p63 identifies keratinocyte stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**(6), 3156-61.

Peters, H., Neubuser, A., Kratochwil, K., and Balling, R. (1998). Pax9-deficient mice lack pharyngeal pouch derivatives and teeth and exhibit craniofacial and limb abnormalities. *Genes Dev* **12**(17), 2735-47.

Petitjean, A., Ruptier, C., Tribollet, V., Hautefeuille, A., Chardon, F., Cavard, C., Puisieux, A., Hainaut, P., and Caron de Fromentel, C. (2008). Properties of the six isoforms of p63: p53-like regulation in response to genotoxic stress and cross talk with DeltaNp73. *Carcinogenesis* **29**(2), 273-81.

Peuget, S., Bonacci, T., Soubeyran, P., Iovanna, J., and Dusetti, N.J. (2014). Oxidative stress-induced p53 activity is enhanced by a redox-sensitive TP53INP1 SUMOylation. *Cell Death Differ* **21**(7), 1107-18.

Philips, F.S., Sternberg, S.S., Schwartz, H.S., Cronin, A.P., Sodergren, J.E., and Vidal, P.M. (1967). Hydroxyurea. I. Acute cell death in proliferating tissues in rats. *Cancer Res* **27**(1), 61-75.

Porrello, A., Cerone, M.A., Coen, S., Gurtner, A., Fontemaggi, G., Cimino, L., Piaggio, G., Sacchi, A., and Soddu, S. (2000). p53 regulates myogenesis by triggering the differentiation activity of pRb. *J Cell Biol* **151**(6), 1295-304.

Porter, A.G., and Janicke, R.U. (1999). Emerging roles of caspase-3 in apoptosis. *Cell Death Differ* **6**(2), 99-104.

Pozniak, C.D., Barnabe-Heider, F., Rymar, V.V., Lee, A.F., Sadikot, A.F., and Miller, F.D. (2002). p73 is required for survival and maintenance of CNS neurons. *J Neurosci* **22**(22), 9800-9.

Pozniak, C.D., Radinovic, S., Yang, A., McKeon, F., Kaplan, D.R., and Miller, F.D. (2000). An anti-apoptotic role for the p53 family member, p73, during developmental neuron death. *Science* **289**(5477), 304-6.

Pryor, R.J., and Wittwer, C.T. (2006). Real-time polymerase chain reaction and melting curve analysis. *Methods Mol Biol* **336**, 19-32.

Qin, H., Yu, T., Qing, T., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y., Cai, J., Li, J., Song, Z., Qu, X., Zhou, P., Wu, J., Ding, M., and Deng, H. (2007). Regulation of apoptosis and differentiation by p53 in human embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* **282**(8), 5842-52.

Ramadan, S., Terrinoni, A., Catani, M.V., Sayan, A.E., Knight, R.A., Mueller, M., Krammer, P.H., Melino, G., and Candi, E. (2005). p73 induces apoptosis by different mechanisms. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **331**(3), 713-717.

Ren, C., Zhang, J., Yan, W., Zhang, Y., and Chen, X. (2016). RNA-binding Protein PCBP2 Regulates p73 Expression and p73-dependent Antioxidant Defense. *J Biol Chem* **291**(18), 9629-37.

Richardson, L., Venkataraman, S., Stevenson, P., Yang, Y., Moss, J., Graham, L.,

Burton, N., Hill, B., Rao, J., Baldock, R.A., and Armit, C. (2014). EMAGE mouse embryo spatial gene expression database: 2014 update. *Nucleic acids research* **42**(Database issue), D835-44.

Riddle, R.D., Johnson, R.L., Laufer, E., and Tabin, C. (1993). Sonic hedgehog mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA. *Cell* **75**(7), 1401-16.

Riley, T., Sontag, E., Chen, P., and Levine, A. (2008). Transcriptional control of human p53-regulated genes. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **9**(5), 402-12.

Robison, J.G., Lu, L., Dixon, K., and Bissler, J.J. (2005). DNA lesion-specific colocalization of the Mre11/Rad50/Nbs1 (MRN) complex and replication protein A (RPA) to repair foci. *J Biol Chem* **280**(13), 12927-34.

Rocco, J.W., Leong, C.O., Kuperwasser, N., DeYoung, M.P., and Ellisen, L.W. (2006). p63 mediates survival in squamous cell carcinoma by suppression of p73-dependent apoptosis. *Cancer Cell* **9**(1), 45-56.

Rogakou, E.P., Nieves-Neira, W., Boon, C., Pommier, Y., and Bonner, W.M. (2000). Initiation of DNA fragmentation during apoptosis induces phosphorylation of H2AX histone at serine 139. *J Biol Chem* **275**(13), 9390-5.

Rossi, M., Aqeilan, R.I., Neale, M., Candi, E., Salomoni, P., Knight, R.A., Croce, C.M., and Melino, G. (2006a). The E3 ubiquitin ligase Itch controls the protein stability of p63. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**(34), 12753-8.

Rossi, M., De Simone, M., Pollice, A., Santoro, R., La Mantia, G., Guerrini, L., and Calabro, V. (2006b). Itch/AIP4 associates with and promotes p63 protein degradation. *Cell Cycle* **5**(16), 1816-22.

Sablina, A.A., Budanov, A.V., Ilyinskaya, G.V., Agapova, L.S., Kravchenko, J.E., and Chumakov, P.M. (2005). The antioxidant function of the p53 tumor suppressor. *Nat Med* **11**(12), 1306-13.

Saffran, M. (1996). Genetics in primary care & clinical medicine: By Margretta R. Seashore and Rebecca S. Wappner. Stamford, CT, Appleton & Lange, 1996, \$28.95 (v + 334 pages), ISBN 0-8385-3128-8. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* 7(1), 35.

Sah, V.P., Attardi, L.D., Mulligan, G.J., Williams, B.O., Bronson, R.T., and Jacks, T. (1995). A subset of p53-deficient embryos exhibit exencephaly. *Nat Genet* **10**(2), 175-80.

Sanchez-Prieto, R., Sanchez-Arevalo, V.J., Servitja, J.M., and Gutkind, J.S. (2002). Regulation of p73 by c-Abl through the p38 MAP kinase pathway. *Oncogene* **21**(6), 974-9.

Sasaki, Y., Ishida, S., Morimoto, I., Yamashita, T., Kojima, T., Kihara, C., Tanaka, T., Imai, K., Nakamura, Y., and Tokino, T. (2002). The p53 family member genes are involved in the Notch signal pathway. *J Biol Chem* **277**(1), 719-24.

Satija, Y.K., and Das, S. (2016). Tyr99 phosphorylation determines the regulatory milieu of tumor suppressor p73. *Oncogene* **35**(4), 513-27.

Saunders, J.W., Jr., and Gasseling, M.T. (1962). Cellular death in morphogenesis of the avian wing. *Dev Biol* **5**, 147-78.

Saunders, J.W., Jr., and Reuss, C. (1974). Inductive and axial properties of prospective wing-bud mesoderm in the chick embryo. *Dev Biol* **38**(1), 41-50.

Schafer, F.Q., and Buettner, G.R. (2001). Redox environment of the cell as viewed through the redox state of the glutathione disulfide/glutathione couple. *Free radical biology & medicine* **30**(11), 1191-212.

Schlisser, A.E., and Hales, B.F. (2013). Deprenyl enhances the teratogenicity of hydroxyurea in organogenesis stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **134**(2), 391-9.

Schlisser, A.E., Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2010). Teratogen-induced oxidative stress targets glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase in the organogenesis stage mouse embryo. *Toxicol Sci* **118**(2), 686-95.

Schmid, P., Lorenz, A., Hameister, H., and Montenarh, M. (1991). Expression of p53 during mouse embryogenesis. *Development* **113**(3), 857-65.

Schuler, M., Bossy-Wetzel, E., Goldstein, J.C., Fitzgerald, P., and Green, D.R. (2000). p53 induces apoptosis by caspase activation through mitochondrial cytochrome c release. *J Biol Chem* **275**(10), 7337-42.

Schwartzenberg-Bar-Yoseph, F., Armoni, M., and Karnieli, E. (2004). The tumor suppressor p53 down-regulates glucose transporters GLUT1 and GLUT4 gene expression. *Cancer Res* **64**(7), 2627-33.

Scott, W.J. (1977). Cell Death and Reduced Proliferative Rate. In *Handbook of Teratology: Mechanisms and Pathogenesis* (J. G. Wilson, and F. C. Fraser, Eds.), pp. 81-98. Springer US, Boston, MA.

Scott, W.J. (1979). Physiological cell death in normal and abnormal rodent limb development. In *Abnormal Embryogenesis: Cellular and Molecular Aspects* (T. V. N. Persaud, Ed.) Eds.), pp. 135-142. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Seemann, S., and Hainaut, P. (2005). Roles of thioredoxin reductase 1 and APE/Ref-1 in the control of basal p53 stability and activity. *Oncogene* **24**(24), 3853-63.

Seillier, M. (2012). Antioxidant Role of p53 and of Its Target TP53INP1 In *Antioxidant Enzyme* (M. A. El-Missiry, Ed.) Eds.)1st ed., pp. 122-134. INTECH, Place of publication not identified.

Seillier, M., Peuget, S., Gayet, O., Gauthier, C., N'Guessan, P., Monte, M., Carrier, A., Iovanna, J.L., and Dusetti, N.J. (2012). TP53INP1, a tumor suppressor, interacts with LC3 and ATG8-family proteins through the LC3-interacting region (LIR) and promotes autophagy-dependent cell death. *Cell Death Differ* **19**(9), 1525-35.

Seillier M., P.S., Dusetti N.J, Carrier A. (2012). Antioxidant Role of p53 and of Its Target TP53INP1. In *Antioxidant Enzyme* (M. A. El-Missiry, Ed.) Eds.), pp. 117-138. InTech.

Sen, T., Sen, N., Huang, Y., Sinha, D., Luo, Z.G., Ratovitski, E.A., and Sidransky, D. (2011). Tumor protein p63/nuclear factor kappaB feedback loop in regulation of cell death. *J Biol Chem* **286**(50), 43204-13.

Senoo, M., Manis, J.P., Alt, F.W., and McKeon, F. (2004). p63 and p73 are not required for the development and p53-dependent apoptosis of T cells. *Cancer Cell* **6**(1), 85-9.

Serber, Z., Lai, H.C., Yang, A., Ou, H.D., Sigal, M.S., Kelly, A.E., Darimont, B.D., Duijf, P.H.G., van Bokhoven, H., McKeon, F., and Dötsch, V. (2002). A C-Terminal Inhibitory Domain Controls the Activity of p63 by an Intramolecular Mechanism. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **22**(24), 8601-8611.

Shapiro, I.M., Golub, E.E., Kakuta, S., Hazelgrove, J., Havery, J., Chance, B., and Frasca, P. (1982). Initiation of endochondral calcification is related to changes in the redox state of hypertrophic chondrocytes. *Science* **217**(4563), 950-2.

Shaulian, E., and Karin, M. (2001). AP-1 in cell proliferation and survival. *Oncogene* **20**(19), 2390-400.

She, Q., Singh, R.K., Confalonieri, F., Zivanovic, Y., Allard, G., Awayez, M.J., Chan-Weiher, C.C., Clausen, I.G., Curtis, B.A., De Moors, A., Erauso, G., Fletcher, C., Gordon, P.M., Heikamp-de Jong, I., Jeffries, A.C., Kozera, C.J., Medina, N., Peng, X., Thi-Ngoc, H.P., Redder, P., Schenk, M.E., Theriault, C., Tolstrup, N., Charlebois, R.L., Doolittle, W.F., Duguet, M., Gaasterland, T., Garrett, R.A., Ragan, M.A., Sensen, C.W., and Van der Oost, J. (2001). The complete genome of the crenarchaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus P2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**(14), 7835-40.

Shi, D., and Gu, W. (2012). Dual Roles of MDM2 in the Regulation of p53: Ubiquitination Dependent and Ubiquitination Independent Mechanisms of MDM2 Repression of p53 Activity. *Genes Cancer* **3**(3-4), 240-8.

Shi, X., Kachirskaia, I., Yamaguchi, H., West, L.E., Wen, H., Wang, E.W., Dutta, S., Appella, E., and Gozani, O. (2007). Modulation of p53 function by SET8-mediated methylation at lysine 382. *Mol Cell* **27**(4), 636-46.

Shieh, S.Y., Ahn, J., Tamai, K., Taya, Y., and Prives, C. (2000). The human homologs of checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Cds1 (Chk2) phosphorylate p53 at multiple DNA damage-inducible sites. *Genes Dev* **14**(3), 289-300.

Shieh, S.Y., Ikeda, M., Taya, Y., and Prives, C. (1997). DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of p53 alleviates inhibition by MDM2. *Cell* **91**(3), 325-34.

Shin, S.Y., Kim, C.G., Jho, E.H., Rho, M.S., Kim, Y.S., Kim, Y.H., and Lee, Y.H. (2004). Hydrogen peroxide negatively modulates Wnt signaling through downregulation of betacatenin. *Cancer letters* **212**(2), 225-31.

Sipes, N.S., Martin, M.T., Reif, D.M., Kleinstreuer, N.C., Judson, R.S., Singh, A.V., Chandler, K.J., Dix, D.J., Kavlock, R.J., and Knudsen, T.B. (2011). Predictive models of prenatal developmental toxicity from ToxCast high-throughput screening data. *Toxicol Sci* **124**(1), 109-27.

Sluss, H.K., Armata, H., Gallant, J., and Jones, S.N. (2004). Phosphorylation of serine 18 regulates distinct p53 functions in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**(3), 976-84.

Sosic, D., Brand-Saberi, B., Schmidt, C., Christ, B., and Olson, E.N. (1997). Regulation of paraxis expression and somite formation by ectoderm- and neural tube-derived signals. *Dev Biol* **185**(2), 229-43.

Stevens, M.R. (1999). Hydroxyurea: an overview. *Journal of biological regulators and homeostatic agents* **13**(3), 172-5.

Stiewe, T., Theseling, C.C., and Putzer, B.M. (2002). Transactivation-deficient Delta TAp73 inhibits p53 by direct competition for DNA binding: implications for tumorigenesis. *J Biol Chem* **277**(16), 14177-85.

Sun, X.Z., Vinci, C., Makmura, L., Han, S., Tran, D., Nguyen, J., Hamann, M., Grazziani, S., Sheppard, S., Gutova, M., Zhou, F., Thomas, J., and Momand, J. (2003). Formation of disulfide bond in p53 correlates with inhibition of DNA binding and tetramerization. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **5**(5), 655-65.

Sundaresan, M., Yu, Z.X., Ferrans, V.J., Sulciner, D.J., Gutkind, J.S., Irani, K., Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.J., and Finkel, T. (1996). Regulation of reactive-oxygen-species generation in fibroblasts by Rac1. *Biochem J* **318** ( **Pt 2**), 379-82.

Sykes, S.M., Mellert, H.S., Holbert, M.A., Li, K., Marmorstein, R., Lane, W.S., and McMahon, S.B. (2006). Acetylation of the p53 DNA-binding domain regulates apoptosis induction. *Mol Cell* **24**(6), 841-51.

Tacar, O., Sriamornsak, P., and Dass, C.R. (2013). Doxorubicin: an update on anticancer molecular action, toxicity and novel drug delivery systems. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **65**(2), 157-70.

Tadeu, A.M., and Horsley, V. (2013). Notch signaling represses p63 expression in the developing surface ectoderm. *Development* **140**(18), 3777-86.

Takahashi, S., and Zeydel, M. (1982). γ-Glutamyl transpeptidase and glutathione in aging IMR-90 fibroblasts and in differentiating 3T3 L1 preadipocytes. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **214**(1), 260-267.

Talos, F., Abraham, A., Vaseva, A.V., Holembowski, L., Tsirka, S.E., Scheel, A., Bode, D., Dobbelstein, M., Bruck, W., and Moll, U.M. (2010). p73 is an essential regulator of neural stem cell maintenance in embryonal and adult CNS neurogenesis. *Cell Death Differ* **17**(12), 1816-29.

Tam, P.P. (1981). The control of somitogenesis in mouse embryos. *Journal of embryology and experimental morphology* **65** Suppl, 103-28.

Tang, Y., Luo, J., Zhang, W., and Gu, W. (2006). Tip60-dependent acetylation of p53 modulates the decision between cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. *Mol Cell* **24**(6), 827-39.

Tang, Y., Zhao, W., Chen, Y., Zhao, Y., and Gu, W. (2008). Acetylation is indispensable for p53 activation. *Cell* **133**(4), 612-26.

Tasdemir, E., Maiuri, M.C., Galluzzi, L., Vitale, I., Djavaheri-Mergny, M., D'Amelio,

M., Criollo, A., Morselli, E., Zhu, C., Harper, F., Nannmark, U., Samara, C., Pinton, P.,

Vicencio, J.M., Carnuccio, R., Moll, U.M., Madeo, F., Paterlini-Brechot, P., Rizzuto, R.,

Szabadkai, G., Pierron, G., Blomgren, K., Tavernarakis, N., Codogno, P., Cecconi, F., and

Kroemer, G. (2008). Regulation of autophagy by cytoplasmic p53. Nat Cell Biol 10(6), 676-87.

Teraishi, F., Guo, W., Zhang, L., Dong, F., Davis, J.J., Sasazuki, T., Shirasawa, S., Liu,

J., and Fang, B. (2006). Activation of sterile20-like kinase 1 in proteasome inhibitor bortezomibinduced apoptosis in oncogenic K-ras-transformed cells. *Cancer Res* **66**(12), 6072-9.

Terrasson, J., Allart, S., Martin, H., Lule, J., Haddada, H., Caput, D., and Davrinche, C. (2005). p73-dependent apoptosis through death receptor: impairment by human cytomegalovirus infection. *Cancer Res* **65**(7), 2787-94.

Thauvin-Robinet, C., Maingueneau, C., Robert, E., Elefant, E., Guy, H., Caillot, D., Casasnovas, R.O., Douvier, S., and Nivelon-Chevallier, A. (2001). Exposure to hydroxyurea during pregnancy: a case series. *Leukemia* **15**(8), 1309-11.

Thiery, J., Abouzahr, S., Dorothee, G., Jalil, A., Richon, C., Vergnon, I., Mami-Chouaib, F., and Chouaib, S. (2005). p53 potentiation of tumor cell susceptibility to CTL involves Fas and mitochondrial pathways. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)* **174**(2), 871-8.

Timson, J. (1975). Hydroxyurea. Mutat Res 32(2), 115-32.

Tissir, F., Ravni, A., Achouri, Y., Riethmacher, D., Meyer, G., and Goffinet, A.M. (2009). DeltaNp73 regulates neuronal survival in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**(39), 16871-6.

Torchinsky, A., Fein, A., and Toder, V. (2005). Teratogen-induced apoptotic cell death: does the apoptotic machinery act as a protector of embryos exposed to teratogens? *Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today* **75**(4), 353-61.

Torchinsky, A., Ivnitsky, I., Savion, S., Shepshelovich, J., Gorivodsky, M., Fein, A., Carp, H., Schwartz, D., Frankel, J., Rotter, V., and Toder, V. (1999). Cellular events and the pattern of p53 protein expression following cyclophosphamide-initiated cell death in various organs of developing embryo. *Teratogenesis, carcinogenesis, and mutagenesis* **19**(5), 353-67.

Torchinsky, A., and Toder, V. (2010). Mechanisms of the embryo's response to embryopathic stressors: a focus on p53. *J Reprod Immunol* **85**(1), 76-80.

Tschan, M.P., Grob, T.J., Peters, U.R., Laurenzi, V.D., Huegli, B., Kreuzer, K.A., Schmidt, C.A., Melino, G., Fey, M.F., Tobler, A., and Cajot, J.F. (2000). Enhanced p73 expression during differentiation and complex p73 isoforms in myeloid leukemia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **277**(1), 62-5.

Turjanski, A.G., Vaque, J.P., and Gutkind, J.S. (2007). MAP kinases and the control of nuclear events. *Oncogene* **26**(22), 3240-53.

Turnpenny, P.D., Ellard, S., and Emery, A.E.H. (2005). *Emery's Elements of Medical Genetics*. Elsevier Churchill Livingstone.

Urist, M., Tanaka, T., Poyurovsky, M.V., and Prives, C. (2004). p73 induction after DNA damage is regulated by checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2. *Genes Dev* **18**(24), 3041-54.

van Bokhoven, H., Jung, M., Smits, A.P., van Beersum, S., Ruschendorf, F., van Steensel, M., Veenstra, M., Tuerlings, J.H., Mariman, E.C., Brunner, H.G., Wienker, T.F., Reis, A., Ropers, H.H., and Hamel, B.C. (1999). Limb mammary syndrome: a new genetic disorder with mammary hypoplasia, ectrodactyly, and other Hand/Foot anomalies maps to human chromosome 3q27. *American journal of human genetics* **64**(2), 538-46.

Van Nostrand, J.L., Bowen, M.E., Vogel, H., Barna, M., and Attardi, L.D. (2017). The p53 family members have distinct roles during mammalian embryonic development. *Cell Death Differ* **24**(4), 575-579.

Van Nostrand, J.L., Brady, C.A., Jung, H., Fuentes, D.R., Kozak, M.M., Johnson, T.M., Lin, C.Y., Lin, C.J., Swiderski, D.L., Vogel, H., Bernstein, J.A., Attie-Bitach, T., Chang, C.P., Wysocka, J., Martin, D.M., and Attardi, L.D. (2014). Inappropriate p53 activation during development induces features of CHARGE syndrome. *Nature* **514**(7521), 228-32.

Velletri, T., Romeo, F., Tucci, P., Peschiaroli, A., Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli, M., Niklison-Chirou, M.V., Amelio, I., Knight, R.A., Mak, T.W., Melino, G., and Agostini, M. (2013). GLS2 is transcriptionally regulated by p73 and contributes to neuronal differentiation. *Cell Cycle* **12**(22), 3564-73.

Velu, C.S., Niture, S.K., Doneanu, C.E., Pattabiraman, N., and Srivenugopal, K.S. (2007). Human p53 is inhibited by glutathionylation of cysteines present in the proximal DNAbinding domain during oxidative stress. *Biochemistry* **46**(26), 7765-80.

Vinken, M. (2013). The adverse outcome pathway concept: A pragmatic tool in toxicology. *Toxicology* **312**(Supplement C), 158-165.

207

Vinson, R.K., and Hales, B.F. (2001a). Expression of base excision, mismatch, and recombination repair genes in the organogenesis-stage rat conceptus and effects of exposure to a genotoxic teratogen, 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide. *Teratology* **64**(6), 283-91.

Vinson, R.K., and Hales, B.F. (2001b). Nucleotide excision repair gene expression in the rat conceptus during organogenesis. *Mutat Res* **486**(2), 113-23.

Vinson, R.K., and Hales, B.F. (2002a). DNA repair during organogenesis. *Mutat Res* **509**(1-2), 79-91.

Vinson, R.K., and Hales, B.F. (2002b). Expression and activity of the DNA repair enzyme uracil DNA glycosylase during organogenesis in the rat conceptus and following methotrexate exposure in vitro. *Biochem Pharmacol* **64**(4), 711-21.

Vinson, R.K., and Hales, B.F. (2003). Genotoxic stress response gene expression in the mid-organogenesis rat conceptus. *Toxicol Sci* **74**(1), 157-64.

Vogelstein, B., Lane, D., and Levine, A.J. (2000). Surfing the p53 network. *Nature* **408**(6810), 307-10.

Vorhees, C.V., Butcher, R.E., Brunner, R.L., and Sobotka, T.J. (1979). A developmental test battery for neurobehavioral toxicity in rats: a preliminary analysis using monosodium glutamate calcium carrageenan, and hydroxyurea. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **50**(2), 267-82.

Vousden, K.H., and Lane, D.P. (2007). p53 in health and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **8**(4), 275-83.

Vousden, K.H., and Prives, C. (2009). Blinded by the Light: The Growing Complexity of p53. *Cell* **137**(3), 413-31.

Wang, B., Ohyama, H., Haginoya, K., Odaka, T., Yamada, T., and Hayata, I. (2000). Prenatal radiation-induced limb defects mediated by Trp53-dependent apoptosis in mice. *Radiat Res* **154**(6), 673-9.

Wang, C., Ivanov, A., Chen, L., Fredericks, W.J., Seto, E., Rauscher, F.J., 3rd, and Chen, J. (2005). MDM2 interaction with nuclear corepressor KAP1 contributes to p53 inactivation. *EMBO J* **24**(18), 3279-90.

Wang, J., Liu, Y.X., Hande, M.P., Wong, A.C., Jin, Y.J., and Yin, Y. (2007). TAp73 is a downstream target of p53 in controlling the cellular defense against stress. *J Biol Chem* **282**(40), 29152-62.

Wang, Q., Zou, Y., Nowotschin, S., Kim, S.Y., Li, Q.V., Soh, C.L., Su, J., Zhang, C., Shu, W., Xi, Q., Huangfu, D., Hadjantonakis, A.K., and Massague, J. (2017). The p53 Family Coordinates Wnt and Nodal Inputs in Mesendodermal Differentiation of Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **20**(1), 70-86.

Wang, X., Kua, H.Y., Hu, Y., Guo, K., Zeng, Q., Wu, Q., Ng, H.H., Karsenty, G., de Crombrugghe, B., Yeh, J., and Li, B. (2006). p53 functions as a negative regulator of osteoblastogenesis, osteoblast-dependent osteoclastogenesis, and bone remodeling. *J Cell Biol* **172**(1), 115-25.

Wang, X., Ouyang, H., Yamamoto, Y., Kumar, P.A., Wei, T.S., Dagher, R., Vincent, M., Lu, X., Bellizzi, A.M., Ho, K.Y., Crum, C.P., Xian, W., and McKeon, F. (2011). Residual embryonic cells as precursors of a Barrett's-like metaplasia. *Cell* **145**(7), 1023-35.

Ward, I.M., and Chen, J. (2001). Histone H2AX is phosphorylated in an ATR-dependent manner in response to replicational stress. *J Biol Chem* **276**(51), 47759-62.

Warner, C.W., Sadler, T.W., Shockey, J., and Smith, M.K. (1983). A comparison of the in vivo and in vitro response of mammalian embryos to a teratogenic insult. *Toxicology* **28**(4), 271-82.

Watt, F.M. (1998). Epidermal stem cells: markers, patterning and the control of stem cell fate. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **353**(1370), 831-7.

Wei, J., O'Brien, D., Vilgelm, A., Piazuelo, M.B., Correa, P., Washington, M.K., El-Rifai, W., Peek, R.M., and Zaika, A. (2008). Interaction of Helicobacter pylori with gastric epithelial cells is mediated by the p53 protein family. *Gastroenterology* **134**(5), 1412-23.

Wells, P.G., Lee, C.J., McCallum, G.P., Perstin, J., and Harper, P.A. (2010). Receptorand reactive intermediate-mediated mechanisms of teratogenesis. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*(196), 131-62.

Wells, P.G., McCallum, G.P., Chen, C.S., Henderson, J.T., Lee, C.J., Perstin, J., Preston, T.J., Wiley, M.J., and Wong, A.W. (2009). Oxidative stress in developmental origins of disease: teratogenesis, neurodevelopmental deficits, and cancer. *Toxicol Sci* **108**(1), 4-18.

Wen, W., Zhu, F., Zhang, J., Keum, Y.S., Zykova, T., Yao, K., Peng, C., Zheng, D., Cho, Y.Y., Ma, W.Y., Bode, A.M., and Dong, Z. (2010). MST1 promotes apoptosis through phosphorylation of histone H2AX. *J Biol Chem* **285**(50), 39108-16.

Westfall, M.D., Joyner, A.S., Barbieri, C.E., Livingstone, M., and Pietenpol, J.A. (2005). Ultraviolet radiation induces phosphorylation and ubiquitin-mediated degradation of DeltaNp63alpha. *Cell Cycle* **4**(5), 710-6.

Wilhelm, M.T., Rufini, A., Wetzel, M.K., Tsuchihara, K., Inoue, S., Tomasini, R., Itie-Youten, A., Wakeham, A., Arsenian-Henriksson, M., Melino, G., Kaplan, D.R., Miller, F.D., and Mak, T.W. (2010). Isoform-specific p73 knockout mice reveal a novel role for delta Np73 in the DNA damage response pathway. *Genes Dev* **24**(6), 549-60.

Wilson, J.G. (1959). Experimental studies on congenital malformations. *Journal of Chronic Diseases* **10**(2), 111-130.

Wilson, J.G. (1965). EMBRYOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN TERATOLOGY\*. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences **123**(1), 219-227.

Wilson, J.G., Scott, W.J., Ritter, E.J., and Fradkin, R. (1975). Comparative distribution and embryotoxicity of hydroxyurea in pregnant rats and rhesus monkeys. *Teratology* **11**(2), 169-78.

Wood, R.D. (1996). DNA repair in eukaryotes. Annu Rev Biochem 65, 135-67.

Wu, G., Nomoto, S., Hoque, M.O., Dracheva, T., Osada, M., Lee, C.C., Dong, S.M., Guo, Z., Benoit, N., Cohen, Y., Rechthand, P., Califano, J., Moon, C.S., Ratovitski, E., Jen, J., Sidransky, D., and Trink, B. (2003). DeltaNp63alpha and TAp63alpha regulate transcription of genes with distinct biological functions in cancer and development. *Cancer Res* **63**(10), 2351-7.

Wubah, J.A., Ibrahim, M.M., Gao, X., Nguyen, D., Pisano, M.M., and Knudsen, T.B. (1996). Teratogen-induced eye defects mediated by p53-dependent apoptosis. *Curr Biol* **6**(1), 60-9.

Wubah, J.A., Setzer, R.W., Lau, C., Charlap, J.H., and Knudsen, T.B. (2001). Exposuredisease continuum for 2-chloro-2'-deoxyadenosine, a prototype ocular teratogen. 1. Doseresponse analysis. *Teratology* **64**(3), 154-69.

Xie, Z., and Klionsky, D.J. (2007). Autophagosome formation: core machinery and adaptations. *Nat Cell Biol* **9**(10), 1102-9.

Xu, J., Krebs, L.T., and Gridley, T. (2010). Generation of mice with a conditional null allele of the Jagged2 gene. *Genesis* **48**(6), 390-3.

Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2005). Activator protein-1 (AP-1) DNA binding activity is induced by hydroxyurea in organogenesis stage mouse embryos. *Toxicol Sci* **85**(2), 1013-23.

Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2006). Depletion of glutathione induces 4-hydroxynonenal protein adducts and hydroxyurea teratogenicity in the organogenesis stage mouse embryo. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **319**(2), 613-21.

Yan, J., and Hales, B.F. (2008). p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways play distinct roles in the response of organogenesis-stage embryos to a teratogen. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **326**(3), 764-72.

Yan, W., and Chen, X. (2006). GPX2, a direct target of p63, inhibits oxidative stressinduced apoptosis in a p53-dependent manner. *J Biol Chem* **281**(12), 7856-62.

Yang, A., Kaghad, M., Caput, D., and McKeon, F. (2002). On the shoulders of giants: p63, p73 and the rise of p53. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **18**(2), 90-5.

Yang, A., Kaghad, M., Wang, Y., Gillett, E., Fleming, M.D., Dotsch, V., Andrews, N.C., Caput, D., and McKeon, F. (1998). p63, a p53 homolog at 3q27-29, encodes multiple products with transactivating, death-inducing, and dominant-negative activities. *Mol Cell* **2**(3), 305-16.

Yang, A., and McKeon, F. (2000). P63 and P73: P53 mimics, menaces and more. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **1**(3), 199-207.

Yang, A., Schweitzer, R., Sun, D., Kaghad, M., Walker, N., Bronson, R.T., Tabin, C., Sharpe, A., Caput, D., Crum, C., and McKeon, F. (1999). p63 is essential for regenerative proliferation in limb, craniofacial and epithelial development. *Nature* **398**(6729), 714-8.

Yang, A., Walker, N., Bronson, R., Kaghad, M., Oosterwegel, M., Bonnin, J., Vagner, C., Bonnet, H., Dikkes, P., Sharpe, A., McKeon, F., and Caput, D. (2000). p73-deficient mice have neurological, pheromonal and inflammatory defects but lack spontaneous tumours. *Nature* **404**(6773), 99-103.

Yang, A., Zhu, Z., Kettenbach, A., Kapranov, P., McKeon, F., Gingeras, T.R., and Struhl, K. (2010). Genome-wide mapping indicates that p73 and p63 co-occupy target sites and have similar dna-binding profiles in vivo. *PLoS One* **5**(7), e11572.

Yang, G., Abate, A., George, A.G., Weng, Y.H., and Dennery, P.A. (2004). Maturational differences in lung NF-kappaB activation and their role in tolerance to hyperoxia. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **114**(5), 669-78.

Yang, P.H., Gao, H.Y., Cai, J., Chiu, J.F., Sun, H., and He, Q.Y. (2005). The stepwise process of chromium-induced DNA breakage: characterization by electrochemistry, atomic force microscopy, and DNA electrophoresis. *Chemical research in toxicology* **18**(10), 1563-6.

Yang, Y.M., and Pace, B. (2001). Pharmacologic induction of fetal hemoglobin synthesis: cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Pediatr Pathol Mol Med* **20**(1), 87-106.

Yarbro, J.W. (1992). Mechanism of action of hydroxyurea. *Semin Oncol* **19**(3 Suppl 9), 1-10.

Yokouchi, Y., Sakiyama, J., Kameda, T., Iba, H., Suzuki, A., Ueno, N., and Kuroiwa, A. (1996). BMP-2/-4 mediate programmed cell death in chicken limb buds. *Development* **122**(12), 3725-34.

Yoon, K.A., Nakamura, Y., and Arakawa, H. (2004). Identification of ALDH4 as a p53inducible gene and its protective role in cellular stresses. *J Hum Genet* **49**(3), 134-40.

Yoon, P.W., Olney, R.S., Khoury, M.J., Sappenfield, W.M., Chavez, G.F., and Taylor, D. (1997). Contribution of birth defects and genetic diseases to pediatric hospitalizations. A population-based study. *Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine* **151**(11), 1096-103.

Yu, L., Alva, A., Su, H., Dutt, P., Freundt, E., Welsh, S., Baehrecke, E.H., and Lenardo, M.J. (2004). Regulation of an ATG7-beclin 1 program of autophagic cell death by caspase-8. *Science* **304**(5676), 1500-2.

Yun, M.H., Gates, P.B., and Brockes, J.P. (2013). Regulation of p53 is critical for vertebrate limb regeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**(43), 17392-7.

Zeman, M.K., and Cimprich, K.A. (2014). Causes and consequences of replication stress. *Nat Cell Biol* **16**(1), 2-9.

Zentner, G.E., Layman, W.S., Martin, D.M., and Scacheri, P.C. (2010). Molecular and phenotypic aspects of CHD7 mutation in CHARGE syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A* **152A**(3), 674-86.

Zeugin, J.A.J.J.A. (1985). Ethanol precipitation of DNA. Focus 7(4), 1-2.

Zhang, G., Xie, Y., Zhou, Y., Xiang, C., Chen, L., Zhang, C., Hou, X., Chen, J., Zong, H., and Liu, G. (2017). p53 pathway is involved in cell competition during mouse embryogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**(3), 498-503.

Zhang, Y., Park, E., Kim, C.S., and Paik, J.H. (2013). ZNF365 promotes stalled replication forks recovery to maintain genome stability. *Cell Cycle* **12**(17), 2817-28.

Zhu, F., Dolle, M.E., Berton, T.R., Kuiper, R.V., Capps, C., Espejo, A., McArthur, M.J., Bedford, M.T., van Steeg, H., de Vries, A., and Johnson, D.G. (2010). Mouse models for the p53 R72P polymorphism mimic human phenotypes. *Cancer Res* **70**(14), 5851-9.