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Abstract

Rapid depletions of atmospheric mercury (Hg⁰) and ozone coinciding with high halogen concentrations have recently been observed in several high-Arctic and sub-Arctic regions. The lack of kinetic data on the halogen-initiated reactions of elemental mercury precluded the drawing of any conclusions on the chemistry of these mercury depletion events. We carried out extensive kinetic and product studies on the reactions of gaseous Hg⁰ with molecular and atomic halogens (X/X² where X = Cl, Br) at atmospheric pressure (750 ± 1 Torr) and room temperature (298 ± 1 K) in air and N₂. Kinetics of the reactions with X/X² was studied using relative and absolute techniques by cold vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS) and gas chromatography with mass spectroscopic detection (GC-MS). The measured rate constants for reactions of Hg⁰ with Cl₂, Cl, Br₂, and Br were (2.6 ± 0.2) x 10⁻¹⁸, (1.0 ± 0.2) x 10⁻¹¹, <(0.9 ± 0.2) x 10⁻¹⁶, and (3.2 ± 0.3) x 10⁻¹² cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. The reaction products were analyzed in the gas phase, from the suspended aerosols, and from the wall of the reactor using MS, GC-MS, and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The major products identified were HgCl₂ and HgBr₂ adsorbed on the wall. Suspended aerosols, collected on the micron filters, contributed to less than 0.5% of the reaction products under our experimental conditions. The importance of halogen reactions of mercury in the formation of particulate matter, which tends to be bioaccumulative, is discussed herein.

1. Introduction

Mercury is one of the most toxic elements in nature and its dominant form in the atmosphere is Hg⁰. It has been three years since Schroeder et al.¹ reported surprisingly rapid depletion of Hg⁰ from the atmospheric boundary layer during spring in the high-Arctic region at Alert, Canada. Since then, there has been a growing body of observational evidence indicating that Hg⁰ depletion is widespread throughout the Arctic,² and sub-Arctic³ and is associated with enhanced mercury deposition in surface snow and ice.⁴ These episodes of mercury depletion coincide with an ozone hole at the ground driven by sunlight and bromine atoms derived from reactions of atmospheric reactive halogen gases with marine sea salt in surface snow and ice.⁵,⁶ Of particular concern is the deposition of mercury derivatives, as they tend to bioaccumulate. The lack of kinetic studies on the halogen-initiated reactions of gaseous mercury, until this laboratory work, has precluded the drawing of any definite conclusions on the disappearance episodes of mercury.¹⁻³ Experimental data on the gaseous reactions of elemental mercury are very limited, in contrast to the vast amount of data on the corresponding reactions of Hg⁰ in solution that have been extensively studied. Indeed, many gaseous reactions of mercury with atmospherically important oxidants are difficult to investigate experimentally due to the small concentrations of species at atmospheric conditions, low volatility of products, and strong effects of water vapor and surface on kinetics. In the absence of kinetic data, thermodynamic data on reactants and products have been used to calculate reaction enthalpies of Hg⁰ with a series of oxidants including O₂, O (¹D and ³P), HO₂, HO, CH₃OO, CH₃O, N₂O₅, and NO₂.⁹ Most of these oxidation species are expected to react via multistep reaction mechanisms involving the formation of various intermediates that may be thermodynamically favorable even if formation of products is
unfavorable, leading to reasonably fast reaction rates. For instance, the assumption that HgO and the H atom were produced in the reaction between mercury and the HO radical has led to a very positive reaction enthalpy, 49.4 ± 7.9 kcal mol⁻¹.⁹ Another estimate, using relativistic quantum chemical methods has shown this reaction to produce the HgOH intermediate instead, with almost zero thermal effect (−1.4 kcal mol⁻¹).¹⁰ In recent experimental studies, the rate constant for this reaction was determined to be 8.7 × 10⁻¹⁴ (at 298 K)¹¹ and 1.6 × 10⁻¹¹ (at 343 K)¹² cm³ molecules⁻¹ s⁻¹.

Although few studies dealing with the gas-phase reactions between elemental mercury and halogen-containing molecules have been reported, one study¹² has shown methyl iodide to be nonreactive toward Hg⁰ under atmospheric conditions (k < 1 × 10⁻³³ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹). In other studies,¹⁴,¹⁸ molecular chlorine was suggested to have a relatively modest reaction rate, 4 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecules⁻¹ s⁻¹, though the reaction was found to be strongly surface catalyzed,¹⁵,¹⁶ and this value should be considered as an upper limit. Reactions with other molecular halogens have not yet been studied experimentally. As far as the atomic halogens are concerned, to our knowledge, only one study has been reported where the kinetics of the reaction between gaseous mercury and chlorine atoms was followed by monitoring HgCl using time-resolved absorption spectroscopy in the temperature range 383–443 K.¹³

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hg}^* + \text{CFCl}_3 & \rightarrow \text{Hg} + \text{CF}_3 + \text{Cl} & (1) \\
\text{Hg} + \text{Cl} & \rightarrow \text{HgCl} & (2) \\
\text{HgCl} + \text{HgCl} & \rightarrow \text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2 & (3)
\end{align*}
\]

The extinction coefficient of HgCl was evaluated first and the recombination rate constant k₁ for the reaction of mercury with chlorine atoms was then derived to be 5.0 × 10⁻¹⁰ and 3.2 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ in 720 Torr CF₃Cl and in a mixture of 10 Torr CF₃Cl + 710 Torr Ar as a bath gas, respectively. The rate constant k₂ for the reaction of mercury with chlorine atoms was determined to be 5.0 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (720 Torr CF₃Cl) and 1.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ (10 Torr CF₃Cl + 710 Torr Ar) using corresponding values of k₁. Authors¹⁹ have mentioned that k₂ has an uncertainty of a factor of 3 because of the accumulation of experimental errors in evaluating the separate terms. Thus, halogen-initiated reactions of mercury have yet to be evaluated experimentally to assess the role of halogens on the atmospheric transformation of Hg⁰.

In this paper, we extensively studied the kinetics and products of gas-phase reactions of elemental mercury with atomic and molecular halogens under near tropospheric conditions using cold-vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS), gas chromatography with mass spectroscopic detection (GC-MS), and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Complementary chemical kinetics modeling was performed to further understand the reaction mechanism. The implication of the present results to the chemistry of the troposphere is discussed herein.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Kinetics. In the kinetic experiments, reactants and products were monitored using three different detection techniques: cold-vapor atomic absorption spectroscopy (CVAAS), mass spectroscopic detection after separation on a gas chromatograph (GC-MS), and direct sampling from the reaction chamber into the mass spectrometer (direct MS). Kinetic runs were performed at 750 ± 1 Torr pressure of bath gas (air or nitrogen) and a temperature of 298 ± 1 K. All errors quoted in tables are two standard deviations from least-squares fits unless otherwise stated. However, the errors of the final kinetic data given in the text represent accumulative uncertainties from the least-squares fits (5–20%), concentration measurements (<5%), and due to adsorption (<6%).

CVAAS. The mercury concentration was followed using CVAAS. Light from a Pen-Ray low-pressure mercury lamp (UVP Inc.) was passed through a 0.5 mm pinhole into a reaction cell equipped with two quartz windows having an optical path of 10 cm. Then, the 253.6 nm line was isolated with a 0.35 m McPherson 270 monochromator and detected using a photomultiplier PMT 01-511 (Photon Technology International, Inc.). The detection range for Hg⁰ was from 10 ppb (part per billion in volume; 1 ppb = 2.46 × 10⁻¹⁰ molecule cm⁻³) to 2 ppm (part per million in volume; 1 ppm = 2.46 × 10⁻¹³ molecule cm⁻³).

GC-MS. Concentrations of mercury and a reference molecule were monitored by MS detection (quadrupole MSD HP 5973) after separation on a gas chromatograph (HP 6890) equipped with a 0.25 mm i.d. × 30 m cross-linked phenyl–methyl–siloxane column (HP 5-MS). The column was operated at a constant flow (1.5 mL min⁻¹) of helium and was kept isothermal at 0–20 °C for 2 min. The oven temperature was increased by 25–35 °C min⁻¹ up to 170 °C. At the beginning of each kinetic run, the first analysis was performed using the scanning (Scan) mode of the MSD, then the kinetics was followed using the single ion monitoring (SIM) mode. In the latter case, mercury ions with m/z 199, 200, 201, and 202 were monitored. The observed isotopic ratios for these ions corresponded well to the

https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp020719o
expected, 56:78:44:100. The retention time of the mercury peak was from 1.5 to 1.9 min depending on the temperature of the column. The detection limit for Hg⁰ was 10 ppb.

Direct MS sampling. Our setup was analogous to that described by Khamaganov et al. The reaction chamber was continuously sampled through a 100 μm i.d. deactivated fused silica capillary (Supelco) approximately 130 cm long into the ion source of a mass spectrometer (quadrupole MSD HP 5973). The capillary entered the reaction chamber through a septum port. The flow rate through the column was approximately 0.3 mL min⁻¹ as calculated using the geometrical parameters of the column and the 1 bar pressure difference between the reaction chamber and the MSD manifold. With this technique the reactions were always studied using nitrogen as a diluent gas to avoid damage to the ion source. The MSD was operated in SIM mode to increase sensitivity. Mercury ions with m/z 199, 200, 201, and 202 were monitored. The detection limit for Hg⁰ was 50 ppb.

2.1.1. Molecular Halogens. Reactions of mercury with molecular Cl₂ and Br₂ were studied using the absolute rate technique under pseudo-first-order conditions with respect to Hg⁰ (0.5–1.0 ppm of mercury and 10–50 ppm of molecular halogen). The mercury concentration was followed using either CVAAS or direct MS sampling. In the former case, the reaction chamber was a 600 mL Pyrex cell equipped with two quartz windows. During a typical experiment the cell was filled with 600 Torr of Hg-saturated air or nitrogen and then the pressure was brought to 750 Torr with air or nitrogen containing 50–250 ppm of molecular halogen. In the second case, the reaction was studied in a 3 L flask. First, chlorine or bromine was introduced into the evacuated flask from a 125 mL vial containing 50–500 ppm of molecular halogen, then Hg-saturated nitrogen was added to approximately 400 Torr, and finally the pressure in the flask was brought to 750 Torr.

2.1.2. Atomic Halogens. The reactions of mercury with chlorine and bromine atoms were studied using the relative rate technique. For instance, in the case of Cl atom initiated reactions:

\[ \text{Cl} + \text{Hg} \xrightarrow{k_{\text{Hg}}} \text{products} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ \text{Cl} + \text{Ref} \xrightarrow{k_{\text{Ref}}} \text{products} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The ratio of the rate constants \( k_{\text{Hg}}/k_{\text{Ref}} \) was determined from the following equation:

\[ \ln\left(\frac{[\text{Hg}]_i/[\text{Hg}]_f}{[\text{Ref}]_i/[\text{Ref}]_f}\right) = k_{\text{Hg}}/k_{\text{Ref}} \ln\left(\frac{[\text{Ref}]_0/[\text{Ref}]_i}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \([\text{Hg}]_0\) and \([\text{Ref}]_0\) are the initial concentrations, and \([\text{Hg}]_f\) and \([\text{Ref}]_f\) are the concentrations after photolysis. A plot of \(\ln([\text{Hg}]_i/[\text{Hg}]_f)\) vs \(\ln([\text{Ref}]_i/[\text{Ref}]_f)\) should give a straight line with a zero intercept and a slope of \(k_{\text{Hg}}/k_{\text{Ref}}\).

Experiments were carried out in 2- and 3-L Pyrex double-wall flasks equipped with a stopper having a double-wall quartz window (2-in. diameter). Water was circulated (Neslab RTE 111) between the walls and the temperature in the flask was held at 298 ± 1 K. The inside wall of the reaction chamber was coated with halocarbon wax (Supelco) or treated with a dimethyldichlorosilane (DMDCS) reagent (Supelco) to prevent undesirable wall reactions. A vacuum system was used to prepare gaseous mixtures of reactants. Gaseous substrates were introduced directly into evacuated reaction flasks using a 50 or 250 μL gastight syringe (Hamilton series 1800 Gastight). Liquid substrates were injected with a 2 or 10 μL microsyringe (Hamilton series 700 Microliter) into a 125 mL reference volume held at 50–100 Torr pressure and connected to the reaction flask and the vacuum manifold through Teflon valves. After complete evaporation and, in some cases, after dilution into the manifold, the reactant vapors were transferred into the reaction flask. Air or nitrogen nearly saturated with mercury vapor at 295–298 K was introduced at approximately 400 Torr, and then the pressure in the flask was brought to 750 Torr.

The kinetic study was performed using repeated irradiation and sampling into the GC-MS with a syringe as well as using continuous irradiation and continuous sampling through a capillary directly into the MSD. Several organic substrates (n-hexane, cyclohexane, n-butane, ethane, 1,3-dichloropropene, dichloromethane, and 1-butene) with different reactivities toward chlorine and bromine atoms were used as references in the kinetic experiments. Typical initial concentrations of the reactants were 0.5–1.0 ppm for Hg⁰, 1–12 ppm for the reference, and 10–50 ppm for the halogen atoms source. Cyclohexane and benzene (100–4500 ppm) were used as HO radical scavengers in some experiments.

Halogen atom source and irradiation technique. In preliminary experiments, we found that molecular chlorine and bromine could not be used as halogen atom sources since they react rapidly in the dark with gaseous Hg⁰. Instead, trichloroacetyl chloride and dibromomethane were used to generate atomic chlorine and bromine, respectively. In situ photolysis of the precursor was performed with irradiation produced by a 100 W Hg arc lamp (model 6281, Oriel...
Inc). It appears that high-pressure mercury vapor inside the bulb of the lamp acts as a very efficient and narrow filter, removing the 253.6 nm mercury excitation line from radiated light. This allows a photolysis of an initiator absorbing at ~250 nm without exciting the mercury atoms in the reaction mixture. Separate experiments were performed to ensure that there was no depletion of Hg\(^0\) due to reactions of photoexcited mercury formed upon irradiation by unfiltered light of the arc lamp. Indeed, no decrease of the GC-MS signal of mercury and/or reference compound was observed upon photolysis in a system containing gaseous mercury in air and in nitrogen with or without the reference. However, as was expected, the concentration of mercury decreased rapidly upon irradiation in the presence of a halogen atom source. From the rates of decay of ethane and 1-butene in a typical run, average chloride and bromine atom concentrations were estimated to be 1.1 \times 10^7 and 4.0 \times 10^8 atom cm\(^{-3}\), respectively.

Repeated irradiation/sampling. Photolysis of the reaction mixture was carried out through a quartz window by repeated 0.5 to 2 min long steps. Total irradiation times ranged from 10 to 60 min. The decays in concentration of mercury and the reference compound upon photolysis were monitored by injecting gas samples from the reaction flask into the GC-MS after each irradiation section using a gastight syringe. The volume of the samples injected was 200 \mu L.

Continuous irradiation/sampling. Photolysis of the reaction mixture was carried out continuously and the flask was continuously sampled through a capillary into the ion source of the MSD. Since the volume by surface ratio of the capillary was very small, special precautions were taken to avoid exposure of the capillary to irradiation in order to impede photochemistry occurring inside it. The light column coming in through the quartz window did not irradiate the entire contents of the flask; therefore, a Teflon stirrer was designed to ensure fast thorough mixing. Using the velocity of the gas passing through and the length of the capillary, the residence time in the capillary was calculated to be about 1.5 s.

2.2. Product Studies. Reaction products were generally preconcentrated in a trap cooled by liquid nitrogen or dissolved in small volume of solvent before analysis. For all products (Hg\(^0\), HgCl\(_2\), HgBr\(_2\), n-Bu\(_2\)Hg) the measured isotopic ratios of ions in mass-spectra within 0.5−2% corresponded to the expected ratios.

Direct probe. Volatile products were collected by passing a slow flow of gas from a reaction chamber through a 1.1 mm i.d. \times 10 cm length Pyrex tube (Corning), the central part of which was maintained at liquid nitrogen temperature. Condensed products were evaporated from the tube into an ion source of a Kratos MS25RFA mass spectrometer at stepwise-elevated temperature using a direct probe.

Derivatization. This method is based on the quantitative transformation of HgX\(_2\) (X = Cl, Br) to the significantly more volatile organomercury compound n-Bu\(_2\)Hg:

\[
\text{HgX}_2 + 2\ n-\text{BuMgCl} \rightarrow n-\text{Bu}_2\text{Hg} + 2\text{MgClX}
\]  (7)

Samples were prepared by washing the traps or reaction flask walls with toluene. Since the solubility of mercury products in toluene is moderate (~5 g L\(^{-1}\)), ultrasound was used to accelerate dissolution. Derivatization was performed using a previously reported procedure. A 1-mL sample in toluene was placed in a 10 mL centrifuge test tube. It was derivatized with 0.4 mL of 2 M n-butyliumchloride in tetrahydrofuran for 5 min in an ice−water bath with occasional shaking. Subsequently, 0.4 mL of 0.6 M hydrochloric acid was added to quench the excess derivatization agent, the mixture was centrifuged, and the organic phase was removed for analysis. It should be noted that Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) is not derivatized under these conditions.

ICP-MS. Samples were prepared by treating the reaction flask walls and Teflon filters with a mixture of 20 mL 40% H\(_2\)NO\(_3\) and 0.5 mL 30% H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The samples were diluted to 100 mL, heated to 350 K during an hour to decompose H\(_2\)O\(_2\), and analyzed by ICP-MS.

2.3. Materials. Chemicals: mercury (99.9995% purity), chlorine (99.5+%), bromine (99.5+%), mercury (II) chloride (99.999%), mercury (II) bromide (99.998%), dibromomethane (99%), trichloroacetyl chloride (99%), benzene (99+%), ethane (99+%), n-butane (98+%), n-nonane (99%), 1,3-dichloropropene (99%), and n-butylmagnesium chloride (2M solution in tetrahydrofuran) were purchased from Aldrich. Cyclohexane (99.7%), toluene (99.9%), dichloromethane (99.9%), hydrogen peroxide (30%), hydrochloric acid (TraceMetal grade), and nitric acid (TraceMetal grade) were supplied by Fisher. n-Hexane (99.5%) was purchased from Sigma. All the reagents were used as received. The UHP nitrogen and the Ultra Zero air were purchased from Megas (Matheson).

2.4. Computational Studies. The Gaussian 98 package was used for quantum chemical calculations. Kinetic modeling of the reaction systems was performed using the Acuchem program.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Wall Effects. To ensure spatial and temperature homogeneity, the reaction chambers used in our experiment were 2- and 3-L Pyrex flasks. Therefore, special attention was drawn to a loss of reactants on the walls that might bias
kinetic data and to possible photochemical reactions catalyzed by wall surfaces. Blank experiments revealed a decrease of gas-phase concentrations immediately after addition of a mixture of reactants to a flask that was thoroughly evacuated before the experiment to a residual pressure of 0.05 mTorr. The decrease obeyed an exponential dependence reaching a plateau, the effect being most pronounced in a Pyrex flask with nontreated walls. Seemingly, when a fresh surface of the flask was exposed to a mixture of reactants, adsorption processes from the gas phase to the wall occurred. The rate of adsorption was best described by fitting the experimental data to eq 8 rather than to a simple exponential:

\[ y(t) = y_0 + a \times \exp(-k_{ads} \times t) \]  

(8)

where \( y(t) \) is the gas-phase concentration of a species at time \( t \); \( y_0 \) is a parameter corresponding to gas-phase concentration of a species at infinite time; \( a \) is a parameter corresponding to maximum adsorption capacity of the wall expressed as gas-phase concentration, and \( k_{ads} \) is the effective rate constant of adsorption. Using this equation, \( k_{ads} \) and maximum relative loss of reactants at infinite time due to adsorption, \( L_m = a/(a + y_0) \), were calculated for mercury and several references. Table 1 shows that noticeable amounts of mercury and 1,3-dichloropropane (1,3-DCP) were adsorbed in a nontreated Pyrex flask. Applying the DMDCS coating led to more than a 2-fold decrease of the adsorption rate of mercury and 1,3-DCP, but had virtually no effect on \( L_m \). At the same time, in a flask coated with halocarbon wax, both \( k_{ads} \) and \( L_m \) decreased significantly, so halocarbon wax coating was used in all kinetic experiments. In the most severe case, with 1,3-DCP used as a reference, the decrease in concentration was about 9% over the 10-minute period immediately after the addition of reactants to the flask, and did not exceed 4% over the several hours that followed (Table 1). Similar trends in \( k_{ads} \) and \( L_m \) vs type of coating were observed for other references, but the adsorption was much weaker for less polar and/or lower molecular weight compounds such as ethane, 1-butene, \( n \)-butane, and \( n \)-hexane.

![Table 1: Wall Loss from the Gas Phase for Mercury ([Hg]_0 = 0.5 Ppm) and Reference ([1,3-DCP]_0 = 5.7 ppm) in a 3-L Flask with Different Types of Wall Coating in the Presence of Trichloroacetyl Chloride (48 ppm) and Benzene (835 ppm) at 298 K\(^a\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jp0207190)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>wall</th>
<th>( k_{ads} ), min(^{-1} )</th>
<th>( L_m ) %</th>
<th>( \Delta y(t = 10 \text{ min}) ) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDCS</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-DCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrex</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDCS</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) \( k_{ads} \) is effective rate constant of adsorption; \( L_m = a/(a + y_0) \) is adsorption wall capacity; \( \Delta y(t = 10 \text{ min}) \) is loss from gas phase to the wall during the 10 min period after mixing the reagents.

To minimize the effect of adsorption on the reaction kinetics, the mixture of reactants was always given enough time to equilibrate with the walls before irradiation was started or molecular halogens were added. For instance, in kinetic experiments with GC-MS monitoring, where a typical run was as long as 3 h due to the GC-MS analysis step, the reaction mixture was usually kept for at least 2 h for equilibration before the irradiation began. At the same time, kinetic experiments were significantly shorter when continuous MS sampling was used. Thus, the irradiation was started 10 to 15 min after addition of reactants, when their decay caused by adsorption was much slower than their reaction with halogen atoms. Usually, over the 5 to 20 min remaining of the kinetic run, the change of reactant concentration due to adsorption did not exceed 2% of their initial concentration. This led to an uncertainty in the calculated relative rate constant, which varied from 4% (\( k_{Hg}/k_{Ref} \sim 1 \)) to 60% (\( k_{Hg}/k_{Ref} \sim 10 \)).

To evaluate if adsorbed reactants could desorb back to the gas phase when their gaseous concentrations decreased due to reaction with atomic halogens, we performed experiments at high initiation rates when the adsorption/desorption process (\( \tau = 10 \text{ min} \)) was significantly slower than chemical transformation (\( \tau = 2 \text{ min} \)). No increase in concentration
of reactants was detected when the irradiation was stopped at any degree of conversion, providing evidence that no observable desorption occurred. The contribution of surface reactions induced by light to observed kinetics was also found to be negligible. Indeed, since the reaction mixture was photolyzed through a quartz window, only a small spherical cap opposite the window (9% of the total wall surface) was irradiated by a column of light. Even at full extent of adsorption less than 1% of the reactants loaded was subjected to photolysis at the wall surface.

3.2. Reaction of Cl₂ and Br₂ with Hg⁰. In previous studies,¹⁵,¹⁶ it has been noted that the reaction of mercury with molecular chlorine is strongly catalyzed by surfaces. Therefore, to minimize this effect, the reaction cell was coated with halocarbon wax for kinetic experiments. Indeed, the kinetic system appeared to be very sensitive to the nature of the wall: removing the halocarbon wax coating dramatically increased the rate of mercury depletion (Figure 1, Table 2). This explains the lack of mercury in the samples taken from the reaction cell and analyzed using GC-MS when we attempted to use a gastight syringe. The surface-catalyzed reaction occurred in the syringe and/or in the GC inlet, leading to extremely fast mercury consumption in the sample.

![Graph showing time evolution of optical transmittance](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp020719o)

**Figure 1.** Time evolution of optical transmittance at 253.7 nm in the cell containing 0.5–1.0 ppm of Hg⁰ and (a–d) 10 ppm of Cl₂ or (e–f) 20 ppm of Br₂ in N₂ at 298 K. (a) wall treated with halocarbon wax, noncontinuous probing light; (b) wall treated with halocarbon wax, continuous probing; (c) nontreated Pyrex wall, continuous probing; (d) in the presence of Hg⁰ + Br₂ reaction products on the wall treated with halocarbon wax, continuous probing; (e) wall treated with halocarbon wax; (f) nontreated Pyrex wall.
Since there was a possibility of molecular chlorine photolysis by the probe light in CVAAS experiments, a comparison of continuous and interrupted monitoring was performed; in the latter case a light shutter was used to close the probe beam. To measure the optical transmittance during the course of the reaction, the light shutter was opened for 2−3 s with 2 min intervals. Figure 1 shows that the effect of photolysis was small but not negligible.

The reaction rate appeared to accelerate at higher conversions of mercury, leading to two kinetic regimes that we attributed to (i) gas-phase reaction and (ii) surface-catalyzed reactions in addition to the gas phase. This effect has been confirmed in all sets of experiments using CVAAS and direct MS detection techniques. As depicted in Figure 2, when the concentration of molecular chlorine was increased, the rate of consumption of elemental mercury increased in a way that was consistent with the second-order nature of the reaction. The rate constant calculated from this concentration dependence for the first part of the kinetic curve was \((2.62 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). As the reaction proceeded, the reaction rate accelerated, leading to regime (ii). The rate constant calculated for the second part of the kinetic curve was \((4.63 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). In all our experiments, the beginning of the second part of the kinetic curve corresponded to approximately the same degree of converted mercury (\(\sim 60\%\) in 3-L and 20−30\% in 0.6-L flasks, respectively). This suggests that a certain amount of oxidized mercury, which we identified to be predominantly \(\text{HgCl}_2\), must be formed before the catalytic acceleration can start. Since \(\text{HgCl}_2\) is significantly less volatile than elemental mercury, its vapor saturates at approximately 10\% conversion of \(\text{Hg}_0\), leading to deposition of \(\text{HgCl}_2\) on the walls of the reaction cell. The observation of the catalyzed reactions occurring only at a higher conversion supports the hypothesis that first the reaction chamber walls need to be covered by products before a substantial amount of these types of heterogeneous reactions can occur. We also observed a strong acceleration effect when the reaction between mercury and molecular chlorine was studied in a cell covered with \(\text{Hg}_0 + \text{Br}_2\) reaction products (Figure 1). A similar surface effect on the Hg + Cl\(_2\) reaction has already been observed.

The reaction has been found to proceed through a surface catalysis stoichiometrically producing \((\text{HgCl}_2)_n\) on the walls:  

\[ n\text{Hg} + n\text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow (\text{HgCl}_2)_n \]  

(9)

In another study, the overall reaction rate has been noticed to increase after prolonged exposure of the cell to air mixtures containing mercury vapor and molecular chlorine.
The linearity of the kinetic data shown in Figure 2 corresponding to the first regime suggests that at low conversion of Hg\(^0\), the extent of secondary reactions arising from surface catalysis, or any other potential heterogeneous process, is insignificant. The rate constants obtained for the first regime using different methods \((1.0-2.6) \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) are significantly lower than the previously estimated value, \(4 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\). One can see that the latter value is actually close to what we measured for the uncoated Pyrex surface, \((1.52 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (Table 2).

Molecular bromine was found to react with mercury significantly faster than Cl\(_2\). It follows from Figure 1 and Table 2 that in a cell treated with halocarbon wax the reaction rate was similar to the rate of reaction with Cl\(_2\) in a nontreated cell. It should be noted that all our attempts to study the reaction Hg + Br\(_2\) using the direct MS technique failed. The mercury signal disappeared in a few seconds upon mixing the reagents and bringing the flask to atmospheric pressure. Only trace amounts of mercury were observed after transfer of reaction mixture from the flask into MS through a capillary. Seemingly, due to very strong wall effects, the reaction was fully completed in the capillary before the mixture reached the ion source of the MS detector. We may conclude that, contrary to the reaction of Hg\(^0\) with molecular chlorine, the value of the rate constant obtained for molecular bromine, \((0.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\), is only an upper estimate.

### 3.3. Reaction of Cl and Br with Hg\(^0\)

#### 3.3.1. Kinetics

We used the relative rate technique in the kinetic studies of Cl and Br atom initiated reactions of Hg\(^0\). Since the absolute rate constants for these reactions are unknown and their evaluation in a relative rate study is a function of the absolute rate constant of the reference, five different reference molecules were used to obtain a better estimate (Table 3).
Reaction Hg\(^0\) + Cl. Table 4 presents relative and absolute rate constants for the reaction of mercury with chlorine atoms obtained using repeated irradiation/syringe and direct MS sampling techniques with different reference compounds. Good linearity of relative rate plots was observed for ethane, n-butane, and n-hexane, though a slight curvature was noted in the very beginning of the plot at high concentration of the reference in air. Generally, the slope depended on the concentration of the reference, increasing at higher concentrations. The effect was pronounced to a greater extent in air, rather than in nitrogen, diluent (see Figure 3), indicating secondary reactions caused by the presence of molecular oxygen. As Table 4 displays, small hydrocarbons such as ethane, which produce fewer oxidation products, led to a lesser extent of secondary reactions than did larger molecules such as n-hexane. Values as high as (9.8 ± 1.0) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} were obtained in air using high concentrations of relatively large hydrocarbons such as n-hexane and n-butane. Seemingly, it was the HO radical formed upon oxidation of the reference molecule that caused these secondary reactions. The mechanism for formation of HO has been suggested to involve reaction of Cl atoms with hydroperoxides.\(^{28}\) For instance, the Cl atom initiated oxidation of C\(_2\)H\(_6\) in air produces C\(_2\)H\(_5\)OOH, which can then react with Cl atoms to give HO radicals:

\[
\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OOH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHOOH} + \text{HCl}
\]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{CHOOH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{HO}
\]

HO may be produced by the reaction of Cl atoms with HO\(_2\):\(^{22}\)

\[
\text{Cl} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO} + \text{ClO}
\]

Photolysis of hydroperoxides by short wave UV irradiation (\(\lambda > 250 \text{ nm}\)) employed in our study might also have enhanced HO radical formation.

---

**TABLE 3: Literature Values of Rate Constants (cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) for the Reaction of References Employed with Atomic Halogens (X = Cl and Br) and the Hydroxyl Radical at 298 K**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>(k_1 \times 10^{-11})</th>
<th>(k_{RO} \times 10^{-13})</th>
<th>(k_1/k_{RO})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>X = Cl, 5.7(^{29})</td>
<td>2.6(^{41})</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1-dichloroethane</td>
<td>X = Cl, 1.1(^{43})</td>
<td>7.9(^{43})</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dichloromethane</td>
<td>X = Cl, 0.034(^{39})</td>
<td>1.1(^{44})</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>X = Cl, 31(^{45})</td>
<td>54(^{42})</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butane</td>
<td>X = Cl, 22(^{46})</td>
<td>24(^{42})</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td>X = Br, 0.34(^{37})</td>
<td>314(^{47})</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>X = Cl, 1.5(^{10})</td>
<td>0.87(^{10}) (160(^{13}))</td>
<td>188 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>X = Cl, 1.0(^{a})</td>
<td>125 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>X = Br, 0.3(^{a})</td>
<td>35 (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Value from the present work. \(^{b}\) At 343 K.
Further evidence of HO involvement was obtained in additional experiments with continuous MS sampling, which revealed that the relative rate plots of decay of Hg versus that of dichloromethane and 1,3-DCP were noticeably curved (Figure 4b). Similar curved plots have already been reported in relative rate studies on the reaction of atomic chlorine with CS$_2$ and benzene in air, using methane, chloromethane, or chlorodifluoromethane as a reference. Such behavior was attributed to involvement of HO radicals since benzene and CS$_2$ react with HO radicals more rapidly than methane, only their decay rates were enhanced. As the irradiation proceeded, accumulating oxidation products competed for HO radicals. Since benzene and CS$_2$ react with HO radicals more rapidly than methane, only their decay rates were enhanced. As the irradiation proceeded, accumulating oxidation products competed for HO radicals. Indeed, when we added an increasing amount of benzene, which effectively traps HO but does not react with chlorine (<5.0 × 10$^{-16}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), the concentration–time profiles of mercury and reference (1,3-DCP and CH$_2$Cl$_2$) changed as shown in Figure 4a, resulting in less bent relative rate plots (Figure 4b, plots 2 and 4).

Reaction of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with chlorine atoms appears to be too slow, therefore, only 1,3-DCP was used in subsequent experiments with direct sampling. At [C$_6$H$_6$] > 800 ppm and [1,3-DCP] < 3.5 ppm, the relative rate plots were straight and the rate constant did not depend on concentration of the reference (Table 4), providing evidence that contribution of secondary reactions due to HO or any other species was eliminated.

**TABLE 4: Rate Constants for the Reaction of Mercury with Chlorine Atoms Obtained Using Different References at 298 K**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V_{fl}$, L</th>
<th>bath gas</th>
<th>reference, ppm</th>
<th>$k_{Hg}/k_{Ref}$</th>
<th>$k_{Hg+Cl} \times 10^{11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>n-hexane, 6.0</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.02</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>n-hexane, 10.0</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.41</td>
<td>93.5 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>n-butane, 5.0</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.48</td>
<td>97.7 ± 10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 8.0</td>
<td>10.8 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>ethane, 4.0</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>ethane, 4.0</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>ethane, 8.0</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>ethane, 12.0</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.02</td>
<td>4.89 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>n-hexane, 6.0</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 8.0</td>
<td>6.58 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>ethane, 4.0</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>ethane, 12.0</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>ethane, 4.0</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>ethane, 2.0</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Direct MS Sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$V_{fl}$, L</th>
<th>bath gas</th>
<th>reference, ppm</th>
<th>$k_{Hg}/k_{Ref}$</th>
<th>$k_{Hg+Cl} \times 10^{11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 0.7$^b$</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 1.4$^b$</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 2.1$^b$</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 2.8$^b$</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 3.5$^b$</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 14.0$^b$</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 8.3</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 16.6$^b$</td>
<td>10.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 5.7$^{b,c}$</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>1,3-DCP, 5.7$^{b,d}$</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Initial concentration of mercury was 0.5 – 1.0 ppm. The reaction flask was coated with halocarbon wax unless stated otherwise. $^b$ In the presence of 835 ppm benzene. $^c$ Noncoated flask. $^d$ DMDCS coating.
The effect of HO on the relative rate plots is a complex function of many variables, which include (i) the rate constants of the reactions of halogen atoms (X) and HO radicals with mercury ($k_{X+Hg}$, $k_{HO+Hg}$), the reference compound ($k_{X+Ref}$, $k_{HO+Ref}$), and oxidation products; (ii) the rates with which the source and scavenger of HO radicals (hydroperoxide and aldehyde) accumulate as the reaction proceeds. Depending on the mutual ratios of these rate constants and rates, the relative rate plots may be linear or show different degrees of curvature, either upward or downward. Knowledge of an accurate rate constant value for the reaction between mercury and HO radical, $k_{Hg+HO}$, is crucial in assessing the contribution of HO and explaining the curvatures we observed. We performed a modeling study (Acuchem) on the chlorine-initiated oxidation of ethane and mercury in air diluent using two values of $k_{Hg+HO}$ that have recently been reported.$^{11,12}$ When the lower value $8.7 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (at 298 K)$^{11}$ was used in a model calculation, no effect of HO on the relative rate plots was observed. As Figure 5 displays, neither Hg nor ethane depletion rates were influenced by HO radical because the ratios $k_C/k_{HO}$ for these species were very high (Table 3). On the contrary, employing the high value of $k_{Hg+HO} = 1.6 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (at 343 K),$^{12}$ produced plots that were significantly curved upward (Figure 5). This was in contradiction to our experiments, where the relative rate plots were reasonably straight. We varied the rate constant $k_{Hg+HO}$ between the upper and lower literature values until our experimental relative rate constant $k_{Hg+Cl}/k_{ethane+Cl}$ was reproduced at $k_{Hg+HO} = 3 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. The calculated relative rate plots were straight except for a slight bend upward at the very beginning of the curve, ...
resembling the experimental plots at high concentration of ethane in the presence of molecular oxygen. Due to the discrepancy in the existing literature experimental kinetic data on the reaction of HO radical with elemental mercury, additional accurate experimental evaluation of $k_{\text{Hg+HO}}$ is desirab

Table 4 shows that when generation of HO radicals was minimized by either using the small concentration of reference, adding of HO scavenger, or carrying out the reaction in nitrogen, the relative rate constant did not depend on reaction conditions. For instance, in the presence of benzene the experimental rate constant, $k_{\text{Hg+Cl}} = (1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ obtained using 1,3-DCP did not essentially depend on the reference concentration and within experimental uncertainty was equal to the rate constant obtained using a small concentration of ethane in nitrogen. This value is very close to the rate constant $1.5 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ derived by Horne$^{19}$ from the experimental data obtained in a mixture of 10 Torr CF$_3$Cl and 710 Torr Ar.

As Table 4 shows, either using a flask with less efficient DMDCS coating or a noncoated flask did not significantly affect the rate constant of the reaction of mercury with atomic chlorine. Hence, the adsorption did not bias the reaction kinetics, and surface catalysis was negligible, as the reactions of atomic halogens proceed rapidly. This was in contrast to our findings for the reaction of mercury with molecular halogens, where catalysis occurring on the walls was significant.

Reaction Hg$^0 +$ Br. Reaction of bromine atoms with mercury was studied using 1-butene as a reference. Figure 6 shows noticeable bending of the relative rate plot obtained with only Hg$^0$, 1-butene, and CH$_3$Br$_2$ in air. Experiments in the presence of small amounts of cyclohexane and n-nonane revealed that these alkanes were being depleted upon photolysis and additional products were formed in an air diluent. Since neither cyclohexane nor n-nonane react with atomic bromine ($1.01 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) or are photolyzed, depletion of these alkanes indicated involvement of some active species that were able to effectively attack an alkane C−H bond. The slope of a relative rate plot, $k_{\text{cyclohexane}}/k_{\text{n-nonane}} = 0.54 \pm 0.10$ within experimental uncertainty was close to the ratio 0.643 calculated using literature values$^{35,36}$ of the rate constants for the reaction of these alkanes with the HO radical. As in the experiment with atomic chlorine, one can expect the contribution due to HO to minimize if the reaction is studied in the presence of an HO scavenger or in nitrogen diluent. Indeed, addition of high concentrations of cyclohexane, which effectively traps HO radicals ($7.20 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), to a mixture of reactants in air, straightened the plots (Figure 6).
At the same time, the relative rate constant dramatically increased when nitrogen was used as a diluent (Table 5). Apparently, the latter effect was due to backward decomposition of \(C_4H_8Br\) (13) competing with its reaction with molecular oxygen (14).

\[
\text{Br} + 1 \rightarrow C_4H_8 \equiv C_4H_8Br \quad (13)
\]

\[
C_4H_8Br + O_2 \rightarrow \text{products} \quad (14)
\]

Bromoalkyl radicals of this type are known to be only 6 to 8 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than the reactants. In air, \(C_4H_8Br\) is removed via reaction with molecular oxygen, while in nitrogen it may reversibly decompose, lowering the apparent absolute depletion rate of 1-butene.\(^{37}\) This led to an observed increase of the relative rate constant \(k_{\text{Hg}}/k_{1\text{-butene}}\), since the absolute rate of mercury depletion was not affected. Thus, the reaction must be studied in the presence of molecular oxygen in high enough concentration to suppress backward reaction 13.

**Figure 6.** Relative rate data for reaction \(\text{Hg}^0 + \text{Br}\) obtained using 1-butene as a reference and \(\text{CH}_2\text{Br}_2\) as a bromine atom source. Kinetic runs were performed at 298 K in air in the presence of different concentrations of the HO radicals scavenger—cyclohexane. Inset shows curvature of the relative rate plot when the scavenger was not added.
If reactions 13 and 14 are the principal pathways of formation and depletion of the C$_4$H$_8$Br radical, at steady-state we will have

$$\frac{d[C_4H_8]}{dt} = \frac{k_{13}k_{14}[O_2]/(k_{-13} + k_{14}[O_2])}{[1 - C_4H_8][Br]} = k_{13}'[1 - C_4H_8][Br] \quad (15)$$

Since there have been no experimental measurements of the rate constant $k_{-13}$ for backward decomposition of C$_4$H$_8$Br, we evaluated it using the experimental value of $k_{13}$ and equilibrium constant $K_{eq} = 4.5 \times 10^{-19}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ defined as

$$k_{-13} = k_{13}/K_{eq} = k_{13}/\exp(-\Delta_r G^{298}/RT) \quad (16)$$

The free energy of reaction 13, $\Delta_r G^{298}$, was evaluated to be $-1.4$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ using $\Delta_r H^{298} = -8.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ and $\Delta_r S^{298} = -24.8$ cal mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. The reaction enthalpy was assumed to be the same as for reaction of atomic bromine with trans-$\text{butene}^{38,39}$ The reaction entropy was calculated by standard thermochemical analysis of the structures of reaction 13 reactants and products optimized at the HF/6-31G* level. Substituting these data into eq 16 gives $k_{13} = 7.5 \times 10^6$ s$^{-1}$. One can see that the ratio $k_{14}[O_2]/(k_{-13} + k_{14}[O_2])$ is very small when the experiment is carried out in nitrogen with only trace amounts of molecular oxygen present, $[O_2] = (1-10) \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, and the effective rate constant $k_{13}'$ is lower than $k_{13}$, leading to an apparent increase of $k_{Hg+Br}/k_{13}$'. The contribution of backward reaction 13 can be neglected only at $[O_2] \geq 5 \times 10^{18}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, therefore, we studied the reaction between mercury and bromine atoms in air diluent. As the HO radical is very reactive toward 1-butene (Table 3), the addition of very high concentrations (3000–4500 ppm) of cyclohexane, the HO radical scavenger, was necessary. A set of experiments was performed at different concentrations of 1-butene and cyclohexane to determine conditions when $k_{Hg+Br}$ can be measured accurately. The strategy was to use lower concentration of 1-butene to minimize HO production while keeping [1-butene] above 2 ppm so it could be measured accurately. We also tried to avoid using very high concentrations of cyclohexane because it led to an enhanced adsorption of all reactants, probably due to the formation of a liquid film of cyclohexane on the wall. The optimal concentrations of the reference and scavenger were found to be 2 and 3000 ppm, respectively. The rate constant obtained at these conditions, $k_{Hg+Br} = (3.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{12}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, did not change when the concentration of 1-butene was decreased or the concentrations of cyclohexane were increased, providing evidence that the contribution from HO was eliminated.

### 3.3.2. Product Studies.

None of the experiments showed the presence of gaseous mercury oxidation products with either syringe or direct MS sampling of gas mixtures. A possible explanation is the low vapor pressure of the products at room temperature and the significantly lower sensitivity of the MS instrument to oxidized mercury than to Hg$^+$.
described in the Experimental Section, three different methods were employed to identify the reaction products. DMDCS coating, which is stable against organic solvents, was used to prevent undesirable wall processes. In the first method, after the reaction was completed the flask was heated to 353 K, volatile and possible particulate products were collected by passing the gas mixture through a small tube cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature, and the tube was sealed at both ends. Half of the tube was placed in the direct probe of the MS instrument and heated stepwise to 700 K. Mass spectra corresponding to HgCl\(_2\) and HgBr\(_2\) (Figure 7) were observed in the temperature range of 300–500 K for the products of reaction of Hg\(^0\) with atomic chlorine and bromine, respectively. No additional signal was observed when the temperature was elevated to 700 K. Thus, the collected products did not contain Hg\(_2\)Br\(_2\) and Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), which were expected to decompose in the probe to HgCl and HgBr at high temperatures, producing corresponding mass spectra. This observation is in an apparent contradiction with the results of the early study by Horne et al.\(^{19}\) who found Hg\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) as the dominant reaction product. Their method employed the flash photolysis technique where atomic chlorine generated by the light flash (reaction 1) was rapidly consumed in reaction 2 with mercury that was present in very high concentration, up to 300 ppm. Therefore, the only possible pathway for HgCl was its mutual recombination (reaction 3). In our experiments we employed the relative rate technique and atomic halogens were generated continuously in relatively high concentrations, \(10^{7–10^8}\) atom cm\(^{-3}\), leading to reaction 17 as the dominant pathway for HgX:

\[
\text{HgX} + X \rightarrow \text{HgX}_2
\]  

(17)

In several experiments the reaction flask was evacuated through a 0.5 or 1 micron Teflon filter to a residual pressure of 0.5 Torr. The filter was treated with an HNO\(_3\)/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) mixture. ICP-MS analysis recovered 0.0% to 0.5% of mercury relative to the amount of Hg\(^0\) loaded in the flask, showing that aerosols did not make any significant contribution to reaction products. In the second method, the reaction products were collected in a cooled coiled Pyrex trap, washed...
with toluene, derivatized, and analyzed using a GC-MS (Figure 8). This analysis recovered only 6% to 15% of the mercury that was loaded as Hg$^0$ into the reaction flask. Additional testing with the HgCl$_2$ standard showed that employing the heating/evacuation procedure could not recover all HgCl$_2$, which apparently stuck to the walls of the reaction flask. Therefore, the collection procedure was modified: the inside of the flask was washed several times with small amounts of hot toluene, then the toluene samples were combined and derivatized. This resulted in 88.5% and 82.6% yields of Hg$^{2+}$ in the reaction with atomic chlorine and bromine, respectively. As Table 1 displays, the adsorption of Hg$^0$ on DMDCS coating was about 12%. Elemental mercury is not accounted for by derivatization analysis, therefore, the latter value practically recovers the difference of 12–18% from the quantitative yield. Since contribution of aerosols to the products was shown to be unimportant under our experimental conditions, the amount of Hg$_2$X$_2$ and HgO in particulate form on the walls seems to be negligible.

![Mass spectrum](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp0207190)

**Figure 8.** Mass spectrum of the chromatographic peak eluted at 8.1 min corresponding to derivatized mercury (di-n-butylmercury). The gas chromatogram (total ionogram) is shown on the inset.

4. Atmospheric Implications

To evaluate the boundary layer importance of halogen reactions of mercury in light of this kinetic study, we summarized in Table 6 the lifetime of mercury upon its reaction with selected atmospherically important oxidants, capable of destroying mercury in the Arctic. Similar to the reaction of O$_3$ with Hg$^0$,$^{40,41}$ the reactions of Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ with Hg$^0$ are far too slow to be important atmospheric “sinks” for mercury. At hydroxyl radical concentrations of $10^5$ or even $10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, to account for potential high concentration of HO within the boundary layer, observed mercury depletion could not be reproduced. Despite the fast rate coefficient of Cl atom initiated reaction of mercury measured ($k_{Hg+Cl} = (1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), the inferred concentration of chlorine atoms$^{6,7}$ is far too low to play a significant role in the destruction of mercury. The Br atom reaction, however, is sufficiently fast, and Br is present in high enough concentrations to exclusively destroy mercury completely within a couple of days, as observed in the Arctic. Though this evaluation was performed using the rate constants at 298 K, we expect it to be valid at temperatures as low as 230 K typical of the Arctic troposphere in the springtime. A simple atomic recombination is known to reveal a weak negative or no temperature dependence, therefore, the rate constant $k_{Hg+X}$ is expected to be the same or even to increase slightly at lower temperatures. The above conclusion is well supported by Horne et al.$^{19}$ who observed independence of the rate constant $k_{Hg+Cl}$ at temperatures between 383 and 443 K.
Formation of condensed products in the gas-phase reactions of halogens with mercury is consistent with the observation of the increase in concentrations of oxidized mercury in aerosols and snow surfaces in the Arctic. Of particular concern is the deposition of mercury derivatives, since the transformation products of halogen-initiated reactions of elemental mercury identified in our experiments are much more water soluble than Hg$^0$. Their ecological impact at the onset of peak biological activities, over a large part of the Northern Hemisphere ought to be carefully considered.

5. Conclusion
An extensive kinetic and product study of the reactions of gaseous Hg$^0$ with Cl$_2$, Br$_2$, Cl, and Br was performed at near atmospheric conditions using different experimental techniques. The kinetic data we obtained provide evidence that the reactions of Hg$^0$ with molecular halogens are too slow to be important in atmospheric mercury transformation. Reactions of mercury with atomic halogens are considerably faster; however, in light of the observed or inferred concentrations of atomic chlorine and bromine, we believe that Br is the only likely candidate to explain the rapid depletions of mercury in the Arctic. Particular attention is to be placed on the identified products, HgCl$_2$ and HgBr$_2$, formed as the result of halogen-initiated reactions of elemental mercury, as they are more soluble than elemental mercury and, hence, may be subject to bioaccumulation.
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TABLE 6: Lifetime of Hg$^0$ upon Reaction with Selected Atmospheric Oxidants at 298 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction</th>
<th>rate constant, cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>oxidant, cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>lifetime,*$^a$ days</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + Cl$_2$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(2.6 ± 0.2) $\times$ 10$^{-18}$</td>
<td>2.5 $\times$ 10$^{10.6}$</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + Br$_2$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(0.9 ± 0.2) $\times$ 10$^{-16}$</td>
<td>2.5 $\times$ 10$^{10.6}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + Cl$^-$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(1.0 ± 0.4) $\times$ 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{4}$</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + Br$^-$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(3.2 ± 0.4) $\times$ 10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{4}$</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>this study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + O$_3$ $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(3.0 ± 0.2) $\times$ 10$^{-26}$</td>
<td>7.5 $\times$ 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>Hall, 1995$^{41}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg$^0$ + HO $\rightarrow$ products</td>
<td>(8.7 ± 2.8) $\times$ 10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{9}$</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>Sommar et al., 2001$^{41}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.2) $\times$ 10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{9}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{9}$</td>
<td>1 $\times$ 10$^{9}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*$^a$ Lifetime $t = (k[oxidant])^{-1}$, $k$ is a second-order rate constant. * Both Cl$_2$ and Br$_2$ are photolyzable to atomic Cl and Br at tropospheric solar wavelengths during the polar sunrise and should be considered upper limits. * At 343 K.