INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the

text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and

dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of

computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy

submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and

photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment

can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and

there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright

material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning

the original, beginning at the upper left-hand comer and continuing from left to

right in equal sections with small overlaps.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced

xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic

prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for

an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

Bell & Howell Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA

800-521-0600

TANWĪR AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS : ITS PRINCIPLES OF INTERPRETATION

Ву

Inna Muthmainnah

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts

> Institute of Islamic Studies McGill University July 1998

© Inna Muthmainnah



National Library of Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions et services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

Your file Votre rélétence

Our file Notre référence

The author has granted a non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of this thesis in microform, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

0-612-43923-2



Dedicated to my parents for their endless love and encouragement

ABSTRACT

Author : Inna Muthmainnah.

Title : Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas : Its Principles of

Interpretation.

Department: Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University.

Degree : Master of Arts.

This study investigates the principles of interpretation in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* min *Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās*, focusing on its approach and method, sources and points of view. To accomplish this purpose, both historical and literary analysis are employed. Nevertheless, this study does not attempt to resolve the well-known dispute over its authenticity or ascription.

Like other Qur'ānic commentaries produced in the classical period, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* relies heavily on philological analysis in the sense that it provides an interpretation of Qur'ānic verses based on their literal meaning and in very simple terms. Similarly, it employs sources that were commonly used in classical *tafsir*, namely, Qur'ānic cross-reference, *ḥadīth*, Arabic poetry and *isrā ʾīlīyāt*. However, due to its simplistic approach, it is difficult to extract any guiding principles behind its interpretation. In fact, there is little to indicate that this Qur'ānic exegesis represents the views of any particular Islamic sect, let alone of any individual person.

Nevertheless, with its simple presentation, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* brings up many interesting and challenging issues which deserve greater scholarly attention. Further and deeper research into these issues may help us to situate the work within the broader exegetical tradition in Islam.

RÉSUMÉ

Auteur

: Inna Muthmainnah

Titre

: Le Tanwīr al-Miqbās min Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās: Ses principes d'interprétation.

Département : Institut des Études Islamiques, Université McGill.

Diplôme

: Maîtrise ès Arts.

Cette étude explore les principes de l'interprétation dans le Tanwīr al-Miqbās min Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās, en se concentrant sur son approche et sa méthode, ses sources et ses points de vue. Afin d'atteindre cet objectif, les analyses historiques et littéraire seront employées. Cependant, cette recherche ne tentera pas de résoudre la dispute bien connue concernant l'authenticité et l'attribution du texte.

Tout comme bien d'autres commentaires qur'aniques produits durant la période classique, le Tanwīr al-Miqbās dépend étroitement de l'analyse philologique en ce sens qu'il apporte une interprétation des versets qur'aniques fondée sur leur signification littérale et ce, dans des termes très simples. De la même manière, le texte emploie les sources communément utilisées dans le tafs īr classique tels que les références qur'aniques, les had īth, la poésie arabe et l'isrā îl īyāt. Toutefois, étant donné son approche simpliste, il est difficile d'extraire les principes conducteurs de cette interprétation. En effet, très peu d'indices peuvent indiquer que cette exégèse qur'anique représente les vues d'une quelconque secte islamique, de même que celles d'une personne en particulier.

Cependant, malgré sa présentation simple, le $Tanw\bar{\imath}\ r$ $al\text{-}Miqb\bar{a}s$ soulève plusieures questions intéressantes et qui méritent une plus grande attention académique. Des recherches plus poussées et approfondies de ces questions pourraient peut-être nous aider à situer l'ouvrage à l'intérieur d'un cadre plus large de la tradition exégète de l'Islam.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
RÉSUMÉ	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	viii
NOTES ON TRANSLITERATION, ABBREVIATIONS AND DATING	x
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO: TEXTUAL ISSUES OF TANWĪR AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS	7
A. Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās	
B. A Biography of Ibn 'Abbās	13
1. Family Background	13
2. Ibn 'Abbās in Ḥadith and Qur'ānic Exegesis	18
3. Ibn 'Abbās's Political and Military Career	
C. A Biography of al-Firūzābādi	
1. His Life	
2. His Works	38
CHAPTER THREE: THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERPRETATION OF TANWIR	
AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS	
A. Approach and Method of Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas	
B. Sources of Interpretation	
C. Points of View	67
CONCLUSION	74
APPENDICES	
1. Al-Firūzābādi's Works	<i>7</i> 7
2. Number of Verses, Words and Letters in Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn	
'Abbās	87
BIBLIOGRAPHY	91

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to extend my sincerest thanks to Dr. Issa J. Boullata, my academic advisor and the supervisor of this thesis, for his continual guidance and invaluable help throughout my period of research. He devoted much time to advising and encouraging me in my studies. I am very grateful to him for his close observations and valuable criticism which have resulted in significant improvements to my thesis.

I am also very grateful to Dr. Üner Turgay, the Director of the Institute of Islamic Studies, for his concern and moral support during my study at the Institute. I would like also to extend my appreciation to the Faculty of Education (*Tarbiyah*), State Institute of Islamic Studies "Antasari" Banjarmasin, Indonesia for allowing me to take a two-year leave of absence to study at the Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University.

The list of people who helped me in the course of my thesis research is endless, and all of them have my thanks. However, special gratitude is due to the staff of the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA), as well as the staff of the McGill Indonesia IAIN Development Project, particularly its Director Wendy Allen, its former Director Dr. Howard M. Federspiel, and Drs. Murni Djamal, M.A., of the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs. My appreciation and thanks also go to the staff of the Islamic Studies Library, especially Ms. Salwa Ferahian for her invaluable help in providing materials, and Wayne St.

Thomas, for his friendly and efficient service. I would like also to thank Steve Millier, in particular, Yasmine Badr and Reem Meshal for patiently reading and editing my thesis. Finally, I am greatly indebted to my colleagues and friends for their encouragement and for sharing their ideas during my preparation of this work. The encouragement and support they gave me will always be remembered and appreciated.

My deepest gratitude, which is so profound that I can barely express it in words, goes to my parents, brothers, sisters-in-law, nephews and niece for their inspiration, affection and endless encouragement. I am blessed to have all of them in my life, but I am especially grateful for the love and support that my parents, Abdul Hakim and Salhah, have extended to me; it is for this reason that I humbly dedicate this thesis to them.

NOTES ON TRANSLITERATION, ABBREVIATIONS AND DATING

1. The system of transliteration of Arabic words and names applied in this thesis is that used by the Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University, with only slight modifications.

$$b = b$$
 $b = dh$ $b = t$

$$z = th$$
 $z = z$ $z = th$ $z = n$

$$y = j$$
 $w = s$ $y = gh$ $y = w$

Short: 1 = a; = i; 1 = u.

Long: $1 = \bar{a}$; $u = \bar{i}$; $u = \bar{u}$

Diphthongs: i = ay; i = aw.

Long vowel with tashdid: for [2] and [3], iya and ūwa are employed, instead of iyya and uwwa, respectively.

In the case of $t\bar{a}'$ marbu $\bar{t}ah$ (\bar{s}) h is written, unless it occurs within an $id\bar{a}fah$, where it is transliterated at.

The *hamzah* (i) occurring in the initial position is omitted.

2. Some of the abbreviations used in this thesis are:

GAS : Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums

GAL : Geschichte des arabischen Litteratur

GALS: Geschichte des arabischen Litteratur, Supplement

BSOAS : Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies

3. In citing dates in this thesis, the following conventions have been employed:

a. If both the Hijri and Christian dates are expressed, the first is Hijri (Anno Hegirae) and the second is Christian (Anno Domini).

b. If only one system is mentioned, the system will be identified as A.D. for Christian (Anno Domini), or A.H. (Anno Hegirae) for Hijri.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

The development of Qur'anic exegesis dates back to the Prophet's lifetime. At that time, the only source for understanding the Qur'an was direct questioning of the Prophet himself. If a Muslim did not understand something in the Qur'an, he could approach the Prophet and ask for further explanation. In sūrat al-Naḥl (Q. 16: 44), it is adduced that one duty of the Prophet is to explain and convey the meaning of the Qur'an to human beings. After the death of the Prophet, Muslims continued to ask questions of his Companions and their Successors, who thus became an alternative source of exegesis.

Qur'anic exegesis developed rapidly thereafter. Each generation produced exegetes whose *tafsirs* extended over many volumes. The study of the Qur'an also benefited from the fact that each exegete often emphasized a single aspect of the Qur'an, for example, sufism, theology or linguistics. Moreover, the development of Qur'anic exegesis also incorporated, deliberately or unconsciously, the specific principles of a number of different religious perspectives. For example, the understanding of the Qur'an from a Mu'tazilite viewpoint is different from that of an Ash'arite. To achieve their various aims,

those works employed different approaches in interpreting the verses of the $Qur'\bar{a}n.^1$

One such work is Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās, supposedly compiled by Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb al-Fīrūzābādī (729/1329-817/1415) to judge by the title pages of modern editions of this work. Within the work we find the isnād of each report traced back ultimately to Ibn 'Abbās (d. 68/686), a cousin of the Prophet and one of his Companions. Ibn 'Abbās was said to have been first of the Companions to interpret the Qur'ān, and some scholars consider him to be "the father of Qur'ānic exegesis." Many exegetes use prophetic traditions (ḥadīth) that he reported in their analysis of the Qur'ān. The reports themselves are for the most part related on the authority of Muḥammad ibn Sā'ib al-Kalbī (d. 146/763) from Abū Ṣāliḥ (d. 719 A.D.).

There exist many accounts of Ibn 'Abbās's life,² method³ and sources of interpretation.⁴ There is also a considerable body of research surrounding this so-

¹ Ignaz Goldziher (1850-1921) wrote a comprehensive work on the development of the Qur'ān exegesis entitled Die Richtungen der islamischen Koranauslegung (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1952). His work covers Qur'ānic interpretation ranging from the Companions' time to the modern period. See Ignaz Goldziher, Madhāhib al-Tafsir al-Islami, 2nd edition, translated by 'Abd al-Ḥalīm al-Najjār (Beirut: Dār Iqra', 1983). See also E. D. Hirsch, Jr., Validity in Interpretation (New Haven, London: Yale University Press, 1967), 6-10; Rashid Ahmad Jullandri, "Qur'ānic Exegesis and Classical Tafsir," Islamic Quarterly 12 (1968), 71-119; M. O. A. Abdul, "The Historical Development of Tafsīr," Islamic Culture 50 (1976), 141-153.

² Abū 'Abd Allāh al-Muṣ'ab ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Muṣ'ab al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, edited by E. Lévi-ProvenÇal (Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif li al-Ṭibā'ah wa al-Nashr, 1953), 27-28; Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, edited by 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Dūrī, vol. 3 (Beirut: al-Maṭba'ah al-Kāthūlikiyah, 1978), 27-70; 'Izz al-Dīn ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah fī Ma'rifat al-Ṣaḥābah, edited by Muḥammad Ibrāhīm, Muḥammad Aḥmad 'Āshūr and Maḥmūd 'Abd Wahhāb Fāyid, vol. 3 ([Cairo]: al-Sha'b, 1970), 290-294; Abū 'Abd Allāh Shams al-Dīn al-Dhahabī, Kitāb Tadhkirat al-Ḥuffāz, 4th edition, vol. 1 (Hyderabad: Maṭba'at Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmānīyah, 1928), 40-41; Aḥmad ibn 'Alī ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī, Al-Iṣābah fī Tamyīz al-Ṣaḥābah,

called Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās by both classical and modern scholars. However, their research is primarily directed towards investigating the authenticity of the manuscripts and the variant reports and chains of transmitters used in the work. In classical work, hadith scholars maintain that almost all of the transmitters of Tanwir al-Miqbās are weak and untrustworthy, as is shown in the biographical accounts of authorities. On the other hand, despite the abundance of biographical reports of al-Firūzābādī, only a few of them discuss this exegetical work. Most limit themselves to stating that Tanwir al-Miqbās is one of his works.

vol. 2 (Cairo: Maṭba'at Muṣṭāfā Muḥammad, 1939), 322-326; Aḥmad ibn 'Alī ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalānī, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, 12 vols. (Hyderabad: Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-Niṭāmīyah, 1907-1909), 5: 276-279; Fuat Sezgin, Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums, 9 vols. (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1967-), 8: 21-22; Muḥammad Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, vol. 1 (Cairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Ḥadīthah, 1961), 65-83; L. Veccia Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," in The Encyclopaedia of Islam, new edition, edited by H. A. R. Gibb, et al., vol. 1 (Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1960), 40-41. See also Abdul Wahid Hamid, Companions of the Prophet (London: MELS, 1985), 66-74.

³ For example, see Muḥammad ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, 8 vols. (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, Dār Bayrūt, 1957-1958), 2: 367; al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, 34; Yeshayahu Goldfeld, "The Development of Theory on Qur'ānic Exegesis in Islamic Scholarship," Studia Islamica 67-68 (1988), 5-27.

⁴ Goldziher, Madlahib al-Tafsir al-Islami, 85-90; Aḥmad Amin, Fajr al-Islām, 10th edition (Cairo: Maktabat al-Nahḍah al-Miṣriyah, 1965), 201-202; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 71-74; W. Montgemery Watt, Bell's Introduction to the Qur'an (Edinburgh: The University Press, 1970), 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81; Mannā' al-Qaṭṭān, Mabālith fi 'Ulum al-Qur'an (n.p.: Manshūrāt al-'Aṣr al-Ḥadith, 1971), 312; Ilse Lichtenstadter, "Quran and Quran Exegesis," Humaniora Islamica 2 (1974), 11; Helmut Gāṭṭe, The Qur'an and Its Exegesis, translated and edited by Alford T. Welch (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1976), 32-33; Issa J. Boullata, "Poetry Citation as Interpretative Illustration in Qur'anic Exegesis: Masa'il Nafī' Ibn al-Azraq," in Islamic Studies Presented to Charles J. Adams, edited by Wael B. Hallaq and Donald P. Little (Leiden, New York: E. J. Brill, 1991), 27-40; Alan Jones, "Narrative Technique in the Qur'an and in Early Poetry," Journal of Arabic Literature 25 (1994), 185.

⁵ The reason for this perhaps is that al-Firūzābādī was more famous for his dictionary, al-Qānuīs al-Multit.

⁶ Muḥammad ibn 'Alī al-Dāwūdī, *Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirin*, edited by 'Alī Muḥammad 'Umar, vol. 2 (Cairo: Maktabat Wahbah, [1972]), 276; Abū al-Falāh 'Abd al-Ḥayy ibn al-ʿImād al-

Furthermore, modern scholars, for example Rippin and Goldfeld, doubt the authenticity of the ascription of this work to Ibn 'Abbās based on their research on the manuscripts, while Jeffery finds some interesting differences between Ibn 'Abbās's codex of the Qur'ān and the 'Uthmānic text.⁷ Unfortunately, no one has yet paid much attention to the work itself in terms of its content.

This phenomenon reflects some of Charles Adams's concerns about the study of the Qur'ān.⁸ As with the study of Muḥammad, Western scholars, Adams observes, have principally been concerned with what may be called the critical problems that surround their subject, in this case for example, the

Ḥanbali, Shadharāt al-Dhahab fī Akhbār man Dhahab, vol. 7 (Cairo: Maktabat al-Qudsi, [1931-1932]), 127; Murtaḍā al-Ḥusaynī al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs min Jawāhir al-Qānus, edited by 'Abd al-Sattār Muḥammad Farrāj, vol. 1 (Kuwait: Maṭba'at Ḥukūmat al-Kuwayt, 1965), 43; C. Brockelmann, Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur, Supplement, 3 vols. (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1938), 2: 235; Jamīl Bek al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, vol. 4 (Beirut: al-Maṭba'ah al-Ahlīyah, [1908]), 303; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, 81-82; Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah fī al-Ghurar al-Muthallathah, edited by 'Alī Ḥusayn al-Bawwāb (Riyad: Dār al-Siwā', 1981), 21; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabāhith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān, 312; H. Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," in The Encyclopædia of Islam, new edition, edited by B. Lewis, Ch. Pellat and J. Schacht, vol. 2 (Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1965), 926; Abū al-Yaqzān 'Aṭīyah al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr wa Rijālih (Cairo: al-Maṭba'ah al-'Arabīyah al-Jadīdah, [1971]), 63; Goldfeld, "The Development of Theory," 15.

⁷ Andrew Rippin, "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās and Criteria for Dating Early Tafsir Texts," Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 18 (1994), 38-83; Isaiah Goldfeld, "The Tafsir or sic, read of Abdallah b. 'Abbās," Der Islam 58 (1981), 125-135; Arthur Jeffery (ed.), Materials for the History of the Text of the Qur'an (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1937), 193-208. See also Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad al-Tha'labi, Qur'anic Commentary in the Eastern Islamic Tradition of the First Four Centuries of the Hijra: An Annotated Edition of the Preface to al-Tha'labi's "Kitab al-Kaslıf wa'l Bayān 'an Tafsir al-Qur'an," edited by Isaiah Goldfeld (Acre: Srugy-Printers and Publisher, 1984), 20-27 and 52-53; Andrew Rippin, "Ibn 'Abbās's al-Lughāt fī'l-Qur'an," BSOAS 44 (1981), 15-25; Andrew Rippin, "Notes and Communications: Ibn 'Abbās's Gharib al-Qur'an," BSOAS 46 (1983), 332-333.

⁸ Charles J. Adams, "Islamic Religious Tradition," in *The Study of the Middle East*, edited by Leonard Binder (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1976), 61. See also Hirsch, *Validity in Interpretation*, 10-14; John Wansbrough, *Quranic Studies: Sources and Methods of Scriptural Interpretation* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977); Andrew Rippin, "The Present Status of *Tafsir* Studies," *Muslim World* 72 (1982), 224-238; Andrew Rippin, "Studying Early *Tafsir* Texts," *Der Islam* 72 (1995), 310-323.

Qur'ān's historical development, its variant readings and its relation to prior literature. Given the fact that the interpretation of the Qur'ān has often determined what it says or is perceived to say, I believe that it is vital to understand the development of Qur'ānic exegesis as a body of study,9 and especially the principles that its practitioners observe. In an attempt to achieve such an understanding, I have chosen to examine this one work from the classical period. Even though scholars doubt the authenticity of its transmission for a number of reasons, Tanwir al-Miqbās is nevertheless important because it illustrates how the exegetical techniques of the Companions, especially Ibn 'Abbās, are perceived. It may also shed light on the early development of Qur'ānic exegesis. Therefore, regardless of its authenticity, it is interesting as well as challenging to explore Tanwīr al-Miqbās's principles of interpretation.

This thesis will examine the principles that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* uses in interpreting the Qur'ān in terms of its approach, method, sources and views; it will also investigate the possible roles that Ibn 'Abbās and al-Fīrūzābādī had in its production, although it will leave aside the question of the authenticity of the reports that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* raises. This thesis will therefore take a historical as well as a literary approach. In the second chapter, a historical approach will be more in evidence, whereas in the third chapter a literary approach will be applied in view of its relevance to the topic under study.

⁹ Adams, "Islamic Religious Tradition," 62-64.

The sources for this study, both primary and secondary, include works in Arabic, English and German. The main source will of course be the book under discussion, Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās. Due to the limited time available for this research, only three modern editions have been consulted. 10 Secondary sources include biographies written by authors ranging from the classical period to the present, works on Qur'ānic exegesis and recent scholarly articles that are closely related to the topic. Some background information will be drawn from works discussing Qur'ānic studies in general; these sources will provide a framework of principles of interpretation, enabling me to examine how they may be applied to Tanwir al-Miqbās.

To accomplish the aims of this study, the thesis will be arranged in three chapters and a conclusion. After an introductory first chapter, the second chapter will describe the work *Tanwir al-Miqbās* and some of the issues surrounding it, and will offer biographies of Ibn 'Abbās and al-Firūzābādī as well. The third chapter will discuss principles of interpretation in *Tanwir al-Miqbās*, covering its approach to the Qur'ān, its methodology, its sources and some of the views that can be detected in the work. A conclusion based on the results of this investigation will be presented at the end of the thesis.

¹⁰ They are: 1. the text with Lubāb al-Nuquīl fī Asbāb al-Nuzuīl of al-Suyūṭi and Fī Ma'rifat al-Nāsikh wa al-Mansukh of Ibn Ḥazm, published by two different publishers, the first in Multan by Fārūqī Kutub Khānah in 1975 or 1976, and the second in Cairo by Sharikat Maktabat wa Maṭba'āt Muṣṭāfā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī wa Awlādih without mention of the date of publication; 2. the text in an edition by itself published in Beirut by Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah. The date of the latter publication, however, is not stated.

CHAPTER TWO

TEXTUAL ISSUES OF

TANWĪR AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS

A. Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas

Scholars refer to Tanwir al-Miqbās min Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās by a variety of titles. The majority, however, such as al-Dāwūdī (d. 945/1538), Ḥājjī Khalīſah (1609-1657 A.D.), Ibn al-'Imād (d. 1089/1679), al-'Azm and al-Bawwāb call it Tanwir al-Miqbās ſī Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās.¹ Al-Zabīdī (d. 1791 A.D.) and al-Jubūrī cite it as Tanwir al-Miqyās ſī Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās, while al-Qaṭṭān calls it Tanwir al-Miqyās min Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās.² Al-Dhahabī as well as most Western scholars such as Brockelmann and Goldſeld follow the majority in referring to it as Tanwir al-Miqbās min Taſsir Ibn 'Abbās.³ There is no clear explanation for this variety of titles, or for the choice of the latter version in the modern editions at my disposal.

Tanwir al-Miqbās has been published in many different editions, either on the margins of other works or on its own. Rippin has compiled a list of editions

¹ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirin, 276; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun 'an Asāmi al-Kutub wa al-Funun, edited by Muḥammad Sharīf al-Dīn Yāltiqāyā, vol. 1 (Istanbul: Wakālat al-Ma'ārif, 1941), 502; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-'Aẓm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 303; al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 21. However, in another edition edited by Gustav Flügel (henceforth this edition will be used), Ḥājjī Khalīfah calls it Tanwīr al-Miqyās fī Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās. See Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun 'an Asāmī al-Kutub wa al-Funun: Lexicon Bibliographicum et Encyclopædicum, edited by Gustav Flügel, 7 vols. (New York: Johnson Reprint, 1964), 2: 456; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. Rippin speculates that this may well be an error. See Rippin, "Taſsīr Ibn 'Abbās," 41.

² Al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 43; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 63; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabāḥitlı fī 'Uluīn al-Qur'ān, 312.

of this work.⁴ In spite of the work's uncertain attribution and some differences in the text due to misprints, Rippin finds that they are all much the same.⁵ This conclusion seems to be accurate, as the three editions that have been accessed for this research bear it out; they are the same even though in some places a few differences are found, due, it seems, to misprints.

The work is the subject of scholarly debate in at least two respects: its ascription/authorship and its bibliographic identity. Al-Jubūrī and al-'Akk report that some people were convinced that Ibn 'Abbās was the writer of the taſsīr even though the taſsīr was only written down for the first time seven and a half centuries after the death of Ibn 'Abbās. The compiler, who never refers to himself by name, nevertheless asserts that all of his chains of transmission are valid from Ibn 'Abbās. However, both scholars assert that if we go to the taſsīr we will find that not all of the interpretations are from Ibn 'Abbās. Al-Jubūrī also notes that scholars have found various weaknesses in it, some of which stem from 'Abd Allāh ibn al-Mubārak (118/736-181/797) and not from Ibn 'Abbās.6 Two scholars who believe that Tanwīr al-Miqbās may safely be attributed to Ibn

³ Husayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 81-82; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235; Goldfeld, "The Development of Theory," 15.

⁴ Rippin, "Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās," 75 and 41-42. See also Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. His list includes the three editions that I have consulted as mentioned in chapter one.

⁵ Rippin, "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas," 40.

⁶ Al-Juburi, Dirasat fi al-Tafsir, 63-64; Khalid 'Abd al-Raḥman al-'Akk, Uṣul al-Tafsir li Kitab Allah al-Munir [Damascus: Maktabat al-Farabi, 1968], 227. It is a fact that one transmitter of isnads in Tanwir al-Miqbas, in particular in surat al-Baqarah, is Ibn al-Mubarak, but this chain ends, like others, with Ibn 'Abbas. Perhaps what al-Juburi means is that the interpretation stems from

'Abbās are Mannā' al-Qaṭṭān and Maḥmūd Shalabī. The latter states this in his biography of Ibn 'Abbās and though he does not state his belief explicitly by quoting the interpretation of sūrat Muḥammad from the tafsīr,7 he seems satisfied that the tafsīr is based on Ibn 'Abbās's reports. Al-Qaṭṭān says explicitly that the work is based on reports attributed to Ibn 'Abbās and collected by al-Fīrūzābādī.

Furthermore, concerning *Tanwir al-Miqbās*'s bibliographic identity, Rippin argues that this is questionable for two reasons. The work is mentioned three times under different names in the same reference works, i.e., Brockelmann and Sezgin. Both scholars assign it to Ibn 'Abbās, al-Kalbī and al-Fīrūzābādī.⁸ Moreover, Rippin's research shows that this work is also totally identical to al-Wāḍiḥ fī Tafsīr al-Qur'ān of al-Dīnawarī (d. 308/930), accepting Wansbrough's assertion that al-Dīnawarī's work is "a nearly verbatim reproduction" of al-Kalbī's. In addition, the manuscripts entitled *Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās*, not *Tafsīr al-Kalbī*, preserved in the library of Istanbul University and bearing nos. 752 A, 4560 A, 5039 A and 6904 A, are identical to *Tanwīr al-Miqbās*, according to Goldfeld's

Ibn al-Mubārak, while in Tanwir al-Miqbās the isnād is extended to Ibn 'Abbās in order to authenticate the isnād.

⁷ Al-Qaṭṭān, Mabalṭith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 312; Maḥmud Shalabī, Ḥayat Ibn 'Abbas: Ḥabr al-Ummah (Beirut: Dār al-Jīl, 1990), 441-462.

⁸ Rippin, "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas," 39.

⁹ Andrew Rippin, "Al-Zuhri, Naskh al-Qur'an and the Problem of Early Tafsir Texts," BSOAS 47 (1984), 23-24. Rippin comes to this conclusion after examining the work and the manuscripts Aya Sofia 221 and Leiden 1651.

¹⁰ Wansbrough, Quranic Studies, 146.

research. For this reason, Goldfeld concludes that the list of al-Kalbi's manuscripts given by Sezgin represents the said transmission of al-Firūzābādī. 11

Rippin suspects that the editor of the first printed edition tried to provide a full title for the work he had in manuscript copy, perhaps simply the title Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas, while biographical and bibliographical references would have provided him with a report that al-Firuzabadi had compiled a work entitled Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn Abbas. Then the editor identified the two works as one and the same without any thought as to the accuracy of the supposition. This ascription became accepted fact in later printings. Rippin considers this case to be similar in nature to that surrounding the so-called Ibn 'Abbas's text, al-Lughat fi al-Qur'an, which is ascribed to Abū 'Ubayd (d. 224/838). He maintains that the ascription of the tafsir to al-Firuzabadi was a result of ignorance, because the isnad of the text ends sometime in the fourth century A.H., just as it does in the case of al-Lughāt fi al-Qur'an.12 This argument sounds stronger than the one suggesting that al-Firuzabadi simply took al-Dinawari's work and foisted it upon an unsuspecting public as his own.

Rippin's second argument is that he, like Brockelmann, doubts the existence of manuscript copies bearing the title *Tanwir al-Miqbās*. Rippin says, "Manuscript copies of this text with the title *Tanwir al-Miqbās* and with (or even

 $^{^{11}}$ Goldfeld, "The *Tafsir* or Abdallah b. 'Abbās," 129. See also Sezgin, *GAS*, vol. 1, 27 and 34-35.

¹² Rippin, "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas," 43-44.

without) the name al-Firūzābādī do not appear to exist." For this reason, Rippin doubts whether this text is really al-Firūzābādī's *Tanwir al-Miqbās*, therefore he prefers to call it *Tafsīr Ibn 'Abbās*.¹³ Because of this, it sounds reasonable to hold the opinion that this work was not written by Ibn 'Abbās himself, but that it contains interpretations of the Qur'ān based on reports from Ibn 'Abbās.¹⁴ We will investigate the transmitters of these reports later in the next chapter.

Another issue of concern is the number of volumes in which the work was supposed to have been composed. Al-Dāwūdī, Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Ibn al-'Imād and al-Zabīdī report that the work was originally in four volumes.¹⁵ Rippin reports that in the various editions he has consulted, the work has always consisted of one large volume consisting of 300 folios.¹⁶ Unfortunately, it is not clear why this difference exists. One possible explanation may be that all four original volumes were printed together in one large volume, since the work which we have in one volume covers the whole of the Qur'ān.¹⁷ On this point, it is worth noting what Goldfeld asserts:

¹³ Rippin, "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas," 42.

¹⁴ Al-'Akk, Uṣul al-Tafsir, 227.

¹⁵ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 276; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunūn, vol. 2, 456; Ibn al-ʿImād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-ʿArus, 43.

 $^{^{16}}$ Similarly each of the three modern editions that I have consulted consists of about 400-500 pages.

¹⁷ Rippin holds the opposite opinion, because according to him al-Fīrūzābādī's Baṣa'īr Dhawī al-Tamyīz fī Laṭa'if al-Kitāb al-Azīz, which is reported in the sources as a two-volume work, appears in six volumes. Therefore, it is more likely for a work to be longer, not the opposite. See also Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb al-Fīrūzābādī, Baṣa'īr Dhawī al-Tamyīz fī Laṭa'if al-Kitāb al-'Azīz,

Consequently a high degree of accuracy was achieved in the transmission of texts, on the one hand, however interpolation was not prevented but only controlled, on the other. As a result *Tafsir* works contain the edited, augmented or abbreviated versions of their respective original authors. The *Isnād* of a piece of information or of a book guarantees the authenticity of the information of the author, however it does not pretend to exclude editing or interpolation. ... The *Tafsir* of Ibn 'Abbās (d. 687) seems to be a perfect instance of augmented books.¹⁸

Given these problems, Rippin suggests that only a thorough study of this work will bring a satisfactory conclusion.¹⁹

The available modern editions contain the text of *Tanwir al-Miqbās* without introduction or commentary. None of them has been critically edited, nor is there any mention of an editor responsible for the edition. Only two of these versions list al-Firūzābādī as the author/compiler on the title page. Therefore, it is difficult to ascertain the approach, sources, and points of view of the author/compiler. This is very different from what one finds in other commentaries. In the introduction to *al-Kashshāf* of al-Zamakhsharī (1075-1144 A.D.), for example, the author's theological point of view is clearly enunciated. By virtue of his statement that the Qur'ān was created,²⁰ the reader immediately knows that al-

edited by Muḥammad 'Alī al-Najjār, 6 vols. (Cairo: Lajnat Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-Islāmī, 1964-1973). However, it is worth recalling that in Islamic tradition there are works which are abrīdgment (ikhtiṣār) of larger works.

¹⁸ Goldfeld, "The Tafsir or Abdallah b. 'Abbas," 126.

¹⁹ Rippin, "Al-Zuhri," 24. See also Fred Leemhuis, "Origins and Early Development of the tafsir Tradition," in Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'an, edited by Andrew Rippin (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), 27-28.

²⁰ Maḥmūd ibn 'Umar al-Zamakhsharī, Al-Kashshāf 'an Ḥaqa'iq al-Tanzil wa 'Uyun al-Aqawil fi Wujulı al-Ta'wil, 4 vols. (Beirut: Dar al-Ma'rifah, n.d.), 1: 2.

knows that al-Zamakhshari was a Mu'tazilite. However, with Tanwir al-Miqbās the only means of gleaning such information is from a thorough analysis of the text of the interpretation itself.

B. A Biography of 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas

Ibn 'Abbās's biography is recorded in a number of works, owing to his great reputation in Islamic tradition. In spite of the fact that he lived at such an early period, accounts of his life are surprisingly consistent.²¹ For our purposes, however, the scope of the discussion will be limited to Ibn 'Abbās's family background, his role in the transmission of hadith and his Qur'ānic exegesis. His involvement in political and military life will be touched on only briefly. As Qur'ānic exegesis was little more than a branch of hadīth during Ibn 'Abbās's lifetime, the two subjects will be discussed simultaneously.

1. Family Background

'Abd Allah ibn al-'Abbas ibn 'Abd al-Muttalib ibn Hashim ibn 'Abd

²¹ For more information on his life and writings, see the following modern biographies: Shalabī, Ḥayat Ibn 'Abbās; Abū al-Makārim Ḥasan Zaydān, Madhhab Ibn 'Abbās fī al-Ribā bayna Madhahib Fuqahā' al-Sunnah wa al-Shī'ah (Cairo: Dār al-Ittiḥād al-'Arabī li al-Ṭibā'ah, 1972); 'Abd Allāh Muḥammad Salqīnī, Ḥabr al-Ummah 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās wa Madrasatuh fī al-Tafsīr bi Makkah al-Mukarramah (Beirut: Dār al-Salām, 1986); Ismā'īl Sālim, Rukhṣ Ibn 'Abbās wa Mufradātuh Dirāsah Fiqhiyah Muqāranah (Cairo: Dār al-Naṣr li al-Tawzī' wa al-Naṣhr, 1993); Muḥammad Aḥmad Abū al-Naṣr, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās: Ḥabr al-Ummah wa Tarjumān al-Qur'ān (Beirut: Dār al-Jīl, 1992).

Manāf²² was most often referred to as 'Abd Allāh ibn al-'Abbās. He was one of the Prophet's cousins, the eldest son of Muḥammad's uncle 'Abbās,²³ while his mother, Lubābah bint al-Ḥārith ibn Ḥazn ibn Bujayr ibn al-Huzam ibn Ruwaybah ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Hilāl ibn 'Āmir,²⁴ of the Banī 'Āmir ibn Ṣa'ṣa'ah, was the sister of Maymūnah bint al-Ḥārith, the Prophet's wife.²⁵ The date of Ibn 'Abbās's birth is generally held to have preceded the Hijra by three years.²⁶ At the time of his birth, most scholars agree, the Hāshimite family was shut up in the Ravine (al-Shi'b).²⁷

²² Ibn al-Athīr, *Usd al-Ghābah*, 290; Ibn Ḥajar, *Al-Iṣābah*, 322; al-Jubūrī, *Dirāsāt fī al-Tafstīr*, 58. However it seems that there is a misprint in Ibn Ḥajar's work, where the name is written as "('Abd Allāh) ibn al-'Ahhās ..."

²³ Ibn al-Athir, Usd al-Ghabah, 290.

²⁴ Al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 27. In addition, Ibn al-Athīr and Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī refer to her as Lubābah al-Kubrā bint al-Ḥārith ibn Hazn al-Ḥilālīyah, while Ibn Ḥajar cites her name as Lubābah bint al-Ḥārith al-Hilālīyah. See Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghabah, 290; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Taſsir wa al-Muſassirun, 65; Ibn Ḥajr, Al-Iṣabah, 322.

²⁵ Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl: al-Ma'rūf bi Rijāl al-Kashshī, edited by Mahdī al-Rajā'ī (Qum: Mu'assasat Āl al-Bayt, [1984]), 271.

²⁶ Al-Zubayrī, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Vaglieri, al-Balādhurī (quoting from al-Zubayrī), Ibn Ḥajar and al-Jubūrī are among those scholars, even though the last three add that it may actually have occurred five years prior to the Hijra. See al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Taſsir wa al-Muſassirūn, 65; Vaglieri, "Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40; al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, 27; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābalı, 322; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Taſsir, 58.

Ibn al-Athir and Shams al-Din al-Dhahabi hold the same view and state that when the Prophet died, Ibn 'Abbās was a boy of thirteen, but it is also said that he was fifteen. Al-Ṭūsī adds that he was fifteen and ten months, while Watt reports that in the year 632 A.D. Ibn 'Abbās would have been anywhere from ten to fifteen years old. See Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghabah, 294; Shams al-Din al-Dhahabī, Kitāb Tadhkirat al-Ḥuffāz, 40; al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 271; Watt, Bell's Introduction, 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81. See also Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 66.

²⁷ Al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26; al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, 27; al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 271; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 291; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 322; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, 65; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 58; Vaglieri, "Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40.

Ibn 'Abbās's close and intimate relationship with the Prophet began in childhood, when he was brought to the Prophet by his father shortly after he was born. Some hadīths, with various isnāds, record that the Prophet prayed to God to endow Ibn 'Abbās with ta'wil and wisdom. His proximity to Muḥammad is also attested to in reports which confirm his devotion to the service of the Prophet and which depict him preparing the latter's wudu', standing behind him in prayer, and accompanying him on expeditions and journeys.

Ibn Sa'd (ca 168/784-230/845), al-Balādhurī (d. 892 A.D.), Ibn al-Athīr (555/1160-630/1233), Ibn Ḥajar (773/1372-852/1449), Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī and al-Jubūrī relate that Ibn 'Abbās was given the titles Ḥabr al-Ummah (the learned man of the ummah)³¹ and al-Baḥr (the sea) as tributes to his great

²⁸ Al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashraf, 27. However Hamid reports that it was not his father but his mother who was the one who brought him to the Prophet, who then proceeded to put some of his saliva on the baby's tongue before his first feeding. See Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 66.

²⁹ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 365 and 370; al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Qurayslı, 26; al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, 28-29 and 37; al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 272-273; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābalı, 291; Shams al-Din al-Dhahabī, Kitāb Tadhkirat al-Ḥuffāz, 40; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābalı, 322-323, Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 5, 278-279; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun, vol. 2, 333. See also Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 67. This prayer is used by some scholars to argue that the Prophet did not explain all verses of the Qur'ān. See Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 51.

³⁰ Al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 27-29; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 322-323. See also Ḥamid, Companions of the Prophet, 66. In addition, it is reported that he saw Gabriel standing behind the Prophet on two occasions. See Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 370; al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 28-29; al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 272; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 291; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 322-323.

³¹ Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣabah, 325; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 333; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsat fī al-Tafsīr, 58. However Ibn Ḥajar also cites it as Ḥabr al-'Arab, instead of Ḥabr al-Ummah. See Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣabah, 322.

knowledge.³² Vaglieri, however, is more specific in insisting that Ibn 'Abbās's reputation is dependent on his doctrine.³³ A number of *ḥadith*s laud Ibn 'Abbās's ability to refute detractors by appealing to the Qur'ān, *ḥadith* and reason.³⁴ Furthermore, some reports suggest that Companions, such as 'Umar (d. 23/644), 'Alī (d. 40/660), Ḥassān ibn Thābit (d. 40/659), Sa'd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ (d. between 50/670 and 58/677) and 'Ā'ishah (d. 58/678) declared their respect and admiration for Ibn 'Abbās.³⁵

From his youth, Vaglieri writes, Ibn 'Abbās demonstrated an interest in scholarly research. He undertook long and arduous journeys to interview Companions of the Prophet,³⁶ becoming a master of many disciplines at a young age. His expertise extended to the fields of tafsir, siyar, maghāzi, ḥadith, ayyām, ansāb (genealogies), poetry, isrā 'īlīyāt, and the traditions of the Arabs.³⁷ Ibn 'Abbās served as an important resource for his community and gave public

³² Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 366; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 30-33; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 291; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 325; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 5, 276; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, 65; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 58. Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī gives five situations that prove Ibn 'Abbās to have been knowledgeable. See Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, 67-68.

³³ Vaglieri, "'Abd Allah b. al-'Abbas," 40. However, Vaglieri writes it wrongly, "al-Ḥibr."

³⁴ Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 69 and 70-73.

³⁵ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ţabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 365 and 369; al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26-27; al-Balādhurī, Ansab al-Ashrāf, 30 and 35; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 322. See also Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 69 and 70-73; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 58-59 and 63.

³⁶ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 367-368; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 34-35; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābalı, 323; Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40; Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 66-68.

³⁷ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Tabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 367; Vaglieri, "Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40.

lectures, aided by his formidable memory and a large collection of written works.³⁸ His lectures covered a wide range of disciplines, each delivered on a particular day.³⁹ Ibn 'Abbās's intellectual interests were shaped by the trends of his day at a time when, according to Faruqi, Qur'ānic exegesis, the traditions of the Prophet, story-telling (qiṣaṣ), akhbār, poetry, genealogies and biographies were the chief media for preserving the heritage of the early years of Islam.⁴⁰

A vast number of reports exist concerning his academic activities, but only a few relay any information about his personal life. Hamid reports that Ibn 'Abbās was a man who cherished peace above war and logic above force or violence. Perhaps the event of the arbitration in Siffin, when he persuaded 'Alī's troops to accept the Caliph's decision can serve as an example of this trait. He was also renowned for his generosity, hospitality and piety.

In his old age, Ibn 'Abbas lost his sight. The date and place of his death, as al-Zubayri (d. ca 236/851), Shams al-Din al-Dhahabi (673/1274-748/1348),

³⁸ Ibn al-Athīr, *Usd al-Ghābah*, 291-292; Nabia Abbott, *Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri*, vol. 2 (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967), 14; Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40; Hamid, *Companions of the Prophet*, 69-70.

³⁹ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 368; Abbott, Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri, 14; Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40. See also Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 69-70.

⁴⁰ Nisar Ahmed Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography (New Delhi: Idarah-i Adabiyat-i Delli, 1979), 164.

⁴¹ Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 73-74.

⁴² Al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 58. Al-Ṭusī reports that he was blind because he cried so much for 'Alī, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn, while Ibn al-Athīr cites a hadīth telling that there was a line at the edges of Ibn 'Abbās's nose where tears ran because of so much crying. See al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 272; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 292.

Hājjī Khalīfah, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Vaglieri, Hamid and al-Jubūrī all confirm, was in 68/686-8 in Ṭā'if.43 Some ḥadīths report that Ibn al-Ḥanafīyah (d. 81/700) attended his funeral.44

2. Ibn 'Abbas in Ḥadith and Qur'anic Exegesis

At a time of opposition to the writing down of hadith and tafsir, Ibn 'Abbas was one of the few Companions who continued the tradition.45 He reported a

⁴³ Al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26; Shams al-Dīn al-Dhahabī, Kitāb Tadhkirat al-Ḥuffāz, 41; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunuīn, vol. 2, 333; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassiruīn, 65; Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40; Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 74; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsir, 58. In addition, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī cites this opinion, without reporting others, and states that it is the more reliable.

Al-Ṭūsī places his death 68 A.H., when he was 70 or 71, while Ibn al-Athīr cites 68 A.H. but gives Ibn 'Abbās the age of 70, and adds that it is also said he was 73, but this is not valid (gluarib). Ibn Ḥajar notes that some scholars say 65 A.H., some 67 A.H., and some 68 A.H., but the last is valid for most scholars (jumluīr). In addition, Watt cites it as 687 A.D. Al-Zubayrī and Hamid mention that when he died he was 71. See al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyar Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 271; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 294; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 326; Watt, Bell's Introduction, 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81; al-Zubayrī, Kitāb Nasab Quraysh, 26; Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 74. However, in his Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, Ibn Ḥajar mentions only the age of 68. See Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 5, 278.

⁴⁴ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 365; al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 272; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Glabah, 294; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 326.

⁴⁵ Abū 'Umar Yūsuf ibn 'Abd al-Barr al-Namarī al-Qurṭubī, Jāmi' Bayān al-'[Im wa Faḍlin, vol. 1 (Cairo: Idārat al-Ṭibā'ah al-Munīrah, n.d.), 72-73; Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn 'Alī al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Taqyīd al-'Ilm, edited by Yūsuf al-'Ash (Damascus: al-Ma'had al-Firansī, 1949), 91-92, quoted by Abbott, Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri, 11. Ḥājjī Khalīfah mentions a ḥadīth from Ibn 'Abbās saying that writing (khaṭṭ) is more valuable than an oral report, because it is useful for those attending or absent. See Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 3, 144. However, in other places it is cited that Ibn 'Abbās forbade the recording of knowledge in writing. See Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 371; Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Jāmi' Bayān, 65; al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Taqyīd al-'Ilm, 42-43; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 1, 78-80. From the ḥadīth quoted by al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, it is understood that Ibn 'Abbās did not write the report when he dealt with a person whom he was not familiar with, because in the ḥadīth, the person is not identified, just cited as "a man" (rajul). It is likely that Ibn 'Abbās did this to avoid misrepresentation or misuse of the report. See also Jullandri, "Qur'ānic Exegesis," 79. It is worth noting that this issue is in dispute among scholars. See C. H. M. Versteegh, Arabic Grammar and Qur'ānic Exegesis in Early Islam (Leiden: E. J. Brill,

great number of hadiths from the Prophet, 'Umar, 'Alī, Mu'ādh ibn Jabal and Abū Dharr (d. 32/652 or 3).46 Amīn and Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī assert that of the Companions who transmitted prophetic hadīth, Ibn 'Abbās transmitted the greatest number of riwāyāt.47 His ḥadīths were transmitted by many people; as listed by Ibn al-Athīr.48

Hamid describes Ibn 'Abbās as cautious and careful when authenticating a hadith. To accept a single hadith, Ibn 'Abbās would confirm it with as many as thirty Companions. Hamid reports that about 1660 of his hadiths are recorded

^{1993), 55-56;} Harris Birkeland, Old Muslim Opposition against Interpretation of the Koran (Oslo: I Kommisjon Hos Jacob Dybwad, 1955).

⁴⁶ Ibn al-Athir, Usd al-Ghabah, 292. See also Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 5, 276.

⁴⁷ Amin, Fajr al-Islam, 202; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 64. However, Amin emphasizes that the greatest number here is in the sense of quantity, not of validity.

There are two works which specifically record two different hadiths from Ibn 'Abbās. See al-Isrā' wa al-Mi'rāj li al-Imān Ibn 'Abbās (Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-Libnānī, al-Dār al-Ifrīqīyah al-'Arabīyah, 1983); al-Ḥafiẓ Zayn al-Dīn Abī al-Faraj 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Aḥmad ibn Rajab al-Ḥanbalī, Nūr al-Iqtibās fī Mishkāt Waṣiyat al-Nabī Ṣallā Allāh 'Alayh wa Sallam li Ibn 'Abbās, edited by Muḥammad ibn Nāṣir al-'Ajmī (Kuwait Maktabah Dār al-Iqṣā, 1986).

⁴⁸ They were 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar (d. 73/693), Anas ibn Mālik (d. 91-93/709-711), Abū al-Ṭufayl, Abū Amāmah ibn Sahl ibn Ḥunayf, Kathīr ibn 'Abbās (his brother), 'Alī (his son) (d. 117/735 or 6), and his mawalī, namely 'Ikrimah (d. 106/724), Kurayb, Abū Ma'bad Nāfidh, 'Aṭā' ibn Abī Rabāḥ (d. 114/732), Mujāhid ibn Jubayr al-Makkī (d. 103/721), Ibn Abī Mulaykah, 'Amru ibn Dīnār, 'Ubayd ibn 'Umayr, Sa'id ibn al-Musayyab (d. 94/712), al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, 'Ubayd Allāh ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Utbah, Sulaymān ibn Yasār (d. 100 A.H.), 'Urwah ibn al-Zubayr (23-92 A.H.), 'Alī ibn al-Husayn, Abū al-Zubayr, Muḥammad ibn Ka'b, Ṭāwūs ibn Kaysān (d. 106/724), Wahb ibn Munabbih (34/654-114/728), and Abū al-Ḍuḥā. See Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 292. Ḥājjī Khalīfah adds Sa'id ibn Jubayr (d. 95/713) to the list. See Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun, vol. 2, 335. Ibn Ḥajar mentions more names in his Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb. See Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 5, 276-278.

Furthermore, Ibn 'Āshūr classifies them in two groups. The first are Ibn 'Abbās's trusted transmitters, for example Mujāhid, 'Ikrimah, Ṭāwūs, 'Aṭā' ibn Abī Rabāh and Sa'īd ibn Jubayr. They are the ones that al-Bukhārī quotes in his Ṣaḥiḥ. The second includes people who are not trusted, for example al-Paḥḥāk, 'Aṭiyah ibn Sa'd al-Suddī who is quoted by Muḥammad ibn al-Sā'ib al-Kalbī. See Muḥammad al-Fāḍil ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Tafsir wa Rijāluh (Tunis: Manshūrāt al-Lughāt Dār al-Kutub al-Sharqīyah, 1966), 18-19. See also Amīn, Fajr al-Islām, 202-203; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Muṭassirun, 82-83; Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 141.

and authenticated in al-Bukhārī's and Muslim's collections.⁴⁹ Nevertheless, because of his reputation, some false reports were ascribed to him in an attempt to lend them credibility. Al-Shāfi'ī (150/767-204/820), for instance, asserts that only 100 hadīths attributed to Ibn 'Abbās are sound.⁵⁰ Faruqi mentions two reasons for this false attribution: to please the ruling family of the day and to authenticate the transmitters' versions.⁵¹ As such, little can be known of his views with any certainty.⁵²

Goldfeld, citing various references, argues that Ibn 'Abbās may not merit the acclaim he commands.⁵³ While only Ibn al-Nadīm (d. 385/995) and Ṭāshkubrīzādah (d. 962/1560) provide original information concerning the *Tafsīr* of Ibn 'Abbās, Ḥājjī Khalīfah (1609-1657) does not discuss him to any great extent, while al-Kattānī (d. 1345/1927) does not refer to Ibn 'Abbās at all.⁵⁴ This, however, may be explained by the fact that the latter author opens his discussion

⁴⁹ Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 66-68.

⁵⁰ Jalāl al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itqān fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān, 3rd edition, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 4 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-Turāth, 1985), 4: 209; Ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Tafsir wa Rijāluh, 19.

⁵¹ Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 141. See also Amin, Fajr al-Islan, 202-203; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 82-83; Jullandri, "Qur'anic Exegesis," 79-80.

⁵² Watt, Bell's Introduction, 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81. See also Ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Tafsir wa Rijāluh, 19; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 63.

⁵³ Goldfeld, "The Tafsir or Abdallah b. 'Abbas," 127.

⁵⁴ See Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq ibn al-Nadīm, Kitāb al-Fihrist, edited by Gustav Flḥgel (Beirut: Maktabat Khayyāṭ, [1966]), 125; Aḥmad ibn Muṣṭafā Ṭāshkubrīzādah, Miftāḥ al-Saʻadah wa Miṣbalṭ al-Siyadah, vol. 1 (Hyderabad: Maṭbaʻat Dāʻirat al-Maʻārif al-Nizāmīyah, 1911), 400-401; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 2, 333-334; Muḥammad ibn Jaʻfar al-Kattānī, Al-Risālah al-Mustaṭrafah li Bayān Mashhuīr Kutub al-Sunnah al-Musharrafah (Damascus: Maṭbaʻat Dār al-Fikr, 1964).

with the development of 'ilm al-ḥadith' in the second century, a time when Ibn Shihāb (d. 124/741) was the most prominent figure in the writing of ḥadith, as is also suggested by Goldfeld.⁵⁵ In addition, Brockelmann devotes very little space to Ibn 'Abbās's literary activity, while Sezgin hesitates even to attribute a specific work in Qur'ānic interpretation to Ibn 'Abbās.⁵⁶

Apart from being a great name in the field of 'ilm al-ḥadīth, Ibn 'Abbās is also considered to have been the father of Qur'ānic exegesis. Al-Zarkashī (d. 794/1392) relays the information that Ibn 'Abbās was among those Companions who memorized the whole of the Qur'ān. Al-Suyūṭī (849/1445-911/1505), Ḥājjī Khalīfah and al-Jubūrī situate Ibn 'Abbās in the developmental stages of tafsīr, together with the Rightly-Guided Caliphs, Ibn Mas'ūd (d. 653 A.D.), Ubayy ibn Ka'b (d. 639 A.D.), etc. Moreover, Ibn Ḥajar, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Faruqi and al-Jubūrī depict him as the most knowledgeable of his contemporaries in exegesis. Abbott places him in the same rank as Abū Hurayrah (d. 58/678) and 'Abd Allāh

 $^{^{55}}$ Goldfeld, "The *Tafsir* or Abdallah b. 'Abbās," 127.

⁵⁶ See Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 1, 330-331; Sezgin, GAS, vol. 1, 25-28.

⁵⁷ Abbott, Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri, 9; Vaglieri, "Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40; Watt, Bell's Introduction, 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81.

⁵⁸ Badr al-Din Muḥammad ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Zarkashī, Al-Burhān fī 'Uluīn al-Qur'ān, edited by Muṣṭafā 'Abd al-Qādir 'Aṭā, 4 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1988), 1: 295-306.

⁵⁹ Al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itqān, vol. 4, 204; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kaslıf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 332; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 75-76.

⁶⁰ Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣabalı, 323-325; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 59 and 63; Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 165; al-Jubūri, Dirāsāt fi al-Tafsir, 58-59.

ibn 'Amr ibn al-'Āṣ (d. 63 A.H.), among the early Qur'ānic commentators,⁶¹ whereas Faruqi considers him the most significant contributor to the development of this branch of knowledge.⁶² Vaglieri attributes this to his skill in relating the Qur'ān to the needs of his society.⁶³ As well, 'Abd al-'Azīz reports that even though Ibn 'Abbās received his early tutelage in the Qur'ān from 'Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, he, in the end, was more knowledgeable than his master.⁶⁴ Hence, he was called *tarjumān al-Qur'ān*.⁶⁵

Al-Ṭūsi (d. ca 460/1067), al-Jubūri and al-Qaṭṭān, however, cite a particular ḥadith which throws doubt on Ibn 'Abbās's knowledge of all the Qur'ānic verses. 2aghlūl mentions a report from Mujāhid (d. 103 A.H.) saying that once when Mujāhid asked Ibn 'Abbās a question concerning an expression in the Qur'ān, the latter answered that only God knows its exegesis

⁶¹ Abbott, Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri, 9.

⁶² Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 141.

⁶³ Vaglieri, "'Abd Allah b. al-'Abbas," 40.

⁶⁴ Amir 'Abd al-'Aziz, Dirāsāt fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān (Amman, Jordan: Dār al-Furqān; Beirut Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 1983), 151.

⁶⁵ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 366; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 30; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 324; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 5, 278; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun, vol 2, 333; Jullandri, "Qur'ānic Exegesis," 74-75. According to Jullandri, the word "tarjumān" whose meaning is translator, was attached to Ibn 'Abbās because he interpreted verses of the Qur'ān word by word.

⁶⁶ Al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 273; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 26; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabālith fī 'Ulūm al-Qur'ān, 287. The ḥadīth tells how once Ibn 'Abbās did not know of a particular expression in the Qur'an. However, al-Ṭusī throws doubt on this ḥadīth (ḍā'īf) because one of its transmitters is Ibrāhīm ibn 'Umar al-Yamānī. Al-Jubūrī adds that like other ordinary people, some Companions, including Ibn 'Abbās, did not know all of the Arabic used in the Qur'ān.

(ta'wil).⁶⁷ Moreover, based on al-Suyūṭī's explanation of 'Alī's fame among the Rightly-Guided Caliphs,⁶⁸ it is possible to draw an analogy with Ibn 'Abbās's reputation as the greatest among the Companions. Ibn 'Abbās's status may derive from the fact that the other nine Companions, who were interpreters in the first stage of Qur'ānic exegesis, died earlier,⁶⁹ leaving him the only remaining Companion to meet with the Followers. Because of this, reports on him abound, as isn't the case with the other Companions. Moreover, in the early Islamic period, the Companions restrained themselves from writing hadīth, whereas in Ibn 'Abbās's time, the writing of tradition had commenced its development. Inevitably, reports from Ibn 'Abbās assumed a value which, in turn, led to the abundance of reports on him.

Part of the doubt some scholars have of Ibn 'Abbās's contribution to the Islamic exegetical tradition stems from a chronology of events relating to the Qur'ān's development which precludes Ibn 'Abbās's involvement. First, 'Umar did not nominate Ibn 'Abbās to be among the five Companions sent to Damascus

⁶⁷ Al-Shaḥḥāt al-Sayyid Zaghlūl, Al-Ittijāliat al-Fikriyah fī al-Tafsir (Alexandria: al-Hay'ah al-Miṣriyah al-Āmmah li al-Kitāb, 1975), 68.

⁶⁸ Al-Suyūtī asserts that 'Alī was the most famous transmitter among the Rightly-Guided Caliphs because he lived later than others, and therefore had a longer time to meet other Companions and transmit hadīth. See al-Suyūtī, Al-Itqān, vol. 4, 204.

⁶⁹ Al-Suyūṭī lists ten Companions who were interpreters in the early development of Qur'ānic exegesis. The other nine were the Rightly-Guided Caliphs, Ibn Mas'ūd, Ubayy ibn Ka'b, Zayd ibn Thābit (d. 45 A.H.), Abū Mūsā al-Ash'arī (d. ca 42/662) and 'Abd Allāh ibn Zubayr (d. 72/692). See al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itaān, vol. 4, 204.

to teach the Qur'an when Yazid ibn Abi Sufyan asked for it.70 Nor was Ibn 'Abbas chosen when 'Uthman set up a committee to collect and compile the Qur'an in a muṣhaf.71 These facts must be taken into consideration when assessing Ibn 'Abbas's status as a great scholar.

Like some of the other Companions, Ibn 'Abbās had his own codex of the Qur'ān, reports Ibn Abī Dāwūd (d. 316/928) in *Kitāb al-Maṣāḥif*. Al-Zarkashī asserts that Ibn 'Abbās's reading was from Ubayy ibn Ka'b,⁷² while Jeffery notes that Ibn 'Abbās's codex contained two extra *sūrah*s over and above Ubayy's text.⁷³ This point is one that demands more research, because al-Suyūṭī maintains that Ubayy's text had two extra *sūrah*s lacking in the 'Uthmānic text.⁷⁴

In his role as a teacher, Ibn 'Abbās founded one of the three madhāhibs (schools) in Qur'ānic studies, i.e., the one based in Mecca. He taught in al-Masjid

⁷⁰ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Tabaqat al-Kubra, vol. 2, 356-357.

⁷¹ Amin tells us that the committee consisted of Zayd ibn Thabit, 'Abd Allah ibn al-Zubayr and Sa'id ibn al-'Āṣ (d. 59/678 or 9). See Amin, Fajr al-Islān, 195. Faruqi adds two figures, 'Abd al-Raḥman ibn al-Ḥārith and Ibn Hishām. See Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 124-125.

⁷² Al-Zarkashi, Al-Burhan, vol. 1, 306.

⁷³ Jeffery (ed.), Materials, 193. This work contains Kitab al-Maṣāḥif of Ibn Abī Dāwūd together with a collection of the variant readings from some codices. The work of Ibn Abī Dāwūd itself contains some variant readings, including those of Ibn 'Abbās. Jeffery provides a summary of the differences in these readings. For the codex of Ibn 'Abbās, see Kitāb al-Maṣāḥif in Jeffery (ed.), Materials, 73-77, and for Jeffery's note on this work, see Jeffery (ed.), Materials, 193-208.

⁷⁴ Al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itqān, vol. 3, 184-186. In addition, Burton reports, "The codices ascribed to ibn 'Abbās, Ubayy and Abū Mūsā are said to contain two sūras which the 'Uthmānic text lacks." See John Burton, *The Collection of the Qur'ān* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977), 220.

al-Ḥarām and, in turn, his pupils spread his teaching.⁷⁵ His exposition of Qur'ānic interpretation, for example, was compiled in a special collection based on the riwāyah of one of his immediate pupils. Thus we find that Ibn 'Abbās is widely quoted in a number of commentaries, including⁷⁶ Taſsir al-Walibi, Taſsir al-Dimyāṭi,⁷⁷ Taſsir al-'Awſi, Taſsir 'Ikrimah, Taſsir al-Kalbi,⁷⁸ Taſsir al-Ṣāliḥi, and Kitāb al-Wujūh. In recent years, the existence of numerous manuscripts and several editions of taſsirs attributed to him has been confirmed. Sezgin lists a number of other works that are attributed to Ibn 'Abbās. These include Gharīb al-Qur'ān, Masā 'īl Nāſi' ibn al-Azraq, and al-Lughāt fī al-Qur'ān.⁷⁹ However, the authenticity of these manuscripts is held in doubt by most scholars.⁸⁰

⁷⁵ Husayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 101; al-Jubūrī, Dirasat fī al-Tafsir, 64 and 79-80; Ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Tafsir wa Rijaluh, 18.

⁷⁶ Al-Tha'labī, Qur'anic Commentary, 20-27 and 52-53. See also Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunun, vol. 6, 424-425.

Al-Suyūṭi, Ṭāshkubrizādah, Ḥajji Khalifah, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi and al-Qaṭṭān provide a list of chains of transmission back to Ibn 'Abbās. See al-Suyūṭi, Al-Itqān, vol. 4, 207-210; Ṭāshkubrizādah, Miftāḥ al-Sa'ādah, 401; Ḥājji Khalifah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 2, 333-334; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirūn, 77-81; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabāḥith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān, 313-314. One of them is the chain used in Tanwir al-Miqbās. This chain is discussed further in chapter three, below.

⁷⁷ See also Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kaslıf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 361.

⁷⁸ See also Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 377.

⁷⁹ Sezgin, GAS, vol. 1, 25-28. For some of the research on this issue, see: Rippin, "Ibn 'Abbās's al-Lughāt fī al-Qur'ān," 15-25; Rippin, "Ibn 'Abbās's Gharīb al-Qur'ān," 332-333; Andrew Rippin, "Lexicographical Texts and the Qur'ān," in Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'ān, edited by Andrew Rippin (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), 158-174. See also Boullata, "Poetry Citation, 27-40.

⁸⁰ Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40. Khalidov has an article dealing with the problem of authenticating literature written in the Classical period. See A. B. Khalidov, "Problems of Authorship in Classical Arabic Literature," *Arabist: Budapest Studies in Arabic* 15-16 (1995), 143-147.

Ibn 'Abbās's approach to interpretation was, according to Ibn Sa'd and al-Balādhurī, based on a verse-by-verse and letter-by-letter exposition, or taſsīr musalsal.81 However, both al-Suyūṭī and Fūdah assert that taſsīr in its earliest stages was not musalsal, because the very first taſsīr musalsal is attributed to the taſsīr of al-Farrā' (d. 207/822).82 Historically speaking, this is more likely the case as Ibn 'Abbās, like most of the Companions, gave an interpretation only when asked. It is unlikely, therefore, that he wrote the interpretation of the Qur'ān from beginning to end, or verse by verse. Moreover, Ibn Sa'd and al-Balādhurī's reports speak of only one incident when Ibn 'Abbās interpreted sūrat al-Baqarah, and that was while he was in Baṣra. However, it is possible that Ibn 'Abbās provided an interpretation covering all of the Qur'ānic verses in his lectures from one day to the next.

The sources to which Ibn 'Abbās resorted in interpreting the Qur'ān, according to Ibn Sa'd, al-Balādhurī and Ibn Ḥajar (quoting a hadīth), varied depending on their priority. In the first stage, Ibn 'Abbās interpreted the Qur'ān based on the Qur'ān itself. If no explanation was forthcoming, he resorted to Muḥammad's traditions. Failing that, he proceeded to examine the sayings of Abū Bakr (d. 13/634) and 'Umar. He would rely on his own reasoning only if the

⁸¹ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 367; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 34.

⁸² Maḥmūd Basyūnī Fūdah, Nash'at al-Tafsir wa Manahijuh fi Daw' al-Madhahib al-Islamiyalı (Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Amānah, 1986), 105-110.

three sources cited previously yielded no clues.⁸³ In this sense, Ibn 'Abbās was a product of the time when the Companions had decided on the priority of the sources.⁸⁴

Moreover, scholars generally hold the view that the sources used by Ibn 'Abbās were both Islamic and non-Islamic.⁸⁵ Faruqi argues that *tafsir* was permeated by Jewish traditions through the channel of Ibn 'Abbās.⁸⁶ Even Goldfeld argues that Ibn 'Abbās's doctrine is founded upon pre-Islamic Judeo-Christian practices, whereas Newby maintains that Ibn 'Abbās held "the ultimate authority" cited for a number of *isrā ilīyāt* traditions.⁸⁷ This analysis is not

⁸³ Al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 32. See also Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 366; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 325.

⁸⁴ Al-Qaṭṭān, Mabālith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān, 286-289. However, Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī and al-Jubūrī, in their discussion of sources during the early development of taſsir, do not list the sayings of 'Umar and Abū Bakr as one of the sources. See Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Taſsir wa al-Muſassirun, 37-62; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Taſsir, 31-51.

⁸⁵ Abbott, Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri, 9; Jeffery, Materials, 193; Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 164-165. Abbott specifies this point by saying that these sources derived from Jewish and Christian Arabs. See also Muhammad el-Sayyed Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives in Exegesis and Tradition," in The Fourth Conference of the Academy of Islamic Research (Cairo: General Organization for Government Printing Offices, 1970), 630-633.

⁸⁶ Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 141.

⁸⁷ Goldfeld, "The Development of Theory," 6; Gordon D. Newby, "Tafsir Isra'iliyat," in Studies in Qur'an and Tafsir, edited by Alford T. Welch (Chico, California: American Academy of Religion, 1979), 688. In this article, Goldfeld explains how pre-Islamic, Judeo-Christian interpretations had a considerable impact on Ibn 'Abbās's doctrine. For more discussion on isra'iliyat, see G. Vajda, "Isrā'iliyāt," in The Encyclopædia of Islam, new edition, edited by E. van Donzel, B. Lewis and Ch. Pellat, vol. 4 (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1978), 211-212; Husayn al-Dhahabī, "Israelitic Narratives," 586-588.

without merit as Ibn 'Abbas was familiar with the Old and New Testaments, the Talmud, Midrash, Haggada and other branches of the Jewish canon.⁸⁸

However, it is worth mentioning that al-Jubūrī and Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī both argue that while Ibn 'Abbās did ask members of the ahl al-kitāb for stories, they were not on matters of law or belief,89 a precaution observed by the other Companions as well.90 The stories that he did record were needed for the interpretation of the Qur'ān, because they tended to be more comprehensive than the Qur'ānic versions, which were often short and precise, rather than protracted tales. This argument conforms with al-Qaṭṭān's assertion. The latter notes that the Bible and the Torah contain more detailed stories than the Qur'ān, which only conveys the moral of the story without seeking to provide a full narrative.91 This phenomenon is consistent with the fact that Jewish traditions bear a strong resemblance to tafsir literature.92

⁸⁸ Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 165.

⁸⁹ Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 70-71 and 173-175; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsir, 63. This matter is disputed by Goldziher, Amīn and Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī. The first two scholars agree that Ibn 'Abbās took much from Christian and Jew traditions (isrā'ilīyāt), while Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī rejects this opinion. See Goldziher, Madhāhib al-Tafsīr al-Islamī, 85-89; Amīn, Fajr al-Islamī, 201-202; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsīr wa al-Mufassirun, 71-74.

⁹⁰ Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 62 and 169-175; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabalṭith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 306. The Companions who imported isra'iliyat into tafsir were 'Abd Allah ibn Salām (d. 43/663 or 4), Ka'b al-Aḥbār (d. 32/652 or 3), Wahb ibn Munabbih and 'Abd al-Malik ibn 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Jurayj. See Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 72-73; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabalṭith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 307.

⁹¹ Al-Qattan, Mabahith fi 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 306.

⁹² Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 142. For more discussion on the development of Jewish traditions, see Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 142-146.

It is also perfectly understandable for it is possible to say that Muslims in the early years of Islam quoted stories from Jews and Christians so as to provide a clearer and more comprehensive explanation of Qur'ānic verses. This effort, in turn, showed to non-Muslims that the Qur'ān which came later than the Bible and the Torah carried the same ideas and information as the previous scriptures. In other words, this was an effort to convince people of the new religion brought by Muḥammad. If we substitute "non-Muslims" from the early period of Qur'ānic exegesis for "the Western reader" in the following statement by Cornell, we realize how true this was: "References in the Qur'ān to the stories of biblical and extrabiblical prophets and their communities must be viewed from the perspective of the *ummah muslimah* in order to become intelligible to the Western reader."93

The pre-Islamic sources to which Ibn 'Abbās resorted were not limited to the Semitic, monotheistic tradition. Goldziher, Gätje, Watt, al-Qaṭṭān, and Lichtenstadter also report that Ibn 'Abbās used to quote Arabic poetry as a means of deciphering the more obscure wording of the Qur'ān.⁹⁴ Ḥusayn al-

⁹³ Vincent J. Cornell. "Qur'ān: The Qur'ān as Scripture," in *The Oxford Encyclopedia of the Modern Islamic World*, edited by John L. Esposito, vol. 3 (New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995), 389.

⁹⁴ Goldziher, Madhahib al-Tafsir al-Islami, 89-90; Glitje, The Qur'an and its Exegesis, 32-33; Watt, Bell's Introduction, 168, quoting from Goldziher, Koranauslegung, 65-81; al-Qaṭṭān, Mabaliith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 312; Lichtenstadter, "Quran and Quran Exegesis," 11. Quoting Arabic poetry was necessary in interpreting the Qur'an, Jones asserts. However, he argues that it did not play a significant role, because it touched peripheral, relatively trivial issues and applied to only a small percentage of the Qur'an. See Jones, "Narrative Technique," 185. See also Boullata, "Poetry Citation," 27-40.

Dhahabī holds the same view, asserting that even though other Companions did the same thing, Ibn 'Abbās excelled at this technique. Faruqi even maintains that Ibn 'Abbās was the first commentator to employ the ancient Arabic poetry as a resource for interpreting the Qur'ān. In a famous report on Qur'ānic traditions, Ibn al-Azraq (d. 65/684) is said to have asked Ibn 'Abbās about the meaning of some words in the Qur'ān. After receiving an explanation, Ibn al-Azraq demanded of Ibn 'Abbās a shāhid to his interpretation from Arabic poetry. For these reasons, Ibn 'Āshūr, in his discussion of Ibn 'Abbās's contribution to Qur'ānic exegesis, credits the latter with adding to the development of Qur'ānic exegesis by utilizing two sources, namely pre-Islamic poetry and akhbār, in the latter case particularly those of the Jews and Christians.

⁹⁵ Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 74-77.

[%] Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 169.

⁹⁷ This conversation is recorded in several works. For example, 'Ā'ishah 'Abd al-Raḥmān (Bint al-Shāṭī'), Al-l'jāz al-Bayānī li al-Qur'ān wa Masā'il Ibn al-Azraq, 2nd edition (Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1987), 287-603; Masā'il al-Imām al-Ṭistī 'an As'ilat Nāfī' ibn al-Azraq wa Ajwibat 'Abd Allāli ibn 'Abbās, edited by 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Umayrah, 2 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-I'tiṣām, 1994); Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī, Mu'jam Gharīb al-Qur'ān (Cairo: 'Īsā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī, [1950]), 234-292; Abū Bakr Muḥammad al-Qāsim ibn Bashshār al-Anbārī al-Nahwī, Kitāb Iḍāḥ al-Waqf wa al-Ibtidā' fī Kitāb Allāh 'Azza wa Jalla, edited by Muḥyī al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ramaḍān (Damascus: Majma' al-Lughah al-'Arabīyah, 1971), 76-98; Ibrāhīm al-Sāmirrā'ī, Su'ālāt Nāfī' ibn al-Azraq ila 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās (Baghdad: Maṭba'at al-Ma'ārif, 1968). See also al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itqān, vol. 2, 55-88. Boullata has written a comprehensive article dealing with this topic; see Boullata, "Poetry Citation," 27-40.

⁹⁸ Ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Taſsir wa Rijaluh, 16-18. In Ibn 'Abbās's time, Qur'ānic exegesis was classified as taſsir bi al-ma'thūr. The addition of two new sources meant that the taſsir bi al-ma'thūr had a new color to its meaning. Initially taſsir bi al-ma'thūr was understood as exegesis based on hadith, while pre-Islamic poetry and akhbār were not included in the Prophet's sayings. Therefore, taſsir bi al-ma'thūr, in its new meaning, accepted differences of opinion over issues, particularly in view of the knowledge gained through pre-Islamic poetry and akhbār.

3. Ibn 'Abbas's Political and Military Career

There are only a few reports on Ibn 'Abbās's involvement in political and military affairs. In spite of her view that in the early Islamic period Ibn 'Abbās played a significant role in political and military affairs, Vaglieri cautions against the exaggeration of this point as many Muslim biographers, impressed by his family lineage as the progenitor of the 'Abbāsids, have done. Vaglieri argues that Ibn 'Abbās did not become involved in political life until after 'Alī's ascendance to power, and that this involvement was limited to three or four years at the most. 99 Even Ḥusayn al-Dhahabī argues that Ibn 'Abbās had little to do with military affairs. His involvement was limited to his governorship of Basra. 100

Vaglieri lists some instances of Ibn 'Abbās's participation in battles/ expeditions, such as those in Egypt (between 18/639 and 21/641), in Africa (27/647), in Jurjān and Ṭabaristān (30/650), in Constantinople (with 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb (d. 73/693) both accompanying Yazīd), at the battle of the Camel (36/656) and at the battle of Ṣiffīn (37/657). In Ṣiffīn he assumed command of 'Alī's troops and became one of the signatories to the convention of

⁹⁹ Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40. For more information concerning his political and military life, see al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 27 and 40; Muḥammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī, Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī: Tārīkh al-Rusul wa al-Muluk, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 9 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1960-), 5: 137-138, 141-143 and 543-544; Ibn al-Athīr, Usd al-Ghābah, 292-294; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 325.

¹⁰⁰ Husayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, 67.

¹⁰¹ Vaglieri, "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās," 40. See also al-Ṭūsī, Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl, 272; Ibn Ḥajar, Al-Iṣābah, 322.

Şiffin.¹⁰² It is also reported that when 'Alī's troops opposed his plan to accept arbitration, Ibn 'Abbās tried to persuade the troops of the correctness of this decision himself. Ibn 'Abbās answered their three objections by referring to Qur'ānic verses and reason.¹⁰³ In the political realm, he served as counselor to the caliphs 'Umar and 'Uthmān, and to 'Alī and to al-Ḥusayn when they were in power. Ibn 'Abbās's ability in politics is evidenced by reports that 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb often sought Ibn 'Abbās's advice on matters of state.¹⁰⁴

C. A Biography of al-Firūzābādī

1. His Life

Our information concerning al-Firuzabādī varies from one source to another in terms of length and depth. The biography presented here represents a summary of these sources.

His full name was Majd al-Dīn Abū Ṭāhir Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb ibn Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb ibn Ibrāhīm ibn 'Umar ibn Abī Bakr ibn Maḥmūd ibn Idrīs ibn Faḍl Allāh ibn al-Shaykh Abī Isḥaq Ibrāhīm ibn 'Alī ibn Yūsuf Qāḍī al-Quḍāt al-Ṣiddīqī al-Fīrūzābādī al-Shīrāzī. 105 He was known as an eccentric man,

¹⁰² Ibn al-Athir, Usd al-Ghabah, 292.

¹⁰³ Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 70-73; al-Jubūrī, Dirāsāt fī al-Tafsīr, 61-62.

¹⁰⁴ Hamid, Companions of the Prophet, 69; al-Jubūri, Dirāsāt fi al-Tafsir, 63.

¹⁰⁵ The names assigned to him by biographers vary in terms of length and order. The name that is cited here is the longest and most complete one. See 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Sakhāwī, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi' li Ahl al-Qarn al-Tāsi', vol. 10 (Beirut: Manshūrāt Dār Maktabat al-Ḥayāh, 1966), 79; Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh fī Ṭabaqāt al-Lughawiyīn wa al-Nuḥāh, edited by Aḥmad

for he added "al-Ṣiddīqī" to his name on being appointed to a very high position in Yemen, thus claiming to be a descendant of the caliph Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddīq. 106 Quoting Ibn Ḥajar, both al-Suyūṭī and al-Zabīdī state that this claim is true, although other scholars believe it to be unfounded. 107 It is also reported that he claimed to be a descendant of Abū Isḥāq al-Shīrāzī (d. 476/1083), hence the addition of this celebrated name to his own name as shown above. 108 It seems that both al-Suyūṭī and al-Zabīdī (again quoting Ibn Ḥajar) believe this report to be true as well, even though other scholars refute it on the ground that Abū Isḥāq al-Shīrāzī did not have any children. 109 Al-Fīrūzābādī was born in Kārizīn, 110 a small town near Shīrāz (Iran), in Rabī' al-Akhīr or Jumādā al-

Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., vol. 1 (Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah, 1908), 117; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 126; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūš, 41; Clſment Huart, A History of Arabic Literature (London: William Heinemann, 1903), 388; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 301; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 234; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926; Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Bulghah fī Tārīkh A'immat al-Lughah, edited by Muḥammad al-Miṣrī (Damascus: Manshūrāt Wizārat al-Thaqāfah, 1971), iv.

¹⁰⁶ Al-Sakhāwi, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 85. See also al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'āl, edited by Aḥmad Nāji al-Jamāli, et al., 117; Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'āl fī Ṭabaqāt al-Lughawiyin wa al-Nuḥāl, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, vol. 1 (Cairo: Maṭba'at 'Īsā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī wa Shurakā'ih, 1964), 273; C. Brockelmann, Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur, 2 vols. (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1943-1949), 2: 232; Ṭāhir Aḥmad al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmūs al-Muḥīṭ (Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Istiqāmah, 1959), x; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926.

¹⁰⁷ Al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 41.

¹⁰⁸ Al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Fadl Ibrāhīm, 273; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arīs, 41; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926.

¹⁰⁹ Al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'alı, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'alı, edited by Muhammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 41.

¹¹⁰ There are different reports concerning al-Firuzābādī's place of birth. Most biographers, however, such as al-Suyūṭi, al-Zabīdī, Huart, al-Zāwī and al-Bawwāb, in his introduction to al-Firuzābādī's work, spell it "Kārizīn." See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273 (in another edition, however, it is recorded as "Kāzarūn." See al-Suyūṭī,

Ākhirah 729/February or April 1329.¹¹¹ His family, as his *nisbah* denotes, was originally from Firūzābād in Fārs.¹¹²

Al-Firūzābādī devoted much of his time to the acquisition and dissemination of knowledge, as witnessed by his frequent journeys to the various seats of knowledge of his day, as well as by the number of students he had. His quest for knowledge started at the age of eight, when he went to Shīrāz to learn at the feet of his father and from al-Qawwām 'Abd Allāh ibn Maḥmūd, as well as other scholars. He then traveled to Iraq and visited Wāsiṭ and Baghdad where he learned traditions and philology. In Iraq, he studied under al-Sharaf 'Abd Allāh ibn Bektāsh, a teacher at the Niṣāmīyah madrasah. 113

Bughyat al-Wu'alı, edited by Ahmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117); al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 41; Huart, A History, 388; al-Zāwī, Tartib al-Qānus al-Muḥit, x; al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 19. In addition, there is a similar report in Encyclopaedia Britannica, referring to his birthplace as "Karazin". See "Firuzabadi," in Encyclopaedia Britannica, vol. 9 (Chicago: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., 1970), 316.

On the other hand, al-Sakhāwī (d. 1497 A.D.), al-Dāwūdī, Ibn al-'Imād (quoting al-Sakhāwī), Brockelmann and Fleisch mention "Kāzarūn" as his place of birth. See al-Sakhāwi, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 79; al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 126; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926. Al-Zabīdī explains that "Kāzarīn" is a misprint, because, he argues, in Mu'jam al-Buldān, "Kāzarūn" was a city in Persia between the sea and Shīrāz, while "Kārizīn" was a village in Persia, and the place where al-Fīrūzābādī was born. See Yāqūt Ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥamawī, Mu'jam al-Buldān, vol. 4 (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir and Dār Bayrūt, 1957), 428 and 429; Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Qāmūs al-Muḥīṭ, vol. 2 (Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah, n.d.), 189.

111 Al-Sakhāwī, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 79; al-Dāwūdī, Tabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 274; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926. Al-Suyūṭī (in both editions mentioned above), Lane, al-'Azm and al-Bawwāb are of the same opinion, but they mention the year only. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; Edward William Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, vol. 1 (London, Edinburgh: Williams and Norgate, 1863), xvi; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 301; al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20. In addition, al-Zāwī mentions the date of Rabī' al-Ākhir 729 A.H. only. See al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmūš al-Muḥūṭ, x.

¹¹² See L. Lockhart, "Fars," in *The Encylopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by B. Lewis, Ch. Pellat and J. Schacth, vol. 2 (Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1965), 811-812.

From there, he went to Syria, India, Rūm and Egypt in order to learn from various teachers until he became recognized as one of the most erudite scholars of his time, particularly in the field of language. Then in 750/1349 he accompanied his master, Taqī al-Dīn 'Alī al-Subkī, to Jerusalem where he studied literature for ten years. During this period, he became a teacher and later on a master-teacher, although still in his twenties.¹¹⁴

In 770/1368 he departed for Mecca with the intention of settling there permanently, but nevertheless later left it to travel to Delhi where he stayed for five years. He was then invited by Sultan Aḥmad ibn Uways to Baghdad in 794/1392. After meeting Tamerlane at Shirāz and being well received by him in 795/1393, he left for India once again. It is reported that in all the countries al-Firūzābādī visited, he was received with great respect from their respective rulers, among whom may be counted Shāh Manṣūr ibn Shāh Shujā' of Tabrīz, al-Ashraf of Egypt, Abū Yazīd of Rūm, Ile and Ibn Idrīs of Baghdad. Ile

¹¹³ Al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 42; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 301.

¹¹⁴ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926.

¹¹⁵ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926.

¹¹⁶ Al-Zabīdī, al-'Azm and al-Bawwāb report that al-Firūzābādī taught Bāyazīd al-'Uthmān. See al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 42; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 301; al-Firūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20.

¹¹⁷ Al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wuʻalı, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 42; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qanus al-Muḥiṭ, x.

On his way back to Mecca, al-Firūzābādī traveled through Yemen which he reached in Rabī' al-Awwal 796/January 1394.¹¹⁸ He lived in Ta'izz for 14 months at the house of the sultan of Yemen, Sultan al-Malik al-Ashraf Ismā'īl ibn 'Abbās, who later gave his daughter to him in marriage.¹¹⁹ The sultan also appointed al-Firūzābādī grand qāḍī of Yemen on 6 Dhū al-Ḥijjah 797/22 September 1395.¹²⁰ He was to reside in Zabīd for a period of 20 years.¹²¹

In 802/1400 he went on the pilgrimage once again. During his sojourn in Mecca and Medina, he built a modest Mālīkī madrasah, for which he selected a number of professors to teach there during his absence. He actually wanted to live for the rest of his life in Mecca, but the Sultan of Yemen did not grant this

¹¹⁸ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232. Meanwhile, al-'Azm cites it as the year when al-Firūzābādī reached Zabīd. See al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 301. However, Ibn al-'Imād, al-Zabīdī and al-Zāwī state that the date al-Firūzābādī reached Zabīd was Ramaḍān 796 A.H. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Aruīs, 42; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qānuīs al-Muḥit, xi. Naṣṣār on the other hand mentions the year 797 A.H. See Ḥusayn Naṣṣār, Al-Mu'jam al-'Arabī. Nash'atuh wa Taṭawwuruh, vol. 1 (Cairo: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1956), 540. Furthermore, al-Bawwāb states that al-Firūzābādī came later to Zabīd because of an invitation from the sultan. See al-Firūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20.

¹¹⁹ Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926; Encyclopædia Britannica, 316. However, al-Suyūṭī (in both editions), Ibn al-'Imād, al-Zabīdī and al-Bawwāb use the word "tazawwaja," meaning that the sultan married al-Fīrūzābādī's daughter. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āl, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āl, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 43; al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20. It is more likely that al-Fīrūzābādī married the sultan's daughter, because it is reported that al-Fīrūzābādī went back to Zabīd when he was informed that his father-in-law had died. See Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926.

¹²⁰ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. However, Brockelmann reports a different date, that is, 1 Dhū al-Hijjah 797/17 September 1395. See Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232.

¹²¹ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 42.

¹²² Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 130.

wish.¹²³ While in Medina in 803/1401, he received the news of the death of his father-in-law and returned in haste to Yemen. Al-Firūzābādī himself died at Zabīd on 20 Shawwāl 817/3 January 1415¹²⁴ in his nineties.¹²⁵ He was buried in the graveyard of al-Quṭb al-Shaykh Ismā'īl al-Jabartī.¹²⁶

As a child, al-Firūzābādī evinced great intelligence and managed to memorize the whole Qur'ān by the age of seven. 127 Moreover, it is reported that wherever he went, he always took a great number of books with him, which he read at halts during the way. It is also said that he would spend most of his

¹²³ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 129-130; al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 42-43.

¹²⁴ Al-Dāwūdī, Tabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 279; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 234; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 232; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. Meanwhile Huart reports that it occured in 1414 A.D. See Huart, A History, 388. Al-Zabīdī and al-Zāwī state that it was 816 or 817 A.H. See al-Zabīdī, Taī al-'Arus, 44; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmus al-Muḥiţ, xi. Furthermore, al-Suyuṭī, Lane and al-'Azm date it to 816 A.H. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, xvi; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 301. Among the biographers, only Naṣṣar states that al-Fīrūzābādī's death was in Rabī' al-Awwal 803 A.H., instead of Shawwal. See Naṣṣār, Al-Mu'jām al-'Arabī, 540.

¹²⁵ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 130; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 44; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmus al-Muḥiṭ, xi. However, al-'Azm states that when al-Fīrūzābādī died, he was in his eighties. See al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 302. However, Ibn al-'Imad does not mention the year of al-Fīrūzābādī's death.

¹²⁶ Al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-Zāwi, Tartib al-Qāmus al-Muḥiţ, xi; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 302.

¹²⁷ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawlıar, 301. It is reported that he said that he would not sleep before memorizing 100 lines of the Qur'an. See Abū Bakr ibn Aḥmad ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfī'iyah, edited by al-Ḥāfiz 'Abd al-'Alīm Khān, vol. 4 (Hyderabad: Maṭba'at Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmānīyah, 1980), 84; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 130; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Aruīs, 41.

money on books, 128 which he would later sell for food when his money ran out.

When he had money though, he would buy back the books he had sold.

He learned at the hands of numerous scholars from different disciplines. Among his teachers¹²⁹ were Muḥammad Yūsuf al-Zarnadī al-Madanī (who taught al-Fīrūzābādī Ṣaḥīh al-Bukhārī), Ibn al-Khabbāz, Ibn al-Qayyim, Ibn al-Hamawī, Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Mardāwī, Aḥmad ibn Muzaffar al-Nābulsī, Yaḥyā ibn 'Alī al-Ḥaddād, al-'Alā'ī, al-Bayānī, Ibn al-Qalānisī, Ghaḍanfar, Ibn Nubātah, al-Fārūqī, al-'Izz ibn Jamā'ah, Bakr ibn Khalīl al-Mālikī, al-Ṣafī al-Ḥarāwī, Ibn Juhbal, al-Faradī, al-Shihāb Aḥmad ibn 'Alī al-Dīwānī (who taught him the ten variant readings/al-qirā'āt al-'ashr), al-Tāj Muḥammad ibn al-Sibāk, and al-Sirāj 'Umar ibn 'Alī al-Qazwīnī. On the other hand, he too had a number of students, among them al-Ṣafādī, al-Fahhāmah ibn 'Aqīl, al-Jamāl al-Asnawī, and Ibn Hishām.¹³⁰

¹²⁸ Al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nāji al-Jamāli, et al., 117; al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273-274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926. It is even reported that he once spent fifty thousand mithqal of gold on books. See al-Firūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 20. Al-Sakhāwī however says the opposite. See al-Sakhāwī, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 81.

¹²⁹ Al-Sakhāwī, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 79; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 273; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 43. The names listed here are those of scholars who were not mentioned before. Ibn al-'Imad reports that the takhrīj of al-Jamāl ibn Mūsā al-Marākishī consists of a collection of what al-Firūzābādī learned from his teachers. See Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127.

¹³⁰ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 126. Al-Sakhāwī and al-Zāwī refer to al-Fahhāmah ibn 'Aqīl as al-Bahā' ibn 'Aqīl. See al-Sakhāwī, Al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 80; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmus al-Muḥīţ, xi.

2. His Works

Al-Firūzābādī wrote a considerable number of books covering various disciplines, especially *tafsir*, *ḥadīth*, and history, but lexicography was the branch he excelled in. Scholars list al-Firūzābādī's works variously in terms of number and classification. For instance, al-Dāwūdī classifies them based on their fields, ¹³¹ while al-Zabīdī cites 45 works without classifying them, ¹³² Fleisch separates the printed titles from those still in manuscript form, ¹³³ and al-'Azm lists 61 works alphabetically. ¹³⁴

¹³¹ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirin, 276-277.

¹³² Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43-44. Fleisch describes this list as incomplete. See Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926.

¹³³ Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādī," 926.

¹³⁴ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 302-306. However, Fleisch believes that al-'Azm's list of al-Firuzābādi's works is open to criticism. See Fleisch, "Al-Firuzābādi," 926. A summary of what some sources list as al-Firuzābādi's annotated works is attached in Appendix 1 of this thesis.

CHAPTER THREE

THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERPRETATION OF TANWĪR AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS

A. Approach and Method of Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas

As was pointed out in an earlier chapter, the reader of *Tanwir al-Miqbās* will find little in the way of introduction or clarification in this work that will assist him or her in understanding the principles of interpretation that underlie it. For such understanding, a thorough analysis of the text must be attempted, one which takes into account everything from its arrangement to its use of sources. Only then will we be in a position to advance any kind of theory as to the exegetical approach presented by the work.

The first feature that strikes one reading *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is the fact that the order of the *sūrah*s is the same as that with which we are familiar today. The first article of information provided in the *tafsīr* for each *sūrah* is its locus of revelation, i. e. whether the verses were revealed in Mecca or Medina, or during the Prophet's *hijrah* from Mecca to Medina or on a particular occasion. This information is placed at the beginning of each *sūrah*. If for instance a *sūrah* is Meccan on the whole but it contains a number of Medinan verses, or vice versa, the text makes a point to notify the reader of this fact by quoting the opening

¹ Exemplified in such edition as that of Yūsuf 'Alī. See 'Abd Allāh Yūsuf 'Alī, The Holy Qur-ān: Arabic Text with English Translation and Commentary (Medina: King Fahd Holy Qur-ān Printing Complex, [1989 or 1990].

words of the verse in question immediately after this statement.² Interestingly, the information provided in this regard conflicts in a number of instances with the enumeration found in other sources, for example, *al-Burhān* of al-Zarkashī.³ It is important, however, to point out that such differences do not mean that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* contains wrong information, because the issue of whether certain *sūrahs* or verses are Meccan or Medinan has long been in dispute among scholars of Qur'ānic exegesis.⁴

After mentioning the place of revelation, the exegesis states the number of verses, words and even, surprisingly, letters found in each *sūrah.*⁵ Here we encounter some differences in the number of verses included in certain *sūrahs*

² For example, in introducing sūrat al-Shu'ara, it is declared that "...kulluhā Makkiyah illā qawluhu wa al-shu'ara ila ... fa'innahā nuzzilat bi al-Madīnah." See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwīr al-Miqbās, 306.

³ Al-Zarkashī, *Al-Burhān*, vol. 1, 239-262. Those examples are (the numbering of verses here are according to Yūsuf 'Alī's version), because as explained later *Tanwīr al-Miqbās* does not put numbers for its verses):

^{1.} with regard to surat al-An'am, Tanwir al-Miqbas cites that the verses of the surah are Meccan, except for 5 verses, namely 91, 93, and 151-153, whereas al-Zarkashi excepts 6 verses, namely 91-93 and 151-153. See al-Firuzabadi, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 105; al-Zarkashi, Al-Burhan, vol. 1, 257-258.

^{2.} Tanwir al-Miqbās declares all of the verses of sūrat al-A'rāf as Meccan in origin, but al-Zarkashī excludes 163-165. See al-Firūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 123; al-Zarkashī, Al-Burhān, vol. 1, 258.

^{3.} Tanwir al-Miqbās states that all of sūrat Ibrāhim is Meccan, while al-Zarkashi excepts verses 28-29 of it as Medinan. See al-Firūzābādi, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 210; al-Zarkashi, Al-Burhān, vol. 1, 257.

^{4.} In sūrat al-Naḥl, Tanwir al-Miqbās mentions that 41, 110 and 126-127 are exceptions to the other verses, which are Meccan, while in al-Zarkashī's work only verse 41 is declared to be an exception. See al-Firūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 221; al-Zarkashī, Al-Burhān, vol. 1, 258.

⁴ See al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itaan, vol. 1, 22-50; 'Abd al-'Azīz, Dirasat fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 62.

⁵ For example, with reference to al-Baqarah it is stated that it has 280 verses, 3100 words and 25,500 letters. See al-Fīrūzābādī, *Tanwir al-Miqbās*, 3.

when compared to other versions of the Qur'ān.6 However, due to the fact that there are no clear indications in the text of where one verse ends and another begins, it is difficult to ascertain the reason for these differences. Another interesting feature of *Tanwir al-Miabās* is the fact that the *basmalah* is interpreted only once, namely at the beginning of the work, just before the interpretation of the *Fātiḥah*. In each *sūrah* after that, the *basmalah*, except in *sūrat al-Tawbah*, is repeated but without interpretation.

Next, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* usually mentions the chain of transmitters from whom the interpretation was obtained. Interestingly, only one chain of transmission is referred to for the interpretation of each *sūrah*. The chains of transmission are always at the beginning of the *sūrahs*, most are short, and all extend back to Ibn 'Abbās along three different paths, generally speaking.⁷ The

⁶ This can be seen from the table (containing the number of words and letters in each surah as well) in Appendix 2. The version compared to in this instance is that of Yūsuf 'Alī.

⁷ They are:

^{1.} Firstly, in the interpretation of the basmalah, the chain provided is "(akhbarana) 'Abd 'Allah al-Thiqah ibn al-Ma'mur al-Harawi qala akhbarana abi qala akhbarana Abu 'Abd Allah qala akhbarana Abu 'Ubayd Allah Mahmud ibn Muhammad al-Razi qala akhabarana 'Ammar ibn 'Abd al-Majid al-Harawi qala akhbarana 'Ali ibn Ishaq al-Samarqandi 'an Muhammad ibn Marwan 'an al-Kalbi 'an Abi Ṣaliḥ 'an Ibn 'Abbas qala" See Muḥammad ibn Ya'qub al-Firuzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas (Multan: Fāruqī Kutub Khānah, 1975/ 1976), 2; Muḥammad ibn Ya'qub al-Firuzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas (Cairo: Sharikat Maktabat wa Maṭba'at Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī wa Awlādih, n.d.), 2. In the edition of Beirut by Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmīyah, the isnad is "(akhbarana) 'Abd 'Allah al-Thiqah ibn al-Ma'mun al-Harawi qala akhbarana" It seems that this difference is due to a misprint. See al-Fīruzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 2.

^{2.} Some sayings of Ibn 'Abbās are said to go back directly to him without mention of the transmitters from whom the sayings are obtained. When this occurs, the work usually states, "wa bi isnādihi 'an Ibn 'Abbās fi qawlihi ta'ālā" (in 105 sūrahs), "wa bi isnādihi 'an Ibn 'Abbās fi qawli al-bārī jalla dhikruhu" (in 2 sūrahs), "wa bi isnādihi 'an Ibn 'Abbās fi qawlihi jalla dhikruhu" (in 3 sūrahs), or "wa bi isnādihi 'an Ibn 'Abbās raḍiya Allāh 'anhuma fi qawlihi jalla dhikruhu" (in sūrat al-Muddaththir).

most likely explanation for the brevity of the *isnād* is that it was the compiler's policy to cut the *isnād* short if the *isnād* of a given *sūrah* was exactly the same as that of the previous one. In such cases the work simply repeats the last transmitter who is Ibn 'Abbās. Thus, the *isnād* for the interpretation of *al-Fātiḥah* is the same as that for the *basmalah*, whereas the *isnāds* for *sūrahs* after *al-Baqarah* are similar to the one provided in the latter.

The verses are divided into units containing either word(s) or phrase(s) that are placed between brackets. Needless to say, not a single word from the Qur'ān is left out. Although sometimes some words that are supposed to be in the brackets are not put there, or vice versa, these instances seem to be unintentional misprints, because in other editions they are appropriately quoted. In addition, it is interesting to note that the words in brackets are not necessarily taken from a single Qur'ānic verse. Indeed, in some places, brackets contain the last word of a verse and some words of the next verse. Some of them are separated by a dot, while others are not.⁸ Moreover, as pointed out earlier, it is seldom clear where a verse ends or to which verse a word/phrase belongs, because the work does not indicate the numbers of verses or identify them by any other means. Therefore, it is difficult for a reader to pinpoint the

^{3.} In al-Baqarah, a long chain is quoted which runs as follows: "wa bi isnadihi 'an 'Abd Allah ibn al-Mubarak, qala ḥaddathana 'Alī ibn Isḥaq al-Samarqandi 'an Muḥammad ibn Marwan 'an al-Kalbī 'an Abī Ṣaliḥ 'an Ibn 'Abbas..." See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwīr al-Miqbas, 3.

These isnads will be examined in the next part.

In addition, it is a fact that a part of this, in the text, the short isnād is mentioned four times. See al-Fīrūzābādī, *Tanwir al-Miqbās*, 186, 208, 245 and 338.

interpretation of the verse he or she is looking for without reading much of what comes before or after it. This manner of presentation suggests at least two explanations, and both are possibly correct. First, it may indicate that the work is genuinely based on the Qur'anic interpretation of Ibn 'Abbas, in view of the fact that in the early years of Islam the convention of including a sign where a verse ends had not yet been introduced, because as Arabs they immediately understood what they were reading. The second possible explanation is that the compiler simply combined reports of Ibn 'Abbas without editing them then or otherwise setting them in context.

Following the mention of words or phrases, an elucidation of their meaning always ensues. In terms of the commentary itself, one finds that the work does not devote separate sections to each aspect of the text, but discusses them together. Unlike the work of al-Ṭabarsī (d. 548/1153)¹⁰ for example, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* does not discuss the meaning of words (philology), syntax, and the exegesis of the passage in separate sections. Moreover, interpretation varies a great deal from one verse to another in terms of length and depth. Sometimes, for instance, it is limited to the mention of synonyms while at other times a

⁸ For example, brackets (baṣir̄. ayawaddu alṭadukum) contains the last word of verse 265 and a phrase from verse 266. See al-Firūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 38.

⁹ For a deeper discussion, see, for example, A. F. L. Beeston, "Background Topics," in Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period, edited by A. F. L. Beeston, et al. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 10-15; Yahya Wahib al-Jubori, Script and Writing in the Arab Civilization (Beirut: Dar al-Gharb al-Islami, 1994), 31-75.

^{10 &#}x27;Alī al-Faḍl ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ṭabarsī, Majma' al-Bayan fī Tafsīr al-Qur'an, 5 vols. (Qum, Iran: Maktabat Āyat Allāh al-'Uzmā al-Mar'ashī al-Najafī, [1983]).

detailed description of the occasion of revelation is given, the people that the verse refers to, its relation to other verses, etc.¹¹ In almost all cases, the explanations given for the same words in the text are repeated.¹² Sometimes also, after mentioning synonyms or providing a short explanation, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* delves into the meaning of the whole verse, as in the interpretation of verse 265 of *al-Baqarah*.¹³ In most cases, words and phrases are interpreted literally, but sometimes explanation of the symbolic meaning of certain words is provided.¹⁴ In addition, in some places, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* also records variant readings of word(s) and its/their meaning.¹⁵

Legal issues are likewise dealt with, particularly in connection with verses that touch on abrogation (nasikh wa mansukh) and the occasions of revelation (asbāb al-nuzul). Unfortunately, Tanwir al-Miqbās does not devote a special section to the issue of abrogation itself, but deals with it, inter alia, when interpreting the

¹¹ Cases in point are: "(wa idhā khalaw) raja'u" verse 30, "(...) nuzzilat hādhihi al-āyah fī Ānās min ahli al-Yaman ..." verse 197, and "(...) ... thumma dhukira alladhina āmanu faqala (...) " after verse 81 of al-Baqarah. See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 6, 27 and 12.

¹² For example, the words "believe" (ānanu) and "disbelieve" (kafaru) most often have for their object Muḥammad and the Qur'ān, as in verses 26, 39 and 61 of al-Baqarah, except for verses 161 (kafaru), 218 and 282 (both containing ānanu) in the same sūrah which refer to God and His Messenger (Muḥammad). See al-Firuzābādi, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 6, 7, 10, 22, 30, and 40.

¹³ Al-Firūzābādi, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 38.

¹⁴ For example, in interpretation of the verse "anzala min al-samā' mā" in verse 17 of al-Ra'd, the work explains that this phrase refers to Gabriel who revealed the Qur'an. Another example is in verse 4 of al-Muddaththir where the work interprets "wa thiyābaka faṭahhir" by referring to heart which is prevented from deception and anger. See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 207 and 491.

¹⁵ Examples of this case are interpretation of verse 112 of al-Ma'idalı and that of 23 of Yusuf. See al-Firuzabadi, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 104 and 195.

verse as a whole. For instance, it is mentioned briefly that a given verse under discussion abrogates another or is abrogated by another. Sometimes verses abrogated in another place are mentioned. Similarly, Tanwir al-Miqbās often alludes to the asbāb al-nuzul rather briefly, mentioning only the names of the people to whom the verses were addressed. Due to this method of interpretation, the explanations provided are very specific. Instead of going into the deeper meanings implied in a verse and in contrast to the precept al-'ibrah bi 'umum al-lafz lā bi khuṣuṣ al-sabab, Tanwir al-Miqbās limits its explanation to a particular occasion of revelation or to the people addressed when a given verse was revealed.

¹⁶ Al-Firuzābādi, Tanwir al-Migbas, 25 and 299.

The verse 180 of al-Bagarah is:

[&]quot;It is prescribed, when death approaches any of you, if he leave any goods, that he make a bequest to parents and next of kin. According to reasonable usage; This is due from the Godfearing."

This verse was abrogated by verses explaining shares of the inheritance.

The verse 61 of al-Nur is:

[&]quot;It is no fault in the blind nor in one born lame, nor in one afflicted with illness, nor in yourselves, that ye should eat in your own houses, or those of your fathers, or your mothers, or your brothers or your sisters, or your father's brothers or your father's sisters, or your mother's brothers, or your mother's sisters, or in houses of which the keys are in your possession, or in the house of a sincere friend of yours: there is no blame on you, whether ye eat in company or separately."

This verse was revealed because Muslims were afraid of being together with orphans and then took orphans' property. God says in verse 188 of al-Bagarah:

[&]quot;And do not eat up your property among yourselves for vanities, nor use it as bait for the judges, with intent that ye may eat up wrongfully and knowingly a little of (other) people's property."

¹⁷ For example, it is mentioned that verse 207 is addressed to Suhayb ibn Sinān and his friend who sold themselves for money. See al-Fīrūzābādī, *Tanwīr al-Miqbās*, 28. See also example in note 17.

^{18 &#}x27;Abd al-'Aziz, Dirasat fi 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 80-82.

¹⁹ See, for example, interpretation of verse 1 of al-Ra'd. This verse was addressed to the Meccan people. See al-Firūzābādī, *Tanwīr al-Miqbās*, 205.

Judging by all these pieces of evidence, the work, as it appears in all editions, does not seem to have been written in a systematic manner. Concerning the way verses are presented, one may safely declare that Tanwir al-Migbas is a seriatim commentary, i.e. it interprets the Qur'an word by word following the order of verses. However, none of this is conclusive proof that the interpretation is truly from Ibn 'Abbas. As was pointed out earlier, both Ibn Sa'd and al-Baladhuri cite a hadith stating that Ibn 'Abbas interpreted the Qur'an verse by verse and letter by letter,20 but this same hadith refers only to an incident when Ibn 'Abbas interpreted a surah in Basra. A possible explanation for this is that Tanwir al-Migbās is a collection of reports from Ibn 'Abbās's lectures on Qur'ānic exegesis delivered in Mecca as discussed before. From one day to another he taught interpretation of the Qur'an following the order of verses in the Qur'an. Moreover, when reading the work, one finds that the Qur'an is indeed interpreted word by word or phrase by phrase, but not letter by letter. In addition, instead of quoting a single full verse before giving its interpretation, which is characteristic of tafsir musalsal, Tanwir al-Migbas first quotes word(s) or phrase(s) and immediately provides an interpretation.

Moreover, concerning its overall methodology, it can be said that *Tanwir* al-Miqbās employs a philological approach. However, the latter is applied in a very simple way. One example of this is its tendency to quote other Qur'ānic

²⁰ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 367; al-Balādhurī, Ansāb al-Ashrāf, 34. In the ḥadīth mentioned by Ibn Sa'd, the sūrāh interpreted letter by letter was al-Baqarah.

verses in order to explain the verse under discussion. However, this method is used in a very limited sense. For unlike Bint al-Shāṭī's exegesis, for example, where in order to discover the correct meaning of a particular word other Qur'ānic verses containing the same word or its derivatives are cited,²¹ Tanwir al-Miqbās refers to verses only when it discusses how a particular verse is abrogated by another (nāsikh wa mansukh), or when one verse was revealed because of another (asbāb al-nuzul), as explained before.

Moreover, there are, surprisingly, only four instances of commentary of the type known as "gharib al-Qur'an." They are "al-firdaws" (in al-Mu'minun 11), "tur" (in al-Mu'minun 20 and al-Tur 1), and "yasin" (in Yasin 1).²² The explanation offered for the first two words accords with what al-Suyūṭī says in his al-Itqan. However, as regards the third, Tanwir al-Miqbas states that the word is from Syriac (Suryāniyah), whereas al-Suyūṭī cites a report, surprisingly from Ibn 'Abbās, saying that the word is from Ethiopic (al-Habshiyah).²³

Indeed, in considering the points mentioned above, it can be said that Tanwir al-Miqbas portrays an early stage in the development of the science of

²¹ 'Ā'ishah 'Abd al-Raḥmān (Bint al-Shāṭī'), Al-Taſsɨr al-Bayani li al-Qur'ān al-Karim, 2 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1962-1969). See also 'Ā'ishah 'Abd al-Raḥmān (Bint al-Shāṭī'), Muqaddimah fī al-Manhaj (Cairo: Ma'had al-Buḥuth wa al-Dirāsāt al-'Arabīyah, 1971), 129-138; Issa J. Boullata, "Modern Qur'ānic Exegesis; a Study of Bint al-Shāṭī''s Method," Muslim World 64 (1974), 104-105.

²² Al-Firūzābādī, Tanwīr al-Miqbās, 285, 369 and 443. However, it is worth noting that explanations of the word "al-ṭūr" in these two places is given differently. First, it is cited that the word is from Ethiopic (al-Ḥabshīyah), whereas in the other explanation it is from Syriac (Suryānīyah).

²³ Al-Suyūṭi, Al-Itqān, vol. 2, 105-120.

exegesis. Mir states that questions concerning vocabulary and syntax were a common feature of tafsir in its early development. Furthermore, he asserts that selectivity and brevity, in the sense that only certain words or phrases in certain verses are explained, are characteristics of Ibn 'Abbās's tafsir. Nevertheless, Tanwir al-Miqbas does not exhibit the first characteristic set down by Mir. In other words, Tanwir al-Miqbas fulfills the requirement of brevity but not that of selection. For this reason and for others (it is after all unlikely that Ibn 'Abbās wrote a comprehensive tafsir of the Qur'ān), it is more probable that the interpretation is based on reports attributed to Ibn 'Abbās, but it was compiled by another scholar at a later date.

The Qur'anic commentary that is perhaps the most akin to Tanwir al-Miqbās in terms of technical presentation is Tafsīr al-Jalālayn of Jalāl al-Dīn al-Maḥallī (791/1389-864/1459) and Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī (849/1445-911/1505). Like Tafsīr al-Jalālayn, Tanwīr al-Miqbās relies on brevity in its interpretation. Moreover, due to this brevity, both works occupy only one volume each. However, Tanwīr al-Miqbās mentions the chains of transmitters of its reports, even though only once for each sūrah, while Tafsīr al-Jalālayn does not. Another difference is that, in the case of al-Baqarah as an example, Tanwīr al-Miqbās presents various opinions concerning the "mysterious letters," whereas Tafsīr al-

²⁴ Mustansir Mir, "Tafsir," in *The Oxford Encyclopedia of the Modern Islamic World*, edited by John L. Esposito, vol. 4 (New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995), 170.

²⁵ Mir, "Tafsir," 172.

Jalalayn does not. It simply states that only God knows what He meant by them.²⁶

In terms of whether the commentary may be classified as $ta'wi\bar{l}$ or $tafsi\bar{r}$, one can confidently define $Tanwi\bar{r}$ al- $Miqba\bar{s}$ as a $tafsi\bar{r}$ based on some scholars' categorizations. First, of the eight criteria of $tafsi\bar{r}$ proposed by al-Zarkashi, 27 $Tanwi\bar{r}$ al- $Miqba\bar{s}$ fulfills four of them, namely, the illumination of the various meanings of a Qur'ānic verse, the elucidation of the occasion or reason for the revelation of a verse, the verse's position in the $su\bar{r}ahs$ to which it belongs, the mention of the place of revelation (Mecca or Medina) as well as discussion of the issue of abrogation. This opinion accords with Ayoub's, al-'Akk's and al-Jubūri's modern classifications, 28 because the exegesis seeks to discover the exoteric meaning of the Qur'ān. Unlike the Qur'ānic commentaries written by mystics who interpret the Qur'ān in an allegorical manner, $Tanwi\bar{r}$ al- $Miqba\bar{s}$ tends to favor a more literal interpretation of the sacred book. Classifying this work as a $tafsi\bar{r}$ also agrees with Rippin's classification. 29 This is due to the fact that the

²⁶ Jalāl al-Dīn al-Maḥallī and Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī, Tafstr al-Jalālayn, edited by Muṣṭafā Qaṣṣāṣ (Beirut: Dār al-ʿIlm lī al-Malāyīn, 1990), 18. Meanwhile in interpreting the first verse of al-Baqarah (Alif Lām Mīm), Tanwir al-Miqbās states that there are a number of opinions concerning these letters. They are: that alif stands for Allāh, lām for Gabriel, and mīm for Muḥammad; that alif represents His blessings (alaʾuh), lām His grace (luṭfuh), and mīm His power/ supreme authority (mulkuh); that alif represents the first letter of His name, Allāh, lām the quality of grace (luṭf), and mīm the glory of God (majd); and finally that only God knows best (anā Allāh aʾlam). See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 3.

²⁷ Al-Zarkashi, Al-Burhan, vol. 2, 162-164.

²⁸ Mahmoud Ayoub, The Qur'an and Its Interpreters, vol. 1 (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1984), 21; al-'Akk, Uṣul al-Tafsir, 22-24; al-Jubūri, Dirāsāt fi al-Tafsir, 13-19.

work is based not on reason, personal opinion, individual research or expertise, but on *ḥadith*s transmitted through chains of authorities stretching all the way back to Ibn 'Abbās.³⁰ Therefore, the work can be classified as a *tafsir*, even though these scholars propose different criteria for what may be termed thus.

However, in 'Abd al-'Azīz's criteria,³¹ Tanwir al-Miqbās can be regarded as ta'wil as well as tafsir, because not only does it contain explanations of words in the Qur'ān, but also of verses as a whole, even though it does not go deeply into divine issues to a large extent. However, in reading the work, it becomes obvious that the nature of tafsir is more obvious than that of ta'wil, because the exegesis is dominated by literal explanation. Consequently, one may state that the work's methodology reflects its title.

B. Sources of Interpretation

As discussed earlier, the most obvious sources employed in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* are the Qur'ān itself and reports from Companions of Muḥammad through chains of transmitters that all go back to Ibn 'Abbās. Regarding the classification of *tafsīr* based on its sources of interpretation introduced by Ibn Taymīyah (1263-1328), it is right to say that *Tanwīr al-Miqbās* is an example of *tafsīr* of the Qur'ān based on the Qur'ān as well as on reports from the

²⁹ Andrew Rippin, "Tafsīr," in *The Encyclopedia of Religion*, edited by Mircea Eliade, vol. 14 (New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1987), 236.

³⁰ See also Ayoub, The Qur'an and Its Interpreters, 24.

^{31 &#}x27;Abd al-'Aziz, Dirāsāt fi 'Ulum al-Qur'an, 141-144.

Companions of Muḥammad.³² Al-'Akk emphasizes that this work is a collection of reports of Muḥammad ibn al-Sā'ib al-Kalbī from Ibn 'Abbās, a cousin of the Prophet and one of his Companions.³³ Furthermore, according to al-Sāliḥ's categorization,³⁴ the work can be classified as *tafsir bi al-riwāyah*, because it constructs its interpretation on the Qur'ān (*tafsir al-Qur'ān bi al-Qur'ān*). This type of Qur'ānic commentary is the highest class of *tafsir*, according to Ibn Taymīyah and Yusuf.³⁵ However, as shown above, cross-references to Qur'ānic verses and *ḥadīth* are presented in a very simple way.

To demonstrate the role of *ḥadith*s in the works, these will be investigated by looking at the transmitters, who are ten,³⁶ in total, and three technical terms used in the *isnāds*. Unfortunately, only five of these can be presented here because the other five in the beginning, namely 'Abd Allāh al-Thiqah ibn al-Ma'mūr al-Harawī, al-Ma'mūr al-Harawī, Abū 'Abd Allāh, Abū 'Ubayd Allāh Maḥmūd ibn Muḥammad al-Rāzī and 'Ammār ibn 'Abd al-Majīd al-Harawī, cannot be traced in biographical works.

³² Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalim ibn Taymiyah, Muqaddimah fi Uṣul al-Tafsir, edited by 'Adnān Zarzūr (Kuwait: Dār al-Qur'ān al-Karim, 1971), 93-112. See also Muḥsin 'Abd al-Ḥamid, Dirāsāt fi Usul Tafsir al-Qur'ān (Baghdad: Maṭba'at al-Waṭan al-'Arabī, 1980), 95-120.

³³ Al-'Akk, *Uṣul al-Taṣsir*, 227. See also Lichtenstadter, "Quran and Quran Exegesis," 11; Ayoub, *The Qur'an and Its Interpreters*, 28.

³⁴ Ṣubḥī al-Ṣāliḥ, 'Ulum al-Ḥadith wa Muṣṭalaḥuh, 4th edition (Beirut: Dār al-'Ilm li al-Malāyīn, 1966), 107.

³⁵ Ibn Taymiyah, Muqaddimah, 93; Badmas 'Lanre Yusuf, "Evolution and Development of Tafsir," Islamic Quarterly 38 (1994), 36-37.

³⁶ See note 8.

1. Abū Sālih

He was born Bādhām (also called "Bādhān") Abū Ṣāliḥ (d. 719 A.D), a slave of Umm Hānī bint Abī Ṭālib.³ He was also called "Bādhām Durū'zan.³ Biographers agree that he transmitted hadīth from Ibn 'Abbās, and that he was one of those from whom al-Kalbī transmitted hadīth.³ Moreover, al-Mizzī (654/1256-742/1341) reports Abū Aḥmad ibn 'Adī's opinion saying that Abū Ṣāliḥ's reports in taſsīr were among the most revered among his contemporaries. However, most scholars of hadīth, except al-'Ijlī, consider his reports weak and untrustworthy. Ibn Ḥibbān even specifies the reason for this by saying that Abū Ṣāliḥ did not meet Ibn 'Abbās,41 whereas al-Sājī adds by saying that he was a Shi'ite.42

2. Al-Kalbi

He was Muḥammad ibn Malik ibn al-Sa'ib ibn Bishr ibn 'Amr ibn al-Ḥarith ibn 'Abd al-'Arabi ibn Umru' ibn 'Āmir ibn Nu'man ibn 'Āmir ibn

³⁷ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqat al-Kubra, vol. 5, 302; Yūsuf ibn al-Zakī 'Abd Raḥmān al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamal fī Asma' al-Rijal, edited by Bashshār 'Awwād Ma'rūf, 4th edition, 35 vols., (Beirut Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 1980-1992), 4: 6; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 1, 416.

³⁸ Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Du'afā' al-Ṣaghir (Beirut: 'Ālam al-Kutub, 1984), 48.

³⁹ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqat al-Kubra, vol. 5, 302; al-Mizzi, Talıdlıib al-Kamal, vol. 4, 6; Ibn Ḥajar, Talıdlıib al-Talıdlıib, vol. 1, 416; Ibn Ḥajar, Talıdlıib al-Talıdlıib, vol. 5, 277.

⁴⁰ Al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 4, 7. See also al-Tha'labī, Qur'anic Commentary, 26-27; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 377.

⁴¹ Al-Bukhārī, Kitab al-Du'afa al-Ṣaghir, 48; Al-Mizzī, Tahdhīb al-Kamal, vol. 4, 7; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 1, 416-417.

⁴² Ibn Hajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 9, 180-181.

'Abdūd ibn 'Awf ibn Kinānah ibn 'Udhrah ibn Zayd al-Lāt ibn Rufaydah ibn Kalb, who died in Kūfah in 146/763.⁴³ His expertise was in tafsīr, akhbār, ayyām and ansāb.⁴⁴ Scholars agree that he was a transmitter of tafsīr from Ibn 'Abbās through Abū Ṣāliḥ.⁴⁵ In general, scholars hold him in little esteem, asserting for example that al-Kalbī was one of the two liars in Kūfah.⁴⁶ Furthermore, the hadīths passed on by him are regarded by scholars as weak and unreliable, as reported by Ibn Ḥajar, and particularly those from Abū Ṣāliḥ.⁴⁷ Scholars in hadīth provide various reasons for considering al-Kalbī's reports as weak. Some maintain that he was forgetful, whereas some consider him weak due to the fact that he is considered to be an unbeliever (kāfir) or that he admitting being a Saba'ī (a follower of 'Abd Allāh ibn Saba'), ⁴⁸ and a member of the Murji'ah.⁴⁹ In addition, it is reported that al-Kalbī as well as Abū Ṣāliḥ admitted that they did

⁴³ Ibn al-Nadīm, Kitāb al-Fihrist, 95; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-A'yān wa Anba' Abna' al-Zamān, edited by Iḥsān 'Abbās. 8 vols. (Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1977-1978), 4: 311; Al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 25, 252; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 9, 180; Ṭāshkubrīzādah, Miftāḥ al-Sa'ādah, 402; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 2, 333.

⁴⁴ Ibn al-Nadim, Kitāb al-Fihrist, 95; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-A'yān, vol. 4, 309-310; Tāshkubrīzādah, Miftāh al-Sa'ādah, 402. See also Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 58-67.

⁴⁵ Al-Tha'labī, Qur'anic Commentary, 18; al-Mizzī, Talıdlıib al-Kamal, vol. 25, 247; Ibn Ḥajar, Talıdlıib al-Talıdlıib, vol. 1, 416-417; Ibn Ḥajar, Talıdlıib al-Talıdlıib, vol. 9, 178.

⁴⁶ Al-Mizzī, *Tahdhib al-Kamal*, vol. 25, 248; Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*, vol. 9, 178. The other liar was Muḥammad ibn Marwān.

⁴⁷ Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 9, 178-181.

⁴⁸ Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-A'yān, vol. 4, 310; Ṭāshkubrīzādah, Miftāḥ al-Sa'ādah, 402. See also M. G. S. Hodgson, "'Abd Allāh b. Saba'," in *The Encylopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by H. A. R. Gibb, et al., vol. 1 (Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1960), 51; Hassan Ibrahim Hassan, "Aspects of Shī'ah History," Muslim World 47 (1957), 271-272.

addition, it is reported that al-Kalbi as well as Abū Sālih admitted that they did not transmit hadiths from each other. Abu Salih even told al-Kalbi once that everything that emerged from his mouth was a lie. 50 On the other hand, al-Kalbi said, on the authority of Abū 'Āṣim al-Nabīl who took it from Sufyān al-Thawrī (97/715-161/778), that he for his part did not transmit hadith taken by Abū Sālih from Ibn 'Abbas because Abu Ṣaliḥ was a liar.51 Scholars in 'ilm al-ḥadith generally tend to ignore al-Kalbi's reports, for they do not consider them valid and suspect their fabrication.⁵² Moreover, Yāqūt (d. 626/1228) reports that al-Tabari (224/838-310/923) never used any material reported by Muhammad ibn al-Sā'ib al-Kalbī, Muqātil ibn Sulaymān (d. 150/767), or Muḥammad ibn 'Umar al-Waqidi in his Tafsir, but only in his Tarikh, because he regarded them as unreliable.⁵³ The only kind word in his favor is given by Hishām ibn Muḥammad (d. 204 A.H.), his son, who said that his father had the best memory among his contemporaries.54

⁴⁹ Faruqi also reports that al-Kalbī was a Shī'ite, anti-Umayyad in his political view. See Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 59.

⁵⁰ Al-Bukhārī, Kitab al-Du'afa' al-Ṣaghir, 209.

⁵¹ Al-Mizzī, *Tahdhib al-Kamal*, vol. 25, 249-250; Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*, vol. 9, 179-180.

 $^{^{52}}$ Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunun, vol. 2, 333. See also al-'Akk, Uṣul al-Tafsir, 227.

⁵³ Yāqūt ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥamawi, Mu'jam al-Udaba', 2nd edition, 20 vols. (Cairo: Dār al-Ma'mūn, 1936-1938), 18: 64-65. See also Muḥammad ibn Jarir al-Ṭabari, Jāmi' al-Bayān fī Tafsir al-Qur'ān, 30 vols. (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1986-1987); al-Ṭabari, Tārikh al-Ṭabari; Gätje, The Qur'ān and its Exegesis, 34; Newby, "Tafsir Isra'iliyat," 689.

⁵⁴ Ibn al-Nadim, Kitāb al-Fihrist, 95.

Furthermore, al-Mizzi and Ibn Hajar both report that Muhammad ibn Marwan (d. 189/804) was one of those who transmitted hadith from al-Kalbi.55 However, Hājii Khalifah, al-Mizzi, Ibn 'Āshūr and Husayn al-Dhahabi assert that among the chains of transmission claiming descent from Ibn 'Abbas, the chain which was transmitted by Muhammad ibn Marwan al-Suddi al-Saghir from al-Kalbi from Abū Salih was the "chain of falsehood" (silsilat al-kadhib).56 Unfortunately, this chain is one that is used in Tanwir al-Mighās. However, this chain is better than the chain from Muqatil ibn Sulayman ibn Bishr al-Azdi, due to Muqatil's sectarian tendencies, as well as that from al-Dahhak ibn Muzahim al-Kūfi (d. 106/724), since al-Daḥḥāk did not even meet Ibn 'Abbās.57 Moreover, another line of transmission has it that Ibn al-Mubarak transmitted hadith from al-Kalbi. It is on this chain that the interpretation of surat al-Bagarah in Tanwir al-Migbas is based. 58 And both Ibn Hajar and al-Mizzi confirm that Ibn al-Mubarak was in fact one of those who transmitted from al-Kalbi⁵⁹

⁵⁵ Al-Mizzī, Talıdhib al-Kamal, vol. 25, 247; Ibn Ḥajar, Talıdhib al-Talıdhib, vol. 9, 178.

⁵⁶ Al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 25, 252; Ṭāshkubrīzādah, Miftaḥ al-Sa'adah, 402; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Ṭunun, vol. 2, 333; Ibn 'Āshūr, Al-Tafsir wa Rijaluh, 18-19; Ḥusayn al-Dhahabi, Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun, vol. 1, 81. See also Newby, "Tafsir Isra'iliyat," 689. However, Ibn 'Āshūr remains that this does not mean that the weakness is from Ibn 'Abbās.

⁵⁷ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 6, 301; al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamāl, vol. 25, 251; Ḥājjī Khalīfah, Kashf al-Zunūn, vol. 2, 333-334.

⁵⁸ Al-Firūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 3.

⁵⁹ Al-Mizzi, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 25, 247; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 9, 178.

NOTE TO USERS

Page(s) not included in the original manuscript are unavailable from the author or university. The manuscript was microfilmed as received.

This reproduction is the best copy available.

UMI

Mubārak.⁶⁵ Information in the biography of Muḥammad ibn Marwān, reporting that 'Alī ibn Isḥāq was not one of his transmitters (as pointed out earlier), agrees with what is stated in Ibn Ḥajar's biography of 'Alī, where it is not explicitly said that Muḥammad ibn Marwān was one from whom 'Alī transmitted. However, it is not impossible that he was a transmitter, because Ibn Ḥajar does not list all of them. Thus, it is possible that Muḥammad ibn Marwān was one of those whom Ibn Ḥajar includes in the "jamā ah."⁶⁶ On the other hand, al-Mizzī mentions explicitly that Muḥammad ibn Marwān was one of those from whom 'Alī ibn Isḥāq transmitted.⁶⁷ Furthermore, al-Mizzī and Ibn Ḥajar do not cite 'Ammār ibn 'Abd al-Majīd explicitly as one of his transmitters, even though it is possible that this name is among those whom Ibn Ḥajar refers to as "ghayrihim."⁶⁸ However, in neither of these works can a biography of 'Ammār ibn 'Abd al-Majīd be found.

Scholars are of various opinions regarding the reliability of 'Alī ibn Isḥāq as a transmitter of ḥadith. Abū Ḥātim, for example asserts that he was truthful, which in 'ilm al-ḥadith means just and fair ('adil') but less accurate (ḍabiṭ), whereas al-Dāraquṭnī (306-385 A.H.), Ibn Ḥajar reports, maintains that he was trustworthy (ḍābiṭ and 'ādil).69

⁶⁵ Al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 20, 320; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 7, 283.

⁶⁶ Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 7, 283.

⁶⁷ Al-Mizzi, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 20, 320.

⁶⁸ Al-Mizzi, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 20, 320; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 7, 283.

⁶⁹ Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 7, 283.

5. Ibn al-Mubārak

'Abd Allah ibn al-Mubarak ibn Wadih al-Khanzali al-Tamimi was a slave of Abū 'Abd al-Rahmān al-Marwazi.70 He was born in 118/736, whereas his date of death was 181/797, in his 63rd year. 71 Unlike al-Mizzi, who mentions 'Ali in the list of those who transmitted from Ibn al-Mubarak, Ibn Hajar does not mention that 'Ali was one of those from who he transmitted, whereas both biographers agree not to include Abū 'Ubayd Allah Mahmūd ibn Muhammad al-Razi in either of the lists of his transmitters as well as from whom he transmitted.72 However, it is not a certainty, because in the end, Ibn Hajar writes "wa khalq kathir" and "wa ghayrihim" when he lists Ibn al-Mubarak's transmitters and his masters. Unfortunately, a biography of Abū 'Ubayd Allāh Maḥmūd ibn Muḥammad al-Rāzi, as mentioned above, cannot be traced in biographical works. In addition, al-Mizzi's report seems reasonable. Instead of Ibn al-Mubarak having reported hadith from 'Ali, it is possible that the chain goes in the opposite way ('Ali from Ibn al-Mubarak), because in view of their respective ages, Ibn al-Mubarak had been around much earlier than 'Ali.

Unlike the transmitters discussed previously, Ibn al-Mubarak was regarded as a reliable and trusted transmitter, and was even considered one of

⁷⁰ Al-Mizzī, *Tahdhib al-Kamal*, vol. 16, 5-6; Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*, vol. 5, 382. See also Ibn Khallikān, *Wafayāt al-A'yān*, vol. 3, 32; Ibn Ḥajar, *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*, vol. 12, 309.

⁷¹ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 7, 372; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-A'yān, vol. 3, 34; al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamāl, vol. 16, 23-25; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 5, 386.

⁷² Al-Mizzi, Talıdhib al-Kamal, vol. 16, 6-14; Ibn Hajar, Talıdhib al-Talıdhib, vol. 5, 382.

four prominent scholars in his time, according to Muḥammad ibn 'Īsā.73 Scholars also agree that he excelled in Islamic law, literature, grammar, linguistics, poetry, rhetoric, mysticism and other fields of Islamic knowledge.74

By analyzing the brief biographies of the five transmitters given above, we can see that their hadith may be considered weak and untrustworthy in its isnad, based on al-Ṣāliḥ's and al-Ṭaḥḥān's criteria of untrustworthy hadith (hadith hadith). The reasons for this are:

- 1. All transmitters discussed above except Ibn al-Mubārak were considered unreliable in transmitting *ḥadith* for various reasons (*munkar* and *matruk*).⁷⁶
- 2. Whereas other scholars consider *ḥadith* from Abū Ṣāliḥ weak, Ibn Ḥibbān specifies that Abū Ṣāliḥ did not meet Ibn 'Abbās (*munqati*').⁷⁷
- 3. Even though Abū Ṣāliḥ and al-Kalbī are reported as living in the same period and that they likely met each other, they admitted that they did not transmit hadīth to each other (mudallas).78

⁷³ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqat al-Kubra, vol. 7, 372; al-Mizzi, Tahdhib al-Kamal, vol. 16, 14-24; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 5, 384 and 386.

⁷⁴ Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 7, 372; Ibn Khallikān, Wafayāt al-A'yān, vol. 3, 32; al-Mizzī, Tahdhib al-Kamāl, vol. 16, 18; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhib al-Tahdhib, vol. 5, 384-387.

⁷⁵ Al-Ṣāliḥ, 'Ulum al-Ḥadith, 165-207; Maḥmūd Ṭaḥḥān, Taysir Muṣṭalaḥ al-Ḥadith, 5th edition (Riyad: Maktabat al-Rushd, 1983), 62-87.

⁷⁶ See also 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥusayn al-Trāqi, Al-Taqyid wa al-Ḥah: Sharḥ Muqaddimah Ibn al-Ṣalaḥ, edited by 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad 'Uthmān (n.p.: Dār al-Fikr, 1981), 160.

⁷⁷ See also al-'Irāqi, Al-Taqyid wa al-Iḍaḥ, 76.

⁷⁸ See also al-'Irāqi, Al-Taqyid wa al-Iḍaḥ, 95.

NOTE TO USERS

Page(s) not included in the original manuscript are unavailable from the author or university. The manuscript was microfilmed as received.

This reproduction is the best copy available.

UMI

shortening the *isnād* in this way was perhaps to save space and time and to avoid repetition from previous long *isnāds*. Regardless of the truth of this, it is right to say that the *ḥadiths* used in *Tanwīr al-Miqbās* are weak.

However, it is worth noting that even though the chains of transmission used in Tanwir al-Miqbās are weak, this does not mean that all of its interpretation is wrong or false. Compared to Ibn 'Abbās's interpretation of some of the words of Qur'ānic verses on the authority of Ibn Abī Ṭalḥah (d. 143 A.H.), which is regarded as the best path among the chains of transmissions from Ibn 'Abbās, and quoted by al-Bukhārī in his Ṣaḥāḥ, summarized by al-Suyūṭī in his al-Itqān,84 there are some explanations that are similar. This fact agrees with al-'Akk's opinion stating that part of the content of Tanwir al-Miqbās, which he calls Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās, is true, but another is not.85 In addition, it is hard to say that the interpretation of Tanwir al-Miqbās is not valid, because to prove this opinion, deeper and more detailed research must be done, including a comparative study of other tafsīrs attributed to Ibn 'Abbās.86

Another source used in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is Arabic poetry. In contrast to reports saying that Ibn 'Abbās quoted a considerable amount of Arabic poetry to support his explanation, in particular obscure words, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* contains

⁸⁴ Al-Suyūṭi, Al-Itqān, vol. 2, 3-54. See also Muḥammad ibn Ismā'il al-Bukhāri, Ṣaḥiḥ al-Bukhāri, vol. 6 (Cairo: Maktabat'Abd al-Ḥamid Aḥmad Ḥanafi, n.d.), 16-181.

⁸⁵ Al-'Akk, Uşul al-Tafsir, 227.

⁸⁶ This idea is also proposed by Versteegh. See Versteegh, Arabic Grammar, 61-62.

only three verses of Arabic poetry to support its interpretation. They are: interpretation of the word "al-ithm" in verse 33 of al-A'rāf 87 (two verses) and that of "hāmīm" in verse 1 of al-Zukhruf. 88 Unfortunately, due to the lack of editorial notes, the poets who composed them are not mentioned. Moreover, these two words are not found among those words which are supported by Arabic poetry listed in the works of al-Ṭistī, al-Bukhārī, al-Anbārī (271/884-328/939), Bint al-Shāṭī' and al-Sāmirrā'ī.89 These two poems are also not found in references to Arabic poetry and two other Qur'ānic commentaries that are famous for containing such information on which research has been done.90 However, this does not mean support for Jones's assertion that referring to Arabic poetry was used as evidence in only a few of Qur'ānic verses, because there is still a possibility of that Tanwīr al-Miqbās is an "augmented" work, as Goldfeld asserts.91

The last source used in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is *isrā ilīyāt*. This information is found in detailed explanation concerning stories of prophets, the creation of the universe and stories of peoples before Muḥammad. Two examples are the story

⁸⁷ Al-Firuzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 126.

⁸⁸ Al-Firuzabadi, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 411.

⁸⁹ Masa'il al-Imām al-Ṭisti, vol. 1, 107-113; Masa'il al-Imām al-Ṭisti, vol. 2, 66-67; al-Bukhārī, Mu'jam Gharib al-Qur'ān, 234-292; al-Anbārī, Kitāb l̄dal,, 76-98; Bint al-Shāṭī', al-l'jāz al-Bayāni, 287-603; al-Sāmirrā'ī, Su'ālāt Nāfi' ibn al-Azraq. See also al-Suyūṭī, Al-Itqān, vol. 2, 55-88; Boullata, "Poetry Citation," 27-40.

⁹⁰ For example al-Ṭabarī, Jāmi' al-Bayān; al-Zamakhsharī, Al-Kashshaf; Yāqūt, Mu'jam al-Udaba'.

of Yūsuf when he was sold as a slave and an instruction to the Banī Isra to strike with a part of a cow's body a man who was dead in order to bring him back to life. It is true that this source is not reliable, and is rejected by scholars. However, we cannot abandon this source entirely and without acknowledging its merits, because it is a fact that some other Qur'ānic commentaries also quote this information in their interpretation, as Husein al-Dhahabi and al-Zaghlūl report. In addition, if it is true that al-Kalbī was a Saba'ī, the insertion of isra ilivat should not come as a surprise. This was because, according to Husein al-Dhahabi, members of this group tried to corrupt Muslim beliefs by introducing traditions favorable to the Ahl al-Bayt, including isra ilivat. Moreover, two of the figures involved in the discussion of Tanwir al-Miqbās, al-Kalbī and Muḥammad ibn Marwān, according to Husein al-Dhahabi, were two "well-noted reporters" of isra ilivat among the Successors.

⁹¹ Jones, "Narrative Technique," 185; Goldfeld, "The Tafsir or Abdallah b. 'Abbas," 126.

⁹² Verse 20 of Yusuf.

[&]quot;The (Brethren) sold him for a miserable price, for a few dirhams counted out: in such low estimation did they hold him!."

According to Zaghlūl, report of price as a certain amount of money (for example forty or twelve dirhams) is not valid, because at that time this system was not known yet and the verse itself does not state it. See Zaghlūl, al-Ittijāhāt al-Fikrīyah, 239-270. See also al-Ṭabarī, Jāmi' al-Bayān, vol. 12, 101-105.

Verse 73 of al-Baqarali:

[&]quot;So We said: "Strike the (body) with a piece of the (heifer)." Thus God bringeth the dead to life and showeth you His Signs. Perchance ye may understand."

The Qur'an does not state which part of the body was used. Therefore, pointing out a particular one cannot be justified. See also al-Ṭabarī, Jāni' al-Bayān, vol. 1, 285-286.

⁹³ Zaghlūl, al-Ittijālat al-Fikriyah, 239-270; Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives," 663-726.

⁹⁴ Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives," 588.

⁹⁵ Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives," 654-656 and 661-662.

Furthermore, it is more than likely that the Prophet himself knew about the isra'iliyat. This opinion is derived from a report that when 'Abd Allah ibn Salām (d. 43/663-4), a prominent rabbi before converting to Islam, approached the Prophet and asked a number of questions, including matters of a mysterious nature and on the prophets, the Prophet answered them by quoting Qur'anic verses. Finding that the information was similar to what the Torah says, 'Abd Allah ibn Salam came to the conclusion that Muhammad was a Prophet and had brought the same message as other prophets. Because of this, 'Abd Allah ibn Salām then confessed to be a Muslim.96 Based on this report, it is possible that information that is considered to be isra iliyat came not merely from converted Muslims, but also from the Prophet himself, who was widely informed. Unfortunately, there is no report of what 'Abd Allah ibn Salam asked about in detail so as to allow us to identify which of the Prophet's answers were from the Qur'an and which not. The latter knowledge would have derived from his acquaintance with Jews and Christians who lived around him.97 Furthermore, what he quoted must be correct and acceptable because it is unthinkable that the Prophet, as reported by Muslim historians, could have given answers that were

⁹⁶ Faruqi, Early Muslim Historiography, 157-159; H. Hirschfeld, "Historical and Legendary Controversies between Mohammed and the Rabbis," The Jewish Quarterly Review 10 (1897-1898), 110-116. Unfortunately, some references do not provide this story. See 'Abd al-Malik ibn Hishām, Al-Sirah al-Nabawiyah, edited by Muṣṭafā al-Saqqā, Ibrāhīm al-Abyārī and 'Abd al-Ḥafīz Shalabī, vol. 2 (Cairo: Maṭba'at Muṣṭafā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī, 1936), 163-164; Ibn Sa'd, Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā, vol. 2, 352-353. Futhermore, al-Mizzī and Ibn Ḥajar do not provide information on this figure, but simply mention the name. See al-Mizzī, Tahdhīb al-Kamāl, vol. 34, 450; Ibn Ḥajar, Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb, vol. 12, 298.

not correct. In addition, by presenting some examples of Qur'anic verses containing stories of peoples before Islam and the intrusion of qiṣaṣ, Norris argues that the Prophet was aware of the significance of Christian rites.98

Moreover, some scholars, for example Ibn Ḥazm (384/994-456/1064), Ibn Taymīyah and al-'Akk (a modern writer) assert that part of the isrā'iliyāt can be accepted as far as they do not conflict with what the Qur'ān says. 99 Of course it is possible that Tanwīr al-Miqbās contains isrā'iliyāt that should be considered incorrect and deserve to be rejected, especially in view of Ibn Ḥazm's and Ibn Taymīyah's categories. However, it is worth noting that, as pointed out before, there is an abundance of fabricated hadīths attributed to Ibn 'Abbās. Therefore, if there is any incorrect information in it containing isrā 'īlīyāt, perhaps that is a fabricated report. In short, isrā 'īlīyāt are acceptable in Qur'ānic exegesis even though further research is needed to investigate and examine how far isrā 'īlīyāt are employed in Tanwīr al-Miqbās before we can distinguish correct and valid from false isrā 'īlīyāt.

⁹⁷ Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives," 588-590.

⁹⁸ H. T. Norris, "Qiṣaṣ Elements in the Qur'ān," in Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period, edited by A. F. L. Beeston (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 246-255.

⁹⁹ 'Alī ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥazm, Al-Faṣl fī al-Milal wa al-Ahwa' wa al-Niḥal, vol. 1 (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1986), 216; Ibn Taymīyah, Muqaddinah, 100-102; al-'Akk, Uṣul al-Tafsīr, 211-212. See also Husein al-Dhahabi, "Israelitic Narratives," 606-611. For variant responses of Islamic scholars towards isra'ilīyāt, see Bernard Lewis, The Jews of Islam (London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1984), 70-71; M. J. Kister, "Ḥaddithuī 'an banī isra'ilā wa-la ḥaraja," Israel Oriental Studies 11 (1972), 215-239.

C. Points of View

Due to its simple and straight-forward nature, it is hardly possible to extract theological, legal or other aspects of Islamic thought from *Tanwir al-Miqbas*. Another obstacle is that no certainty exists concerning its ascription; therefore, it is also difficult to detect the "author"'s point of view, which would be made easier if we knew when and where the author lived. In this way we can understand the atmosphere of Islamic values surrounding him that affected his exegesis. Moreover, because the work provides information only on synonyms or occasions of revelation, it goes no further in interpreting Qur'anic meanings, for example social implications. This is different from other Qur'anic commentaries which derive the implied meanings behind verses, such as al-Razi's (1149 or 50-1210 A.D.) which seek out philosophical meaning. Ion Ibn al-'Arabi's (560/1165-638/1240) which extracts allegorical meaning. In and al-Zamakhshari's which investigates in depth the philological and theological angles of an expression. Ion

However, it is interesting to point out that the people involved in discussion of this work were regarded as belonging to certain Islamic sects. Ibn 'Abbās, as Burton reports, was acclaimed as a Shī'ite. 103 Moreover, the name of this figure is also listed in the Islamic Shī'ite Encyclopaedia under the list of Shī'ite

¹⁰⁰ Goldziher, Madhahib al-Tafsir al-Islami, 146.

¹⁰¹ Goldziher, *Madhāliib al-Tafsīr al-Islāni*, 239-257; Peter Heath, "Creative Hermeneutics: A Comparative Analysis of Three Islamic Approaches," *Arabica* 36 (1989), 200-203.

¹⁰² Goldziher, Madhahib al-Tafsir al-Islami, 140-144 and 151-158.

¹⁰³ Burton, The Collection of the Qur'an, 130 and 145.

commentators and exegetes.¹⁰⁴ Of course this report is difficult to confirm, because in the period in which Ibn 'Abbas lived, the idea of Shi'ism had not appeared yet as a sect in a theological sense, 105 even though it is true that arbitration between 'Ali's and Mu'awiyah's supporters was a starting point of political heresy. This claim may perhaps be based on the fact that Ibn 'Abbas was from the same family as 'Ali's (Ahl al-Bayt), was an ancestor of the Abbasid royal family and was a supporter of 'Ali's decision to accept arbitration. Another reason for claiming Ibn 'Abbas as a Shi'ite is that he was with Ibn Abi Hanifah, who was also acclaimed as a Shi'ite, and likewise one of the imams, in opposition to Ibn al-Zubayr (d. 73/692).106 Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that signs of this movement were there, and that some Companions showed a favorable attitude to 'Ali ibn Abi Tālib, as al-Zayn reports. 107 Hodgson agrees on this point. He maintains that the starting point of Shi'ite sectarianism was the arbitration, but at that time Shi'ism was no more than a political party. The tendency for this partisanship to develop into real sectarianism moved very slowly after that event. Nevertheless, he declares that in the first century after the Hijrah, Shi'ism

¹⁰⁴ Ḥasan al-Amin, Islamic Shi⁷ite Encyclopaedia, vol. 1 (Beirut, 1968), 48.

¹⁰⁵ Hassan, "Aspects of Shi'ah History," 271; W. Ivanow, "Early Shi'ite Movements," Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 17 (1941), 1-2; Daud Rahbar, "The Relation of Shi'a Theology," Muslim World 51 (1961), 92-98, 211-216; 52 (1962), 17-21, 124-128: 92-93.

¹⁰⁶ Hassan, "Aspects of Shi'ah History," 272-273. See Fr. Buhl, "Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyya," in *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al., vol. 7 (Leiden, New York: E. J. Brill, 1993), 402-403.

¹⁰⁷ Muḥammad Ḥusayn al-Zayn, Al-Shi al fi al-Tārikh (Beirut: Dār al-Āthār, 1979), 40-43.

was not yet established as a sect.¹⁰⁸ Furthermore, the transmitters of the *isnāds* used in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* were generally acclaimed as Shī'ite or Saba'ī as discussed above. In short, if it is true that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is from Ibn 'Abbās, it is not valid to say that the work reflects a Shī'ite's point of view, because many aspects of Shī'ite doctrines, except political ones, were yet to be established.

This idea is in keeping with evidence of the work. There is barely any hint of a Shī'ite point of view. One example is in the interpretation of the phrase "alshajarah al-mal'unah" in al-Isra (Q. 17: 60) which is interpreted as the tree of Zaqqum, a bitter and pungent tree described as growing at the bottom of Hell. This description is meant to apply to the Umayyads by a Shi'ite commentator. However, Tanwir al-Miqbās simply interprets that the word refers to the tree of Zaqqum without giving further explanation. Another example is its interpretation of verse 35 of al-Nur (Q. 24). Instead of referring to the Ahl al-Bayt with praise as a Shī'ite commentary does, Tanwir al-Miqbās merely provides synonyms or definitions of words.

Another argument is that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* follows exactly the 'Uthmānic text in its ordering of *sūrahs*, even though there are some differences in numbers

¹⁰⁸ M. G. S. Hodgson, "How did the Early Shi'a Become Sectarian?" Journal of the American Oriental Society 75 (1955), 1-2.

¹⁰⁹ Abdul, "The Historical Development," 151.

¹¹⁰ Al-Firuzābādi, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 238.

¹¹¹ Al-Fîrūzābādī, *Tanwīr al-Miqbās*, 238. See also Abdul, "The Historical Development," 151-152.

as discussed earlier. According to Tisdall, in the Shī'ite version of the Qur'ān, there are some additions which are not to be found in the received text of the Qur'ān. 112 Furthermore, it is understood that Shī'ite exegesis tends to be mostly allegorical in its interpretation, 113 whereas *Tanwīr al-Miqbās* bases its explanations on the literal meaning to a great degree.

It is characteristic of Shī'ite belief that man has the capacity to choose to act in a certain way, whether for good or for evil.¹¹⁴ It is hard to say, however, whether the exegesis in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* supports this idea. In some places, the work asserts that God punishes or blesses those who deserve.¹¹⁵ So far these interpretations support the Shī'ite point of view. However, in other places, it is explained that God chooses to guide whomever He wants according to His will.¹¹⁶ Therefore, *Tanwir al-Miqbās* does not totally support either side in the theological debate concerning predestination. In other words, it is hard to claim that *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is a Shī'ite exegesis.

On the other hand, as mentioned above, al-Firūzābādī spent his last years in Zabīd and became a grand $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$. It is true that during this period of his life,

¹¹² W. St. Clair Tisdall, "Shi'ah Additions to the Koran," Muslim World 3 (1913), 227-241. Goldziher discusses Qur'ānic interpretation of this sect in detail in his Madhāhib al-Tafsir al-Islāmi. See Goldziher, Madhāhib al-Tafsir al-Islāmi, 286-336.

¹¹³ Gätje, The Qur'an and its Exegesis, 39; Rahbar, "The Relation of Shi'a Theology," 93-96.

¹¹⁴ Rahbar, "The Relation of Shi'a Theology," 214-216.

¹¹⁵ In the interpretation of verses 8 of Fāṭir and 31 of al-Muddaththir, for example. See al-Fīrūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 324 and 492.

Yemen was an object of Zaydī Shī'ite ambitions.¹¹⁷ Zabīd itself, however, was under the control of the Rasūlids who were Sunnī. During al-Fīrūzābādī's time there, al-Ashraf Ismā'īl (al-Fīrūzābādī's father-in-law) and al-Nāṣir Aḥmad were in power.¹¹⁸ Furthermore, as was mentioned earlier, one of al-Fīrūzābādī's teachers was al-Sharaf 'Abd Allāh ibn Bektāsh, a teacher at the Niẓāmīyah madrasah. It is well known that this madrasah taught ethics and doctrines closely connected with Ash'arī kalām and the Shāfi'ī legal school.¹¹⁹ Based on this fact, it is more likely that al-Fīrūzābādī was a Sunnī. In fact, as far as his views in Islamic law were concerned, he was obviously a Malikī, because it is reported that he established a Malikī madrasah in Mecca.

Going back to Tanwir al-Miqbās, it is just as problematic to claim that the work reflects al-Firūzābādī's standpoints. For instance, in its interpretation of the expression "aw lāmastum al-nisā" of verse 43 of al-Nisā" (Q. 4), Tanwir al-Miqbās explains that this means having sexual intercourse. 120 From this example, it

¹¹⁶ One example is the interpretation of verse 29 of al-Kahf. See al-Firūzābādī, Tantvīr al-Miqbās, 246.

¹¹⁷ Robert D. Burrowes, Historical Dictionary of Yemen (London: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1995), 26; R. Strothmann, "Zabīd," in The Encyclopædia of Islam, edited by M. Th. Houtsma, et al., vol. 8 (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1987), 1183-1184. See also Manfred W. Wenner, The Yemen Arab Republic (Boulder: Westview Press, 1991), 121-122.

¹¹⁸ Strothmann, "Zabīd, 1184; G. R. Smith, "Rasūlids," in *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al., vol. 8 (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1995), 455-457. See also 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Karīm al-Jurāfī, *Al-Muqtaṭaf min Tārīkh al-Yaman* (Beirut: Manshūrāt al-'Aṣr al-Ḥadīth, 1987), 136.

H. Bowen-[C. E. Bosworth], "Nizām al-Mulk," in *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al., vol. 8 (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1995), 71.

¹²⁰ Al-Firuzābādi, Tanwir al-Miqbas, 70.

seems that the work leans towards a symbolic meaning of this expression, and holds that the Qur'ān, as a Holy Book, has used a polite expression (a euphemism). It is a fact that this understanding was characteristic of the Ḥanafī school, 121 whereas al-Fīrūzābādī was, most probably, a Malikī. This would in fact exclude al-Fīrūzābādī. Assuming that the interpretation is truly that of Ibn 'Abbās, then it must point to his own way of thinking, since the founder of the Ḥanāfī school, Abū Ḥanīfa al-Nu'mān, lived after Ibn 'Abbās's time, dying in 150/767 at the age of 70.122

This analysis should be applied as well to understanding another point concerning the interpretation of Tanwir al-Miqbās of the creation of the universe. Even though it is true that in Ibn 'Abbās's lifetime Muslims did not subscribe to a variety of theological sects, it is interesting that Tanwir al-Miqbās explains that creation should be understood without questioning how (bi-lā kayf). Perhaps, Ibn 'Abbās interpreted this without consideration for its theological implications. Therefore, due to its simplicity and some of the points explained above, it is almost impossible to claim that Tanwir al-Miqbās reflects or supports one

¹²¹ See al-Ḥusayn ibn 'Abd Allāh ibn Sīnā, Bidāyat al-Mujtahid wa Nihāyat al-Muqtaṣid, vol. 1 (Cairo: al-Maktabat al-Tijārīyah al-Kubra, n.d.), 33-34,

¹²² J. Schacht, "Abū Ḥanifa al-Nu'mān," in *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, new edition, edited by H.A.R. Gibb, et al., vol. 1 (Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1960), 123-124.

¹²³ See for example the interpretation of verses 4 of al-Ḥadid and 1 of al-Infiṭar. See al-Firūzābādī, Tanwir al-Miqbās, 456 and 503.

particular point of view in a sectarian sense.

CONCLUSION

In the space of a single volume, Tanwir al-Miqbas min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas presents the reader with many interesting and challenging problems. In the first place, the modern printed work appears to have been edited in a haphazard fashion. Moreover, its methodology, which relies heavily on the philological approach of explaining Qur'anic verses according to their literal meaning, is simplistic given the complicated issues raised in this Holy Book. The interpretation is presented seriatim, touching on all the verses of the Qur'an in succession without devoting separate sections to different aspects of its interpretation. Tanwir al-Miqbas offers only brief comments on passages and limits these to such topics as synonyms, abrogation (nasikh wa mansukh), occasions of revelation (asbāb al-nuzul) and the people addressed in particular verses. On this point, we can say that this interpretation goes against the precept which says: al-'ibrah bi 'umum al-lafz la bi khuṣuṣ al-sabab. Moreover, the other aspects of interpretation, including variant readings and the origins of the language used in the Qur'an for example, are presented on occasion. Overall, it can be said that characteristics of tafsir are more obvious in Tanwir al-Miqbas than those of ta'wil.

As far as sources of interpretation are concerned, those upon which Tanwir al-Miqbas relies are not different from other Qur'anic commentaries. The work employs Qur'anic verses, as well as reports through chains of transmitters (hadith) that claim to go back to Ibn 'Abbās, Arabic poetry and isrā'iliyāt. It is worth noting that even though the chains of transmitters referred to in the work have been proven to be weak and unreliable, one cannot immediately conclude that their exegesis is wrong.

As far as the work's overall point of view is concerned, it has to be admitted that such information is very difficult to extract. The discussion in *Tanwir al-Miqbās* is not very subtle, and has few theological and social implications. It is true that on some points the interpretation seems to point to a particular Islamic sect, for example Shī'ism. But if one goes to other verses, one will find evidence to disprove this opinion. This phenomenon is in a sense what one would expect if the attribution to Ibn 'Abbās were true, since in the early development of Islam, sectarian ideas had not yet taken final shape.

Based on our discussion of these particular aspects of Qur'anic exegesis (approach and method, sources and points of view), we can say that *Tanwir al-Miqbas* presents various characteristics of Qur'anic exegesis in its early development. This does not mean, however, that the attribution to Ibn 'Abbas can easily be authenticated, since many other factors have to be taken into consideration in resolving this matter.

Given the absence of any other information pointing to the origins of the work, our only clues must be found in its contents. Thus a study which discusses its contents can contribute to our understanding of this work as a whole. Nevertheless, this short study makes it clear that there are a considerable number

of issues concerning *Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās* itself that demand more research. It would be especially useful to do a comparative study of the various *tafsirs* ascribed to Ibn 'Abbās through different chains of transmitters. Hopefully, further and deeper study will bring us to conclusive answers to these issues.

AL-FĪRŪZĀBĀDĪ'S WORKS

- 1. Al-Lāmi' al-Mu'allam al-'Ujāb al-Jāmi' bayn al-Muḥkam wa al-'Ubāb,¹ an Arabic dictionary, of which al-Firūzābādī completed only five volumes during his lifetime; according to Ibn al-'Imād, Brockelmann and Fleisch, it was al-Firūzābādī's ambition to write as many as 60 or even 100 volumes.²
- 2. Al-Qāmus al-Muḥiṭ (The Ocean that Surrounds the Earth), in four volumes,³ which is also known by its full title, al-Qāmus al-Muḥiṭ wa al-Qābus al-Wasiṭ al-Jāmi' li mā Dhahaba min al-'Arab Shamāṭiṭ,⁴ is a summary of al-Lāmi', on which the European dictionaries of the classical language are based, either directly or through the various commentaries written upon it.⁵

¹ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233. Neither of the editions of Bughyat al-Wu'āh used for this thesis makes it clear whether we are faced here with two titles or one, given that in one, namely al-Lāmi' wa al-Mu'allam and al-'Ujāb al-Jāmi' bayn al-Muḥkam wa al-'Ubāb, are separated by a comma between the two titles, and by a dot in the other. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jāmālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274. Al-'Aẓm mentions it as al-Lāmi' al-Mu'allam al-'Ujāb al-Jāmi' bayn al-Muḥkam wa al-'Ubāb wa Ziyādāt Imtila' bihā al-Wiṭāb. See al-'Aẓm, 'Uqūd al-Jāwhar, 305.

² Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 234; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. For more discussion of this work, see Lane, An Arabic-English Lexicon, xvii-xviii.

³ Huart, A History, 388-389.

⁴ Brockelmann calls it al-Qamus al-Muḥiṭ wa al-Qabas al-Wasiṭ al-Jāmi' li mā Dhahab min Lughat al-'Arab Slumāṭiṭ. See Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233.

⁵ Such as $Ta\bar{j}$ al-'Arus by Sayyid Murtaḍā al-Zabidi (d. 1205/1791), which was printed for the first time in ten volumes at Būlāq, Cairo in 1306-1307, al-Jāsus 'alā al-Qāmus by Aḥmad Fāris al-Shidyāq (d. 1305/1887), which was first printed in Istanbul in 1299, and Taṣḥiḍ al-Qāmus by Aḥmad Taymur Pasha, which was printed first in Cairo in 1343/1925. See Fleisch, "Al-Firūzābādi," 926-927. For a more in-depth discussion of this work, see Lane, An Arabic-English

- 3. Taḥbir al-Muwashshin fi mā yuqāl bi al-Sin wa al-Shin,6 which consists of Arabic vocabulary written with either s or sh indiscriminately; al-Zabidi and al-'Azm explain that it examines 1000 questions on 1000 topics found in the Kitāb al-Mujmal by Ibn Fāris al-Qazwini.7
- 4. Sifr al-Sa'adah,8 or al-Ṣiraṭ al-Mustaqim, a history of the life of the Prophet, in Persian.
- 5. Al-Aḥadith al-Da'ifah, which is in 4 volumes.9
- 6. Aḥāsin al-Laṭā'if fi Maḥāsin al-Ṭā'if .10
- 7. Al-As'ad bi al-Iş'ad ila Darajat al-Ijtihad, which is in three volumes. 11

Lexicon, xvi-xvii; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 234-235; Naṣṣār, Al-Mu'jam al-'Arabi, 540-603. Naṣṣar reports that the book was written between the years 792-803 A. H. and was presented to al-Ashraf. See Naṣṣār, Al-Mu'jam al-'Arabi, 540.

- ⁶ This work was published in Algiers in 1909 and in Beirut in 1330/1912. See Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233.
- ⁷ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 303; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. However, Ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah offers a different opinion, stating that this work was written in praise of Ibn Fāris al-Qazwīnī. See Ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqat al-Shāfi'iyah, 83. This opinion is rejected by Muḥammad Farrāj (editor of Taj al-'Arūs) who mentions that he did not find any statement in the book to prove such an idea. However, it is likely that the work was a criticism of al-Jawharī's work because Ibn Ḥajar reports that when the work of Ibn 'Arabī became popular in Yemen, al-Fīrūzābādī criticized it to a point where it no longer attracted any attention, except from scholars. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118. Furthermore, al-Bawwāb calls the book Taḥbīr al-Muwassīn fī mā yuqāl bi al-Sīn wa al-Shīn. See al-Fīrūzābādī, Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 21.
- ⁸ Al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 43. This work is written in the margins of al-Fawz al-Kabīr ma'a Fatḥ al-Ḥabīr fī Uṣul al-Tafsīr by Walī Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Raḥīm, published in Cairo or Jerusalem in 1307 and 1346, and in the margins of Kaslıf al-Ghumma by al-Sha'rānī, published in Cairo in 1317 and 1332. See Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926. Moreover, Brockelmann and Fleisch call it Sufar al-Sa'ada. See Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 234; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926.
 - 9 Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-'Azm, 'Uquid al-Jawhar, 302.
- 10 Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 302. Ibn al-'Imād writes it as Aluāsin al-Laṭa'if fī Maluāsin al-Ṭāyif. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128.

- 8. Ishārat al-Ḥajūn ilā Ziyārat al-Ḥajūn. 12
- 9. Al-Alṭaf al-Khafiyah fi Ashraf al-Ḥanafiyah.13
- 10. Imtidad al-Suhad fi Iftirad al-Jihad.14
- 11. Anwa' al-Ghayth fi Asma' al-Layth. 15
- 12. Asma' al-'Ādah fi Asma' al-Ghādah.16
- 13. Asma' al-Siraḥ fi Asma' al-Nikaḥ.17
- 14. Ibtihaj al-Nufus bi Dhikr ma Fat al-Qamus.18

¹¹ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 302. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah and al-Suyūṭī (in both editions) cite this work as al-Iṣ'ād ila Rutbat al-Ijtihād. See Ibn Qādī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqāt al-Shafī'iyah, 84-85; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'aħ, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'aħ, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Fadl Ibrāhīm, 274. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah reports that it was written in four volumes for al-Ashraf. However, Naṣṣār states that the book given to al-Ashraf was al-Qāmūs al-Muḥiṭ. See Naṣṣār, al-Mu'jām al-'Arabī, 540.

¹² Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 302. Ibn al-'Imād calls it Ithārat al-Shujun li Ziyarat al-Ḥajun, while Brockelmann calls it Ithārat al-Hujun li Ziyarat al-Ḥajun. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236.

¹³ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 302.

¹⁴ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 302. Al-Zabīdī cites this book as Iftiḍaḍ al-Suhhād fī Iftirāḍ al-Jihād. See al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Aruṣ, 43.

¹⁵ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 302. Al-Suyūṭī refers to this work as Asmā' al-Layth. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274.

¹⁶ Al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqua al-Jawhar, 302. Al-Suyuti refers to this work as Asma' al-Ghadah. See al-Suyuti, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Ahmad Nāji al-Jamāli, et al., 118; al-Suyuti, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abu al-Fadl Ibrāhim, 274.

¹⁷ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 302. Al-Suyūṭī cites this work as Asma' al-Nikaḥ, while al-Zabīdī calls it Asma' al-Sarah fi Asma' al-Nikaḥ. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamalī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44.

¹⁸ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 302; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233.

- 15. Baṣa'ir Dhawi al-Tamyiz fi Laṭa'if Kitab Allah al-'Aziz which is in two volumes.19
- 16. Başa'ir al-Naza'ir.20
- 17. Al-Bulghah fi Tarajim A'immat al-Naḥw wa al-Lughah.21
- 18. Tathbit al-Asal fi Tafdil al-'Asal.22
- 19. Tuḥfat al-Qama'il fi man Tasamma min al-Mala'ikat Isma'il.23
- 20. Tarqiq al-Asal fi Tasfiq al-'Asal.24

¹⁹ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūš, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 302; al-Fīrūzābādī, al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 21. Al-Suyūṭī cites this work as al-Wajiz̄ fī Laṭa'if al-Kitāb al-'Aziz, while Ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah and al-Dāwūdī call it Baṣa'ir Dhawī al-Tamyiz̄ fī Laṭa'if Kitāb al-'Aziz. Brockelmann for his part calls it Basha'ir Dhawī al-Tamyiz̄ fī Laṭa'if Kitāb al-'Aziz. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqat al-Shafī'iyah, 85; al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirin, 276; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235. Furthermore, Ibn Qāḍī Shuhbah reports that it is in 2 volumes. There is a six volume edition of this work entitled Baṣā'ir Dhawī al-Tamyiz̄ fī Laṭa'if al-Kitāb al-'Aziz̄, prepared by Muḥammad 'Alī al-Najjār and published by Lajnat Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-Islāmī in Cairo between 1964 and 1973.

²⁰ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 302.

²¹ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 302. Ibn al-'Imād cites it as al-Bulghah fī Tarjamat A'immat al-Nuḥāh wa al-Lughah, while al-Suyūṭī, Brockelmann, Fleisch and al-Bawwāb refer to this work as al-Bulghah fī Tarīkh A'immat al-Lughah. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 234; Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926; al-Fīrūzābādī, al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 21. Furthermore, Fleisch informs us that this work is in manuscript form. Since Fleisch's study was published, an edition of this work has appeared, entitled al-Bulghah fī Tārīkh A'immat al-Lughah, edited by Muḥammad al-Miṣrī, which was published by Manshūrāt Wizārat al-Thaqāfah in Damascus in 1971.

²² Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 302.

²³ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 303. Al-Suyūṭī calls this work Man Tasammā bi Ismā'il; however, Ibn al-'Imād calls the book Tuhfat al-Qamā'il fī man Tasammā min al-Nās wa al-Malā'ikah bi Ismā'il,. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128.

²⁴ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 303. Ibn al-'Imād calls this book Tarqīq al-Asal fī Taḍ'if al-'Asal, while Brockelmann refers to it as Tarqīq al-Asal li Taṣfīq al-'Asal. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236. Ibn al-'Imād maintains that the work is in two volumes.

- 21. Tashil Ţariq al-Wuşul ila al-Aḥādith al-Za'idah 'ala Jāmi' al-Uşul.25
- 22. Ta'yin al-Ghurafāt li al-Mu'in 'ala 'Ayn 'Arafāt.26
- 23. Taysir Fa'iḥat al-Ihab fi Tafsir Fatiḥat al-Kitab.27
- 24. Al-Tajārih fi Fawā'id Muta'alliqah bi Aḥādith al-Maṣābih.28
- 25. Tahyij al-Gharam ila al-Balad al-Ḥaram.29
- 26. Al-Tuḥaf wa al-Ḥara if fi al-Nukat al-Shara if.30
- 27. Al-Jalis al-Anis fi Asma al-Khandaris.31

²⁵ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūš, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 303. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah and al-Suyūṭī and refers to the work as Tashil al-Wuṣul ila al-Aḥādīth al-Za'idah 'ala Jāmi' al-Uṣul. See Ibn Qādī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqāt al-Shāṭī'iyah, 85; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274. Moreover, both Ibn Qādī Shuhbah and al-Zabīdī declare that the work was written in four volumes for al-Ashraf's son, al-Nāṣir.

²⁶ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirin, 277; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 303. Al-Zabīdī cites this book as Ta'yin al-Ghurafāt li al-Mu'in 'alā 'Arafāt. See al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 44.

²⁷ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 303. Al-Suyuṭī calls it Sharḥ al-Fātiḥah, while Ibn al-'Imād calls the book Taysir Fātiḥat al-Ihāb bi Tafsir Fātiḥat al-Kitāb. Al-Dāwūdī calls it Taysir Fātiḥat al-Iyab bi Tafsir Fātiḥat al-Kitāb and Brockelmann Taysir Fā'iḥat al-Ihāb bi Tafsir Fātiḥat al-Kitāb. See al-Suyuṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127; al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 276; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

²⁸ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Aṛm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 303.

²⁹ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 303.

³⁰ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 303. Ibn al-'Imād calls the book al-Nukhab al-Ṭara'if fī al-Nukat al-Shara'if. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128.

³¹ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 303; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233. Al-Suyūṭī cites this work as Asmā' al-Khandarīs. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274. According to Brockelmann, this work is similar to al-Durar al-Mubaththathah fī al-Lughah. See Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

- 28. Jām-i Jahān Namā, in Persian, which deals with taṣawwuf.32
- 29. Ḥāṣil Kurat al-Khalāṣ fī Faḍā'il Surat al-Ikhlāṣ.33
- 30. Al-Durr al-Ghālī fi al-Aḥādith al-'Awali.34
- 31. Al-Durr al-Nazim al-Murshid ilā Maqāṣid al-Qur'ān al-'Azim.35
- 32. Al-Rawḍ al-Masluf fi ma lahu Isman ila al-Uluf.36
- 33. Rawdat al-Nāzir fī Tarjamat Sayyidi al-Shaykh 'Abd al-Qādir.37
- 34. Zād al-Ma'ād fi Wazn Bānat Su'ād.38
- 35. Sharh Zad al-Ma'ad fi Wazn Banat Su'ad.39
- 36. Sharh Qutbat al-Khashshaf fi Sharh Khutbat al-Khashshaf.40

³² Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 303.

³³ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 303.

³⁴ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 303.

³⁵ Al-Dāwūdī, *Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassiri*n, 276; al-Zabīdī, *Tāj al-'Arū*s, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 303. Ibn al-'Imād calls the book al-Durr al-Nazīm al-Murshid ilā Faḍā'il al-Qur'ān al-'Azīm. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127.

³⁶ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 303; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236. Al-Suyūṭī, Ibn al-'Imād and al-Zāwī call the book al-Rawḍ al-Masluf fī mā lahu Ismān ilā Uluf. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zāwī, Tartīb al-Qāmus al-Muḥiṭ, xii.

³⁷ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 303-304. Ibn al-'Imād calls it Rawḍat al-Nazir fī Tarjamat al-Shaykh 'Abd al-Qadir, while al-Zabīdī cites this book as Rawḍat al-Nazir fī Darajat al-Shaykh 'Abd al-Qadir. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43.

³⁸ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 304.

³⁹ Ibn al-Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 304.

⁴⁰ Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 304. Al-Suyūṭī and Ibn al-'Imād call the book Sharḥ Khuṭbat al-Kashshaj. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-

- 37. Shawariq al-Asrar al-'Aliyah fi Sharh Mashariq al-Anwar al-Nabawiyah, which is in four volumes⁴¹ (Mashariq al-Anwar al-Nabawiyah was written by al-Ṣaghāni).
- 38. Sharh Ta'iyat 'Izz al-Din ibn Jama'ah.42
- 39. Al-Ṣilāt al-Birriyah fi al-Ṣalāt 'alā Khayr al-Bariyah.43
- 40. Al-Ṣilat wa al-Bashr fi al-Ṣalat 'ala Khayr al-Bashar.44
- 41.'Uddat al-Ḥukkām fi Sharḥ 'Umdat al-Aḥkām which is in two volumes ('Umdat al-Aḥkām is a work on ḥadith written by Taqī al-Dīn al-Maqdisī).45
- 42. Al-Ghurar al-Muthallathah wa al-Durar al-Mubaththathah.46
- 43. Faşl al-Durr fi al-Nuhur.47

Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 127.

- ⁴¹ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 276-277; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 304. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah calls it Shawāriq al-Asrār fī Sharḥ Mashāriq al-Anwār and reports that the book is in four volumes. Although agreeing with al-'Azm on the title, Ibn al-'Imād nevertheless states that the book is in two volumes. See Ibn Qādī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqāt al-Shafī'iyah, 84; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128.
 - 42 Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 304.
 - 43 Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 304.
- ⁴⁴ Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirin, 277; Ibn al-ʿImād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-'Azm, 'Uquād al-Jawhar, 304. Al-Zabīdī calls it al-Ṣilāt wa al-Bushr fī al-Ṣalāt 'alā Khayr al-Bashar, while Brockelmann calls it al-Ṣilāt wa al-Bushr fī al-Ṣalāt 'alā Sayyid al-Bashar. See al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arūs, 43; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236. However, there is a work titled al-Ṣilāt wa al-Bishr fī al-Ṣalāt 'alā Khayr al-Bashar, published in Beirut by Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah in 1985.
- 45 Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 304. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah calls it Sharḥ 'Uddat al-Aḥkām and reports that the work is in two volumes, while al-Suyūṭī cites this work as Sharḥ 'Umdat al-Aḥkām. Al-Dāwūdī refers to it as 'Umdat al-Ḥukkām fī Sharḥ 'Umdat al-Aḥkām. See Ibn Qādī Shuhbah, Ṭabaqāt al-Shafī'iyah, 85; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn, 277.
- ⁴⁶ Al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 304; Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233. However, there is a book by al-Firūzābādī entitled al-Durar al-Mubaththathah fī al-Ghurar al-Muthallathah, edited by 'Alī Ḥusayn al-Bawwāb and published by Dār al-Liwā' li al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī' in Riyāḍ in 1981.

- 44. Faṣl al-Durr min al-Khurzah fi Faḍl al-Salāmah 'alā al-Jizah.48
- 45. Al-Fadl al-Wafi fi al-'Adl al-Ashrafi.49
- 46. Quṭbat al-Khashshaf fi Ḥall Khuṭbat al-Kashshaf.50
- 47. Kitāb al-'Aqā'id.51
- 48. Al-Muttafiq Wad'ā wa al-Mukhtalif Şun'ā.52
- 49. Al-Muthallath al-Kabir which is in five volumes. 53
- 50. Al-Muthallath al-Ṣaghir which is in five chapters.54
- 51. Al-Mirqah al-Arfa'iyah fi Tabaqat al-Shafi'iyah.55
- 52. Al-Mirqah al-Wafiyah fi Tabaqat al-Hanafiyah.56

⁴⁷ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 304.

⁴⁸ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 304-305. Ibn al-'Imād refers to it as Faḍl al-Durrah min al-Khurzah fī Faḍl al-Salāmah 'ala al-Khibzah, while al-Zabīdī cites this book as Fī al-Durr min al-Khurazah fī Faḍl al-Salāmah 'ala al-Khabazah. See Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 44.

⁴⁹ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵⁰ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵¹ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵² Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 305. Al-Suyūṭī and Ibn al-'Imād cite it as al-Muttafiq Waḍ'ā al-Mukhtalif Ṣuq'ā. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128. In the other edition of al-Suyūṭī's work it is recorded as al-Muttafiq Waḍ'ā al-Mukhtalif Sun'ā. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118.

⁵³ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵⁴ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵⁵ Ibn al-'Imad, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabidi, Taj al-'Arus, 43-44; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 305.

⁵⁶ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquā al-Jawhar, 305; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236. Ibn Qādī Shuhbah calls it al-Mirqāh al-Riqqiyah fī Tabaqat al-Ḥanafīyah, while al-Suyūtī cites this work as Tabaqat al-Ḥanafīyah. See Ibn Qādī

- 53. Majma' al-As'ilah, based on questions of Ṣaḥāḥ al-Jawhari.57
- 54. Al-Maghānim al-Muṭābah fi Ma'alim Ṭābah.58
- 55. Maqṣud Dhawi al-Albab fi 'Ilm al-I'rab.59
- 56. Maniyat al-Sul fi Da'wat al-Rasul.60
- 57. Manh al-Bari li Sayl al-Fayh al-Jari fi Sharh Ṣaḥih al-Bukhāri, which covers one-fourth of the 'ibādat in twenty volumes.61
- 58. Nuzhat al-Adhhān fi Tārikh Aṣbahān.62
- 59. Al-Nafḥah al-'Anbariyah fi Mawlid Khayr al-Bariyah.63

Shuhbah, *Ṭabaqat al-Shafī'iyah*, 85; al-Suyūṭi, *Bughyat al-Wu'ah*, edited by Aḥmad Nāji al-Jamāli, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭi, *Bughyat al-Wu'ah*, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhim, 274. Fleisch reports that this work is preserved in manuscript form at the Library of Shaykh al-Islam 'Ārif Ḥikmet Bey in Medina and is registered under *Sulaymān Nadwi*, no. 128. The work is derived from the *Ṭabaqat* of 'Abd al-Qādir al-Ḥanafī. See Fleisch, "Al-Fīrūzābādī," 926; al-Sakhāwī, al-Daw' al-Lāmi', 82.

- ⁵⁷ Al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 305. Brockelmann calls it Majma' al-Su'alat min Ṣaḥāḥ al-Jawhari. See Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233.
- 58 Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharāt al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taī al-'Arūš, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 305. Al-Bawwāb calls it al-Maghānim al-Muṭābah fī Tārīkh Faḍā'il Ṭābah. See al-Fīrūzābādī, al-Durar al-Mubaththathah, 21.
- ⁵⁹ Al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nāji al-Jamāli, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhim, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqūd al-Jawhar, 305.
- 60 Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirin, 277; Ibn al-ʿImād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-ʿAẓm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 305. Al-Zabīdī cites this book as Maniyat al-Mas'ul fī Da'wat al-Rasul. See al-Zabīdī, Tāj al-'Arus, 44.
- 61 Al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 305. Al-Suyūṭī and Ibn al-'Imād call it as Fatḥ al-Barī bi al-Sayl al-Fasiḥ al-Jarī fī Sharḥ Ṣaḥih al-Bukhārī. See al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'āh, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhim, 274; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128.
- 62 Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirin, 277; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 44; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 306.
- 63 Al-Dāwūdī, Ṭabaqat al-Mufassirīn, 277; Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arus, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 306.

- 60. Naghbat al-Rashshaf min Khutbat al-Kashshaf.64
- 61. Al-Waṣl wa al-Munā fi Faḍl Minā.65
- 62. Sharh al-Fatihah.66
- 63. Laṭif Ra'aytuh bi Makkah.67
- 64. Fatwā fi al-Shaykh Ibn 'Arabi.68
- 65. Tuhfat al-Abih fi man Nusiba ila Ghayr Abih.69
- 66. Risalah fi Ḥukm al-Qanadil al-Nabawiyah.70
- 67. Risālah fi Bayān mā lam Yathbut fihi Ṣaḥih Ḥadith min al-Abwāb.71
- 68. Urjuzat Mustalah al-Ḥadith.72
- 69. Risalah fi al-Intişar li Şahib al-Futuhat.73

⁶⁴ Al-'Azm, 'Uqud al-Jawhar, 306; Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

⁶⁵ Ibn al-'Imād, Shadharat al-Dhahab, 128; al-Zabīdī, Taj al-'Arūs, 43; al-'Azm, 'Uquīd al-Jawhar, 306.

⁶⁶ Al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nāji al-Jamali, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭi, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrahim, 274.

⁶⁷ Al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al., 118; al-Suyūṭī, Bughyat al-Wu'ah, edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm, 274.

⁶⁸ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 233-234.

⁶⁹ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 234.

⁷⁰ Brockelmann, GAL, vol. 2, 234. In his Supplement, Brockelmann refers to it as Risalah fi Ḥukm al-Qanadil al-Nabawiyah fi Dhikr Qanadil al-Madinah al-Munawwarah min al-Dhahab wa al-Fiḍḍah. See Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

⁷¹ Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

⁷² Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 235.

⁷³ Brockelmann, GALS, vol. 2, 236.

Appendix 2

NUMBERS OF VERSES, WORDS AND LETTERS IN TANWĪR AL-MIQBĀS MIN TAFSĪR IBN 'ABBĀS'

No.	NAMES OF SURAHS	NUMBER OF VERSES		NUMBER	NUMBER
		Yūsuf	Tanwir al-	OF WORDS	OF LETTERS
		'Alī	Miqbās		
1	Al-Fatiḥah	7	Not	Not	Not
			mentioned	mentioned	mentioned
2	Al-Baqarah	286	280	3100	25500
3	ĀĪ 'Imrān	200	200	3460	14525
4	Al-Nisa	176	Not	3940	16030
			mentioned		j
5	Al-Mā'idah	176	Not	Not	Not
			mentioned	mentioned	mentioned
6	Al-An'ām	123	Not	3050	12422
			mentioned		
7	Al-A'raf	165	126	3625	14310
8	Al-Anfāl	206	206	1130	5294
9	Al-Tawbah	129	Not	2467	10000
			mentioned		
10	Yūnus	109	109	1802	6567
	Hud	123	120	1625	6905
12	Yūsuf	111	111	1776	7196
13	Al-Ra'd	43	4 5	855	3506
14	Ibrāhim	52	50	831	3434
15	Al-Ḥijr	99	Not	654	2770
			mentioned		
	Al-Naḥl _	128	128	1841	6707
17	Banu Isra il	111	110	1533	6400
18	Al-Kahf	110	111	1567	6420
19	Maryam	98	98	962	3302
20	Ṭāhā -	135	132	1301	5242
21	Al-Anbiya ⁷	112	111	1138	4168
22	Al-Ḥajj	<i>7</i> 8	<i>7</i> 5	1291	5135
	Al-Mu'minนิก	118	119	1840	4800
24	Al-Nur	64	64	1316	5680

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ See chapter three, pp. 41-44 for an explanation of difference in number.

25	Al-Furqān	77	97	392	3760
26	Al-Shu'ara'	227	226	1268	5542
27	Al-Naml	93	94	1149	4767
28	Al-Qaṣaṣ	88	88	44 1	5800
29	Al-'Ankabut	69	77	780	4145
30	Al-Rūm	60	70	817	3530
31	Luqmān	34	34	748	2100
32	Al-Sajdah	30	29	330	1518
33	Al-Ahzāb	<i>7</i> 3	93	1282	5700
34	Saba'	54	54	883	1512
35	Al-Mala ⁷ ikah	45	45	197	3130
36	Yāsin	83	92	<i>7</i> 19	3000
37	Al-Ṣaffāt	182	181	860	3829
38	Şād	88	82	<i>7</i> 32	3066
39	Al-Zumar	<i>7</i> 5	92	1192	4000
40	Al-Mu'min	85	82	1199	4960
41	Fușșilat	54	Not	Not	Not
		ļ	mentioned	mentioned	mentioned
42	Hāmim 'Aynsinqāf	53	50	886	3588
43	Al-Zukhruf	89	87	833	3400
44	Al-Dukhān	59	59	346	1431
45	Al-Jathiyah	37	36	644	2600
46	Al-Aḥqāf	35	32	644	2600
47	Muḥammad	38	Not	Not	Not
			mentioned	mentioned	mentioned
48	Al-Fatḥ	29	29	560	2400
49	Al-Ḥujurāt	18	18	343	1476
50	Qaf	45	45	395	1490
	Al-Dhāriyāt	60	60	360	1287
52	Al-Ṭur	49	48	812	1500
53	Al-Najm	62	60	300	1405
54	Al-Qamar	55	55	342	1403
55	Al-Raḥmān	78	76	351	1636
56	Al-Wāqi <u>ʻ</u> ah	96	99	878	1903
57	Al-Ḥadid	29	29	544	2476
58	Al-Mujādalah	22	22	473	1992
59	Al-Ḥashr	24	24	<i>7</i> 45	1712
60	Al-Mumtaḥanah	13	13	348	1510
61	Al-Ṣaf	14	14	221	926
62	Al-Jum'ah	11	11	180	748
63	Al-Munāfiquīn	11	11	180	772
64	Al-Taghābun	18	18	24 1	1070

65	Al-Țalag	12	11	247	1170
66	Al-Taḥrim	12	13	249	1060
67	Al-Mulk	30	30	335	1313
68	Nun	52	52	300	1256
69	Al-Ḥāqqah	52	50	256	1480
70	Al-Ma'ārij	44	44	216	861
71	Nuḥ	28	27	224	929
72	Al-Jinn	28	28	285	870
73	Al-Muzammil	20	19	285	838
74	Al-Muddaththir	56	56	255	1010
75	Al-Qiyāmah	40	39	99	652
76	Al-Insān	31	30	240	1054
77	Al-Mursalāt	50	50	181	816
78	Al-Naba'	40	4 0	130	690
79	Al-Nāzi'āt	46	45	173	953
80	Al-A'mā	42	4 0	133	533
81	Idha al-Shams kuwwirat	29	29	104	533
82	Al-Infiṭār	19	19	80	107
83	Al-Muṭaffifin	36	36	169	730
84	Al-Inshiqaq	25	23	109	730
	Al-Buruj	22	22	109	438
86	Al-Ṭāriq	17	16	61	239
87	Al-A'la	19	19	72	284
88	Al-Ghāshiyah	26	26	92	381
89	Al-Fajr	30	29	139	597
90	Al-Balad	20	20	82	320
91	Al-Shamsh	15	15	54	247
92	Al-Layl	21	21	<i>7</i> 1	320
93	Al-Ḍuḥā ¯	11	11	40	102
94	Alam Nashrah	8	8	27	103
95	Al-Tin	8	8	34	150
96	Al-'Alaq	19	19	72	122
97	Al-Qadr	5	5	30	121
98	Al-Bayyinah	8	9	35	149
99	Al-Zalzalah	8	9	35	100
	Al-'Ādiyāt	11	11	40	163
	Al-Qāri'ah	11	8	36	152
1	Al-Takāthur	8	8	28	120
	Al-'Aṣr	3	3	14	68
	Al-Humazah	9	9	84	161
	Al-Fil	5	5	23	76
106	Quraysh	4	4	17	73

107	Al-Ma'un	7	7	25	111
108	Al-Kawthar	3	3	10	42
109	Al-Kāfiruīn	6	6	26	74
110	Al-Naṣr	3	3	23	77
111	Abıī Lahab	5	5	23	77
112	Al-Ikhlās	4	4	15	47
113	Al-Falaq	5	5	23	69
114	Al-Nās	6	6	20	76

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbott, Nabia. Studies in Arabic Literary Papyri. Vol. 2, Qur'ānic Commentary and Tradition. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- 'Abd al-'Azīz, Amīr. *Dirāsāt fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān*. Amman, Jordan: Dār al-Furqān; Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 1983.
- 'Abd al-Ḥamid, Muḥsin. Dirāsāt fī Uṣul Tafsir al-Qur'ān. Baghdad: Maṭba'at al-Waṭan al-'Arabi, 1980.
- 'Abd al-Raḥmān, 'Ā'ishah (Bint al-Shāṭī'). Al-I'jāz al-Bayānī li al-Qur'ān wa Masā'il Ibn al-Azraq. 2nd edition. Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1987.
- _____. Muqaddimah fi al-Manhaj. Cairo: Ma'had al-Buḥūth wa al-Dirāsāt al-'Arabiyah, 1971.
- _____. Al-Tafsir al-Bayāni li al-Qur'ān al-Karim. 2 vols. Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1962-1969.
- Abdul, M. O. A. "The Historical Development of Tafsīr." Islamic Culture 50 (1976): 141-153.
- Abū al-Naṣr, Muḥammad Aḥmad. 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abbās: Ḥabr al-Ummah wa Tarjumān al-Qur'ān. Beirut: Dār al-Jīl, 1992.
- Adams, Charles J. "Islamic Religious Tradition." In *The Study of the Middle East*, edited by Leonard Binder. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1976: 29-95.
- Al-'Akk, Khālid 'Abd al-Raḥmān. *Uṣul al-Tafsir li Kitāb Allāh al-Munir*. [Damascus: Maktabat al-Fārābī, 1968].
- Amīn, Aḥmad. Fajr al-Islām. 10th edition. Cairo: Maktabat al-Nahḍah al-Miṣrīyah, 1965.
- Al-Amin, Ḥasan. Islamic Shi'ite Encyclopaedia. Vol. 1. Beirut, 1968.
- Al-Anbārī, Abū Bakr Muḥammad al-Qāsim ibn Bashshār al-Nahwī. Kitāb Iḍāḥ al-Waqf wa al-Ibtidā' fī Kitāb Allāh 'Azza wa Jalla. Edited by Muḥyī al-Dīn 'Abd al-Raḥmān Ramaḍān. Damascus: Majma' al-Lughah al-'Arabīyah, 1971.
- Ayoub, Mahmoud. The Qur'an and Its Interpreters. Vol. 1. Albany: State University

- of New York Press, 1984.
- Al-'Azm, Jamil Bek. 'Uquīd al-Jawhar. Vol. 4. Beirut: al-Maṭba'ah al-Ahliyah, [1908].
- Al-Balādhurī, Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā. Ansāb al-Ashrāf. Edited by 'Abd al-'Azīz al-Dūrī. Vol. 3. Beirut: al-Maṭba'ah al-Kāthūlīkiyah, 1978.
- Beeston, A. F. L. "Background Topics." In *Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period*, edited by A. F. L. Beeston, et al. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983: 1-26.
- Birkeland, Harris. Old Muslim Opposition against Interpretation of the Koran. Oslo: I Kommisjon Hos Jacob Dybwad, 1955.
- Boullata, Issa J. "Modern Qur'ānic Exegesis; a Study of Bint al-Shāṭī"s Method." Muslim World 64 (1974): 103-113.
- . "Poetry Citation as Interpretative Illustration in Qur'anic Exegesis: Masa'il Nafi' Ibn al-Azraq." In Islamic Studies Presented to Charles J. Adams, edited by Wael B. Hallaq and Donald P. Little. Leiden, New York: E. J. Brill, 1991: 27-40.
- Bowen, H.-[C. E. Bosworth]. "Niṣām al-Mulk." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al. Vol. 8. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1995: 69-73.
- Brockelmann, C. Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur. Supplement. 3 vols. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1938.
- _____. *Geschichte der arabischen Litteratur*. 2 vols. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1943-1949.
- Buhl, Fr. "Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyya." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al. Vol. 7. Leiden, New York: E. J. Brill, 1993: 402-403.
- Al-Bukhārī, Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl. Kitāb al-Du'afā' al-Ṣaghīr. Beirut: 'Ālam al-Kutub, 1984.
- _____. Mu'jam Gharib̄ al-Qur'ān. Cairo: 'Īsā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī, [1950].
- _____. Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhāri. Vol. 6. Cairo: Maktabat 'Abd al-Ḥamid Aḥmad Ḥanafi, n.d.

- Burrowes, Robert D. Historical Dictionary of Yemen. London: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1995.
- Burton, John. *The Collection of the Qur'an*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1977.
- Cornell, Vincent J. "Qur'ān: The Qur'ān as Scripture." In *The Oxford Encyclopedia* of the Modern Islamic World. Edited by John L. Esposito. Vol 3. New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995: 387-394.
- Al-Dāwūdī, Muḥammad ibn 'Alī. *Ṭabaqāt al-Mufassirīn*. Edited by 'Alī Muḥammad 'Umar. Vol. 2. Cairo: Maktabat Wahbah, [1972].
- Al-Dhahabi, Muḥammad Ḥusayn. Al-Tafsir wa al-Mufassirun. Vol. 1. Cairo: Dār al-Kutub al-Ḥadithah, 1961.
- Al-Dhahabi, Muhammad el-Sayyed Husein. "Israelitic Narratives in Exegesis and Tradition." In *The Fourth Conference of the Academy of Islamic Research*. Cairo: General Organization for Government Printing Offices, 1970: 579-735.
- Faruqi, Nisar Ahmed. Early Muslim Historiography. New Delhi: Idarah-i Adabiyat-i Delli, 1979.
- Al-Fīrūzābādī, Muḥammad ibn Ya'qūb. Baṣa'ir Dhawī al-Tamyīz fī Laṭa'if al-Kitāb al-'Azīz. Edited by Muḥammad 'Alī al-Najjār. 4 vols. Cairo: Lajnat Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-Islāmī, 1964-1973.
- _____. Al-Bulghah fi Tārikh A'immat al-Lughah. Edited by Muḥammad al-Miṣri.
 Damascus: Manshūrāt Wizārat al-Thaqāfah, 1971.
- _____. Al-Durar al-Mubaththathah fi al-Ghurar al-Muthallathah. Edited by 'Alī Ḥusayn al-Bawwāb. Riyad: Dār al-Siwā', 1981.
- _____. Al-Qāmus al-Muḥiṭ. Vol. 2. Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah, n.d.
- _____. Al-Ṣilāt wa al-Bishr fī al-Ṣalāt 'alā Khayr al-Bashar. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1985.
- _____. *Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās*. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, n. d.
- _____. Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās. Cairo: Sharikat Maktabat wa

- Matba'at Mustafā al-Bābī al-Halabī wa Awlādih, n.d. _. Tanwir al-Miqbās min Tafsir Ibn 'Abbās. Multan: Fārūgi Kutub Khānah, 1975/1976. "Firuzabadi." In Encyclopaedia Britannica. Vol. 9. Chicago: Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., 1970: 316. Fleisch, H. "Al-Firūzābādi." In The Encyclopaedia of Islam. New edition, edited by B. Lewis, Ch. Pellat and J. Schacht. Vol. 2. Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1965: 926-927. Füdah, Mahmud Basyuni. Nash'at al-Tafsir wa Manahijuh fi Daw' al-Madhahib al-Islāmiyah. Cairo: Matba'at al-Amānah, 1986. Gätje, Helmut. The Qur'an and its Exegesis. Translated and edited by Alford T. Welch. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1976. Goldziher, Ignaz. Die Richtungen der islamischen Koranauslegung. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1952. _. Madhāhib al-Tafsir al-Islāmi. 2nd edition. Translated by 'Abd al-Ḥalim al-Najjār. Beirut: Dār Iqrā', 1983. Goldfeld, Yeshayahu. "The Development of Theory on Qur'anic Exegesis in Islamic Scholarship." Studia Islamica 67-68 (1988): 5-27. _. "The Tafsir or Abdallah b. 'Abbās." Der Islam 58 (1981): 125-135. Hājji Khalifah. Kashf al-Zunun 'an Asami al-Kutub wa al-Funun. Edited by Muhammad Sharif al-Din Yāltiqāyā. Vol. 1. Istanbul: Wakālat al-Ma'ārif, 1941. _. Kashf al-Zunun 'an Asami al-Kutub wa al-Funun: Lexicon Bibliographicum et Encyclopaedicum. Edited by Gustav Flügel. 7 vols. New York: Johnson Reprint, 1964.
- Hamid, Abdul Wahid. Companions of the Prophet. London: MELS, 1985.
- Hassan, Hassan Ibrahim. "Aspects of Shi'ah History." Muslim World 47 (1957): 271-282.
- Heath, Peter. "Creative Hermeneutics: A Comparative Analysis of Three Islamic

- Approaches." Arabica 36 (1989): 173-210.
- Hirsch, E. D, Jr. Validity in Interpretation. New Haven, London: Yale University Press, 1967.
- Hirschfeld, H. "Historical and Legendary Controversies between Mohammed and the Rabbis." The Jewish Quarterly Review 10 (1897-1898): 100-116.
- Hodgson, M. G. S. "Abd Allāh b. Saba'." In *The Encylopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by H. A. R. Gibb, et al. Vol. 1. Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1960: 51.
- _____. "How did the Early Shi'a Become Sectarian?" Journal of the American Oriental Society 75 (1955): 1-13.
- Huart, Clément. A History of Arabic Literature. London: William Heinemann, 1903.
- Ibn 'Abd al-Barr, Abū 'Umar Yūsuf al-Namarī al-Qurṭubī. Jāmi' Bayān al-'Ilm wa Faḍlih. Vol. 1. Cairo: Idārat al-Ṭibā'ah al-Munīrah, n.d.
- Ibn 'Āshūr, Muḥammad al-Fāḍil. Al-Tafsir wa Rijāluh. Tunis: Manshūrāt al-Lughāt Dār al-Kutub al-Sharqīyah, 1966.
- Ibn al-Athir, 'Izz al-Din. *Usd al-Ghābah fi Ma'rifat al-Ṣaḥābah*. Edited by Muḥammad Ibrāhim, Muḥammad Aḥmad 'Āshur and Maḥmūd 'Abd Wahāb Fāyid. Vol. 3. [Cairo]: al-Sha'b, 1970.
- Ibn Ḥajar al-'Asqalāni, Aḥmad ibn 'Ali. Al-Iṣābah fi Tamyiz al-Ṣaḥābah. Vol. 2. Cairo: Maṭba'at Muṣṭafā Muḥammad, 1939.
- _____. *Tahdhib al-Tahdhib*. 12 vols. Hyderabad: Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-Nizāmīyah, 1907-1909.
- Ibn Ḥazm, 'Alī ibn Aḥmad. Al-Faṣl fī al-Milal wa al-Ahwa' wa al-Niḥal. Vol. 1. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1986.
- Ibn Hishām, 'Abd al-Malik. Al-Sirah al-Nabawiyah. Edited by Muṣṭafā al-Saqqā, Ibrāhim al-Abyāri and 'Abd al-Ḥafīz Shalabi. Vol. 2. Cairo: Maṭba'at Muṣṭafā al-Bābi al-Ḥalabi, 1936.
- Ibn al-'Imad, Abū al-Falāḥ 'Abd al-Ḥayy al-Ḥanbalī. Shadharat al-Dhahab fī Akhbar man Dhahab. Vol. 7. Cairo: Maktabat al-Qudsī, [1931-1932].

- Ibn al-Jazari, Shams al-Din. Ghāyat al-Nihāyah fī Ṭabaqāt al-Qurrā'. Edited by G. Bergsträsser. Vol. 2. Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah, 1933.
- Ibn Khallikān. Wafayat al-A'yan wa Anba' Abna' al-Zaman. Edited by Iḥsan 'Abbas. 8 vols. Beirut: Dar Ṣādir, 1977-1978.
- Ibn al-Nadīm, Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq. Kitāb al-Fihrist. Edited by Gustav Flügel. Beirut: Maktabat Khayyāṭ, [1966].
- Ibn Qāḍi Shuhbah, Abū Bakr ibn Aḥmad. *Ṭabaqāt al-Shāfi'iyah*. Edited by al-Ḥāfiẓ 'Abd al-'Alīm Khān. Vol. 4. Hyderabad: Maṭba'at Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmāniyah, 1980.
- Ibn Rajab, al-Ḥāfiz Zayn al-Dīn Abī al-Faraj 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Aḥmad al-Ḥanbalī. Nūr al-Iqtibās fī Mishkāt Waṣiyat al-Nabī Ṣallā Allāh 'Alayh wa Sallam li Ibn 'Abbās. Edited by Muḥammad ibn Nāṣir al-'Ajmī. Kuwait: Maktabat Dār al-Iqṣā, 1986.
- Ibn Sa'd, Muḥammad. *Al-Ṭabaqāt al-Kubrā*. 8 vols. Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, Dār Bayrūt, 1957-1958.
- Ibn Rushd, Abu al-Walid Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad Ḥafid. Bidāyat al-Mujtahid wa Nihāyat al-Muqtaṣid. Vol. 1. Cairo: al-Maktabat al-Tijāriyah al-Kubra, n.d.
- Ibn Taymiyah, Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalim. Muqaddimah fi Uṣul al-Tafsir. Edited by 'Adnān Zarzūr. Kuwait: Dār al-Qur'ān al-Karim, 1971.
- Al-'Irāqi, 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥusayn. Al-Taqyid wa al-Iḍaḥ: Sharḥ Muqaddimat Ibn al-Ṣalaḥ. Edited by 'Abd al-Raḥmān Muḥammad 'Uthmān. N.p.: Dār al-Fikr, 1981.
- Al-Isra' wa al-Mi'raj li al-Imam Ibn 'Abbas. Beirut: Dar al-Kitab al-Libnani, al-Dar al-Ifriqiyah al-'Arabiyah, 1983.
- Ivanow, W. "Early Shi'ite Movements." Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 17 (1941): 1-23.
- Jeffery, Arthur (ed.). Materials for the History of the Text of the Qur'an. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1937.
- Jones, Alan. "Narrative Technique in the Qur'an and in Early Poetry." Journal of

- Arabic Literature 25 (1994): 185-191.
- Al-Jubori, Yahya Wahib. Script and Writing in the Arab Civilization. Beirut: Dar al-Gharb al-Islami, 1994.
- Al-Jubūri, Abū al-Yaqzān 'Aṭiyah. Dirāsāt fi al-Tafsir wa Rijālih. Cairo: al-Maṭba'ah al-'Arabiyah al-Jadidah, [1971].
- Jullandri, Rashid Ahmad. "Qur'ānic Exegesis and Classical Tafsir." Islamic Quarterly 12 (1968): 71-119.
- Al-Jurāfī, 'Abd Allāh ibn 'Abd al-Karīm. Al-Muqtaṭaf min Tārikh al-Yaman. Beirut: Manshūrāt al-'Aṣr al-Ḥadīth, 1987.
- Al-Kattānī, Muḥammad ibn Ja'far. Al-Risalah al-Mustaṭrafah li Bayan Mashhur Kutub al-Sunnah al-Musharrafah. Damascus: Maṭba'at Dār al-Fikr, 1964.
- Khalidov, A. B. "Problems of Authorship in Classical Arabic Literature." *Arabist:* Budapest Studies in Arabic 15-16 (1995): 143-147.
- Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī, Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn 'Alī. *Taqyid al-'Ilm*. Edited by Yūsuf al-'Ash. Damascus: al-Ma'had al-Firansī, 1949.
- Kister, M. J. "Ḥaddithū 'an banī isra'ila wa-la ḥaraja." Israel Oriental Studies 11 (1972): 215-239.
- Al-Lahīdān, Ṣāliḥ. Kutub Tarajim al-Rijal. Vol. 1. Riyad: Dār Ṭuwayq li al-Nashr wa al-Tawzī', 1994.
- Lane, Edward William. *An Arabic-English Lexicon*. Vol. 1. London, Edinburgh: Williams and Norgate, 1863.
- Leemhuis, Fred. "Origins and Early Development of the *tafsir* Tradition." In *Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'an*, edited by Andrew Rippin. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988: 13-30.
- Lewis, Bernard. The Jews of Islam. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1984.
- Lichtenstadter, Ilse. "Quran and Quran Exegesis." Humaniora Islamica 2 (1974): 3-28.
- Lockhart, L. "Fars." In *The Encylopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by B. Lewis, Ch. Pellat and J. Schacht. Vol. 2. Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co.,

1965: 811-812.

- Al-Maḥallī, Jalāl al-Dīn and Jalāl al-Dīn al-Suyūṭī. *Tafsīr al-Jalālayn*. Edited by Muṣṭafā Qaṣṣāṣ. Beirut: Dār al-'Ilm lī al-Malāyīn, 1990.
- Masa'il al-Imam al-Ṭisti 'an As'ilat Nafi' ibn al-Azraq wa Ajwibat 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas. Edited by 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Umayrah. 2 vols. Cairo: Dār al-I'tiṣām, 1994.
- Mir, Mustansir. "Tafsīr." In *The Oxford Encyclopedia of the Modern Islamic World*, edited by John L. Esposito. Vol. 4. New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995: 169-176.
- Al-Mizzī, Yūsuf ibn al-Zakī 'Abd Raḥmān. *Tahdhib al-Kamāl fī Asmā' al-Rijāl*. Edited by Bashshār 'Awwād Ma'rūf. 4th edition. 35 vols. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 1980-1992.
- Naṣṣār, Ḥusayn. Al-Mu'jam al-'Arabi: Nash'atuh wa Taṭawwuruh. Vol. 1. Cairo: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabi, 1956.
- Newby, Gordon D. "Tafsir Isra'iliyat." In *Studies in Qur'an and Tafsir*, edited by Alford T. Welch. Chico, California: American Academy of Religion, 1979: 685-697.
- Norris, H. T. "Qiṣaṣ Elements in the Qur'ān." In Arabic Literature to the End of the Umayyad Period, edited by A. F. L. Beeston. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983: 246-259.
- Al-Qaṭṭān, Mannā'. Mabāḥith fī 'Ulum al-Qur'an. N.p.: Manshūrāt al-'Aṣr al-Ḥadīth, 1971.
- Rahbar, Daud. "The Relation of Shi'a Theology." Muslim World 51 (1961): 92-98, 211-216; 52 (1962): 17-21, 124-128.
- Rippin, Andrew. "Ibn 'Abbās's al-Lughāt fi'l-Qur'ān." BSOAS 44 (1981): 15-25.
- _____. "Lexicographical Texts and the Qur'an." In Approaches to the History of the Interpretation of the Qur'an, edited by Andrew Rippin. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988: 158-174.
- _____. "Notes and Communications: Ibn 'Abbās's Gharib al-Qur'ān." BSOAS 46 (1983): 332-333.

- . "The Present Status of Tafsir Studies." Muslim World 72 (1982): 224-238.
- _____. "Studying Early Tafsir Texts." Der Islam 72 (1995): 310-323.
- _____. "Tafsīr." In *The Encyclopedia of Religion*, edited by Mircea Eliade. Vol. 14. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, 1987: 236-244.
- _____. "Tafsir Ibn 'Abbas and Criteria for Dating Early Tafsir Texts." Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 18 (1994): 38-83.
- _____. "Al-Zuhri, Naskh al-Qur'an and the Problem of Early Tafsir Texts." BSOAS 47 (1984): 22-43.
- Al-Sakhāwī, 'Abd al-Raḥmān. Al-Daw' al-Lāmi' li Ahl al-Qarn al-Tāsi'. Vol. 10. Beirut: Manshūrāt Dār Maktabat al-Ḥayāh, 1966.
- Al-Ṣālih, Ṣubḥī. 'Ulum al-Ḥadith wa Muṣṭalaḥuh. 4th edition. Beirut: Dār al-'Ilm li al-Malāyīn, 1966.
- Sālim, Ismā'īl. Rukhṣ Ibn 'Abbās wa Mufradātuh: Dirāsah Fiqhiyah Muqāranah. Cairo: Dār al-Naṣr li al-Tawzī' wa al-Nashr, 1993.
- Salqini, 'Abd Allah Muḥammad. Ḥabr al-Ummah 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas wa Madrasatuh fi al-Tafsir bi Makkah al-Mukarramah. Beirut: Dar al-Salam, 1986.
- Al-Sāmirrā'ī, Ibrāhīm. Su'alat Nafi' ibn al-Azraq ila 'Abd Allah ibn 'Abbas. Baghdad: Matba'at al-Ma'ārif, 1968.
- Schacht, J. "Abū Ḥanīfa al-Nu'mān." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by H. A. R. Gibb, et al. Vol. 1. Leiden: E. J. Brill; London: Luzac & Co., 1960: 123-124.
- Sezgin, Fuat. Geschichte des arabischen Schrifttums. 9 vols. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1967-.
- Shalabi, Maḥmūd. Ḥayat Ibn 'Abbās: Ḥabr al-Ummah. Beirut: Dār al-Jīl, 1990.
- Shams al-Dīn Al-Dhahabī, Abū 'Abd Allāh. *Kitāb Tadhkirat al-Ḥuffāz*. 4th edition. Vol. 1. Hyderabad: Maṭba'at Majlis Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-'Uthmānīyah, 1928.
- Smith, G. R. "Rasūlids." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by C. E. Bosworth, et al. Vol. 8. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1995: 455-457.

- Strothmann, R. "Zabīd." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*, edited by M. Th. Houtsma, et al. Vol. 8. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1987: 1183-1185.
- Al-Suyūṭī, Jalāl al-Dīn. Bughyat al-Wu'āh fī Ṭabaqāt al-Lughawiyin wa al-Nuḥāh. Edited by Aḥmad Nājī al-Jamālī, et al. Vol. 1. Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Sa'ādah, 1908.
- _____. Bughyat al-Wu'āh fī Ṭabaqāt al-Lughawiyin wa al-Nuḥāh. Edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm. Vol. 1. Cairo: Maṭba'at 'Īsā al-Bābī al-Ḥalabī wa Shurakā'ih, 1964.
- _____. Al-Itqān fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān. 3rd edition. Edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Fadl Ibrāhim. 4 vols. Cairo: Dār al-Turāth, 1985.
- Al-Ṭabari, Muḥammad ibn Jarir. Jāmi' al-Bayān fi Tafsir al-Qur'ān. 30 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1986-1987.
- _____. *Tārīkh al-Ṭabarī*: *Tārīkh al-Rusul wa al-Muluk*. Edited by Muḥammad Abū al-Faḍl Ibrāhīm. 9 vols. Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, 1960-.
- Al-Ṭabarsi, 'Ali al-Faḍl ibn al-Ḥasan. Majma' al-Bayān fi Tafsir al-Qur'ān. 5 vols. Qum, Iran: Maktabat Āyat Allāh al-'Uzmā al-Mar'ashi al-Najafi, [1983].
- Tahhān, Mahmūd. Taysir Muṣṭalaḥ al-Ḥadith. 5th edition. Riyad: Maktabat al-Rushd, 1983.
- Tāshkubrizādah, Aḥmad ibn Muṣṭafā. Miftāḥ al-Sa'ādah wa Miṣbāḥ al-Siyādah. Vol. 1. Hyderabad: Maṭba'at Dā'irat al-Ma'ārif al-Niẓāmīyah, 1911.
- Al-Tha'labi, Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad. Qur'anic Commentary in the Eastern Islamic Tradition of the First Four Centuries of the Hijra: An Annotated Edition of the Preface to al-Tha'labi's "Kitab al-Kashf wa'l Bayan 'an Tafsir al-Qur'an". Edited by Isaiah Goldfeld. Acre: Srugy-Printers and Publishers, 1984.
- Tisdall, W. St. Clair. "Shi'ah Additions to the Koran." Muslim World 3 (1913): 227-241.
- Al-Ṭūsī, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan. Ikhtiyār Ma'rifat al-Rijāl: al-Ma'ruf bi Rijāl al-Kashshi. Edited by Mahdī al-Rajā'ī. Qum: Mu'assasat 'Al al-Bayt, [1984].
- Vaglieri, L. Veccia. "'Abd Allāh b. al-'Abbās." In *The Encylopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by H. A. R. Gibb, et al. Vol. 1. Leiden: E. J. Brill; London:

- Luzac & Co., 1960: 40-41.
- Vajda, G. "Isrā'iliyāt." In *The Encyclopaedia of Islam*. New edition, edited by E. van Donzel, B. Lewis and Ch. Pellat. Vol. 4. Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1978: 211-212.
- Versteegh, C. H. M. Arabic Grammar and Qur'anic Exegesis in Early Islam. Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1993.
- Wansbrough, John. Quranic Studies: Sources and Methods of Scriptural Interpretation. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1977.
- Watt, W. Montgemery. *Bell's Introduction to the Qur'an*. Edinburgh: The University Press, 1970.
- Wenner, Manfred W. The Yemen Arab Republic. Boulder: Westview Press, 1991.
- Yāqūt, ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Ḥamawi. *Mu'jam al-Udabā*'. 2nd edition. 20 vols. Cairo: Dār al-Ma'mūn, 1936-1938.
- _____. Mu'jam al-Buldan. Vol. 4. Beirut: Dar Ṣādir and Dar Bayrūt, 1957.
- Yusuf, Badmas 'Lanre. "Evolution and Development of Tafsīr." Islamic Quarterly 38 (1994): 34-47.
- Yūsuf 'Alī, 'Abd Allāh. The Holy Qur-ān: Arabic Text with English Translation and Commentary. Medina: King Fahd Holy Qur-ān Printing Complex, [1989 or 1990].
- Al-Zabīdī, Murtaḍā al-Ḥusaynī. *Tāj al-'Arūs min Jawāhir al-Qāmūs*. Edited by 'Abd al-Sattār Muḥammad Farrāj. Vol. 1. Kuwait: Maṭba'at Ḥukūmat al-Kuwayt, 1965.
- Zaghlūl, al-Shaḥḥāt al-Sayyid. Al-Ittijāhāt al-Fikriyah fi al-Tafsir. Alexandria: al-Hay'ah al-Miṣriyah al-'Āmmah li al-Kitāb, 1975.
- Al-Zamakhsharī, Maḥmūd ibn 'Umar. Al-Kashshāf 'an Ḥaqa'iq al-Tanzil wa 'Uyun al-Aqāwil fī Wujuh al-Ta'wil. 4 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, n.d.
- Al-Zarkashī, Badr al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn 'Abd Allāh. Al-Burhān fī 'Ulum al-Qur'ān. Edited by Muṣṭafā 'Abd al-Qādir 'Aṭā. 4 vols. Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmīyah, 1988.
- Al-Zāwī, Ṭāhir Aḥmad. Tartib al-Qāmus al-Muḥiţ. Cairo: Maṭba'at al-Istiqāmah,