Carbonyl Reduction and Biomass: a Case Study of Sustainable Catalysis

Alain You Li[†], Audrey Moores*[†]

[†]Centre for Green Chemistry and Catalysis, Department of Chemistry, McGill University,

801 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, QC, H3A 0B8, Canada. <u>*Audrey.moores@mcgill.ca</u>

Graphical abstract



Abstract

Catalysis plays a major role at mitigating the environmental impact of the chemical industry, drastically cutting its energy and material consumption. For this perspective, we have chosen C=O reduction in the context of biomass as a benchmark reaction to introduce and illustrate essential aspects of green catalysis. We first covered the most used C=O hydrogenation substrates made from biomass. Then, we looked at alternative energy sources to convective heating, discussed the use of greener solvents and reductants, and listed a few precious metal-free catalytic systems. Finally we looked at various hydrogen sources, including bio-sourced ones. In particular, we emphasized the use of metrics in order to quantify the actual impact of these innovations.

Keywords

Catalysis - Hydrogenation - Biomass - Solvent - Energy

Synopsis (1 sentence 20 words)

C=O reduction of biomass was used as a benchmark to give an overview of recent developments in catalysis

Introduction

From the inception of green chemistry, catalysis has been considered a key tool to achieve a more sustainable chemical industry, and was coined a "pillar of green chemistry", in addition to being one of its 12 principles.¹ Indeed catalysis offers the possibility to positively impact a chemical process in many different ways, including lowering energy and materials inputs, increasing conversion and selectivity, thus improving upon separation and purification and favoring less dangerous and toxic reagents. In a quarter century of green chemistry research,² catalysis has enabled some of its finest developments. Catalysis has proven a particularly appealing strategy towards tackling the grand challenge of biomass conversion to useful chemicals and fuels.

Catalytic reduction is among the most important chemical transformations in volume in industry and are routinely employed at all scales of chemical production.³ Reduction is a particularly crucial process in the context of biomass transformation, since the lignocellulosic material is oxygen rich, with a key feature on carbonyl reduction.⁴ Indeed, most biomass-derived molecules are naturally C=O/C-O-rich, in contrast to petroleum-based chemicals.⁵

Hydrogen gas is often considered an ideal reducing agent both in terms of cost and atom economy. Indeed, hydrogenation has been largely explored in academia, with the first catalytic example reported in 1874 for olefin hydrogenation.⁶ It has become a powerful tool for key processes in the industry, such as the Haber-Bosch process, the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, or oil refining.⁷ Despite its advantages, the use of H₂ gas may be problematic in some settings because of its flammability and the need for pressurization. On the other side of the spectrum, in the pharmaceutical industry, the most common reducing agents used are LiAlH₄ and NaBH₄. These inorganic salts are particularly reactive toward carbonyls, and have been successfully implemented in large-scale processes (>100 mol scale).⁸ Noyori-type catalysts are, on their end, most used for asymmetric prochiral ketone reduction.⁹

In the context of biomass upgrading, H₂ is commonly used as the reducing agent, in conjunction with a catalyst. Platinum group metals (PGMs) such as Pd, Ru as both homogeneous and heterogeneous systems are commonly investigated for their activity and selectivity. These come with their own drawbacks: low abundance,¹⁰ high toxicity,¹¹ and recycling issues.¹² Thus a lot of research efforts are dedicated to the development of heterogeneous catalysts and/or the use of earth abundant metals in the context of biomass upgrading.¹³ Transfer hydrogenation methodologies have also been explored.⁴

For this perspective, we have chosen C=O reduction in the context of biomass as a benchmark reaction to introduce and illustrate essential aspects of green catalysis. We have reviewed some of the most used C=O hydrogenation substrates taken from biomass (1). We looked at alternative energy sources and solvent used in this context (2 and 3). We then explored the development of greener catalysts based on nonprecious metals (4), before exploring the importance of the hydrogen sources, with a special attention to bio-sourced ones (5). Throughout the manuscript, attempts were made to highlight how green chemistry metrics were used to shed light on the relevance of the reported methods. Green metrics are an essential means to bring rational comparison and perspective and their use is encouraged.¹⁴ The field of biomass catalytic reduction is the center of a lot of scientific attention and as such, the reader is encouraged to consult recent reviews of this field.⁴

C=O hydrogenation substrates

Benzylic carbonyls (namely benzaldehyde and acetophenone) are commonly reported as model substrates for the C=O hydrogenation reaction, due to their high reactivity and ease of handling, and are easy first steps in the exploration of a new reduction catalyst. Unsurprisingly reduction of biomass-derived platform molecules, in particular levulinic acid (LA) or 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (5-HMF), is intensely explored as well.¹⁵ They can be made from acidic treatment of glucose or cellulose, and for both, pilot plants dedicated to their production from biomass have been built.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ Their reduction products are useful building blocks available for further functionalization, showing the key role of C=O hydrogenation in chemical industry. Typically, selectivity is an important aspect, with carbonyl hydrogenation being less favored compared to nitroarene, alkyne and alkene hydrogenation (**Error! Reference source not found.**).¹⁸

Scheme 1. Usual reactivity trends in hydrogenation.

Another industrially relevant and bio-based target is citral. It contains one C=O and two unsaturated C=C bonds with various steric hindrances, making for challenging selectivity issues as well. In the context of using biomass as substrate for hydrogenation, it is important to note that there are still open questions about the sustainability of such resources. One must also take in account the origin of a chemical, as well as its fate after use. Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) comes in as a powerful tool to thoroughly evaluate a chemical's environmental impact through

different scopes (e.g. ozone depletion, fossil fuel consumption, ecotoxicity, global warming, etc...).¹⁹ For instance, Montazeri *et al.* compared the LCA of the production of lignin-based *tert*-butyl catechol (TBC) and fossil fuel-based TBC.²⁰ They showed that the use of dichloromethane as an extraction solvent in the former route heavily penalized its ozone depletion burden by a 1000-fold factor while overall both routes had the same global warming impact (1.25 kg_{CO2}/kg_{TBC}). More work on this field is certainly important to provide context in some of the chemistry described in this review.²¹ ²²

Levulinic acid

LA forms γ -valerolactone (GVL) upon hydrogenation and subsequent cyclization (Scheme 2).²³ GVL is useful in itself as a fuel additive or solvent,²⁴ and can be further reduced to 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF) for similar purposes. It can be further converted to α -methylene- γ -valerolactone (MeMBL) using formaldehyde, and serves as a methyl methacrylate upgrade in its polymerisation.²⁵ Indeed, it increases the glass transition temperature of the resulting copolymer by 100°C compared to pure poly-methyl methacrylate. GVL can also reversibly convert to pentenoic acid (PEA),²⁶ which can be further hydrogenated to pentanoic acid (PA). All these intermediates can be decarboxylated or used as chemical building blocks or fuel additives.²⁷ Another closely related pathway to carbonyl hydrogenation is reductive amination, from which LA can form 2-pyrrolidinone derivatives.²⁸ In practice, since the carboxylate function in LA can poison catalyst surfaces, ethyl levulinate (EL) and butyl levulinate (BL) can be used instead. Both of them can be easily converted to GVL by C=O reduction.²⁹



Scheme 2. LA hydrogenation and further upgrade pathways.

Furfural and 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural

Furfural (FF) and 5-HMF are both furan derivatives that can be generated from the acidcatalyzed dehydration of biomass-derived xylose and glucose respectively.³⁰ Both of them constitute promising substitutes building blocks derived from petrochemicals in the production of plastics and fine chemicals.³¹

The reduction of 5-HMF into 2,5-dihydroxymethylfuran (DHMF) has been covered by Hu *et al.* (Scheme 3).³² This symmetrical diol can be directly used as a co-monomer, for example with succinic acid to form poly(2,5-furandimethylene succinates) (PFS).³³ It can also be etherified using various short chain alcohols to form 2,5-bis(alkoxymethyl)furan (BAMF) that can be used as fuel additives.³⁴ Lastly, it can be re-arranged into 6-hydroxy-6-methyl-4-enyl-2H-pyran-3-one (HMEPO), an intermediate for the synthesis of sugar analogues and compounds with excellent biological activities.³⁵ Furfural can be reduced to furfuryl alcohol (FFA), that can be mostly converted to fuel additives (2-methylfuran and 2-methyltetrahydrofuran) and solvents (tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol).³⁶ FFA can also be hydrogenated then isomerized into cyclopentanone, an important chemical intermediate to produce insecticides and rubber chemicals.³⁷



Scheme 3. FF and 5-HMF hydrogenation and further upgrade pathways.

Citral

Citral, a monoterpenoid containing three unsaturations (1 C=O bond and 2 C=C bonds), is distilled from essential oils such as lemongrass oil. It is responsible for the 'citrus effect' in perfumes and other flavored consumer goods, and widely used as such. It can be cyclized into other flavors such as menthol.³⁸ Stolle *et al.* have identified citral hydrogenation as a challenging process, considering the selectivity issue stemming from the presence of three double bonds (making up to 8 potential products, Scheme 4).³⁹ Carefully designed catalytic systems, composed of transition metals Ag, Ru or Os, combined with acidic promoters such as In₂O₃, CeO₂ or MgO, have enabled breakthrough toward improved reactivity and selectivity in favor of C=O reduction.⁴⁰



Scheme 4. Citral hydrogenation selectivity issues (each hydrogenation pathway is indicated above the arrows).

Alternative energy sources

As outlined by Varma *et al.*, a number of alternative energy inputs have been successfully applied to both organic chemistry and material synthesis.⁴¹ These all constitute an effort towards improving the efficiency of a reaction from an energetic perspective. Indeed, most organic reactions are conducted using convective heating, a slow method relying on convection currents and thermal conductivity to transfer energy to the reaction mixture.⁴²

Microwave heating

Microwave irradiations occur in the 0.3-300 GHz range and are typically used in chemistry at 2.45 GHz. This frequency corresponds to a wavelength of 12.24 cm, and photon energy of 0.0016 eV, thus lower than Brownian motion.⁴³ Instead, microwave dielectric heating relies on the capacity of the reaction medium to absorb microwave energy and dissipate it into heat through a dipole oscillating field alignment then friction phenomenon, along with an ionic conduction mechanism.⁴⁴ In the case of conducting or semi-conducting materials such as metals, ohmic heating phenomenon can be involved as well.⁴⁵ Overall, this results in a faster and more homogeneous heating of the reaction medium, and has been successfully applied to both organic chemistry and nano-material synthesis.⁴¹ By drastically reducing reaction time,⁴⁶ energy efficiency is increased compared to conventional heating (>30%).⁴⁷

Early examples (1997-2002) of the use of microwave heating were straightforward transposition of known transfer hydrogenation reactions, namely NaBH₄ reduction⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹ and the Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley reaction.⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ Later, the advent of microwave reactors adapted to organic reactions led to better controlled chemical processes. In 2008, Varma and co-workers reported Ni supported on a magnetite support, using dopamine as a linker (Ni@Fe₃O₄).⁵² Later on, they published a similar report using Ru supported on a magnetite core with a silica shell (Ru@Fe₃O₄).⁵³ Yoshida *et al.* also reported the preparation of Cu supported on hexagonal mesoporous silica (Cu@HMS) under microwave.⁵⁴ These three catalysts share similar performances for the transfer hydrogenation of ketones using *i*PrOH in microwave, requiring a base (NaOH or KOH), and showing high recyclability with no metal leaching (Scheme 5A). Gowda *et al.* reported the use of non-noble metal carbonyls (Fe, Mo, Co, W) as *in situ* precursors to nanocatalysts for LA hydrogenation to GVL (Scheme 5B).⁵⁵ While under conventional heating HCO₂H/NEt₃ reductant was required, EtOH under microwave served as both solvent and reductant in the reaction with a drastic reaction time decrease (from 48 h to 20 min).



Scheme 5. Microwave heating for catalytic carbonyl transfer hydrogenation.

Mechanochemistry

20 million tons of organic solvents are produced every year, and make up to 85% in mass of waste emission for the synthesis of active pharmaceutical ingredients.⁵⁶ These large quantities are required not only to ensure the homogenization of a chemical mixture, but also to perform extraction, purification and cleaning purposes.⁵⁷ A mechanochemical reaction is defined by the IUPAC as 'a chemical reaction that is induced by the direct absorption of mechanical energy", through impact and/or shearing with a milling apparatus.⁵⁸ Furthermore, the absence of solvent can favor an acceleration of reaction rate through high concentration, and offers an opportunity to solve substrate solubility issues.

In fact, mechanochemical reactions are the oldest and simplest techniques used in history, as mortars and pestles have been well documented throughout the history of food and medicine preparation. NaBH₄-induced carbonyl reduction has been readily transposed to mechanochemistry, starting with an early report by Toda *et al.* using manual grinding and improved by Cho *et al.* with the addition of acids (benzoic acid, *p*-toluene sulfonic acid or H₃BO₃).⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰ Mack *et al.* reported the first mechanochemical reduction of carbonyls using a ball-mill mixer, with a further mechanistical study by Naimi-Jamal *et al.*⁶¹⁻⁶² Notably, the addition of LiCl salt could induce the *in situ* formation of LiBH₄ that is reactive enough to reduce esters. Lastly, Solà *et al.* reported a sustainable route for the synthesis of Fluoxetine (Prozac), using microwave heating and ball-milling.⁶³ In their second step, ball-milling with NaBH₄ was successfully applied for the reduction of carbonyls. In this case the source of hydrogen was not conventional NaBH₄, but a solid waste from the silicone industry,

polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS), activated by *tert*-butyl ammonium fluoride on silica (TBAF@SiO₂) as a catalyst.⁶⁴ Notably, 5-HMF was reduced through the fractioned addition of PMHS in three portions, reaching a 69% yield (Scheme 6B). Interestingly separation of product and reagent was simplified compared to the solution-based method.



Scheme 6. A) Sustainable synthesis of Fluoxetine B) Mechanochemical reduction of 5-HMF.

Photochemistry

Photocatalysis is a mode of catalysis where a dye is used to absorb light and cause chemical activation of substrate molecules, usually through the separation of e^{-}/h^{+} pairs. Early carbonyl hydrogenation photocatalysts consisted in light absorption semi-conductors such as CdS NPs,⁶⁵ TiO₂ NPs,⁶⁶⁻⁶⁷ or poly(*p*-phenylene) (PPP),⁶⁸ using sacrificial electron donors such as Et₃N, *i*Pr₂NH, β-mercaptoethanol and lactic acid (Scheme 7A). Sharma *et al.* reported Ru supported on graphitic carbon nitride (Ru@g-C₃N₄), using and short chain alcohols as reductants (EtOH, *n*PrOH, *i*PrOH).⁶⁹ C₃N₄ is a visible light absorbing heterogeneous semiconducting material, that could be recycled up to 6 times (Scheme 7B). König *et al.* reported the synergistic activity of proflavine (PF) as a dye and a Rh complex for aldehyde reduction, functioning under blue light (455 nm) and being compatible with flow conditions (Scheme 7C).⁷⁰ Call *et al.* eventually showed that an earth abundant, oxygen- and water-stable photocatalytic tandem based on Cu and Co was suitable as well.⁷¹ Although outside the scope of this perspective, the field of CO₂ reduction by photoactivation has been particularly dynamic

in the past few years, and the reader is directed towards recent reviews for more details.^{72 73} Interestingly there, water is used as a H_2 source, via a water splitting scheme.



Scheme 7. A) General scheme for photo-induced reduction of carbonyls. B) Ru@g-C₃N₄ as a photocatalyst C) [Rh]/PF as a photocatalytic duet.

Plasmonic catalysis. Plasmonic photocatalysis is based on visible-light absorption of metal NPs resulting from their localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPR).⁷⁴ Indeed, visible light can enable the collective oscillation of the valence electrons of coinage metal NPs (Au, Ag, Cu) and Al NPs, allowing them to act as catalyst through the generation of high-energy electrons. Hao *et al.* used Au NPs supported on SiC (Au@SiC) as plasmonic catalysts for the C=O-selective transfer hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated carbonyls under visible light (Scheme 8).⁷⁵ Similarly, our group exploited the same phenomenon with Ag nanocubes (Ag NC, Scheme 8).⁷⁶ Under mild H₂ pressure (1 bar), especially for Ag NPs, Landry *et al.* successfully reduced a range of 12 carbonyls including aliphatic ketones and cinnamaldehyde at the C=O position. Citral was selectively reduced into geraniol in high yields (79%).



Scheme 8. Plasmon-driven selective reduction of carbonyls using Ag NC.

Solvents

A number of organic solvents are harmful, toxic and environmentally damaging. However, they are crucial in most organic reactions to dissolve chemical compounds, enable heat

dispersion and ensure proper mass transfer. Substituting them or alleviating their use is no simple task, as many factors (such as safety, separation cost, viscosity, solvation properties, chemical stability) must be taken in account to evaluate their impact.⁷⁷ As stated earlier, switching to solvent-free processes is a viable option, whether it is in liquid or solid phase. Not using solvents allows higher reaction rates due to higher concentration (for bimolecular reactions and beyond), as well as lower costs. However, low diffusion rates and heat dispersion issues due to higher mixture viscosity can be encountered in solvent-free conditions. Ultimately, the impact of a solvent on the performance of a reaction or on the economics of a process are the governing parameters, respectively in academia and industry.

In 2010, Prof. Jessop conducted a survey aiming at identifying solvents causing the least environmental damage. Most parameters pointed at supercritical CO₂, water and carefully chosen traditional organic solvents (these 3 categories added up to 75% of the total poll responses).⁷⁸ This puts in perspective the importance given to Ionic Liquids (ILs), in particular in the Green Chemistry journal where 50% of the papers describe results with this class of solvents. These high-end and very polar solvents come with a heavy synthetic cost, although they can be used to treat usually insoluble biomass polymers such as lignin and cellulose.⁷⁹ In the context of C=O reduction in particular, ILs featured poorly as they favor C=C reduction instead.⁸⁰⁻⁸¹ Finally, Prof. Jessop listed a few scientific challenges in green solvent development, such as developing more diverse solvents in terms of polarity and hydrogen bond donor capacity (cf. Kamlet-Taft diagram), while taking in account the synthetic route and safety parameters. For instance, developing a sustainable low boiling-point polar aprotic solvent is still highly desirable. Besides, solvent choice is now made easier via the published guides produced by pharmaceutical companies (Pfizer,⁸² GSK,⁸³⁻⁸⁴ Solvay⁸⁵) and the ACS Green Chemistry Institute (ACS-GCI) Pharmaceutical Roundtable.⁸⁶ In a holistic approach, these aggregated several key parameters such as safety and waste treatment, conveniently helping the process chemist in choosing the most appropriate solvent.

H₂O

For a long time, H₂O as a solvent has been deemed as unsuitable for organic chemistry, despite reactions in nature taking place as aqueous enzymatic processes. Breslow *et al.* made a first breakthrough in 1980 by showing that Diels-Alder cyclization of cyclopentadiene with butanone proceeded 700 times faster *faster* in H₂O than in *iso*-octane.⁸⁷ In the context of carbonyl reduction, the authors exploited H₂O as well to tune selectivity to the most

hydrophobic substrate. Shen *et al.* analyzed by surface vibrational spectroscopy H₂O-hexane and H₂O-air interfaces, showing that in all cases, about 25% of surface water molecules at the hydrophobic interface have one dangling -OH group, protruding into the hydrophobic layer without making hydrogen bonds.⁸⁸ Thus, these dangling bonds have been hypothesized to decrease activation barriers, effectively accelerating chemical reactions where the transition state benefits from hydrogen bonding with H₂O.⁸⁹

Surfactants can be employed to maximize water/organic layer interface, while using minimal amounts of organic solvents and metal catalysts (up to ppm levels).⁹⁰ Overall, switching to micellar catalysis drastically reduces the E-factor of a reaction initially conducted in organic media, up to a tenth of its original value.⁹¹ Surfactants have been applied for great effect on metal-catalyzed asymmetric ketone transfer hydrogenation using HCO₂Na, based on [Cp*Ru(TsDPEN)]-like complexes grafted on a sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) surfactant (Scheme 9A).⁹²⁻⁹⁵

Alternatively, metal complexes can be made recyclable by making them water-soluble.⁹⁶ Typically, this is done by the grafting of hydrophilic groups on the ligand, such as sulfonate groups on triphenylphosphine (TPPTS).⁹⁷ Grosselin *et al.* explored this ligand with Ru and Rh for the hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes in H₂O.⁹⁸ Interestingly, the metals were respectively selective towards C=O and C=C reduction (Scheme 9B). More recent developments were applied to LA hydrogenation, using water-soluble phenanthroline ligands (BPhDS, Scheme 9C).⁹⁹⁻¹⁰⁰



Scheme 9. Approaches for carbonyl reduction in H₂O: A) Using surfactants B) Using watersoluble catalysts C) Application to LA hydrogenation.

Supercritical carbon dioxide

Supercritical CO₂ (sCO₂) has seen great applications in hydrogenations due its mild critical point (304 K, 73.8 atm), the innocuous nature and non-flammability of CO₂, the ease of post-synthesis removal, and notably the full miscibility of H₂ in sCO₂.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ In comparison, at ambient temperature and atmospheric pressure the molar solubility of H₂ in various solvents is as following: $0.8.10^{-3}$ M in H₂O, 1.95×10^{-4} M in EtOH and 2.64×10^{-4} M in acetone.¹⁰⁴

Furthermore, sCO₂ promotes selective C=O reduction due to the dielectric constant of CO₂ increasing with pressure, making it a polar solvent.^{105-103, 106} Arai *et al.* exploited this property for citral hydrogenation, attaining C=O and C=C reduction selectivity with Ru and Pd respectively (65% and 75% selectivity, Scheme 10A).¹⁰⁷ Interestingly, Li *et al.* exploited sCO₂ as a medium for Zn/H₂O-mediated reduction of aldehydes, through *in situ* H₂O splitting (Scheme 10B).¹⁰⁸ Harada *et al.* also reported a catalyst-free carbonyl reduction process in supercritical *i*PrOH (508 K, 48 bar).¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹⁰



Scheme 10. sCO₂ for: A) citral C=O reduction B) Zn/H₂O-induced carbonyl reduction.

Catalysts

Catalysis relies on the principle that a catalyst does not get consumed during a reaction, but rather remains active to engage into further reactive cycles. Practically though, their life time can be limited by inactivation, or simply by the difficulty of their separation from the product and reuse. Not only it is problematic from an economical perspective, it causes toxicity issues in the final product, both for PGMs and more abundant metals (Ni, Cu).¹¹¹ One option, which is favored in industry, is the use of heterogeneous catalysts due to their easy recyclability, although they tend to feature lower activity and selectivity compared to homogeneous complexes. In high-added value synthesis such as pharmaceutical compounds, the use of PGM-containing homogeneous catalysts remains essential. Nonetheless, efforts towards diminishing metal loading or shifting to base metals are on the rise.¹¹²

Base-metal catalysts

Iron. Fe has seen tremendous development in the field of carbonyl hydrogenation, through its cooperation with specifically tailored ligands or supports for H₂ activation.¹¹³ A prime example is the Knölker complex, where Fe is assisted by a cyclopentadienone ligand for the splitting of H₂.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁷ Casey and Guan were the first to show its potency for carbonyl hydrogenation (Scheme 11A),¹¹⁸ followed by Fleischer *et al*.¹¹⁹ In parallel, Morris *et al*. reported a family of Fe-PNNP pincer complexes.¹²⁰⁻¹²² In some cases, it was shown that the active species was actually PNNP-covered Fe(0) NPs (Scheme 11B).¹²³⁻¹²⁴ Finally, Fu *et al*. explored furfural hydrogenation using Fe₂O₃ NPs supported on N-doped graphene, with the catalytic activity stemming from the interaction between Fe and the N atoms of the support.¹²⁵



Scheme 11. Ligand and support-assisted Fe-catalyzed hydrogenation: A) Knölker complex B) Morris' PNNP pincer ligand C) Fe₂O₃ NPs supported on N-doped graphene

Manganese. Homogeneous Mn has seen tremendous developments in the last two years, since the original breakthrough by Beller and co-workers in 2016.¹²⁶⁻¹²⁹ The Mn contamination limit in pharmaceutical compounds is 250 ppm compared to 10 ppm for Ru, added to the fact Mn is the third most abundant transition metal in the Earth's crust after Fe and Ti. Using an air-stable PNP pincer ligand complex (Scheme 12), they reduced a range of nitriles and carbonyls in high yields (92-99% yield for carbonyls).¹³⁰ Citral and 5-HMF was successfully reduced at their carbonyl position (78% and 90% yield respectively). Yet, the introduction of phosphine moieties within a ligand design greatly increases its cost, superseding the price tag of the metal itself. Since then, phosphine-free ligands have been explored such as NNN pincers, aminopyridine or aminotriazole ligands.¹³¹⁻¹³³ These few examples published in only 2 years reveal the scientific excitement around Mn-based complexes, with special relevance to biomass conversion.



Scheme 12. Mn catalysis for carbonyl hydrogenation.

Nickel. Early mentions of homogeneous Ni for carbonyl transfer hydrogenation using *i*PrOH were performed with halide salts (NiCl₂, NiBr₂), coupled with NaOH or KOH.¹³⁴⁻¹³⁶ But contrary to Fe and Mn, heterogeneous Ni for carbonyl transfer hydrogenation has then been massively investigated.¹³⁷ For instance Zr_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}O₂,¹³⁸ Raney Ni,¹³⁹ Ni@CeO₂,¹⁴⁰ or Ni(0) NPs generated by the reduction of NiCl₂ using metallic Li all proved competent for carbonyl reduction using *i*PrOH.^{137, 141} Interestingly, Escande *et al.* prepared EcoNi(0) from the thermal decomposition of a Ni-hyperaccumulating plant, *Psychotria gabriellae*, found in New Caledonia (Scheme 13).¹⁴² The authors used Al₂O₃ as a base and the catalyst was tolerant to -NO₂, -CN and C=C groups. Typically, citral was fully selectively reduced to citronellol (97% yield, 6 h).



Scheme 13. EcoNi(0)-catalyzed carbonyl transfer hydrogenation.

Magnetic catalysts

A convenient method to simplify catalyst separation is to use magnetically recoverable NPs (MNPs), either directly as catalysts or as supports for homogeneous or heterogeneous catalysts.¹⁴³ A few different strategies exist around this concept: the MNPs can be used directly as catalysts for carbonyl reduction such as magnetic CuFe₂O₄ NPs in conjunction with PMHS as reductant.¹⁴⁴ Alternatively, magnetic supports such as Ni on Fe₃O₄ NPs can be used to support the catalyst.¹⁴⁵ Our group, along with Baig *et al.*, has explored this concept with Ru for transfer hydrogenation in *i*PrOH (Scheme 14A).^{53, 146} In both cases the catalyst was easily

recyclable up to 4 times. We also immobilized Ag on Fe₃O₄ NPs, made by microwave using carboxymethyl cellulose as a reductant and linker (Ag-Fe₃O₄@CMC).¹⁴⁷ The catalyst was used for the hydrogenation of carbonyls in water, exhibiting full selectivity to C=O bonds for citral and α -methyl-*trans*-cinnamaldehyde (Scheme 14B).



Scheme 14. A) Ru@SiO₂@Fe₃O₄ and Ru@Fe for carbonyl transfer hydrogenation B) Ag-Fe₃O₄@CMC-catalyzed hydrogenation of aldehydes in water

Frustrated Lewis Pairs

Frustrated Lewis Pairs (FLPs) are a combination of Lewis acids and bases, in which sterics are designed to hinder dative bond formation.¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁴⁹ Stephan and co-workers were first to show that FLP were to be able to split H₂, constituting attractive metal-free hydrogenation catalysts.¹⁵⁰ A major breakthrough in this field was made simultaneously by both the Stephan¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵² and the Ashley¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁴ group, showing that a strong Lewis acid such as $B(C_6F_5)_3$ could catalytically reduce carbonyls, in conjunction with Et₂O or THF as a weak Lewis base (Scheme 15A).¹⁵⁵ Mummadi *et al.* adopted the opposite approach from the previous authors, using an aryl-substituted 9-BBN borane as a *weak* Lewis acid, in conjunction with a *strong* Lewis base (Scheme 15B).¹⁵⁶ Their system worked on the gram-scale for EL conversion to GVL (74% yield).



Scheme 15. FLP-catalyzed hydrogenation of carbonyls.

Due to the novelty of FLPs in hydrogenations, no formally heterogenized version has been reported so far. A few closely related systems are worth mentioning though, as they could give some hint on the future of heterogeneous FLPs. Primo *et al.* reported in 2014 exfoliated graphene as a C=C hydrogenation catalyst.¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁵⁸ While the nature of the active sites remains unclear, the authors did mention the co-existence of basic and acidic sites on their material, effectively exerting a FLP-induced H₂ activation.

From the examples covered throughout in this section, the following themes have been tackled:

-Investigation of base metal catalysis. Indeed, base metals such as Co, Cu, Fe, Ni and Mn are more abundant than most PGMs but have remained comparatively underdeveloped.^{48-49, 159-160}

-Improvement of post-reaction catalyst separation and recyclability. This is also possible on homogeneous catalysts, for example by using hydrosoluble complexes,⁹⁶ IL-soluble complexes¹⁶¹ or by using magnetically tractable supports. PGM would benefit in particular from a better catalyst re-usability due to their higher cost and toxicity.

-High level of reduction activity and selectivity. In the context of carbonyl reduction, this means that investigation on C=O/C=C selectivity, low H_2 pressure and/or mild reductants should be further pursued.¹⁶²

Hydrogen sources

Carbonyl hydrogenation illustrate well the importance of metric choice. One of the first green chemistry metrics, concept of atom economy (AE), was developed by Prof. Trost in 1991^{163} and it translates the conversion efficiency of a process to integrate every atom of the reactants into the desired product. Unsurprisingly, H₂ gas exhibits a full efficiency in terms of atoms employed to reduce a carbonyl, while the atom efficiency of any other reductant falls

below 11% (Table 1). However, safety (regarding the use of pressure, or flammability), reactivity, recycling, as well as the sourcing of the reducing agent is not taken into account in AE. ¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁶⁵ Thus transfer hydrogenation is a viable alternative to direct hydrogenation.¹⁶⁶

Reductant	% Active H
H_2	100%
NaBH ₄	11%
PMHS	7%
HCO ₂ H	4%
iPrOH	3%
glycerol	2%
NaH ₂ PO ₂	2%
glucose	1%
Hantzsch ester	0.7%

Table 1. Common reductants in carbonyl reduction-atom economy.

Similarly to what the ACS-GCI did with solvents, they recently published an online reagent guide, comparing for a given process several reagents with considerations on their environmental impact and scalability.⁸⁶ Furthermore, the field of transfer hydrogenation will greatly benefit from future progresses in hydrogen storage, as reviewed by Sordakis *et al.*¹⁶⁷

The most popular hydrogen sources in the pharmaceutical industry, shown by their prevalence in large scale processes, are hydride salts (NaBH₄, LiAlH₄). These are obtained from respectively the treatment of B(OMe)₃ with NaH (discovered in 1953¹⁶⁸) and AlCl₃ with LiH (discovered in 1947¹⁶⁹). Hydride salts must be used in stoichiometric amounts, despite efforts made to use them in catalytic amounts,¹⁷⁰ and they mostly suffer from flammability and cumbersome waste generation. Alcoholic hydrogen sources are available from biomass (EtOH, MeOH) and their byproduct can be potentially recycled (Scheme 16).¹⁶⁴ Moreover, some waste chemicals from the biodiesel and silicon industry can be re-used as reductants (respectively glycerol and PMHS), opening up new avenues in sustainable transfer hydrogenation. Other unusual reductants such as glucose¹⁷¹ or NaH₂PO₂¹⁷² have been explored as well for carbonyl reduction.

H-H

Hydrogen gas Flammability Miscibility in solvents Atom economy



Scheme 16. An overview of hydrogenation sources.

Short chain alcohols

The discovery of hydride salts as reductants allowed in their time supplanting the 'classical' reduction methods such as the Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley (MPV) reduction, discovered in the 1920s.¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁶ In this process, the carbonyl is introduced to an excess of reducing alcohol (iPrOH), with an Al or B-based transfer agent such as Al(OiPr)₃. Upon coordination of an alkoxide to Al^{3+} or B^{3+} , its α -hydride can be readily transferred to the carbonyl through a 6-member transition state (Scheme 17A). Since the process is reversible, an excess of *i*PrOH and Al(O*i*Pr)₃ is required to drive the reaction to completion, as well as typically long reflux times (3-8 h).¹⁷⁷ However, the prospect of using alcohols as safe reducing agents sparked interest into improving over the original MPV reaction, that remained an inspiring system. For instance, it has been discovered that adding trifluoroacetic acid or using sterically hindered Al/B complexes helped drastically cutting reaction down time (up to 15 min).¹⁷⁷⁻¹⁸¹ The MPV reaction has seen in the last 5 years much development on GVL production, based on Al¹⁸²⁻¹⁸³ and Zr¹⁸⁴⁻¹⁸⁸ oxides as catalysts for LA and derivatives reduction (Scheme 17B).¹⁸⁹ Lately, a more recent variant of MPV reactions was discovered by using cheap and widely available metal alkali such as NaOH or KOH.¹⁹⁰⁻¹⁹¹ Similarly to Al³⁺ and B^{3+} , K^+ and Na^+ cations are also able to mediate the direct hydride transfer through a similar 6-member intermediate (Scheme 17C).



Scheme 17. MPV reduction: A) Mechanism B) LA reduction C) Base-catalyzed version.

Formate

Formic acid (HCO₂H, FA) is the simplest of carboxylic acids, made from the carbonylation of MeOH into HCO₂Me, and subsequent hydrolysis.¹⁹² The direct synthesis of formic acid from CO₂ reduction with H₂ or water by photoelectrocatalysis is a very active research topic.¹⁹³ In conjunction with a base (such as Et₃N) or as sodium formate, formic acid has been widely used as a reducing agent, decomposing into CO₂ and H₂ under catalytic conditions.¹⁹⁴ It has been particularly useful in prochiral ketone reduction into secondary alcohol, using Ir,¹⁹⁵ Ru,¹⁹⁶⁻¹⁹⁸ Rh complexes,¹⁹⁹ or enzymes²⁰⁰ (Scheme 18A). Furthermore, LA is co-generated with LA 5-HMF acidolysis,¹⁷ giving a strong incentive to use FA to reduce LA.⁴ A seminal example was reported by Du et al., using small Au NPs supported on ZrO₂ (Au@ZrO₂).²⁰¹ The catalyst was tested on freshly prepared solutions of LA/FA mixture made from the treatment of carbohydrates with H₂SO₄, establishing the robustness of their method. The viability of homogeneous Fu group also showed the Fe/Tris[(2diphenylphosphino)ethyl]phosphine complexes for LA reduction.²⁰² Finally, Varkolu *et al.* used Ni supported on SiO₂ (Ni@ SiO₂) and applied in continuous flow for GVL synthesis (Scheme 18B).²⁰³



Scheme 18. Formic acid/akali metal formate in A) prochiral reduction and B) Co-generation of LA and FA from 5-HMF and subsequent reduction to GVL.

Glycerol

Glycerol is a non-toxic, non-hazardous, and non-volatile liquid, the 1,3-dihydroxylated analogue of *i*PrOH.²⁰⁴ Contrary to *i*PrOH though, glycerol is a biomass waste from manufacture of biodiesel fuel from vegetable oils (100 kg generated per ton of biodiesel fuel produced, Scheme 19A).²⁰⁵ Thanks to its low price, there has been a strong economic incentive to use it as a solvent and a reducing agent. In catalytic carbonyl reduction, homogeneous Ru and Ir complexes in conjunction with KOH have been mostly used²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁸ except for Ni@Fe₃O₄ reported by Gawande et *al.* (Scheme 19B).²⁰⁹



Scheme 19. A) Glycerol production from biomass B) Ni@Fe₃O₄ for carbonyl reduction in glycerol.

PMHS

In contrast to most silanes, PMHS is an inexpensive and non-toxic reducing agent. In the absence of an activator, it is an inert and easy to handle liquid. It was first prepared by Sauer and co-workers,²¹⁰ and has been since reported in a substantial number of reduction

processes.²¹¹ Upon treatment with $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, the resulting PDMS byproduct can be recycled into $MeSiH_{3-x}F_x$ synthons that are useful building blocks in the silicon industry.²¹² Early reports consisted in using Sn salts as activators,²¹³⁻²¹⁵ and since then a wider variety of activators, especially in metal–free schemes, has been reported. Nucleophilic activators such as fluorides,²¹⁶ phosphines²¹⁷ or bases²¹⁸ have been successfully applied to PMHS-mediated ketone hydrosilylation (Scheme 20). Eventually, non-noble metals such as Fe²¹⁹⁻²²¹ and Zn were shown to activate PMHS as well, with Zn being able to enantioselective prochiral ketones into chiral secondary alcohols.²²²⁻²²⁵

$$R^{H}$$

$$1 - \sum_{O} Si_{h} (PMHS)$$

$$activator: F^{-}, HO^{-}, [Fe], [Zn]^{*}$$

$$R^{H}$$

Scheme 20. PMHS for carbonyl reduction.

Conclusion

As seen throughout the plethora of examples of carbonyl reduction exposed here, a broad and diverse toolbox of chemical methods is available to organic chemists in order to design a performant reduction process in the context of biomass upgrading. We have attempted here to illustrate efforts to introduce various green chemistry concepts including the choice of substrate, energy, solvent, catalyst and reducing agent. Our hope is to show, educate, and ease the application of sustainability methods to the chemists to come. These examples showcase an interesting trend in the field. While the use of water, or mechanochemistry are very hot topics and are certainly innovative, they both rely in much older concepts. Water has always been the solvent of choice in biochemical pathways, and on Earth's lifetime scale the use of organic solvents in modern organic chemistry can be seen as an historical anomaly. Likewise, mechanochemistry has been used in human history long before the first oil bath was ever used.²²⁶ and photochemistry or iron catalysis has been fully exploited by nature for even longer, as plants and blood cells can testify. These re-discovered techniques are key to tackle longstanding challenges. In fact, some fundamental chemical considerations such as solvent effect, substrate solubility or reaction chemoselectivity are worth considering through these new scopes.40

Authors biography



Alain Li received his MSc. in organic chemistry from l'Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie of Montpellier, France in 2013. He then obtained his PhD in 2019 from McGill university in Montréal, Canada, working under the co-supervision of Prof. Audrey Moores and Prof. Chao-Jun Li on sustainable catalysis applied to carbonyl reduction.



Audrey Moores received her BSc (2001), MSc (2002) and PhD (2005) from Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau in France. She did a post-doctoral fellowship at Yale University, before starting her independent carrier at McGill University, Montréal, Canada as a Canada Research Chair in Green Chemistry in 2007. She became an Associate Professor in 2014. Between 2012 and 2016 she was the co-associate director of the Center for Green Chemistry and Catalysis. Since 2017, she co-leads the McGill Sustainability Systems Initiative-Materials. Her research focuses on catalysis and photocatalysis using metal, metal oxide and biomassbased nanomaterials, with a special emphasis on sustainable processes and use of earth abundant starting materials. She also develops solid phase methods to access nanomaterials and functional polymers. She was selected as an emerging leader in 2017 by the RSC journal Green Chemistry

References

1. Anastas, P. T.; Kirchhoff, M. M.; Williamson, T. C., Catalysis as a foundational pillar of green chemistry. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2001**, 221 (1), 3-13.

2. Anastas, P. T.; Warner, J. C., *Green chemistry : theory and practice*. Oxford University Press: Oxford ;, 2000.

3. Vries, J. G. d.; Elsevier, C. J., *The Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation*. 2008.

4. Gilkey, M. J.; Xu, B., Heterogeneous Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation as an Effective Pathway in Biomass Upgrading. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6* (3), 1420-1436.

5. Rinaldi, R., Catalytic Hydrogenation for Biomass Valorization. 2014.

6. Wilde, M. v., Vermischte Mittheilungen. *Chem. Ber.* **1874,** 7 (1), 352-357.

7. Sanfilippo, D.; Rylander, P. N., Hydrogenation and Dehydrogenation. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-WCH, Ed. 2009.

8. Burkhardt, E. R.; Matos, K., Boron Reagents in Process Chemistry: Excellent Tools for Selective Reductions. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106* (7), 2617-2650.

9. Hedberg, C., Carbonyl Hydrogenation. In *Modern Reduction Methods*, Wiley-WCH, Ed. 2008.

10. Bernardis, F. L.; Grant, R. A.; Sherrington, D. C., A review of methods of separation of the platinum-group metals through their chloro-complexes. *React. Funct. Polym.* **2005**, *65* (3), 205-217.

11. Ravindra, K.; Bencs, L.; Van Grieken, R., Platinum group elements in the environment and their health risk. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2004**, *318* (1), 1-43.

12. Jantan, K. A.; Kwok, C. Y.; Chan, K. W.; Marchiò, L.; White, A. J. P.; Deplano, P.; Serpe, A.; Wilton-Ely, J. D. E. T., From recovered metal waste to high-performance palladium catalysts. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19* (24), 5846-5853.

13. Besson, M.; Gallezot, P.; Pinel, C., Conversion of Biomass into Chemicals over Metal Catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114* (3), 1827-1870.

14. Allen, D. T.; Hwang, B. J.; Licence, P.; Pradeep, T.; Subramaniam, B., Advancing the Use of Sustainability Metrics. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *3* (10), 2359-2360.

15. Alonso, D. M.; Bond, J. Q.; Dumesic, J. A., Catalytic conversion of biomass to biofuels. *Green Chem.* **2010**, *12* (9), 1493-1513.

16. Kamm, B., Production of Platform Chemicals and Synthesis Gas from Biomass. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46* (27), 5056-5058.

17. Pileidis, F.; Titirici, M.-M., Levulinic Acid Biorefineries: New Challenges for Efficient Utilization of Biomass. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (6), 562-582.

18. Gallezot, P.; Richard, D., Selective Hydrogenation of α , β -Unsaturated Aldehydes. *Catal. Rev.* **1998**, 40 (1-2), 81-126.

19. Anastas, P. T.; Lankey, R. L., Life cycle assessment and green chemistry: the yin and yang of industrial ecology. *Green Chem.* **2000**, *2* (6), 289-295.

20. Montazeri, M.; Eckelman, M. J., Life Cycle Assessment of Catechols from Lignin Depolymerization. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4* (3), 708-718.

21. Tufvesson, L. M.; Tufvesson, P.; Woodley, J. M.; Börjesson, P., Life cycle assessment in green chemistry: overview of key parameters and methodological concerns. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* **2013**, *18* (2), 431-444.

22. Jacquemin, L.; Pontalier, P.-Y.; Sablayrolles, C., Life cycle assessment (LCA) applied to the process industry: a review. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* **2012**, *17* (8), 1028-1041.

23. Bozell, J. J.; Moens, L.; Elliott, D. C.; Wang, Y.; Neuenscwander, G. G.; Fitzpatrick, S. W.; Bilski, R. J.; Jarnefeld, J. L., Production of levulinic acid and use as a platform chemical for derived products. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2000**, *28* (3), 227-239.

24. Horváth, I. T.; Mehdi, H.; Fábos, V.; Boda, L.; Mika, L. T., γ -Valerolactone—a sustainable liquid for energy and carbon-based chemicals. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10* (2), 238-242.

25. Manzer, L. E., Catalytic synthesis of α -methylene- γ -valerolactone: a biomass-derived acrylic monomer. *Appl. Catal., A* **2004,** 272 (1), 249-256.

26. Bond, J. Q.; Wang, D.; Alonso, D. M.; Dumesic, J. A., Interconversion between γ -valerolactone and pentenoic acid combined with decarboxylation to form butene over silica/alumina. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *281* (2), 290-299.

27. Serrano-Ruiz, J. C.; Wang, D.; Dumesic, J. A., Catalytic upgrading of levulinic acid to 5-nonanone. *Green Chem.* **2010**, *12* (4), 574-577.

28. Touchy, A. S.; Hakim Siddiki, S. M. A.; Kon, K.; Shimizu, K.-i., Heterogeneous Pt Catalysts for Reductive Amination of Levulinic Acid to Pyrrolidones. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4* (9), 3045-3050.

29. Cai, B.; Zhou, X.-C.; Miao, Y.-C.; Luo, J.-Y.; Pan, H.; Huang, Y.-B., Enhanced Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation of Ethyl Levulinate to γ -Valerolactone over a Robust Cu–Ni Bimetallic Catalyst. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5* (2), 1322-1331.

30. Chheda, J. N.; Román-Leshkov, Y.; Dumesic, J. A., Production of 5hydroxymethylfurfural and furfural by dehydration of biomass-derived mono- and polysaccharides. *Green Chem.* **2007**, *9* (4), 342-350.

31. Bicker, M.; Hirth, J.; Vogel, H., Dehydration of fructose to 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in sub- and supercritical acetone. *Green Chem.* **2003**, *5* (2), 280-284.

32. Hu, L.; Xu, J.; Zhou, S.; He, A.; Tang, X.; Lin, L.; Xu, J.; Zhao, Y., Catalytic Advances in the Production and Application of Biomass-Derived 2,5-Dihydroxymethylfuran. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (4), 2959-2980.

33. Zeng, C.; Seino, H.; Ren, J.; Hatanaka, K.; Yoshie, N., Bio-Based Furan Polymers with Self-Healing Ability. *Macromolecules* **2013**, *46* (5), 1794-1802.

34. Sacia, E. R.; Balakrishnan, M.; Bell, A. T., Biomass conversion to diesel via the etherification of furanyl alcohols catalyzed by Amberlyst-15. *J. Catal.* **2014**, *313*, 70-79.

35. Harris, J. M.; Keranen, M. D.; O'Doherty, G. A., Syntheses of d- and l-Mannose, Gulose, and Talose via Diastereoselective and Enantioselective Dihydroxylation Reactions. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64* (9), 2982-2983.

36. Yan, K.; Wu, G.; Lafleur, T.; Jarvis, C., Production, properties and catalytic hydrogenation of furfural to fuel additives and value-added chemicals. *Renew. Sust. Ener. Rev.* **2014**, *38*, 663-676.

37. Hronec, M.; Fulajtárova, K.; Mičušik, M., Influence of furanic polymers on selectivity of furfural rearrangement to cyclopentanone. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2013**, *468*, 426-431.

38. Trasarti, A. F.; Marchi, A. J.; Apesteguía, C. R., Highly selective synthesis of menthols from citral in a one-step process. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *224* (2), 484-488.

39. Stolle, A.; Gallert, T.; Schmoger, C.; Ondruschka, B., Hydrogenation of citral: a widespread model reaction for selective reduction of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3* (7), 2112-2153.

40. Mäki-Arvela, P.; Hájek, J.; Salmi, T.; Murzin, D. Y., Chemoselective hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds over heterogeneous catalysts. *Appl. Catal., A* **2005,** *292,* 1-49.

41. Gawande, M. B.; Shelke, S. N.; Zboril, R.; Varma, R. S., Microwave-Assisted Chemistry: Synthetic Applications for Rapid Assembly of Nanomaterials and Organics. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47* (4), 1338-1348.

42. Oliver Kappe, C., Microwave dielectric heating in synthetic organic chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37* (6), 1127-1139.

43. Kappe, C. O., Controlled Microwave Heating in Modern Organic Synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43* (46), 6250-6284.

44. Oliver Kappe, C., Microwave Theory. In *Practical Microwave Synthesis for Organic Chemists*, Books, W. O., Ed. 2009.

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

45. Bogdał, D.; Prociak, A., Fundamentals of Microwaves. In *Microwave-Enhanced Polymer Chemistry and Technology*, 2007.

46. Razzaq, T.; Kappe, C. O., On the Energy Efficiency of Microwave-Assisted Organic Reactions. *ChemSusChem* **2008**, *1* (1-2), 123-132.

47. Priecel, P.; Lopez-Sanchez, J. A., Advantages and Limitations of Microwave Reactors: From Chemical Synthesis to the Catalytic Valorization of Biobased Chemicals. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, 7 (1), 3-21.

48. Li, Y.-Y.; Yu, S.-L.; Shen, W.-Y.; Gao, J.-X., Iron-, Cobalt-, and Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation and Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Ketones. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48* (9), 2587-2598.

49. Wang, D.; Astruc, D., The recent development of efficient Earth-abundant transitionmetal nanocatalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46* (3), 816-854.

50. Barbry, D.; Torchy, S., Accelerated Reduction of Carbonyl Compounds under Microwave Irradiation. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38* (17), 2959-2960.

51. Kazemi, F.; Kiasat, A. R., Reduction of carbonyl compounds to the corresponding alcohols with isopropanol on dehydrated alumina under microwave irradiation. *Synth. Comm.* **2002**, *32* (14), 2255-2260.

52. Polshettiwar, V.; Baruwati, B.; Varma, R. S., Nanoparticle-supported and magnetically recoverable nickel catalyst: a robust and economic hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation protocol. *Green Chem.* **2009**, *11* (1), 127-131.

53. Nasir Baig, R. B.; Varma, R. S., Magnetic Silica-Supported Ruthenium Nanoparticles: An Efficient Catalyst for Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2013**, *1* (7), 805-809.

54. Yoshida, K.; Gonzalez-Arellano, C.; Luque, R.; Gai, P. L., Efficient hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds using low-loaded supported copper nanoparticles under microwave irradiation. *Appl. Catal., A* **2010**, *379* (1), 38-44.

55. Gowda, R.; Chen, E., Recyclable Earth-Abundant Metal Nanoparticle Catalysts for Selective Transfer Hydrogenation of Levulinic Acid to Produce γ -Valerolactone. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (2), 181-185.

56. Clark, J.; Farmer, T.; Hunt, A.; Sherwood, J., Opportunities for Bio-Based Solvents Created as Petrochemical and Fuel Products Transition towards Renewable Resources. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *16* (8), 17101.

57. Clarke, C. J.; Tu, W.-C.; Levers, O.; Bröhl, A.; Hallett, J. P., Green and Sustainable Solvents in Chemical Processes. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (2), 747-800.

58. Do, J.-L.; Friščić, T., Mechanochemistry: A Force of Synthesis. ACS Cent. Sci. 2017, 3 (1), 13-19.

59. Fumio, T.; Koji, K.; Minoru, Y., NaBH4 Reduction of Ketones in the Solid State. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1989**, 28 (3), 320-321.

60. Cho, B. T.; Kang, S. K.; Kim, M. S.; Ryu, S. R.; An, D. K., Solvent-free reduction of aldehydes and ketones using solid acid-activated sodium borohydride. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62* (34), 8164-8168.

61. Mack, J.; Fulmer, D.; Stofel, S.; Santos, N., The first solvent-free method for the reduction of esters. *Green Chem.* **2007**, *9* (10), 1041-1043.

62. Reza, N.-J. M.; Javad, M.; G., D. M.; Gerd, K., Sodium Tetraalkoxyborates: Intermediates for the Quantitative Reduction of Aldehydes and Ketones to Alcohols through Ball Milling with NaBH4. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *2009* (21), 3567-3572.

63. Solà, R.; Sutcliffe, O. B.; Banks, C. E.; Maciá, B., Ball mill and microwave assisted synthetic routes to Fluoxetine. *Sust. Chem. Pharma.* **2017**, *5*, 14-21.

64. Li, A. Y.; Segalla, A.; Li, C.-J.; Moores, A., Mechanochemical Metal-Free Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyls Using Polymethylhydrosiloxane as the Hydrogen Source. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5* (12), 11752-11760.

65. Liu, X.; Sun, D.; Yuan, R.; Fu, X.; Li, Z., Efficient visible-light-induced hydrogenation over composites of CdS and ruthenium carbonyl complexes. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *304*, 1-6.

66. Joyce-Pruden, C.; Pross, J. K.; Li, Y., Photoinduced reduction of aldehydes on titanium dioxide. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57* (19), 5087-5091.

67. Kohtani, S.; Yoshioka, E.; Saito, K.; Kudo, A.; Miyabe, H., Photocatalytic hydrogenation of acetophenone derivatives and diaryl ketones on polycrystalline titanium dioxide. *Catal. Commun.* **2010**, *11* (13), 1049-1053.

68. Zhang, M.; Rouch, W. D.; McCulla, R. D., Conjugated Polymers as Photoredox Catalysts: Visible-Light-Driven Reduction of Aryl Aldehydes by Poly(p-phenylene). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *2012* (31), 6187-6196.

69. Sharma, P.; Sasson, Y., A photoactive catalyst Ru–g-C3N4 for hydrogen transfer reaction of aldehydes and ketones. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19* (3), 844-852.

70. Ghosh, T.; Slanina, T.; König, B., Visible light photocatalytic reduction of aldehydes by Rh(iii)–H: a detailed mechanistic study. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6* (3), 2027-2034.

71. Call, A.; Casadevall, C.; Acuña-Parés, F.; Casitas, A.; Lloret-Fillol, J., Dual cobalt–copper light-driven catalytic reduction of aldehydes and aromatic ketones in aqueous media. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8* (7), 4739-4749.

72. Zeng, S.; Kar, P.; Thakur, U. K.; Shankar, K., A review on photocatalytic CO2 reduction using perovskite oxide nanomaterials. *Nanotechnology* **2018**, *29* (5), 052001.

73. Wang, W.-H.; Himeda, Y.; Muckerman, J. T.; Manbeck, G. F.; Fujita, E., CO2 Hydrogenation to Formate and Methanol as an Alternative to Photo- and Electrochemical CO2 Reduction. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115* (23), 12936-12973.

74. Halas, N. J.; Lal, S.; Chang, W.-S.; Link, S.; Nordlander, P., Plasmons in Strongly Coupled Metallic Nanostructures. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111* (6), 3913-3961.

75. Hao, C.-H.; Guo, X.-N.; Pan, Y.-T.; Chen, S.; Jiao, Z.-F.; Yang, H.; Guo, X.-Y., Visible-Light-Driven Selective Photocatalytic Hydrogenation of Cinnamaldehyde over Au/SiC Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (30), 9361-9364.

76. Landry, M. J.; Gellé, A.; Meng, B. Y.; Barrett, C. J.; Moores, A., Surface-Plasmon-Mediated Hydrogenation of Carbonyls Catalyzed by Silver Nanocubes under Visible Light. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7* (9), 6128-6133.

77. Gu, Y.; Jérôme, F., Bio-based solvents: an emerging generation of fluids for the design of eco-efficient processes in catalysis and organic chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42* (24), 9550-9570.

78. Jessop, P. G., Searching for green solvents. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13* (6), 1391-1398.

79. Zhu, S.; Wu, Y.; Chen, Q.; Yu, Z.; Wang, C.; Jin, S.; Ding, Y.; Wu, G., Dissolution of cellulose with ionic liquids and its application: a mini-review. *Green Chem.* **2006**, *8* (4), 325-327.

80. Olivier-Bourbigou, H.; Magna, L., Ionic liquids: perspectives for organic and catalytic reactions. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2002**, *182-183*, 419-437.

81. Anderson, K.; Goodrich, P.; Hardacre, C.; Rooney, D. W., Heterogeneously catalysed selective hydrogenation reactions in ionic liquids. *Green Chem.* **2003**, *5* (4), 448-453.

82. Alfonsi, K.; Colberg, J.; Dunn, P. J.; Fevig, T.; Jennings, S.; Johnson, T. A.; Kleine, H. P.; Knight, C.; Nagy, M. A.; Perry, D. A.; Stefaniak, M., Green chemistry tools to influence a medicinal chemistry and research chemistry based organisation. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10* (1), 31-36.

83. Henderson, R. K.; Jiménez-González, C.; Constable, D. J. C.; Alston, S. R.; Inglis, G. G. A.; Fisher, G.; Sherwood, J.; Binks, S. P.; Curzons, A. D., Expanding GSK's solvent selection guide – embedding sustainability into solvent selection starting at medicinal chemistry. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13* (4), 854-862.

84. Alder, C. M.; Hayler, J. D.; Henderson, R. K.; Redman, A. M.; Shukla, L.; Shuster, L. E.; Sneddon, H. F., Updating and further expanding GSK's solvent sustainability guide. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (13), 3879-3890.

85. Prat, D.; Pardigon, O.; Flemming, H.-W.; Letestu, S.; Ducandas, V.; Isnard, P.; Guntrum, E.; Senac, T.; Ruisseau, S.; Cruciani, P.; Hosek, P., Sanofi's Solvent Selection Guide: A Step Toward More Sustainable Processes. *Org. Proc. Res. Dev.* **2013**, *17* (12), 1517-1525.

86. Roundtable, A. G. C. I. P. Solvent Selection Guide: Version 2.0. <u>https://www.acs.org/content/dam/acsorg/greenchemistry/industriainnovation/roundtable/acs-gci-pr-solvent-selection-guide.pdf</u> (accessed 21/01/2019).

87. Rideout, D. C.; Breslow, R., Hydrophobic acceleration of Diels-Alder reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102* (26), 7816-7817.

88. Du, Q.; Freysz, E.; Shen, Y. R., Surface Vibrational Spectroscopic Studies of Hydrogen Bonding and Hydrophobicity. *Science* **1994**, *264* (5160), 826-828.

89. Jung, Y.; Marcus, R. A., On the Theory of Organic Catalysis "on Water". *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129* (17), 5492-5502.

90. La Sorella, G.; Strukul, G.; Scarso, A., Recent advances in catalysis in micellar media. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (2), 644-683.

91. Lipshutz, B. H.; Isley, N. A.; Fennewald, J. C.; Slack, E. D., On the Way Towards Greener Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Processes as Quantified by E Factors. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (42), 10952-10958.

92. Ma, Y.; Liu, H.; Chen, L.; Cui, X.; Zhu, J.; Deng, J., Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Prochiral Ketones in Aqueous Media with New Water-Soluble Chiral Vicinal Diamine as Ligand. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5* (12), 2103-2106.

93. Ahlford, K.; Lind, J.; Mäler, L.; Adolfsson, H., Rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of alkyl and aryl ketones in aqueous media. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10* (8), 832-835.

94. Li, J.; Tang, Y.; Wang, Q.; Li, X.; Cun, L.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, J.; Li, L.; Deng, J., Chiral Surfactant-Type Catalyst for Asymmetric Reduction of Aliphatic Ketones in Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134* (45), 18522-18525.

95. Li, J.; Li, X.; Ma, Y.; Wu, J.; Wang, F.; Xiang, J.; Zhu, J.; Wang, Q.; Deng, J., Surfactant-accelerated asymmetric transfer hydrogenation with recyclable water-soluble catalyst in aqueous media. *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3* (6), 1825-1834.

96. Herrmann, W. A.; Kohlpaintner, C. W., Water-Soluble Ligands, Metal Complexes, and Catalysts: Synergism of Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1993**, *32* (11), 1524-1544.

97. Shaughnessy, K. H., Hydrophilic Ligands and Their Application in Aqueous-Phase Metal-Catalyzed Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109* (2), 643-710.

98. Grosselin, J. M.; Mercier, C.; Allmang, G.; Grass, F., Selective hydrogenation of .alpha.,.beta.-unsaturated aldehydes in aqueous organic two-phase solvent systems using ruthenium or rhodium complexes of sulfonated phosphines. *Organometallics* **1991**, *10* (7), 2126-2133.

99. Delhomme, C.; Schaper, L.-A.; Zhang-Preße, M.; Raudaschl-Sieber, G.; Weuster-Botz, D.; Kühn, F. E., Catalytic hydrogenation of levulinic acid in aqueous phase. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2013**, 724, 297-299.

100. Moustani, C.; Anagnostopoulou, E.; Krommyda, K.; Panopoulou, C.; Koukoulakis, K. G.; Bakeas, E. B.; Papadogianakis, G., Novel aqueous-phase hydrogenation reaction of the key biorefinery platform chemical levulinic acid into γ -valerolactone employing highly active, selective and stable water-soluble ruthenium catalysts modified with nitrogen-containing ligands. *Appl. Catal.*, *B* **2018**, *238*, 82-92.

101. Jessop, P. G.; Ikariya, T.; Noyori, R., Homogeneous Catalysis in Supercritical Fluids. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99* (2), 475-494.

102. Budisa, N.; Schulze-Makuch, D., Supercritical Carbon Dioxide and Its Potential as a Life-Sustaining Solvent in a Planetary Environment. *Life* **2014**, *4* (3), 331.

103. Bhanage, B. M.; Ikushima, Y.; Shirai, M.; Arai, M., The selective formation of unsaturated alcohols by hydrogenation of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes in supercritical carbon dioxide using unpromoted Pt/Al2O3 catalyst. *Catal. Lett.* **1999**, *62* (2), 175-177.

104. Purwanto; Deshpande, R. M.; Chaudhari, R. V.; Delmas, H., Solubility of Hydrogen, Carbon Monoxide, and 1-Octene in Various Solvents and Solvent Mixtures. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1996**, *41* (6), 1414-1417.

105. Moriyoshi, T.; Kita, T.; Uosaki, Y., Static Relative Permittivity of Carbon Dioxide and Nitrous Oxide up to 30 MPa. *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97* (4), 589-596.

106. Zhao, F.; Ikushima, Y.; Shirai, M.; Ebina, T.; Arai, M., Influence of electronic state and dispersion of platinum particles on the conversion and selectivity of hydrogenation of an α , β -unsaturated aldehyde in supercritical carbon dioxide. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2002**, *180* (1), 259-265.

107. Liu, R.; Zhao, F.; Fujita, S.-i.; Arai, M., Selective hydrogenation of citral with transition metal complexes in supercritical carbon dioxide. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2007**, *316* (2), 127-133.

108. Li, G.; Jiang, H.; Li, J., Use of water as a direct hydrogen donor in supercritical carbon dioxide: a novel and efficient Zn–HO–CO system for selective reduction of aldehydes to alcohols. *Green Chem.* **2001**, *3* (5), 250-251.

109. Kamitanaka, T.; Matsuda, T.; Harada, T., Mechanism for the reduction of ketones to the corresponding alcohols using supercritical 2-propanol. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63* (6), 1429-1434.

110. Daimon, A.; Kamitanaka, T.; Kishida, N.; Matsuda, T.; Harada, T., Selective reduction of unsaturated aldehydes to unsaturated alcohols using supercritical 2-propanol. *J. of Supercritical Fluids* **2006**, *37* (2), 215-219.

111. Egorova, K. S.; Ananikov, V. P., Which Metals are Green for Catalysis? Comparison of the Toxicities of Ni, Cu, Fe, Pd, Pt, Rh, and Au Salts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (40), 12150-12162.

112. Hayler, J. D.; Leahy, D. K.; Simmons, E. M., A Pharmaceutical Industry Perspective on Sustainable Metal Catalysis. *Organometallics* **2018**.

113. Bauer, I.; Knölker, H.-J., Iron Catalysis in Organic Synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115* (9), 3170-3387.

114. Knölker, H.-J.; Heber, J.; Mahler, C. H., Transition Metal-Diene Complexes in Organic Synthesis, Part 14.1 Regioselective Iron-Mediated [2+2+1] Cycloadditions of Alkynes and Carbon Monoxide: Synthesis of Substituted Cyclopentadienones. *Synlett* **1992**, *1992* (12), 1002-1004.

115. Knölker, H.-J.; Baum, E.; Goesmann, H.; Klauss, R., Demetalation of Tricarbonyl(cyclopentadienone)iron Complexes Initiated by a Ligand Exchange Reaction with NaOH—X-Ray Analysis of a Complex with Nearly Square-Planar Coordinated Sodium. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38* (13-14), 2064-2066.

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

116. Johnson, T. C.; Clarkson, G. J.; Wills, M., (Cyclopentadienone)iron Shvo Complexes: Synthesis and Applications to Hydrogen Transfer Reactions. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30* (7), 1859-1868.

117. Bullock, R. M., An Iron Catalyst for Ketone Hydrogenations under Mild Conditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46* (39), 7360-7363.

118. Casey, C. P.; Guan, H., An Efficient and Chemoselective Iron Catalyst for the Hydrogenation of Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129 (18), 5816-5817.

119. Fleischer, S.; Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M., General and Highly Efficient Iron-Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Aldehydes, Ketones, and α , β -Unsaturated Aldehydes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (19), 5120-5124.

120. Morris, R. H., Asymmetric hydrogenation, transfer hydrogenation and hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by iron complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38* (8), 2282-2291.

121. Sui-Seng, C.; Freutel, F.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H., Highly Efficient Catalyst Systems Using Iron Complexes with a Tetradentate PNNP Ligand for the Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Polar Bonds. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47* (5), 940-943.

122. Mikhailine, A.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H., Efficient Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones Catalyzed by an Iron Complex Containing a P–N–N–P Tetradentate Ligand Formed by Template Synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131* (4), 1394-1395.

123. Sonnenberg, J. F.; Morris, R. H., Distinguishing homogeneous from nanoparticle asymmetric iron catalysis. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (10), 3426-3438.

124. Sonnenberg, J. F.; Coombs, N.; Dube, P. A.; Morris, R. H., Iron Nanoparticles Catalyzing the Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134* (13), 5893-5899.

125. Li, J.; Liu, J. l.; Zhou, H. j.; Fu, Y., Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation of Furfural to Furfuryl Alcohol over Nitrogen-Doped Carbon-Supported Iron Catalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (11), 1339-1347.

126. Garbe, M.; Junge, K.; Beller, M., Homogeneous Catalysis by Manganese-Based Pincer Complexes. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *2017* (30), 4344-4362.

127. Kallmeier, F.; Irrgang, T.; Dietel, T.; Kempe, R., Highly Active and Selective Manganese C=O Bond Hydrogenation Catalysts: The Importance of the Multidentate Ligand, the Ancillary Ligands, and the Oxidation State. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (39), 11806-11809.

128. Glatz, M.; Stöger, B.; Himmelbauer, D.; Veiros, L. F.; Kirchner, K., Chemoselective Hydrogenation of Aldehydes under Mild, Base-Free Conditions: Manganese Outperforms Rhenium. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (5), 4009-4016.

129. Wei, D.; Bruneau-Voisine, A.; Chauvin, T.; Dorcet, V.; Roisnel, T.; Valyaev, D. A.; Lugan, N.; Sortais, J.-B., Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Derivatives Catalysed by Manganese Complexes Bearing Bidentate Pyridinyl-Phosphine Ligands. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2018**, *360* (4), 676-681.

130. Elangovan, S.; Topf, C.; Fischer, S.; Jiao, H.; Spannenberg, A.; Baumann, W.; Ludwig, R.; Junge, K.; Beller, M., Selective Catalytic Hydrogenations of Nitriles, Ketones, and Aldehydes by Well-Defined Manganese Pincer Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (28), 8809-8814.

131. Perez, M.; Elangovan, S.; Spannenberg, A.; Junge, K.; Beller, M., Molecularly Defined Manganese Pincer Complexes for Selective Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10* (1), 83-86.

132. Bruneau-Voisine, A.; Wang, D.; Dorcet, V.; Roisnel, T.; Darcel, C.; Sortais, J.-B., Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Derivatives Catalyzed by an Inexpensive Phosphine-Free Manganese Precatalyst. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19* (13), 3656-3659.

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

133. Martínez-Ferraté, O.; Werlé, C.; Franciò, G.; Leitner, W., Aminotriazole Mn(I) Complexes as Effective Catalysts for Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. *ChemCatChem* **2018**, *10* (20), 4514-4518.

134. Le Page, M. D.; James, B. R., Nickel bromide as a hydrogen transfer catalyst. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, (17), 1647-1648.

135. Phukan, P.; Sudalai, A., Chemoselective Transfer Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds Catalyzed by Macrocyclic Nickel (II) Complex. *Synth. Comm.* **2000**, *30* (13), 2401-2405.

136. Iyer, S.; Varghese, J. P., [NiCl2(PPh3)2] catalysed transfer hydrogenation of ketones and aldehydes by propan-2-ol. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* **1995**, (4), 465-466.

137. Alonso, F.; Riente, P.; Yus, M., Hydrogen-transfer reduction of carbonyl compounds promoted by nickel nanoparticles. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64* (8), 1847-1852.

138. T. Upadhya, T.; P. Katdare, S.; P. Sabde, D.; Ramaswamy, V.; Sudalai, A., Chemoselective transfer hydrogenation of nitroarenes, aldehydes and ketones with propan-2-ol catalysed by Ni-stabilized zirconia. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, (12), 1119-1120.

139. Mebane, R. C.; Holte, K. L.; Gross, B. H., Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones with 2-Propanol and Raney® Nickel. *Synth. Comm.* **2007**, *37* (16), 2787-2791.

140. Shimura, K.; Shimizu, K.-i., Transfer hydrogenation of ketones by ceria-supported Ni catalysts. *Green Chem.* **2012**, *14* (11), 2983-2985.

141. Alonso, F.; Riente, P.; Yus, M., Hydrogen-transfer reduction of carbonyl compounds catalysed by nickel nanoparticles. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49* (12), 1939-1942.

142. Escande, V.; Poullain, C.; Clavé, G.; Petit, E.; Masquelez, N.; Hesemann, P.; Grison, C., Bio-based and environmental input for transfer hydrogenation using EcoNi(0) catalyst in isopropanol. *Appl. Catal.*, *B* **2017**, *210*, 495-503.

143. Hudson, R.; Feng, Y.; Varma, R. S.; Moores, A., Bare magnetic nanoparticles: sustainable synthesis and applications in catalytic organic transformations. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16* (10), 4493-4505.

144. Kantam, M. L.; Yadav, J.; Laha, S.; Srinivas, P.; Sreedhar, B.; Figueras, F., Asymmetric Hydrosilylation of Ketones Catalyzed by Magnetically Recoverable and Reusable Copper Ferrite Nanoparticles. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74* (12), 4608-4611.

145. Dasgupta, S.; Chakraborty, A.; Chatterjee, S.; Chattopadhyay, T., Synthesis and characterization of magnetically separable Fe3O4@AHBA@Ni(0) [AHBA = 3-amino-4-hydroxybenzoicacid] nanocatalyst: Applications for carbonyl hydrogenation and alcohol oxidation. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *474*, 1-10.

146. Hudson, R.; Chazelle, V.; Bateman, M.; Roy, R.; Li, C.-J.; Moores, A., Sustainable Synthesis of Magnetic Ruthenium-Coated Iron Nanoparticles and Application in the Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2015**, *3* (5), 814-820.

147. Li, A. Y.; Kaushik, M.; Li, C.-J.; Moores, A., Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Magnetic Carboxymethyl Cellulose-Embedded Ag–Fe3O4 Nanocatalysts for Selective Carbonyl Hydrogenation. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4* (3), 965-973.

148. Stephan, D. W., The broadening reach of frustrated Lewis pair chemistry. *Science* **2016**, *354* (6317).

149. Scott, D. J.; Fuchter, M. J.; Ashley, A. E., Designing effective 'frustrated Lewis pair' hydrogenation catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2017**, *46* (19), 5689-5700.

150. Welch, G. C.; Juan, R. R. S.; Masuda, J. D.; Stephan, D. W., Reversible, Metal-Free Hydrogen Activation. *Science* **2006**, *314* (5802), 1124-1126.

151. Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W., Enabling Catalytic Ketone Hydrogenation by Frustrated Lewis Pairs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136* (45), 15809-15812.

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

152. Mahdi, T.; Stephan, D. W., Facile Protocol for Catalytic Frustrated Lewis Pair Hydrogenation and Reductive Deoxygenation of Ketones and Aldehydes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (29), 8511-8514.

153. Scott, D. J.; Fuchter, M. J.; Ashley, A. E., Nonmetal Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136* (45), 15813-15816.

154. Scott, D. J.; Simmons, T. R.; Lawrence, E. J.; Wildgoose, G. G.; Fuchter, M. J.; Ashley, A. E., Facile Protocol for Water-Tolerant "Frustrated Lewis Pair"-Catalyzed Hydrogenation. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5* (9), 5540-5544.

155. Das, S.; Pati, S. K., On the Mechanism of Frustrated Lewis Pair Catalysed Hydrogenation of Carbonyl Compounds. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23* (5), 1078-1085.

156. Krempner, C.; Mummadi, S.; Brar, A.; Wang, G.; Kenefake, D.; Diaz, R.; Unruh, D.; Li, S., 'Inverse' Frustrated Lewis Pairs - An Inverse FLP Approach to the Catalytic Metal Free Hydrogenation of Ketones. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24* (62), 16526-16531.

157. Primo, A.; Neatu, F.; Florea, M.; Parvulescu, V.; Garcia, H., Graphenes in the absence of metals as carbocatalysts for selective acetylene hydrogenation and alkene hydrogenation. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 5291.

158. Trandafir, M.-M.; Florea, M.; Neaţu, F.; Primo, A.; Parvulescu, V. I.; García, H., Graphene from Alginate Pyrolysis as a Metal-Free Catalyst for Hydrogenation of Nitro Compounds. *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9* (13), 1565-1569.

159. Hunt, A. J.; Matharu, A. S.; King, A. H.; Clark, J. H., The importance of elemental sustainability and critical element recovery. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (4), 1949-1950.

160. Hu, Q.; Yang, L.; Fan, G.; Li, F., Hydrogenation of biomass-derived compounds containing a carbonyl group over a copper-based nanocatalyst: Insight into the origin and influence of surface oxygen vacancies. *J. Catal.* **2016**, *340*, 184-195.

161. Mehnert, C. P., Supported Ionic Liquid Catalysis. Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11 (1), 50-56.

162. Štefane, B.; Požgan, F., Metal-Catalysed Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2016**, *374* (2), 18.

163. Trost, B., The atom economy--a search for synthetic efficiency. *Science* **1991**, 254 (5037), 1471-1477.

164. Wang, D.; Astruc, D., The Golden Age of Transfer Hydrogenation. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115* (13), 6621-6686.

165. G. Hitzler, M.; Poliakoff, M., Continuous hydrogenation of organic compounds in supercritical fluids. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, (17), 1667-1668.

166. Brieger, G.; Nestrick, T. J., Catalytic transfer hydrogenation. *Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *74* (5), 567-580.

167. Sordakis, K.; Tang, C.; Vogt, L. K.; Junge, H.; Dyson, P. J.; Beller, M.; Laurenczy, G., Homogeneous Catalysis for Sustainable Hydrogen Storage in Formic Acid and Alcohols. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (2), 372-433.

168. Schlesinger, H. I.; Brown, H. C.; Finholt, A. E., The Preparation of Sodium Borohydride by the High Temperature Reaction of Sodium Hydride with Borate Esters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75* (1), 205-209.

169. Finholt, A. E.; Bond, A. C.; Schlesinger, H. I., Lithium Aluminum Hydride, Aluminum Hydride and Lithium Gallium Hydride, and Some of their Applications in Organic and Inorganic Chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1947**, *69* (5), 1199-1203.

170. Holger, E.; Christian, F.; Gerd, B.; Sjoerd, H., LiAlH4: From Stoichiometric Reduction to Imine Hydrogenation Catalysis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (24), 7156-7160.

171. Manna, S.; Antonchick, A. P., Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation Using Biomass as Hydrogen Source. *ChemSusChem 0* (ja).

172. Guyon, C.; Métay, E.; Duguet, N.; Lemaire, M., Biphasic Glycerol/2-MeTHF, Ruthenium-Catalysed Enantioselective Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones Using Sodium Hypophosphite as Hydrogen Donor. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2013* (24), 5439-5444.

173. Cha, J. S., Recent Developments In Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley and Related Reactions for the Reduction of Organic Functional Groups Using Aluminum, Boron, and Other Metal Reagents: A Review. *Org. Proc. Res. Dev.* **2006**, *10* (5), 1032-1053.

174. Verley, A., Exchange of functional groups between two molecules. Exchange of alcohol and aldehyde groups. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1925**, *37*, 871.

175. Hans, M.; Rudolf, S., Ein neues Verfahren zur Reduktion von Aldehyden und Ketonen. *Liebigs Ann.* **1925**, *444* (1), 221-238.

176. Wolfgang, P., Der reversible Austausch der Oxydationsstufen zwischen Aldehyden oder Ketonen einerseits und primären oder sekundären Alkoholen anderseits. *Angew. Chem.* **1926**, *39* (5), 138-143.

177. Akamanchi, K. G.; Noorani, V. R., Truly catalytic Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley (MPV) reduction. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36* (28), 5085-5088.

178. Akamanchi, K. G.; Varalakshmy, N. R., Aluminium isopropoxide - TFA, a modified catalyst for highly accelerated meerwein - ponndorf - verley (MPV) reduction. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36* (20), 3571-3572.

179. Ooi, T.; Miura, T.; Itagaki, Y.; Ichikawa, H.; Maruoka, K., Catalytic Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley (MPV) and Oppenauer (OPP) Reactions: Remarkable Acceleration of the Hydride Transfer by Powerful Bidentate Aluminum Alkoxides. *Synthesis* **2002**, *2002* (02), 0279-0291.

180. Konishi, K.; Makita, K.; Aida, T.; Inoue, S., Highly stereoselective hydrogen transfer from alcohols to carbonyl compounds catalysed by aluminium porphyrins. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun* **1988**, (10), 643-645.

181. McNerney, B.; Whittlesey, B.; Cordes, D. B.; Krempner, C., A Well-Defined Monomeric Aluminum Complex as an Efficient and General Catalyst in the Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley Reduction. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20* (46), 14959-14964.

182. López-Asensio, R.; Cecilia, J. A.; Jiménez-Gómez, C. P.; García-Sancho, C.; Moreno-Tost, R.; Maireles-Torres, P., Selective production of furfuryl alcohol from furfural by catalytic transfer hydrogenation over commercial aluminas. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2018**, *556*, 1-9.

183. He, J.; Li, H.; Lu, Y.-M.; Liu, Y.-X.; Wu, Z.-B.; Hu, D.-Y.; Yang, S., Cascade catalytic transfer hydrogenation–cyclization of ethyl levulinate to γ -valerolactone with Al–Zr mixed oxides. *Appl. Catal.*, A **2016**, *510*, 11-19.

184. Chia, M.; Dumesic, J. A., Liquid-phase catalytic transfer hydrogenation and cyclization of levulinic acid and its esters to γ -valerolactone over metal oxide catalysts. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47* (44), 12233-12235.

185. Wang, J.; Jaenicke, S.; Chuah, G.-K., Zirconium–Beta zeolite as a robust catalyst for the transformation of levulinic acid to γ -valerolactone via Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley reduction. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4* (26), 13481-13489.

186. Li, F.; France, L. J.; Cai, Z.; Li, Y.; Liu, S.; Lou, H.; Long, J.; Li, X., Catalytic transfer hydrogenation of butyl levulinate to γ -valerolactone over zirconium phosphates with adjustable Lewis and Brønsted acid sites. *Appl. Catal.*, *B* **2017**, *214*, 67-77.

187. Valekar, A. H.; Cho, K.-H.; Chitale, S. K.; Hong, D.-Y.; Cha, G.-Y.; Lee, U. H.; Hwang, D. W.; Serre, C.; Chang, J.-S.; Hwang, Y. K., Catalytic transfer hydrogenation of ethyl levulinate to γ -valerolactone over zirconium-based metal–organic frameworks. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (16), 4542-4552.

188. Song, J.; Wu, L.; Zhou, B.; Zhou, H.; Fan, H.; Yang, Y.; Meng, Q.; Han, B., A new porous Zr-containing catalyst with a phenate group: an efficient catalyst for the catalytic

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

transfer hydrogenation of ethyl levulinate to γ -valerolactone. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (3), 1626-1632.

189. Assary, R. S.; Curtiss, L. A.; Dumesic, J. A., Exploring Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley Reduction Chemistry for Biomass Catalysis Using a First-Principles Approach. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3* (12), 2694-2704.

190. Ouali, A.; Majoral, J.-P.; Caminade, A.-M.; Taillefer, M., NaOH-Promoted Hydrogen Transfer: Does NaOH or Traces of Transition Metals Catalyze the Reaction? *ChemCatChem* **2009**, *1* (4), 504-509.

191. Polshettiwar, V.; Varma, R. S., Revisiting the Meerwein–Ponndorf–Verley reduction: a sustainable protocol for transfer hydrogenation of aldehydes and ketones. *Green Chem.* **2009**, *11* (9), 1313-1316.

192. Hietala, J.; Vuori, A.; Johnsson, P.; Pollari, I.; Reutemann, W.; Kieczka, H., Formic Acid. In *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Ed. 2016.

193. Kumar, B.; Llorente, M.; Froehlich, J.; Dang, T.; Sathrum, A.; Kubiak, C. P., Photochemical and Photoelectrochemical Reduction of CO2. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **2012**, *63* (1), 541-569.

194. Liu, X.; Li, S.; Liu, Y.; Cao, Y., Formic acid: A versatile renewable reagent for green and sustainable chemical synthesis. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2015**, *36* (9), 1461-1475.

195. Ariger, M. A.; Carreira, E. M., pH-Independent Transfer Hydrogenation in Water: Catalytic, Enantioselective Reduction of β -Keto Esters. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (17), 4522-4524.

196. Wu, X.; Li, X.; Hems, W.; King, F.; Xiao, J., Accelerated asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of aromatic ketones in water. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2004**, *2* (13), 1818-1821.

197. Soltani, O.; Ariger, M. A.; Vázquez-Villa, H.; Carreira, E. M., Transfer Hydrogenation in Water: Enantioselective, Catalytic Reduction of α -Cyano and α -Nitro Substituted Acetophenones. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12* (13), 2893-2895.

198. Seashore-Ludlow, B.; Saint-Dizier, F.; Somfai, P., Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation Coupled with Dynamic Kinetic Resolution in Water: Synthesis of anti-β-Hydroxy- α -amino Acid Derivatives. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (24), 6334-6337.

199. Zheng, L.-S.; Llopis, Q.; Echeverria, P.-G.; Férard, C.; Guillamot, G.; Phansavath, P.; Ratovelomanana-Vidal, V., Asymmetric Transfer Hydrogenation of (Hetero)arylketones with Tethered Rh(III)–N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-1,2-diphenylethylene-1,2-diamine Complexes: Scope and Limitations. *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82* (11), 5607-5615.

200. Gröger, H.; Hummel, W.; Buchholz, S.; Drauz, K.; Nguyen, T. V.; Rollmann, C.; Hüsken, H.; Abokitse, K., Practical Asymmetric Enzymatic Reduction through Discovery of a Dehydrogenase-Compatible Biphasic Reaction Media. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5* (2), 173-176.

201. Xian-Long, D.; Lin, H.; She, Z.; Yong-Mei, L.; Yong, C.; He-Yong, H.; Kang-Nian, F., Hydrogen-independent reductive transformation of carbohydrate biomass into γ -valerolactone and pyrrolidone derivatives with supported gold catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50* (34), 7815-7819.

202. Fu, M.-C.; Shang, R.; Huang, Z.; Fu, Y., Conversion of Levulinate Ester and Formic Acid into γ -Valerolactone Using a Homogeneous Iron Catalyst. *Synlett* **2014**, *25* (19), 2748-2752.

203. Mohan, V.; David, R. B.; Rama, R. K. S.; B., J. S.; E., v. Z. W., Hydrogenation of Levulinic Acid Using Formic Acid as a Hydrogen Source over Ni/SiO2 Catalysts. *Chem. Eng. Tech.* **2017**, *40* (4), 719-726.

204. García, J. I.; García-Marín, H.; Pires, E., Glycerol based solvents: synthesis, properties and applications. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16* (3), 1007-1033.

Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2019 7 (12), 10182-10197 DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b00811

205. Díaz-Álvarez, A.; Cadierno, V., Glycerol: A promising Green Solvent and Reducing Agent for Metal-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation Reactions and Nanoparticles Formation. *Appl. Sci.* **2013**, *3* (1), 55.

206. Tavor, D.; Sheviev, O.; Dlugy, C.; Wolfson, A., Transfer hydrogenations of benzaldehyde using glycerol as solvent and hydrogen source. *Can. J. Chem.* **2010**, *88* (4), 305-308.

207. Azua, A.; Mata, J. A.; Peris, E., Iridium NHC Based Catalysts for Transfer Hydrogenation Processes Using Glycerol as Solvent and Hydrogen Donor. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30* (20), 5532-5536.

208. Sharma, A. K.; Joshi, H.; Sharma, K. N.; Gupta, P. L.; Singh, A. K., 2-Propanol vs Glycerol as Hydrogen Source in Catalytic Activation of Transfer Hydrogenation with (η 6-Benzene)ruthenium(II) Complexes of Unsymmetrical Bidentate Chalcogen Ligands. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33* (13), 3629-3639.

209. Gawande, M. B.; Rathi, A. K.; Branco, P. S.; Nogueira, I. D.; Velhinho, A.; Shrikhande, J. J.; Indulkar, U. U.; Jayaram, R. V.; Ghumman, C. A. A.; Bundaleski, N.; Teodoro, O. M. N. D., Regio- and Chemoselective Reduction of Nitroarenes and Carbonyl Compounds over Recyclable Magnetic Ferrite-Nickel Nanoparticles (Fe3O4-Ni) by Using Glycerol as a Hydrogen Source. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18* (40), 12628-12632.

210. Sauer, R. O.; Scheiber, W. J.; Brewer, S. D., Derivatives of the Methylchlorosilanes. V. Polysiloxanes from Methyldichlorosilane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1946**, *68* (6), 962-963.

211. J. Lawrence, N.; D. Drew, M.; M. Bushell, S., Polymethylhydrosiloxane: a versatile reducing agent for organic synthesis. *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans. 1*, **1999**, (23), 3381-3391.

212. Döhlert, P.; Enthaler, S., Conversion of Poly(methylhydrosiloxane) Waste to Useful Commodities. *Catal. Lett.* **2016**, *146* (2), 345-352.

213. Lipowitz, J.; Bowman, S. A., Use of polymethylhydrosiloxane as a selective, neutral reducing agent for aldehydes, ketones, olefins, and aromatic nitro compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **1973**, *38* (1), 162-165.

214. Grady, G. L.; Kuivila, H. G., Simple technique for performing reactions with organotin hydrides. *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34* (6), 2014-2016.

215. Lawrence, N. J.; Bushell, S. M., An efficient protocol for the reduction of ketones with tin(II) complexes and PMHS. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41* (22), 4507-4512.

216. Kobayashi, Y.; Takahisa, E.; Nakano, M.; Watatani, K., Reduction of carbonyl compounds by using polymethylhydro-siloxane: reactivity and selectivity. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53* (5), 1627-1634.

217. Wang, Z.; Wroblewski, A. E.; Verkade, J. G., P(MeNCH2CH2)3N: An Efficient Promoter for the Reduction of Aldehydes and Ketones with Poly(methylhydrosiloxane). *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64* (21), 8021-8023.

218. Kseniya, R.; I., N. G., Base-Catalyzed Hydrosilylation of Ketones and Esters and Insight into the Mechanism. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20* (3), 839-845.

219. Misal, C. L. C.; David, B.; Jean-Baptiste, S.; Christophe, D., Iron dihydride complex as the pre-catalyst for efficient hydrosilylation of aldehydes and ketones under visible light activation. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353* (8), 1279-1284.

220. Elina, B.; Lorenzo, Z.; Hans, A., Selective hydrosilylation of ketones catalyzed by in situ-generated iron NHC complexes. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2011**, *25* (10), 748-752.

221. Daniele, A.; Nadim, S.; Shaolin, Z.; Shoubhik, D.; Kathrin, J.; Matthias, B., Chemoand Stereoselective Iron-Catalyzed Hydrosilylation of Ketones. *Chem. Asian J.* **2010**, *5* (7), 1687-1691.

222. Marcin, S.; Agata, B.; Jacek, M., Zinc-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydrosilylation of Ketones and Imines under Solvent-Free Conditions. *ChemCatChem* **2016**, *8* (23), 3575-3579.

223. Mimoun, H., Selective Reduction of Carbonyl Compounds by Polymethylhydrosiloxane in the Presence of Metal Hydride Catalysts. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64* (7), 2582-2589.

224. Bette, V.; Mortreux, A.; Savoia, D.; Carpentier, J.-F., New developments in zinccatalyzed asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketones with PMHS. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60* (12), 2837-2842.

225. Mimoun, H.; de Saint Laumer, J. Y.; Giannini, L.; Scopelliti, R.; Floriani, C., Enantioselective Reduction of Ketones by Polymethylhydrosiloxane in the Presence of Chiral Zinc Catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121* (26), 6158-6166.

226. Cravotto, G.; Gaudino, E. C., CHAPTER 3 Oxidation and Reduction by Solid Oxidants and Reducing Agents using Ball-Milling. In *Ball Milling Towards Green Synthesis: Applications, Projects, Challenges*, The Royal Society of Chemistry: 2015; pp 58-80.