

ON ILIEFF'S CONJECTURE AND RELATED PROBLEMS

FRANK GACS

ABSTRACT

The following is a conjecture due to Goodman, Rahman, and Ratti: if P , a polynomial of degree n , has all its zero in $|z| \leq 1$, and $P(a) = 0$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, then P' must have a zero in $|z-a/2| \leq 1-a/2$. This is proved for $2 \leq n \leq 5$. It is further conjectured that the radius $1-a/2$ can be replaced by $r_n(a)$, where $1/2 \leq r_n(a) \leq 1-a/2$ and $r_n(a)$ is the zero of $(1/a) [(x+a/2)^n - (x-a/2)^n] - 1$. This is proved for $n = 3$. It is also proved that if, under similar conditions, $P(1) = 0$, $P'(1) \neq 0$, $n \geq 4$, and P' does not have a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$, then $P(z) = c(z^n-1)$.

Mathematics Dept.

M. Sc. Degree

ON ILIEFF'S CONJECTURE AND RELATED PROBLEMS

ON ILIEFF'S CONJECTURE AND RELATED PROBLEMS

by

FRANK GACS

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and
Research of McGill University in partial fulfillment of the re-
quirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Mathematics Department
McGill University
Montreal, Canada

March, 1970

ABSTRACT

The following is a conjecture due to Goodman, Rahman, and Ratti: if P , a polynomial of degree n , has all its zero in $|z| \leq 1$, and $P(a) = 0$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, then P' must have a zero in $|z-a/2| \leq 1-a/2$. This is proved for $2 \leq n \leq 5$. It is further conjectured that the radius $1-a/2$ can be replaced by $r_n(a)$, where $1/2 \leq r_n(a) \leq 1-a/2$ and $r_n(a)$ is the zero of $(1/a) [(x + a/2)^n - (x-a/2)^n] - 1$. This is proved for $n = 3$. It is also proved that if, under similar conditions, $P(1) = 0$, $P'(1) \neq 0$, $n \geq 4$, and P' does not have a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$, then $P(z) = c(z^n-1)$.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	
1. EXTENSION TO QUINTICS	1
2. A MORE GENERAL CONJECTURE	8
3. THE CASE OF CUBICS	10
4. A REFINEMENT OF THE BOUNDARY CASE	11
5. A SPECIAL CASE OF ILIEFF'S CONJECTURE	14

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank Professor R. Vermes, his research director, for his help and encouragement. He is also indebted to Professor W. Moser for his kind assistance in making the proofs more readable.

INTRODUCTION

The fundamental result in the study of geometric relationships between the zeros of a polynomial over the complex field and the zeros of its derivative is the Gauss-Lucas Theorem. It asserts that if all the zeros of a polynomial are inside a circle, then so are the zeros of its derivative. A closely related problem which is far from being solved is as follows: given that all the zeros of a polynomial P are in a circle and one of the zeros is specified, describe the minimal region that will always contain a zero of P' .

By a suitable translation and rotation followed by an expansion or contraction this problem can be reduced to the following: given that $P(a,n)$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $n \geq 2$, is the set of monic polynomials of degree n that have all their zeros in $|z| \leq 1$ and have at least one zero at a , and that $\mathcal{D}(a,n)$ is the class of all regions $D(a,n)$ that have the property that if $P \in P(a,n)$ then P' has a zero in $D(a,n)$, describe $D^*(a,n)$ which is the intersection of all elements of $\mathcal{D}(a,n)$.

As a start, the Gauss-Lucas Theorem assures us that the region $|z| \leq 1$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}(a,n)$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $n \geq 2$. The next step in the solution was made by a conjecture due to Ilieff which asserts that $|z-a| \leq 1$ is a member of $\mathcal{D}(a,n)$. In [1], Goodman, Rahman and Ratti further conjectured that the region $|z-a/2| \leq 1-a/2$ is also a member of $\mathcal{D}(a,n)$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $n \geq 2$. This is a much better result because

$$\{|z-a/2| \leq 1-a/2\} \subset \{|z-a| \leq 1\} \cap \{|z| \leq 1\}.$$

The boundary case of the above conjecture, i.e. when $a = -1$, $n \geq 2$, has been proved in [1], [4], [5]. For $2 \leq n \leq 4$, the conjecture has been proved in [5], and implicitly in [2].

In this thesis we will extend this result to $n = 5$. Also, we will describe a sequence of regions contained in $|z - a/2| \leq 1 - a/2$ which we will conjecture are elements of $\mathcal{D}(a, n)$. This will be proved in the cases $n = 2$ and 3 , and moreover, in these cases they will describe $D^*(a, 2)$ and $D^*(a, 3)$.

1. Extension to Quintics.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of monic polynomials over the complex numbers. Let D_{∞} denote the operation of differentiation with normalization, so that if

$$f(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n,$$

$$D_{\infty} f(z) = z^{n-1} + \frac{(n-1)}{n} a_1 z^{n-2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{n}.$$

Let D_{λ} denote polar differentiation with respect to λ with normalization. If

$$T(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad ad \neq bc,$$

is a linear transformation, and $f \in \mathcal{P}$ has zeros z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n such that $T(z_i) \neq \infty$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then Tf is defined as the monic polynomial whose zeros are $T(z_i)$, i.e.,

$$Tf(z) = t(cz - a)^n f(T^{-1}z),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{t} = \begin{cases} c^n f(-d/c) & \text{if } c \neq 0, \\ (ad)^n & \text{if } c = 0. \end{cases}$$

The following lemmas call attention to some well known facts which we will be using. The first lemma describes the fundamental property of the polar derivative.

LEMMA 1. Let μ, λ belong to the extended complex plane, and $f \in \mathcal{P}$. If $\mu = T(\lambda)$, then $T(D_\lambda f) = D_\mu(Tf)$.

The next lemma contains some elementary facts from complex analysis.

LEMMA 2. If

$$T(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad ad \neq bc, \quad c \neq 0,$$

is a linear transformation and C is a circle such that $-d/c$ is outside C , then $T(C) = \{T(z): z \in C\}$ is another circle, with the interior of C being mapped into the interior of $T(C)$. In particular, if a, b, c, d are real and C has a diameter on the real axis with ends at p and q , the $T(C)$ will have a diameter with ends at $T(p)$ and $T(q)$.

The next lemma is the Coincidence Theorem of Walsh [3; p.46].

LEMMA 3. Let $g(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a linear, symmetric function of z_1, \dots, z_n , i.e.,

$$g(z_1, \dots, z_n) = a_0 + a_1 \sigma_1 + \dots + a_n \sigma_n,$$

where the σ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the elementary symmetric functions of the z_i . If w_1, \dots, w_n are on or outside a circle C , then there exists a w on or outside C such that

$$g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = g(w, \dots, w)$$

provided that $a_n \neq 0$.

COROLLARY. If

$$g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = a_0 + \dots + a_n \sigma_n,$$

$$h(w_1, \dots, w_n) = b_0 + \dots + b_n \sigma_n \neq 0,$$

we can extend Walsh's Theorem to apply to

$$q = \frac{g(w_1, \dots, w_n)}{h(w_1, \dots, w_n)}$$

provided $q \neq a_n/b_n$.

Proof: By Walsh's Theorem applied to

$$qh(w_1, \dots, w_n) - g(w_1, \dots, w_n) = 0$$

there exist a w on or outside C such that

$$qh(w, \dots, w) - g(w, \dots, w) = 0.$$

Hence

$$q = \frac{g(w, \dots, w)}{h(w, \dots, w)}.$$

LEMMA 4. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{P}$ be both of degree n . If $D_\lambda f = D_\lambda g$, then

$$f(z) = (1-t)(z-\lambda)^n - tg(z), \quad t \neq 0.$$

Proof: We have

$$nf(z) - (z-\lambda)f'(z) = tng(z) - t(z-\lambda)g'(z), \quad t \neq 0.$$

Let $h(z) = f(z) - tg(z)$. Thus, $nh(z) = (z-\lambda)h'(z)$.

Assume that $h(z) \neq 0$. Then there exists a domain D , in the upper half plane, where $h'(z)/h(z)$ is analytic. But $h'(z)/h(z) = n/(z-\lambda)$, $z \in D$, and hence

$$f(z) = C(z-\lambda)^n, \quad z \in D.$$

Because h is a polynomial, this equation is valid in the entire plane. Thus

$$h(z) = C(z-\lambda)^n - tg(z).$$

Because f and g are monic, $C = 1-t$. Note that this equation is correct even when $h(z) \equiv 0$.

COROLLARY. If $g \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda \neq x$, then $f \in \mathcal{P}$ is uniquely determined by the following conditions:

$$1) \quad f(x) = 0; \quad 2) \quad D_{\lambda} f = g; \quad 3) \quad \deg(f) = \deg(g) + 1.$$

Thus we can introduce the notation $f = I_{\lambda}^x g$. It should be noted that if the zeros of g are w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} , then

$$I_{\lambda}^x g(z) = Q(z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}),$$

where Q is the ratio of two linear symmetric functions in w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} .

Now, direct verification yields

LEMMA 5. Let $g(z) = (z-w)^{n-1}$, $I_{\lambda}^x g = f$. Then

$$f(z) = \frac{(z-w)^n (\lambda-x)^n - (z-\lambda)^n (w-x)^n}{(\lambda-x)^n - (w-x)^n}.$$

If $w = \lambda$, define f by continuity, i.e.

$$f(z) = (z-\lambda)^{n-1}(z-x).$$

If

$$x = \frac{\lambda - \exp(2\pi ki/n)w}{1 - \exp(2\pi ki/n)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$I_{\lambda}^x g$ does not exist.

We are now ready to prove

THEOREM 1. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of degree n . Let $P(a) = 0$, $0 < a < 1$. If $2 \leq n \leq 5$ and all the zeros of P are in $|z| \leq 1$, then $D_{\infty}P$ must have a zero in $|z-a/2| < 1-a/2$.

Proof. Consider the linear transformation

$$T_x(z) = \frac{(x+a) - (1+ax)z}{1 + ax - (x+a)z}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Clearly,

$$T_x(1) = -1, \quad T_x(-1) = 1, \quad T_x(a) = x,$$

$$T_x(\infty) = \frac{1+ax}{x+a} = \lambda(x), \quad T_x(a-1) = \frac{1+x+ax-a^2x}{1+x+a-a^2} = t(x).$$

Hence by Lemma 2, under the action of T_x the unit circle is mapped into itself, while $|z-a/2| = 1-a/2$ is mapped into the circle with diameter ends at -1 and t .

Assume all the zeros of $D_{\infty}P$ are in $|z-a/2| \geq 1-a/2$. Then, all zeros of $T_x(D_{\infty}P)$ are in $|z| \geq t$.

However, all the zeros of $T_x P$ are in $|z| \leq 1$. We will thus arrive at a contradiction by showing that $|T_x P(0)|/x > 1$ for $x \in (1-\epsilon, 1)$.

Let $f = T_x(D_\infty P)$. Hence by Lemma 1, $f = D_\lambda(T_x P)$, and thus $T_x P = I_\lambda^x f$. If the zeros of f are w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} , then $|w_i| \geq t$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

$$T_x P(z) = Q(z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$$

where Q is the ratio of two linear symmetric functions in w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} .

Applying Lemma 3,

$$T_x P(0) = Q(0, w, \dots, w), \quad |w| \geq t.$$

Hence by Lemma 5,

$$|T_x P(0)| = \left| \frac{w^n(\lambda-x)^n - \lambda^n(w-x)^n}{(\lambda-x)^n - (w-x)^n} \right|.$$

Lemma 3 cannot be applied if

$$|T_x P(0)| = \lambda^n - (\lambda-x)^n = \frac{(1+ax)^n - (1-x^2)^n}{(x+a)^n} = s(x).$$

Because

$$s(1) = 1, \quad s'(1) = \frac{n(a-1)}{a+1} < 0,$$

$$|T_x P(0)| < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in (1-\epsilon_1, 1).$$

Let

$$|h(w)| = 1/|T_x P(0)| = \left| \frac{(\lambda-x)^n - (w-x)^n}{w^n(\lambda-x)^n - \lambda^n(w-x)^n} \right|.$$

We will show that $h(w)$ is analytic in $|w| \geq t$ for $x \in (1-\epsilon_2, 1)$; i.e., if w_0 is a zero of $p(w) = w^n(\lambda-x)^n - \lambda^n(w-x)^n$, then $|w_0| < t$.
Now, $w_0 = \lambda$, or

$$w_0 = - \frac{\exp(2\pi ki/n)\lambda x}{\lambda - x - \exp(2\pi ki/n)\lambda}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

If $w_0 = \lambda$, h can be defined by continuity. Thus, we need to have

$$|w_0|^2 = \frac{(1+ax)^2 x^2}{x^2(x+a)^2 + 2(1+ax)(1-x^2)(1-\cos 2\pi k/n)} < t^2, \quad k=1, \dots, n-1.$$

Cross multiplying, factoring out $(1-x^2)$, and letting $x \rightarrow 1$, we need to have

$$(1-a)/(2-a) < 1-\cos(2\pi k/n), \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

which is valid for $2 \leq n \leq 6$. Thus $|w_0| < t$ for $x \in (1-\epsilon_2, 1)$.

Now, $h(\infty)$ can also be defined by continuity. Hence, for $x \in (1-\epsilon_2, 1)$, by the Maximum Modulus Theorem we have

$$|h(w)| \leq |h(te^{i\phi})|$$

where $\phi = \phi(x)$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. Let

$$s_\phi(x) = |h(te^{i\phi})|^2, \quad 0 < \phi < 2\pi.$$

$$s_\phi(x) = \left| \frac{(1-x^2)^n(1+x+a-a^2)^n - (x+a)^n C^n}{e^{in\phi}(1-x^2)^n(1+x+ax-a^2x)^n - (1+ax)^n C^n} \right|^2$$

where

$$C = (1+x+ax-a^2x)e^{i\phi} - (x+x^2+ax-a^2x).$$

Because

$$s_\phi(1) = 1, \quad s'_\phi(1) = 2n(1-a)/(1+a) > 0,$$

$$s_\phi(x) < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in (1-\epsilon_3, 1), \quad 0 < \phi < 2\pi.$$

Let

$$s_0(x) = x/h(t) = \frac{x(1+x+a^2)^n - x(x+a)^n}{(1+x+ax-a^2x)^n - (1+ax)^n}.$$

$$s_0(1) = 1, \quad s'_0(1) = \frac{(2-a)^{n-1}(2+a-a^2-na+na^2)-(n-na+1+a)}{(1+a)[(2-a)^n - 1]}.$$

Hence for $2 \leq n \leq 5$, $s'_0(1) > 0$. Thus in our case $s_0(x) < 1$, for $x \in (1-\epsilon_4, 1)$.

Let $\epsilon = \min(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_4)$. Consequently $|T_x P(0)|/x \geq 1$ for $x \in (1-\epsilon, 1)$.

But this is a contradiction, because all the zeros of $T_x P$ should be in $|z| \leq 1$.

2. A More General Conjecture.

Under the conditions of Theorem 1, can the circle $|z-a/2| = 1-a/2$ be made smaller? When $a = 1$, the circle $|z-1/2| = 1/2$ is the best as shown by the polynomials $|z-1/2| = 1/2$ is the best as shown by the polynomials $(z-1)^n$ and z^n-1 . When $a = 0$, the region $|z| \leq (1/n)^{1/(n-1)}$ satisfies the requirements of Theorem 1, as can be seen from the inequality $|P'(0)| \leq 1$. This is the best result as shown by $P(z) = z(z^{n-1} - e^{i\phi})$.

These observations suggest the following conjecture.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of degree n , $P(a) = 0$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, and P has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, then P' must have a zero in $|z-a/2| \leq p_n(a)$, where $1/2 \leq p_n(a) \leq 1-a/2$ and $p_n(a)$ is a zero of

$$g_a(x) = \frac{(x+a/2)^n - (x-a/2)^n}{a} - 1.$$

Remarks.

1) When $n = 2$, $g_a(x) = 2x-1$, and obviously the conjecture is valid.

In the following notes it is assumed that $n \geq 3$.

2) When $0 \leq a < 1$ we have $g_a(1/2) < 0$, $g_a(1-a/2) > 0$, and $g'_a(x) > 0$ for $1/2 \leq x \leq 1-a/2$. Thus, $p_n(a)$ is uniquely defined.

3) $g_0(x) = nx^{n-1}-1$; thus $p_n(0) = (1/n)^{1/(n-1)}$.

$g_1(1/2) = 0$; thus $p_n(1) = 1/2$.

$$4) \quad \frac{(x+a/2)^n - (x-a/2)^n}{a} - 1 > \frac{(x+a/2)^{n+1} - (x-a/2)^{n+1}}{a} - 1$$

for $1/2 \leq x \leq 1-a/2$, $0 \leq a < 1$. Thus $\{p_n(a)\}$ is an increasing sequence.

5) When $a, a', x > 0$,

$$a' > a \implies g_{a'}(x) > g_a(x).$$

Thus $p_n(a)$ is strictly decreasing in a .

6) By implicit differentiation, $p'_n(1) = (1/n) - (1/2)$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p'_n(1) = -1/2.$$

Thus, by the results of 4) and 5) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(a) = 1-a/2.$$

7) For $n = 3$ this is the best result as shown by

$$P(z) = (z-a)(z-e^{i\phi})(z-e^{-i\phi}).$$

The zeros of P' are

$$[a+2 \cos \phi \pm (a^2 - 3-2a \cos \phi + 4 \cos^2 \phi)^{1/2}] / 3 .$$

As

$$[a-(12-3a^2)^{1/2}] / 4 \leq \cos \phi \leq [a+(12-3a^2)^{1/2}] / 4$$

and $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$, $|z-a/2| = [(4-a^2)/12]^{1/2}$ is traced out by the two roots.

3. The Case of Cubics.

THEOREM 2. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of degree 3. If P has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, and $P(a) = 0$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, then P' must have a zero in $|z-a/2| \leq [(4-a^2)/12]^{1/2}$.

Proof. Let $P'(z+a/2)$ have zeros w_1, w_2 . Thus,

$$P(z)/(z-a) = z^2 + (1/2)[-a-3(w_1+w_2)]z + (1/4)[a^2+12w_1w_2] .$$

Let us take the polar derivative with respect to 1.

$$2P_1(z) = [-a-3(w_1+w_2)+4]z - [a+3(w_1+w_2) - a^2-12w_1w_2] .$$

P_1 is linear unless 1 is the center of mass of the zeros of

$$P(z)/(z-a); \text{ i.e., } P(z) = (z-1)^2(z-a).$$

Assume $|w_i| > [(4-a^2)/12]^{1/2} = t$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus if z_0 is the zero of P_1 , by Lemma 3

$$z_0 = \frac{a+6w-a^2-12w^2}{-a-6w+4}, \quad |w| > t.$$

Let $y = w/t$. Then $|y| > 1$, and

$$z_0 = \frac{y^2(4-a^2) - y(12-3a^2)^{1/2} + a^2 - a}{y(12-3a^2)^{1/2} + a - 4} = \frac{p(y)}{q(y)}.$$

Now, it is easily checked that $q(y)/p(y)$ is analytic for $|y| \geq 1$. If $a = 1$, $q(1)/p(1)$ is defined by continuity. $q(\infty)/p(\infty)$ can also be defined by continuity. Thus, by the Maximum Modulus Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{|z_0|} < \left| \frac{q(e^{i\phi})}{p(e^{i\phi})} \right|.$$

Let

$$r(\phi) = |p(e^{i\phi})|^2 - |q(e^{i\phi})|^2.$$

$$r'(\phi) = 8a(1-a)(4-a^2) \sin\phi \cos\phi.$$

Thus

$$|z_0| > \left| \frac{p(1)}{q(1)} \right| = 1.$$

Therefore by Laguerre's Theorem, $P(z)/(z-a)$ must have a zero outside the unit circle. But this is a contradiction, and thus the theorem is proved.

4. A Refinement of the Boundary Case.

In what follows we shall assume that f has the following properties:

- 1) f is in \mathcal{P} and is of degree n . 2.) $f(1) = 0$, and $f'(1) \neq 0$.
- 3) All the zeros of f are in $|z| \leq 1$.

THEOREM 3. If $n \geq 4$ and f' does not have a zero in $|z-1/a| < 1/2$, then

$$f(z) = z^n - 1.$$

LEMMA 6. If f' does not have a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$, then f has all its zeros on $|z| = 1$, and f has all its zeros on $|z-1/2| = 1/2$.

Proof. The proof can be found in [4; Theorem 1].

LEMMA 7. Let f_1 represent the polar derivative of f with respect to 1. If f'_1 has a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$, then so does f' .

Proof. The proof follows from Laguerre's Theorem. See [5; Lemma 1].

LEMMA 8. Let $n = 3$. If f' does not have a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$, then

$$f(z) = z^3 - 3cz^2 + 3cz - 1, \quad 0 \leq c < 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6,

$$f(z) = (z-1)(z-e^{i\theta})(z-e^{i\phi}),$$

and $|f'(1/2)|/3 = 1/4$. Consequently we have

$$|4\exp(i\theta - i\phi) - 1|/12 = 1/4.$$

Thus, $\phi = -\theta$. Let $c = (1+2 \cos \phi)/3$, and result follows.

LEMMA 9. Let

$$g(z) = (n-t)(z-1)^{n-1} + tz^{n-1}, \quad t \neq 0.$$

If $n \geq 4$ and g has all its zeros on $|z-1/2| = 1/2$, then $t = n$.

Proof.

$$h(z) = g\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right) = (n-t) \left(\frac{z-1}{2}\right)^{n-1} + t \left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

has all its zeros on $|z| = 1$.

$$p(w) = (w+i)^{n-1} h[(w-i)/(w+i)] = (-i)^{n-1} (n-t) + tw^{n-1}$$

has only real zeros. But this is only possible if $n \leq 3$, or $t = n$.

LEMMA 10. The theorem is true for $n = 4$.

Proof. Suppose f' does not have a zero in $|z-1/2| < 1/2$. Let

$$f(z) = z^4 + a_3 z^3 + a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0.$$

By Lemmas 7 and 8,

$$f_1(z) = tz^3 - 3ctz^2 + 3ctz - t, \quad 0 \leq c < 1, \quad t \neq 0.$$

Thus,

$$a_3 = t-4, \quad a_2 = (1/2)(12-3t-3ct),$$

$$a_1 = t+2ct-4, \quad a_0 = (1/2)(-t-ct+2).$$

By Lemma 6, $|a_0| = 1$; i.e.,

$$t = (2 + 2e^{i\phi})(1+c), \quad -\pi < \phi < \pi,$$

and

$$|f'(1/2)|/4 = 1/8.$$

Hence,

$$c(2c+1)(\cos \phi + 1) = 0.$$

Therefore we must have $c = 0$. Thus, f' satisfies the conditions of Lemma 9. Consequently we have $t = 4$, and

$$f(z) = z^4 - 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Proof is by induction on n , the degree of f .

Because $f(z) \neq (z-1)^n$, f_1 is of degree $n-1$. Also since $f(1) = 0$, $f_1(1) = 0$. By Laguerre's Theorem, f_1 has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$, and $f'_1(1) \neq 0$.

Thus by Lemma 7 and inductional hypothesis,

$$f_1(z) = tz^{n-1} - t, \quad t \neq 0.$$

$$f(z) = (1-t/n)(z-1)^n + (t/n)(z^n-1).$$

$$f'(z) = (n-t)(z-1)^{n-1} + tz^{n-1}.$$

Hence by Lemma 9, $t = n$, and

$$f(z) = z^n - 1.$$

5. A Special Case of Ilieff's Conjecture.

LEMMA 11. The right bisector of the line segment joining two zeros of a polynomial either separates the zeros of its derivative or passes through at least one of them.

Proof. This follows from the proof of the Grace-Heawood Theorem [3; p.84].

The following theorem was proved in [5]. Here we will use a geometric point of view.

THEOREM 4. Let P be a polynomial with all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. If $P(0) = 0$, and $P(a) = 0$, then P' must have a zero in $|z-a| \leq 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume $0 < a \leq 1$.

Thus by Lemma 11 and Gauss-Lucas Theorem, P' must have a zero in

$$S = \{\operatorname{Re}(z) \geq a/2\} \cap \{|z| \leq 1\}.$$

But $S \subset \{|z-a| \leq 1\}$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. A.W. Goodman, Q.I. Rahman, and J.S. Ratti, On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 21 (1969) 273-274.
2. A. Joyal, On the zeros of a polynomial and its derivative, J. Math. Analysis and Appl., 26 (1969) 315-317.
3. M. Marden, The geometry of the zeros of a polynomial, Math. Surveys, No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1949.
4. A. Meir and A. Sharma, On Ilyeff's conjecture, Pacific J. Math., 31 (1969), 459-467.
5. G. Schmeisser, Bemerkungen zu einer Vermutung von Ilieff, Math. Zeit., 111 (1969), 121-125.