## The molecular mechanisms underlying the development and progression of pediatric astrocytoma

Adam M. Fontebasso

Division of Experimental Medicine Faculty of Medicine McGill University, Montreal August, 2014

A thesis submitted to McGill University in partial fulfillment of the requirements

of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

© Copyright Adam M. Fontebasso, 2014

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES & SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
ABSTRACT	14
RESUMÉ	16
FORMAT OF THE THESIS	18
PREFACE AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE AUTHORS	19
ABBREVIATIONS	24
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	29
1.1. Pediatric brain tumor epidemiology, pathology and treatment	29
1.2 Genomic studies of high-grade gliomas	33
1.3 Epigenetic driver mutations in pediatric and young adult high-grade gliomas	36
1.4 Telomerase-dependent and independent telomere dysregulation in adult and	
pediatric gliomas	47
1.5 Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) Pathway Activation in Gliomas	50
1.6 Aneuploidy and chromothripsis phenotypes in adult and pediatric brain tumors	57
1.7 Rationale and hypotheses of the study	61
1.8 Objectives of the thesis	63
1.9 Figures	65
CHAPTER 2: Mutations in SETD2 and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylat	on
target hemispheric high-grade gliomas	77
2.1 Abstract	78
2.2 Introduction	79
2.3 Materials and Methods	80
2.4 Results and discussion	84

2.5 Acknowledgments	
2.6 Conflict of interest	
2.7 Figures	
2.8 Tables	
2.9 Supplementary Material	
2.10 Connecting text Chapter 2 and Chapter 3	101

#### CHAPTER 3: Recurrent mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline high-grade

#### 

3.1 Abstract	
3.2 Introduction	
3.3 Materials and Methods	
3.4 Results	
3.5 Discussion	
3.6 Acknowledgments	
3.7 Competing financial interests	120
3.8 Figures	
3.9 Supplementary Material	125
3.10 Connecting text from Chapter 3 to Chapter 4	

#### **CHAPTER 4:** Characterization of age-associated aneuploidy in pilocytic

astrocytoma	
4.2 Introduction	
4.3 Materials and Methods	
4.4 Results	
4.5 Discussion	
4.6 Acknowledgements	
4.7 Conflicts of Interest	
4.8 Accession Numbers	
4.9 Figures	
4.10 Supplementary Material	

CHAPTER 5: Discussion	184
5.1 General summary and significance of findings	184
5.2 Histone mutations and epigenetic driver mutations as central regulators of	
tumorigenesis in pediatric brain tumors: possible "epigenetic addiction"?	185
5.3 ACVR1 mutations: New targets and further evidence for pediatric brain tum	ors as
neurodevelopmental diseases	188
5.4 Aneuploidy, aging and pilocytic astrocytoma: Inner workings of a tumor bey	vond
the MAPK pathway	193
5.5 Future prospects and experimentation	196
5.6 Conclusion	198
BIBLIOGRAPHY	199

## LIST OF FIGURES, TABLES & SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

Figure 1.1: Central nervous system cellular development and tumorigenesis.

Figure 1.2: Gliomas, including astrocytomas, are the largest subgroup of brain tumor across the lifespan.

Figure 1.3: Astrocytoma subtype distribution across the age spectrum.

Figure 1.4: Survival of patients with different histological grades of astrocytoma.

Figure 1.5: Molecular pathways altered in adult glioblastoma.

Figure 1.6: Mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodeling genes identified in pediatric glioblastoma.

Figure 1.7: Six epigenetic subgroups of glioblastoma.

Figure 1.8: Neuroanatomical specificity of epigenetic subgroups of glioblastoma.

Figure 1.9: Functional impact of major genetic and epigenetic driver alterations identified in pediatric and adult glioblastoma.

Figure 1.10: Frequency and brain region specificity of MAPK pathway alterations in pilocytic astrocytoma.

Figure 1.11: Major MAPK genetic alterations identified in pilocytic astrocytoma.

Figure 1.12: Mechanisms leading to the generation of an euploid cells.

## CHAPTER 2: MUTATIONS IN *SETD2* AND GENES AFFECTING HISTONE H3K36 METHYLATION TARGET HEMISPHERIC HIGH-GRADE GLIOMAS.

Figure 2.1: Missense/truncating mutations of the H3K36 trimethyltransferase *SETD2* identified in pediatric and adult high-grade gliomas.

Figure 2.2: Missense/truncating mutations in *SETD2* impair H3K36 trimethyltransferase activity of the enzyme.

Figure 2.3: Mutations affecting H3K36 methylation confer distinct global DNA methylation signatures

Table 1: Frequencies of SETD2 mutations in 183 pediatric and adult gliomas.

Supplementary Figure 2.1: Age distribution of driver mutations identified in pediatric and adult high-grade gliomas.

Supplementary Figure 2.2: *SETD2* mutations do not show similar patterns of promoter methylation at *OLIG1* and *OLIG2* loci as H3.3K36 affected G34R/V tumors.

Supplementary Table 2.1: Clinical and molecular characteristics of 183 pediatric and adult gliomas included in the study.

Supplementary Table 2.2: Genes significantly mutated in 60 pediatric high-grade glioma samples

## CHAPTER 3: RECURRENT SOMATIC MUTATIONS IN ACVR1 IN

#### PEDIATRIC MIDLINE HIGH-GRADE ASTROCYTOMA

Figure 3.1: Genomic landscape of pediatric midline HGAs.

Figure 3.2: Increased levels of phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 in *ACVR1*-mutant mHGAs. Figure 3.3: Clustering analysis of global DNA methylation profiles for 98 high-grade astrocytomas. Figure 3.4: Mutations identified in *ACVR1* are associated with activation of downstream SMAD signaling pathways.

Supplementary Figure 3.1: Patterns of K27M-associated mutations among midline pediatric high-grade astrocytomas.

Supplementary Figure 3.2: *PDGFRA* amplification is present in a minority of cells in DIPG.

Supplementary Figure 3.3: Copy number variant analysis using biopsy material from five different anatomical loci in a single tumor.

Supplementary Figure 3.4: H3.1 and H3.3 K27M variants demonstrate similar patterns of global DNA methylation.

Supplementary Figure 3.5: Multiscale bootstrapping of the high-grade astrocytoma methylation cluster.

Supplementary Figure 3.6: Mutation subgroup-specific overall survival of midline highgrade astrocytomas (mHGAs) and diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs).

Supplementary Table 3.1: Clinical characteristics of midline and cortical high-grade astrocytomas included in the study

Supplementary Table 3.2 Functional assessment of ACVR1 growth factor receptor mutations reported herein

Supplementary Table 3.3 Deep sequencing statistics for *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B*, *HIST1H3C* of midline high-grade astrocytomas included in the study

Supplementary Table 3.4 Significant copy number gains seen in candidate genes of interest across 98 high-grade astrocytomas.

Supplementary Table 3.5 Significant copy number losses seen in candidate genes of interest across 98 high-grade astrocytomas.

Supplementary Table 3.6 SNVs and insertion/deletion variants in candidate genes of interest

Supplementary Table 3.7 Gross structural alterations in high-grade astrocytomas

according to H3F3A or IDH1 mutation show increased genomic instability in H3F3A

G34R/V mutants

Supplementary Table 3.8 Primer sequences utilized for targeted sequencing and quantitative PCR analyses in the study.

## CHAPTER 4: CHARACTERIZATION OF AGE-ASSOCIATED ANEUPLOIDY IN PILOCYTIC ASTROCYTOMA

Figure 4.1: Characterization of aneuploidy observed in pilocytic astrocytoma.

Figure 4.2: Aneuploid PA tumors do not show differential immunohistochemical markers of proliferation or senescence.

Figure 4.3: Comparative gene expression analysis identifies specific pathways aberrantly regulated in aneuploid tumors.

Figure 4.4: Elevated *MDM2* expression is associated with aneuploidy, age and SNP 309 genotypes in pilocytic astrocytoma.

Figure 4.5: Robust *MDM2* correlated signatures exist in pilocytic astrocytoma tumors.

Supplementary Figure 4.1: An euploidy is associated with increased age and *BRAF* mutations in PA tumors.

Supplementary Figure 4.2: *MDM2*-correlated pathways are not observed in non-PA gliomas.

Supplementary Table 4.1: Clinico-pathologic and molecular characteristics of 280 pilocytic astrocytomas included in the study

Supplementary Table 4.2: Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of MDM2 correlated genes at FDR < 1e-15

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"If I have seen further it is by standing on the shoulders of giants."

#### -Isaac Newton

Having the privilege to pursue higher education as part of the MD-PhD program at McGill University is not solely the product of my hard work and efforts, but of the central people around me from whom I derive absolutely critical personal and professional support. The past four years have allowed me to mature as both a scientist and a person, constantly testing, challenging and exceeding my limits in every way. As in everything, there were trying times, especially in science, and I take pride in admitting that oftentimes, it was not easy, but knowing that now I have developed the patience and wherewithal to see projects through until the end. I am confident that these qualities are a necessary realization for all PhD students wanting to pursue their own research endeavors in the future as the clarity that comes with patience and focus from doctoral training truly is unique. I am so very fortunate to have had this opportunity to grow and contribute to the research being done on deadly pediatric brain tumors that would not have been possible without the influence of very key individuals in my life.

I would first like to thank my supervisors Dr. Nada Jabado and Dr. Michel Tremblay at the Montreal Children's Hospital Research Institute and the Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Research Centre at McGill University. Dr. Jabado my primary supervisor, without question, has challenged me more than anyone I have ever met in my academic career. As a mentor she saw to it that I was constantly moving forward in my studies, even while working on multiple projects amongst obligations to the MD-PhD program. Her talent, enthusiasm, passion and intensity for her work are unparalleled and

to have witnessed this firsthand, I can say that I had the pleasure of working on a PhD under a scientist with both world-class vision (she thinks about ten steps ahead of most individuals I have met!) and absolutely incredible work ethic. Although the workload was high, knowing that my supervisor was working ten times as hard made it easier. I say with confidence that Dr. Jabado has shown me what it takes to succeed in science on a global level and I thank her from the bottom of my heart.

I have known Dr. Tremblay since my undergraduate degree in Biochemistry where I worked as a summer and honours student in his lab trying to hone my skills in a variety of molecular biological areas. I credit Dr. Tremblay with giving me a love and appreciation for science, as his excitement, enthusiasm and motivation for it in general is truly infectious. Following my decision to apply for the MD-PhD program, he was nothing but supportive, giving me the confidence I needed to succeed. With my acceptance, he guided me and offered his honest opinion based on my personal interests in neuroscience and cancer to work with Dr. Jabado for my PhD. Although we still have many projects unfinished together, Dr. Tremblay has continuously expressed his faith in me and has been a great advisor. I have the capacity of submitting this thesis because of the incredible guidance of my co-supervisors, Dr. Jabado and Dr. Tremblay, as they each played very different, yet unifying roles in my scientific development.

A lab is very much like a family, and I have had the pleasure of working with some amazing siblings. Without Damien Faury, I would still be buried under a pile of work without expertise or organization. Damien taught me the skills, walked me through every protocol and worked with me side-by-side for my projects. We handled hundreds

of samples together, did many experiments and all the while enjoyed some great times. Damien: un collègue aussi devoué et vaillant je ne trouverai jamais, merci beaucoup.

Noha Gerges has been a fellow PhD student, friend and therapist to me. When stress got high, I knew I could pick up the phone, walk over to her desk or message her for some support. Thank you for being there Noha!

Once Cathy Russo joined our lab she immediately had an impact and I do not know what us students would do without her. She was a driving force for our mouse studies and all the while helping with virtually every aspect of the lab. Caterina: grazie mille!

To all of the students/fellows who have been a part of the lab during my four years, I would like express my sincere gratitude. Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang, Karine Jacob, Margret Shirinian and Xiaoyang Liu were in the lab on my first day of PhD and for everyday they were there afterwards, helped me with techniques, protocols, data analysis, you name it. They have since moved onto the next steps in their lives in Montreal and around the world and I wish them all the best. Denise Bechet, Tenzin Gayden, Nisreen Ibrahim, Michael Neirinck, Nicolas De Jay, Pierre-Olivier Fiset, Leonie Mikael and Eef Harmsen joined the lab during my four years and added their immense talents to our hard-working team. They helped me, supported me and contributed to so many aspects of my PhD experience; I am very grateful.

To our collaborating students/fellows and scientists I must extend my immense thanks. Jeremy Schwartzentruber and Dr. Jacek Majewski are without a doubt, two of the most talented individuals I have ever encountered. Our collaborative efforts have led to the first two chapters of my thesis and I am so fortunate to have worked with and learned

from them. Simon Papillion-Cavanagh was both a driving force and a buddy through chapter 3 of this thesis and I cannot thank him enough for his excellent DNA methylation analysis. To Dr. Steffen Albrecht and the amazing staff at the Montreal Children's Hospital Departments of Neurosurgery, Pathology and Hematology and Oncology, I am very grateful and in awe of the care you give to your patients and contributing to research. To Dr. David Jones and Dr. Stefan Pfister from the DKFZ in Heidelberg and Dr. Keith Ligon and Dr. Mark Kieran from Harvard in Boston and their teams, I extend my sincere thanks for all of your contributions and expertise towards our collaborative projects. To James Fraser and Dr. Josée Dostie I wish to extend my sincere thanks for the incredible partnership studying the three-dimensional structure of DNA in brain tumors. To Dr. Mitra Cowan, a great collaborator, I wish to say thank you for not only your hard work for our mouse models, but also sincere appreciation for your support and enthusiasm.

I would also like to greatly thank my thesis committee members composed of my supervisors Nada and Michel, Dr. Cindy Goodyer my chair, Dr. Josée Dostie, Dr. Anne McKinney and Dr. Janusz Rak. I would not only like to thank them for excellent feedback and scientific support at my committee meetings but also for being excellent advocates for my education.

I would also like to acknowledge the MD-PhD program and the Director, Dr. Alyson Fournier for providing great few years in the program (and counting) and my funding sources, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) for an MD-PhD studentship and the CIHR-McGill Systems Biology Training Program for an award.

More than anyone however, I would like to thank my family, my mother Helen, my father Peter and my brother David and the love of my life, Caitlin, for the faith, the support, the confidence you instill and the better person that you inspire me to try to be everyday. The lessons my parents taught my brother and I have allowed us to never abandon our work ethic, believe in ourselves and strive to attain our dreams. Never once did my family let me down, and so lucky I wish everyone in this world could be to have such wonderful people in their lives. To have a mother, a father and a brother who would drop it all to help me no matter what is truly what family is all about. I am so lucky to have them and to have met Caitlin, whose love and support over the past four years has been the stuff of legend. You have been the epitome of a true partner, day in and day out, with me through the tough times and celebrating with me during the great ones. You guys make me feel like I can do anything; I love you so much.

I dedicate this thesis to the unbelievably courageous patients and their families who have been affected by pediatric brain tumors. Your bravery and your contributions to the improvement of the care of future patients across the world are inspiring. You are the true giants.

Sincerely,

Adam M. Fontebasso

#### ABSTRACT

Brain tumors are the leading cause of cancer-related mortality and morbidity in the pediatric years. Pediatric brain tumor treatment has been driven largely by findings in adult tumors, and in the case of high-grade gliomas (HGGs) including glioblastoma (GBM), universal failure of therapy across patients of all ages is continuously observed. Recent years have seen a plethora of studies emerge exploring the cancer genome of HGGs to better understand and target the molecular mechanisms that make these cancers some of the deadliest and most aggressive encountered in oncology. Recent studies have uncovered the epigenome as a critical component altered in HGGs. Mutations resulting in vital amino acid substitutions at lysine 27 (p.Lys27Met, K27M) and glycine 34 (p.Gly34Arg/Val, G34R/V) in histone variant H3.3 were shown by our lab and others to characterize about one-third of pediatric GBM tumors, a discovery that marked the first recurrent mutations identified in histone genes in human disease, which are highly conserved across species. Herein, we will discuss the major aspects of my doctoral work that was centered on better characterizing H3.3 and related mutations and alterations in pediatric HGGs as well as characterizing the genomic landscape of the low-grade astrocytoma, pilocytic astrocytoma (PA). Strikingly, we identify genetic alterations leading to changes in histone 3 lysine 36 (H3K36) methylation specifically in HGGs of the cerebral hemispheres, including mutations in the only H3K36 trimethyltransferase encoded in humans, SETD2. Conversely, when analyzing pediatric high-grade tumors of the neuroanatomical midline, we uncover a large majority with H3 K27M mutations and associated with specific growth factor receptor mutations in defined brain regions, such as recurrent ACVR1 mutations in tumors of the pontine area. In PA, we explore molecular

mechanisms leading to and maintaining aneuploidy seen with increasing age in this tumor. Taken together, we describe the molecular profile of pediatric astrocytomas and lay a foundation for targeted therapeutic development in future studies.

#### RESUMÉ

Les tumeurs cérébrales sont la première cause de mortalité et morbidité reliées au cancer chez l'enfant. Les traitements des tumeurs cérébrales pédiatriques sont dérivés des études élaborées chez les adultes, et dans le cas spécifique des gliomes de haut grade ou high-grade gliomas (HGGs), incluant le glioblastome (glioblastoma, GBM), un échec universel des thérapies chez les patients de tout âge est observé. Au cours de ces dernières années de nombreuses études sur l'aspect génomique des HGGs ont permis de mettre en exergue une meilleure compréhension des mécanismes moléculaires qui sont impliqués dans ces cancers connus pour être parmi les plus agressifs en oncologie. De récentes études ont démontré que l'altération de l'épigénome joue un rôle crucial dans les gliomes de haut grade. Des mutations, au niveau de l'histone 3 notamment au niveau du variant H3.3, ont été découverte par notre laboratoire et d'autres équipes. Celles-ci résultent de la substitution d'un acide aminé, de la lysine 27 en methionine (p.Lys27Met, K27M), de la glycine 34 en arginine ou en valine (p.Gly34Arg/Val, G34R/V). Cette découverte a été la première à identifier des mutations récurrentes au niveau des gènes des histones impliqués dans des maladies humaines et normalement hautement conservés entre les espèces. Dans cette thèse, je vais discuter des aspects majeurs de mon travail de doctorat qui ont été essentiellement centrés sur la caractérisation de l'histone H3 et des mutations qui lui sont reliées, et sur la meilleure compréhension des altérations de l'épigénome retrouvées dans les tumeurs cérébrales de haut grade. L'investigation a également été menée sur les aspects génomiques des astrocytomes pilocytaires (*pilocytic* astrocytoma, PA), un astrocytome de bas grade. De plus, nous avons identifiés des altérations génétiques qui peuvent affecter la méthylation de la lysine 36 de l'histone 3

(H3K36) dans plus de la moitié des tumeurs cérébrales pédiatriques de haut grade. Ces mutations ont été retrouvées dans le seul gène humain codant pour la méthyl- transférase de l'H3K36 nommée *SETD2*. Lors de l'analyse des tumeurs pédiatriques de haut grade au niveau de la ligne médiane (en neuro-anatomie), nous avons découvert qu'une grande majorité des mutations H3 K27M, et l'association à des mutations de récepteurs spécifiques au facteur de croissance, de prolifération et développement des différentes régions du cerveau, tel que des mutations récurrentes de *ACVR1 (activin A receptor, type I)* étaient localisées au niveau de la région du pons du tronc cérébral. Chez les tumeurs PA, nous avons approfondi notre étude en explorant les mécanismes moléculaires qui conduisent et entretiennent l'aneuploïdie en corrélation avec un augmentation de l'âge de diagnostique du patient portant un PA. Dans l'ensemble, nous avons décrit le profil moléculaire des astrocytomes pédiatriques et poser les bases pour développer des traitements plus efficaces chez les patients dans le futur.

#### FORMAT OF THE THESIS

This thesis is organized as a manuscript-based document according to the guidelines and specifications outlined by the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies of McGill University. Herein, the reader will first encounter Chapter 1, which provides an introduction to the clinical picture of pediatric brain tumors, major genomic alterations as well as recent findings implicating the epigenome as a central aspect in their pathogenesis. Background information on the basic biology of critical signaling and histone regulation pathways will be provided to enable the reader to best critically appraise the following 3 chapters. Chapters 2 through 4 are presented in manuscript format, with Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 published in Acta Neuropathol. 2013 May;125(5):659-69. doi: 10.1007/s00401-013-1095-8 [72] and Nat Genet. 2014 May;46(5):462-6. doi: 10.1038/ng.2950 [71] respectively. To best allow the reader to relate each section, connecting text sections are provided to demonstrate the interrelationship between each chapter. Chapter 5, the final section, is a discussion and global summary of the main results of this dissertation. Included as well are future directions and further experimentation of note in the near future to continue progress in this rapidly evolving field.

#### PREFACE AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE AUTHORS

The work presented in this thesis encompasses our investigation into the genomic landscapes of pediatric astrocytoma and constitutes novel contributions to the field of pediatric brain tumor biology. Throughout the course of my doctoral work, our lab and others have been central to implicating the epigenome as a vital component required for tumorigenesis within pediatric and young adult tumors specifically and have published to that effect. Within Chapter 2, we identify for the first time in high-grade gliomas, loss-offunction mutations in the histone H3 lysine 36 trimethyltransferase SETD2 with a predilection for tumors occurring in the cerebral hemispheres, work that was published in [72]. Within Chapter 3, we studied 40 high-grade tumors of the neuroanatomical midline, namely the thalamus, brainstem, cerebellum and spinal cord and uncover a predominance of H3 K27M mutations defining 93% of tumors. For the first time in human cancer and published in [71], we identify somatic gain-of-function mutations in the activin A, receptor type I encoded by ACVR1 in high-grade tumors of the pons, termed diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs). In Chapter 4, we studied the whole chromosomal copy number profiles of 222 adult and pediatric pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) tumors and determined the prevalence and characteristics of an euploidy, in the form of chromosomal gains, in PAs. We show its differential association with common hits along the mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and notably with the expression of genes promoting and tolerating aneuploidy. MDM2 a gene seen upregulated in aneuploid tumors, was shown to correlate strongly with specific expression programs, including the unfolded protein response, which may underlie these aneuploid phenotypes and present an ideal target for further investigation.

# CHAPTER 2: Mutations in *SETD2* and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylation target hemispheric high-grade gliomas

Adam M. Fontebasso participated in the study design, extracted and prepared DNA and histone lysates from patient samples for sequencing, methylation profiling, participated in the analysis of sequencing data and DNA methylation clustering, performed Western blotting, performed statistics and drafted and revised the manuscript and figures. Jeremy Schwartzentruber contributed equally to the manuscript, implemented the bioinformatics pipeline for whole-exome sequencing analysis, alignment and variant calling, performed statistical comparisons of variants between the dataset and the set of non-cancer control exomes and participated in drafting the manuscript and figures. Dong-

Anh Khuong-Quang, Dominik Sturm, David T.W. Jones, Hendrik Witt, Marcel

Kool, Djihad Hadjadj, Stephan Busche, Noha Gerges participated in DNA methylation analysis. Xiao-Yang Liu, Damien Faury aided in extracting and preparing patient samples. Pierre Lepage, Alexandre Montpetit, Alfredo Staffa coordinated whole-exome sequencing library preparation and designed the approach for targeted nextgeneration sequencing of *SETD2*. Andrey Korshunov, Steffen Albrecht, Adam
Fleming, Magdalena Zakrzewska, Krzystof Zakrzewski, Pawel P. Liberski, Peter
Hauser, Miklos Garami, Almos Klekner, Laszlo Bognar, Gelareh Zadeh, Stefan M.
Pfister, Nada Jabado provided patient materials, resources and clinical information.
Stefan M. Pfister, Nada Jabado and Jacek Majewski conceived the study, supervised the project, experimentation and analysis, drafted and revised the manuscript and figures.
All authors approved of the final manuscript.

### CHAPTER 3: Recurrent somatic mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline highgrade astrocytoma

Adam M. Fontebasso participated in the study design, organized, extracted and prepared DNA and RNA from patient samples, analyzed and summarized whole-exome and deep sequencing data, performed cell culture experiments, Western blotting, analyzed and participated in quantitative PCR for target genes, performed survival analysis on patient samples, performed statistical comparisons of mutations, drafted and revised the manuscript and figures. Simon Papillon-Cavanagh contributed equally to the study and performed DNA methylation analysis for clustering and co-developed (with Nicolas De **Jay**) an algorithm to extract copy number variant (CNV) information from methylation data. Jeremy Schwartzentruber contributed equally to the study and implemented the bioinformatics pipeline for whole-exome sequencing data analysis, alignment and variant calling and identification. Hamid Nikbakht implemented a data analysis pipeline for MiSeq targeted deep sequencing data alignment and variant calling and analysis. Noha Gerges, Damien Faury extracted and prepared patient samples and performed quantitative PCR experiments. Pierre-Olivier Fiset, Denise Bechet, Zhifeng Dong, Peter M. Siegel optimized and performed blinded immunohistochemistry analysis on patient samples. Nicolas De Jay co-developed a methylation CNV analysis algorithm, implemented the gene fusion discovery pipeline and analyzed RNA sequencing data. Lori A. Ramkissoon, Aoife Corcoran aided in Western blotting experimentation and manuscript revisions. David T. W. Jones, Dominik Sturm, Pascal Johann participated in DNA methylation analysis. Tadanori Tomita, Stewart Goldman, Mahmoud Nagib, Anne Bendel, Liliana Goumnerova, Daniel C. Bowers, Jeffrey R. Leonard, Joshua

B. Rubin, Tord Alden, Samuel Browd, J. Russell Geyer, Sarah Leay, George Jallo,
Kenneth Cohen, Nalin Gupta, Michael D. Prados were members of the BATS DIPG
study and performed patient biopsies and provided materials. Anne-Sophie Carret,
Benjamin Ellezam, Louis Crevier, Almos Klekner, Laszlo Bognar, Peter Hauser,
Miklos Garami, John Myseros, Hayley Malkin, Steffen Albrecht, Stefan M. Pfister,
Keith L. Ligon, Nada Jabado Mark W. Kieran provided patient materials and
resources. Azra H. Ligon performed FISH experiments. Keith L. Ligon, Jacek
Majewski, Nada Jabado, Mark W. Kieran conceived the study, participated in study
design, coordinated and supervised experimentation and analysis, drafted and revised the
manuscript. All authors approved of the final manuscript.

#### **CHAPTER 4:** Characterization of age-associated aneuploidy

Adam M. Fontebasso participated in study design, organized, extracted and prepared DNA and RNA from patient samples, performed *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion PCR, analyzed SNP array data and recruited meta-analysis data, performed gene expression analysis, correlations and clustering, performed contingency statistics, drafted the manuscript and figures. **Margret Shirinian** contributed equally to the study, extracted and prepared DNA and RNA from patient samples, performed *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion PCR, analyzed SNP array data and contributed to the manuscript. **Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang, Tenzin Gayden, Karine Jacob, Noha Gerges, Damien Faury** prepared DNA from patient samples. **Karine Jacob, Marcel Kool, Huriye Cin, Hendrik Witt, Sally Lambert, David T. W. Jones** provided meta-analysis data. **Tenzin Gayden, Marcel Kool, Nicolas De Jay, Tony Kwan** aided in performing gene expression analysis. **Alexandre** 

Montpetit, Sébastien Brunet, Pierre Lepage, Geneviève Bourret performed sequencing of *BRAF* V600E mutation and *MDM2* SNP 309. Almos Klekner, Lászlo Bognár, Peter Hauser, Miklós Garami, Jean-Pierre Farmer, Jose-Luis Montes, Jeffrey Atkinson, Adam J. Fleming, Sally Lambert, Andrey Korshunov, Uri Tabori, V Peter Collins, Steffen Albrecht, Stefan M. Pfister, Werner Paulus, Martin Hasselblatt, Nada Jabado provided patient materials, resources and clinical information. Steffen Albrecht analyzed immunohistochemistry slides. David T. W. Jones and Nada Jabado conceived the study, supervised experimentation, analysis and drafted the manuscript.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

The following includes an alphabetical list of all the abbreviations used throughout this thesis, defined at mention within text and summarized here for reference. Italics within text signify an individual gene or transcript, whereas normal text refers to the protein product of a gene according to standard nomenclature:

2-HG: 2-hydroxyglutarate

5hmC: 5-hydroxymethylcytosine

5mC: 5-methylcytosine

ACVR1: activin A receptor, type I

aCGH: array-based comparative genomic hybdridization

ALK2: alternate name for ACVR1; activin A receptor, type I

AML: acute myeloid leukemia

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia

ALT: alternative lengthening of telomeres

ATRX: alpha-thalassemia/mental retardation X-linked

BMP: bone morphogenetic protein

BRAF: v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1

CDK: cyclin-dependent kinase

ChIP-seq: chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing

CIN: chromosomal instability

CNS: central nervous system

CRAF: v-raf-leukemia viral oncogene 1

CRISPR: clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats

DAXX: death-domain associated protein

DMEM: Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium

EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor

EP: ependymoma

ERK: extracellular regulated kinase

EZH2: enhancer of zeste 2

FBS: fetal bovine serum

FDR: False discovery rate

FFPE: formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded

FGFR1/3: fibroblast growth factor receptor 1/3

GBM: glioblastoma

GCT: giant cell tumor of bone

G-CIMP: glioma-CpG island methylator phenotype

GFR: growth factor receptor

GO: Gene Ontology

G34R/V: glycine 34 to arginine or valine substitution in histone 3 variants

GTR: Gross-total resection

GWAS: Genome-wide association studies

H2A: histone 2A

H2B: histone 2B

H3: histone 3

H4: histone 4

H3.1: histone 3 variant 1

H3.3: histone 3 variant 3

H3F3A: H3 histone, family 3A

H3F3B: H3 histone, family 3B

HIST1H3B: histone cluster 1, H3b

HIST1H3C: histone cluster 1, H3c

H3K4: histone 3 lysine 4

H3K9: histone 3 lysine 9

H3K27: histone 3 lysine 27

H3K27me1/2/3: mono-, di- or tri-methylated histone 3 lysine 27

H3K27ac: acetylated histone 3 lysine 27

H3K36: histone 3 lysine 36

H3K36me1/2/3: mono-, di- or tri-methylated histone 3 lysine 27

HGA: high-grade astrocytoma

HGG: high-grade glioma

ICGC: International Cancer Genome Consortium

ID1/2/3: genes encoding inhibitor of DNA binding 1/2/3, dominant negative helix-loop-

helix protein

IDH1: isocitrate dehydrogenase 1

KDM: histone lysine demethylase

KIAA1549: KIAA1549 gene of the UPF0606 family

K27M: lysine 27 to methionine amino acid substitution in histone 3 variants

K36M: lysine 36 to methionine amino acid substitution in histone 3 variants

LFS: Li-Fraumeni syndrome

LGA: low-grade astrocytoma

MB: medulloblastoma

MDM2: proto-oncogene, E3 ubiquitin protein ligase

MET: MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase

MGMT: O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase

mHGA: midline high-grade astrocytoma

NF1: neurofibromatosis type 1 (referring to syndrome), neurofibromin 1 (referring to

gene or gene product)

NGS: next-generation sequencing

NHA: normal human astrocytes

NHLBI: National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute

PA: pilocytic astrocytoma

PCR: polymerase chain reaction

PDGFRA: platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha

PI3K: phosphoinositide-3-kinase

PIK3CA: phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase, catalytic subunit alpha

PIK3R1: phosphoinositide-3-kinase, regulatory subunit 1 (alpha)

PRC2: Polycomb Repressor Complex 2

PTEN: phosphatase and tensin homolog

PTPN11: protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 11 (encodes SHP-2)

RAF1: gene encoding CRAF, v-raf-leukemia viral oncogene 1

RB1: retinoblastoma 1

RCAS/Tv-a: replication-competent avian leukosis virus with splice acceptor retroviral

gene delivery system

RT-PCR: reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction

RTK: receptor tyrosine kinase

SHH: sonic hedghog

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism

SMAD: SMAD family member, homologs of the Drosophila 'Mothers against

decapentaplegic' (Mad)

SNAI1: snail family zinc finger 1

TACC1/3: transforming, acidic, coiled-coil containing protein 1/3

TALEN: transcription activator-like effector nuclease

TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas

TERC/TR: telomerase RNA

TERT: telomerase reverse transcriptase

TMA: tissue microarray

TP53: gene encoding tumor protein p53

UPR: unfolded protein response

WES: whole-exome sequencing

WGS: whole-genome sequencing

WHO: World Health Organization

WT: wild-type

ZFN: zinc-finger nuclease

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1. Pediatric brain tumor epidemiology, pathology and treatment

According to recent statistics, one in every 285 children will be diagnosed with cancer before the age of 20 years [273]. This translates into an approximate 15,780 new cases and 1960 new deaths from pediatric cancer in 2014 in the United States alone [273]. This same report by the American Cancer Society estimates that 1 in 530 young adults aged 20-39 years is a childhood cancer survivor, implicating an essential need for proper follow-up amongst clinicians who see and care for these patients longitudinally [273]. As such, cancer is an extremely significant contributor to pediatric and young adult morbidity and mortality. Although leukemias are the most frequently occurring malignancy in the childhood years, brain tumors are a leading cause of cancer-related death in children [206,90], and between the years of 2007-2010 accounted for the largest proportion of cancer-related mortality (31%) in children less than 15 years old [242]. The largest subgroup of brain tumor occurring across the lifespan are gliomas, which are tumors arising from cells of the glial lineage and include astrocytomas, oligodendrogliomas, mixed oligoastrocytomas and ependymomas (Figure 1.1) [138,167]. Astrocytomas are the most common subtype of glioma in adults and children and account for over half of all primary brain tumors (Figure 1.2) [293,138]. Astrocytomas are subdivided by the World Health Organization (WHO) into four histopathological grades based on specific features including cellularity, proliferative index, nuclear atypia and importantly necrosis and microvascular proliferation amongst other characteristics [167]. Grades I and II astrocytomas are termed low-grade astrocytomas (LGAs), and grades III-IV are termed high-grade astrocytomas (HGAs) [167].

The spectrum of tumor grade and brain location of astrocytoma subtypes differs greatly between children and adults (Figure 1.3). Brain tumors in children often arise below a structure called the tentorium cerebelli, which divides the forebrain from the posterior fossa and hindbrain structures such as the brainstem, cerebellum and spinal cord [206]. These tumors are most commonly low-grade tumors, notably the grade I astrocytoma, pilocytic astrocytoma (PA), which represents the most common primary brain tumor in children (Figure 1.3) [196,61,82]. Malignant tumors of neuronal origin can also arise in the posterior fossa compartment in children, including embryonal tumors such as medulloblastoma (MB) (Figure 1.3) [138]. In adults, the spectrum is quite different, with high-grade gliomas (HGGs) including high-grade astrocytomas (HGAs) being the most common tumors in older patients [138]. Glioblastoma (GBM), a highly aggressive and lethal WHO grade IV astrocytoma, is the most common primary brain tumor, and has the largest incidence in older patients (Figure 1.3) [138]. HGAs including GBMs can also arise in pediatric patients, throughout the brain, but also distinctly in the ventral brainstem, where they are invariably fatal and termed diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) [177,76,15,136].

PAs are well-circumscribed and often considered "benign" tumors if amenable to gross-total surgical resection. They harbor features of low cellularity, are slow-growing and indolent in nature, and often have a loose appearance on histology with the presence of long hair-like cellular projections termed Rosenthal fibers, hence the name "pilocytic" [167]. Patients with PA have an excellent prognosis, with 10-year survival rates estimated at 96% (Figure 1.4) [194]. Although they most commonly occur within the cerebellum, where gross-total resection (GTR) and excellent patient outcome is often possible, they

can occur throughout the brain and in surgically inaccessible locations such as the optic pathway, thalamus, brainstem and spinal cord [117]. GBM tumors conversely are highly infiltrative, most often occur within the cerebral cortex and harbor distinct features of pseudopallisading necrosis and microvascular proliferation (angiogenesis) which are diagnostic on histopathology [167]. Patients with GBM have very poor survival, even in response to multimodal treatment strategies involving surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy [194]. GBM 5-year survival rates have been reported at less than 3% (Figure 1.4) [193], a feature which has not ameliorated much over the past few decades. HGAs arising in the brainstem, termed DIPG, demonstrate the poorest survival amongst brain tumors and arise exclusively in children [15]. This diagnosis is fatal with children demonstrating a median survival time less than one year [76], and 90% of children dying of their disease within 2 years [15]. As DIPGs arise in such sensitive neuroanatomical locations near cardiovascular and respiratory centers within the brainstem, most research on the molecular basis of these tumors has been conducted in autopsied tissues to date [198,289].

Patients who are childhood cancer survivors necessitate follow-up to screen for potential recurrences or secondary malignancies, as well as a variety of sequellae associated with disease and treatment [201,77,217]. Brain cancer survivors can be diagnosed with secondary CNS tumors, notably in cases that were previously treated with both chemotherapy and radiation exceeding 25 Gy [44]. High-risk pediatric MB, which can disseminate throughout the nervous system and seed, necessitates cranial-spinal irradiation [111]. Studies of the impact of cranial-spinal irradiation in young children have revealed a profound impact on physical growth impairment, problems at school and

finding and maintaining work later in life [141]. Neurological deficits, psychosocial dysfunction and endocrine complications were reported frequently overall in 45 medulloblastoma patients followed-up after cranial-spinal irradiation at a single institution between 1980-2000 [74]. As brain tumors can occur throughout functionally sensitive forebrain and hindbrain areas, subsequent sequellae may also be incurred due to mass effect, tumor infiltration or surgical damage within these locations. Childhood CNS tumor survivors can as a result of their primary tumor and treatment, demonstrate a variety of morbidities including secondary neoplasms, physical, psychosocial and mental disabilities, impaired endocrine function and an increased risk of cardiac and cerebrovascular death [201].

Recent years have seen modest advances in the treatment of patients with GBM. The most significant of which involved the concomitant and adjuvant use of the alkylating agent temozolomide (TMZ) along with radiotherapy following surgical resection and was shown to improve GBM median survival from 12.1 to 14.6 months in a landmark randomized controlled trial [248]. Moreover this benefit was seen most strikingly in patients demonstrating methylation of the promoter of *MGMT*, which encodes the O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine methyltransferase and enzymatically removes an alkyl group from the O<sup>6</sup> position of guanine [104]. Patients with methylated *MGMT* promoters and thus lacking MGMT expression, demonstrated significant improvement in survival of 21.7 months when receiving concomitant and adjuvant TMZ and radiotherapy compared to 15.3 months for radiotherapy alone [104]. As pediatric and adult tumors appear identical under the microscope, pediatric treatment strategies have largely been passed down from adult studies. In summary, current treatment protocols, which are not tailored

to the pediatric setting in the context of high-grade astrocytomas, offer a substantial degree of risk for serious morbidity and mortality. As such there is a desperate need for targeted approaches, safe to utilize in the developing child that will effectively treat tumorigenesis in this age group. Within the next sections of this Chapter, I intend to outline the major genomic and epigenomic studies and resultant identified alterations in astrocytomas. As a result, I hope to demonstrate the important molecular distinctions between tumors occurring across the lifespan and outline the need for a molecular reclassification of approaches to the diagnosis and treatment of gliomas.

#### 1.2 Genomic studies of high-grade gliomas

Recent years have seen an explosion of genomic data across many types of human cancer, through the efforts of independent laboratories, as well as large consortia such as <u>The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA)</u> and the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) [48,107]. Initial studies employing the use of high-density arrays for gene expression profiling and copy number analysis revealed the existence of multiple subgroups of high-grade gliomas (HGGs) including glioblastoma (GBM) [204,68,98,199,262]. Profiling studies showed that molecular subgroups of HGGs recapitulate stages of neurogenesis, with recurrent tumors clustering according to more mesenchymal signatures [204]. Further to this, important work by our lab revealed that pediatric and adult GBM tumors were molecularly distinct through gene expression profiling [68], suggesting that although these tumors looked identical under the pathologists' microscope, they were vitally different in their genetic make-up. Candidate genes and pathways such as the shuttling protein Y-box-protein-1 (YB-1) and Ras/Akt

growth pathways were shown to be uniquely upregulated in pediatric GBM tumors, identifying putative targets for therapeutic development in the context of pediatric disease [68]. These findings could be reproduced on archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections of pediatric GBM, demonstrating the critical utility of profiling on more readily available pathological material [98]. These initial data pointed to critical molecular differences between adult and pediatric tumors.

Studies conducted by large consortia have fueled progress towards understanding the molecular basis of adult GBM. The TCGA chose to study GBM as its pilot integrative profiling project and identified recurrent mutations, copy number aberrations and methylation defects in critical genes and pathways in 206 adult GBM tumors studied [48]. In addition, they selected 601 candidate oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes to sequence in 91 GBM tumors as a targeted approach [48]. In their initial comprehensive report, the TCGA described a variety of hits along three important pathways encompassing receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)/RAS/phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling, p53 signaling and retinoblastoma protein (RB) signaling in 86%, 87% and 78% of adult GBM tumors studied respectively (Figure 1.5) [48]. TP53 itself was altered via hotspot mutations, or copy number loss in 35% of GBM tumors [48]. RTK genes epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, 45%), platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRA, 13%), v-erb-b2 avian erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2 (ERBB2, also abbreviated as HER2, 8%) and MET proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase (MET, 4%) were among the most frequently altered receptor genes by mutation or focal copy number amplification [48]. Frequent somatic loss or missense/truncating mutations were also identified in the neurofibromin 1 (NFI) gene in 23% of GBM tumors

[48]. Germline defects in NF1 are responsible for the autosomal dominant glioma predisposition syndrome neurofibromatosis type I (NF1), and prior data from mouse models suggested a strong role for NF1 defects and gliomagenesis [215,292]. Tumor suppressor genes other than TP53 or NF1 were also affected at high frequency, including homozygous deletions or inactivating mutations in CDKN2A, which encodes ARF or p16/INK4A in 49%-52% of GBM tumors studied [48]. This gene is a critical inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) and MDM2, an E3-ubiquitin ligase, amplified in 14% of GBM tumors studied, and a central regulator of p53 protein levels and p53 pathway activity [48]. In addition novel mutations involving the regulatory subunit of the PI3K complex p85a, encoded by PIK3R1, were identified in 10% (9/91) sequenced GBMs [48]. Gain-of-function mutations in the catalytic p110 $\alpha$  subunit of PI3K (*PIK3CA*) have been previously shown to be involved in a variety of cancers including GBM and other gliomas, and in 7% (6/91) of GBM tumors in the TCGA cohort [223,26,186,189,100]. Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), a well-characterized tumor suppressor gene and inhibitor of PI3K activity, was altered at a very high frequency of 36% through homozygous deletion or loss-of-function mutations, demonstrating multiple hits converging on PI3K regulated activity in GBM tumors [48].

Further investigations conducted by TCGA utilizing integrative gene expression profiling and copy number analysis continued earlier work by Phillips and colleagues in the subgrouping of HGGs including GBM [262,204]. Seminal work by Verhaak and colleagues within the context of the TCGA identified four molecular subgroups of adult GBM through molecular profiling that were delineated by alterations described above in *PDGFRA*, *NF1*, *EGFR* and hotspot mutations in the metabolic gene isocitrate
dehydrogenase 1 (*IDH1*) (discussed in section 1.3) [262]. These molecular subgroups were described as 'neural,' 'proneural,', 'mesenchymal,' and 'classical' based on active molecular programs and correlated with differential survival of patients with these expression signatures [262]. Pediatric cohorts of HGG tumors studied by integrative profiling have revealed critical differences with adult disease [199], illustrating that while TCGA consortium projects have shaped the biology of adult disease, further genome-wide investigations of pediatric and young adult tumors is warranted.

## 1.3 Epigenetic driver mutations in pediatric and young adult high-grade gliomas

In addition to TCGA, other independent projects have contributed to the understanding of HGG and GBM occurring across the lifespan. The advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, including whole-exome sequencing (WES), has fueled an incredible expansion in our knowledge database of genomic alterations in cancer, complex and Mendelian disease (reviewed in [172]). Initial applications of NGS to the study of GBM greatly expanded the repertoire of protein-coding gene mutations in this disease. In their landmark study, Parsons and colleagues utilized NGS to sequence 20,661 protein-coding genes and identified recurrent hotspot mutations in *IDH1* at arginine 132 resulting most often in histidine substitutions (R132H) in 12% of GBM tumors [197]. IDH1 is one of 5 encoded isocitrate dehydrogenase proteins in humans [197], and demonstrates localization to the cytosol and peroxisomal subcellular compartments [81]. IDH1 normally catalyzes the oxidative decarboxylation of its substrate, isocitrate, to  $\alpha$ -keto-glutarate, producing nicotinamde adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH), with R132 amino acid substitutions initially suspected to abrogate

its enzymatic activity due to their presence within its substrate binding site [197]. *IDH1* mutations were harbored by 83% of secondary GBM tumors whose clinical presentation involves progression from evidence of a lower-grade lesion, and in younger patients with a mean age of 33 years old, substantially lower than IDH1 wild-type GBM tumors (53 years old) [197]. Patients with GBM harboring *IDH1* mutations demonstrated a significantly improved overall survival of 3.8 years compared to 1.1 years for IDH1 wildtype GBM patients [197]. Expanded sequencing analyses involving 939 CNS and non-CNS tumors revealed an incredible prevalence of *IDH1* and more rarely *IDH2* (R172G/M/K substitutions) with a striking specificity to grades II-IV gliomas including oligodendrogliomas, astrocytomas, mixed oligoastrocytomas and secondary GBM occurring in younger adult patients ranging in mean age 20-45 years [287]. Enzymatic assays of oligodendroglial cell line lysates transfected with *IDH1* and *IDH2* mutant genes indicated a dramatic reduction of NADPH production associated with the mutant enzymes [287]. Studies in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) also revealed recurrent mutations in IDH1/IDH2 demonstrating them as oncogenes in a variety of brain and hematological cancers [176]. These seminal data point to *IDH* mutations as highly frequent alterations in gliomas and other cancers and outline their use as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in these groups of diseases.

Initial studies described above pointed to abrogated NADPH production associated with IDH mutant enzymes. Strikingly, *IDH1* mutation was shown soon after to yield neomorphic enzyme activity, enabling the NADPH-dependent conversion of the product  $\alpha$ -keto-glutarate to the R-enantiomer of 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) [56,274]. 2-HG production is also elevated in the genetic syndrome D-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria due

to a mutation in the enzyme D-2-hydroxyglutarate dehydrogenase which catalyzes αketo-glutarate to 2-HG conversion [247]. Strikingly, patients with D-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria have been associated with increased glioma risk and other neuropathologies such as leukoencephalopathy [149,3]. 2-HG can be detected in brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid in D-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria patients [149], and is elevated in human malignant glioma samples harboring *IDH1* mutations [56]. This data strongly supports the theory that oncogenesis associated with *IDH1* mutation may be mediated through production and accumulation of the oncometabolite 2-HG.

A variety of hypotheses were initially proposed with regard to the mechanism of action of 2-HG and its impact on various enzymatic functions. Seminal work elucidated that 2-HG blocks the activity of  $\alpha$ -keto-glutarate-dependent dioxygenases including histone lysine demethylases and TET family 5-methylcytosine (5mC) hydroxylases through its action as a competitive inhibitor of these enzymes [286,45]. In glioma tumors, *IDH1* mutation was associated with increased levels of histone methylation and reduced 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) levels, illustrating the global impact of *IDH1* R132-mutation on the epigenome [286].

Numerous studies have since emerged focusing on the genome-wide epigenomic effects of *IDH1* mutations. As discussed above, TCGA molecular profiling studies conducted in GBM demonstrated that *IDH1* mutant tumors formed a distinct clinical and biological subgroup of GBM, with largely proneural expression signatures [262]. Further work conducted by TCGA utilizing DNA methylation arrays demonstrated distinct clustering of *IDH1* mutant HGGs, which exhibited global DNA hypermethylation, associating the glioma-CpG island methylator phenotype (G-CIMP) with these tumors

[192]. *IDH1* mutation was shown to be sufficient to create G-CIMP in cells demonstrating the immense impact of this mutation on global DNA methylation [255]. Concurrent studies demonstrated increases in methylation at numerous histone 3 (H3) lysines (K) such as H3K27, H3K36, H3K4 and H3K9 and inhibition of cellular differentiation by ectopic expression of *IDH1* mutation [168]. Increased levels of histone methylation were also seen in human tissue samples harboring *IDH1* mutations [168]. Increased histone methylation at these critical lysines was shown in recent knock-in mouse models of *IDH1* mutation, accurately recapitulating the epigenomic impact of the disease [226]. Recent reports studying a targeted inhibitor highly selective for mutant IDH1 R132H (AGI-5198) have emerged showing that it is capable of reversing histone methylation [219]. Taken together, although the effects of IDH1 mutant expression on the epigenome are profound, other epigenome-independent mechanisms of oncogenesis remain a mystery.

Histones are assembled as octamers involving two copies each of H3, H4, H2A and H2B, and are critical components of the nucleosome. They function to properly package our DNA into chromatin and regulate the expression of our genes (reviewed in [59,58]). As discussed above, histone marks, notably methylation at critical lysines, can be altered in cancer. Young adult tumors with a secondary GBM clinical presentation were shown to harbor *IDH1* mutations leading to increased histone methylation and establishing widespread epigenomic defects as a novel mechanism of tumorigenesis in GBM [197,168]. In pediatric and adolescent GBM patients, the mutational picture also points to epigenomic dysregulation, however in a manner at the time, unseen in any other

human disease to date. Our group, and simultaneously reported by another independent group, identified recurrent somatic mutations in the histone variant H3.3 encoded by H3F3A at two perfectly conserved residues across species in 31% of pediatric GBM (Figure 1.6) [231,281]. Recurrent mutations in histone genes had never before been identified in association with any other human disease. These mutations resulted in lysine 27 to methionine (p.Lys27Met, K27M) and glycine 34 to arginine or valine (p.Gly34Arg/Val, G34R/V) amino acid substitutions at these positions and were only present in tumor genomes and not matched constitutional DNA from patients [231,281]. A large expanded cohort of 784 gliomas of all ages and histopathological grades (WHO I-IV) confirmed the specificity of H3F3A mutations to pediatric GBM and not adult GBM or low-grade gliomas [231]. K27M mutations in H3.3 and histone variant H3.1 (encoded by *HIST1H3B*) were also shown to be present in 71-78% of diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) [281,136]. H3F3A K27M mutations characterized pediatric tumors arising in the thalamus, a deep diencephalic structure difficult to access for complete surgical resection [231]. K27M mutant thalamic high-grade tumors arising in young adult patients with an age range of 17-46 years have also been reported [4], demonstrating a strong association of thalamic and other midline structures such as the brainstem, and a propensity for K27M mutations. The frequency and specificity of these mutations in histones strongly supports their use in diagnosis and as biomarkers for these HGG tumors in children and younger patients.

Although initial data convincingly established the prevalence and specificity of *H3F3A* mutations to HGG tumors arising in the pediatric years, additional sequencing studies have emerged across a variety of human cancers. *H3F3A* G34R mutations have

also been identified in 11% of another subtype of CNS tumor, termed primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET) [84]. In non-CNS cancers, H3F3A mutations have remained absent or extremely scarce other than a few exceptions. In a large dataset of 1004 human leukemia and lymphoma samples, only a single H3F3A mutation was identified in a case of childhood B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-cell ALL) [112]. This mutation was not present at hotspot residues identified in GBM, but rather in an intron and as yet has unknown significance [112]. Although very rare, a recent study identified K27-mutations in T-cell ALL in 3 out of 102 cases (3%) [9]. In a study of solid tumors comprising osteosarcoma (13 cases), myxoid liposarcoma (8 cases) and synovial sarcoma (7 cases), H3F3A mutation was identified in a single osteosarcoma tumor, encoding a novel glycine to tryptophan amino acid substitution at position 34 (G34W) [123]. A large study of solid tumors encompassing gastric, colorectal, breast, prostate, ovarian carcinomas and many other cancer types totaling 1351 cases identified only a single isolated case of H3F3A mutation, yielding another novel substitution of glycine to glutamate (G34E) at position 34 in a colorectal carcinoma sample [113]. However, a seminal study of chondroblastoma and giant cell tumors of bone (GCT) revealed a striking frequency of H3.3 mutations in these tumors of 95% and 92% respectively [16]. H3.3 mutations in chondroblastoma tumors were largely present in H3F3B, the other one of two genes which encodes H3.3, in 68/77 cases, but also in H3F3A in 5/77 cases, both encoding amino acid substitutions of lysine to methionine at position 36 (K36M) [16]. GCT cases involved coding mutations in H3F3A at position 34 with the vast majority encoding the G34W substitution, and an isolated case of glycine to leucine (G34L) substitution [16]. This group sequenced additional bone and cartilagenous tumors and

identified two G34R mutant osteosarcomas in each *H3F3A* or *H3F3B* (2%, 2/100 cases), a single mutant clear-cell chondrosarcoma with K36M mutation in *H3F3B* and a conventional chondrosarcoma with K36M mutation in *H3F3A* (1%, 1/75 cases) with no mutations identified in chondromyxoid fibroma (0/43), chordoma (0/25) or chondroma (0/7) [16]. Most strikingly, mutant allele fraction in chondroblastoma and GCTs analyzed by cell sorting revealed presence of the H3.3 mutation exclusively in cells of the stromal compartment, and not osteoclasts, implicating the stroma as the source of neoplastic cells in these tumors [16]. Taken together, these data point to the exquisite cell type specificity of H3.3 mutations and amino acid substitutions at hotspot residues.

*H3F3A* mutations were found to occur in association with mutations in cell cycle regulatory genes and chromatin remodelers in pediatric GBM. *H3F3A* G34R/V mutations co-occurred with *TP53* and *ATRX* mutations in 100% of cases initially identified, and a large subset of *H3F3A* K27M mutant tumors also harbored these defects (Figure 1.6) [231]. *ATRX* or its co-factor *DAXX*, are H3.3 chaperones operating at pericentromeric or telomeric chromatin and were found to be mutated in 31% of tumors, yielding 44% of pediatric GBM tumors with at least one defect in the H3.3-ATRX-DAXX pathway (Figure 1.6) [231]. Tumors that had mutations in *H3F3A/ATRX/TP53* genes demonstrated significantly increased copy number alterations (CNAs), demonstrating that these mutations were associated with genome instability and structural variation [231]. In addition, K27M and G34R/V mutant tumors demonstrated distinct gene expression profiles demonstrating that they correlated with different molecular programs [231]. Tumors harboring *ATRX/DAXX* mutations correlated with alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) in a large cohort analyzed by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH)

on tissue microarray (TMA) [231]. Taken together, initial data identifying and characterizing *H3F3A* mutations pointed to newly defined subgroups of pediatric GBM with distinct features.

Mutations in H3.3 in early reports appeared to delineate novel subgroups of pediatric GBM with distinct features. The TCGA had utilized DNA methylation profiling to subgroup *IDH1* tumors and assigned them the designation G-CIMP due to their levels of global hypermethylation as one of three initial epigenetic subgroups of HGG [192]. Encompassing 210 GBM tumors across the lifespan including a substantial pediatric GBM cohort, Sturm and colleagues in collaboration with our laboratory were able to define six clinical and epigenetic subgroups of GBM (Figure 1.7) [250]. Three of these subgroups were importantly delineated by epigenetic driver mutations identified above, namely H3.3 K27M, G34R/V or *IDH1* hotspot mutations, and characterized GBM tumors arising in the childhood, adolescent and young adult years [250]. In addition, incorporation of clinical data from GBM tumors revealed distinct associations of K27M mutations with midline regions encompassing the thalamus, brainstem including pons, spinal cord and cerebellum and G34R/V mutations to areas of cerebral cortex (Figure 1.8) [250]. Gene expression profiling of K27M and G34R/V mutant tumors demonstrated similarity to mid- to late-stages or early- to mid- fetal stages of fetal development of striatum and thalamus or striatum and neocortex development respectively [250]. This comparison, with a large published dataset of 1340 transcriptomes involving a comprehensive array of developing and adult brain regions [129], demonstrates a potential unique cell of origin or developmental window of tumorigenesis of H3.3 K27M or G34R/V tumor development. Consistent with this, Sturm and colleagues utilized

expression profiling in conjunction with immunohistochemical staining to identify differential lack of FOXG1 or OLIG2 expression as diagnostic surrogate markers for K27M and G34R/V tumors respectively [250]. Distinct survival profiles were seen for H3.3 and *IDH1* mutant tumors, with better overall survival seen for *IDH1* mutant and G34R/V mutant GBM, and poor survival seen for K27M mutant tumors (Figure 1.8) [250]. This association is also seen in DIPG tumors, with poor overall survival seen for K27M mutant DIPG patients [136]. These data illustrate the importance of tumor subgrouping in GBM as tumors occurring in different brain regions with distinct mutations can undergo unique disease course.

H3.3 K27M and G34R/V mutations were shown above to correlate with distinct global epigenomic profiles, distinct clinical variables and gene expression signatures. The mechanism of action of the effects of K27M and G34R/V mutant histones are still largely unknown, however a number of notable results have allowed us to gain insight into their oncogenic functions. Work by Lewis and colleagues and other independent groups, has elucidated novel avenues of epigenomic dysregulation associated with H3.3 mutations (Figure 1.9) [161,39,261]. Human GBM and DIPG harboring H3.3 and H3.1 mutations were shown to have reduced overall levels of trimethylated H3K27 (H3K27me3) [161], without any corresponding decrease in the H3K27 trimethyltransferase *EZH2* expression [261]. Murine DIPGs elicited in a PDGF brainstem glioma model which expressed H3.3 K27M transgenes recapitulated reduced H3K27me3 levels [161]. In addition, human and murine tumors, and cells ectopically expressing H3K27M transgenes showed elevated levels of acetylated H3K27 (H3K27me2) [161]. Additional reports of decreased dimethylated H3K27 (H3K27me2) have been shown in H3.3 K27M mutant primary

tumor cells [39]. Moreover, this effect was dependent on precise amino acid identity of substitutions with only long hydrophobic side-chain containing methionine (M) and isoleucine (I) at lysine 27 (K27M and K27I) capable of decreasing H3K27me3 when expressed ectopically [161]. Utilizing in vitro assays, Lewis and colleagues were able to demonstrate that this effect could be mediated in *cis* and *trans* through inhibition of the EZH2-containing Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PRC2) and was dependent specifically on interactions with EZH2 (Figure 1.9) [161]. Further to this, exploration of the inhibition of EZH2 and SET-domain containing enzymatic activity demonstrated Kto-M amino acid substitutions at H3K9 and H3K36 to inhibit H3K9me2/3 and H3K36me2/3, establishing these mutations as novel mechanisms to block enzymes which methylate these residues [161]. Although global levels of H3K27me3 are diminished in H3.3 K27M mutant cells, residual H3K27me3 genomic binding is observed at H3K4me3-containing loci, termed bivalent genes [39]. Through Gene Ontology (GO) pathway analysis of chromatin immunoprecipitation-sequencing (ChIP-seq) data identifying genes targeted by bivalent marks, cancer pathways, embryonic morphogenesis and pattern specification pathways amongst others emerged [39]. Further work studying large cohorts of pediatric HGGs demonstrated that inhibited PRC2 H3K27 methyltransferase activity and overall levels of DNA hypomethylation contribute to gene expression associated with K27M mutation [17]. However, although H3.3 K27M lentivirally-transduced cell lines recapitulated reduced H3K27me3 binding similar to human tumors, no consistent changes in DNA methylation or gene expression accompanied this [17]. This finding is similar to lack of DNA methylation changes seen in IDH1-mutant glioma cells treated with an IDH1 R132H inhibitor discussed above

[219]. Although DNA methylation patterns have been shown to be strongly associated with H3K27me3 marks [25,244], these data argue for caution in isogenic cell models of epigenetic driver mutations discussed herein. In summary, H3.3 K27M mutations exert robust genome-wide influences on the levels and distribution of H3K27me3 histone marks. They are also associated with dramatic changes in DNA methylation and future modeling of this mutation will require involvement of both of these characteristics.

To date, most efforts have focused on K27M mutations, with much less known about the impact of G34R/V mutations. Sturm and colleagues identified a global CpG hypomethylator phenotype (CHOP) in G34-mutant tumors and proposed the striking hypomethylation notably at subtelomeric regions near chromosome ends to potentially underlie ALT seen in these tumors [250]. Initial reports of H3.3 G34R/V mutations proposed potential effects of this mutation on adjacent residue H3K36 histone marks and demonstrated very preliminary data to this effect [231]. Further more comprehensive histone profiling studies revealed no changes in global levels of H3K27me2/3 or H3K36me3 in association with H3.3 G34R/V mutations (Figure 1.9) [39,161]. However, purified epitope-tagged H3.2/H3.3 G34R/V mono- and oligo-nucleosomes (more than 95% involving 4-5 nucleosomes) revealed decreases in K36me2 and K36me3 of approximately 2.7 and 18.5 fold respectively compared to wild-type [161]. In addition, in vitro assays revealed an impaired ability of the human recombinant H3K36 methyltransferase SETD2 (also known as SET2) to methylate K36 in the presence of H3.3 G34R/V heterotypic mononucleosomes [161]. ChIP-seq data studying H3K36me3 marks demonstrated differential binding in a cell line, KNS42 harboring G34V mutation and demonstrated that this may drive expression of programs of forebrain development

and self-renewal, including genes such as *MYCN* [20]. In summary, these data demonstrate a potent *cis*-regulated effect of G34R/V mutations on K36 methylation within mono- and oligo-nucleosomes that may drive novel programs linked specifically to forebrain/cortical tumorigenesis.

1.4 Telomerase-dependent and independent telomere dysregulation in adult and pediatric gliomas

Telomeres cap the ends of our DNA and are critical mediators of cellular longevity as well as maintaining the integrity of our genomes [99,6]. Human telomeres are structured stretches of the repetitive 6-nucleotide sequence 5'-TTAGGG-3' located at the ends of chromosomes and function to safeguard elements in our genome by absorbing the inevitable shortening which comes with lagging strand synthesis in DNA replication as dividing cells age [159,188,99,6]. Telomeric DNA is maintained in cells by the ribonucleoprotein complex telomerase, comprised of telomerase reverse transcriptase, TERT, and an RNA molecule termed TERC or TR (telomerase RNA) utilized as a template for telomere repeat synthesis ([89] and reviewed in [7]). Telomerase activity has been found to be elevated in cancer and germ cells [142], and taken together with studies demonstrating telomere shortening with cellular ageing [99,6], is thought to mediate the immortal nature of proliferating cancer cells.

Elevated *TERT* mRNA levels and activity has been identified in gliomas [21]. Furthermore, Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) have identified a subset of polymorphisms associated with increased risk of glioma susceptibility, two of which map to telomere regulatory genes: *TERT* (5p15.33, rs2736100) which discussed above, codes for the enzymatic component of telomerase, and *RTEL1* (20q13.33, rs6010620)

[236,210,280], or regulator of telomerase elongation helicase 1, a gene involved in maintaining genome stability through suppression of homologous recombination [13]. A recent meta-analysis of GWAS data identified another SNP associated with glioma risk near the key telomere component TERC (or TR, rs1920116), strongly demonstrating that polymorphic variants affecting telomere length at a subset of loci can influence glioma susceptibility [269]. Coding mutations affecting telomere regulation have recently been identified recurrently in gliomas through telomerase-dependent and independent pathways [102,231,166,131,114,19,140,264,139]. Core promoter mutations occurring at -124bp and -146bp (positions hg19: 1,295,228 G>A and hg19:1,295,250 G>A respectively) upstream of the TERT ATG start site have recently been uncovered in a large proportion of sporadic melanomas and have been shown to correlate with increased TERT expression levels due to formation of a consensus binding site for Ets/TCF transcription factors [105,106]. Studies examining large cohorts of tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) including gliomas, have uncovered TERT promoter mutations most frequently in adult primary GBM, with a prevalence reported as high as 83% [140,264,148]. In addition, these studies have demonstrated an association of the occurrence of *TERT* promoter mutations with higher mean patient age at diagnosis, more often characterizing adult over pediatric disease [140,264,148], a phenomenon also recapitulated in medulloblastoma, with adult sonic hedgehog (SHH) subtype tumors demonstrating the highest incidence amongst subgroups [216].

Pediatric GBM tumors do not demonstrate as high a rate of *TERT* promoter mutations (11% of 19 tumors analyzed in [140]), although they do demonstrate a telomere dysregulation phenotype known as alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT)

in 44% of cases [102]. ALT was first described in tumors and cell lines that had long telomeres, without evidence for increased telomerase activity [30,29,28]. The ALT mechanism was later shown to occur through homologous recombination and copy switching in immortalized human cell lines [62]. Overall in GBM, ALT was shown to be present in 25% of cases and correlated strongly with better median overall survival of 542 days compared to 247 days for GBM patients with normal telomeres, a substantial difference that was independent of age [94]. More recently, ALT was shown in strong association with *ATRX* or *DAXX* mutations in gliomas including pediatric GBM [102]. *ATRX*, termed  $\alpha$ -thalassemia/mental retardation syndrome X-linked, is an

ATPase/helicase of the SWI/SNF family that has functions as a chromatin remodeler and histone H3.3 chaperone at telomeres [160]. ATRX along with its co-factor, DAXX, *death domain associated protein*, functions importantly to suppress transcription of telomeric RNA through incorporation of H3.3 at telomeres [85]. These mechanisms operate independently of H3.3 deposition at active and repressed genes, mediated by another histone chaperone HIRA [85]. Pediatric GBM and DIPG tumors are characterized by recurrent mutations in histone H3.3 at critical residues, K27M and G34R/V that occur in conjunction with mutations in *ATRX/DAXX* [231,136]. *ATRX* mutation or loss of expression correlates strongly with ALT in pediatric GBM [231], but not in an *ATRX*-mutant adult grade II-IV gliomas [166]. Killela and colleagues showed strikingly that *ATRX* and *TERT* mutations are mutually exclusive and govern independent mechanisms for telomere dysregulation in gliomas [140]. These and other cohorts [231,148] demonstrate that *ATRX* mutations (associated strongly with ALT) occur more frequently in pediatric GBM, whereas *TERT* promoter mutations mediating increased telomerase

expression dominate adult primary GBM tumors. Mechanisms leading to ALT in adult grade II and III appear to be unclear due to lack of correlations seen with ATRX mutations, suggesting other putative mechanisms for ALT observed in these tumors [166]. Within pediatric DIPG and GBM cohorts, ATRX mutations tended to occur in older children in the adolescent years [136,231,250]. These results primarily point to critical defects in telomere maintenance pathways, both telomerase-dependent and independent, in pediatric and adult gliomas. GBM or DIPG tumors arising in adolescents harbor mutations in ATRX that correlate strongly with ALT (telomerase-independent) in these tumors. GBM tumors occurring in adults frequently harbor mutations in the core promoter of *TERT* leading to elevated telomerase activity and longer telomeres. Monogenic telomere disorders in various genes have been shown to manifest at differing ages and with a variety of phenotypes ranging from idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis to hematologic cancer (reviewed in [7]), with genetic anticipation worsening with generations observed [8,266]. Taken together, somatic mutations affecting the telomere machinery that are found in GBM tumors can arise via telomerase-dependent and independent pathways and may hijack the normal regulation of telomeres with cellular aging.

#### 1.5 Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) Pathway Activation in Gliomas

Pilocytic astrocytomas (PAs) comprise the most common primary brain tumor subtype in children (Figure 1.3) [138]. Unlike the dramatic inter-patient heterogeneity seen above for adult and pediatric HGGs, work over the past few years has implicated MAPK cascade hyper-activity through *BRAF* activation as being critical in the pathogenesis of virtually all PA tumors (Figure 1.10)

[202,121,122,73,12,109,240,46,118,291]. *BRAF* gene duplication at chromosomal region 7q34 was first shown using array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) methods to be a dominant mechanism of MAPK activation through increased copy number and subsequent expression of BRAF [202,12]. Landmark studies soon after demonstrated that tandem duplication of 7q34 created a novel BRAF in-frame fusion with the uncharacterized gene, KIAA1549, eliminating the N-terminal auto-regulatory region of BRAF, allowing for constitutive activity of the kinase domain in the fusion protein [121]. In vitro kinase assays comparing KIAA1549-BRAF transfected cells demonstrated similar levels of increased kinase activity as other well-characterized BRAF V600E constitutive mutants [121]. In addition, KIAA1549-BRAF-infected NIH 3T3 cells demonstrated increases in anchorage-independent growth in soft agar assays, further supporting the role of this fusion in oncogenesis and transformation of cells [121]. KIAA1549-BRAF fusion was shown to define the majority of PA tumors analyzed amounting to 66% of 44 tumors, with a striking specificity of 98.5% to PA in an expanded tumor set including 406 gliomas encompassing diffuse (grade II) and anaplastic (grade III) astrocytomas, GBM, oligodendroglial and mixed oligoastrocytic tumors [121]. These fusion genes had a variety of genomic breakpoints: the majority were represented by KIAA1549 exons 1-16 fused to BRAF exons 9-18 (69%, 20/29 fused cases), with the remainder of initial cases being KIAA1549 exons 1-16 fused to BRAF exons 11-18 (24%, 7/29) and KIAA1549 exons 1-15 to BRAF exons 9-18 (7%, 2/29), all of which could be detected by RT-PCR [121]. Taken together, KIAA1549-BRAF fusion identification

suggests an important new avenue for diagnostic and potentially therapeutic development in PA tumors.

Many additional reports confirm the role of *BRAF* and notably the MAPK pathway as a central mediator of gliomagenesis in PA tumors. Novel fusions, gain-offunction point mutations, loss-of-function mutations and copy number aberrations all leading to MAPK cascade hyper-activation have been reported in many genes (Figure 1.10 and Figure 1.11). Increasingly rare *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion variants have been reported in isolated cases, encompassing fusion between exons 1-18 and exons 10-18 or exons 1-19 and exons 9-18 of *KIAA1549* and *BRAF* genes respectively [73,157], with an additional single case report of the most recent variant of *KIAA1549* exons 1-16 fused to *BRAF* exons 10-18 [54]. *In silico* reconstructions and functional assays in a subset of cases confirm constitutive activity of fused *BRAF* kinase domains and MAPK activation in these rare fusion-positive PA samples [73,54].

A diversity of *BRAF* and *RAF*-family fusion genes exclusive to *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusions have been identified in PA tumors. Jones and colleagues followed up additional work in identifying *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion and pinpointed an additional fusion gene, albeit a much more rare event occurring in 1 out of their initial 44 sample cohort [122]. This fusion was present in a copy number gain at chromosomal region 3p25 first seen by aCGH array [119], and involved tandem duplication of the MAPK *RAF1* fused in-frame to *SRGAP3* (*SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase activating protein 3*) [122]. Reminiscent of *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion, *SRGAP3-RAF1* fusion was found to retain the kinase domain of RAF1, demonstrated increased kinase activity in *in vitro* assays and promoted anchorage-independent growth of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts, confirming it as a novel form of MAPK

activation in PA tumors [122]. Alternative fusion partners have been identified for BRAF as well, suggesting in much the same way as for other kinase fusion genes in human cancer, dysregulation of the target kinase domain is the driving force for these rearrangements. These mechanisms are not limited to areas of copy number gain, but can also arise in areas of genomic deletion [46]. Fusion of the BRAF kinase domain with another uncharacterized gene at 7q34, FAM131B, through an interstitial deletion of approximately 2.5 Mb, was identified in 2.4% of PA, 3 of 125 cases screened [46]. This mechanism similarly eliminated the BRAF N-terminal auto-regulatory sequence, and achieved constitutive MAPK activation and loss of contact inhibition in NIH 3T3 cells [46]. Recent large-scale whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and RNA sequencing efforts have identified a plethora of additional BRAF and RAF1 fusion genes in supratentorial diffuse low-grade astrocytomas including FXR1-BRAF, BRAF-MACF1, OKI-RAF1 [291], as well as RNF130-BRAF, CLCN6-BRAF, MKRN1-BRAF and GNAI1-BRAF fusions in rare cases of PA tumors [118]. Taken together, promiscuous fusion of RAFfamily kinase domains through a striking diversity of mechanisms constitutes a dominant means of acquiring transforming ability in pediatric PA tumors.

*BRAF* has emerged as an important oncogene in human cancer. Initial discovery of activating mutations in *BRAF* in 8% (71 of 923 cases screened) of human cancer encompassing primary tumors or cell lines of glioma, melanoma, colorectal cancer and many other types [57], has fueled further investigation into its use as a therapeutic target in oncology. In their seminal study, Davies and colleagues reported *BRAF* V600E (previously V599E in the initial study) in 11% of glioma cell lines studied, with mutation at the hotspot valine 600 residue to a glutamate (V600E) in exon 15 accounting for 80%

of all cases across cancer types [57]. Importantly, cancer cell lines harboring *BRAF* V600E continued to proliferate when treated with a RAS-neutralizing monoclonal antibody, demonstrating that this mutation permitted RAS-independent growth of these cells [57]. Within gliomas, *BRAF* V600E mutation is unevenly harbored amongst histological subtypes, with large-scale sequencing studies demonstrating higher frequencies in WHO grade I gangliogliomas (18%), WHO grade II pleiomorphic xanthoastrocytomas (66%) and PA tumors (9%), most commonly in tumors arising outside of the cerebellum [228]. Rare 3bp insertions at codon 598 of *BRAF* have also been reported and have been shown to act similarly to V600E-mutated *BRAF* [122,65,228].

PA tumors can also arise in a variety of genetic syndromes, the most wellcharacterized of which is known as neurofibromatosis type I (NF1) (reviewed in [42]). NF1 is one of the most frequently encountered autosomal dominant disorders in humans and the locus for NF1, formerly known as von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis, was first localized to chromosome 17 through several linkage analysis efforts [14,232,233,245,200,256,278,279,86]. Physical mapping studies and eventual positional cloning led to the identification of the entire *NF1* sequence [268,265,36,175]. Homology and functional biochemical assays demonstrated that the gene product of the *NF1* locus was a protein harboring GTPase-activating protein (GAP) activity capable of RAS-GTP hydrolysis and inactivation [285,284,11,179,93]. NF1-associated PA develops uniquely in the optic pathway or brainstem, in contrast to *KIAA1549-BRAF* fused sporadic PA, which occurs overwhelmingly in the cerebellum, demonstrating a neuroanatomical specificity of these alterations along the MAPK pathway (Figure 1.10) (reviewed in

[117,42]). Children developing PA tumors in the context of NF1 tumor predisposition syndrome account for approximately 15-20% of cases (reviewed in [42]). Similarly to BRAF fusions and mutations discussed above, NF1 defects lead to hyper-activation along the Ras/MAPK pathway, although in a loss-of-function manner. Recent reports demonstrate that in the context of NF1 syndrome, somatic inactivating alterations including frameshift mutations, loss of heterozygosity and DNA methylation of the remaining NF1 allele are sufficient for PA tumorigenesis in NF1 patients [92]. Other inherited genetic syndromes, termed 'RASopathies,' arising from mutations along the MAPK axis have also been associated with PA and low-grade glioma tumor development in rare cases [78,224,230,152]. A large analysis of 1941 cases of RASopathies reported in the literature between 1937 and 2010 encompassing Noonan syndrome, Costello syndrome, cardiofaciocutaneous syndrome and LEOPARD syndrome (also termed Noonan syndrome with multiple lentigines, NSML), identified 88 cases of cancer, including 6 cases (0.31% of RASopathy patients) of low-grade glioma [152]. In summary, this demonstrates that inherited genetic syndromes such as NF1 and other RASopathies arising from mutations along the MAPK pathway are associated with lowgrade gliomas including PA.

Inherited low-grade glioma predisposition syndromes such as NF1 and Noonan syndrome discussed above provide candidate genes that may be affected by somatic alterations in sporadic cases of PA. Whole-genome sequencing of a large cohort of 96 PA tumors revealed missense mutations in the gene *PTPN11*, which encodes the phosphatase SHP-2, resulting in amino acid substitutions p.E69K and p.E76A located in one of two *Src homology 2* (SH2) domains of the protein [118]. These mutations were present in

2.1% (2/96) tumors screened [118]. Gene expression profiling analysis revealed increased *PTPN11* mRNA levels in 118 PA tumors compared to other low- and high-grade gliomas, and normal fetal and adult brain and non-brain tissues [118]. Germline SHP-2 mutations in Noonan syndrome and somatic mutations in sporadic PA form another MAPK cascade defect contributing to PA tumorigenesis.

SHP-2 mutations did not occur independently in PA tumors, unlike other mutually exclusive alterations in MAPK genes such as KIAA1549-BRAF fusion, BRAF mutations or NF1 alterations. Hotspot mutations in the receptor tyrosine kinase gene FGFR1, encoding fibroblast growth factor receptor 1, were identified in 6% (6/96) cases of PA (Figure 1.11) [118]. These mutations encoded amino acid substitutions p.N546K and p.K656E/D/N/M within tyrosine kinase 1 and 2 (TK1 and TK2) domains respectively of FGFR1, and resulted in autophosphorylation of the receptor and activation of downstream MAPK genes [118,291]. A small subset of cases occurred in association with *PTPN11* mutation, however *FGFR1* mutations occurred independently of other MAPK defects, suggesting that they form an additional sufficient hit along the MAPK axis for tumor development [118]. Indeed, additional rare mechanisms of FGFR1 activation were identified in PA and other diffuse low-grade gliomas, including internal tandem duplication (ITD) of the tyrosine kinase domains (TKD), termed FGFR1 ITD or Dual-TKD FGFR1, also leading to constitutive activation of the receptor and MAPK and phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling [118,291]. Originally identified in adult GBM tumors [241], FGFR1-TACC1 fusions were also identified in rare cases of lowgrade gliomas [291]. These comprehensive large-scale sequencing projects have

importantly shown that pediatric PA tumors are affected by MAPK alterations in all cases, and have classified it as a 'single-pathway' disease.

### 1.6 Aneuploidy and chromothripsis phenotypes in adult and pediatric brain tumors

As Hanahan and Weinberg have proposed in their seminal reviews, cancer is a disease encompassing many dysregulated hallmarks including genomic instability [95,96]. Thus far we have described major mutations, gene fusions and epigenomic alterations at play in adult and pediatric high- and low-grade gliomas. A largely mysterious phenotype present in virtually all cancers including brain tumors is one involving numerical chromosomal abnormalities termed aneuploidy [40,88]. The role that individual aneuploid chromosomes play in diverse cell types is largely unknown, however the undeniable presence of non-random aneuploidy and its frequency in many hematological and solid tumors is striking (reviewed in [88]). Chromosomal instability (CIN), which reflects the rate of genomic change, has been associated with aberrant function of proteins involved in proper chromosomal segregation including those involved in microtubule dynamics, centrosome number and the spindle assembly checkpoint (Figure 1.12) [88]. Improper chromosomal segregation can result in cell death, or in certain cases cell survival in a limited number of cases including germline genetic syndromes and cancer [88]. Defects in proteins such as p53 and p21 may then permit the growth of an euploid cells [253]. Genetic syndromes involving an euploidy such as Down's syndrome (constitutional trisomy 21) and rare mosaic variegated aneuploidy (MVA) demonstrate an increased incidence of and are associated with various forms of cancer [88]. MVA in humans is caused by loss-of-function mutations in the spindle

checkpoint gene *BUB1B* (which encodes BUBR1) and is associated with chromosomal gains and losses (aneuploidy) and a variety of other phenotypes including childhood cancer [97]. MVA has been associated with embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, leukemia, Wilms tumor, medulloblastoma, an uncategorized intraorbital tumor in an isolated case as well as adult-onset gastrointestinal neoplasia [97,5,143,124,225], demonstrating a strong association between aberrant spindle checkpoint gene function, aneuploidy and adult and pediatric cancer. Patients with MVA show defects in cerebellar vermis development and have an enlarged posterior fossa; with knockdown studies in the medaka fish strongly implicating BUBR1 in cerebellar development and left-right asymmetry [184]. Taken together, genetic syndromes such as MVA involving aneuploidy are associated with abnormal development, CNS abnormalities and a variety of cancers.

Changes in ploidy including aneuploidy have been reported in brain tumors including gliomas. In the childhood cancer medulloblastoma, Group 3 and 4 classified tumors have been reported with tetraploidy (4n) as a frequent early initiating event in tumorigenesis [120]. In GBM tumors, mutations and copy number losses leading to loss-of-function of a critical member of the cohesin complex, encoded by *STAG2*, are associated with aneuploidy [243]. In addition in hypertriploid and hypertetraploid GBM cell lines, somatic cell gene targeting approaches to correct mutant *STAG2* resulted in amelioration of chromosome numbers [243]. Converse experiments introducing mutant *STAG2* in wild-type HCT-116 cells resulted in chromosomal defects [243]. Other alterations present in rare cases of GBM tumors have been associated with aneuploidy. Recurrent fusions involving *FGFR* and *TACC* genes have been reported in GBM, low-grade gliomas and a variety of human cancers [241,291,283]. *FGFR-TACC* fusion genes

demonstrated constitutive FGFR1/3 kinase activity and transforming ability when expressed ectopically [241]. Of note, FGFR1-TACC1 or FGFR3-TACC3 demonstrated subcellular localization to mitotic spindle poles and a capacity to induce chromosomal segregation defects and an euploidy, with inhibition of FGFR capable of correcting aneuploid defects [241]. Strikingly, numerical defects were seen in a non-random fashion in cells analyzed by spectral karyotyping (SKY) favoring gains in chromosomes 7, 18, 19, 20 amongst others [241]. Specific non-random whole chromosomal aneuploidies have been detected with unknown significance in PA tumors utilizing aCGH technology [119]. Notable chromosomal gains reported included chromosomes 5, 7, 11, 15 and 20, with the most common affecting chromosomes 5 and 7 [119]. In addition, older patients with PA demonstrated an increased frequency of aneuploid genomes, illustrating a relationship with age [119]. Mechanisms leading to the formation or maintenance of aneuploidy in PA are largely unknown, notably given the paucity of reported mutations or losses of TP53 in this tumor [118], which would otherwise permit the growth of an euploid cells [253]. Other p53 pathway regulators have also been reported in association with age-related aneuploidy in tumor cells. MDM2, a central regulator of p53 protein levels, has been shown to induce chromosomal instability in B-lymphocytes [271], and in addition, this effect has proven to be p53-independent [23]. Mdm2 transgenic mice strikingly demonstrated increased chromosomal instability associated with aging [171]. Interestingly, Mdm2 expression correlated with an increase in the rate of whole chromosomal gains, but not losses in aging mice [171], illustrating a specific mechanism that can lead to an aneuploid state harboring greater than diploid number of chromosomes. Taken together, these data demonstrate that human cancers including

high- and low-grade gliomas demonstrate aneuploidy that can be promoted in a subset of cases by specific genetic alterations affecting the mitotic spindle apparatus or the cell cycle.

Thus far we have discussed mechanisms leading to the development of numerical chromosomal abnormalities in cancer. The impact of aneuploid chromosomes themselves and their direct role in tumorigenesis is controversial and largely unknown [88]. Recent landmark studies have shed light on a novel mechanism with which cancer cells acquire genomic alterations, termed chromothripsis [246,150]. Stephens and colleagues describe chromothripsis in their initial report as the massive localized shattering and subsequent repair of a chromosomal region in a single event, rather than the traditional step-wise evolution of genomic alterations in cancer [246]. This mechanism was initially reported in 2-3% of 746 cancer cell lines and 2792 cancer samples studied and was especially frequent amongst bone tumors (25%) [246]. Further studies revealed chromothripsis in association with TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma [212]. Analysis of medulloblastoma tumors from patients with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), a genetic syndrome caused by germline mutations in TP53, revealed a striking number of complex rearrangements consistent with chromothripsis [212]. An association of somatic TP53 mutation and chromothripsis was also seen specifically in sonic hedgehog (SHH) subtype medulloblastoma tumors, as well as Ptch +/-; Trp53 -/- mutant mice allograft medulloblastoma tumors, strongly implicating chromothripsis in this tumor subtype [212]. Oncogenes previously identified in medulloblastoma are often amplified and altered due to chromothriptic events, illustrating an important link between this mechanism and tumorigenesis [212,191]. To investigate associations of germline TP53

mutation and LFS-associated tumors and chromothripsis, Rausch and colleagues analyzed additional LFS tumors including GBM and identified evidence for chromothripsis [212]. A mechanism linking whole chromosomal gain and chromothripsis has also been proposed. Massive DNA breakage resembling chromothripsis is seen in small structures termed micronuclei, which contain lagging chromosomes from mitotic errors [50]. Crasta and colleagues identify defective DNA replication in micronuclei and subsequent "pulverization" of chromosomes within these structures [50]. As errors in mitosis occur, micronuclei containing chromosomes can undergo massive DNA damage, establishing a potential mechanism for the localized shattering of chromosomes observed in chromothripsis [50]. In summary, although the roles of aneuploidy and chromothripsis in tumorigenesis are largely unknown, they represent mechanisms that can lead to the accumulation of genomic defects, a hallmark of cancer.

### 1.7 Rationale and hypotheses of the study

Throughout the introductory chapter of this dissertation we have discussed pediatric brain tumor epidemiology, treatment and patient outcome. We have accented the significant morbidities associated with tumors requiring intensive multimodal treatment strategies during the developmental pediatric years. Based on the significant mortality observed in patients with HGGs, specifically GBM, and the sequellae associated with current treatments, novel approaches are required to improve outcome.

Our lab and others have elucidated important molecular differences of pediatric and adult HGG and GBM tumors (reviewed in [249]). Of note, next-generation sequencing studies have led to the identification of mutations in histone variant H3.3 (*H3F3A*) at K27M or G34R/V in a large proportion of pediatric GBM and DIPG

[231,281]. Moreover, studies involving GBM tumors across the lifespan revealed distinct epigenetic subgrouping of tumors into six groups, three of which were delineated by H3.3 K27M, G34R/V or *IDH1* mutations and younger patients [250]. Clinical correlates of these epigenetic subgroups revealed a striking specificity for G34R/V and *IDH1* mutant tumors to occur in cortical areas, whereas midline tumors tended to harbor K27M mutations [250]. Furthermore, distinct H3.3 alterations were associated with additional defects in chromatin remodeling genes, such as *ATRX/DAXX* and critical cell regulatory genes such as *TP53*, illustrating that further genome-wide dissection may reveal mutational subgroups and needed partner hits [231]. Further investigation of pediatric brain tumors in specific areas may allow us to gain better insight into the roles of epigenome dysregulation and associated alterations in their formation.

In the context of PA tumors, these generally tend to occur in the cerebellum with recent studies showing that approximately 80% of cerebellar PA harbor *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion (reviewed in [117]). Non-cerebellar PA can occur with a diverse set of MAPK-related alterations and in surgically challenging areas, leading to significant patient morbidity [117]. We have seen that comprehensive sequencing studies of PA have revealed that virtually 100% of tumors harbor defects along the MAPK axis, classifying it as a 'single-pathway' disease [118,291]. We have also discussed reports of decreased frequencies of *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion and increased prevalence of an ominous phenotype of aneuploidy in rare adult PA tumors [101,119]. Indeed, individual MAPK alterations may harbor alternate functions independent of MAPK pathway activation. This is supported by data describing *BRAF* fusion and mutation specificity to different pediatric brain tumor types [228]. In addition, as we have seen for HGGs, adult tumors

may harbor distinct genetic alterations, which may promote aneuploid phenotypes.

Further investigation of PA tumors across the lifespan will enable better characterization of the intersection between and role of MAPK alterations and numerical chromosomal anomalies.

With the literature base outlined throughout this chapter, and the aforementioned rationale above, the primary working hypotheses of this thesis are:

- Pediatric high-grade gliomas harbor epigenetic alterations that are brain region specific and independent of described H3.3 mutations.
- Pediatric high-grade gliomas harbor alterations in association with epigenetic driver mutations that may be required for tumorigenesis.
- Age-related phenotypes such as aneuploidy may be promoted or maintained by distinct mechanisms in pilocytic astrocytoma.

### 1.8 Objectives of the thesis

Given the emergence of reports detailing a highly distinct genomic landscape of pediatric brain tumors, the objectives of this thesis were to further characterize molecular alterations and mechanisms and associate them to specific clinical variables. As such, our general aim was to paint a better molecular picture and describe the need for molecular subgrouping and eventual diagnosis in this heterogeneous and multifaceted group of diseases. The specific objectives of this thesis were as follows:

 To best investigate additional driver mechanisms at play within a cohort of pediatric HGGs, we aimed to utilize a case-control approach analyzing wholeexome sequencing data to compare these tumors with non-cancer controls. In

doing so, we enabled an unbiased assessment of the enrichment of particular mutations within the pediatric HGG dataset. Given recent data pointing to dysregulation of the epigenome, we focused our attention on candidates with a chromatin-associated and regulatory role.

- 2. To further characterize the partner mutations associated with those in the epigenetic machinery, we aimed to utilize an expanded whole-exome sequencing dataset and focused our attention on tumors of the neuroanatomical midline encompassing regions of the thalamus, brainstem, spinal cord and cerebellum where H3 K27M mutations predominate. In addition, to best investigate the actionability of identified targets, we selected a dataset comprised of treatment-naïve tumors including small-needle biopsies.
- 3. To elucidate putative mechanisms leading to aneuploidy in PA tumors, we recruited a total of 222 tumors with available whole-chromosomal copy number data and characterized associations with major MAPK alterations and clinical variables in these patients. We additionally performed gene expression profiling, integrating aneuploid data, to investigate mechanisms enriched within aneuploid tumors.

# 1.9 Figures

# Figure 1.1



## Figure 1.1: Central nervous system cellular development and tumorigenesis.

Cellular development of the central nervous system (CNS) illustrating neural stem cell (NSC), neuronal and glial differentiation pathways and restricted progenitor populations as putative cellular origins for neuronal and glial tumors. AT/RT = atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor, PNET = primitive neuroectodermal tumor, ETMR = embryonal tumor with multilayered rosettes, ETANTR = embryonal tumor with abundant neuropil and true rosettes. Adapted with permission from [70].

Figure 1.2





Bar representation of incidence per 100,000 distributed based on age demonstrating that in all age groups considered, gliomas and notably astrocytoma subtypes are the most common subgroup of brain tumor. Adapted with permission from [138].





# Figure 1.3: Astrocytoma subtype distribution across the age spectrum.

Graphical representation of histopathological grades of astrocytoma, designated 'Astro 1' (pilocytic astrocytoma, PA) through 'Astro 4' (glioblastoma, GBM) and other brain tumors demonstrating that PA tumors are the most common subtype within the pediatric years and GBM tumors are more frequent in adults. Adapted with permission from [138].

Figure 1.4



Figure 1.4: Survival of patients with different histological grades of astrocytoma.

Kaplan-Meier analysis of survival data from histological grades of astrocytoma demonstrating significantly different cumulative survival for individual tumor grades. Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) patients demonstrate the best survival profile, with 96% survival rate at 10-years post-diagnosis. Glioblastoma (GBM) patients demonstrate the worst cumulative survival with only 1.2% surviving at 5-years post-diagnosis and a median survival time (MST) 0.4 years. Adapted with permission from [194]. Figure 1.5



## Figure 1.5: Molecular pathways altered in adult glioblastoma.

Integrative genomic analysis from <u>The Cancer Genome Atlas</u> (TCGA) project performed on GBM tumors and illustrating frequencies of mutations/genetic alterations in receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)/RAS/Phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling pathways in 88% of tumors and p53 signaling in 87% of tumors. Adapted with permission from [48].

## Figure 1.6



†Sample PGBM19 additionally has a DAXX mutation C629Sfs, whereas PGBM21 has no ATRX mutation but has the DAXX mutation shown. \$Sample PGBM22 has a third ATRX mutation, p.D2136N, and a third NF1 mutation, p.A887T.

# Figure 1.6: Mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodeling genes identified in pediatric glioblastoma.

**a**, Mutations in histone variant H3.3, encoded by H3F3A, and chromatin remodeling genes *ATRX/DAXX* and other driver mutations identified by whole-exome sequencing (WES) in a group of 48 pediatric glioblastoma (PGBM) tumors. b, Histone H3.3 Nterminal tail demonstrating residues targeted by post-translational modifications (PTMs) and locations of mutations encoding amino acid substitutions lysine 27 to methionine (K27M) or glycine 34 to arginine or valine (G34R/V). c, Mapping of missense/truncating mutations identified in ATRX. d, Venn diagram illustrating strong overlap of H3F3A, TP53 and ATRX mutations in PGBM tumors. Adapted with permission from [231].

```
Figure 1.7
```



Figure 1.7: Six epigenetic subgroups of glioblastoma.

DNA methylation profiling heatmap illustrating the top 8,000 most variable CpG probe Beta-values amongst 210 adult and pediatric GBM samples, patient age and integrated genetic alterations including *H3F3A* and *IDH1* mutation status. Adapted with permission from [250].




Figure 1.8: Clinical correlates of epigenetic subgroups of glioblastoma.

a, Illustration of the human brain and mapping of GBM tumors from the six epigenetic subgroups identified via DNA methylation profiling. Tumors harboring mutations of H3.3 K27M were prominent in midline brain locations including the thalamus/diencephalon, pontine area of the brainstem, cerebellum and spinal cord (left panel a). Tumors harboring *IDH1* or H3.3 G34R/V mutations were more prominent in fronto-temporal or parieto-temporal cortical areas respectively (right panel a). b, c, Kaplan-Meier analyses of patient survival data utilizing groupings from DNA methylation profiling (b) or *H3F3A* and *IDH1* sequencing data (c). Adapted with permission from [250].

Figure 1.9



# Figure 1.9: Functional impact of major genetic and epigenetic driver alterations identified in pediatric and adult glioblastoma.

Illustration of functional implications of receptor gene mutations, alterations and fusions occurring in pediatric and adult GBM, including growth factor receptors encoded by *EGFR, PDGFRA, FGFR1/3* (top panel). Bottom (intracellular) panel illustrating alterations leading to epigenomic dysregulation observed in GBM including *IDH1* mutations, H3.3/H3.1 K27M mutation and inhibition of Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PRC2) activity, H3.3 G34R/V related loss of mononucleosomal/oligonucleosomal H3K36me2/3, *SETD2* missense/truncating mutations leading to diminished H3K36me3 levels and defects in other chromatin modifiers. Adapted with permission from [249].

Figure 1.10



# Figure 1.10: Frequency and brain region specificity of MAPK pathway alterations in pilocytic astrocytoma.

a, Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway signaling demonstrating frequency of alterations in cerebellar and non-cerebellar tumors. A distinct specificity for *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion and the cerebellum is noted.
b, Schematic of the human brain demonstrating the relative frequency of MAPK alterations in different brain regions.
Adapted with permission from [117].

### Figure 1.11

KIAA1549-BRAF	
Other BRAF Tusion	
BRAF mutation	
FGFR1 mutation	
NTRK2 fusion	
NF1 mutation	
KRAS mutation	
PTPN11 mutation	

### Figure 1.11: Major MAPK genetic alterations identified in pilocytic astrocytoma.

Comprehensive sequencing studies and identified MAPK alterations in 100% of 96 pilocytic astrocytoma tumors sequenced including newly identified *FGFR1* hotspot mutations, *PTPN11* mutations, novel *BRAF* fusions and other MAPK hits. Adapted with permission from [118].

### Figure 1.12





**a**, Defects in merotelic attachments can lead to daughter nuclei with gains or losses from the diploid number of chromosomes. **b**, More than two centrosomes can lead to aberrant spatial organization of the division process and clustering of centrosomes, leading to defects in merotelic attachments and aneuploidy. **c**, Lack of kinetochore attachment and spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) mechanisms can lead to chromosomal missegregation and aneuploidy. **d**, Early release from cohesion of chromatids can lead to numerical chromosome abnormalities and aneuploid daughter cells. Adapted with permission from [88].

# CHAPTER 2: Mutations in *SETD2* and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylation target hemispheric high-grade gliomas

Adam M. Fontebasso\*, Jeremy Schwartzentruber\*, Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang, Xiao-Yang Liu, Dominik Sturm, Andrey Korshunov, David T.W. Jones, Hendrik Witt, Marcel Kool, Steffen Albrecht, Adam Fleming, Djihad Hadjadj, Stephan Busche, Pierre Lepage, Alexandre Montpetit, Alfredo Staffa, Noha Gerges, Magdalena Zakrzewska, Krzystof Zakrzewski, Pawel P. Liberski, Peter Hauser, Miklos Garami, Almos Klekner, Laszlo Bognar, Gelareh Zadeh, Damien Faury, Stefan M. Pfister#, Nada Jabado#, Jacek

Majewski#

\*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript. #These authors are co-senior and co-corresponding authors.

Published in [72]:

Fontebasso *et al.* Mutations in *SETD2* and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylation target hemispheric high-grade gliomas. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2013 May;125(5):659-69. doi: 10.1007/s00401-013-1095-8

The article is **Open Access** and is distributed according to the Creative Commons Attribution License permitting any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

#### 2.1 Abstract

Recurrent mutations affecting the histone H3.3 residues Lys27 or indirectly Lys36 are frequent drivers of pediatric high-grade gliomas (over 30 % of HGGs). To identify additional driver mutations in HGGs, we investigated a cohort of 60 pediatric HGGs using whole-exome sequencing (WES) and compared them to 543 exomes from non-cancer control samples. We identified mutations in SETD2, a H3K36 trimethyltransferase, in 15 % of pediatric HGGs, a result that was genome-wide significant (FDR = 0.029). Most *SETD2* alterations were truncating mutations. Sequencing the gene in this cohort and another validation cohort (123 gliomas from all ages and grades) showed SETD2 mutations to be specific to high-grade tumors affecting 15 % of pediatric HGGs (11/73) and 8 % of adult HGGs (5/65) while no SETD2 mutations were identified in low-grade diffuse gliomas (0/45). Furthermore, SETD2 mutations were mutually exclusive with H3F3A mutations in HGGs (P = 0.0492) while they partly overlapped with *IDH1* mutations (4/14), and *SETD2*-mutant tumors were found exclusively in the cerebral hemispheres (P = 0.0055). SETD2 is the only H3K36 trimethyltransferase in humans, and SETD2-mutant tumors showed a substantial decrease in H3K36me3 levels ( $P \le 0.001$ ), indicating that the mutations are loss-of-function. These data suggest that loss-of-function SETD2 mutations occur in older children and young adults and are specific to HGG of the cerebral cortex, similar to the H3.3 G34R/V and IDH mutations. Taken together, our results suggest that mutations disrupting the histone code at H3K36, including H3.3 G34R/V, IDH1 and/or SETD2 mutations, are central to the genesis of hemispheric HGGs in older children and young adults.

#### 2.2 Introduction

Malignant primary brain and central nervous system (CNS) tumors occur at an ageadjusted incidence rate of 7.3 out of 100,000 people across all ages and are the leading cause of cancer-related death in children [61]. High-grade gliomas [HGG; grade III and grade IV astrocytomas/glioblastoma (GBM)] are highly aggressive and deadly brain tumors [61,231] and are more commonly diagnosed in adults [47]. GBM remains essentially incurable despite decades of concerted therapeutic efforts. One impediment to treatment is that GBM is diagnosed as a single pathological entity, which cannot discriminate potential genetic drivers and molecular subtypes. This impacts the design and outcome of clinical trials and likely contributes to the apparent inherent resistance of GBM to adjuvant therapies. Because of the similar histology, current treatments for GBM in children are driven by adult studies and show, as in adults, little therapeutic success.

We and others recently identified two recurrent mutations in *H3F3A*, which encodes the replication-independent histone 3 variant H3.3, in over 30 % of pediatric and young adult GBM [231,281]. The mutations, K27M and G34R/G34V, occur at positions in the histone tail that are critical for post-translational modifications involved in the histone code, which determines chromatin structure and gene expression. H3.3 K27M mutations were also identified in over 70 % of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG), a fatal HGG of the brainstem [136,281] as well as K27M mutations in the canonical H3.1 in 18 % of samples [281]. H3.3 mutations significantly overlapped with mutations in *TP53* and in *ATRX* ( $\alpha$ -thalassemia/mental-retardation syndrome-X-linked) [108,263] and less frequently with the ATRX hetero-dimer *DAXX*, which encode subunits of a chromatin remodeling complex required for H3.3 incorporation at

pericentric heterochromatin and telomeres [60,108]. H3.3 mutations represent the pediatric counterpart of the recurrent hotspot mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 or 2 (IDH1/2) [197,287]. IDH1 R132 mutations are gain-of-function, causing the enzyme to produce 2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG) [56,197] and IDH1-mutant tumors display distinct DNA methylation profiles with global hypermethylation, termed a glioma-CpG island methylator phenotype (G-CIMP) [192,250,255]. Interestingly, similar to pediatric GBM, IDH1 mutations were shown to occur in association with TP53 [197] and ATRX mutations in adult diffuse astrocytic tumors [114,131,166], illustrating an important constellation of mutations in the development of pediatric and secondary GBM. In the present study, we sought to identify drivers of HGG in pediatric samples that did not carry H3.3 or IDH mutations. We investigated a cohort of 60 pediatric HGGs utilizing statistical analysis of whole-exome sequencing (WES) on a genome-wide ranking scale and validated results in an independent validation cohort of 123 gliomas from all ages and grades. Herein, we present data showing the importance and functional impact of mutations in the H3K36 trimethyltransferase SETD2 in HGGs of the cerebral hemispheres.

#### 2.3 Materials and Methods

#### Sample characteristics and pathological review

Samples were obtained with informed consent following approval of the Institutional Review Board of individual hospitals. Samples were reviewed by senior neuropathologists (S.A., A.K.) according to WHO guidelines. Fifty-one pediatric grade IV astrocytomas (glioblastoma, GBM) patients and nine pediatric grade III astrocytomas from patients aged 1–20 years were analyzed by whole-exome sequencing (44 previously published in [231]). An additional 123 adult and pediatric gliomas of diverse histology and grade were also included for targeted sequencing of *SETD2*, *IDH1* and *H3F3A*. Available clinical and relevant mutational characteristics are detailed in Supplementary Table 2.1. Tissues were obtained from the London/Ontario Tumor Bank, the Pediatric Cooperative Health Tissue Network, the Children's Oncology Group, The Montreal Children's Hospital and from collaborators in Poland, Hungary and Germany.

#### DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen tumor tissue utilizing the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit according to instructions from the manufacturer (Qiagen).

#### Alignment and variant calling for whole-exome sequencing

Standard instructions from the manufacturer were used for target capture with the Illumina TruSeq exome enrichment kit and 100 bp paired-end sequencing reads on the Illumina HiSeq platform with bioinformatic processing and variant annotation as previously described [231]. For the selected genes of interest shown in Supplementary Table 2.1, variants in these genesthat were private to tumor samples are shown, i.e. those variants not seen within the 1000 genomes (http://www.1000genomes.org/) or NHLBI exome (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) databases, or in any of our 543 control exomes. Missense mutations were highlighted if they occurred within highly conserved residues in vertebrates, assessed utilizing the UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) conservation track tool [135]. To assess significance of

mutations in our tumor dataset, we used a case–control approach to compare the frequency of private mutations in each gene in the 60 tumor exomes to 543 control exomes, which were from constitutional DNA of patients with Mendelian diseases also sequenced at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre (Supplementary Table 2.2). We controlled for false discovery rate using the Benjamini– Hochberg procedure. All variants in these genes are detailed in Supplementary Table 2.2, whereas only private variants, likely to be somatic, and in highly conserved residues (likely to impact function), are highlighted in Supplementary Table 2.1 and discussed in this study.

#### Targeted next-generation sequencing of SETD2

Coding regions of SETD2 were amplified using the Fluidigm. Access Array system (http://www.fluidigm.com/access-array-system.html) and sequenced on a half run of the GS FLX Titanium system from Roche 454. Forty pairs of primers were designed to cover all coding regions of the 21 exons of *SETD2*. Primers were designed using Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/primer3/) [221]. The parameters were set to achieve melting temperatures ranging from 57 to 59°C. Lengths of PCR products are between 197 and 394 bp. The UCSC Genome Browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/) was used to download target genomic regions prior to design and identify variants (based on dbSNP135: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/) [135]. PCRs were performed on 48 x 48 IFC (Integrated Fluidic Circuit) chips. On each chip, 40 regions were amplified in 48 samples. Amplification of target regions and addition of 454 sequencing adapters and individual bar codes occur in the same PCR performed on the Fluidigm FC1 cycler. All samples

were individually bar coded and sequenced in one half-region of a GS FLX Titanium run. Validation of variants was done with Sanger sequencing. Following this, statistical analyses of Fisher's exact test for contingency comparisons were performed utilizing GraphPad Prism 5 software.

#### Immunoblotting analysis of H3K36me3 levels in patient tumors

Fresh-frozen tumor tissues with adequate material and known SETD2, H3F3A and IDH1 mutational status were lysed using the EpiQuik Total Histone Extraction Kit (Epigentek, USA). Lysates were quantified utilizing standard BioRad protein assay (BioRad) and loaded onto 15 % acrylamide gels and run for 2 h at 100 V. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes at room temperature for 5 min, using the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (BioRad) at LOW MW setting, blocked and immunoblotted with the following conditions overnight at 4°C: rabbit polyclonal anti-H3K36me3 (Abcam #9050) at 1:1,000 in 5 % skim milk and rabbit polyclonal anti-H3 (Abcam #1791) at 1:1,000 in 5 % skim milk. Membranes were subsequently washed thrice with tris-buffered saline-Tween 20 (TBS-T) and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (GE Healthcare #NA934V) at 1:5,000 with Precision Protein StrepTactin-HRP conjugate at 1:10,000 (BioRad #161-0380) in 5 % skim milk for 1 h at room temperature and revealed utilizing Amersham ECL detection (Amersham Biosciences). H3K36me3 bands from four independent blots were quantified utilizing ImageQuant TL v2003.02 (Amersham Biosciences), normalized to total H3, and normalized ratios were compared statistically using two-tailed T test for significance.

#### Methylation array profiling

DNA extracted from a subset of pediatric HGGs demonstrating defects in SETD2, IDH1, or H3F3A at G34 and wild-type tumors (n = 36) was analyzed for genome-wide DNA methylation patterns utilizing the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina, San Diego, USA) at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre. Of the >480,000 probes on the methylation chip, we discarded probes with  $\geq 90$  % sequence similarity to multiple genomic locations, with sequence variants in the probe binding region (1000 Genomes Project, SNPs with a minor allele frequency  $\geq 2/120$ ), and probes located on sex chromosomes, leaving 392,904 autosomal probes for further analysis. Subset-quantile within array normalization was performed on beta values using the SWAN method [173]. For unsupervised hierarchical clustering, the top 8,000 most variable probes (by standard deviation) were utilized with average linkage and Pearson correlation algorithms across the dataset. Consensus clustering was performed utilizing the *k*-means algorithm with 1,000 iterations on the top 8,000 most variable probes in the dataset. Methylation analysis was performed utilizing R (R version 2.14.2, http://cran.r-project.org/) with Minfi and ConsensusClusterPlus loaded packages.

#### 2.4 Results and discussion

#### SETD2 mutations affect a significant proportion of pediatric HGGs

To identify genetic drivers in samples not carrying mutations in *IDH1* and *H3F3A*, we analyzed 60 pediatric HGG tumors [grades III (n = 9) and IV (n = 51)] using WES (44)

previously reported; Supplementary Table 2.1) [231]. As matched normal DNA was unavailable for the majority of tumors, we identified private mutations that were present in tumors but were absent from public databases (1000 genomes project [1], NHLBI exomes) and from our set of 543 control exomes, and considered these as candidate somatic mutations. We compared the frequency of private mutations in each gene between the 60 tumors and 543 controls using Fisher's exact test and used a false discovery rate threshold (FDR) of 0.05 to correct for multiple tests. Our case-control approach effectively corrects for the background rate of mutations in each gene (which implicitly includes the length of the gene and the mutability). We filtered out variants that were predicted to be tolerated/benign/unknown by both SIFT and PolyPhen-2 [2], and identified private mutations that we considered as candidate somatic mutations. The top genes by mutation frequency are shown in Supplementary Table 2.2. As expected, four genes previously associated with pediatric HGG showed a highly significantly number of mutations (TP53, H3F3A, ATRX, NF1) [231] (Supplementary Table 2.2). In addition, two genes not previously reported in HGG, SETD2 and CSMD3, achieved genome-wide significance (FDR = 0.029 and 0.031, respectively). For SETD2, this significance was more striking when only truncating mutations were considered (FDR = 0.0017), as no truncating mutations were seen in 543 controls, but tumor samples had frameshift (3), nonsense (1), and splicing (1) variants. In addition, the three missense variants in tumor samples occurred at highly conserved residues and were computationally predicted as damaging by both SIFT and Polyphen scores (Figure. 2.1a, b). In contrast, of the seven private variants in the control samples (all missense), only one is predicted damaging by both SIFT and Polyphen. H3.3 mutations occur at two positions within the histore tail

involved in key regulatory post-translational modifications, K27 (directly) and K36 (indirectly). Driver loss-of-function *SETD2* mutations have recently been identified in two high-grade cancers, renal cell carcinoma [55,83] and early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia [290]. The other candidate gene, *CSMD3* is expressed in adult and fetal brains; however, its functions are yet unclear [237]. Hence, we focused our next efforts on *SETD2* as the top candidate gene.

#### SETD2 mutations affect pediatric and adult HGGs of the cerebral hemispheres

We expanded our sequencing analysis and next sequenced *SETD2* in 123 additional gliomas of various ages and grades (Table 2.1; Supplementary Table 2.1). Combining the discovery and the validation datasets, *SETD2* mutations were identified in a total of 15 % of pediatric HGG (11/73) and 8 % of adult HGG (5/65), and were not seen in low-grade diffuse gliomas (0/45) (P = 0.0133; Table 2.1; Supplementary Table 2.1). Except for one sample, all mutations occurred in children above the age of 12, in adolescents and in younger adults, mirroring the age range of H3.3 G34R/V and *IDH1* mutations in HGG (Figure 2.1a; Supplementary Figure 2.1) [136,137,155,166,231,250]. Notably, all tumors carrying *SETD2* mutations were localized in the cerebral hemispheres (P = 0.0055). *SETD2* mutations were mutually exclusive with *H3F3A* mutations (P = 0.049) in HGGs (0/70), but showed partial overlap with *IDH1* R132 mutations (4/14), *TP53* (4/8) and *ATRX* (3/9) mutations (Supplementary Table 2.1).

Missense/truncating mutations in SETD2 impair trimethyltransferase activity of the enzyme and confer distinct global DNA methylation signatures

*SETD2* encodes the only H3K36 trimethyltransferase in humans [64,267]. To support computational predictions of the damaging nature of *SETD2* mutations, we assessed H3K36 trimethyltransferase activity in histone acidic extractions of patient tissue samples through Western blotting for H3K36me3 levels, an indicator of SETD2 activity [64]. Immunoblot analysis revealed a significant decrease in total H3K36me3 levels in *SETD2*mutant gliomas (Figure 2.2a), as well as a significantly decreased normalized ratio of H3K36me3 to total H3 levels in *SETD2*-mutant tumors (P < 0.001; Figure 2.2b) showing loss-of-function as a result of *SETD2* missense/truncating mutations.

GBMs with epigenetic driver mutations such as H3.3 K27M or G34R/V, as well as those with IDH1 mutations, display distinct DNA methylation profiles and clinical characteristics. They also arise in distinct anatomic compartments, with *IDH1-* and H3.3 G34R/V-mutant tumors being restricted to areas of the cerebral hemispheres . We and others have previously described the distinct heterogeneity of epigenetic profiles underlying HGGs including GBM [192,250]. We thus sought to characterize the DNA methylation profiles of 36 pediatric HGG tumors with mutations likely to affect K36 methylation status, using the Illumina 450K array platform as previously described [250]. *SETD2* mutations yielded global DNA methylation patterns distinct from tumors with H3.3 G34R/V mutations, but which partly overlapped with *IDH1*-mutant methylation patterns (Figure 2.3a–f). Notably, promoters at *OLIG1/2* loci, characteristically hypermethylated in G34R/V-mutated samples, were not hypermethylated in *SETD2* mutants (Supplementary Figure 2.2) [250].

# Mutations identified in candidate oncogenic drivers and other genes involved in histone post-translational modifications in HGGs

We further investigated our dataset for mutations in other genes affecting PTM of H3K27 or H3K36 but which did not reach the statistically significant mutation levels. Eight distinct mammalian enzymes methylate H3K36 and share the catalytic SET domain, but have varying preferences for K36 residues in different methylation states (reviewed in [267]). SETD2 is the only enzyme in humans to catalyze H3K36 tri-methylation [64], while its mono- and/or di-methylation is catalyzed by NSD1, NSD2, NSD3, SETMAR, ASH1L, SMYD2 or SETD3 (reviewed in [267]). We identified one missense and one nonsense mutation in ASH1L (concurrently with SETD2 mutation) and SETD3 (1 missense mutation concurrently with SETD2 mutation). One PGBM mutant for SETD2 also had a missense mutation in UTX/KDM6A (H3K27 demethylase). This same PGBM had a missense mutation in *PBRM1*, a gene frequently mutated in renal cell carcinoma in association with SETD2 [258]. We also identified two mutations in KDM5C (H3K4 demethylase) (Supplementary Table 2.1). Interestingly, no mutations in the cancerimplicated histone methyltransferase EZH2 were identified. Mutations in these genes were not prevalent enough to be statistically associated with HGGs in our sample set; however, it remains possible that they contribute to pathogenesis in a small fraction of HGG cases.

Further investigation of the exome dataset revealed previously described mutations in BRAF (V600E [227,228] 5/60 pediatric HGG), which did not overlap with the epigenetic driver mutations we identify (Supplementary Table 2.1; Figure 2.2c). Other alterations also previously described in GBM, which may provide pathways

alternative or complementary to epigenomic dysregulation, included *PTEN* mutations (two samples) which overlapped with H3.3 K27M while *EGFR* mutation or amplification (three samples) and *CDKN2A* mutation/loss (five samples) partially overlapped with *SETD2* mutations (Supplementary Table 2.1). Truncating mutations in the mismatch repair genes [63] *MSH6* (three samples) and *MSH2* (one sample) were identified and were concurrent with *IDH1* (two samples) and *SETD2* (three samples) mutations. Of note, *SETD2* mutations were absent in a large cohort of 125 cases of medulloblastoma [120] another major group of pediatric brain tumors.

# Alteration of H3K36 post-translational modifications characterize hemispheric adolescent and younger adult HGG

Post-translational modification of resident histones modulates the properties of chromatin, impacting cell state and differentiation and determining the outcome of virtually all DNA processes in eukaryotes. Methylation of H3K36 is a key histone mark and has been widely associated with active chromatin but also with transcriptional repression, alternative splicing, DNA replication and repair, DNA methylation and the transmission of memory of gene expression from parents to offspring during development (reviewed in [267]). We identify loss-of-function mutations in *SETD2*, in 15 % of pediatric and 8 % of adult high-grade gliomas in a cohort of 183 samples from all ages and grades II–IV of glioma (Figure 2.1 a; Supplementary Table 2.1). We further show *SETD2* mutations to be specific to high-grade tumors (P = 0.013), to HGGs located within the cerebral hemispheres (P = 0.005), and to be mutually exclusive with H3.3 mutations we [136,231] and others [281] previously identified in pediatric high-grade

astrocytomas (P = 0.049). SETD2 alterations overlapped with IDH1 mutations in 4 of 14 tumors (Supplementary Table 2.1). Strikingly, the oncometabolite produced by IDH1 mutations inhibits a plethora of histone demethylases (KDMs) causing aberrant histone methylation at defined residues including K27 and K36 and a block to cell differentiation [45,168,226,286]. We [166] and others [114] have previously shown the association of ATRX and TP53 mutations in IDH1-mutant diffuse astrocytic gliomas, and others have pointed to mutations in CIC and 1p19q loss in IDH1-mutant oligodendroglial tumors. Thus, IDH1 mutations may require other key genetic events in a specific context for fullblown tumorigenesis, which may include SETD2 mutations as suggested by our cohort. H3.3K36 methylation can be thus disrupted by H3.3 G34R/V mutation, *IDH* mutations and the SETD2 mutations we report herein (Figure 2.2 a, b). Furthermore, our current analysis suggests that this histone mark is specifically altered in hemispheric adolescent and younger adult HGG (Figure 2.2 c, d) [136,137,231,250] and that the functional effect differs between SETD2 and H3.3 mutations (Figure 2.3; Supplementary Figure 2.2). Future studies directed towards elucidating the importance of H3K36 methylation in cortical astrocytes and neural progenitor cells, and its dysregulation in tumorigenesis may lend insight into the regional specificity of these defects, while improved understanding of the consequences of altered chromatin remodeling induced by these mutations will help guide alternative therapeutic avenues for these deadly cancers.

#### 2.5 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the excellent staff of the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre High-Throughput sequencing platform for

performing the exome capture and sequencing. This work was supported by the Cole Foundation, and was funded by Genome Canada and the Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR) with co-funding from Genome BC, Genome Quebec, CIHR-ICR (Institute for Cancer Research) and C17, through the Genome Canada/CIHR joint ATID Competition [project title: The Canadian Paediatric Cancer Genome Consortium: Translating next-generation sequencing technologies into improved therapies for highrisk childhood cancer (NJ)], the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) Contract No. T-04639, TAMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0025, the National Research and Development Fund (NKFP) Contract No. 1A/002/2004 (PH, MG, LB), the PedBrain project contributing to the International Cancer Genome Consortium funded by the German Cancer Aid (109252) and the CNS tumor tissue bank within the priority program on tumor tissue banking of the German Cancer Aid (108456), the BMBF, the Samantha Dickson Brain Tumor Trust, and a grant from the National Cancer Center Heidelberg ("Paediatric Brain Tumor Preclinical Testing"). A. Fontebasso and X-Y. Liu are the recipients of studentship awards from CIHR. DA Khuong-Quang is the recipient of a studentship from the Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec (FRSQ). S. Pfister is the recipient of the Sybille Assmus Award for Neurooncology in 2009 and N. Jabado is the recipient of a Chercheur Clinicien Award from Fonds de Recherche en Santé du Québec and an award from the Canadian Gene Cure Foundation.

#### 2.6 Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

#### 2.7 Figures

### Figure 2.1



Figure 2.1: Missense/truncating mutations of the H3K36

### trimethyltransferase SETD2 identified in pediatric and adult high-grade

gliomas. a Patient age, tumor grade, and affected brain region of tumors

with *SETD2* mutation. **b** Schematic mapping type and distribution of missense/truncating

mutations in SETD2 in 183 gliomas included in the study.





Figure 2.2: Missense/truncating mutations in SETD2 impair H3K36

trimethyltransferase activity of the enzyme. a Western blot analysis of histone acidic extracts of *SETD2*-mutant tumor samples demonstrating a significant decrease in H3K36me3 levels, indicating impaired H3K36 trimethyltransferase activity of the enzyme. b Densitometric quantification of H3K36me3 levels assessed in four independent blots demonstrating a significant decrease in H3K36me3/Total H3 normalized ratios in *SETD2*-mutant tumors. WT\* = WT for *SETD2*, *IDH1* and *H3F3A*. c Pie representation of mutations directly or indirectly affecting H3K36 methylation (H3K36me) in pediatric HGGs of the cerebral hemispheres (n = 35) indicating that approximately half of these tumors display defects, pointing to H3K36 dysregulation as a critical mechanism of hemispheric high-grade gliomagenesis. d Schematic representation of major genetic and epigenetic defects leading to altered H3K36 methylation in hemispheric HGGs.

Figure 2.3



Figure 2.3: Mutations affecting H3K36 methylation confer distinct global DNA methylation signatures. a Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of methylation Beta-values representing the top 8,000 most variable probes between samples mutated for *SETD2*, *IDH1* or H3.3 G34R/V and high-grade gliomas wild-type (WT) for these genes (n = 36). b k-means consensus matrices for k = 3 (b), k = 4 (c) or k = 5 (d) for the top 8,000 most variable probes. e Empirical cumulative distribution function (CDF) plot and delta area differences (f) for indicated numbers of clusters (k = 2 to k = 10).

## 2.8 Tables

Glioma	Mutated	Wild type	Total	Frequency (%)
Grade IV	12	85	97	12.37
Pediatric	9	51	60	15
Adult	3	34	37	8.11
Grade III	4	37	41	9.76
Pediatric	2	11	13	15.38
Adult	2	26	28	7.14
Grade II	0	45	45	0
Pediatric	0	23	23	0
Adult	0	22	22	0
Overall gliomas	16	167	183	8.7

Table 2.1: Frequencies of SETD2 mutations in 183 pediatric and adult gliomas

### 2.9 Supplementary Material





**Supplementary Figure 2.1: Age distribution of driver mutations identified in pediatric and adult high-grade gliomas**. Graphical representation of mutations identified in *SETD2*, *IDH1*, *H3F3A* (K27M, G34R/V) and BRAF in all pediatric and adult high-grade gliomas included in the study.

**Supplementary Figure 2.2** 



**Supplementary Figure 2.2:** *SETD2* mutations do not show similar patterns of promoter methylation at *OLIG1* and *OLIG2* loci as H3.3K36 affected G34R/V tumors. a, Graphical representation of mean promoter methylation probe Beta-values within promoter region of *OLIG1* (a) and *OLIG2* (b). To best represent this region, probes were chosen that were within -1500bp upstream and +200bp downstream of the transcription start site (TSS).

Supplementary Table 2.1: Clinical and molecular characteristics of 183 pediatric and adult gliomas included in the study (continued on next page)





10833. 



Supplementary Table 2.2: Genes significantly mutated in 60 pediatric high-grade

## glioma samples

# CHAPTER 2: Mutations in *SETD2* and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylation target hemispheric high-grade gliomas

#### To

# CHAPTER 3: Recurrent mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytomas

Embryogenesis requires the careful orchestration of the expression of genes, and in tissues, correct positioning of this expression across cells. In Chapter 2, with the discovery of SETD2 mutations in 15% of pHGGs, which, taken together with IDH1 and H3.3 G34R/V mutations, contribute to defects in histone 3 lysine 36 (H3K36) methylation in about half of pediatric hemispheric HGGs [72], we can hypothesize that H3K36 methylation may be a critical process in cerebral cortex development. Along these same lines, previous work by our lab and others has given a glimpse into the prevalence for H3.3 and H3.1 K27M mutations in midline structures of the brain such as the thalamus, spinal cord, cerebellum and notably the pontine area of the brainstem, where high-grade tumors are referred to as diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) [231,281,136]. In Chapter 3 of this thesis and published in [71], we focused on the mutational alterations in 40 midline high-grade astrocytomas (mHGAs) including DIPGs. We report in Chapter 3 a mutational analysis of 40 mHGAs, 39 with whole-exome sequencing data, and demonstrate that while H3K36 defects predominate in cortical tumors, K27M mutations, shown to affect H3K27me3 levels in several independent studies, define the vast majority of midline tumors. These K27M mutations, across three genes coding for H3.3 and H3.1 did not occur in isolation but in association with distinct

brain region-specific partner mutations that may underlie distinct cellular origins or stepwise tumorigenesis in these regions.

# CHAPTER 3: Recurrent mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytoma

Adam M. Fontebasso\*, Simon Papillon-Cavanagh\*, Jeremy Schwartzentruber\*, Hamid
Nikbakht, Noha Gerges, Pierre-Olivier Fiset, Denise Bechet, Damien Faury, Nicolas De
Jay, Lori A. Ramkissoon, Aoife Corcoran, David T. W. Jones, Dominik Sturm, Pascal
Johann, Tadanori Tomita, Stewart Goldman, Mahmoud Nagib, Anne Bendel, Liliana
Goumnerova, Daniel C. Bowers, Jeffrey R. Leonard, Joshua B. Rubin, Tord Alden,
Samuel Browd, J. Russell Geyer, Sarah Leary, George Jallo, Kenneth Cohen, Nalin
Gupta, Michael D. Prados, Anne-Sophie Carret, Benjamin Ellezam, Louis Crevier,
Almos Klekner, Laszlo Bognar, Peter Hauser, Miklos Garami, John Myseros, Zhifeng
Dong, Peter M. Siegel, Hayley Malkin, Azra H. Ligon, Steffen Albrecht, Stefan M.
Pfister, Keith L. Ligon#, Jacek Majewski#, Nada Jabado#, Mark W. Kieran#

\*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript. #These authors are co-senior and co-corresponding authors.

Published in and adapted from [71]:

Fontebasso *et al.* Recurrent somatic mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytoma. *Nat Genet.* 2014 May;46(5):462-6. doi: 10.1038/ng.2950

#### 3.1 Abstract

Pediatric midline high-grade astrocytomas (mHGAs) are incurable with few treatment targets identified. Most tumors harbor mutations encoding p.Lys27Met in histone H3 variants. In 40 treatment-naive mHGAs, 39 analyzed by whole-exome sequencing, we find additional somatic mutations specific to tumor location. Gain-of-function mutations in ACVR1 occur in tumors of the pons in conjunction with histone H3.1 p.Lys27Met substitution, whereas FGFR1 mutations or fusions occur in thalamic tumors associated with histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met substitution. Hyperactivation of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-ACVR1 developmental pathway in mHGAs harboring ACVR1 mutations led to increased levels of phosphorylated SMAD1, SMAD5 and SMAD8 and upregulation of BMP downstream early-response genes in tumor cells. Global DNA methylation profiles were significantly associated with the p.Lys27Met alteration, regardless of the mutant histone H3 variant and irrespective of tumor location, supporting the role of this substitution in driving the epigenetic phenotype. This work considerably expands the number of potential treatment targets and further justifies pretreatment biopsy in pediatric mHGA as a means to orient therapeutic efforts in this disease.

#### 3.2 Introduction

We and others recently identified recurrent mutations in *H3F3A*, encoding histone 3 variant 3 (H3.3), in 38% of pediatric supratentorial HGAs and mutations affecting histone H3.3 or H3.1 in ~80% of brainstem HGAs (diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas, DIPGs) [136,231,281]. Mutations affecting the histone H3 tail that change the glycine at position 34 to either arginine or valine (p.Gly34Arg or p.Gly34Val), as well as mutations in genes affecting histone H3 post-translational modifications at lysine 36, predominate in cortical tumors, whereas lysine-to-methionine substitutions at residue 27 (p.Lys27Met) occur in midline tumors [136,231,250,72].

Herein we focus on the genomic (mutational spectrum and copy number alterations) and epigenetic (DNA methylation) landscape of treatment-naive pediatric midline (thalamus, cerebellum, spine and pons; non-cortical regions) high-grade astrocytomas (Figs. 1-3). These tumors are often surgically challenging or inoperable, and published studies have mainly used material collected after therapy and have provided limited genomic data other than structural alterations and mutational analysis of histone H3 variant genes and *TP53* [136,281,198,289].

#### 3.3 Materials and Methods

#### Patient samples and consent

All samples were obtained with informed consent after approval of the institutional review boards (IRBs) of the respective hospitals they were treated in and were independently reviewed by senior pediatric neuropathologists (S.A. and K.L.L.) according to World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Samples were obtained from

the Montreal Children's Hospital (Montreal, McGill University Health Centre), Boston Children's Hospital (Boston, Harvard University), the Brain Tumor Toronto Bank (BTTB; Toronto, University Health Network) and from collaborators in Hungary, in addition to previously published mHGA samples [231,72] with sequencing data (n = 11) and with previously published DNA methylation data (n = 89) for a total of 98 tumors included herein for DNA methylation and copy number variant (CNV) analysis [250,72]. DIPG biopsy samples obtained before therapy were from Dana-Farber Cancer Institute protocol 10-321 (n = 12), a prospective phase II biopsy study of newly diagnosed DIPG. The protocol is IRB approved through the Dana-Farber Harvard Cancer Center IRB, FDA IND 111,882, http://ClinicalTrials.gov/ identifier NCT01182350, and has local institutional approval at all participating sites; informed consent was obtained from all parents. Additional pediatric midline HGA samples were from needle biopsies or partial resections before treatment (n = 28). Sequencing and clinical data for this cohort are presented in Supplementary Table 3.1, and methylation-derived CNVs in genes of interest are presented in Supplementary Tables 3.4 and 3.5.

#### Whole-exome DNA sequencing

Standard genomic DNA extraction methods were performed according to described company protocols (Qiagen). Paired-end library preparations were carried out using the Nextera Rapid Capture Exome kit according to instructions from the manufacturer (Illumina) from 50 ng of total starting genomic DNA. Sequencing was performed in rapid-run mode with 100-bp paired-end reads on an Illumina HiSeq 2000. We removed adaptor sequences, quality trimmed reads using the FASTX-Toolkit and then used a custom script to ensure that only read pairs with both mates present were subsequently used. Reads were aligned to hg19 with Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) 0.5.9 [163], and indel realignment was performed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) [180]. Duplicate reads were then marked using Picard and excluded from downstream analyses. We assessed coverage of consensus coding sequence (CCDS) bases using GATK, which showed that the majority of samples had >92% of CCDS bases covered by at least 10 reads and >88% of CCDS bases covered by at least 20 reads.

For each sample, SNVs and short indels were called using SAMtools mpileup [164] with the extended base alignment quality (BAQ) adjustment (–E) and were then quality filtered to require at least 20% of reads supporting each variant call. Variants were annotated using both ANNOVAR [270] and custom scripts to identify whether they affected protein-coding sequence and whether they had previously been seen in the 1000 Genomes Project data set (November 2011), the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) Grand Opportunity (GO) exomes or in approximately 1,000 exomes previously sequenced at our center. Variants in candidate genes of interest described herein in the midline HGA cohort (n = 39) are detailed in Supplementary Table 3.6.

#### MiSeq targeted high-depth DNA sequencing of H3F3A, HIST1H3B and HIST1H3C

Genomic DNA from midline HGA samples was used for high-depth sequencing of the *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B* and *HIST1H3C* genes to investigate the frequency of reads encoding p.Lys27Met in samples, notably those wild type by whole-exome sequencing and high-resolution melting assays. Midline HGAs (n = 24) were sequenced using the MiSeq sequencing platform (Illumina) with an average coverage of >20,000× of the
analogous p.Lys27Met base change across the three histone variants (more specifically, for the *H3F3A* gene, the average coverage was >12,000×, for the *HIST1H3B* gene, the coverage was >12,000×, and, for the *HIST1H3C* gene, the coverage was >35,000×). Reads were mapped to the reference genome (human hg19) using the BWA genome aligner [163]. Alignment files were fed to the mpileup tool from the SAMtools package [164] to find all the variations without any filter applied by the conventional variant callers. An in-house parser program was developed to extract different variations at the desired positions (in this case, affecting Lys27) in the mapped paired reads covering the histone genes *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B* and *HIST1H3C*. The results are provided in Supplementary Table 3.3.

## RNA sequencing

RNA was extracted from case tumor mHGA37 using the Qiagen RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit according to instructions from the manufacturer. Library preparation was performed with rRNA depletion methods according to instruction from the manufacturer (Epicentre) to achieve greater coverage of mRNA and other long noncoding transcripts. Paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform.

#### RNA sequencing fusion analysis

RNA sequencing FASTQ files were used for fusion analysis with the deFuse software algorithm [181] according to indicated settings. Algorithmic output was then analyzed for high-confidence fusion transcripts, which were then reconstructed *in silico* using online bioinformatics tools and databases, including BLAST, Ensembl, UniProt

and the UCSC Genome Browser, to assess impact on putative fusion proteins and compare them with existing, previously described fusions [118,241].

### TERT promoter mutation sequencing

Characterized mutations in the *TERT* promoter, C228T, and C250T variants with G>A nucleotide substitutions at genomic positions 1,295,228 and 1,295,250 (hg19), respectively [105], were sequenced using the Sanger method in midline samples (n = 14) and cortical samples (n = 10) using the following cycling conditions: 96 °C for 1 min, 96 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 5 s, 72 °C for 1 s and 72 °C for 30 s, repeated for 33 cycles. Primer sequences are detailed in Supplementary Table 3.8.

### DNA methylation analysis

Extracted tumor DNA was analyzed for genome-wide DNA methylation patterns using the HumanMethylation450 BeadChip platform according to instructions from the manufacturer (Illumina) and analyzed as described in [250,72]. From the selection of probes on the array, we removed probes from sex chromosomes (chromosomes X and Y) as well as those located at sites with documented SNPs (according to dbSNP). Methylation values were normalized using the Subset-quantile Within Array Normalization (SWAN) procedure provided in the R package minfi [173]. We performed hierarchical clustering using the 10,000 most variable sites. Distance was assessed using d = 1 - r, where *r* is the Pearson product-moment coefficient. Clustering was performed using average linkage (UPGMA) and was validated for the robustness of the procedure via multiscale resampling (1,000 iterations) using the R package pvclust [251] (Supplementary Figure 3.5).

### Copy number variant detection

To assay CNVs in our samples, we used a methylation-based method and controls implemented in the R/Bioconductor packages CopyNumber450k and CopyNumber450kData, respectively. CNV analysis for copy number gains and losses in previously described genes of interest is presented in Supplementary Tables 3.4 and 3.5, respectively. Gross genomic aberrations were assessed using UCSC Genome Browser banding and our in-house algorithm described above. Bands covered with >90% significantly amplified or deleted segments were counted as 'abnormal' and summed for each sample. Samples were grouped together by mutation type, and a *t* test was performed to assess statistically significant differences in aberration count between mutation subgroups. CNV analysis for broad areas of genomic instability is included in Supplementary Table 3.7.

### Cell lines and protein blotting

hTert-immortalized NHAs were obtained from A. Guha (Labatt Brain Tumour Centre) and were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS as previously described. The DIPGIV primary cell line (a kind gift from M. Monje, Stanford University) and KNS42 cells (purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources (JCRB) Cell Bank) were grown as previously described [187]. Mycoplasma-tested cell lines were starved of serum and growth factors for 1 h before protein extraction. Proteins were

extracted in Tris-NaCl-EDTA lysis buffer as previously described [239], and blotting was performed for phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9511; 1:500 dilution in 5% BSA solution) on total lysates from NHA cells and from DIPGIV cells as previously described [79].  $\beta$ -actin (13E5, Cell Signaling Technology, 4970; 1:1,000 dilution in 5% BSA solution) was used as a loading control.

### Quantitative PCR for BMP target genes

qPCR was performed to assess the levels of activity downstream of the ACVR1 (ALK2) receptor in total RNA extracted from DIPGIV (H3.1 Lys27Met, ACVR1 Gly328Val), KNS42 (H3.3 Gly34Val, ACVR1 wild type) and NHA cells grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS using *ID1*, *ID2*, *ID3* and *SNA11* with the primer sequences detailed in Supplementary Table 3.8. Briefly, total RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with purity and integrity assessed using Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher) and Experion (Bio-Rad) methodologies. RNA (100 ng) was used for reverse transcription with iScript RT Supermix (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer's instructions. RT-PCR was run on a LightCycler 96 (Roche) with the SsoFast Evagreen SuperMix kit (Bio-Rad). Cycling conditions were 95 °C for 30 s followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 20 s. Fold change values were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method with *ACTB* expression and NHA cells used as the calibrator.

#### Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded slides from case with (n = 4) or without (n = 3) *ACVR1* mutation and control normal brain (n = 1) to assess phosphorylation of SMAD1/5/8 downstream of the ACVR1 (ALK2) receptor (reviewed in [229]). Immunohistochemistry was carried out as previously described [147] using antibodies to phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9511; 1:50 dilution) and SMAD1 (Invitrogen, 38-5400; 1:100 dilution). Immunohistochemistry processing and imaging were performed blinded to *ACVR1* mutation status with representative images presented in Figure 3.2.

## FISH for PDGFRA amplification

FISH was performed as previously described in [211,69]. Briefly, FISH was performed using 4-µm tissue sections from a subset of midline HGAs, with a BAC probe directed against the *PDGFRA* genomic locus in chromosomal region 4q12 (RP11-231c18; green) and a probe directed against 4p11.2-4q11.1 (CEN4; red) as a control to visualize chromosome 4. Scoring is included in Supplementary Table 3.1, and representative images are shown in Supplementary Figure 3.2.

## URLs

FASTX-Toolkit, http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx\_toolkit/; Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK), http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsa/wiki/; Picard, http://picard.sourceforge.net/; SAMtools, http://samtools.sourceforge.net/; BLAST,

http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi; Ensembl, http://useast.ensembl.org/index.html;

UniProt, http://www.uniprot.org/; UCSC Genome Browser, http://genome.ucsc.edu/; dbSNP, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/.

### Accession codes

Whole-exome sequencing data can be accessed through the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) under accession EGAS00001000720. DNA methylation data can be accessed through the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession GSE55712.

### 3.4 Results

We analyzed 40 mHGAs, with whole-exome sequencing data for 39 tumors, including 25 biopsies from DIPG cases (11 previously reported [231,118], Materials and Methods and Supplementary Table 3.1). The recurrent histone H3 gene mutation encoding p.Lys27Met was found in 37 of 40 cases (93%) and was distributed among three histone variant genes, including *H3F3A* (32/40 samples), *HIST1H3B* (4/39 samples) and *HIST1H3C* (1/39 samples). *HIST1H3C* also encodes the canonical histone H3.1 (as does *HIST1H3B*) and has not previously been reported to be mutated (Figure 3.1). All five histone H3.1 alterations occurred in the pons of younger cases (Supplementary Figure 3.1). Histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alterations occurred in multiple midline locations, including the brainstem (19/25), thalamus (9/11) and rare locations for HGAs—the cerebellum, fourth ventricle and spinal cord (4/4). In contrast, only 1 histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met mutant was identified in 42 pediatric high-grade tumors located in cerebral hemispheres in a previously reported data set [231,72] (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Table 3.1).

The pattern of somatic mutations in specific genes or gene pathways showed striking features (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Figure 3.1). Recurrent somatic mutations in the activin A receptor, type I gene (ACVR1) occurred in 5 of 39 mHGAs and overlapped with p.Lys27Met alterations (5/5), mainly in histone H3.1 (4/5) rather than histone H3.3 or wild-type histone H3 (P = 0.0003, Fisher's exact test; Supplementary Figure 3.1). These specific amino acid residues in ACVR1 have previously been reported to be affected by germline mutations causing fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP), an inherited musculoskeletal disease [238,80,37,79,183,144]. The p.Arg206His, p.Gly328Glu and p.Gly356Asp substitutions result in ligand-independent activation of the kinase, leading to an increase in BMP signaling and increased phosphorylation of SMAD1, SMAD5 and SMAD8 (SMAD1/5/8) in tissues [80], whereas the newly identified p.Gly328Val and p.Arg258Gly substitutions are predicted to exert gain-offunction effects similar to those for the previously described p.Arg258Ser, p.Gly328Glu, p.Gly328Trp and p.Gly328Arg alterations, on the basis of the physicochemical properties of the mutant residues and predicted protein structure (Figure 3.4 and Supplementary Table 3.2)[37,79]. ACVR1, also known as ALK2, is a type I receptor of the mammalian transforming growth factor (TGF)- $\beta$  signaling family with critical developmental roles in the mouse embryo [183] and in early left-right patterning [144]. Investigation of ACVR1 pathway activation using immunohistochemical staining for phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 in DIPG samples showed positive staining only in tumors with mutant ACVR1 (4/4), including in DIPGs with the newly identified p.Gly328Val and p.Arg258Gly alterations (Figure 3.2). In addition, in the primary cell line DIPGIV, which carries histone H3.1 p.Lys27Met and the new ACVR1 substitution p.Gly328Val, we demonstrated increased

levels of phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 compared to normal human astrocytes (NHA cells) and significantly increased expression of genes containing BMP response elements— *ID1, ID2* and *ID3*—and *SNAI1* compared to KNS42, a cell line with the histone H3.3 p.Gly34Val alteration and wild-type ACVR1 (Figure 3.4). These genes are earlyresponse genes induced following active BMP2 signaling and represent SMAD1/5/8 downstream effectors [185,151].

We identified mutations in *FGFR1* in association with histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alteration in 4 of 39 cases: 3 thalamic HGAs also having *NF1* mutations (previously reported in [118]) and 1 DIPG (Figure 3.1). These *FGFR1* mutations affected hotspot residues in the tyrosine kinase domain of the FGFR1 receptor. They have been shown to lead to its constitutive activation in a subset of thalamic pilocytic astrocytomas, a grade I tumor that rarely progresses to higher-grade astrocytoma, and in NIH 3T3 cells [118]. Comparison with our data set of non-midline cortical HGA-HGG indicated that tumors carrying *ACVR1* or *FGFR1* mutations were exclusive to midline HGAs (P = 0.0040, Fisher's exact test; Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Figure 3.1b).

*TP53* mutations occurred in 28 of 40 samples, largely in combination with histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alteration (25/28) as reported previously [136,231] and, to a much lesser extent, with histone H3.1 p.Lys27Met alteration (1/5) (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Figure 3.1b). One DIPG sample (mHGA1) had histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alteration as well as a splicing mutation in *CHEK2*, a gene associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome similar to *TP53* (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Tables 3.1 and 3.6). Three midline samples displayed no detectable mutation across *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B* and *HIST1H3C*, even when sequenced at extremely high depth (average read depth across all

tumors and genes of >20,000×; Supplementary Table 3.3). These samples carried alterations described in adult HGA in the form of combined *TP53* mutation, *PDGFRA* amplification and *CDKN2A* loss (mHGA35), *PDGFRA* and *EGFR* amplification and *NF1* loss (mHGA36), or the previously identified *FGFR1-TACC1* fusion [241,291] (mHGA37) (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Tables 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4).

We identified somatic *PDGFRA* mutations in a small subset of pediatric mHGAs (5/39; Supplementary Table 3.1). Notably, PDGFRA amplification occurred in 3 of 12 treatment-naive DIPGs (Supplementary Figure 3.2 and Supplementary Table 3.1). Interestingly, a cerebellar HGA sample with multiple biopsies taken from different anatomical loci showed PDGFRA amplification in only one tumor site, whereas similar somatic mutation and DNA methylation profiles were observed across all tumor sites (Supplementary Figure 3.3). This finding supports the view that *PDGFRA* amplifications precede therapy but can be further promoted by it, as described in radiation-induced supratentorial HGA [199]. Mutations in other growth factor receptor and component genes described to have focal gains or losses in DIPG [198,289] or HGA (MET, RB1 and *PARP1*) showed low incidence in our data set (Figure 3.1 and Supplementary Tables 3.1 and 3.4–3.6), whereas recurrent mutations in components of the phosphoinositide 3kinase (PI3K) pathway, predicted to activate AKT signaling, were present in 10 of 39 mHGAs. With respect to alterations affecting telomere length, ATRX mutations were identified in nine midline tumors, exclusively in samples with histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alteration and TP53 mutation, and affected older children [136], whereas no TERT promoter mutations, assessed using targeted sequencing, were identified in mHGAs (Supplementary Table 3.1).

Lysine-to-methionine substitutions in histone variants at residue Lys27 have recently been shown to inhibit SET domain-containing histone methyltransferases [161], possibly accounting for the specific DNA methylation pattern we observed in nonbrainstem tumors with the histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alteration [250]. When clustering our samples by global DNA methylation, all samples with a given histone H3 alteration (absent or affecting Lys27 or Gly34) clustered together but did not group on the basis of tumor location within the brain, the particular histone H3 gene mutated, and additional partner mutations or structural alterations identified (Figure 3.3 and Supplementary Figures 3.4 and 3.5). The global epigenetic profile is thus strongly associated with alteration of the histone H3 variant mark. Interestingly, TP53 alteration was associated with increased broad copy number changes as we previously showed [231] (average of 32 events per sample), indicating a level of genomic instability. The number of copy number changes was significantly higher in samples with the histone H3.3 p.Gly34Arg or p.Gly34Val alteration than in other groups (P = 0.02997; Supplementary Table 3.7), in keeping with global hypomethylation identified in these tumors [250].

### 3.5 Discussion

The gain-of-function alterations in three growth factor receptor genes—*ACVR1*, *FGFR1* and *PDGFRA*—associate with histone H3 p.Lys27Met variants in midline HGA. These mutations are not seen concurrently, and *ACVR1* and *FGFR1* mutations are mutually exclusive with *TP53* alterations and privilege specific locations within the midline of the brain. *ACVR1* mutations do not seem to correlate with differential survival in patients with HGA, although no definite conclusions can be drawn because of our

limited sample size (Supplementary Figure 3.6). The lack of reported central nervous system (CNS) tumor development in humans with FOP or Acvr1 (Alk2) mouse models suggests that aberrant activation of this pathway is not sufficient for tumorigenesis [80] and that it may act in concert with histone H3 p.Lys27Met substitution and other alterations we identified in the PI3K pathway to induce tumorigenesis (Figure 3.1). Interestingly, ACVR1 is mainly expressed at embryonic day (E) 14 in the cortex of mouse embryos and at very low levels in the brainstem, and aberrantly active ACVR1 leads to increased ventralization of zebrafish embryos [37,79,235]. Aberrant ACVR1 signaling in the brainstem, a midline structure, may specify a patterning defect in DIPG, as this gene is involved in left-right patterning development. FGFR signaling regulates neural progenitor maintenance and the development of the ventral midbrain [154]. Similar to ACVR1 mutations, the FGFR1 gain-of-function mutations we identify only lead to grade I astrocytomas if present on their own in a tumor [118] and are commonly found in association with NF1 and histone H3.3 p.Lys27Met alterations in midline HGA. Mutations affecting histone H3 variants in pediatric HGAs mirror IDH gene mutations in their requirement for additional alterations to potentially induce HGA. Mutations encoding p.Gly34Arg and p.Gly34Val have been found in H3F3A and not in other histone genes thus far and invariably associate with TP53 and ATRX mutations in the cortex. In mHGA, mutations encoding p.Lys27Met arise in different histone H3 variants depending on age and tumor location. They associate with TP53 mutations or with activated neurodevelopmental growth factor receptor pathways through distinct hits in ACVR1, FGFR1 or PDGFRA to achieve tumorigenesis. These alterations in growth factor receptors and in members of the PI3K pathway offer previously unforeseen therapeutic

possibilities in a deadly cancer, while the observed level of genomic instability calls for caution in the choice of adjuvant therapy whenever possible. Notably, we show that small-needle pretherapy biopsies can reliably identify the mutational landscape in HGA. This technique will allow for the tailoring of available therapies to the results obtained from stereotactic biopsy in children affected by this fatal brain tumor while effort continues to be made to target p.Lys27Met histone alterations.

### 3.6 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude toward all staff at the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre for excellent technical expertise, library preparation and sequencing. The authors are very grateful to J.-J. Lebrun (McGill University) for primer sequences and materials for SMAD signaling studies. This work was performed within the context of the I-CHANGE (International Childhood Astrocytoma Integrated Genomics and Epigenomics) Consortium and was supported by funding from Genome Canada, Génome Québec, the Institute for Cancer Research of the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR), McGill University and the Montreal Children's Hospital Foundation. This work was also supported by Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) contract T-04639, National Research and Development Fund (NKFP) contract 1A/002/2004 (P.H. and M.G.) and TÁMOP-4.2.2A-11/1/KONV-2012-0025 (A.K. and L.B.). N.J. is a member of the Penny Cole laboratory and the recipient of a Chercheur Clinicien Senior Award. J. Majewski holds a Canada Research Chair (tier 2). L.G., K.L.L. and M.W.K. are supported by NCI P01CA142536. We acknowledge the support of the Zach Carson DIPG Fund at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI), the

Ellie Kavalieros Fund (DFCI), the Mikey Czech Foundation, the Prayer From Maria Foundation, the Hope for Caroline Fund (DFCI), the Ryan Harvey DIPG Fund (DFCI), the Stop&Shop Pediatric Brain Tumor Program (DFCI) and the Pediatric Brain Tumor Clinical and Research Fund (DFCI). A.M.F. is supported by a studentship from CIHR, as well as by an award from the CIHR Systems Biology Training Program at McGill University. D.B. is supported by a studentship from the T.D. Trust/Montreal Children's Hospital Foundation, and N. Gerges is supported by a studentship from the Cedars Cancer Institute. N.D.J. is supported by an award from the McGill Integrated Cancer Research Training Program.

## 3.7 Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## 3.8 Figures

## Figure 3.1



Figure 3.1: Genomic landscape of pediatric midline HGAs.

Distribution of mutations and alterations in 40 pediatric midline high-grade astrocytomas (midline HGA) and 42 cortical high-grade astrocytomas and high-grade gliomas (cortical HGA-HGG) described in the study. Mutations (mut) were identified with whole-exome sequencing (WES) where available and are indicated by colored boxes. Amplifications (amp) and losses were identified using DNA methylation profile–derived copy number variant (methyl-CNV) analysis and are indicated where available by colored boxes. SC, spinal cord. Boxes in light gray indicate samples for which data are not available. Detailed information on tumor samples included herein can be found in Supplementary Table 3.1, with specific variants and transcript accessions presented in Supplementary Table 3.6.

## Figure 3.2



Figure 3.2: Increased levels of phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 in *ACVR1*-mutant mHGAs.

Immunohistochemical analysis of mHGAs harboring *ACVR1* mutations identified in this study (n = 4; left) demonstrate increased nuclear positivity of phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 compared to mHGAs with wild-type *ACVR1* (n = 3) and a control brain sample (right), with total-SMAD1 staining shown in each case as a positive control. Scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m (20× magnification). Clinicopathological and molecular characteristics of tumor samples are presented in Supplementary Table 3.1.

## Figure 3.3



Figure 3.3: Clustering analysis of global DNA methylation profiles for 98 high-grade astrocytomas. Global DNA methylation clustering analysis of high-grade astrocytomas distributed across the brain demonstrates similar impact on epigenomic dysregulation caused by p.Lys27Met alteration regardless of age, brain location, associated mutations or the particular histone H3 variant affected. The top 10,000 most variable normalized methylation  $\beta$  values were used for UPGMA clustering with alterations of interest indicated. Robustness was assessed using multiscale bootstrapping (Supplementary Figure 3.5). Detailed information regarding sample clinicopathological characteristics is included in Supplementary Table 3.1, with methylation-derived CNVs presented in Supplementary Tables 3.4 and 3.5.

Figure 3.4



Figure 3.4: Mutations identified in *ACVR1* are associated with activation of downstream SMAD signaling pathways.

(a) Distribution of alterations identified in ACVR1 (n = 5) demonstrating the impact of amino acid substitutions on the kinase domain of the protein. ECD, extracellular domain; TM, transmembrane domain; GS, glycine-serine-rich domain. (b) Immunoblotting analysis of phosphorylated SMAD1/5/8 levels in NHA cells with wild-type *ACVR1* grown in 10% FBS or in NHA and DIPGIV cells (*ACVR1* mutation encoding p.Gly328Val) starved for 1 h in medium free of serum and growth factors (0%). (c) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis of the expression of the downstream BMP effectors *ID1, ID2, ID3* and *SNA11* in *ACVR1*-mutant DIPGIV cells and in the glioblastoma (GBM) cell line KNS42 with wild-type *ACVR1*. Values represented are fold changes calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method, normalized to *ACTB* expression in calibrator NHA cells. *P* values were calculated using two-tailed *t* tests for significance, with error bars representing s.d. from two technical replicates.

## 3.9 Supplementary Material



### **Supplementary Figure 3.1**

# Supplementary Figure 3.1: Patterns of K27M-associated mutations among midline pediatric high-grade astrocytomas.

**A**, Neuroanatomical distribution of mutations and alterations of interest in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytomas. **B**, The age of patients harbouring H3.3 and H3.1 mutations is significantly different (P = 0.0280; two-tailed *t* test). **c**, Mutual exclusivity and associative statistical analyses (represented as *P* values) of mutations in *H3F3A*, *HIST1H3B/HIST1H3C*, *TP53*, *FGFR1*, *ACVR1*, *PDGFRA* and PI3K pathway genes *PIK3CA/PIK3R1/PTEN* demonstrates predilection for co-occurrence of and exclusion of particular mutations as unique mutational groups within 39 midline high-grade astrocytomas profiled by whole-exome sequencing. *P* values were calculated utilizing Fisher's exact test (two-sided) with highlighted values indicating statistically significant (P < 0.05) preferences for co-occurrence or mutual exclusivity.



Supplementary Figure 3.2: *PDGFRA* amplification is present in a minority of cells in DIPG.

Representative images from FISH assay for *PDGFRA* amplification reveal substantial increase in the number of *PDFRA*-positive nuclei following treatment compared with samples from pretreatment biopsies. Green, *PDGFRA*; red, Chr4/CEN4.



# Supplementary Figure 3.3: Copy number variant analysis using biopsy material from five different anatomical loci in a single tumor.

a, Tumor biopsies (n = 5) show highly similar CNV patterns across the genome. b, Plots depicting 20-Mb zoom-in of chromosome 4, showing significant *PDGFRA*, *KIT* and *KDR* amplification in only the deep tissue biopsy and not others.



Supplementary Figure 3.4: H3.1 and H3.3 K27M variants demonstrate similar patterns of global DNA methylation.

Heat map of hierarchical clustering analysis of DNA methylation array data from the top 10,000 most variable  $\beta$  values from 27 K27M-mutant high-grade gliomas demonstrates that H3.3 and H3.1 K27M mutant tumors show similar patterns of global epigenomic dysregulation.



Supplementary Figure 3.5: Multiscale bootstrapping of the high-grade astrocytoma methylation cluster.

Dendrogram of multiscale bootstrapping of DNA methylation profiles of patient highgrade tumors. P values, represented as the red values at the internal nodes, are the approximately unbiased (AU) P value computed by the R package pvclust.



Supplementary Figure 3.6: Mutation subgroup-specific overall survival of midline high-grade astrocytomas (mHGAs) and diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs). Kaplan-Meier analysis of overall survival (months) of mHGAs with available outcome data, with indicated *P* values from Mantel-Cox (log-rank) and Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon testing for comparison based on **a**, *ACVR1* mutation status (n = 27), **b**, H3.3/H3.1 K27M mutation status (n = 27) and overall survival restricted to tumors of the pontine area based on **c**, *ACVR1* mutation status (n = 23), **d**, H3.3/H3.1 K27M mutation status (n = 22).

# Supplementary Table 3.1 Clinical characteristics of midline and cortical high-grade astrocytomas included in the study



# Supplementary Table 3.2 Functional assessment of ACVR1 growth factor receptor

# mutations reported herein

Supplementary Table 2: Functional assessment of A ACVR1 Mutation	CVR1 growth factor receptor mutations reported herein Reported Effects	Homology modeling and predictions	Reference(s)
		nonengy modering and predictions	(c) c) c
p.R206H	Increased ligand dependent (BMP4/6) and independent responses in a BRE luciferase reporter system. Promotion of ventralization of zebrafish embryos.	Critical hydrogen bonds are formed by Arginine 206 mediating stable GS domain interactions with the kinase loop. Shore and colleagues (Nat Genet 2006) predict H206 cannot interact with alpha-helix backbone. Most common mutation seen in FOP.	Shore et al, Nat Genet 2006; Fukuda et al, JBC 2009; Chaikuad et al, JBC 2012; Shen et al, J Clin Invest 2009
p.R258G	Increased phospho-SMAD1/5/8 staining on immunohistochemistry of patient tumors harboring ACVR1 R258G.	Chaikuad and colleagues (JBC 2012) predict that Arginine 258 forms critical hydrogen bonds with the GS loop and lack of these bonds allows the GS domain to separate and no longer regulate the kinase domain. We hypothesize that Glycine 256 identified herein would not recapitulate this critical hydrogen bonding as it is uncharged.	Chaikuad et al, JBC 2012; this study
p.G328V	Increase in endogenous phospho-SMAD1/5/8 signal in DIPG cells harboring ACVR1 G328V. Increased phospho SMAD1/5/8 staining on immunohistochemistry of patient tumors harboring ACVR1 G328V. Increased endogenous expression of downsframe flectors <i>D1</i> , <i>I</i> , <i>D2</i> , <i>ID3</i> and SNA/11 in ACVR1 G328V DIPG cultured cells.	Glycine 328 is located inside the E6 loop. Chalkaud et al report that no other side chain can be accomodated here. Homology modeling predicts bulky side chains would produce change in electrostatic potential (in the case of acide E (Giu) and basic R (Arg) substitutions). Chalkaud et al (JBC 2012) predict that mutations in the mutation cluster at sites L156, R258 and G328would promote active kinase conformations. We hypothesize that the steric bulk of Valime 328 would produce a change in local protein structure based on the change in physicochemical properties of this residue.	Chaikuad et al, JBC 2012; G328E: Petrie et al, PLoS One 2009; this study
p.G328E	Increased ligand dependent (BMP4/6) and independent responses in a BRE luciferase reporter system. Increased phospho-SMAD1/3/5 staining on immunohistochemistry of patient tumors harboring ACVR1 G328E.	Glycine 328 is located inside the E6 loop. Chaikuad et al inport that no other side chain can be accomodated here. Homology modeling predicts bulky side chains would produce change in electrostate potential (in the case of acidic E (Glu) and basic R (Arg) substitutions). Chaikuad et al (JBC 2012) predict that mutations in the mutation cluster at sites 1196, R258 and G328/would promote active kinase conformations.	Chaikuad et al. JBC 2012; G328E: Petrie et al. PLoS One 2009; this study
p.G356D	Increased ligand dependent (BMP4/6) and independent responses in a BRE luciferase reporter system. Increase in phospho-SMAD1/5/8. Increased phospho-SMAD1/5/8 staining on immunohistochemistry of patient tumors harboring ACVR1 (3356D.	Destabilizes inhibitory A loop resulting in ALK2 kinase activation.	Chaikuad et al, JBC 2012; Fukuda et al, BBRC 2008; this study
ECEDI Mutation	Descented 5% sta		
FGFR1 Mutation	Reported Effects	Homology modeling and predictions	Reference(s)
p.N546K	Increased phospho-FGFR and phospho-ERK12 positivity on immunchistochemical staining of FGFR NS46K mutated PA FFPE sections. Increased phospho- ERK12 aligned in NHI 373 calls overexpressing FGFR NS46K. Liu and colleagues (Development, 2003) report hyperprofileration of middharain in chick embyos expressing <i>FgIrt NS46K</i> . Autophosphorylation sequence is disupted FGFR1 NS46K.	Rand and colleagues (Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005) predict that adjacent sequence region involing NS46K predicted to become more basic with amino acid substitution, in addition to disruption of hydrogen bonding to HS41 of the main chain.	Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013; Rand et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005; Liu et al, Development 2003; Lew et al, Sci Signaling 2009
p.655_655del	NA	We hypothesize that this non-frameshift deletion may lead to de-stabilization of the kinase domain and possibly increased autophosphorylation in adjacent critical tyrosine residues (Y654 and Y653).	Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013
p.N546K	Increased phospho-FGFR and phospho-ERK1/2 positivity on immunohistochemical staining of FGFR1 NS46K mutated PA FFPE sections. Increased phospho- ERK1/2 signal in NIH 373 cells overexpressing FGFR1 NS46K. Liu and colleagues (Development, 2003) report hypeproliferation of midbrain in chick embryos expressing Fgfr1 NS46K. Autophosphorylation sequence is disupted FGFR1 NS46K.	Rand and colleagues (Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005) predict that adjacent sequence region involing N546K predicted to become more basic with amino acid subsitution, in addition to disruption of hydrogen bonding to H541 of the main chain.	Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013; Rand et al, Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005; Liu et al, Development 2003; Lew et al, Sci Signaling 2009
p.K656E	Increased phospho-ERK1/2 signal in NIH 3T3 cells overaxpressing FGFR1 K656E. Liu and colleagues (Development, 2003) report hypeproliferation of midbrain in chick embryos expressing <i>Fgf1 K656E</i> . Analogous mutation in FGFR3 (K656E) results in partially active kinase in absence of ligand.	Mohammadi and colleagues (Cell 1996) hypothesize that Glutamate 650 (in FGFR3, and homologously E656 in FGFR1) may preferentially stabilize active conformations of the kinase domain.	Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013; Liu et al, Development 2003; Mohammadi et al, Cell 1996

# Supplementary Table 3.3 Deep sequencing statistics for H3F3A, HIST1H3B,

# HIST1H3C of midline high-grade astrocytomas included in the study (continued on

# next page)

	965677	787967	601749	808399		802829			762640	314655		322894	538322	297811	170799	357143	083167	079237	241136			10004	764788	779477		194402	529497	208354			987485	lon ti	965351	277765	100704	030/04		651592	Π	149450	489931	39373		388305	54495	943936	872902	0 TRACER	963086	116827	Τ	Π	666664	310305
	89 59.85	86 61.6	36 45.86	41 50.32		45 39.32		-	14 41 01	71 47.27		65 56.04	98 46.44	16 92.66	40.19	43 7.1421	59 45.08(	32 45.290	46 24.52		_	00 0 040	68 0.616	63 0.533		87 0.46	99 0.779	92 0.5850	+	+	28 32.67	Verla	7 1.1618	02 1.1475 24 1.1810	10111 T	22 N. LOOK		87 1.3506	$\parallel$	35 1 2122	23 1.3104	34 1.603	+	49 1,4848	14 1.4828	23 1.5628	1.408	1 1 3 2 6	91 1,4990	42 1.394	+	Ц	10 22 22	95 42 53
	9 59.299210	61.377971	1 45.297989	7 49.540682		6 38.793103			41 580564	2 46.679349		1 55.480811	3 45.962582	3 92 278085	339.64657	0 7.1428571	6 44.724895	3 44.773402	7 23.927748			04070900	9 0.1457807	3 0.0637348		201149110 1	7 0.1001358	5 0.1059428			9 32.194355	104	5 98.814260	3 98.8524591 7 98.818022	20077C 00	CUC+C2.88		5 98.636402		a 7/s/nag	5 98.677487	2 98.3678451		98.488830	5 36.7494.101 56.480074	98.404138	5 98.581135	100	1 98.500936	1 98.584971			77 760/10	7 57,466896
	0.3547382	0.17467248	0.17131007	0.39370078		0.18125552			0.12415291	0.37472616		0.25363917	0.2595051	0.33678133	0.20120724	0.10171506	0.11803159	0.23953243	0.1783988			0 DECODA	0.06167647	0.06373486		0.08736894	0.02861025	0.06558369			0.1727664	ž	0.580932675	0.612021850	0 67609774	14//0802010		0.72063519		0.85778556	0.763450551	0.87719298		0.73587385	0.79703429	0.85846357	0.53956834	0 51813471	1.03060587	0.815558344			0.9202020	0.95567069
	0.202707594	0.126152353	0.39671806	0.393700787		0.353669319			0.362112669	0.219070679		0.308778121	0.223295112	0.048111619	0.349925641	0	0.217904485	0.277857622	0.41626403			0 99EE7047	0.409307541	0.406309751	0 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.348634518	0.650883342	0.413681768			0.311753083	of the second se	0.565016712	0.513661202	0.000100000	020001627-0		0.569603866		0 520130407	0.480913736	0.568018407		0.663600526	0.667284523	0.561303104	0.74940048	0 542274004	0.468457214	0.515823226			A 706001360	0.978698906
	4% 40.14334323	38.3212033	54.13398251	49.73753281		60.67197171			51.40596255 FR 0236361	52.72685345		43.95677106	53.55461678	7.337021891	59.80229201 59.34949555	92.85714286	54.93916833	54.70920763	75.47758864			00 4000440	99.38323521	99.46622052		99.2524014 00 62515308	99.2203705	99.41479165			67.324525	44	0.015915964	0.021857923	0			0.060412531		0.02643491	0.066125639	0.158182341		0.085413929	0.018535681	0.143077262	0.119904077	0 072207867	0	0.062735257			000070700 VII	40.59873345
	28.16911605	29.25764192	21.26949779	24.67191601		18.43943413			18.22461352	22.31638418		26.22408469	21.997586	41.23165745	18.96596973	0	20.96422735	21.18424835	11.42496098			1 MODABOOL	0.072890384	0.015933716		0.0/488/068	0.035762821	0.050448996			15.08749794	DO TW.	55.06127646	54.3715847 54.3715847	00000010F1.00	06067077		55.86433072		LA 72216768	54.42741208	54.13431119		55.13140604	72.38646895	54.51243672	55.74540368	54.28571429	54.70018738	55.38129095	T		1100000011	1.66378814
	144791139 2	0.06792819	054097917	196850394 2		057471264	Π		06061304300	144125447		0.06616674	.108630054	120279047 4	078733269	0	045396768	095812973	044599718			0	0	015933716 0		0.0124812/8 0	0	010089799			059663207	B) (4	159159637 5	2223422612	-	-		263226029 5		225,800118 6	300571085 5	345125108	T	302233903 5	278035218 5	330178296 5	159872102 5	102704313 6	421611493 5	327617454 5	T	Π	7 807320200	172711572 3
	115832911 0	048520136	0.19835903 0	196850394 0		0.16357206 0			0 25201/0/1.	103770322 0		0.13233348	108630054 0	024055809 0	15/466535 0	0	090793536 0	134138162 0	193265443 0	T		*0705407	190636389	183237731 0	-	2309030430	300407696	196751085 0		T	145251472 0	B) CK	549100748 0	513661202 0	20020000E000	223 L03030		556658324 0	Ħ	520322011 0	468890893 0	0.5608283 0	t	663600526 0	667284523 0	539291217 0	74940048 0	52018436 0	468457214 0	515823226 0	T		0 PDP0404	886586068 0
	KZ A%	1.49587579 0.	64241277	25 0.		16445623		-	8541251048 0. 85412545 0	5.5159691 0		.17335686	85395293 0	391869136 0	1.82512466 0.	85714286	29380788 0	05154738 0.	5.11050463 0.			0 0000000	.63666947 0.	.57807521 0.	-	.3553909UD U	76482369 0	.66925638 0.	T	1	25414494 0	00 AK	007957582 0.	010928962 0.046811001 0		-		030206266 0		14726095 0	03606853 0.	086281277 0	t	045992116 0	018535681 0.	00035659 0	069944045 0	0 20248223	0 0	048794089 0	t		EEQ.95.962 0	49856074 0.
	13009484 15	12032994 18	02849157 25	4.8687664		35366932 25			CC 000010000	36296553 2		25672695 21	96499698 25	.04642771 3.	-68000537 ZZ	42857143 42	76066824 26	58915397 26	50278748 36			107607EA4	772890384 47	047801147 47	The second s	0/488/088 4/ 033003287 47	064373078 47	055493896 47	1		.10685734 32	D4 TK	75298424 0.1	48087432 0.1	3001001	07710404		.77207215 0.1		03500167 01	25007514 0.	23353466 0.1	t	35742444 0.	09360519 0.1	89170152 0.1	.83573141 0.1	70406073 01	80074953	20368047 0.1	+	H	11 32/02/02	80310881 22
	09947151 31	06744299 32	17212154 24	96850394 2		23784262 20	T		01508845 21	30600715 24		87472431 29	50875075 23	16502285 51	22473974 20	0 7.	72634828 23	.14371946 23	33799153 12			0 0100000000000000000000000000000000000	61676479 0.0	47801147 0.		74707396 01	28610257 0.0	155493896 0.1		1	13103283 17	1010	21773038 43	60655738 44 62785255 43	OF DATUGOTAN	0+1 0+1 / 00000		57409165 42		31065443 44	62879471 44	32067875 44	t	33639947 43	18999073 41	28285274 43	79696243 42	0 35 25 MMM0 43	08994379 43	87940859 43	+	H	PL PLOBATE	82969125 25
	86874683 0.1	77632217 0.1	19835903 0.	96850394 0.1		90097259 0.1			91402411 0	15300357 0.2		1764464 0.7	14665057 0.1	24055809 0.1	92459102 0. 47087373 0.	0 0	12711095 0.0	14371946 0	22998588 0.1			76776000 0.0	18671152 0.0	22307202 0.1		82618079 0.0	50475646 0.0	16930683 0.0		+	66501611 0.	01.0%	15915964 0.4	0 0		0		12945542 0.4		00817306 0.4	12022843 0.4	07190106 0.5	t	0 0.4	0 050	22011886 0.5	0.3	12040645 0.5	0.6	0 04	+	H	DE 1 BABBE	92112838 0.7
	77028886 0.0	82532751 0.0	49156974 0.	73753281 0.1		50751547 0.1			16950965 0 1	21088435 0.1		.7834142 0.	70066385 0.1	45152754 0.0	97716735 0.1	50 000	64536045 0	65766025 0.	36668401 0.2			* 0 *07.04700	74656574 0.2	88814532 0.		57843230 0.2 58091641 0 1	45554681 0.3	74553526 0.2		+	07038006 0.1	01 AV	07957982 0.0	10928962				30206266 0.0		0.0 0.0 0 0	30057109 0.0	71901064 0.0	T	39421813	0	77041602 0.0	19960032	0 SDAGRAE D D	0	13941168	+		0.0 0.000	10017271 0.0
	20.	19.	28	24.		317			200	27.3		22	5 27.	3.9	30.	08	28.	28.	8			1	51.	51.		10	51.	51.	+		9.666667 35.	00 T	6919 0.00	4975 0.0	010	000		2946 0.0		1148 0.00	9054 0.0	7529 0.0	t	8391 0.0	3096	4963 0.0	5579 0.0	9 4676 0.0	3503	7945 0.0	+	H	404 0.0	2750 18.
	389	301	235	376		417			352	387		2371	364	171	216	0	230	221	153				13	2	4	ZL O	0	10	+	+	6666667 177		20	23	2 4	-		19		46	50	48	+	46	15	30	16	16	27	47	t		ac	15
<i>.</i>	20	7	9	m		13		-	13	25		9	18	2	<u>n</u> u	0	2	10	9				0	2	4	× +		2	+		5833333 6.91	0	69	47	P 6	0		129		10k	78	78	+	101	36	49	75	0	30	74	+		70	17
ed in the stue	Z A 16	20	8	m		37		1	23 E	18		12	18	-	20 C	2 o	10	14	18			8	3	8	1	30	42	39	+	+	1281.25 19.9		-			_					9	12	+	- C	-		~			7	+		101	954
tomas includ	2676	1906	2844	381		6597	+		5209	4426		1920	4284	141	3295	9	2896	2719	4858			2002	8496	5972	1000	2000/	6678	9449	+	+	1972		361	070	1	0+		312		274	961	152	+	665	592	388	287	5	805	38	+	$\left  \right $	1	241 1
grade astrocy	4300 K	3310	2665	379		4604	+		3844	4226		2653	3971	2122	2364	-	2617	2462	1682		-	:	13	9	4	2	6	5	+	+	66667		. 2	30 40				8		a a	7 73	4 61	+	88	* 8	8	8	2		61	+	$\left  \right $	1	2 60
-ugin enilbin	2 8 9	=	13	m		58	+	-	<u>p</u> g	40		17	25	<u>а</u>	# ¢	2 0	00	15	20		+	,	=	9	4	71 0	4	÷	+	+	33333 14.041		2	е е	, ,			ř		ß	7	2	+	00	2	4	e		3 6	7	+		-	
IIS THAC OF	12 KI	80	52	m	_	43	+	-	3/	50		16	19	- 1	2 2	2 0	14	15	30		-		39	28	4	23	49	43	+	+	644.5 22.958	ă	2	00				-		-	2	-	+			~	0	-	0	°	+			- 0
HISTIH3B, F	2869	2043	3160	377		7127	+	4144	5642	4720		2066	4590	162	3541	2000	3155	2991	5296		-	0 4 0 0	9229	6513	4444	8313	7194	10257	+	_	6667 4	Des	6 1	- 4				4		c c	5 5	8 10	+	9 0	• •		8	• •	0	6 2	+	$\left  \right $	104	5 157
cs for HJFJA,	13813	10305	11091	1524		22620			18331	17346		8905	16570	4157	11431	14	11014	10437	13453		+	47540	17835	12552		12024	13981	19822	+		12741.1	and and and	1256	915C	106+	2001		2317		2037	1663	1390	+	1522	5395	9086	1000	8200	6404	1434	_	-	145	8635
statistic	35 A A	35 A	35 A	35 A		35 A			8 4	35 A A		35 A	35 A	36 A	< <	32	35 A	35 A	35 A		_	A 20	× ×	35 A		4 4 9 5	35 A	35 A			Average	Deference	1	9	2 9	- R		8		-	- L - 9	1		98.5		- 9	8	8 8		1	-		-	
Deep sequer	2262521:	2262521	2262521:	2262521		2262521			2262621	2262521		2262521	2262521	2262521	2262621	2262521	2262521	2262521	2262521:		_	1020200	2262521	2262521:	404040	2262621	2262521	2262521				Douision	2603220	2603220	000000	1775007		2603220		260320	2603220	2603220		2603220	2603220	2603220	2603220	2603220	2603220	2603220			0605090	2603220
stary Table 3:	chromoso chr1	chr1	chr1	chr1	NA	chr1	NA	NA	Chr1	chr1	NA	ohr1	chr1	chr1	Chr1	chr1	chr1	chr1	chr1	N N	NA	NA	chr1	chr1	NA	Chr1	chr1	chr1	NA	NA	5	Checomore	chr6	chr6	NA	NA	NA	Chr6	NA	Cheft	chr6	chr6	NA	chr6	chr6	chr6	chr6	chell	chr6	chr6	NA N	NA	NA	chr6
Supplemer H3F3A	mHGA1	mHGA2	mHGA3	mHGA5	mHGA6 mHGA7	mHGA8	mHGA9 mHGA10	mHGA11	mHGA12 mHGA13	mHGA14	mHGA15	mHGA17	mHGA18	mHGA19	mHGA20	mHGA22	mHGA23	mHGA24	mHGA25 mHGA26	mHGA27	mHGA28	mHGA29	mHGA31	mHGA32	mHGA33	mHGA35	mHGA36	mHGA37	mHGA38	mHGA39		HISTIH3B Complete	mHGA1	mHGA2	mHGA4	mHGA6	mHGA7	mHGA8 mHGA9	mHGA10	mHGA17	mHGA13	mHGA14	mHGA15 mHGA16	mHGA17	mHGA19	mHGA20	mHGA21	mHGAZ2 mHGA23	mHGA24	mHGA25	mHGA27	mHGA28	mHGA29	mHGA31

1.7	1.0	1~	0.1	m1		-	_	-		~	17	1.0	-	1.1		- ,	D12	-		1×	1.2			-	100	6	20	017	m1	1.2	1.	1.		-		-		m I ·	101	-	D.	.12	ale	•	-	-	1
28.3199607	1 266476687	1.334092573	1.385806312	1.261440509				4.972430031	Variation %	9.568118213	0.551453693	7.481436904		0.42996153			0.461905402			1 440540704	A77230264	1 611325611			0.512854016	0.403791703	0.436113557	0.483123542	0.516365805	0	00101010400	0.509560567					0.605548514	0.521147235	0.547303718		42.9850301	V #10484873	1.01010101010	1.4002 vvvv			2.258687281
1.6800393	1 62163234	9.64963801	8.59795377	8.72264226				5.01246284	T%	022388895 0	079654422 0	055550412		07920344			035272776			07000010	061184633 6	091575092			065331722 0	046780746	067094393 \	052584875 1	04267486	0.00000000	0805828283	052974118 0					1.10139417	070904385	058640113		2.34809040	A READERSON	1 983954000000	0.000 to 0.000 to 0.000	T	ſ	823314572 1
88423121 7	74412867 00	15854551 90	68689439 96	556585754 90	T			703090101 90	C%	097951416 0.	98036212 0.	111100824 0.		067888663 0			087342112 0.		T	O ASCOTARO	0 0201000 00000 0	01575092 0		ľ	78398066 0.	081250769 0.	062900994 0.	115029415 0.	051209832 0	0	0.01707008 0	78199889 0.					067596113 0	067359166 0	075402145 U		5155864U/ 41	C DI	070416436 0	101001010010	t		086701358 1
35983298 0.	0.63766.4	35699178 0.7	98024143 0.7	16999602 0.6	+			56725624 0.7	G%	1777902 0.0	73763059 0.0	14785668 0.1		32869427 0.0			39290513 0.0	+	t	E0373E0 0.5	2633382 0.6	28175428 0 C		t	39124228 0.0	75760187 0.0	0611817 0.0	15509252 0.1	22481116 0.0	0	2736DR46 0 C	7838656 0.0					36558231 0.0	32883681 0.0	1331540 0.4		101/3210 0.0	10000001 000	4413789 0.6	5 01 00 00 mb	ſ		18671351 0.0
4974619 0.78	1800.652 0.60	2538843 0.5	909273 0.45	7855153 0.5	+			2614306 0.5	A%	3188179 0.4	4854631 0.3	185631 0.3		7003847 0.2	-		380946 0.3	+	+	C 0 0 2 0 2	2278085 0 34	8867439 0.42			8714598 0.34	9867044 0.27	5549964 0.3	1687646 0.3	8363419 0.4	100	0014802 0.4	9043943 0.3					9445149 0.4	7885277 0.3	0203026 0.4		1151599 U.J.	0.0121000	2379611 0.3		t		4122816 0.3
384804 26.6	30 0 VE2024	339136 0.03	550858 0.11	230004 0.02	+		_	888335 3.71	1%	194448 99.4	827211 99.4	689072 99.5		944331 99.5	-		116904 99.5	+	+	46/774 00 EI	662782 00 K	0.00 TANACO		+	665861 99.41	159301 99.50	363797 99.5	292438 99.5	802458 99.41	0 Dereas on a	RARG7 00 40	703194 99.45					697085 99.3	452193 99.4	134046 55.4		U. 10 1905 00 10 10	17 00 12V	648097 09.5	040031 040	╞		084983 97.7
81759 39.50	19091 56 22	49589 54.67	91907 54.88	79148 54.94	+		_	73047 53.13	C% R2	87507 0.011	36316 0.039	02938 0.024		14777 0.033			55872 0.015	+	+	10107 0023	36907 0.028	50015 0.047		+	99758 0.032	72867 0.022	86799 0.029	05883 0.026	34972 0.012	000 0 000	75714 0.042	90308 0.022					82524 0.050	35658 0.035	34048 0.020		06942 20.91	TANDE D DATE	77422 0.017	1100 22611	+		20686 0.899
6668 0.2701	7642 0 2740	9757 0.2928	4226 0.2814	2372 0.2347	+		_	1053 0.2401	% R2(	7563 0.0251	8793 0.0306	2834 0.0216		2102 0.0113	_		4569 0.0201	+	+	12 0 0 4 4 7	1010 0.01122	522 0.0148		+	8579 0.0097	0094 0.0147	8986 0.0083	1181 0.0230	1787 0.0085	0 0000	2852 0.0104	8434 0.0100					3873 0.0140	7498 0.0106	0402 0.0201		2728 0.0080	2000 0.0083	7303 0.0161	10100	╞		9723 0.017
138 0.74504	585 0 56002	422 0.56942	977 0.48178	538 0.56108	-		_	818 0.54280	1 R2 G	672 0.22668	486 0.19300	209 0.15739		879 0.147090	_		377 0.17300	+	+	102 0 15100	447 0.17530	70020 120		+	653 0.19272	072 0.13788	28 0.14676	141 0.16104	693 0.21764	132 0 1001	845 0 21422	822 0.19423					18 0.22250	314 0.20207	122 0.209456		275 0.24040V	TARAGE O AND	528 0.17648	000 0.11 0100	+		618 0.17784
26 14.87637	52 0 024232	64 0.016269	19 0.070372	22 0.019896				49 2.067856	R2 A%	48 49.18000	11 49.21111-	34 49.19606		08 49.80764			72 49.24919	_	+	AD 2005.4	51 49 24040	45 49 33660			61 49.19478	45 49.48418	96 49.029Z	38 49.39034	02 49.02914	47.16961	13 40 24266	25 49.20538					85 49.2522	93 49.36363	64 49.240rr		43 28.14 rou	AB 40 23676	71 49 401430	01101-01-01 1 J			69 48.31973
1 32.176191	CA9201 CA 0	2 43.976246	2 43.712445	6 43.780342				4 41.873579	R1 T%	9 0.0111944	6 0.0398272	6 0.0308613		5 0.0452591			0.0201558			0.0769467	2 0.0306317	7 0.045500			8 0.0326658	2 0.0246214	5 0.0377405	2 0.0262924	0.0298724	0 0 0 0 0	4 0.0467417	1 0.0302709					0.0506970	0.0354521	0.03355120		1/0024-12 0	A DAARDAR	4 0.0750014	* 0.06 mm			7 0.924229
0.61404945	0.43046089	0.42300496	0.48719753	0.42180660				0.46291705	R1 C%	0.07276390	0.06739989	0.08949789		0.05657388			0.06718624			0.04074440	0.06119462	0.07672507			0.06859830	0.06647790	0.05451419	0.09202353	0.04267486	0 0 0 0 0	0.06232228	0.06810958					0.05351355	0.05672350	0.0502050		0.24100/40	A 06646666	0.06323001				0.06949449
0.04093663	0.020600161	0.016269422	0.016239918	0.01591723				0.013924571	R1 G%	0.221090339	0.180754266	0.157392834		0.135777325			0.166285945			0 403004007	0.167237722	0.207000708			0.176395649	0.137880094	0.159349184	0.154468071	0.204839329	0 40400044	0.218127004	0.184148126					0.214054359	0.180806183	0.203805058		0.142811070	***********	0.167666021	0.10/000161			0.170821628
1.77337482	0.07466668	016269422	048719753	007958615				.644757487	R1 A%	0.25187507	0.23743145	50.322501		9.76238968			0.28890083			O DODAEAE	0.17335618	0.05197505			0.29235946	60.11448972	0.52627165	0.12653236	0.45448726	2.83018868	0.6647067	0.28505121					0.14223349	0.11521963	0.20360000		0.60650174	V. 10/00/00	0.10401300	U. 1060001 U			19.42149197
4825	0000	6721	10139 0	13807				463.916667	R2 T	4	13	80		3			0		T	•	14	10		T	10	0	7	80	0	0 9	4 1	. a					18	10	5		3082		10	2	T		88.0833333
33	40	36	52	59	Ī			.58333333 6	R2 C	6	10	7		-			12	1	T		. 4			t	0	9	2	7	2	0,	, u	4					0		5		32		0 11	-	t		583333333 3
91	60	70	89	141				69.25 31	R2 G	0 1	63	51		13			103	1	t	ce ce	96	80		ſ	59	56	35	49	51	0 4	55	22					19	57	15		11	0.	120	0.91			04166667 6.
1817		2	13	5				5.2083333	R2 A	17573	16063	15941		4402			29321	+	t	A744	24197	10024		t	15060	20098	11692	15028	11489	07	10001	19506					17487	13924	1/635		12220	10404	33591	18000	t		60.66667 65
0066	101	5406	9075	1002	+			1.166667 216	81 T	4	13	10		4	-		12	+	+	00	16	10	2	t	10	10	6		~	0:	10	12					18	10	12	-	100		17	-	t		398.625 169
75	- VU	22	06	90	+			666667 513-	10	26	22	29		2			40	+	+	27	30	34		t	21	27	13	28	10	0 20	16	27					19	16	18	-	0000	1	43	2	t	-	133333
5	-			-	+		_	566667 57.41	8	6	6			2			6	+	+	g	0	1 3			7	9	8	2	82		4 15						p		-	-			2		┝		62.5 26.08
38	-						_	83333 1.791.	A	56	86	06		88			40	+	+	6	201	23		+	96	54	61	52 4	23	20	12	34	-			-	03	36	-	1	200		88	0	╞	$\vdash$	54167
4 142	-	0 0	6	0				8333 171.70	Rea R1	2 179	1 163	3 163		\$ 439	-		16 299	+	+	. 272	246	202		+	3 153	5 203	7 120	7 152	118	12	3 128	2 199					5 178	141	2/1		1/201	101	340	2	+		1,125 17282
1221	1466	1229	1847	2513				12148.0	ce umber of	3573.	3264	3240		8831	-		5953	+	+	2470	4902	4040		+	3061	4061	2384	3042	2345	20	2567	3964					3550	2820	1000		19050	9696	5700	A1 01	-		35190
+ 9	-		+	9				Average	a Referen	4	1 A	4 4		×			4	+	+	<		4			4	۶	4 1	4	4	< •		4					4	< •	<		< <			۲ -	-		Average
2603220	ancennac	2603220	2603220	2603220					he Position	2604572	2604572	2604572		2604572			2604572			DEDAE79	2604672	2504572			2604572	2604572	2604572	2604572	2604572	28045/2	2604672	2604572					2604572	2604572	2004012		2004312	SECART?	2504672	400TULA			
r I	Л.	2	Pre	chr6	YN I	×	NA		omoson	chr6	chr6	chr6	NA	chr6	NA	NA	chr6	NA	N	and a	cheli	cheft	NA	NA	chr6	chr6	chr6	chr6	chr6	chris	Chel	chr6	NA	NA	NA	NA	chre	chr6	Chro	NV.	Chro	ohell	cheft	NA	NA	NA	
chr6	AN	5 5	°	4	1	ļ			Chr	L	L	μ			_	4	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		_	-	+	+	+	+	-	H	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	⊢	4

Average total 20026.45833

Supplementary Table 3.4: Significant copy number gains seen in candidate genes of interest across 98 high-grade astrocytomas.

FGFR1																																																																
ACVR1													10110																																																			
0024																																													TRUE																			
P4RP1																			1010																																													
PDGFA																																TRUE															10.02	TRUE																
KGF2																					10.02	1 14/12																		10.00	11/12								TRUE															
HGF																																																												TRUE				
CCND3																																																																
CCND1																																													TRUE																			
CDK6						TRUE				TRUE			TOLIC	10111			TRUE										T																										TRUE	TRUE			TRUE			TRUE				
PINCEN																																																				TRUE	-											
AKT3																																																																
ER684													TOLIC	TRUE	T												Ι					T																				T			T		Ι							
ICF1R															T												T					T																				T		TRUE	T		Ι							
2001																																																																
AK 11										TRUE																																																						
PIRCHO																																																																
NULCO															T												Τ					T														TRUE						T	Γ		T		Ι							
PIK.80-28															T		T										T			Γ	TRUE	T										TRUE										T	Γ		T		T							
PDCF8																																																		TRUE														
MPCG1																																																																
COM																					TRUE	TRUE						TRUE					TRUE	TRUE	TRUE					1010	TRUE		TRUE			TRUE		TRUE		TRUE											TRUE			
KHAS		Γ													T				Ι								T			Γ		T												Ι		Ι						T	Γ		T		T		Ι					
CONDZ		TRUE									TRUE				T		Ι	TRUE	Ι								T		TRUE	Γ		T				TRUE			TRUE					Ι		TRUE				TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	10011		T	1	TRUE		Τ		TRUE			
MILL																										1000	TRUE				TRUE																																	
MET					TRUE		TRUE															Γ	TRUE		Π	-	TRUE																			TRUE			TRUE															
EGHK										TRUE							TRUE				TRUE	IKUE									TRUE	TRUE								10.004	TRUE								TRUE			TRUE		TRUE	TRUE		T							
PDGHKA							TRUE						TDIK									TRUE				1000	TRUE		TRUE	TRUE				TRUE						TRUE								TRUE								TRUE	TRUE			TRUE		TRUE		TRUE
DZWYCH																											T																														T							
WOW!																						Γ			Π	I	T				TRUE		Π									TRUE																						
SAMPLE ID HGA-HGG10	HGA-HGG14	HGA-HGG7	4JOMA 1	HGA-HGA27	HGA-HGG34 HGA-HGG3	HGA24 HGA-HGG32	HGA-HGG35	HGA-HGG6	HGA9	SLIOMA 2	HGA-HGG13	HOA-HOOS	LIOMA 4	HOA HOG16	LIOMA 5	HGA-HGG1	HCA-HCG9 HCA-HCG19	LIOMA 7	HGA-HGG31	HGA-HGG38	HGA-HGG21	HGA-Truco HGA-HGG22	LIOMAS	HGA-HGG4	IGA-HGG29	HGA28	HGA10 HCA29	LIOMA 10	LIOMA 11	LIOMA 13	4LIOMA 54	LIOMA 15 HOA HOA2	4 IOMA 16	LIOMA 17	HGA11	aLIOMA 19	LIOMA 21	LIOMA 22	410MA 24	LIOMA 25	LIOMA 27	4.10MA 28 4.10MA 29	LIOMA 30	LIOMA 31	SLIOMA 33	1,10MA 34	SLIOMA 36	4LOMA 38	ALIOMA 39	LIOMA 40	3LIOMA 42	LIOMA 43	LIOMA 44	4 JOMA 45	a IOMA 45	4.10MA 48	LIOMA 49	LIOMA 51	LIOMA 52 HGA34	HGA18	HGA25	HGA34	HGA31	HGA14

# Supplementary Table 3.5: Significant copy number losses seen in candidate genes of

interest across 98 high-grade astrocytomas.

14101																TRUE						
				TRUE																		
5	TRUE												TRUE		TRUE						TRUE	
	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE					TRUE							TRUE	TOLS			TRUE		
8		TRUE																				
-					TRUE						TRUE										TRUE	
				TRUE TRUE TRUE	TRUE													10.10	1000			
TRUE		TRUE		TRUE		TRUE					and a second	INVE	TRUE	TRUE				TRUE				
er lee				TRUE																		
							TRUE															
		TRUE			TRUE											TRUE						
TRUE	TRUE			TRUE				TRUE														
				190.6																TRUE	TRUE	
		TRUE				TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	18UE				TRUE			19UE 19UE				TRUE	
																					TRUE	
5					TRUE						TRUE		TRUE		TRUE			TRUE		TRUE		
				TRUE							TRUE						TRUE	TRUE				
	TRUE			TRUE														TRUE				
	TRUE	TRUE		TRUE	TRUE		TRUE		TRUE TRUE	TRUE		TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE TRUE TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE			
TRUE																						
							TRUE															
TRUE				TRUE												TRUE				TRUE	TRUE	
TRUE	TRUE	TRUE		TRUE		TRUE					Y	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE		TRUE			TRUE	
		TRUE		TRUE					TRUE	TRUE			TRUE							TRUE		
	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE																	TRUE	
00000	TRUE						TRUE															
TRUE		TRUE			TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE				TRUE		TRUE TRUE	TRUE				TRUE	
10A.H0.019 10A.H0.019 10A.H0.019 10A.H0.019	LIOMA 1 66A.HG612 66A.HGA27 66A.HGA27 66A.HGA34 60A.HGG34 90A.C4	0A-H0012 0A-H0017 0A-H008 0A-H008 0A-H008 0A-H008 0A-H008 0A-10 0AA 2 0AA 3	10A-H0013 H0A-H005 10A-H005	JONA.4 HGA36 GA.HGG16 JONA.5 JONA.6 JONA.6 CA.HGG1 GA.HGG1 GA.HGG1 GA.HGG1		IOMA 8 IOMA 8	0004.9 04.H0029 +0428 +0428 +0429	JOMA 10 JOMA 11 JOMA 12 JOMA 13	JOMA 14 JOMA 15 IGA HGC2	JOMA 17 JOMA 17 JOMA 18 HGA11	JOMA 19 JOMA 20 JOMA 21 JOMA 22	JOMA 24	JOMA 26 JOMA 27 JOMA 28 JOMA 29	JOMA 30 JOMA 31 JOMA 32 JOMA 32	JOMA 34 JOMA 35 JOMA 36	LOMA 37 LOMA 38 LOMA 40 LOMA 41 LOMA 41	LOMA 43 40A-H008 LOMA 44 LOMA 45	LOMA 47 LOMA 48 LOMA 49 LOMA 50	LIOMA 52 HOA34	HGA18 HGA25	10434 10423 10431 10414	1041

# Supplementary Table 3.6: SNVs and insertion/deletion variants in candidate genes

			-		
Sample ID	Gene	Transcript acces	sic Nucleotide varian	t Amino acid chan	ge Mutation type
mHGA1	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA2	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA3	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA4	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA5	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA6	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA7	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA8	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA9	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA10	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA11	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA12	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA13	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA14	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA15	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA16	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA17	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA18	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA19	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA20	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA21	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA22	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA23	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA24	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA25	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA26	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA27	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA28	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA29	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense

# of interest (continued on next 3 pages)

mHGA38	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA39	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA40	H3F3A	NM_002107.4	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA33	HIST1H3B	NM_003537.3	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA31	HIST1H3B	NM_003537.3	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA30	HIST1H3B	NM_003537.3	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA32	HIST1H3B	NM_003537.3	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA34	HIST1H3C	NM_003531.2	c.83A>T	p.(Lys28Met)	Missense
mHGA17	SETD2	NM_014159.6	c.3103C>G	p.(His1035Asp)	Missense
mHGA2	ACVR1	NM_001105.4	c.617G>A	p.(Arg206His)	Missense
mHGA31	ACVR1	NM_001105.4	c.772A>G	p.(Arg258Gly)	Missense
mHGA33	ACVR1	NM_001105.4	c.983G>T	p.(Gly328Val)	Missense
mHGA32	ACVR1	NM_001105.4	c.983G>A	p.(Gly328Glu)	Missense
mHGA34	ACVR1	NM_001105.4	c.1067G>A	p.(Gly356Asp)	Missense
mHGA27	FGFR1	NM_001174067.1*	c.1731C>A	p.(Asn577Lys)	Missense
mHGA26	FGFR1	NM_001174067.1*	c.1731C>A	p.(Asn577Lys)	Missense
mHGA26	FGFR1	NM_001174067.1#	c.2058_2060del	p.(Lys687del)	Nonframeshift indel
mHGA29	FGFR1	NM_001174067.1*	c.2059A>G	p.(Lys687Glu)	Missense
mHGA28	FGFR1	NM_001174067.1*	c.2059A>G	p.(Lys687Glu)	Missense
mHGA17	PDGFRA	NM_006206.4	c.983A>G	p.(Asn328Ser)	Missense
mHGA18	PDGFRA	NM_006206.4	c.1021G>A	p.(Ala341Thr)	Missense
mHGA14	PDGFRA	NM_006206.4	c.1630_1631insCAG	p.(Ile543_Val544insA	Nonframeshift indel
mHGA32	PIK3CA	NM_006218.2	c.1258T>C	p.(Cys420Arg)	Missense
mHGA2	PIK3CA	NM_006218.2	c.1633G>A	p.(Glu545Lys)	Missense
mHGA33	PIK3CA	NM_006218.2	c.3113A>T	p.(Tyr1038Phe)	Missense
mHGA25	PIK3CA	NM_006218.2	c.3140A>G	p.(His1047Arg)	Missense
mHGA9	PTEN	NM_000314.4	c.395G>C	p.(Gly132Ala)	Missense
mHGA28	PTEN	NM_000314.4	c.635-2A>C		Splicing
mHGA11	PIK3R1	NM_181523.2	c.1126G>A	p.(Gly376Arg)	Missense
mHGA24	PIK3R1	NM_181523.2	c.1338_1355del	p.(Lys447_Tyr452de	Nonframeshift indel
mHGA31	PIK3R1	NM_181523.2	c.1346_1348del	p.(Leu449_His450de	Nonframeshift indel

mHGA9	TP53	NM 000546.5	c.390_392del	p.(Asn131del)	Nonframeshift indel
mHGA12	TP53	NM 000546.5	c.404G>A	p.(Cvs135Tvr)	Missense
mHGA24	TP53	NM 000546.5	c.452 456del	p.(Pro152Arafs*27)	Frameshift indel
mHGA18	TP53	NM 000546.5	 c.469G>T	p.(Val157Phe)	Missense
mHGA17	TP53	NM 000546.5	c.464 465insCCCG	p.(Arg156Profs*17)	Frameshift indel
mHGA19	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.474delC	p.(Ala159Profs*11)	Frameshift indel
mHGA20	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.517G>T	p.(Val173Leu)	Missense
mHGA35	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.517G>T	p.(Val173Leu)	Missense
mHGA21	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.524G>A	p.(Arg175His)	Missense
mHGA22	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.721T>C	p.(Ser241Pro)	Missense
mHGA16	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.742C>T	p.(Arg248Trp)	Missense
mHGA18	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.742C>T	p.(Arg248Trp)	Missense
mHGA8	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.742C>T	p.(Arg248Trp)	Missense
mHGA11	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.742C>T	p.(Arg248Trp)	Missense
mHGA30	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.773A>G	p.(Glu258Gly)	Missense
mHGA10	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.784delG	p.(Gly262Valfs*83)	Frameshift indel
mHGA13	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.817C>T	p.(Arg273Cys)	Missense
mHGA11	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.817C>T	p.(Arg273Cys)	Missense
mHGA3	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.818G>A	p.(Arg273His)	Missense
mHGA15	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.818G>C	p.(Arg273Pro)	Missense
mHGA14	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.844C>T	p.(Arg282Trp)	Missense
mHGA38	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.472C>G	p.(Arg158Gly)	Missense
mHGA39	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.722C>T	p.(Ser241Phe)	Missense
mHGA40	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.659A>G	p.(Tyr220Cys)	Missense
mHGA25	TP53	NM_000546.5	c.916C>T	p.(Arg306*)	Nonsense
mHGA12	NF1	NM_000267.3	c.569T>G	p.(Leu190*)	Nonsense
mHGA28	NF1	NM_000267.3	c.3738_3747del	p.(Phe1247Glyfs*16	Frameshift indel
mHGA28	NF1	NM_000267.3	c.6687_6689del	p.(Val2230del)	Nonframeshift indel
mHGA27	NF1	NM_000267.3	c.6789_6792del	p.(Tyr2264Thrfs*5)	Frameshift indel
mHGA18	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.3100delC	p.(Gln1034Lysfs*16)	Frameshift indel
mHGA10	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.3168delG	p.(Lys1057Argfs*61)	Frameshift indel

mHGA24	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.3363delT	p.(Cys1122Valfs*8)	Frameshift indel
mHGA25	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.4552A>T	p.(Arg1518*)	Nonsense
mHGA28	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.5399T>C	p.(Met1800Thr)	Missense
mHGA26	ATRX	NM_000489.4	c.6719T>G	p.(Leu2240Arg)	Missense
mHGA1	CHEK2	NM_145862.2	c.444+1G>A		Splicing

\*FGFR1 mutations have been previously reported in Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013 annotated as:

mHGA27	FGFR1	NM_023110	c.1638C>A	p.Asn546Lys	Missense
mHGA26	FGFR1	NM_023110	c.1638C>A	p.Asn546Lys	Missense
mHGA29	FGFR1	NM_023110	c.1966A>G	p.Lys656Glu	Missense
mHGA28	FGFR1	NM_023110	c.1966A>G	p.Lys656Glu	Missense

#Novel FGFR1 mutation identified in this study with Jones et al, Nat Genet 2013 annotation:

	mHGA26	FGFR1	NM_023110	c.1963_1965del	p.655_655del	Nonframeshift indel
--	--------	-------	-----------	----------------	--------------	---------------------

Supplementary Table 3.7: Gross structural alterations in high-grade astrocytomas

according to H3F3A or IDH1 mutation show increased genomic instability in

## H3F3A G34R/V mutants

	n		average	
H3F3A.G34R.V		15	52.13	
ALL-K27M + IDH1		45	23.33	
pvalue				0.02997
	n		average	
H3F3A.G34R.V		15	52.13	
ALL-K27M		28	24.03	
pvalue				0.042
	n		avorado	
	П		average	
H3F3A.G34R.V		15	52.13	
IDH1		17	22.18	
pvalue				0.029

# Supplementary Table 3.8: Primer sequences utilized for targeted sequencing and

## quantitative PCR analyses in the study.

Gene	Methodology	Direction	Primer Sequence (5'-3')
TERT	Sanger sequencing	Forward	AGCACCTCGCGGTAGTGG
TERT	Sanger sequencing	Reverse	CACAGCCTAGGCCGATTC
ACTB	qPCR	Forward	CCAGTGGTACGGCCAGA
ACTB	qPCR	Reverse	GCGAGAAGATGACCCAGAT
ID1	qPCR	Forward	AAACGTGCTGCTCTACGACA
ID1	qPCR	Reverse	GAATCTCCACCTTGCTCACC
ID2	qPCR	Forward	GACCACCCTCAACACGGATA
ID2	qPCR	Reverse	CACACAGTGCTTTGCTGTCA
ID3	qPCR	Forward	GCGCGTCATCGACTACATT
ID3	qPCR	Reverse	CTGTCTGGATGGGAAGGTG
SNAI1	qPCR	Forward	GCACTGGTACTTCTTGACATCT
SNAI1	qPCR	Reverse	GGCTGCTACAAGGCCAT

#### 3.10 Connecting text from Chapter 3 to Chapter 4

# CHAPTER 3: Recurrent mutations in *ACVR1* in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytoma

То

# CHAPTER 4: Characterization of age-associated aneuploidy in pilocytic astrocytoma

Thus far in this dissertation, we have explored the mutational landscape of highgrade gliomas originating from distinct areas of the CNS and have shown that indeed these tumors appear to arise harboring diverse epigenomic alterations. We have largely employed the use of next-generation sequencing (NGS) methodology, as well as DNA methylation analysis to investigate this and have validated the functional impact of these mutations. Chapters 2 and 3 implicate H3K36 or H3K27 defects in cortical or midline tumorigenesis respectively. Mutations affecting the epigenome were prominent in both neuroanatomical compartments and associated with distinct partner mutations in specific areas. Neuroanatomical specificity of genetic alterations is also observed in the low-grade astrocytoma, pilocytic astrocytoma (PA). PAs do not naturally progress to higher-grade gliomas and when amenable to gross-total surgical resection, treatment can be curative. Strikingly, very rare cases of PA have demonstrated a similar constellation of mutations as those detailed for GBM in Chapters 2 and 3, harboring mutations in H3F3A K27M, FGFR1 and NF1 with all tumors with this profile, PA and GBM, being localized to the thalamus [118]. The most common genetic alteration observed overall in PA is KIAA1549-BRAF fusion resulting from tandem duplication at chromosome 7q34 that
overwhelmingly characterizes the majority of PA occurring in the cerebellum [117]. Recent reports have demonstrated decreased frequencies of this fusion in adults [101], and an increasing prevalence of numerical whole chromosomal copy number defects, termed aneuploidy, with age in PA [119]. Although its role in cancer is the subject of controversy, aneuploidy has been associated with the vast majority of human cancers to date [88]. In Chapter 4, we examine the whole chromosomal copy number landscapes of a large combined cohort of 222 adult and pediatric PA tumors to better characterize the aneuploid phenotype seen largely in older patients. We describe the association of aneuploidy with clinical and molecular parameters including major alterations such as *BRAF* fusions and mutations in PA. In addition, through an integrative approach, we perform robust differential gene expression and correlation analysis to elucidate novel molecular pathways associated with aneuploid tumors. We identify candidate genes associated with aneuploid PA that may underlie active promotion of or tolerance to numerical chromosome defects seen specifically in these tumors.

# CHAPTER 4: Characterization of age-associated aneuploidy in pilocytic astrocytoma

Adam M Fontebasso\*, Margret Shirinian\*, Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang, Tenzin Gayden, Marcel Kool, Nicolas De Jay, Karine Jacob, Noha Gerges, Huriye Cin, Hendrik Witt, Alexandre Montpetit, Sébastien Brunet, Pierre Lepage, Geneviève Bourret, Almos Klekner, László Bognár, Peter Hauser, Miklós Garami, Jean-Pierre Farmer, Jose-Luis Montes, Jeffrey Atkinson, Adam J Fleming, Sally Lambert, Tony Kwan, Andrey Korshunov, Uri Tabori, V Peter Collins, Steffen Albrecht, Damien Faury, Stefan M Pfister, Werner Paulus, Martin Hasselblatt, David TW Jones<sup>#</sup> & Nada Jabado<sup>#</sup>

\*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

<sup>#</sup>These authors are co-senior and co-corresponding authors.

### 4.1 Abstract

Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is the most common primary brain tumor in the pediatric vears. PAs can also occur in adulthood, however are extremely rare which has contributed to our lack of molecular insight into their pathogenesis in this age group. Recent studies have uncovered an increased propensity toward specific whole chromosomal copy number defects in older patients with PA as well as a decreasing frequency of KIAA1549-BRAF fusion transcripts; the most common genetic alteration occurring overall in PA tumors. In this study, we investigated whole chromosomal copy number alterations and global differences in gene expression throughout adult and pediatric populations with a specific focus on elucidating mechanisms associated with increased aneuploidy commonly observed in PA. Herein, we demonstrate across a large dataset of 222 tumors profiled for whole chromosomal copy number gains, that aneuploidy is a common feature of PA tumors, with 22.5% (50/222) of tumors overall showing at least one whole chromosomal gain. In addition, we confirm that the aneuploid phenotype is non-random and significantly favors gains in chromosomes 5, 6, 7 and 11 with statistical under-representation of chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 22 also observed. Adult PA more frequently demonstrated whole-chromosomal copy number gain than pediatric tumors, with the mean age of an euploid PA tumors being significantly higher, aged approximately 10 years more at diagnosis. Interestingly, across the dataset, aneuploidy and *BRAF* fusion appeared to be largely independent. Moreover, we analyzed genes and pathways aberrantly regulated in aneuploid tumors and identified significance of pathways including CNS development, genes involved in the unfolded protein response as well as the cell cycle. Although no overt differences were seen in

immunohistochemical markers of mutant p53, or senescence marker p16 immunoreactivity between aneuploid and euploid PA tumors that would account for the continued growth of aneuploid cells, we demonstrate that an *MDM2*-correlated 742-gene signature exists robustly in a large dataset of PA tumors, but not other pediatric gliomas. *MDM2*-correlated programs were largely enriched in loci located on euploid chromosomes and included genes involved in the unfolded protein response, cell cycle, chromosome segregation and others, suggesting a driver and/or reinforcing role in association with aneuploidy in PA.

### 4.2 Introduction

Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is a World Health Organization (WHO) grade I astrocytoma and is the most common tumor of the childhood years [167,138]. PA tumors, if amenable to gross total surgical resection, generally harbor an excellent prognosis [138,61]. PAs of the pediatric years most commonly occur in the cerebellum, however these tumors can be found throughout supratentorial regions such as the cerebral hemispheres as well as surgically challenging areas such as the thalamus, optic pathway, brainstem and spinal cord in a subset of cases [61]. PA tumors show a dramatic reduction in incidence with age [61], which has contributed to the lack of identification of molecular drivers specific to older patients with PA.

Recent genomic studies have elucidated numerous novel targets in pediatric lowgrade gliomas (pLGGs) including PA. To date, the most common genetic alteration occurring in PA tumors is represented by tandem duplication at 7q34 creating an in-frame fusion gene between the MAP kinase *BRAF* and *KIAA1549*, leading to constitutive activation of the MAPK pathway [121,122,117]. MAPK pathway activation has repeatedly established itself as a critical theme in PA biology, as these tumors have mainly been classified as a "single-pathway" disease [118]. With the identification of novel *RAF*-family fusions [122], *BRAF* V600E mutation [228], germline *NF1* mutation or deletion in neurofibromatosis type I associated PAs (reviewed in [117]) and recently identified fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 (*FGFR1*) hotspot mutations, tyrosine kinase domain duplications or fusions [118,291], virtually all PAs can be categorized as harboring an alteration leading to hyper-activation along the MAPK axis. Although in children, *BRAF* fusion frequencies occur in the vast majority of samples, lower

frequencies of fusion transcripts in adult PA tumors have been reported [101]. This suggests that other putative driver alterations or programs may also govern the molecular landscape of adult PA.

Aneuploidy in the form of whole-chromosomal copy number gains has previously been reported in PA tumors [119]. Of note, a non-random pattern of gains favoring chromosomes 5 and 7 most frequently, followed by 6, 11, 15 and 20 has been shown in PA tumors analyzed by array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) [119]. Interestingly chromosomal gains were identified at greater frequency in older patients with PA [119]. Further characterization of the significance of this phenotype, its relation to *BRAF* and MAPK alterations and the possible mechanisms underlying its development has however, remained largely unknown.

As such, we sought to investigate the genomic landscape of adult PAs utilizing a large combined dataset of 222 adult and pediatric PAs with whole-chromosomal copy number data, including tumors from previously published aCGH and SNP array studies [109,119,121,122,156]. Herein, we focus on the characterization of the aneuploid phenotype present in a large subset of PA tumors and describe its association with major alterations and molecular pathways in PA.

#### 4.3 Materials and Methods

### Sample Characteristics and Pathological Review

All samples were obtained with informed consent after approval of the Institutional Review Board of the respective hospitals they were treated in, and independently reviewed by senior pediatric neuropathologists (S.A., A.K., V.P.C., M.H.,

W.P.) according to the WHO guidelines [167,146]. Patients and tumor samples are described in Supplementary Table 4.1. A total of 280 patient tumors were included in our cohort, with copy number data available for 222 tumors, and KIAA1549-BRAF fusion/BRAF duplication data available for the majority of cases (n=268). BRAF mutations were as assessed by sequencing in 174 tumors. A large cohort of PA tumors (n=191) and normal brain samples (n=18) was utilized for gene expression profiling, assembling data from newly processed samples and previously published studies [234,218,118], utilizing the Affymetrix HG-U133 plus 2.0 microarray (Affymetrix), 139 of these tumors had available copy number information. Gene expression data was compared with other published datasets of glioblastoma (n=84) [190], pediatric highgrade glioma (n=53) [199], diffuse-intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) (n=37) [198], ependymoma (n=83) [116], normal brain [18], and normal cerebellum [220] as controls. A subset of 201 PA tumors were available for MDM2 SNP 309 sequencing. The cut-off age used between adult and pediatric age groups was 18 years. Both adult and pediatric tumors were obtained from the London/Ontario Tumor Bank, and from collaborators in Canada, Hungary, the United Kingdom and Germany.

### **RNA** and **DNA** extraction

RNA and DNA were isolated from tumor samples using the Qiagen RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit, Qiagen QIAmp/DNeasy DNA Mini kits respectively according to instructions of the manufacturer. RNA samples were stored at -80°C and DNA samples were stored at -20°C for further analysis.

### **KIAA1549-BRAF** Fusion Screening

RT-PCR to detect the three most common *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusion transcripts was performed as previously described [121].

### DNA hybridization and SNP analysis

For SNP analysis, DNA (250 ng) from PA samples was assayed with the HumanOmni1-Quad & Human Omni2.5 genotyping beadchip platforms according to the recommendations of the manufacturer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). For a subset of formalin-fixed-paraffin-embedded (FFPE) derived DNA samples (n=13, Supplementary Table 4.1), DNA was first rescued utilizing the Illumina FFPE restore assay kit (Illumina, Inc) and subsequently hybridized to the Illumina Omni2.5-8 v1.0 chip. These BeadChip platforms enable whole-genome genotyping of respectively over 1,140,419 (Omni1-Quad) and 2,379,855 (Omni2.5) tagSNP markers derived from the 1,000 Genomes project, all three HapMap phases, and recently published studies. Image intensities were extracted using Illumina's BeadScan software. Data for each BeadChip were selfnormalized using information contained within the array. Copy number data was also included from previously published datasets utilizing SNP arrays and array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) [119,46,109,121,122] with new analyses incorporating whole chromosome copy number profiling from 450K methylation arrays (Illumina) utilizing a previously described method involving a custom algorithm [250] applied to extract copy number information from [46,156]. In total, whole chromosomal copy number data was combined to yield a dataset of 222 tumors with numerical chromosome information to assess aneuploid status.

### Gene expression profiling and analysis

Investigation of the role of *MDM2* in a large PA dataset (n=191) [234,218,118] was performed utilizing the online R2: microarray analysis and visualization platform (http://r2.amc.nl). Tumors with available aneuploidy status information (n=139) were compared through differential expression analysis using ANOVA at a false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off of <0.001 and these results are displayed in Figure 3. We investigated genes correlating (absolute correlation) with the expression of *MDM2* at a stringent false discovery rate (FDR) corrected criterion of  $<1x10^{-15}$ , which resulted in 742 robust combinations used for further Gene Ontology (GO) analysis in the full dataset of 191 PA tumors and presented in Supplementary Table 4.2. In control glioma and normal brain datasets detailed above, absolute correlation with less stringent FDR<0.001 was calculated for genes correlating with *MDM2*. Representative heatmaps using transformed z-scores illustrating correlated signatures are displayed in Supplementary Figure 4.2a-c and were generated utilizing the R2 database tool.

### MDM2 SNP 309 Sanger sequencing

Sanger sequencing of the *MDM2* promoter SNP 309 was performed on 201 tumors using HotStart FastTaq KIT (Kapa) using forward primer: 5'-TGGTGAGGAGCAGGTACTGG-3' and reverse primer: 5'-CGGAACGTGTCTGAACTTGA-3' with the following PCR cycling conditions: 1 cycle of 1 minute at 96°C, 33 cycles of 10 seconds at 96°C, 5 seconds at 58°C and 1 second at 72°C followed by 1 cycle at 72°C for 30 seconds.

### Quantitative RT-PCR determination of MDM2 expression levels

Quantitative determination of *MDM2* expression levels was performed utilizing the Ssofast Evagreen kit (BioRad) with standard conditions indicated by the manufacturer at an annealing temperature of 58°C on the Roche LightCycler 480 (Roche) in a subset of 22 PA samples. Cycle threshold (C<sub>t</sub>) values were normalized to  $\beta$ -actin (*ACTB*) and a calibrator normal brain sample with wild-type (TT) *MDM2* SNP 309 genotype using the 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct</sup> method. The following primers were used:

5'-TCTCAAGCTCCGTGTTTGGTCAGT-3', *MDM2* forward 5'-ACCTTGCAACAGCTGCAGATGAAC-3', *MDM2* reverse 5'-GGCACCCAGCACAATGAAGATCAA-3', β-actin forward 5'TAGAAGCATTTGCGGTGGACGATGGA-3'. β-actin reverse

### Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry for p53, p16 and Ki67 was performed as previously described on euploid (n=1) and aneuploid PA (n=3) tumor slides [109].

#### Statistical analyses

Contingency (Fisher's Exact Test), unpaired, two-tailed t-test comparisons and one-way ANOVA were performed utilizing GraphPad Prism to determine *P*-values. ANOVA, absolute correlation and multiple test correction using false discovery rate (FDR) and Gene Ontology (GO) calculations were performed within the R2 online database module for gene expression analysis.

### 4.4 Results

# Aneuploidy is independent of BRAF fusion in pilocytic astrocytoma and is associated with adult disease and a pattern of specific chromosomes

We combined previously published datasets of whole-chromosomal copy number analyses of PA tumors [119,121,122,109] with newly derived copy number analysis of DNA methylation data [46] and assembled copy number data for 222 adult and pediatric PA tumors. In our dataset, whole chromosomal gain in at least one chromosome was common and occurred in 22.5% of PA tumors (50/222 tumors) (Figure 4.1a-d; Supplementary Table 4.1). An euploidy was represented in PA tumors occurring throughout the central nervous system, with a notable fraction occurring in surgically challenging areas such as the thalamus, hypothalamus, optic pathway, brainstem, 4<sup>th</sup> ventricle and spinal cord (Figure 4.1b). Aneuploidy was more common in the adult PA subset (45%; 20/44; P = 0.0002; Fisher's Exact Test) and in tumors wild-type for BRAF fusion (36%; 23/64; P = 0.0076; Fisher's Exact Test) (Figure 4.1c, d). An euploid tumors demonstrated an increased frequency (53%, 8/15) of BRAF mutations including V600E and insertional mutants (Supplementary Figure 4.1b; P = 0.0074; Fisher's exact test). The average age at diagnosis of patients with an euploid PA tumors was  $19.5 \pm 2.1$  years, compared to 9.9 +/- 0.8 years for euploid PA tumors, a difference of approximately 10 years (P<0.0001; unpaired, two-tailed t-test) (Supplementary Figure 4.1a). The frequency of individual chromosomes affected demonstrated a specific pattern across the 50 aneuploid PA tumors with the most commonly altered chromosomes in order of frequency being chromosomes 5, 7, 6 and 11 as reported previously [119]. Chromosomes 5, 6, 7 and 11 (P < 0.0001 respectively) were statistically over-represented in overall

events among the 50 an euploid tumors. In addition, chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 13, 14 16, 17, 19 and 22 (P < 0.05) were significantly under-represented across the background of events in the 50 an euploid tumors (Figure 4.1a).

# Aneuploid PA tumors do not show differences in immunohistochemical markers of proliferation or senescence

*TP53* mutations have not been recurrently reported as playing a critical role in PA tumorigenesis [43], with recent large-scale genome sequencing studies confirming their absence in these tumors [118,291]. p53 prevents the growth of aneuploid cells [253]. Given that cell cycle arrest and oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) pathways have been shown to be active in PA [110,209], we sought to investigate whether this was also true for a subset (n=3) of highly aneuploid tumors with at least 4 and up to 18 chromosomes affected. Immunohistochemical staining was performed for p53, p16 and Ki67 and was compared to a control euploid PA sample (Figure 4.2). No significant differences were observed for immunopositivity across these markers.

## Comparative gene expression analysis identifies specific pathways aberrantly regulated in aneuploid tumors

The continued growth and maintenance of aneuploid cells has been associated with aberrant activity of a subset of molecular pathways. To best assess gene expression programs active or inhibited in aneuploid PA tumors, we utilized a large dataset of 139 tumors with available aneuploidy status (aneuploid PA n=30, euploid PA n=109). We performed supervised analysis within the R2: microarray analysis and visualization

platform (http://r2.amc.nl) between these two tumor subgroups and identified differential expression of 503 genes (FDR<0.001, ANOVA). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of this list of 503 genes revealed significance of molecular pathways including GO terms such as central nervous system development (GO: 7417), cell cycle arrest (GO: 7050), G1/S transition of the mitotic cell cycle (GO: 82) and unfolded protein binding (GO: 51082) (Figure 4.3a). Mapping of these 503 genes to their respective chromosomal locations revealed enrichment of whole chromosomes 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 19 and specific chromosome arms (Figure 4.3b). Candidate genes also significantly de-regulated between aneuploidy and euploid PA tumors included *MDM2* (Figure 4.3c) encoding the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2, and polo-like kinase 2 (*PLK2*) (Figure 4.3d) which were strongly correlated with each other overall in PA tumors ( $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ , Figure 4.3e).

## *MDM2* overexpression is associated with an euploid PA, age and SNP 309 GGgenotypes

Utilizing the R2 database tool, we wanted to investigate *MDM2* expression comparatively across overall PA, as well as other gliomas including high-grade tumors and control brain samples. Across multiple gene expression datasets including PA tumors, glioblastoma (GBM), pediatric high-grade glioma (pHGG), diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG), ependymoma (EP) and normal brain (NB) and cerebellum (CB) samples, aneuploid PA tumors and PA tumors in general, demonstrated the highest overall levels of *MDM2* expression (Figure 4.4a). In a set of 22 PA tumors, along with one control normal brain sample, we examined *MDM2* expression via quantitative PCR (qPCR) between adult and pediatric tumors (Figure 4.4b). *MDM2* expression was

approximately 2-fold higher in adult PA tumors than control normal brain and significantly elevated compared to pediatric PA (P = 0.0296; unpaired, two-tailed t-test). Although a modest increase, even slight elevations in MDM2 expression have been reported to accelerate tumorigenesis in association with the MDM2 promoter SNP 309 [22,207]. We subsequently sequenced SNP 309 in 201 PA tumor samples to determine any association of genotype with expression of MDM2 and aneuploidy (Figure 4.4c, d and Supplementary Table 4.1). We first correlated *MDM2* expression determined by gPCR in the 22 PA samples described above and confirm that MDM2 expression in PA tumors indeed correlated strongly with genotype, with tumors homozygous for the SNP 309 G-allele (MDM2 GG) demonstrating approximately 3-fold elevated expression of *MDM2* compared to a normal brain sample harboring the *MDM2* TT-genotype and significantly increased compared to PA tumors with TG- and TT-genotypes (P = 0.0069; one-way ANOVA; Figure 4.4c). MDM2 SNP 309 genotypes were associated with differential frequencies of an euploid tumors, with 36.7% of MDM2 GG tumors demonstrating aneuploidy compared to 27.0% and 17.7% for TT and TG genotypes respectively. Aneuploid PA tumors demonstrated an MDM2 G-allele frequency of 43%, compared to 40% for euploid tumors (Supplementary Table 4.1).

#### MDM2-correlated gene signatures are robust in pilocytic astrocytoma

Utilizing the online R2 database tool (<u>http://r2.amc.nl</u>) we analyzed genome-wide gene expression correlations with *MDM2* (absolute correlation, FDR<0.001) in PA and other gliomas to determine candidate programs that may lead to aneuploidy in these tumors. Several thousand genes correlated very strongly with the expression of *MDM2* 

within the PA dataset (8930 genes at FDR<0.001). Interestingly in other publicly available glioma datasets including pediatric high-grade gliomas [199], DIPGs [198] and ependymomas [116] such correlations with MDM2 expression do not exist, with very few genes passing FDR criteria (FDR<0.001) (Supplementary Figure 4.2a-c). To best elucidate the molecular pathways most significantly in association with MDM2 expression in PA, we increased the stringency of our absolute correlation statistics by several orders of magnitude (FDR $<1x10^{-15}$ ) and performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (Supplementary Table 4.2). Strikingly, this analysis revealed 742 combinations significantly correlated with MDM2 expression in PA and significant GO terms corresponding to unfolded protein response (GO: 30968), unfolded protein response, activation of signaling protein activity (GO: 6987), cell cycle (GO: 7049), cell cycle arrest (GO: 7050), cell cycle process (GO: 22402) chromosome segregation (GO: 7059) and others (Supplementary Table 4.2). Utilizing the R2 chromosome mapping tool we mapped the 742-gene signature to respective chromosomal positions to determine any specific enrichment across the genome (Figure 4.5a, b). Correlated genes appeared to be over-represented across the q-arm of chromosome 5 (P = 0.0076) as well as overall on chromosomes 12 (over-represented) and 20 (under-represented, P = 0.0068 and 0.0032respectively for chromosomes 12 and 20).

### 4.5 Discussion

Pilocytic astrocytoma (PA) is the most common primary brain tumor of childhood, and if arising in surgically accessible regions, is amenable to gross total resection and excellent patient survival. In adults, the molecular mechanisms leading to

PA tumorigenesis have been largely uncharacterized due to the rarity of the disease in this patient population. Studies over the past few years have placed alterations along the MAPK pathway at the core of PA tumorigenesis through a variety of hits [117]. The most frequent of these, and the most common genetic alteration occurring overall in PA is tandem duplication at chromosomal region 7q34 resulting in formation of a fusion gene involving KIAA1549-BRAF that has been shown to occur in lesser frequency with increasing patient age [101]. Phenomena outside of MAPK axis studies have gone largely under-investigated in PA tumors. Aneuploidy was first reported in association with higher patient age in PA tumors in 2006 [119], prior to the first reports of BRAF fusion, and has remained an uncharacterized phenotype in PA. Herein, we report the whole chromosomal copy number of 222 adult and pediatric tumors and confirm in a large dataset, that aneuploidy is associated more frequently with adult PA and tumors with patients aged, on average, 10 years older than those with euploid genomes (Figure 4.1a-d and Supplementary Figure 4.1). The association of an euploidy with increasing age has been identified in cancer, including brain tumors, and other neuropathologies such as Alzheimer's disease but mechanisms leading to its formation and its role in etiology of disease have remained unclear [66]. Aneuploidy can be identified in normal developing and adult mammalian brain, albeit at different frequencies (reviewed in [66]). Work in the developing mouse brain (E11-E15) utilizing Spectral Karyotyping (SKY) has identified a high combined frequency across all chromosomes demonstrating aneuploidy in 33% of embryonic cortical neuroblasts [213]. This frequency (33%) was also identified in a proportion of mitotic cells of the P5-P10 subventricular zone (SVZ) demonstrating that in developing mouse brain, mosaic aneuploidy exists in a variety of brain regions

[134]. Additional work in neuronal progenitor cells (NPCs) derived from P0 and P7 mouse cerebellum revealed 15-20% aneuploid cells [277]. Studies in different adult brain regions including the frontal cortex demonstrate substantially lower aneuploid frequencies overall in mature cells (3-5%), nevertheless illustrating aneuploidy as a common feature of even the developed CNS [214]. Strikingly, further study of aging mouse brain revealed a differential chromosome-specific sensitivity of different brain regions to aneuploidy [67]. Faggioli and colleagues utilized two-color FISH to determine that within a random selection of murine chromosomes 1, 7, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19 and Y, chromosomes 7, 18 and Y were most involved in aneuploidy [67]. Comparing young (4month) and old (28-month) mice, they identified a 2-fold increase in aneuploidy (2.3% overall per chromosome tested) in older mice [67]. Moreover, individual analysis revealed that neuronal nuclei antigen (NeuN) negative cells (non-neuronal cells i.e. glia) and not neuronal cells demonstrated a significant age-related increase in aneuploidy [67]. The studies described above were performed in cells derived from cerebral cortex, with further comparisons in mouse cerebellum revealing lack of age-related increases in accumulation of an euploidy [67]. Our study involved a comprehensive dataset of PA tumors located throughout the CNS and identified aneuploidy within tumors originating in diverse brain regions, including surgically problematic and functionally sensitive structures such as the optic pathway, thalamus, hypothalamus, brainstem and spinal cord (Figure 4.1b). In addition, a substantial enrichment of human chromosomes 5, 6, 7 and 11 were seen (Figure 4.1a). Although difficult to assess, murine chromosome 7 seen as preferentially gained by Faggioli and colleagues [67], features elements homologous to human chromosome 11 (amongst many others), gained frequently in PA tumors (NCBI

### Homology Maps URL:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/homology/maps/mouse/chr7/). Although speculative, it is tempting to hypothesize that the specific chromosomes seen in agerelated aneuploidy may feature genes actively promoting, or allowing tolerance to carrying an extra complement of chromosomes. The lack of age-related increases in aneuploidy in cerebellar cells is supportive of our observation in PA tumors. PA tumors overwhelmingly occur in the cerebellum and are often associated with BRAF fusion in this region (reviewed in [117]). Although we do identify 42% of an euploid tumors as occurring in the cerebellum, the proportion of aneuploidy observed in non-cerebellar PA is substantial (>50%) which are otherwise rare locations for PA in general (Figure 4.1b). BRAF expression and signaling is required for normal development of the cerebellum and hindbrain structures, and cellular and murine studies of KIAA1549-BRAF fusion show preferential growth selectivity of fusion expression in cerebellar neural stem cells [132,133]. Interestingly, an inverse relationship between BRAF and aneuploidy has been described, with studies demonstrating inactivation of BRAF driving aneuploidy through expression of the related CRAF (encoded by *RAF1*) [125]. Within our dataset, aneuploid tumors demonstrated a statistically significant decreased frequency of *BRAF* fusion than euploid tumors (Figure 4.1d). No statistically significant differences are seen in *RAF1* expression between an uploid and euploid tumors in our dataset however (data not shown), illustrating that future studies are necessary to elucidate the molecular implications of *BRAF* fusion in PA and a relationship with an euploidy. Strikingly, aneuploid PA tumors were associated with higher frequencies of *BRAF* mutations including V600E and insertional mutants (Supplementary Figure 4.1b; P = 0.0074;

Fisher's exact test). *BRAF* V600E has been shown to induce aneuploidy through spindle anomalies and supernumerary centrosomes in melanocytic and melanoma cells [52,51,165]. Whether this inverse association of *BRAF* fusion or activating mutation with aneuploidy is driven by subcellular expression patterns of these oncogenic drivers, brainlocation specific gene expression programs or MAPK independent functions in PA tumors will necessitate future mechanistic studies.

Faithful chromosomal segregation is critical to avoiding aneuploid defects in cells during cell division. An uploidy in the form of chromosomal gain is accompanied by substantial cellular stress of increased gene and protein expression, and is supported by studies that it can act as both oncogenic and tumor suppressor in nature [275]. Aneuploidy can be hypothesized to exist within cells that demonstrate mechanisms that actively promote chromosomal mis-segregation, spindle assembly defects or aberrant cell cycle mechanisms. In addition, cells that harbor up-regulated means of handling the increased gene and protein dosage with the presence of extra chromosomes are in position to tolerate and even drive genetic diversity with an euploidy. Herein, we utilized microarray gene expression analysis comparing aneuploid and euploid PA tumors and demonstrate 503 genes differentially regulated between these subgroups (Figure 4.3a-e). Significant molecular pathways aberrantly regulated between these groups through Gene Ontology (GO) analysis included CNS developmental pathways, cell cycle and the unfolded protein binding (Figure 4.3a). As discussed above, aneuploid PA tumors were commonly located in non-cerebellar regions that are more rare and difficult to treat surgically (Figure 4.1b). Aberrant regulation of developmental pathways between these tumor subgroups suggests potential involvement of developmental genes in driving or

tolerating aneuploidy. Interestingly, and detailed above, differential sensitivity of different brain regions to chromosome-specific aneuploidy related to aging has been shown [67]. Whether this points to unique cellular origins of an uploid PA tumor cells, or these developmental genes are programs re-activated from inherent early mammalian developmental stages which demonstrate an euploidy and proposed to underlie cellular diversity of the CNS (reviewed in [66]), remains to be further studied. Significance of pathways involved in unfolded protein binding illustrates that aneuploid PA tumors harbor mechanisms to tolerate stress accompanying extra chromosomal content. Studies in yeast strains demonstrate that aneuploidy causes proteotoxic stress including accumulation of proteins more challenging to fold [195]. The ability to tolerate this feature through modulation of pathways that may alleviate proteotoxic stress may permit unadulterated growth of an euploid cells. With studies demonstrating that an euploidy may represent a large-scale "stress-inducible mutation" [41], significance of unfolded protein binding pathways illustrates that aneuploid PA tumors are in a better position for phenotypic evolution and adaptation. Hyper-active components of the cell cycle can undoubtedly contribute to mitotic checkpoint dysregulation, continued cell growth and ultimately aneuploid defects [88]. Polo-like kinases, including PLK1 and PLK2 are critical regulators of cell division [130,153,24]. PLK2 is required for proper centriole and centrosome duplication [153,24]. PLK2 was shown to be over-expressed in aneuploid PA tumors (Figure 4.3c) and presents an interesting candidate for promotion and reinforcement of an uploidy notably based on its chromosomal 5q location. Not surprisingly, many genes differentially regulated between aneuploid and euploid tumors mapped to common trisomic chromosomes 5, 6, and 7, with striking enrichment seen on

the q-arm of chromosome 5 ( $P = 7.9 \ge 10^{-85}$ ) (Figure 4.3b), the most frequent chromosome gained across PA tumors. With such striking frequency, it is clear that chromosome 5 gains are not only well-tolerated in PA tumors, but may actively reinforce aneuploidy phenotypes based on candidate mapping of genes including *PLK2*. Although a preliminary hypothesis, this is supported by chromosome 5 gain being found in samples with a single isolated gain as well as in those with multiple gains, showing it as an early aneuploid defect (Figure 4.1a).

Expression of MDM2 was found to be significantly elevated in aneuploid PA tumors (Figure 4.3c and 4.4a). Furthermore, expression of PLK2 correlated strongly with *MDM2* (Figure 4.3d). p53 and its regulatory pathways play a critical role in limiting the progression of an euploidy and preserving the nature of diploid human cells [253]. Three major genes and their gene products comprise the central control of the p53 regulatory pathway these include MDM2, MDM4, USP (also known as HAUSP) [27,128]. MDM2 is upregulated by p53 as an increase in p53 levels leads to increased transcription of *MDM2*, for which the product degrades p53 by ubiquitylation, decreasing its levels resulting in a negative feedback loop [178,208]. Given the lack of reported TP53 mutations in PA tumors even in large genome-sequencing studies [118,291] and lack of differential immunopositivity seen by IHC in an euploid tumors (Figure 4.2), increased MDM2 expression in aneuploid PA tumors may underlie inhibition of p53 function and subsequent continued growth of an euploid cells. Moreover, MDM2 expression is highest in PA tumors compared to other gliomas and control brain samples (Figure 4a). Increased *MDM2* expression has been associated with a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in its promoter leading to enhanced Sp1 transcription factor binding and subsequent

expression [22]. We sequenced this promoter SNP, termed SNP 309 and identified a slightly elevated allele frequency within an euploid PA tumors of approximately 43% compared to the general population (Minor allele frequency (MAF): 36.9% from the 1000 Genomes project, dbSNP, URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp), correlating with an increased prevalence of patients with homozygous GG genotypes (26%) within the aneuploid dataset (Figure 4.4d). Expression correlated well with SNP 309 genotype through qRT-PCR, with MDM2 GG tumors demonstrating the highest expression (Figure 4.4c). Herein we have shown that a statistically robust 742-gene signature (FDR<1 x 10<sup>-</sup> <sup>15</sup>) of genes correlated with *MDM2* expression exists in a large dataset of 191 PA tumors, but not other gliomas including adult glioblastoma, pediatric high-grade gliomas, diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and ependymomas (Figure 4.5a, b, Supplementary Table 4.2 and Supplementary Figure 4.2a-c). These mapped genes point to over-representation of genes on the q-arm of chromosome 5 (P = 0.0076), whole chromosome 12 and underrepresentation on chromosomes 20. MDM2 correlated genes mapping to chromosome 5q (including *PLK2*) supports a role for *MDM2* in early initiating aneuploid defects, notably given lack of correlated genes on other chromosomes commonly amplified in PA which would otherwise indicate their over-expression secondary to chromosomal gain.

GO analysis of the 742 *MDM2* correlated genes demonstrates significance of GO terms involving unfolded protein response, unfolded protein response activation of signaling protein activity, cell cycle, cell cycle arrest, cell cycle process, chromosome segregation and others (Supplementary Table 4.2). These data, notably based on the distinct specificity of *MDM2* correlations to aneuploid PA tumors and high stringency of gene expression analysis, suggests a central role for *MDM2* mediated programs and

aneuploidy in PA. As above, pathways involved in actively promoting cell cycle aberrations and chromosomal mis-segregation can cause aneuploidy, and those involved in tolerating its detrimental stresses, such as unfolded protein responses and subsequent signalling, can aid in harboring aneuploid phenotypes (reviewed in [88]). In our dataset, *MDM2* expression was higher in adult PA (Figure 4.4b). Mdm2 has been shown to increase age-related aneuploid defects in Mdm2 transgenic mice [171,271]. Interestingly, the effect of Mdm2 over-expression was shown to affect the rate of chromosome gain, but not loss, illustrating its effects in specific forms of aneuploid defects that we observe in our PA dataset. Moreover, earlier work demonstrated that the effect of Mdm2 on genome instability was p53-independent [23]. This supports other *MDM2*-correlated programs and downstream effecters as putative mediators or contributors to age-related aneuploidy in cancer cells and amongst brain tumors, specifically in PA.

In summary, herein we report the integrated genomic analysis of a large dataset of PA and characterize aneuploidy observed in a substantial proportion of tumors. These aneuploid tumors were frequently located in non-traditional PA brain regions and were differentially associated with *BRAF* fusions and activating mutations. Aneuploid tumors demonstrated distinct enrichment of expression of gene pathways mapping to chromosomes commonly amplified in PA and involved in CNS development and the unfolded protein response. This included higher expression of candidates such as MDM2 and PLK2 in aneuploid tumors. Aneuploid PA tumors demonstrated higher expression of gene expression of gene expression of mDM2. PA tumors in general distinctly harbored strong correlations of gene expression programs related to *MDM2* compared to other gliomas. Moreover, these programs mapped to chromosomes largely uninvolved in aneuploid defects illustrating a potential

mode of aneuploidy development. This is supported by their nature as genes involved in the cell cycle, faithful chromosomal segregation and the unfolded protein response potentially supporting proteotoxic stress associated with extra chromosomes. Taken together, our large dataset and integrated analysis has identified potentially intriguing targets for future mechanistic study of aneuploidy and its role in PA tumorigenesis.

### 4.6 Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to F. Bacot and D. Vincent and staff at the McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by the Cole Foundation and was funded by the Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR). A.M.F. is the recipient of a studentship award from the CIHR and an Award from the CIHR-Systems Biology Training Program of McGill University. M.S., K.J. are recipients of fellowships from the Foundation of Stars and the McGill Integrated Cancer Research Training Program. S.L. and V.P.C. are supported by the Samantha Dickson Brain Tumour Trust. S.M.P. is the recipient of the Sybille Assmus Award for Neurooncology in 2009 and N.J. is the recipient of a Chercheur Clinicien Award from Fonds de Recherche en Santé du Québec.

### 4.7 Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### 4.8 Accession Numbers

Gene expression data utilized herein can be access through the Gene Expression Omnibus

(GEO) at the following accession numbers:

GSE7696

GSE26576

GSE19578

GSE11882

GSE3526

GSE5675

4.9 Figures

Figure 4.1



Figure 4.1: Characterization of aneuploidy observed in pilocytic astrocytoma. a, Grid representation of aneuploid defects observed across 22 autosomes and chromosome X in 50 aneuploid PA samples identified in the study (upper panel), with corresponding frequency of specific gains, and their comparative significance represented as *P*-values (Fisher's Exact Test; lower panel). **b**, Donut representation of neuroanatomical distribution of aneuploid PA tumors demonstrating that a significant proportion occur in surgically inaccessible areas. **c**, Graphical representation of the increased aneuploidy observed amongst adult PA tumors (P = 0.0002; Fisher's Exact Test). **d**, Graphical representation of the largely independent occurrence of aneuploidy and *BRAF* fusion in PA tumors (P = 0.0076; Fisher's Exact Test).

Figure 4.2



**Figure 4.2:** Aneuploid PA tumors do not show differential immunohistochemical markers of proliferation or senescence. Representative Ki67, p53 and p16 immunohistochemical stainings for euploid (n=1, indicated in blue) and aneuploid (n=3, indicated in red) PA tumor samples.

Figure 4.3



Figure 4.3: Comparative gene expression analysis identifies specific pathways aberrantly regulated in aneuploid tumors. **a**, Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of 742 gene combinations significantly correlated at FDR<1x10-15 with MDM2 expression amongst 191 PA tumors. **b**, Chromosomal mapping of the 742 *MDM2* correlated gene combinations. **c**, **d**, Log2 transformed expression of candidates *MDM2* (**c**) and *PLK2* (**d**) demonstrating significantly increased expression within the aneuploid PA subgroup  $(n=30, P=3.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ and } 2.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ respectively, ANOVA})$ . **e**, Correlation of *MDM2* and *PLK2* expression in 191 PA tumor samples and 18 normal brain samples.





Figure 4.4: Elevated *MDM2* expression is associated with an euploidy, age and SNP 309 genotypes in pilocytic astrocytoma. a, Gene expression analysis of control brain samples and a diverse set of gliomas demonstrates that MDM2 expression is highest in aneuploid PA tumors ( $P = 2.4 \times 10^{-114}$ , ANOVA). NB=normal brain, CB=normal cerebellum, GBM=glioblastoma, DIPG=diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma, pHGG=pediatric high-grade glioma, EP=ependymoma, PA=pilocytic astrocytoma. b, c, qRT-PCR analyses of *MDM2* expression in 22 samples compared by age (b) and *MDM2* SNP 309 genotype (c). Fold changes values were calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method and P-values calculated using unpaired, two-tailed t-test (b) or one-way ANOVA (c). d, Frequency of MDM2 SNP 309 genotypes amongst aneuploid and euploid PA tumors shows an increased frequency of the SNP 309 GG-genotype in aneuploid tumors.

### Figure 4.5

а	b													
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 - 0 8 0 0 8 8 0 8 8 8 9 8 8 0 0 0 1 1 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22			W	/hole c	hrom			p arm				qan	m	
		chr -	Total Count C	Reg Count	%	pval	p Total Count	Reg Count	%	pval	q Total Count	Reg Count	%	pval
	cl	hr01 -	2163 6	6 3	3.1%	0.40	p 1158	44	3.8%	0.43	q 1005	22	2.2%	0.04
	cl	hr02 -	1431 4	6	3.2%	0.73	p 562	19	3.4%	1.00	q 869	27	3.1%	0.66
	cl	hr03 -	1230 4	8	3.9%	0.31	p 570	20	3.5%	0.86	<b>q</b> 660	28	4.2%	0.22
	cl	hr04 -	844 3	31 3	3.7%	0.64	p 250	2	0.8%	0.02	<b>q</b> 594	29	4.9%	0.04
	cl	hr05 -	967 4	6	4.8%	0.02	p 183	6	3.3%	0.94	<b>q</b> 784	40	5.1%	7.6e-03
	cl	hr06 -	1163 3	34 3	2.9%	0.39	p 657	16	2.4%	0.18	<b>q</b> 506	18	3.6%	0.82
	cl	hr07 -	1084 3	35	3.2%	0.78	<b>p</b> 355	12	3.4%	1.00	<b>q</b> 729	23	3.2%	0.74
	cl	hr08 -	776 2	24	3.1%	0.66	<b>p</b> 289	6	2.1%	0.22	<b>q</b> 487	18	3.7%	0.70
	l cl	hr09 -	870 2	27 3	3.1%	0.65	p 251	5	2.0%	0.22	<b>q</b> 619	22	3.6%	0.81
	cl	hr10 -	846 3	6	4.3%	0.16	<b>p</b> 201	8	4.0%	0.64	<b>q</b> 645	28	4.3%	0.18
	cl	hr11 -	1240 4	1	3.3%	0.89	p 437	14	3.2%	0.84	<b>q</b> 803	27	3.4%	0.98
	cl	hr12 -	1115 5	i4 4	4.8%	6.8e-03	<b>p</b> 305	14	4.6%	0.24	<b>q</b> 810	40	4.9%	0.01
li î	cl	hr13 -	437 1	4	3.2%	0.84	p	0	0%	-	q 437	14	3.2%	0.84
	cl	hr14 -	692 2	20	2.9%	0.48	p	0	0%	-	q 692	20	2.9%	0.48
N E	C	hr15 -	679 2	3	3.4%	0.99	p	0	0%	-	q 679	23	3.4%	0.99
u -	CI	hr16 -	928 2	8	3.0%	0.54	p 518	20	3.9%	0.54	q 410	8	2.0%	0.11
	CI	nr17 -	1257 3	56	2.9%	0.31	p 361	9	2.5%	0.35	d 886	21	3.0%	0.54
	CI	nr18 -	325 /		2.2%	0.22	p 80	2	2.3%	0.59	q 239	0	2.1%	0.27
	ci	nr19 -	1414 0		4.2%	0.07	p 596	4	3.1%	0.07	q 818	38	4.0%	0.05
	CI	nr20 -	259 9		1.3%	3.2e-03	p 222	1	0.5%	0.02	q 411	0	1.7%	0.00
	ci	hr22 -	200 0	2	3.1%	0.00	p 4	0	0.0%	0.71	q 550	0	3.1%	0.04
	CI	hr¥	820 3	2	2.470	0.19	P 333	14	4 29/	0.44	q 487	18	2.4%	0.19
		hrV	85 0		0.0%	0.08	p 333	0	0.0%	0.41	9 407	0	0.0%	0.70
	T	otal -	21807 7	37	3 4%	0.00	P 44		0.0%	0.21	441	-	0.0%	0.23
		vial -	21007 7	01	0.470	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Figure 4.5: Robust *MDM2* correlated signatures exist in pilocytic astrocytoma tumors. **a**, Schematic depicting chromosomal mapping of the *MDM2*-correlated 742 genes (absolute correlation at FDR<1 x  $10^{-15}$ ) in 191 PA tumors. **b**, Statistical representation of chromosomal mapping of *MDM2* correlated genes and respective *P*-values for whole chromosomes and individual p- and q-arms calculated using the R2 online database tool.



Supplementary Figure 4.1: An euploidy is associated with increased age and *BRAF* mutations in PA tumors. a, Higher mean age of diagnosis is observed in an euploid tumors, with a mean age of 19.5 +/-2.1 years and 9.9 +/- 0.8 years seen for an euploidy and euploid tumors respectively (P < 0.0001; unpaired, two-tailed t-test). b, Increased frequency of BRAF mutations observed in an euploid tumors (P = 0.0074; Fisher's exact test).

### **Supplementary Figure 4.2**



Supplementary Figure 4.2: *MDM2*-correlated pathways are not observed in non-PA gliomas. Heatmaps of transformed z-scores of genes significantly correlated with *MDM2* expression in ependymomas (a), pediatric high-grade gliomas (b) and DIPGs (c) at FDR<0.001.

### 4.10 Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 4.1: Clinico-pathologic and molecular characteristics of 280 pilocytic astrocytomas included in the study (continued on next 3 pages)



																																																																															Ι	]
11	F	AN	NA	E	TG	TG	10	2	AN	AN AN	L	TG	99	AN	AN	F	NA	AN.	W	AN 1	AN AN	AN	AN	TG	F	NA	99	NA	NA	AN	AN	2	AN .	WN N		AN	TG	AN	NA	E	T	TG	GG	AA	E	YN II		VV.	AN	10	2	50	NA	E	TG	TT	TG	E	16		21	= F	200	S L	TG.	E	TG	E	L	L	TT	TG	TG	L	TG	L	L S	1G	\$	2
Euploid	Euploid	AN .	NA	Gain + 19 Gain + 19	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Envloid	Einhid	Euploid	Euploid	Gain: +7	Euploid	Euploid	Gain: +7	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid		Calmine E 47 40 410	Call: + 20, +1, +10, +10, +10, +10, -10, -10, -10, -10, -10, -10, -10, -	Finded	Europid	Euploid	Euploid	Gain: +5	Euploid	Gain: +4, +5, +7, +12	Euploid	Euploid				Euclosed	E upporte E	Eucloid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Gain: +5, +6, +7, +11, +15, +16, +X	Euploid	Gain: +7	Euploid	Euploid		Euploid	Euploid	Euclide	Calin: +7. +17		European	Euoloid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	NA	E nDA	Eucloid	Einhöd	Luprovid NA	Eucloid	Lap. 100	. AN	Eucloid	AN A	. ex	NA	NA	NA	Gain: +1,2	NA	NA	NA	Euploid	Gain: +1	Gain: +6, 11, 13, 20, X	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
WT	MT	M	M	IM	M	M	M	M	MA	NA	V600E	NA	NA	insTAC	NA	M	M	¥.	AN	NA	VEDDE	MT	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA .	MA N	VN VN	MA	NA	WT	NA	M	M	MT	V600E	NA	TW.	WI	WT N	WT	WT	M	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	NA	V600E	WI	WL	M	VEDIE	WT	WT	WT	NA	WT	W	WT	WT	NA	WT	M	M	WT	M	MT MT	MI	W1 I
KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	NOT TUSED KIAA1540 EVON 16-BDAF EVON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1049 EXUN 10-BRAF EXUN 9	NAMI 349 EAUN TO-BRAF EAUN 9	Not fire ad	KIAA1549 EXON 15-RRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 15-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 15-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 15-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXUN 16-BKAF EXUN 9	KIAA1549 EXUN 16-BKAF EXUN 9	VIAA1049 EXUN 10-BRAF EXUN 9	VIANDES EXON 10-DRAF EXON 11	KIAA1540 EYON 15-BPAF EYON 0	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	NUC INSER	VIAA1048-DNAF	VIAA4640-BDAE	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	NA	AN	AN N	E N	Not fused	NA	NA	NA	Not fused	VIAA1049 EXCIN 10-DRAF EXCIN 11	VIANDES EXON 10-DRAF EXON 11	NIMMID48 EXUN 10-DRAF EXUN 8	Not firsed	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 FXON 16.RRAF FXON 9	KIA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549-BRAF	NA	AN	NA	NA	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 15-BRAF EXON 9	Not rused	INOT INSEG			
Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Intratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Intratentonal	Cupratoriol	Infratantorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Intratentorial	Intratentorial	Intratentonal	Infratentorial	Infratantorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Intratentorial	C.ocotootoolol	Supraventorial Supraventorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Intratentorial	Intratentorial	Curvateriorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	If itratemonal
Cerebellum	Cerebral	Brain stem	Brain stem	Brain stem	Cerebral	Cerebellum	Cerebelium	Cerebellum	Caraballinn	Caraballim	Optic nerve	Cerebelium	Hypothalamus	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Hypothalamus	3rd ventricle	Cerebelium	4th ventricie	Atri ventrcie	Carabaltim	Right temporal lobe	Caraballum	3rd ventricle	Cerebelium	Cerebellum	Optic nerve	Left frontal lobe	Optic nerve	Optic nerve	Cerebelium	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Dicht corchallar hamienhorn	Carabaltim	Cerebellum	Brain stem	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Optic Pathway	Cerebellum	Cerebelium	Cerebellum	Deviated to be	Landahahana	Caraballum	Caraballum	Cervicomedullar	Caraballum	Caraballum	Cerebellum	Occipital lobe	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Brainstem	Thalamus	Cerebelium	Srd Ventrole Destation forms	Posterior rosse	Carabaltim	Caraballum	Caraballum	Caraballum	Suprasellar	Intraventricular	Thalamus	Spinal cord	Cerebellar	Suprasellar/Optic Pathway	Supratentorial	Cerebellar	Cerebellar	Cerebellar	Cerebellar	Spinal cord	Supratentonal	Cerebelar
5	14		ę			2	9	p.,	4 u	0 %		00	12	17	2	14	-	13	9		π¢	2 5	4	4	2	16	4	4	12	0.5	-	5	x 1		2 0		6	2	0	2	12	-	80	4	4	-	ŧ ç	2 6	24	4			9	, t-	13	11	6	4	16	0.0		7 133	4.48	16.64	4.06	11.58	0.91	14	12	13	13	7.83333	38	46	23	63	65	28	392	17
NA	NA	AN	NA	AN	AN	AN	Ą	AN AN	W.	5 u	u	L	W	u.	W	u.	M	×	W	-	5 2	5 4		W	W	W	u.	W	u.	W	W	×.		-	2	Eu	. u.	u	W	u.	u.	M	M	AN	AN.	AN AN	AN A	VN	AN	AN	AN	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA	NA	×		-		Eu			W	W	L	W	W	L	u.	u.	W	W	u. I	ч:	×	-	P.
Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Partiatrin	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Dadiatrio	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Dadiatrio	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Dodiatelo	Dadiatrio	Padiatric	Pediatric	Pacliatric	Padiatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Padiatrin	Pediatric	Pediatric	Padiatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	Adult	MOUN							
PA84	PA85	PA86	PA87	PA88	PA89	PA90	PA91	PA92	PA93	PAGE	PA96	PA97	PA98	PA99	PA100	PA101	PA102	PA103	PA104	PA105	PA100	DA108	PA109	PA110	PA111	PA112	PA113	PA114	PA115	PA116	PA117	PA118	PATRA	PAIZO	DA122	DA122	PA124	PA125	PA126	PA127	PA128	PA129	PA130	PA131	PA132	PA133	DA135	DA136	PA137	PA138	PA139	PA140	PA141	PA142	PA143	PA144	PA145	PA146	PA147	DA140	PA149	DA161	PA152	PA153	PA154	PA155	PA156	PA157	PA158	PA159	PA160	PA161	PA162	PA163	PA164	PA165	PA166	PA167	PA168	PA 109

		(0)							0				(1)		0													0				(1)	(1)	(1)	0															0		(1)	-																											
T	z	P		- *					T	L	F	1 L	T	z	μ μ	Ĭ	-	z		Ĕ	-		5		0	Ĕ	z	ō	Ĕ	-	Ĕ	T	Ĕ	Ĩ	ō	Ż	5	Ż	50	5	2	-								Ĕ	F	Ĕ	Ĕ	Ö	Ĕ	5			0	Ö	Z	Ĩ			Ĭ	Ĩ			2			-		-	-	2		-	- 0	5
Euploid NA NA	NA	Gain: +4,5,6,8,12,13,14,17,18,20	NA	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Color: 44 2 2 4 2 42	Galm, +1, 2, 9, 4, 0, 12 Gain: 46.6.7 0.10.14 V	NA	Euploid	ŇA	NA	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	0 - 0 - 2 - 0 - 3 -	5 TO T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1	Fuzicit	Fundale	Euploid	+5+6++8+10+11+15+18	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Eupoid	Euploid	Euploid	71+11+140+0+	Ecologia	46 40 47 44		100000 ECUPACIO	Euroloid	Eucloid	Euploid	+5 +6 +11 +15 +20 +21	+5 +6 +7 +10 +12 +14 +18 +19 +20 +21 +22 +X	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Evolut	CUPROID	Europid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Eucloid	+4 +5 +6 +8 +11 +12	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Europeerse	Eurold	Firsters	Euclosica	Euporu	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Evented	Eupoid	Evented	Euploid	Euploid	+5 +6 +10 +11
WT WT WT	WT	MT	WT	W.	WI .	WL	WT	W	M	WT	WT	WT	WT		WT	WT	M	WT	M	M	M	WI .	M	WL	M	WT	NA	M	M	WT	M	W	M	M	M	NA	M	IN.	W	M	NA 11	W.	WT.	WT.	MT	VENCE	WT	M	M	WT	V600E	M	M	M	IM	WI	WI .	M	W	W	M	W	W	M	W	M	WT	M	WT	MT	w	WI.	N.	W.	- Min	M.	W.	M	M	W
Not fused Not fused Not fised	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 11	NUMITORS EXON TO-BRAF EXONS	VIAAEAD EVON 46 BDAE EVOND	NUMATORY EXCIN TO-DISAF EXCIN 9	KIAA1549 FXON 15-BRAF FXON 9	Not fused	KIAA1549 EXON 16-BRAF EXON 9	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	NIAA 1243-DICAF	KIAA1640.RRAF	KIAA1549.RRAF	KIA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	NA	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	Not fused	NUM 1049-DRAF	KIAA1049-BKAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	KIAA1D49-BKAF	VIA 4440 DDAE			Nov Detarbook	Not field	KIAA1640.RPAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused VIA A FEAD ED A E	VIAA 1243-DIVAF	KIAA1640.RPAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1640.RPAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1640.RRAF		VIA 1640-BDAE	KIAA1049-BKAF	KIAA1049-BKAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	KIAA1549-BKAP	KIAA1549-BKAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	KIAA1549-BKAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF
Supratentorial Infratentorial Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Intratechtorial	Currententerial	Cuprateriorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Infratentorial	Supratentorial	Infratentorial	Infra	Infra	Supra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Intra	Circle	Infra	Sunra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Intra	Supra	Inita	Inira	Intra	Intra	lafeo	lofen	lafea	Sunta	Sunta	Infra	Supra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Supra	Inte	Infra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	lafea	Inter	Intra	Supra	Intra	Supra	Intra	Intra	Intra	Supra	Infra
Supratentorial Spinal cord Supratentorial	Cerebellar	Cerebellar	Cerebellar Soland and	Spinali cord	Cerebellar	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Supratentorial	Cerebellar	Cerobellar	Cerebellar	Supratentorial	Cerebellar	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Optic chiasm	Optic tract	Cerebellum	4th ventricle	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Aut ventricle	Brainstem	Ontic tract	Optic chiasm	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Optic chiasm	4th ventricle	Brainstern	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Optic tract	3rd ventricle	Brainstem	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Thalamus	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Controlium	Bealentom	Distantem	Ontic teact	Thalamue	Caraballum	Optic nerve	Cerebellum	Optic tract	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Optic tract	Brainstem	Groubelli un	Cerebelluri	Caraballium	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Frontal lobe	Brainstem	4th ventricle	Carabalium	Cerebellum	Caraballum	Brainatam	Carabalium	Carabaltim	Comballian	Carabalium	Cerebellum	3rd ventricie	Cerebellum	3rd ventricie	Brainstem	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Parietal lobe	Cerebellum
40 48 24	23	33	24	8	44	2/	38	83	22	32	44	25	23	е,	m	-	4	7	15	~	= :	<u>e</u> u	. 4	- 52	15	4	-	2	m	15	3	9	6	e	9	9	p.	4		π.		5	, s			* ¢	2 9	- u	, c	σ	14	9	14	12	=0	5 4			9		-		12	21	4		. ¥	2 00	0	b +	- 4	<u>,</u>		13	4 4	-	- - -	71	14	20
Adult F Adult F	Adult M	Adult M	Adult M	Manut	V4114	V-ran	Adult	Adult F	Adult M	Adult F	Adult M	Adult F	Adult F	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric L	Partiatric	Adult F	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric	Podiatric	Pediatric M	rediatric	Pediatric	Podiatio F	Doubletion F	Douguido 1	Dodiatio M	Daviation E	Partiatric M	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric M	Pediatric M	Pediatric M	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric M	Pediatrio M	Partiatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Pediatric	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Adult	Pediatric F	Pediatric F	Partiatric F	Pediatric F	Pediatric M	Doublation II	Daviation 1	Pediatric	Pediatric	Pediatric r	Pediatric r	Pediatric r	Pediatric r	Pediatric M	Pediatric M	Adult F
PA170 PA171 PA172	PA173	PA174	PA175	PA1/0	DA170	DA170	PA180	PA181	PA182	PA183	PA184	PA185	PA186	PA187	PA188	PA189	PA190	PA191	PA192	PA193	PA194	PA193	PA197	PA198	PA199	PA200	PA201	PA202	PA203	PA204	PA205	PA206	PA207	PA208	PA209	PA210	PAZ11	PA212	PAC13	PA214	CL2A4	PAZIO	DA140	DA240	DA220	DA224	DA222	PA223	PA224	PA225	PA226	PA227	PA228	PA229	PA230	PA231	PA434	PA214	PA235	PA236	PA237	PA238	PA239	PA240	PA241	PA242	DA243	PA244	PA245	DADAD	DA247	PA24/	PA248	PA249	PAZSU	PA251	PAZ5Z	PA253	PA254	PA255

PA256 A	PA257 Pe	PA258 Pe.	PA259 Pe.	PA260 Pe.	PA261 Pe.	PA262 Pe.	PA263 Pe	PA264 //	PA265 Pe.	PA266 Pe.	PA267 Pe.	PA268 Pe.	PA269 Pe.	PA270 Pe.	PA271 Pe	PA272 Pe.	PA273 Pe.	PA274 Pe.	PA275 Pe.	PA276 A	PA277 Pe.	PA278 Pe.	PA279 Pe	PA280 P.e.
Jult F	latric F	latric F	latric M	latric M	latric F	latric F	latric F	tuk L	latric F	latric F	latric F	Satric M	latric M	latric M	latric F	latric F	latric M	latric M	Satric M	Suit F	latric M	latric F	latric F	Satric M
40	2	<b>Б</b>	2	e 1	14	=	10	22	17	2	2	12	-	2	4	2	\$	-	2	29	\$		2	0
4th ventricle	Optic nerve	4th ventricle	Brainstem	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	3rd ventricle	Cerebellum	4th ventricle	Optic tract	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	3rd ventricle	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Optic tract	Cerebellum	Cerebellum	Brainstem	Brainstem	Hypothalamus	Diencephalon	Diancenhalon
Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Infra	Supra	Supra	Sunta
Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	Not fused	KIAA1549-BRAF	KIAA1549-RRAF
WT	NA	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	V600E	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT	V600E	WT	WT	WT	V600E	WT	WT	WT	WT
Euploid	+4 +5 ++6 ++7 +8 +10 +11	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	4 +5 +6 +7 +8 +9 +10 +11 +12 +16 +20 +21	Euploid	+5 +6 +7 +10 +X	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	Euploid	9+ 5+	Euploid	Euploid	Euroloid
LL L	NA	99	TG	99	TG	TG	TG	TG	TG	TG	TT	11	CG	TT	TG	TG	TT	TG	AA	TG	00	TG	NA	NA
### Supplementary Table 4.2: Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of MDM2 correlated genes

# at FDR < 1e-15 (continued on next 3 pages)

Supplementary lable 2: R#	sene Ontology p-value	(GO) analysis of <i>MDM2</i> correlated genes at FDK < 1e-15	Gene Symbols
2745 16	58 9.40E-0	5 51234: establishment of localization	over 100 entries (70, 98, )
49	9 2.60E-0.	5 7269: neurotransmitter secretion	GLS, GRM4, SLC36A2, RAB3A, STXBP1, VAMP2, SYN1, SYN2, BRSK1
17	6 6.50E-0.	8 14047: glutamate secretion	GLS, MYO6, SLC38A2, RAB3A, STXBP1, VAMP2
161	32 1.60E-0	7 6886: intracellular protein transport	STMX, STUT, SEC24A, XPOT, STXTB, AP2MT, AP3S1, COPB1, SXX13, ARF.GAP3, ARFIPT, HIDT, APBAT, MYO6, SARTB, AP5MT, SXX6, ACD, STX5, AP 392, MAPA, TTK1
50	6 0.0	3 6888: ER to Golgi vesicle-mediated transport	SEC24A, SAR1B, TRAPPC1, SPAST, STX6, TMX1
38	7 2.80E-0	4 6892: post-Golgi vesicle-mediated transport	BLOC156, VAMP2, AP3B2, GBF1, SNAP23, NAPA, CLINT1
49	9 2.60E-0	5 7269: neurotransmitter secretion	GLS, GRM4, SLC38A2, RAB3A, STXBP1, VAMP2, SYN1, SYN2, BRSK1
38	7 2.80E-0	4 6892: post-Golgi vesicle-mediated transport	BLOC1S6, VAMP2, AP3B2, GBF1, SNAP23, NAPA, CLINT1
50	6 0.0.	3 6888: ER to Golgi vesicle-mediated transport	SEC24A, SAR1B, TRAPPC1, SPAST, STX5, TMX1
354	33 5.00E-0	5 15031 : protein transport	TRMS, APHGAPS, SCAMPE, ATRELR, RABE, ROUT, SERPI, NUPA; ARREZ, MIND; GHINE, RABBE, RANZ, SEGSIAZ, CCDGH, RAB3 A RABSILI FABEB RP2 ZIMATS STXRPI STXRPS TSMAX CEPDB0 ACTIMA VIPE, COGB, HOOKS, SNAPS3 RABIE PITPNMI
161	1 60F-0	7 RSR6: intra-cellular nuclein transnort	STAM2, SLUT, SEC24A, XPOT, STX1B, AP2M1, AP3S1, COPB1, SNX13, ARFGAP3, ARFIP1, HID1, APBA1, MYO6, SAR1B, AP5M1, SNX6, ACD, STX5, AP 1820 MAPA. TI K1
31	5 9.40E-0	3 6605: protein transport	MYO6, NUPPL, ERBEJP, YWHAE, SYNGR1
548	1 00F-00	4 33036 macromotientile forsitization	TRIMS, ARHGAP33, SCAMP5, TMED4, RAB21, MAPK8IP3, RAB28, ARFGAP3, GDI1, SERP1, ARFIP1, APBB1, NUP42, IRF3, ARR82, MDM2, ACHE, NND Schwies, RABB8, IPAN, VPS150;SEC61AA, CCDC91; ERB821, RAB31L1, RAB3B, RP2, ZMAT3, STXBP1, STXBP3, TSNAX, YY1, CEP Dan Activar VPE5, CDG8, IPD0X3, ATAMB, SAHA1R, DATDAM17, BAB3B, RP2, ZMAT3, STXBP1, STXBP3, TSNAX, YY1, CEP
354 3	33 5.00E-0	5 15031: protein transport	TRIM3, ARHGAP33, SCAMP5, TMED4, RAB21, RAB26, GDI1, SERP1, INDP43, ARRB2, IMD3, CHIMP5, RAB68, RAB68, PHAX, SEC61A2, CCDC91, RAB3 A, RAB31L1, RAB68, RP2, ZIMAT3, STXBP1, STXBP3,TSNUX, CEP280, ACTN4, YIPF5, COG6, HOOK3, SNAP23, RAB118, PITPNM1
317	100	2 6468- nrotein amino acid ohosohorulation	HIPK3, ADAM10, IRAK3, CHUK, NEK7, DMPK, MORC3, LIPE, SMAD1, MAP3K1, EIFZAK4, PAK2, CDK17, POLR2B, PRKAA1, PRKAR1B, RPSKB1, NEK4, STK3, TGFBR1, BBSK1 i ATS1, CDC429BB, TI K1
55	8 1.60E-0.	3 18105: peptidyl-serine phosphorylation	HIPK3, MORC3, MAP3K1, PAK2, PKD1, ATR, TAF1, TGFBR1
447	32 0.0	2 122: negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	TRIM28. NCOA2, DRAP1, EHMT2, DAB2IP, AES, ATN1, ELK4, EID1, GABPA, HCFC2, IRF3, MDM2, MEM1, SIRT7, MOSPD1, CTNNBIP1, BACH1, REST, S IKIL, SOX16, SP100, SREBF2, ZEB1, TD6, THR8, UBC, UBE2D1, YY1, CDC73, USP9X, CSDA
175	16 8.50E-0.	3 6367: transcription initiation from RNA polymerase II promoter	TRIM28, MED4, GTF2F1, MAZ, MEN1, POLR28, SKIL, TAF1, TAF2, TAF3, THRB, UBC, UBE2D1, USP9X, MED23, MED7
447	32 0.0	2 122: negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	TRIM28, NCOA2, DRAP1, EHMT2, DAB2IP, AES, ATN1, ELK4, EID1, GABPA, HCFC2, IRF3, MDM2, MEN1, SIRT7, MOSPD1, CTNNBIP1, BACH1, REST, S KIL, SOX16, SP100, SREBF2, ZEB1, TD6, THRB, UBC,UBE2D1, YY1, CDC73, USP9X, CSDA
175 1	16 8.50E-0.	3 6367: transcription initiation from RNA polymerase II promoter	TRIM28, MED4, GTF2F1, MAZ, MEN1, POLR28, SKIL, TAF1, TAF2, TAF3, THRB, UBC, UBE2D1, USP9X, MED23, MED7
98	10 0.0.	2 6006: glucose metabolic process	NISCH, OMA1, ALDOC, GBE1, SERP1, GOT1, PDK2, PFKL, PKM, PFKAA1
34	6 1.50E-0.	3 51259: protein oligomerization	TRM28, MAP3K1; SH3GLB1; PFKL, BAX, BCL10
39	5 0.0	4 51291: protein heterooligomerization	KGNP2, IUE, KGNP2, PKKAAT, CASPB TAR 2017 SIZED TO FORMAT, CASPB
400 V 00 V	0.0	4 6112: energy reserve metabolic process	A DATA GUT I. TO NO UN IT ANY, LETAN, FANANA ID, GINER, SI ADAT I, WAWEZ DOWAT 100 GANTIAS (2013) 372 - 1
130	14 2 ADE-0	3 6511- ubiculturation of an instance process	UBR3. FBX022. ANAPC2. UBR5. UBR6. UBE201. UBE202. CUL5. USP9X. BAP1. ITCH. CUL4A. USP13. USP8
47	6 0.0	2 42787: protein ubiquitination during ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process	HECTD2, HERC4, MDM2, MAP3K1, UBR6, ITCH
60	8 4.10E-0.	3 6338: chromatin remodeling	CBX3, MEN1, RB1, SMARCA1, SMARCA5, ACTL6A
264	21 0.0	2 6281: DNA repair	SMC4, TRIM28, POLI, KIN, MEN1, NBN, RRM28, RPS27L, PCNA, UBR5, POLK, POLK2B, ATR, FANCL, OTUB1, RAD17, RECOL, TD6, UBC, CUL4A, ACT L6A
137 1	15 1.00E-0.	3 6260: DNA replication	DBF4, POLI, KIN, DNAJC2, ACHE, PCNA, POLK, ATR, NOL8, PTMS, RAD17, RBMS1, RECOL, TOP1, TMX1
55	8 1.60E-0.	3 18105: peptidyl-serine phosphorylation	HIPK3, MORC3, MAP3K1, PAK2, PKD1, ATR, TAF1, TGFBR1
317 2	24 0.0	2 6468: protein amino acid phosphorylation	HIPK3, ADAM10, IRAK3, CHUK, NEK7, DMPK, MORC3, LIPE, SMAD1, MAP3K1, EIF2AK4, PAK2, CDK17, POLR2B, PRKAA1, PRKAR1B, RPS6KB1, NEK4,   STK3, TGFBR1, BRSK1, LAT31, CDC42BPB, TLK1
371	10 2 50E-0:	3 444.19: interspecies interaction between organisms	(copb1; eff4e, kin, tram1, FKBP8, IDE, IFF3, ITGB1, KPNA1, MDM2, PAK2, ATP6V0C, ATP6V0A1, BAX, RB1, APOBEC3G, ABCE1, SMARCB1, SP100, TTAF1 TOP1 YWHAE ZYX CUII5, ITCH, CASPR CUI 4A TRIM5, BANF1 ATP6V0D1
304	7 60E-04	4 19048: virus-host interaction	COPB1, EIF4E, KIN, TRAM1, FKBPB, IDE, IRF3, ITGB1, KPNA1, MDM2, PAK2, ATP6V0C, BAX, RB1, APOBEC3G, ABCE1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, TOP1, YWMAR 7YX CILI 5, CASRP, CILI 44, TRIM5, BANF1
40	0 2 60F-0	5 7269: neurotransmitter secretion	GLS, GRAVE, SLC36A2, RAB2A, STYRPT, VAMP2, SYN1, SYN2, BRSK1
0100			TRIMS, DCTV1, FGF2, APAF1, APBA1, APLP1, MBNL1, ACHE, MTR, OPHN1, DPYSL5, SEMA4G, SMARCB1, SPAST, ST8SIA4, DPF1, PPP1R9B, ACTL6A,
170	14	d 1201: in stars ambricanic development	100001 UBR2 MAPK8IP3 GABPA ITGB1 1Y6E MAN241 MBNI 1 PKD1 ATP7A CHD8 TEBF2 TGFBR1 CSDA
2			SORBS1, ABCA2, ETS1, KIN, ALDOC, GFPT1, GOT1, APBB1, XIAP, IRF3, SMAD1, MEN1, NEK1, RPS27L, UBR5, PFKL, PKM, PLOD2, ATR, FANCL, OTUB
584	38 0.0	4 9719: response to endogenous stimulus	1, PTPN1, BAX, RADI7, R298B1, BDH1, ZM313, SREBE2, BSG, TAF1, IGFBR1, IY1, CASP8, BBSK1, ADAM9, CK051, LATS1, TLK1
138	18 7.80E-0	6 6974: response to DNA damage stimulus	KIN, APBBI, XAP, INS, MAN, INST, LINBSZ, LUBBS, AIK, ANGL, O'UBBI, BAX, ANDI', ZIMAI SI, IAFT, YYT, BNSKT, ILKT M UND APPBI, XAPP, INST, SEDBI E EEDXM E KEDBAL JMM, PANGL, O'UBBI, BAX, ANDI', ZIMAI SI, IAFT, YYT, BNSKT, ILKT
08	10 Z.30E-0	3 30966: Unioided protein response	NLIDOO, DUTH, OTTI, SENTI, ELZAN, TAPTI, DTAVO, SEEJ STEDENI, ATTAVOLI NLIDOO, DOTNI, COTTI SEEDI SEEDI MAALIOS SEEJ STEDENI, ATTAVOLI
4 28	10 7 80E-01	4 0967: untolded protein response, activation of signaling protein activity	RAILADES, DOTING THE STATE THE STATE AND ADDRESS AND ADDRES ADDRESS AND AND ADDRESS AND ADDR
001	1.00E-0		SMG4. TRIMAS POLI KIN, MENTI NBN RRW2B, PESZLI PCNA UBR5, POLK, POLK2B, ATR, FANCL, OTUBI, RADTI, RECOL, TDG, UBC, CUL4A, ACT
264	21 0.0.	2 6281: DNA repair	LGA. LGA
497	33 0.0	5 22415: viral reproductive process	COPB1, EIF4E, KIN, IRAM1, FKBP8, GIF2F1, IDE, IRF3, ITG81, KPNA1, MDM2, MAP3K1, PAK2, AI P8V0C, FOLK2E, IHOC2, BAX, HB1, APOBEC3G, AB CE1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, TOP1, UBC, YWHAE,ZYX, CUL5, ITCH, CASP8, CUL4A, TRIM5, BANF1
304	27 7.60E-0-	4 19048: virus-host interaction	(COPB1, EIF4E, KIN, TRAM1, FKBP8, IDE, IRF8, ITGB1, KPNA1, MDM2, PAK2, ATP6V0C, BAX, RB1, APOBEC3G, ABCE1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, TOP1, YWHAE, ZYX, CUL5, CASP8, CUL4A, TRIMS, BANF1

				HIPR'S, PSMES, CAPT, DBF4, IRAYG, KILHDC3, CRHR1, COWL1, DAB2R, SPREDT, DCTN1, AES, DUSP8, FGF2, TAB2, SNV13, MAPK8IP3, MAPK8IP3, G IFPT1, SERP1, GRMA, AMAPC2, TIFP2, LEPR, ARR22, MENL, PXC2, PAML, PCO1, ATP17, ATR, FKBP14, PPP2R14, PPP5R3, PPR5A3, P 
1037	99	9.70E-0	3 65009: regulation of a molecular function	RKARTB, DNALC3, CTNNBIP1, PTPN11, BAX, RAPIGAP, RB1, REST, RP2, AIDA, ACD, BNIP2,SP100, SSR1, TDG, TGFBR1, UBC, UBE2D1, 2BTB17, PPP 14718, PDE54, CBS, ADAM9, TNFRSF10B, CCNG1, LATS1, ATP6V0D1, BUB3, SLC9A3R1
62	9	7.40E-0	34 6987: unfolded protein response, activation of signaling protein activity	KLHDC3, DCTN1, GFPT1, SERP1, FKBP14, DNAJC3, SSR1, ZBTB17, ATP6V0D1
62	6	7.40E-0	34 6987: unfolded protein response, activation of signaling protein activity	KLHDC3. DCTN1. GFPT1. SERP1. FKBP14, DNAJC3. SSR1. ZBTB17, ATP6V0D1
50	9	0.0	33 40008: regulation of growth	AES, PAKZ, PAPZHA, ZMANCIO, ACTL6A
135	12	0.0	03 8286: insulin receptor signaling pathway	DURBD, AFOSI, EFE4E, FOFE, IDE, AILPONO, PINSAX, AIPOVAD, FKAAAT, FINIT, KHOSAD, AIPOVUDI Durbos dotatuma cesta seedaa seksaa aaata saata soo seeda seedaa saata saata seedaa seedaa seedaa seedaa seeda
80	01	2.30E-L	13 JUVDOS: UNIOIDED PROTEIN FESPONSE 2004. accountion of a cional involuced in call cionalization	Participation of only 10 million and 10 million of 20 m Participation of 20 million
49	5 05	2.60E-0	22 2001. Generation of a signal involved in cen-cell signaling 15 1269: neurotransmitter secretion	GLS, GRM, SLC36A2, RABA, STXPP1, VAMP2, SYN1, SYN2, BRSA
840	60	6.90E-0	A 7048: call cucie	PERS_TUBBAR, TUBBAR, CARDOC, GETRA, STAZ, DEFA, HEDD, HEK, NEKC, DOTNI, DAWIN ETRES, SMAX, DISSA, CDAXA, BEI, DAVLOZ, ANA PCZ, AFBBR, TUBBAR, TOBA, TUDRY, NGN, NBN, NER, PCPAR, ATR, PPPPRA, PEPPEAA, ERBERJIS SEFTS, REI, PPSAS, TASA, T T, FUSZ, DNITT, TEFPZ, UBC, UBEC, UFCZ, WHAE, PTPAAT, EVIS, MIST2, CDC73, CENPT, CEPP20, CUL5, USP9X, CUL4A, CONG1, UBA3, USP8, LATS 1, BUB3, RADOTE, TEFPZ, UBC, UBEC, UBEC, UFCA, TRA, PPPAAA, EVIS, CDC73, CENPT, CEP280, CUL5, USP9X, CUL4A, CONG1, UBA3, USP8, LATS 1, BUB3, RADOTE, TEFPZ, UBC, UBEC, UBEC, UP, WHAE, PTPAAT, EVIS, MIST2, CDC73, CENPT, CEP280, CUL5, USP9X, CUL4A, CONG1, UBA3, USP8, LATS
1034	62	1 206	1. 17. AMD, call cards areas	Erst Ferst First The Index TUBEOR Generic Cents Anno.2 Faults Teak Attributed Stability Faults ConVIT DRIVE First Ferst Stability and Stabi
127	13	5.70E-0	23 7050: cell cycle process 33 7050: cell cycle arrest	TH, MIDLE, OUCH, COTTAR, OLEV, ONTRY, BARTI, BRANI, OUCH, FTT INSP, OWN I, ODAS, ONTR, DATR, DATR, DATR, DATR, SESN3, GASZL2, APBBI, MEN1, NBN, PKD1, PRKAAI, RB1, SKIL, TGFBR1, CUL5, CUL4A, PPP1R9B
424	31	0.0	11 51301 - cell rivision	SMC4, CENPC1, CETN3, STAG2, NEDD1, PLK5, NEK7, FGF2, SMC5, PDS54, CD2AP, ANAPC2, NUP43, MEN1, NEK1, PRPF40A, SEPT3, RAS41, RB1, N Ek4, DYNLT3, TOP1, EVI5, MIS12, CENPT, LISPA, TIBA1C, CCNG1, LISPA, LATS1, BLIB3,
2066	124	2.60E-0	33 16043: cellular component organization and biogenesis	over 100 entries (45, 75, )
89	13	2.70E-0	35 16044: membrane organization and biogenesis	STAM2, SEC24A, AP2M1, COPB1, BLOC156, SAR1B, CHMP5, VAMP2, UBC, GBF1, SNAP23, NAPA, CLINT1
30	5	7.40E-0	33 7005: mitochondrion organization and biogenesis	YME1L1, MANZA1, ATP7A, RAB3A, MTFR1
134	13	0.0	11 7059: chromosome segregation	SMC4, CENPCI, SIAG2, ND443, PP2XIA, RB1, ND1, MIST2, CENPT, USP9X, KIOK3, LATST, BUB3 1. ACOMP 5 ATTA: 3. ACOMP 13: 5. 2021, DD1 DD14D00
2/	9	1.10E-C	14 46847: filopodium formation	Procession of the second structure of the
370	26	0.0	04 40008: regulation of growth	TCH, MANCHO, PPPIPBO, CSOA, ACTLEA, EBAG9.EL24
37	7	2.00E-C	35265: organ growth	EHMT2, SERP1, LYGE, MEN1, PTPN11, STK3, CSDA
384	31	2.20E-0	33 37 12: transcription cofactor activity	TOBI, TEMA28, NOOQ, DRAPT, AERP2, ATEZ, ART, ATI, ELK3, ELK4, EID1, GABPA, MED4, GTFZFT, HCFC2, BIRC2, IRF3, CALCOCOT, RE1, SKIL, SM ARCB1, SP100, TAF1, ZEF1, THRE, YY1, CSDAAOTL6A, BC110, MED23, MED24, MED4, GTFZFT, HCFC2, BIRC2, IRF3, CALCOCOT, RE1, SKIL, SM ARCB1, SP100, TAF1, ZEF1, THRE, YY1, CSDAAOTL6A, BC110, MED23, MED24, MED4, GTFZFT, HCFC2, BIRC2, IRF3, CALCOCOT, RE1, SKIL, SM
219	18	0.0	22 3713: transcription coactivator activity	TRIM28, NCOA2, ATF2, GABPA, GTF2F1, HCFC2, BIRC2, CALCOCO1, RB1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, ZEB1, YY1, ACTL6A, BCL10, MED23, MED7
162	14	0.0	33 3714: transcription corepressor activity	T0B1, TRIM28, DRAP1, AEBP2, AES, ATN1, ELK3, EID1, SKIL, SP100, ZEB1, THRB, YY1, CSDA
248	20	0.0	2016563- transcriptional activator activity	TRIM28, NCOA2, ATF2, FGF2, GABPA, MED4, GTF2F1, HCFC2, BIRC2, CALCOCO1, RB1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, ZEB1, YY1, ACTL6A, BCL10, MED23,   MED7
219	18	0.0	22 3713: transcription coactivator activity	TRIM28, NC0A2, ATF2, GABPA, GTF2F1, HCFC2, BIC2, CALCOCO1, RB1, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, ZEB1, YY1, ACTL6A, BCL10, MED23, MED7
162	14	0.0	33 16564: transcriptional repressor activity	T0B1, TRIM28, DRAP1, AEBP2, AES, ATN1, ELK3, EID1, SKIL, SP100, ZEB1, THR8, YY1, CSDA
162	14	0.0	33 3714: transcription corepressor activity	T0B1, TRIM28, DRAP1, AEBP2, AES, ATN1, ELK3, EID1, SKIL, SP100, ZEB1, THRB, YY1, CSDA
291	27	3.10E-0	3682: chromatin binding	HMGW4, NCOA2, FOXP4, KLHDC3, ATF2, ELK4, CAMTA2, ZZZ3, DNAJC2, APBB1, MEN1, SIRT7, PRKAA1, CALCOCO1, REST, SKIL, SMARCA1, SOX15, SP3, SREBF2, TERF2, TFAM, THR8, TOP1, BAP1, SMARCA5, ACTL6A
589	39	0.0	33 8289- lioid bindina	STAPDIO, NISCH, FCHOZ, ARHGAP33, ACAP3, APZMI, DABZIF, DNMI, FANH, SPATA13, SNX13, PLEKHMZ, MCFZL, ACAP2, APBA1, TIFP2, MAPSK1, D PPHNI, ITSN2, JANGEB, I. TWFT, BAX, SNX6, RASAI, BDH1, SCP7, GOLPH3, PLEKHG2, RAPH1, SOM11, SBF2, EEM1, SVT3, MAPLC3A, PEX3, ACBD5, JARHGAP12, CDC242BBB, LIUMF
63	7	0.0	33 35091: phosphoinositide binding	NISCH, FCHO2, ARHGAP33, SNX13, ITPR2, SNX6, SBF2
83	11	5.70E-0	24 60090: molecular adaptor activity	T0B1, SORBS1, ACAP3, RUSC1, GAS2L2, NCK1, OPHN1, ITSN2, SH3GLB1, SH3GLB2, PTPN11
24	9	2.30E-0	5 8093: cytoskeletal adaptor activity	ACAP3, GAS2L2, NCK1, OPHN1, SH3GLB1, SH3GLB2
2109	121	0.0	22 166: nucleotide binding	over 100 entries (41, 80, )
1004	94	c	o EEOAA. AFTO Ninciticae.	PART, IDE, THOS, TRADE, GSPIC, STRATS, YMELI, IL, PRAS, CHUK, CLOR, PIKS, MERT, NDMK, ABCA, ABCBF, SMIC, STPTIA, APBRAC, ONGCS, MMET, A PARF, IDE, KIFSC, MAPRAT, EFEZAK, MYOG, NEKI, AFPA3, PMC2, PNLM, ILOKIT, PDV2, PFKL, PMK, ATFYA, ATR, UBA6, PP2R4, DNM4, D DX80, PRKAAT, NMP2, INFT, CHDB, ABCO, ABCAT, RAZ, PNLMB, TRESKIS, IABCA, SMARCAI, SPAST, ATRC, SWI, SNYI, SNYI, STAT, TGEBRY, TOT, UBA1, UBEZDI, UBEZOZ, YEST, ATP13A3, BBS10, BRSKI, TBKY, SMARCAG, SACTL6A, RIOK3, SUCLOZ, UBA3, LATST, DDX21, CD CONDED TAY, TDAMAY
133/	366		35 SS24: ALP Dinging	C42EPB, LLN1, IXANNA1 Dower 100 entiles (133, 233, 1
1001	000	1.00E-C	20 0010: protein pinding	
40	9 9	6.50E-0	US 8093: Cytoskeletal adaptor activity 33 19900: kinase hinding	Percent storests, normany per many an isoson in isosona. PFKL, RABSII, I, RB1, SP100, BC110, MOBIB
				ADAMIO, SORBS1, ATF2, CONVL1, DABZIP, SPRED1, ELAVL1, MAPK8IP3, MAPK8IP2, ITGB1, LIPE, SMAD1, MAP3K1, PAK2, PKD1, BRSK1, FIZ1, BCL1
256	19	7 40F-0	05 19901: protein kinase binding 13 31625: ubinuitin motein licase binding	u, LATIST TANK, FRANE AARB2, ATPEVOC, FANCL, OTUB1, BB1, TGFBR1, CUL5, CUL4A, CB5, BCL10, USP13
				STK25, CBX3, CHUK, CLDN3, DNM1, EPOR, BLOCTS6, ARFIP1, GRIK5, KCNIP2, APAF1, APLP1, IRF3, SMAD1, MDM2, MAP3K1, PAK2, SH3CLB1, PCNA
342	30	4.70E-0	34 42802: identical protein binding	PFKL, CCOCSI, PTFKC, BAX, STNOIS SYXBP1X, SYXP, DYNLLI3, CASPB, HOOK3, TRIMB ADMANNI IBAX3, EOVAA CI CN3, DABP3D FDAVD, EAAH FCEF2102P BI OCCISS, IDE III BAST, MIDAT IBE3, ACHE FIE2AXXI, SH3GI B1, DDXP DBP3B4 BB
510	34	0.0	04 42803: protein homodimerization activity	PUDMIN, IRVAS, FOXP4, GLONS, URBZIF, UFTU, FMAT, FOFRIOFZ, BUOCISS, IUE, ILOS I, IMPAI, INFS, AUFE, EFZANG, SNSGES, FUKZ, FFFZNG, FY TFDC1, BAX, ABCD3, SNXS, RAP1GAP, APOBEC3G, LHPP, ABCG4, SP 100, TERF2, ACTN4, SBF2, EEA1, TRIM5, CBS, GOLGA5
46	9	0.0	22 2039: p53 binding	PSME3, EHMT2, MDM2, CHD8, SMARCB1, TAF1
510	34	0.0	04 42803: protein homodimerization activity	ADAMIO, IRAK3, FOXP4, CLCN3, DABZIP, DPYD, FAAH, FGFRIOP2, BLOCTS6, IDE, ILEST, IMPA1, IRF3, ACHE, EIF2AK4, SH3GLB1, PDK2, PPP2R4, PR [TFDC1, BAX, ABCD3, SNX6, RAPIGAP, APOBEC36,LHPP, ABCG4, SP100, TERF2, ACTN4, SBF2, EEA1, TRIM5, CBS, GOLGA5
151	18	5.30E-C	35 19904: protein domain specific binding	STX1B, CBX3, ATN1, SCAF8, CABIN1, ARFIP2, ARFIP1, ITGB1, SHC4, NCK1, PKD11, PFN11, FAP2B, AIDA, SKIL, SP100, YWHAE, CCNG1
112	11	0.0	22 17124: SH3 domain binding	ADAM10, ACAP3, CD2AP, GRIK5, OPHN1, SH3GLB1, SH3GLB2, SHANK3, ADAM9, USP8, DOCK4

25	5	1.60E-03	130071- ravantar turnsina kinase hindina	SHC4, PCNA, PIK3R2, PTPN11, DOCK4
38	9	4.20E-03	143130: ubiquitin binding	DNAJC2, IDE, UBR5, OTUB1, BCL10, USP13
24	5	1.10E-03	19905: syntaxin binding	BLOC156, STXBP1, STXBP3, SYT3, NAPA
24	5	1.10E-03	1540: beta-amyloid binding	MARK8P2, APBA1, APBA1, IDEA. CHE THE THE THE APPA1, APBA1, IDEA. CHE APPA APPA APPA APPA APPA APPA APPA AP
204	19	2.80E-03	3924: GTPase activity	TUBB4A, DIKAST, DNMT, EEFTAZ, KAB2T, GNGTO, MTIF2, SARTB, KAB6B, KAB6B, KHOTT, KAP2C, RAB5A, KAP7GAP, KAP2B, RTT, TUBATC, RAB11B
181	18	1.40E-03	4672: protein kinase activity	HIPK3, TRIM28, STK26, CHUK, PLK6, MAP3K1, NEK1, PAK2, CDK17, PDK2, POLR2B, ATR, PRKAA1, RPS6KB1, STK3, TGFBR1, FASTKD3, CDC42BPB
181	18	1.40E-03	4672: protein kinase activity	HIPK3, TRIM28, STK25, CHUK, PLK5, MAP3K1, NEK1, PAK2, CDK17, PDK2, POLR28, ATR, PRKAA1, RPS6KB1, STK3, TGFBR1, FASTKD3, CDC42BPB
65	6	1.30E-03	19208: phosphatase regulator activity	DMPK, CABIN1, GTF2F1, PPME1, PPP2R1A, PPP2R4, SBF2, PPP1R1B, PPP1R9B
395	31	3.70E-03	1 43229: intracellular organelle	AASS, STAM2, SLU7, POLI, CHUK, SREK1, DDOST, ELK3, KAZN, RUSC1, GRM4, ARRB2, RABBB, ATP6V0A1, CROT, SAMD9, CALCOCO1, ABCD3, SNX 6, SCP2, BNIP2, SPAST, SPTAN1, ISG2012, BAP1,ITCH, MAP1LC3A, PEX3, CBS, ACBD5, SLC9A3R1
318	24	0.02	143231- intracellular membrane-hound croanelle	AASS, STAM2, SLU7, POLI, CHUK, SREK1, DDOST, ELK3, KAZN, ATPBV0A1, CROT, SAMD9, CALCOCO1, ABCD3, SNX6, SCP2, BNIP2, SPTAN1, ISG20L 2, AAD1 PEY3, CRS, ACRDF, S1 CA3AD1
6896	384	2.30E-06	43227: membrane-bound organelle	over 100 entries (116, 268, )
318	24	0.02	43231: intracellular membrane-bound oroanelle	AASS, STAM2, SLUT, POLI, CHUK, SREK1, DDOST, ELK3, KAZN, ATP6V0A1, CROT, SAMD9, CALCOCO1, ABCD3, SNX6, SCP2, BNIP2, SPTAN1, ISG20L 2. BAP1, PEX3, CBS, ACBD5, SLC9A3R1
89	6	0.03	5777: peroxisome	IDE, NUDT19, PNPLA8, PEX13, CROT, ABCD3, SCP2, NUDT12, PEX3
48	9	0.03	5778: peroxisomal membrane	PNPLA8, RABBB, PEX13, ABCD3, PEX3, ACBD5
4320	242	7.80E-04	5634: nucleus	over 100 entrites (74, 168, )
74	80	0.0	31901: early endosome membrane	TAM2, CLONG RAB21, SNX13, CLIP3, SNX6, RAB58, EEA1
2825	169	3.30E-04	143228: non-membrane-bound organelle	OVELIDUATIONE (24,117,1) THIDBATTATARE (24,117,1) THIDBATTATARE (24,117,1)
711	21	0-300-C	13630: microtubule cytoskeleton	LIDEDA, TIMOTU, IMOTUN, NOACI, LUCA, FUNDI, FUZANI, NEVALI, ATAN'I, IDANU 1000-14, TIMOTUN, NOACI, LUCA, FUNDI, FUZANI, NEVALI, ATAN'I, IDANU 1404 - ETANO ELI RODBEL - EVOEGE ALIIV DI K. FUZANI, NEVALI, ADAN, 100410
567 F	g	4 805.03	1730, medeolus	Sund, Shand, Shand, Shur, Sundsa, Chur, Furd, Chri, Annt, Shern, Sherni, Lien, Lich, Shen, Shamar, Jianima, Sune, Jansa, Wuns, Juban, Wa Kazu (Li-1, MORC), Cabini, CD2AP, GBARZ-Xierska, Sherni, Sherni, Sherni, Sheng, Jianima, Sune, Jiani, Mieni, INBN, Mieri, RND, B. Riehis, Millos, PCAN, Sirti', PDR2, Zier, Aiffeiumi, Tiench, Bioli, Filosofi, Afrefa, Zivrison, IMMA, IJDDX, Mieni, NBN, Mieri, RND, B. Naehis, Millos, PCAN, Sirti', PDR2, Zier, Aiffeiumi, Tiench, Bioli, Filosofi, Afrefa, Zivrison, IMMA, IJDDX, Mieri, NBN, Mieri, RND, B. Naehis, Millos, PCAN, Sirti', PDR2, Zier, Aiffeiumi, Tiench, Bioli, Filosofi, Filosofi, Afrefa, Zivrison, Mieni, Juban, Soro, Lihep Nicocur, Tanas, Suandon, Fino, Sierpi, Mieri, Stris, Sirtis, Filos, Tienci, Filosofi, Filosofi, Filosofi, Barti, Soro, Lihep Chur? Pieris, Mikring Beski, Status, Filosofi, Filosofi, Filosofi, Filosofi, Filosofi, Filosofi, Alexi, Dona, Miran, Barti, Sandol, Jano, Libor, Soro, Lihep Chur? Pieris, Mikring Beski, Status, Schonder, Filosofi, Filoso
1400	80	4.00E-01	57.30. nucleoius	OUTU, FTTO, IMNOTIF, DRANT, MINANANA, FUGTI, FEAA, ITUMIS, CDA, SIAMER SIADA, LAGAN, BABAT, BILGA, FULA BI OCTAE Administration Section, Administration of the State of Course of State States, States, States, States, Course, Course 
818	58	1.10E-03	31982. vesicie	audumid seates, fund, zama invest, cuora, cupet unfert, suciano, sadames, parca, tans, mada trans, lucia fundi Riku, Abeah, Tradi, Lumiz, Ararab, Muki, Mau, Satria, Rabab, Rubbis, Artevido, Artevido, Artevido, Karab, Rubbis Sterefez, Stater, Stater, Sada, Vamiz, Savri, Savad, Jadab, Rubbis, Artevido, Artevido, Artevido, Artevido, Ar
4920	300	1.10E-08	4446: intracellular organelle part	over 100 entries (97, 203, )
81	6	0.01	16363: nuclear matrix	ATN1, SCAF8, KIN, CLIC4, ZNF326, MEN1, PRPF40A, TEP1, YY1
1433	88	4.80E-03	57.30; mudeolus	SINCE STIARS LEUT, SOBSE CHUE, FLAGSE CHUE, REFE, SPERE, SPEREL, ELKE, ELKE, SAMATA TINNIB, SAME SIGS, WORAS, DESA, KAZN UFT, MORCS, CABNT, COZAP CABAZAYESSE, SERING, GOLIMA, ADACI, ZIVESGI, IMPAT, MDIZ, MENT, NBN, NEKT, REND 8. REPTS, NILOS, PCNA, SIRT7, PDK2, ZFR, ATFPOVAT, PCURZB, NOLŠ, PPPZPA, CCDC91, PRF940A, UTPS, RADIT, RECQI, REST, BDHT, SCP2, LHPP 1. NOCUL, JANTA, SAMATGB, STRON, SPEND, REND, SULS, PPPZPA, CCDC91, PRF940A, UTPS, RADIT, RECQI, REST, BDHT, SCP2, LHPP 1. NOCUL, JANTA, SAMATGB, STRON, SRP19, MEK, STNS, STRBF, TAF, TIAFT, JICDA, ZEB1, TERZT, JOHT, THTPA, AP3B2, ISG2UZ, BAPT, CAPSA, LHPP 1. NOCUL, JANTA, SAMATGB, SANO, SRP19, MEK, STNS, STRBF, THE, TIAFT, INTER, ZOPA, LHPP, AP3B2, ISG2UZ, BAPT, CAPSA, SL CCHAC7, PFE, MIGTIP BESKI, SAMAZGB, FRANCS, MERS, PARZA, STUBA, STRON, STRON, STRON, STAFT, TAFT, TAFT, TOPA, THTPA, AP3B2, ISG2UZ, BAPT, CAPSA, SL
886	54	0.05	5654: nucleoolasm	THIM28, PSMES, NODA2, STAG2, SESFIG, DBF4, POLI, XPOT, ATF2, ELAUT, DIS3, MED4, DROSHA, GTF2F1, AMAPC2, IFF3, KPNA1, SNAD1, MDM2, MEN1, MYO, BMB, RANKB MID8, PON4, PHXX,NUP34, POLT82, ATF, PANCL, JUD7, 7841, SR44, SM1, ZD5, MARCB41, SP100, TAF1, TAF13, TD G. TER57, THIRB, JOP1, UBC, UBE201, ZB177, MR217, MR8171, BMAPCA6, PPPH98B, BMAF1, GTF203, MED23, MRD7
72	6	4.10E-03	16605: PML body	HIPK3, SMC5, MORC3, ATR, RB1, SKIL, SP3, SP100, TDG
34	5	0.02	781: chromosome, telomeric region	SMCS, RAD17, ACD, TEP1, TERF2
430	32	8.40E-03	1339. Golqi membrane	APC2, SEC244, CL CN3, COPB1, SLC3047, SCAMP5, CHSY1, RAB21, MAPKBIP3, SLC3563, TMEJKB1, AFFGAP3, AFFIP1, GXY171, MAY2A1, MGA12, PNPLA8, SH3GLB1, RAB6B, NDFIP2, CSGALNACT2, RAPTGAP; SREBF2, STX5, BSG, ST8SIA4, TNKS2, COG8, GBF1, EBAG8, SLC33A1, HS2ST1
2081	134	5.40E-05	31090: organelle membrane	over 100 entries (44, 90, )
74	8	0.03	31901: early endosome membrane	STAM2, CLCN3, RAB21, SNX13, CLIP3, SNX6, RAB5B, EEA1
430	32	8.40E-03	138. Golai membrane	APC2, SEC24A, CLCN3, COPB1, SLC30A7, SCAMP5, CHSY1, RAB21, MARKBIP3, SLC35A3, THEIMSBI, AFCGAP3, AFCIP1, CXYLT1, MARZA1, MGAT2, I PNPLA8, SH3GLB1, RABBB, NDFIP2, CSGALNACT2, RAPTGAP, SREBF2, STX5, BSG, ST8SIA4, TNKS2, COG8, GBF1, EBAG9, SLC33A1, HS2ST1
48	9	0.03	5778: peroxisomal membrane	PNPLA8, RAB8B, PEX13, ABCD3, PEX3, ACBD5
2591	149	5.30E-03	43233: organelle lumen	over 100 entries (39, 110, )
81	9	0.01	16363: nuclear matrix	ATN1, SCAF8, KIN, CLIC4, ZNF326, MEN1, PRPF40A, TEP1, YY1
1433	00	4 80F-03	57.307 and dealers	SINCE STIARS, END, SORGES, CHUK, FLKG, CPTZ, ATTS, STEKY, SPERTI, ELKY, ELKY, SPANJAT, SINNEB, SAFRE SINS, MORAJ, SAGSA, ULT, MORCAJ, CABNI, CJZAYO, GKABAZAVSBAS, GKURA, UREA, NODOSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SINNE, SAFRE, ATTFRANKI, ALEA, GLOROSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SINNE, SAFRE, ATTFRANKI, ALEA, GLOROSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SINNE, SAFRE, ATTFRANKI, SAFRE, GLOROSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SINNE, SAFRE, ATTFRANKI, SAFRE, GLOROSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SINNE, SAFRE, ATTFRANKI, SAFRE, SAFRE, SAFRE, SAFRE, GLOROSHA, APAKI, ZNYGSA, UMAH, NDUZ, MENL, NBN, NEKI, RANDA, SAFRE, SAF
	0			TRIM28, PSME3, NCOA2, STAG2, SRSF10, DBF4, POLI, XPOT, ATF2, ELAULT, DIS3, MED4, DROSHA, GTF2F1, AMAPC2, IRF3, KPNA1, SMAD1, MDM2,
886	54	0.05	.5654: nucleoplasm	MEN1, MYO6, NBN, RRM2B, MMD3, PCNA, PHAX,NUP54, POLR2B, ATR, FANCL, RAD17, RB1, SR5F4, SKIL, ACD, SMARCB1, SP100, TAF1, TAF13, TD G, TERF2, THRB, TOP1, UBC, UBE2D1, ZBTB17, ZNF217, MKI67IP, SMARCA5, PPP1R9B, BANF1, GTF3C3, MED23, MED7
55	8	1.60E-03	42734: presynaptic membrane	ZNRF2, GRIK5, APBB1, ACHE, SEPT3, NLGN2, SYP, LRRC4B
6854	382	2.30E-06	44424: intracellular part	over 100 entries (133, 249.)
3637	210	3.90E-04	5737: cytoplasm	ever 100 entries (78, 132, )
39	007	U.U.	932: cytoplasmic mRNA processing body	ELF4E, CNUT, BLUZ, UVT, IKIMS 
2170	138	7.80E-Ut	5829: cytosol	over tuu entries (45, 93, )

APC2, DCTN1, ATN1, SPATA13, MCF2L, CD2AP, ARFIP2, APBB1, ITGB1, MYO6, PTPN13, RASA1, SAMSN1, RAPH1, PPP1R9B, SLC9A3R1, CDC42BP		ZNRF2, GRIKG, APBB1, ACHE, SEPT3, NLGN2, SYP, LRRC4B	ADAM10, FAAH, PIP5K1C, BLOC1S6, MAP1LC3A, SLC9A3R1, DOCK4, LAPTM4A	APC2, SEC24A, CLCN3, COPB1, SLC30A7, SCAMP5, CHSY1, RAB21, MAPK8IP3, SLC35A3, TMEM56L, ARFGAP3, ARFIP1, GXYLT1, MAN2A1, MGAT PNPLA8, SH3GLB1, RAB6B, NDFIP2, CSGALNACT2, RAPIGAP, SREBF2, STX5, BSG, ST83IA4, TNK22, COG8, GBF1, EBAG9, SLC33A1, HS2ST1		ATW1, SCAMPE, ZWRF2, RUSC1, GLRA2, GRIK5, GTF2F1, SHC4, ACHE, OPHN1, SEPT3, NLGN2, RPS6KB1, VAMP2, SYN1, SYN2, SYP, SYT3, BRSK TBK1, SHANK3, TAMC1, SNAP23, SYNGR1, LRRC4B	APC2, SEC24, CLCN3, COPBI, SLC307, SCAMP5, CHSYI, RAB21, MAPK8IP3, SLC35A3, TMEM59L, ARFGAP3, ARFIP1, GXYIT1, MAN2A1, MGAT [PNPLA8, SH3CLB1, RAB6B, NDFIP2, CSGALWACT2, RAPIGAP, SREBF2, STX5, BSG, ST8SIA4, TNK22, COG8, GBF1, EBAG9, SLC33A1, HS2ST1		over 100 entries (58, 95, )	EIF4E, CN077, BTBD2, T0P1, TRIM5
	6.90E-03 31252: leading edge	1.60E-03 42734: presynaptic membrane	0.02 12505: endomembrane system		8.40E-03 139: Golgi membrane	9.90E-03 30054; cell junction		8.40E-03 139: Golgi membrane	1.10E-03 43234: protein complex	0.04 932: cytoplasmic mRNA processing body
!	17	80	80		32	25		32	153	5
					0				(0)	

## **CHAPTER 5: Discussion**

#### 5.1 General summary and significance of findings

Pediatric brain tumors are devastating diseases that are associated with significant morbidity and mortality due to intrinsically aggressive tumor biology, and sequellae of current standard-of-care treatments. Research into the molecular basis of these tumors is essential to the development of novel therapeutics with limited toxicity and ultimately a better prognosis for these diseases. High-grade gliomas such as glioblastomas (GBMs) and diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs) are associated with dismal survival rates even with multimodal treatments. These diagnoses are death sentences, and considering the age groups they affect, are particularly tragic for patients and their loved ones. In this dissertation, we have illustrated novel molecular findings in pediatric high-grade and low-grade brain tumors and highlighted the epigenome as a new dimension for further investigation. In pediatric high-grade gliomas (pHGGs) including GBM, we identified recurrent mutations in the H3K36 trimethyltransferase SETD2 in 15% of cases. We provide evidence that tumors specifically of the cerebral cortex preferentially demonstrate defects involving loss-of-function SETD2 mutations and others that can affect H3K36me3 levels, including isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (*IDH1*) mutations and novel histone H3.3 G34R/V mutations (Chapter 2). In Chapter 3, we describe the mutational landscape of pediatric midline high-grade tumors and demonstrate that H3.3 and H3.1 K27M mutations predominate in these tumors. Moreover, these histone mutations occurred with novel partner mutations distinctly associated with different brain regions, which included for the first time in human cancer, mutations in the activin A receptor type I gene ACVR1 distinctly located in tumors of the pons. ACVR1 mutant

tumors and primary cell lines demonstrated hyper-activity of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-SMAD family member 1/5/8 (SMAD1/5/8) pathways and may represent a novel target for the treatment of DIPG. In Chapter 4, we describe the whole chromosomal copy number landscapes of 222 PA tumors across the lifespan and characterize the phenotype of aneuploidy in these tumors. We illustrate that aneuploidy is frequent in adult non-cerebellar PA which are more challenging to treat surgically, presents with a non-random pattern of chromosomal gain and is differentially associated with major PA genetic alterations such as *BRAF* fusions and mutations. Expression programs mediated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 may underlie the active promotion of or tolerance to aneuploidy in these tumors.

In the next sections we will discuss these molecular findings, their potential roles in gliomagenesis and their implications to the field of pediatric neuro-oncology. Moreover, we will discuss avenues for future investigation needed to best translate these molecular discoveries into better patient care.

5.2 Histone mutations and epigenetic driver mutations as central regulators of tumorigenesis in pediatric brain tumors: possible "epigenetic addiction"?

In 2012, our lab and others discovered recurrent mutations in histone genes for the first time in association with human disease [231,281]. These mutations characterized approximately one-third of pediatric GBM tumors and 78% of DIPGs [231,281]. Mutations in the replication-independent histone variant H3.3 encoded by *H3F3A* were located at or adjacent to critical residues involved in histone post-translational modifications; K27M and G34R/V [231]. Analogous mutations in the replication-

dependent canonical histone variant H3.1, encoded by HIST1H3B, were also identified resulting in identical K27M amino acid substitutions [281]. Further work focusing on the characterization of the mechanistic effect of K27M mutation elucidated a profound *trans* mediated inhibitory function on the enzymatic EZH2 component of the Polycomb Repressor Complex 2 (PRC2) [161]. Independent studies have demonstrated decreased global H3K27me2/3 levels and increased total H3K27ac in tissues or overexpressing cell lines without corresponding changes in EZH2 expression, confirming that the potent effect of K27M mutation is indeed through inhibition of EZH2 H3K27 methyltransferase activity [161,39,261,17]. G34R/V mutations were found to inhibit SET2 mediated trimethylation of heterotypic nucleosomes, with mono- and oligo-nucleosomes containing G34R/V mutations demonstrating decreased levels of H3K36me2/3 [161]. Based on the age groups of H3.3/H3.1 K27M and H3.3 G34R/V mutated patients, they constitute the pediatric counterpart to IDH1 mutations occurring in young adult disease. IDH1 mutations have potent effects on histone demethylases and result in changes to histone marks including H3K4, H3K9, H3K27 and H3K36 [168]. Further sequencing studies of CNS tumor heterogeneity has revealed the presence of IDH1 mutation throughout primary tumors and recurrences in gliomas [115]. This is in contrast to other notable oncogenic drivers such as BRAF V600E which appear in only a minority of geographically distinct samples [115]. This is in striking similarity to our report discussed herein in Chapter 3 characterizing PDGFRA amplification in only 1 of 5 biopsies from a case of cerebellar GBM, which had virtually identical mutational and epigenetic profiles including K27M mutation [71]. In Chapter 2 we report that about half of hemispheric pHGGs harbor defects leading to aberrant H3K36 methylation, including novel mutations

in *SETD2* [72]. In Chapter 3 we describe an additional histone variant, *HIST1H3C*, with mutation in K27M in midline tumors [71]. Together, this demonstrated that 93% of cases of high-grade tumors, including GBM and DIPG, of the neuroanatomical midline are mutated at residue K27M in H3.3 or H3.1 genes. In addition, we demonstrated that distinct global DNA methylation profiles are associated with K27M mutation, regardless of the individual variant affected, precise brain location or associated mutations [71]. With data demonstrating the prominence of epigenetic driver mutations within large tumor datasets, their presence in geographically distinct regions and longitudinally in disease, CNS high-grade gliomas of the pediatric and young adult years appear to be "addicted" to epigenetic dysregulation (reviewed in [70]). Although further mechanistic studies are necessary to associate "epigenetic addiction" to this tumor type, this provides a specific avenue for further investigation and therapeutic development.

In Chapter 2 we utilize statistical comparisons of WES data to demonstrate genome-wide enrichment of *SETD2* mutations in a dataset of 60 pHGGs compared to 543 non-cancer controls. Within an expanded dataset of 183 gliomas, we identify *SETD2* missense/truncating mutations in 15% of pHGGs and 8% of adult HGGs, and uniquely occurring in tumors arising in the cerebral hemispheres. Functional characterization of *SETD2* mutant tumors demonstrated a loss-of-function phenotype, leading to decreased levels of H3K36me3. DNA methylation analysis revealed that their effect on the global methylation landscape may be independent of G34R/V and more promiscuous. SETD2 has been reported as the only enzyme in humans to mediate H3K36 trimethylation [64]. H3K36me3 is a mark correlating with transcriptionally active chromatin [259]. Notably, roles for H3K36me3 have been elucidated for critical cellular processes such as

alternative mRNA splicing [170,169], suppression of spurious or cryptic transcription [260], DNA mismatch repair [162] and homologous recombination [10,35,203]. Recent studies of H3.3K36me3 demonstrate the importance of histone "reader" proteins such as ZMYND11, which recognizes this mark specifically on H3.3 variants and co-localizes in gene bodies [276]. As it was also found to link H3.3K36me3 with transcriptional elongation and tumor suppression programs, the authors show a preliminary demonstration that G34R/V mutations abrogate the binding of ZMYND11 to H3.3K36me3 peptides [276]. With such a diversity of critical functions, further exploration into the role of the SETD2-H3K36 pathway in cortical tumorigenesis specifically is warranted and may allow further insight into the potential neurodevelopmental roles of this histone mark.

# 5.3 ACVR1 mutations: New targets and further evidence for pediatric brain tumors as neurodevelopmental diseases

Mutations in histone genes described throughout this dissertation have changed the way we view pediatric brain tumors. These mutations are novel and had not been identified with any other human disease to date. Due to their frequency, specificity and influence on the epigenome in HGGs, it is clear that modeling and targeting these histone mutations will be the subject of intense effort worldwide as the next critical step in translating their discovery into actionable targets. Mouse modeling and other pre-clinical studies, drug discovery and development will necessitate years of research. How can we target these tumors, which continue to have a dismal survival rate, in the near future? Repurposing existing drugs or compounds to re-orient therapeutic efforts may be a more rapid solution. The targets of which may be genetic alterations that are distinctly associated with histone mutations.

In Chapter 3 of this thesis and published in [71], we describe the identification of ACVR1 mutations and other growth factor receptor mutations associated with pediatric mHGAs. These mutations are strongly associated with histone mutations identified in these tumors, shed some needed light on the nature of their origins and offer therapeutic opportunity. ACVR1 encodes the activin A, receptor type I, ACVR1/ALK2 which is a receptor for BMPs, activator of downstream SMAD signaling and is involved in the development of the nervous system [103]. Germline ACVR1 mutations have been shown to cause the autosomal dominant debilitating musculoskeletal disease fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP), characterized by heterotopic bone formation [238]. As described in Chapter 3, we identify ACVR1 mutations in 5/39 mHGAs (13%), and 5/24 (21%) DIPGs sequenced by WES. All of these mutant tumors were distinctly located in the pontine region and harbored K27M mutations in H3.1 (the majority, 4/5) or H3.3 (1/5). DIPGs are among the most deadly of tumors occurring in the pediatric years [15]. Survival for children diagnosed with DIPG is 1-2 years with 90% of patients dying of their disease within 2 years [15]. Although our report adds to the recent knowledge-base demonstrating that K27M mutations predominate overwhelmingly in these tumors, lack of current avenues for specific targeted therapy for this mutation hinders progress for translation at the moment. Inhibitors exist for ACVR1/ALK2 that are highly specific for the receptor [288,235,53]. Concurrently with our report, adapted here as Chapter 3, three additional reports were simultaneously published in the same issue of the journal [31,252,282]. All four reports including that of our group, describe the identification of

hotspot ACVR1 mutations, which strongly associate with histone H3.1 K27M mutations in the pons described above, demonstrating extraordinary reproducibility on large independent cohorts. Moreover, the complementary nature of these studies gives insight into required partner mutations in the specific gliomagenesis of these tumors. Taylor and colleagues utilize the selective ACVR1/ALK2 inhibitor LDN-193189 to treat primary cultures of GBM and DIPG mutant for H3.3 or H3.1 at K27M and associated ACVR1 mutations including novel G328V variants [252]. In these primary cultures they demonstrate significant inhibition of cell viability in all cell lines, which trended towards increased selectivity to ACVR1 mutant cell lines [252]. In their report, they identify the concentrations required to inhibit cell growth by half (defined as GI<sub>50</sub>) in the range of 0.86-2.1 µM [252]. Parallel to this, and strongly supporting a neurodevelopmental origin of DIPG tumors, Wu and colleagues utilize a previously characterized zebrafish model [235] to functionally characterize ACVR1 mutants [282]. They demonstrate that individual variants harbor the capacity to ventralize zebrafish and lead to aberrant development of zebrafish without head and dorsal structures [282]. In order of increasing severity of the phenotype, ACVR1 R258G, G328E, G328W, R206H, G356D and G328V variants demonstrate differential ventralization ability correlating with levels of activation and downstream SMAD1/5 phosphorylation [282]. Moreover, the selective ACVR1/ALK2 inhibitor LDN-193189 at a concentration of 2.5 µM was capable of partially rescuing dorsal structures and blocked SMAD1/5 phosphorylation [282]. These data demonstrate that selective targeting of ACVR1 through small molecule inhibition may form a novel means of correcting aberrant neurodevelopmental signaling and inhibiting DIPG tumor growth.

The neuroanatomical specificity of ACVR1 mutations and their strong association with younger patient age suggests a neurodevelopmental origin for DIPG tumorigenesis. Data illustrating increased ventralization of zebrafish in response to ACVR1 variant expression described above, powerfully implicates such variants in these processes [235]. Gliomas however have not been recurrently reported in patients with germline ACVR1 mutations and FOP. Heterotopic ossification usually starts to present around age 2-6 years and patients usually die of their disease before the age of 40 due to thoracic muscle ossification and subsequent cardiopulmonary defects [254]. This suggests that additional hits are required for tumorigenesis in the CNS. However, reports of recurrent neurological symptoms such as headache and neuropathic pain amongst other symptoms define the clinical spectrum of FOP patients [145], as well as CNS structural abnormalities such as demyelinated lesions identifiable by MRI [127]. Strikingly, Kan and colleagues report distinct CNS demyelination observed in two mouse models of FOP and four FOP patients. Mouse models, including a transgenic BMP4 overexpression model, under control of the neuron-specific enolase promoter (Nse-BMP4) [126], and a classic FOP ACVR1 R206H knock-in model [38], demonstrated hyper-intense brain and spinal cord lesions on small animal MRI [127]. Younger FOP patients aged 27 and 33 months respectively, examined by MRI demonstrated pontine and cerebellar type II lesions, more characteristic of developmental demyelination [127]. When mouse hyperintense regions were examined by IHC for myelin directly (utilizing luxol fast blue) or myelin basic protein (MBP), notable demyelination was observed in these areas, confirming a correlation with MRI hyper-intensities [127]. Interestingly, ACVR1 R206H knock-in mutant cells that harbor a neomycin resistance marker (neo+), did not co-

localize with CNPase, a marker of oligodendrocytes [127]. Further to this, mutant cells were strongly glial fibrillary acidic protein positive (GFAP+) and also expressed neuronal marker  $\beta$ -III tubulin [127]. BMP signaling has been shown to inhibit oligodendroglial lineage commitment and promote astrocyte cell fates [222,87]. Furthermore, BMPs were shown to regulate GBM tumorigenicity in tumor initiating cells (TICs) in a negative manner [205], later shown to occur through epigenetic control of the *BMPR1B* promoter by EZH2 which, when activated, was able to restore astroglial differentiation [158]. Interestingly, constitutively active ALK2 mutations, when expressed in endothelial cells, promoted stem-like cell fates through endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition [182]. In the context of DIPG discussed herein, concurrent reports demonstrate ACVR1 mutations localized exclusively to the pons in H3 K27M mutant tumors (mostly H3.1 K27M), associated with substantial activation of downstream SMAD signaling and transcriptional target expression. Reconciling data illustrating the negative modulation of active BMP signaling on tumorigenicity in GBM TICs, promotion of mesenchymal stem-like cell fates in endothelial cells with the presence of gain-of-function ACVR1 mutations in DIPG cells and loss of viability with ACVR1 inhibition is of great interest and necessitates future study. One can surmise that based on its developmental functions notably favoring formation of ventral structures, ACVR1 hyper-active mutants would favor growth of DIPG cells rather than cells derived from supratentorial regions. ACVR1 and BMP pathways are critical for embryogenesis and left-right pattern symmetry [144]. In addition, pro-astrocytic differentiation which can be enabled via increased BMP-SMAD signaling in ACVR1 mutants preferentially in the ventral brainstem, may be aberrantly modulated by concurrent epigenomic dysregulation associated with H3 K27M.

Presence of regionally-specific activating mutations in defined neuroanatomical regions may suggest importance of the microenvironment to tumor growth. Future studies examining the interaction between CNS cellular programming and differentiation and epigenomic dysregulation will be crucial to elucidating specific pathways for gliomagenesis.

# 5.4 Aneuploidy, aging and pilocytic astrocytoma: Inner workings of a tumor beyond the MAPK pathway

Many independent studies have confirmed a central role for MAPK hyper-activity and PA tumorigenesis. Mouse models created utilizing the avian retoviral RCAS/Tv-a system and mutant *BRAF* have been shown to develop tumors which recapitulated PA histopathology [91]. Recent characterization of PA tumors across the CNS have shed light on the distribution of molecular alterations in these tumors (reviewed in [117]). Seminal work by Jones and colleagues further characterized common 7q34 duplication found to arise in PA tumors as tandem in nature and creating a novel in-frame fusion of *KIAA1549-BRAF* [121]. This fusion was shown to be transforming and allow for constitutive activity of the BRAF kinase domain and characterized the vast majority of PA tumors specifically located in cerebellar areas [121].

PA tumors arising in non-cerebellar areas pose a considerable burden for grosstotal surgical resection and are more challenging to treat. PA tumors, much like HGGs, demonstrate neuroanatomical specificity of genetic alterations [117]. Whereas *KIAA1549-BRAF* fusions predominate in cerebellar PA, areas such as the optic pathway, thalamus/diencephalon, cerebral cortex and spinal cord have been shown to harbor lower frequencies [117]. *BRAF* V600E and insertional mutants are more common in non-

cerebellar regions; 16/20 *BRAF* mutant tumors described in Chapter 4 arise outside of the cerebellum. Moreover, PA tumors arising in the context of NF1 syndrome, tend to occur within optic pathways [117]. Novel *FGFR1* mutations in addition have been shown to occur in thalamic regions, notably in association with NF1 and *H3F3A* K27M mutations in thalamic GBM and very rare cases of PA tumors arising here [118]. Taken together, this demonstrates that PA tumors arising in diverse neuroanatomical regions may not only pose considerable burden due to surgical inaccessibility, but are molecular distinct in their means of activation of the MAPK pathway.

In Chapter 4, we describe the genome-wide whole chromosomal copy number analysis of 222 adult and pediatric PA tumors to better characterize the phenotype of aneuploidy first seen in 2006 in association with age [119]. We demonstrate that aneuploidy is common overall in PA, with 22.5% (50/222) of PA genomes demonstrating at least one chromosomal gain. Whole chromosomal losses were not observed in our dataset, but rather a non-random pattern of chromosomal gains favoring specific chromosomes. Gains of chromosomes 5, 7, 6 and 11 in order of frequency were overrepresented across the background level of gains in 50 aneuploid PA tumors, whereas chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22 were under-represented. Aneuploid tumors occurred across the CNS, and notably more than half of these tumors were localized in non-cerebellar areas. Aneuploid tumors were largely KIAA1549-BRAF fusion wild-type. Strikingly, 8/15 tumors harboring BRAF V600E or an insertional mutant were aneuploid, illustrating a strong association of gain-of-function BRAF point mutants and this phenotype. Aneuploidy was associated strongly with age in PA, and patients with aneuploid tumors were on average approximately 10 years older than those with euploid

tumors. When gene expression profiles of aneuploid tumors were compared to euploid tumors, differential expression revealed correlation with an increased representation of genes on chromosomes involved in gains, including 5, 6 and 7 with a highly significant representation of chromosomes on the q-arm of chromosome 5. These 503 genes included pathways with roles in the cell cycle, CNS development and the unfolded protein response (UPR). Increased expression of the polo-like kinase, *PLK2*, and the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 was seen in aneuploid tumors. Further analysis revealed that *MDM2* expression was highest amongst aneuploid PA tumors in a comparison with other gliomas and normal brain tissues and correlated with age and MDM2 SNP 309 genotype in PA. Interestingly, a vast number of genes correlated strongly with MDM2 expression uniquely in PA tumors and not other gliomas. Application of highly stringent statistical criteria (FDR<1x10<sup>-15</sup>) and subsequent Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed enrichment of pathways involved in cell cycle, chromosomal segregation and the UPR. Notably, mapping of this 742-gene signature revealed representation on chromosome 5q, but not other amplified chromosomes, suggesting a potential driver effect of MDM2correlated programs and aneuploidy in PA.

As described in Chapter 4, Mdm2 transgenic mice show exacerbated levels of chromosomal gains with age [171]. Importantly, Mdm2 expression in these mouse models was shown to modulate the frequency of gains, but not loss [171], which is analogous to phenotypes observed in PA tumors. Moreover, we provide strong evidence for robust correlations of genes with *MDM2* expression across PA samples including pathways that could actively promote or provide tolerance to aneuploidy. A variety of mechanisms can lead to aneuploidy in cells, which may include aberrant cell division,

abnormal numbers of centrosomes, dysfunctional mitotic spindle assembly amongst others (reviewed in [88]). Harboring an additional complement of one or more chromosomes is accompanied by a certain degree of genotoxic and proteotoxic stress [88], illustrated by the limited number of germline aneuploid syndromes observed in humans. Accumulation of misfolded proteins as a result necessitates activation of mechanisms which can handle the proteotoxic environment [88]. Ubiquitin-mediated proteosomal degradation may prove an interesting target pathway for investigation in these contexts.

#### 5.5 Future prospects and experimentation

Throughout this thesis we have discussed the literature base and described three studies identifying genetic and epigenetic mechanisms at play within patient gliomas. Investigation utilizing array technology and next-generation sequencing have revolutionized the study of patient samples. In the chapters above, the use of these technologies resulted in the identification of *SETD2* mutations [72], *ACVR1* mutations [71] and characterization of aneuploidy in pediatric brain tumors. Prospective studies will necessitate further characterization of the mechanistic implications of these mutations and the manner in which we can target them to selectively kill tumor cells. As discussed above, recent reports have emerged describing a role for epigenetic modifications, specifically H3K36me3, in mRNA splicing [170,169]. In addition, intricacy of SETD2/H3K36me3 and transcriptional regulation has been well-established [260,10,276]. Future studies focusing on the transcriptomic implications of *SETD2* loss-of-function mutations within cortical gliomas will be of interest for investigation. Given

the recent reports of RNA sequencing analysis within GBM tumors [241,75], and increasing feasibility of RNA sequencing data analysis (reviewed in [272]), focused studies of H3K36-altered tumors will allow for an exploration of transcriptional aberrations. Moreover, integrating epigenomic information enabled by ChIP-seq of histone marks [39,20,17], will enable global characterization of the genomic/epigenomic interface within these tumors.

The identification of distinct growth factor receptor mutations associated with histone H3.3 or H3.1 K27M mutations in different brain regions implicates novel therapeutic avenues [71]. Creating accurate cell culture and mouse models of H3.3 and H3.1 K27M or H3.3 G34R/V mutation will undoubtedly be critical tools for compound screening and the next step for mechanistic investigation. Co-expression of ACVR1, FGFR1, PDGFRA or TP53 mutations, documented concurrent mutations in association with histone mutations in patient samples, may be a required step prior to accurate recapitulation of tumorigenesis in these models. Indeed, as discussed above, gliomas have not been reported in patients with germline ACVR1 variants, or mouse models of activated BMP or ACVR1. Novel genome engineering strategies enabled by zinc finger nuclease (ZFN) [34], transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN) [257] and notably clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) [49,174] technologies will undoubtedly be of use for creation of such models. Engineered creation and correction of histone and partner mutations such as ACVR1 will enable investigation into the sufficiency of these mutation clusters in driving these subgroups of tumors. Patient-derived xenografts in immunocompromised mice through intracranial injections

in corresponding neuroanatomical regions may permit investigation of the microenvironment in contextual growth of tumor cells harboring specific mutations.

In much the same fashion as *ACVR1* mutations form a novel avenue for accelerated therapeutic targeting of DIPGs, the UPR may prove to be a mechanism that has potential for exploitation in aneuploid PA. As we have discussed above aneuploidspecific and *MDM2*-correlated programs include elements related to UPR and mechanisms dealing with dosage compensation in aneuploid PA tumors. Future studies focusing on genetic or pharmacological inhibition of MDM2 or the UPR in aneuploid PA models will be of interest in assessing this phenotype. Targeting the ubiquitin-proteasome and protein folding pathways as a means to counter mechanisms of dosage compensation has been suggested for selectively killing aneuploid cells [88]. Chromosome transfer strategies coupled with MDM2/UPR inhibition may be of interest for future mechanistic studies in this regard. Furthermore, additional studies in PA tumor cells may be of interest to elucidate whether aneuploid defects arise as a result of pre-mitotic DNA replication stress as recently shown by seminal work in colorectal cancer cells [32](reviewed in [33]).

### 5.6 Conclusion

Brain tumors are among the most feared diagnoses in oncology. Even with modern gold standard treatments, survival for high-grade brain tumors remains dismal. Moreover, the emergence of reports demonstrating distinct molecular mechanisms in pediatric tumors, combined with currently adopted strategies from adult trials, necessitates change for better outcomes. Herein we have explored the genomic

landscapes of pediatric high-grade gliomas including GBM and DIPG, and low-grade PA tumors across the lifespan. We characterize the frequent presence of epigenetic driver mutations, including histone genes and companion chromatin-associated machinery affecting H3K36 methylation, in pediatric tumors of the cerebral hemispheres. We also investigated tumor biopsies of midline regions and determine predominance of H3 K27M mutations and partner growth factor receptor mutations including *ACVR1* in distinct brain regions. Additionally we performed profiling studies and characterized the phenotype of aneuploidy more frequent in adult tumors that may be enabled by specific mechanisms. These data demonstrate that gliomas diagnosed in different age groups and brain locations harbor exquisitely specific molecular profiles, although they appear identical in routine histopathology. As such, moving forward will necessitate the adoption of genomic and epigenomic strategies to complement neuropathology and focus on a molecular re-classification of brain tumors as a critical step to improving the lives of affected patients and their families.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Gibbs RA, Hurles ME, McVean GA (2010) A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 467:1061-1073. doi:10.1038/nature09534
- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev SR (2010) A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nature methods 7:248-249. doi:10.1038/nmeth0410-248
- Aghili M, Zahedi F, Rafiee E (2009) Hydroxyglutaric aciduria and malignant brain tumor: a case report and literature review. Journal of neuro-oncology 91:233-236. doi:10.1007/s11060-008-9706-2
- 4. Aihara K, Mukasa A, Gotoh K, Saito K, Nagae G, Tsuji S, Tatsuno K, Yamamoto S, Takayanagi S, Narita Y, Shibui S, Aburatani H, Saito N (2014) H3F3A K27M

mutations in thalamic gliomas from young adult patients. Neuro-oncology 16:140-146. doi:10.1093/neuonc/not144

- 5. Akasaka N, Tohyama J, Ogawa A, Takachi T, Watanabe A, Asami K (2013) Refractory infantile spasms associated with mosaic variegated aneuploidy syndrome. Pediatric neurology 49:364-367. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2013.05.014
- 6. Allsopp RC, Vaziri H, Patterson C, Goldstein S, Younglai EV, Futcher AB, Greider CW, Harley CB (1992) Telomere length predicts replicative capacity of human fibroblasts. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 89:10114-10118
- 7. Armanios M, Blackburn EH (2012) The telomere syndromes. Nature reviews Genetics 13:693-704. doi:10.1038/nrg3246
- Armanios M, Chen JL, Chang YP, Brodsky RA, Hawkins A, Griffin CA, Eshleman JR, Cohen AR, Chakravarti A, Hamosh A, Greider CW (2005) Haploinsufficiency of telomerase reverse transcriptase leads to anticipation in autosomal dominant dyskeratosis congenita. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:15960-15964. doi:10.1073/pnas.0508124102
- 9. Atak ZK, Gianfelici V, Hulselmans G, De Keersmaecker K, Devasia AG, Geerdens E, Mentens N, Chiaretti S, Durinck K, Uyttebroeck A, Vandenberghe P, Wlodarska I, Cloos J, Foa R, Speleman F, Cools J, Aerts S (2013) Comprehensive analysis of transcriptome variation uncovers known and novel driver events in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. PLoS genetics 9:e1003997. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003997
- Aymard F, Bugler B, Schmidt CK, Guillou E, Caron P, Briois S, Iacovoni JS, Daburon V, Miller KM, Jackson SP, Legube G (2014) Transcriptionally active chromatin recruits homologous recombination at DNA double-strand breaks. Nature structural & molecular biology 21:366-374. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2796
- Ballester R, Marchuk D, Boguski M, Saulino A, Letcher R, Wigler M, Collins F (1990) The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 63:851-859
- Bar EE, Lin A, Tihan T, Burger PC, Eberhart CG (2008) Frequent gains at chromosome 7q34 involving BRAF in pilocytic astrocytoma. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 67:878-887. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e3181845622
- Barber LJ, Youds JL, Ward JD, McIlwraith MJ, O'Neil NJ, Petalcorin MI, Martin JS, Collis SJ, Cantor SB, Auclair M, Tissenbaum H, West SC, Rose AM, Boulton SJ (2008) RTEL1 maintains genomic stability by suppressing homologous recombination. Cell 135:261-271. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.08.016
- 14. Barker D, Wright E, Nguyen K, Cannon L, Fain P, Goldgar D, Bishop DT, Carey J, Baty B, Kivlin J, et al. (1987) Gene for von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis is in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17. Science 236:1100-1102
- 15. Bartels U, Hawkins C, Vezina G, Kun L, Souweidane M, Bouffet E (2011) Proceedings of the diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) Toronto Think Tank: advancing basic and translational research and cooperation in DIPG. Journal of neuro-oncology 105:119-125. doi:10.1007/s11060-011-0704-4

- 16. Behjati S, Tarpey PS, Presneau N, Scheipl S, Pillay N, Van Loo P, Wedge DC, Cooke SL, Gundem G, Davies H, Nik-Zainal S, Martin S, McLaren S, Goody V, Robinson B, Butler A, Teague JW, Halai D, Khatri B, Myklebost O, Baumhoer D, Jundt G, Hamoudi R, Tirabosco R, Amary MF, Futreal PA, Stratton MR, Campbell PJ, Flanagan AM (2013) Distinct H3F3A and H3F3B driver mutations define chondroblastoma and giant cell tumor of bone. Nature genetics 45:1479-1482. doi:10.1038/ng.2814
- 17. Bender S, Tang Y, Lindroth AM, Hovestadt V, Jones DT, Kool M, Zapatka M, Northcott PA, Sturm D, Wang W, Radlwimmer B, Hojfeldt JW, Truffaux N, Castel D, Schubert S, Ryzhova M, Seker-Cin H, Gronych J, Johann PD, Stark S, Meyer J, Milde T, Schuhmann M, Ebinger M, Monoranu CM, Ponnuswami A, Chen S, Jones C, Witt O, Collins VP, von Deimling A, Jabado N, Puget S, Grill J, Helin K, Korshunov A, Lichter P, Monje M, Plass C, Cho YJ, Pfister SM (2013) Reduced H3K27me3 and DNA hypomethylation are major drivers of gene expression in K27M mutant pediatric high-grade gliomas. Cancer cell 24:660-672. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2013.10.006
- 18. Berchtold NC, Cribbs DH, Coleman PD, Rogers J, Head E, Kim R, Beach T, Miller C, Troncoso J, Trojanowski JQ, Zielke HR, Cotman CW (2008) Gene expression changes in the course of normal brain aging are sexually dimorphic. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:15605-15610. doi:10.1073/pnas.0806883105
- 19. Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Jiao Y, Wang Y, Wood LD, Rodriguez FJ, Hruban RH, Gallia GL, Binder ZA, Riggins CJ, Salmasi V, Riggins GJ, Reitman ZJ, Rasheed A, Keir S, Shinjo S, Marie S, McLendon R, Jallo G, Vogelstein B, Bigner D, Yan H, Kinzler KW, Papadopoulos N (2013) Exomic sequencing of four rare central nervous system tumor types. Oncotarget 4:572-583
- 20. Bjerke L, Mackay A, Nandhabalan M, Burford A, Jury A, Popov S, Bax DA, Carvalho D, Taylor KR, Vinci M, Bajrami I, McGonnell IM, Lord CJ, Reis RM, Hargrave D, Ashworth A, Workman P, Jones C (2013) Histone H3.3 Mutations Drive Pediatric Glioblastoma through Upregulation of MYCN. Cancer discovery. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0426
- 21. Boldrini L, Pistolesi S, Gisfredi S, Ursino S, Ali G, Pieracci N, Basolo F, Parenti G, Fontanini G (2006) Telomerase activity and hTERT mRNA expression in glial tumors. International journal of oncology 28:1555-1560
- 22. Bond GL, Hu W, Bond EE, Robins H, Lutzker SG, Arva NC, Bargonetti J, Bartel F, Taubert H, Wuerl P, Onel K, Yip L, Hwang SJ, Strong LC, Lozano G, Levine AJ (2004) A single nucleotide polymorphism in the MDM2 promoter attenuates the p53 tumor suppressor pathway and accelerates tumor formation in humans. Cell 119:591-602. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2004.11.022
- 23. Bouska A, Lushnikova T, Plaza S, Eischen CM (2008) Mdm2 promotes genetic instability and transformation independent of p53. Molecular and cellular biology 28:4862-4874. doi:10.1128/MCB.01584-07
- 24. Brevini TA, Pennarossa G, Maffei S, Tettamanti G, Vanelli A, Isaac S, Eden A, Ledda S, de Eguileor M, Gandolfi F (2012) Centrosome amplification and chromosomal instability in human and animal parthenogenetic cell lines. Stem cell reviews 8:1076-1087. doi:10.1007/s12015-012-9379-2

- 25. Brinkman AB, Gu H, Bartels SJ, Zhang Y, Matarese F, Simmer F, Marks H, Bock C, Gnirke A, Meissner A, Stunnenberg HG (2012) Sequential ChIP-bisulfite sequencing enables direct genome-scale investigation of chromatin and DNA methylation cross-talk. Genome research 22:1128-1138. doi:10.1101/gr.133728.111
- 26. Broderick DK, Di C, Parrett TJ, Samuels YR, Cummins JM, McLendon RE, Fults DW, Velculescu VE, Bigner DD, Yan H (2004) Mutations of PIK3CA in anaplastic oligodendrogliomas, high-grade astrocytomas, and medulloblastomas. Cancer research 64:5048-5050. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-1170
- 27. Brooks CL, Li M, Hu M, Shi Y, Gu W (2007) The p53--Mdm2--HAUSP complex is involved in p53 stabilization by HAUSP. Oncogene 26:7262-7266. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210531
- 28. Bryan TM, Englezou A, Dalla-Pozza L, Dunham MA, Reddel RR (1997) Evidence for an alternative mechanism for maintaining telomere length in human tumors and tumor-derived cell lines. Nature medicine 3:1271-1274
- 29. Bryan TM, Marusic L, Bacchetti S, Namba M, Reddel RR (1997) The telomere lengthening mechanism in telomerase-negative immortal human cells does not involve the telomerase RNA subunit. Human molecular genetics 6:921-926
- Bryan TM, Reddel RR (1997) Telomere dynamics and telomerase activity in in vitro immortalised human cells. Eur J Cancer 33:767-773. doi:10.1016/S0959-8049(97)00065-8
- 31. Buczkowicz P, Hoeman C, Rakopoulos P, Pajovic S, Letourneau L, Dzamba M, Morrison A, Lewis P, Bouffet E, Bartels U, Zuccaro J, Agnihotri S, Ryall S, Barszczyk M, Chornenkyy Y, Bourgey M, Bourque G, Montpetit A, Cordero F, Castelo-Branco P, Mangerel J, Tabori U, Ho KC, Huang A, Taylor KR, Mackay A, Bendel AE, Nazarian J, Fangusaro JR, Karajannis MA, Zagzag D, Foreman NK, Donson A, Hegert JV, Smith A, Chan J, Lafay-Cousin L, Dunn S, Hukin J, Dunham C, Scheinemann K, Michaud J, Zelcer S, Ramsay D, Cain J, Brennan C, Souweidane MM, Jones C, Allis CD, Brudno M, Becher O, Hawkins C (2014) Genomic analysis of diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas identifies three molecular subgroups and recurrent activating ACVR1 mutations. Nature genetics 46:451-456. doi:10.1038/ng.2936
- 32. Burrell RA, McClelland SE, Endesfelder D, Groth P, Weller MC, Shaikh N, Domingo E, Kanu N, Dewhurst SM, Gronroos E, Chew SK, Rowan AJ, Schenk A, Sheffer M, Howell M, Kschischo M, Behrens A, Helleday T, Bartek J, Tomlinson IP, Swanton C (2013) Replication stress links structural and numerical cancer chromosomal instability. Nature 494:492-496. doi:10.1038/nature11935
- 33. Burrell RA, McGranahan N, Bartek J, Swanton C (2013) The causes and consequences of genetic heterogeneity in cancer evolution. Nature 501:338-345. doi:10.1038/nature12625
- 34. Carroll D (2011) Genome engineering with zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics 188:773-782. doi:10.1534/genetics.111.131433
- 35. Carvalho S, Vitor AC, Sridhara SC, Martins FB, Raposo AC, Desterro JM, Ferreira J, de Almeida SF (2014) SETD2 is required for DNA double-strand break repair and activation of the p53-mediated checkpoint. eLife 3:e02482. doi:10.7554/eLife.02482

- 36. Cawthon RM, O'Connell P, Buchberg AM, Viskochil D, Weiss RB, Culver M, Stevens J, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, White R (1990) Identification and characterization of transcripts from the neurofibromatosis 1 region: the sequence and genomic structure of EVI2 and mapping of other transcripts. Genomics 7:555-565
- 37. Chaikuad A, Alfano I, Kerr G, Sanvitale CE, Boergermann JH, Triffitt JT, von Delft F, Knapp S, Knaus P, Bullock AN (2012) Structure of the bone morphogenetic protein receptor ALK2 and implications for fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. The Journal of biological chemistry 287:36990-36998. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.365932
- 38. Chakkalakal SA, Zhang D, Culbert AL, Convente MR, Caron RJ, Wright AC, Maidment AD, Kaplan FS, Shore EM (2012) An Acvr1 R206H knock-in mouse has fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 27:1746-1756. doi:10.1002/jbmr.1637
- 39. Chan KM, Fang D, Gan H, Hashizume R, Yu C, Schroeder M, Gupta N, Mueller S, James CD, Jenkins R, Sarkaria J, Zhang Z (2013) The histone H3.3K27M mutation in pediatric glioma reprograms H3K27 methylation and gene expression. Genes & development 27:985-990. doi:10.1101/gad.217778.113
- 40. Chandhok NS, Pellman D (2009) A little CIN may cost a lot: revisiting aneuploidy and cancer. Current opinion in genetics & development 19:74-81. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2008.12.004
- 41. Chen G, Bradford WD, Seidel CW, Li R (2012) Hsp90 stress potentiates rapid cellular adaptation through induction of aneuploidy. Nature 482:246-250. doi:10.1038/nature10795
- 42. Chen YH, Gutmann DH (2014) The molecular and cell biology of pediatric lowgrade gliomas. Oncogene 33:2019-2026. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.148
- 43. Cheng Y, Pang JC, Ng HK, Ding M, Zhang SF, Zheng J, Liu DG, Poon WS (2000) Pilocytic astrocytomas do not show most of the genetic changes commonly seen in diffuse astrocytomas. Histopathology 37:437-444
- 44. Chojnacka M, Pedziwiatr K, Skowronska-Gardas A, Perek-Polnik M, Perek D, Olasek P (2014) Second brain tumors following central nervous system radiotherapy in childhood. The British journal of radiology:20140211. doi:10.1259/bjr.20140211
- 45. Chowdhury R, Yeoh KK, Tian YM, Hillringhaus L, Bagg EA, Rose NR, Leung IK, Li XS, Woon EC, Yang M, McDonough MA, King ON, Clifton IJ, Klose RJ, Claridge TD, Ratcliffe PJ, Schofield CJ, Kawamura A (2011) The oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate inhibits histone lysine demethylases. EMBO reports 12:463-469. doi:10.1038/embor.2011.43
- 46. Cin H, Meyer C, Herr R, Janzarik WG, Lambert S, Jones DT, Jacob K, Benner A, Witt H, Remke M, Bender S, Falkenstein F, Van Anh TN, Olbrich H, von Deimling A, Pekrun A, Kulozik AE, Gnekow A, Scheurlen W, Witt O, Omran H, Jabado N, Collins VP, Brummer T, Marschalek R, Lichter P, Korshunov A, Pfister SM (2011) Oncogenic FAM131B-BRAF fusion resulting from 7q34 deletion comprises an alternative mechanism of MAPK pathway activation in

pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta neuropathologica 121:763-774. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0817-z

- 47. Cloughesy TF, Mischel PS (2011) New strategies in the molecular targeting of glioblastoma: how do you hit a moving target? Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 17:6-11. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-2268
- 48. Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways (2008). Nature 455:1061-1068. doi:10.1038/nature07385
- 49. Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini LA, Zhang F (2013) Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339:819-823. doi:10.1126/science.1231143
- 50. Crasta K, Ganem NJ, Dagher R, Lantermann AB, Ivanova EV, Pan Y, Nezi L, Protopopov A, Chowdhury D, Pellman D (2012) DNA breaks and chromosome pulverization from errors in mitosis. Nature 482:53-58. doi:10.1038/nature10802
- 51. Cui Y, Borysova MK, Johnson JO, Guadagno TM (2010) Oncogenic B-Raf(V600E) induces spindle abnormalities, supernumerary centrosomes, and aneuploidy in human melanocytic cells. Cancer research 70:675-684. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1491
- 52. Cui Y, Guadagno TM (2008) B-Raf(V600E) signaling deregulates the mitotic spindle checkpoint through stabilizing Mps1 levels in melanoma cells. Oncogene 27:3122-3133. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210972
- 53. Cuny GD, Yu PB, Laha JK, Xing X, Liu JF, Lai CS, Deng DY, Sachidanandan C, Bloch KD, Peterson RT (2008) Structure-activity relationship study of bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling inhibitors. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters 18:4388-4392. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.06.052
- 54. Dahiya S, Yu J, Kaul A, Leonard JR, Gutmann DH (2012) Novel BRAF Alteration in a Sporadic Pilocytic Astrocytoma. Case reports in medicine 2012:418672. doi:10.1155/2012/418672
- 55. Dalgliesh GL, Furge K, Greenman C, Chen L, Bignell G, Butler A, Davies H, Edkins S, Hardy C, Latimer C, Teague J, Andrews J, Barthorpe S, Beare D, Buck G, Campbell PJ, Forbes S, Jia M, Jones D, Knott H, Kok CY, Lau KW, Leroy C, Lin ML, McBride DJ, Maddison M, Maguire S, McLay K, Menzies A, Mironenko T, Mulderrig L, Mudie L, O'Meara S, Pleasance E, Rajasingham A, Shepherd R, Smith R, Stebbings L, Stephens P, Tang G, Tarpey PS, Turrell K, Dykema KJ, Khoo SK, Petillo D, Wondergem B, Anema J, Kahnoski RJ, Teh BT, Stratton MR, Futreal PA (2010) Systematic sequencing of renal carcinoma reveals inactivation of histone modifying genes. Nature 463:360-363. doi:10.1038/nature08672
- 56. Dang L, White DW, Gross S, Bennett BD, Bittinger MA, Driggers EM, Fantin VR, Jang HG, Jin S, Keenan MC, Marks KM, Prins RM, Ward PS, Yen KE, Liau LM, Rabinowitz JD, Cantley LC, Thompson CB, Vander Heiden MG, Su SM (2009) Cancer-associated IDH1 mutations produce 2-hydroxyglutarate. Nature 462:739-744. doi:10.1038/nature08617
- 57. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, Teague J, Woffendin H, Garnett MJ, Bottomley W, Davis N, Dicks E, Ewing R, Floyd Y, Gray K, Hall S, Hawes R, Hughes J, Kosmidou V, Menzies A, Mould C, Parker A, Stevens C,

Watt S, Hooper S, Wilson R, Jayatilake H, Gusterson BA, Cooper C, Shipley J, Hargrave D, Pritchard-Jones K, Maitland N, Chenevix-Trench G, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Palmieri G, Cossu A, Flanagan A, Nicholson A, Ho JW, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Weber BL, Seigler HF, Darrow TL, Paterson H, Marais R, Marshall CJ, Wooster R, Stratton MR, Futreal PA (2002) Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417:949-954. doi:10.1038/nature00766

- 58. Dawson MA, Kouzarides T (2012) Cancer epigenetics: from mechanism to therapy. Cell 150:12-27. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.013
- 59. Dawson MA, Kouzarides T, Huntly BJ (2012) Targeting epigenetic readers in cancer. The New England journal of medicine 367:647-657. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1112635
- 60. Dhayalan A, Tamas R, Bock I, Tattermusch A, Dimitrova E, Kudithipudi S, Ragozin S, Jeltsch A (2011) The ATRX-ADD domain binds to H3 tail peptides and reads the combined methylation state of K4 and K9. Human molecular genetics 20:2195-2203. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddr107
- 61. Dolecek TA, Propp JM, Stroup NE, Kruchko C (2012) CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2005-2009. Neuro-oncology 14 Suppl 5:v1-49. doi:10.1093/neuonc/nos218
- 62. Dunham MA, Neumann AA, Fasching CL, Reddel RR (2000) Telomere maintenance by recombination in human cells. Nature genetics 26:447-450. doi:10.1038/82586
- 63. Eckert A, Kloor M, Giersch A, Ahmadi R, Herold-Mende C, Hampl JA, Heppner FL, Zoubaa S, Holinski-Feder E, Pietsch T, Wiestler OD, von Knebel Doeberitz M, Roth W, Gebert J (2007) Microsatellite instability in pediatric and adult highgrade gliomas. Brain Pathol 17:146-150. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2007.00049.x
- 64. Edmunds JW, Mahadevan LC, Clayton AL (2008) Dynamic histone H3 methylation during gene induction: HYPB/Setd2 mediates all H3K36 trimethylation. The EMBO journal 27:406-420. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601967
- 65. Eisenhardt AE, Olbrich H, Roring M, Janzarik W, Anh TN, Cin H, Remke M, Witt H, Korshunov A, Pfister SM, Omran H, Brummer T (2011) Functional characterization of a BRAF insertion mutant associated with pilocytic astrocytoma. International journal of cancer Journal international du cancer 129:2297-2303. doi:10.1002/ijc.25893
- 66. Faggioli F, Vijg J, Montagna C (2011) Chromosomal aneuploidy in the aging brain. Mechanisms of ageing and development 132:429-436. doi:10.1016/j.mad.2011.04.008
- 67. Faggioli F, Wang T, Vijg J, Montagna C (2012) Chromosome-specific accumulation of aneuploidy in the aging mouse brain. Human molecular genetics 21:5246-5253. doi:10.1093/hmg/dds375
- 68. Faury D, Nantel A, Dunn SE, Guiot MC, Haque T, Hauser P, Garami M, Bognar L, Hanzely Z, Liberski PP, Lopez-Aguilar E, Valera ET, Tone LG, Carret AS, Del Maestro RF, Gleave M, Montes JL, Pietsch T, Albrecht S, Jabado N (2007) Molecular profiling identifies prognostic subgroups of pediatric glioblastoma and shows increased YB-1 expression in tumors. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 25:1196-1208. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.07.8626

- 69. Firestein R, Bass AJ, Kim SY, Dunn IF, Silver SJ, Guney I, Freed E, Ligon AH, Vena N, Ogino S, Chheda MG, Tamayo P, Finn S, Shrestha Y, Boehm JS, Jain S, Bojarski E, Mermel C, Barretina J, Chan JA, Baselga J, Tabernero J, Root DE, Fuchs CS, Loda M, Shivdasani RA, Meyerson M, Hahn WC (2008) CDK8 is a colorectal cancer oncogene that regulates beta-catenin activity. Nature 455:547-551. doi:10.1038/nature07179
- 70. Fontebasso AM, Gayden T, Nikbakht H, Neirinck M, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Majewski J, Jabado N (2014) Epigenetic dysregulation: a novel pathway of oncogenesis in pediatric brain tumors. Acta neuropathologica. doi:10.1007/s00401-014-1325-8
- 71. Fontebasso AM, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Schwartzentruber J, Nikbakht H, Gerges N, Fiset PO, Bechet D, Faury D, De Jay N, Ramkissoon LA, Corcoran A, Jones DT, Sturm D, Johann P, Tomita T, Goldman S, Nagib M, Bendel A, Goumnerova L, Bowers DC, Leonard JR, Rubin JB, Alden T, Browd S, Geyer JR, Leary S, Jallo G, Cohen K, Gupta N, Prados MD, Carret AS, Ellezam B, Crevier L, Klekner A, Bognar L, Hauser P, Garami M, Myseros J, Dong Z, Siegel PM, Malkin H, Ligon AH, Albrecht S, Pfister SM, Ligon KL, Majewski J, Jabado N, Kieran MW (2014) Recurrent somatic mutations in ACVR1 in pediatric midline high-grade astrocytoma. Nature genetics 46:462-466. doi:10.1038/ng.2950
- 72. Fontebasso AM, Schwartzentruber J, Khuong-Quang DA, Liu XY, Sturm D, Korshunov A, Jones DT, Witt H, Kool M, Albrecht S, Fleming A, Hadjadj D, Busche S, Lepage P, Montpetit A, Staffa A, Gerges N, Zakrzewska M, Zakrzewski K, Liberski PP, Hauser P, Garami M, Klekner A, Bognar L, Zadeh G, Faury D, Pfister SM, Jabado N, Majewski J (2013) Mutations in SETD2 and genes affecting histone H3K36 methylation target hemispheric high-grade gliomas. Acta neuropathologica 125:659-669. doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1095-8
- 73. Forshew T, Tatevossian RG, Lawson AR, Ma J, Neale G, Ogunkolade BW, Jones TA, Aarum J, Dalton J, Bailey S, Chaplin T, Carter RL, Gajjar A, Broniscer A, Young BD, Ellison DW, Sheer D (2009) Activation of the ERK/MAPK pathway: a signature genetic defect in posterior fossa pilocytic astrocytomas. The Journal of pathology 218:172-181. doi:10.1002/path.2558
- 74. Frange P, Alapetite C, Gaboriaud G, Bours D, Zucker JM, Zerah M, Brisse H, Chevignard M, Mosseri V, Bouffet E, Doz F (2009) From childhood to adulthood: long-term outcome of medulloblastoma patients. The Institut Curie experience (1980-2000). Journal of neuro-oncology 95:271-279. doi:10.1007/s11060-009-9927-z
- 75. Frattini V, Trifonov V, Chan JM, Castano A, Lia M, Abate F, Keir ST, Ji AX, Zoppoli P, Niola F, Danussi C, Dolgalev I, Porrati P, Pellegatta S, Heguy A, Gupta G, Pisapia DJ, Canoll P, Bruce JN, McLendon RE, Yan H, Aldape K, Finocchiaro G, Mikkelsen T, Prive GG, Bigner DD, Lasorella A, Rabadan R, Iavarone A (2013) The integrated landscape of driver genomic alterations in glioblastoma. Nature genetics 45:1141-1149. doi:10.1038/ng.2734
- 76. Freeman CR, Perilongo G (1999) Chemotherapy for brain stem gliomas. Child's nervous system : ChNS : official journal of the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery 15:545-553

- 77. Friedman DL, Whitton J, Leisenring W, Mertens AC, Hammond S, Stovall M, Donaldson SS, Meadows AT, Robison LL, Neglia JP (2010) Subsequent neoplasms in 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 102:1083-1095. doi:10.1093/jnci/djq238
- 78. Fryssira H, Leventopoulos G, Psoni S, Kitsiou-Tzeli S, Stavrianeas N, Kanavakis E (2008) Tumor development in three patients with Noonan syndrome. European journal of pediatrics 167:1025-1031. doi:10.1007/s00431-007-0636-3
- 79. Fukuda T, Kanomata K, Nojima J, Kokabu S, Akita M, Ikebuchi K, Jimi E, Komori T, Maruki Y, Matsuoka M, Miyazono K, Nakayama K, Nanba A, Tomoda H, Okazaki Y, Ohtake A, Oda H, Owan I, Yoda T, Haga N, Furuya H, Katagiri T (2008) A unique mutation of ALK2, G356D, found in a patient with fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva is a moderately activated BMP type I receptor. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 377:905-909. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.10.093
- 80. Fukuda T, Scott G, Komatsu Y, Araya R, Kawano M, Ray MK, Yamada M, Mishina Y (2006) Generation of a mouse with conditionally activated signaling through the BMP receptor, ALK2. Genesis 44:159-167. doi:10.1002/dvg.20201
- 81. Geisbrecht BV, Gould SJ (1999) The human PICD gene encodes a cytoplasmic and peroxisomal NADP(+)-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase. The Journal of biological chemistry 274:30527-30533
- Gerges N, Fontebasso AM, Albrecht S, Faury D, Jabado N (2013) Pediatric highgrade astrocytomas: a distinct neuro-oncological paradigm. Genome medicine 5:66. doi:10.1186/gm470
- 83. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, Gronroos E, Martinez P, Matthews N, Stewart A, Tarpey P, Varela I, Phillimore B, Begum S, McDonald NQ, Butler A, Jones D, Raine K, Latimer C, Santos CR, Nohadani M, Eklund AC, Spencer-Dene B, Clark G, Pickering L, Stamp G, Gore M, Szallasi Z, Downward J, Futreal PA, Swanton C (2012) Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. The New England journal of medicine 366:883-892. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1113205
- 84. Gessi M, Gielen GH, Hammes J, Dorner E, Muhlen AZ, Waha A, Pietsch T (2013) H3.3 G34R mutations in pediatric primitive neuroectodermal tumors of central nervous system (CNS-PNET) and pediatric glioblastomas: possible diagnostic and therapeutic implications? Journal of neuro-oncology 112:67-72. doi:10.1007/s11060-012-1040-z
- 85. Goldberg AD, Banaszynski LA, Noh KM, Lewis PW, Elsaesser SJ, Stadler S, Dewell S, Law M, Guo X, Li X, Wen D, Chapgier A, DeKelver RC, Miller JC, Lee YL, Boydston EA, Holmes MC, Gregory PD, Greally JM, Rafii S, Yang C, Scambler PJ, Garrick D, Gibbons RJ, Higgs DR, Cristea IM, Urnov FD, Zheng D, Allis CD (2010) Distinct factors control histone variant H3.3 localization at specific genomic regions. Cell 140:678-691. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.003
- 86. Goldgar DE, Green P, Parry DM, Mulvihill JJ (1989) Multipoint linkage analysis in neurofibromatosis type I: an international collaboration. American journal of human genetics 44:6-12

- 87. Gomes WA, Mehler MF, Kessler JA (2003) Transgenic overexpression of BMP4 increases astroglial and decreases oligodendroglial lineage commitment. Developmental biology 255:164-177
- 88. Gordon DJ, Resio B, Pellman D (2012) Causes and consequences of aneuploidy in cancer. Nature reviews Genetics 13:189-203. doi:10.1038/nrg3123
- 89. Greider CW, Blackburn EH (1989) A telomeric sequence in the RNA of Tetrahymena telomerase required for telomere repeat synthesis. Nature 337:331-337. doi:10.1038/337331a0
- 90. Grondin RT, Scott RM, Smith ER (2009) Pediatric brain tumors. Advances in pediatrics 56:249-269. doi:10.1016/j.yapd.2009.08.006
- 91. Gronych J, Korshunov A, Bageritz J, Milde T, Jugold M, Hambardzumyan D, Remke M, Hartmann C, Witt H, Jones DT, Witt O, Heiland S, Bendszus M, Holland EC, Pfister S, Lichter P (2011) An activated mutant BRAF kinase domain is sufficient to induce pilocytic astrocytoma in mice. The Journal of clinical investigation 121:1344-1348. doi:10.1172/JCI44656
- 92. Gutmann DH, McLellan MD, Hussain I, Wallis JW, Fulton LL, Fulton RS, Magrini V, Demeter R, Wylie T, Kandoth C, Leonard JR, Guha A, Miller CA, Ding L, Mardis ER (2013) Somatic neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) inactivation characterizes NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytoma. Genome research 23:431-439. doi:10.1101/gr.142604.112
- 93. Gutmann DH, Wood DL, Collins FS (1991) Identification of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 88:9658-9662
- 94. Hakin-Smith V, Jellinek DA, Levy D, Carroll T, Teo M, Timperley WR, McKay MJ, Reddel RR, Royds JA (2003) Alternative lengthening of telomeres and survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Lancet 361:836-838
- 95. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2000) The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 100:57-70
- 96. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144:646-674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- 97. Hanks S, Coleman K, Reid S, Plaja A, Firth H, Fitzpatrick D, Kidd A, Mehes K, Nash R, Robin N, Shannon N, Tolmie J, Swansbury J, Irrthum A, Douglas J, Rahman N (2004) Constitutional aneuploidy and cancer predisposition caused by biallelic mutations in BUB1B. Nature genetics 36:1159-1161. doi:10.1038/ng1449
- 98. Haque T, Faury D, Albrecht S, Lopez-Aguilar E, Hauser P, Garami M, Hanzely Z, Bognar L, Del Maestro RF, Atkinson J, Nantel A, Jabado N (2007) Gene expression profiling from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumors of pediatric glioblastoma. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 13:6284-6292. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0525
- 99. Harley CB, Futcher AB, Greider CW (1990) Telomeres shorten during ageing of human fibroblasts. Nature 345:458-460. doi:10.1038/345458a0
- 100. Hartmann C, Bartels G, Gehlhaar C, Holtkamp N, von Deimling A (2005) PIK3CA mutations in glioblastoma multiforme. Acta neuropathologica 109:639-642. doi:10.1007/s00401-005-1000-1
- 101. Hasselblatt M, Riesmeier B, Lechtape B, Brentrup A, Stummer W, Albert FK, Sepehrnia A, Ebel H, Gerss J, Paulus W (2011) BRAF-KIAA1549 fusion

transcripts are less frequent in pilocytic astrocytomas diagnosed in adults. Neuropathology and applied neurobiology 37:803-806. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2990.2011.01193.x

- 102. Heaphy CM, de Wilde RF, Jiao Y, Klein AP, Edil BH, Shi C, Bettegowda C, Rodriguez FJ, Eberhart CG, Hebbar S, Offerhaus GJ, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, He Y, Yan H, Bigner DD, Oba-Shinjo SM, Marie SK, Riggins GJ, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Hruban RH, Maitra A, Papadopoulos N, Meeker AK (2011) Altered telomeres in tumors with ATRX and DAXX mutations. Science 333:425. doi:10.1126/science.1207313
- 103. Hegarty SV, O'Keeffe GW, Sullivan AM (2013) BMP-Smad 1/5/8 signalling in the development of the nervous system. Progress in neurobiology 109:28-41. doi:10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.07.002
- 104. Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, Kros JM, Hainfellner JA, Mason W, Mariani L, Bromberg JE, Hau P, Mirimanoff RO, Cairncross JG, Janzer RC, Stupp R (2005) MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. The New England journal of medicine 352:997-1003. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043331
- 105. Horn S, Figl A, Rachakonda PS, Fischer C, Sucker A, Gast A, Kadel S, Moll I, Nagore E, Hemminki K, Schadendorf D, Kumar R (2013) TERT promoter mutations in familial and sporadic melanoma. Science 339:959-961. doi:10.1126/science.1230062
- 106. Huang FW, Hodis E, Xu MJ, Kryukov GV, Chin L, Garraway LA (2013) Highly recurrent TERT promoter mutations in human melanoma. Science 339:957-959. doi:10.1126/science.1229259
- 107. Hudson TJ, Anderson W, Artez A, Barker AD, Bell C, Bernabe RR, Bhan MK, Calvo F, Eerola I, Gerhard DS, Guttmacher A, Guver M, Hemsley FM, Jennings JL, Kerr D, Klatt P, Kolar P, Kusada J, Lane DP, Laplace F, Youyong L, Nettekoven G, Ozenberger B, Peterson J, Rao TS, Remacle J, Schafer AJ, Shibata T, Stratton MR, Vockley JG, Watanabe K, Yang H, Yuen MM, Knoppers BM, Bobrow M, Cambon-Thomsen A, Dressler LG, Dyke SO, Joly Y, Kato K, Kennedy KL, Nicolas P, Parker MJ, Rial-Sebbag E, Romeo-Casabona CM, Shaw KM, Wallace S, Wiesner GL, Zeps N, Lichter P, Biankin AV, Chabannon C, Chin L, Clement B, de Alava E, Degos F, Ferguson ML, Geary P, Hayes DN, Hudson TJ, Johns AL, Kasprzyk A, Nakagawa H, Penny R, Piris MA, Sarin R, Scarpa A, Shibata T, van de Vijver M, Futreal PA, Aburatani H, Bayes M, Botwell DD, Campbell PJ, Estivill X, Gerhard DS, Grimmond SM, Gut I, Hirst M, Lopez-Otin C, Majumder P, Marra M, McPherson JD, Nakagawa H, Ning Z, Puente XS, Ruan Y, Shibata T, Stratton MR, Stunnenberg HG, Swerdlow H, Velculescu VE, Wilson RK, Xue HH, Yang L, Spellman PT, Bader GD, Boutros PC, Campbell PJ, Flicek P, Getz G, Guigo R, Guo G, Haussler D, Heath S, Hubbard TJ, Jiang T, Jones SM, Li Q, Lopez-Bigas N, Luo R, Muthuswamy L, Ouellette BF, Pearson JV, Puente XS, Quesada V, Raphael BJ, Sander C, Shibata T, Speed TP, Stein LD, Stuart JM, Teague JW, Totoki Y, Tsunoda T, Valencia A, Wheeler DA, Wu H, Zhao S, Zhou G, Stein LD, Guigo R, Hubbard TJ, Joly Y, Jones SM, Kasprzyk A, Lathrop M, Lopez-Bigas N, Ouellette BF, Spellman PT, Teague JW, Thomas G, Valencia A, Yoshida T, Kennedy KL, Axton M, Dyke SO, Futreal PA,

Gerhard DS, Gunter C, Guyer M, Hudson TJ, McPherson JD, Miller LJ, Ozenberger B, Shaw KM, Kasprzyk A, Stein LD, Zhang J, Haider SA, Wang J, Yung CK, Cros A, Liang Y, Gnaneshan S, Guberman J, Hsu J, Bobrow M, Chalmers DR, Hasel KW, Joly Y, Kaan TS, Kennedy KL, Knoppers BM, Lowrance WW, Masui T, Nicolas P, Rial-Sebbag E, Rodriguez LL, Vergely C, Yoshida T, Grimmond SM, Biankin AV, Bowtell DD, Cloonan N, deFazio A, Eshleman JR, Etemadmoghadam D, Gardiner BB, Kench JG, Scarpa A, Sutherland RL, Tempero MA, Waddell NJ, Wilson PJ, McPherson JD, Gallinger S, Tsao MS, Shaw PA, Petersen GM, Mukhopadhyay D, Chin L, DePinho RA, Thayer S, Muthuswamy L, Shazand K, Beck T, Sam M, Timms L, Ballin V, Lu Y, Ji J, Zhang X, Chen F, Hu X, Zhou G, Yang Q, Tian G, Zhang L, Xing X, Li X, Zhu Z, Yu Y, Yu J, Yang H, Lathrop M, Tost J, Brennan P, Holcatova I, Zaridze D, Brazma A, Egevard L, Prokhortchouk E, Banks RE, Uhlen M, Cambon-Thomsen A, Viksna J, Ponten F, Skryabin K, Stratton MR, Futreal PA, Birney E, Borg A, Borresen-Dale AL, Caldas C, Foekens JA, Martin S, Reis-Filho JS, Richardson AL, Sotiriou C, Stunnenberg HG, Thoms G, van de Vijver M, van't Veer L, Calvo F, Birnbaum D, Blanche H, Boucher P, Boyault S, Chabannon C, Gut I, Masson-Jacquemier JD, Lathrop M, Pauporte I, Pivot X, Vincent-Salomon A, Tabone E, Theillet C, Thomas G, Tost J, Treilleux I, Calvo F, Bioulac-Sage P, Clement B, Decaens T, Degos F, Franco D, Gut I, Gut M, Heath S, Lathrop M, Samuel D, Thomas G, Zucman-Rossi J, Lichter P, Eils R, Brors B, Korbel JO, Korshunov A, Landgraf P, Lehrach H, Pfister S, Radlwimmer B, Reifenberger G, Taylor MD, von Kalle C, Majumder PP, Sarin R, Rao TS, Bhan MK, Scarpa A, Pederzoli P, Lawlor RA, Delledonne M, Bardelli A, Biankin AV, Grimmond SM, Gress T, Klimstra D, Zamboni G, Shibata T, Nakamura Y, Nakagawa H, Kusada J, Tsunoda T, Miyano S, Aburatani H, Kato K, Fujimoto A, Yoshida T, Campo E, Lopez-Otin C, Estivill X, Guigo R, de Sanjose S, Piris MA, Montserrat E, Gonzalez-Diaz M, Puente XS, Jares P, Valencia A, Himmelbauer H, Quesada V, Bea S, Stratton MR, Futreal PA, Campbell PJ, Vincent-Salomon A, Richardson AL, Reis-Filho JS, van de Vijver M, Thomas G, Masson-Jacquemier JD, Aparicio S, Borg A, Borresen-Dale AL, Caldas C, Foekens JA, Stunnenberg HG, van't Veer L, Easton DF, Spellman PT, Martin S, Barker AD, Chin L, Collins FS, Compton CC, Ferguson ML, Gerhard DS, Getz G, Gunter C, Guttmacher A, Guyer M, Hayes DN, Lander ES, Ozenberger B, Penny R, Peterson J, Sander C, Shaw KM, Speed TP, Spellman PT, Vockley JG, Wheeler DA, Wilson RK, Hudson TJ, Chin L, Knoppers BM, Lander ES, Lichter P, Stein LD, Stratton MR, Anderson W, Barker AD, Bell C, Bobrow M, Burke W, Collins FS, Compton CC, DePinho RA, Easton DF, Futreal PA, Gerhard DS, Green AR, Guyer M, Hamilton SR, Hubbard TJ, Kallioniemi OP, Kennedy KL, Ley TJ, Liu ET, Lu Y, Majumder P, Marra M, Ozenberger B, Peterson J, Schafer AJ, Spellman PT, Stunnenberg HG, Wainwright BJ, Wilson RK, Yang H (2010) International network of cancer genome projects. Nature 464:993-998. doi:10.1038/nature08987

108. Iwase S, Xiang B, Ghosh S, Ren T, Lewis PW, Cochrane JC, Allis CD, Picketts DJ, Patel DJ, Li H, Shi Y (2011) ATRX ADD domain links an atypical histone methylation recognition mechanism to human mental-retardation syndrome. Nature structural & molecular biology 18:769-776. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2062

- 109. Jacob K, Albrecht S, Sollier C, Faury D, Sader E, Montpetit A, Serre D, Hauser P, Garami M, Bognar L, Hanzely Z, Montes JL, Atkinson J, Farmer JP, Bouffet E, Hawkins C, Tabori U, Jabado N (2009) Duplication of 7q34 is specific to juvenile pilocytic astrocytomas and a hallmark of cerebellar and optic pathway tumours. British journal of cancer 101:722-733. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605179
- 110. Jacob K, Quang-Khuong DA, Jones DT, Witt H, Lambert S, Albrecht S, Witt O, Vezina C, Shirinian M, Faury D, Garami M, Hauser P, Klekner A, Bognar L, Farmer JP, Montes JL, Atkinson J, Hawkins C, Korshunov A, Collins VP, Pfister SM, Tabori U, Jabado N (2011) Genetic aberrations leading to MAPK pathway activation mediate oncogene-induced senescence in sporadic pilocytic astrocytomas. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 17:4650-4660. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0127
- 111. Jakacki RI, Burger PC, Zhou T, Holmes EJ, Kocak M, Onar A, Goldwein J, Mehta M, Packer RJ, Tarbell N, Fitz C, Vezina G, Hilden J, Pollack IF (2012) Outcome of children with metastatic medulloblastoma treated with carboplatin during craniospinal radiotherapy: a Children's Oncology Group Phase I/II study. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 30:2648-2653. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.40.2792
- 112. Je EM, Yoo NJ, Kim YJ, Kim MS, Lee SH (2013) Somatic mutation of H3F3A, a chromatin remodeling gene, is rare in acute leukemias and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. European journal of haematology 90:169-170. doi:10.1111/ejh.12039
- 113. Je EM, Yoo NJ, Lee SH (2014) Mutational analysis of H3F3A, a chromatin remodeling gene in common solid tumors. APMIS : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et immunologica Scandinavica 122:81-82. doi:10.1111/apm.12124
- 114. Jiao Y, Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, Rasheed AB, Heaphy CM, de Wilde RF, Rodriguez FJ, Rosemberg S, Oba-Shinjo SM, Nagahashi Marie SK, Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Lipp E, Pirozzi C, Lopez G, He Y, Friedman H, Friedman AH, Riggins GJ, Holdhoff M, Burger P, McLendon R, Bigner DD, Vogelstein B, Meeker AK, Kinzler KW, Papadopoulos N, Diaz LA, Yan H (2012) Frequent ATRX, CIC, FUBP1 and IDH1 mutations refine the classification of malignant gliomas. Oncotarget 3:709-722
- 115. Johnson BE, Mazor T, Hong C, Barnes M, Aihara K, McLean CY, Fouse SD, Yamamoto S, Ueda H, Tatsuno K, Asthana S, Jalbert LE, Nelson SJ, Bollen AW, Gustafson WC, Charron E, Weiss WA, Smirnov IV, Song JS, Olshen AB, Cha S, Zhao Y, Moore RA, Mungall AJ, Jones SJ, Hirst M, Marra MA, Saito N, Aburatani H, Mukasa A, Berger MS, Chang SM, Taylor BS, Costello JF (2014) Mutational analysis reveals the origin and therapy-driven evolution of recurrent glioma. Science 343:189-193. doi:10.1126/science.1239947
- 116. Johnson RA, Wright KD, Poppleton H, Mohankumar KM, Finkelstein D, Pounds SB, Rand V, Leary SE, White E, Eden C, Hogg T, Northcott P, Mack S, Neale G, Wang YD, Coyle B, Atkinson J, DeWire M, Kranenburg TA, Gillespie Y, Allen JC, Merchant T, Boop FA, Sanford RA, Gajjar A, Ellison DW, Taylor MD,

Grundy RG, Gilbertson RJ (2010) Cross-species genomics matches driver mutations and cell compartments to model ependymoma. Nature 466:632-636. doi:10.1038/nature09173

- 117. Jones DT, Gronych J, Lichter P, Witt O, Pfister SM (2012) MAPK pathway activation in pilocytic astrocytoma. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS 69:1799-1811. doi:10.1007/s00018-011-0898-9
- 118. Jones DT, Hutter B, Jager N, Korshunov A, Kool M, Warnatz HJ, Zichner T, Lambert SR, Ryzhova M, Quang DA, Fontebasso AM, Stutz AM, Hutter S, Zuckermann M, Sturm D, Gronych J, Lasitschka B, Schmidt S, Seker-Cin H, Witt H, Sultan M, Ralser M, Northcott PA, Hovestadt V, Bender S, Pfaff E, Stark S, Faury D, Schwartzentruber J, Majewski J, Weber UD, Zapatka M, Raeder B, Schlesner M, Worth CL, Bartholomae CC, von Kalle C, Imbusch CD, Radomski S, Lawerenz C, van Sluis P, Koster J, Volckmann R, Versteeg R, Lehrach H, Monoranu C, Winkler B, Unterberg A, Herold-Mende C, Milde T, Kulozik AE, Ebinger M, Schuhmann MU, Cho YJ, Pomeroy SL, von Deimling A, Witt O, Taylor MD, Wolf S, Karajannis MA, Eberhart CG, Scheurlen W, Hasselblatt M, Ligon KL, Kieran MW, Korbel JO, Yaspo ML, Brors B, Felsberg J, Reifenberger G, Collins VP, Jabado N, Eils R, Lichter P, Pfister SM (2013) Recurrent somatic alterations of FGFR1 and NTRK2 in pilocytic astrocytoma. Nature genetics 45:927-932. doi:10.1038/ng.2682
- 119. Jones DT, Ichimura K, Liu L, Pearson DM, Plant K, Collins VP (2006) Genomic analysis of pilocytic astrocytomas at 0.97 Mb resolution shows an increasing tendency toward chromosomal copy number change with age. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 65:1049-1058. doi:10.1097/01.jnen.0000240465.33628.87
- 120. Jones DT, Jager N, Kool M, Zichner T, Hutter B, Sultan M, Cho YJ, Pugh TJ, Hovestadt V, Stutz AM, Rausch T, Warnatz HJ, Ryzhova M, Bender S, Sturm D, Pleier S, Cin H, Pfaff E, Sieber L, Wittmann A, Remke M, Witt H, Hutter S. Tzaridis T, Weischenfeldt J, Raeder B, Avci M, Amstislavskiy V, Zapatka M, Weber UD, Wang Q, Lasitschka B, Bartholomae CC, Schmidt M, von Kalle C, Ast V, Lawerenz C, Eils J, Kabbe R, Benes V, van Sluis P, Koster J, Volckmann R, Shih D, Betts MJ, Russell RB, Coco S, Tonini GP, Schuller U, Hans V, Graf N, Kim YJ, Monoranu C, Roggendorf W, Unterberg A, Herold-Mende C, Milde T, Kulozik AE, von Deimling A, Witt O, Maass E, Rossler J, Ebinger M, Schuhmann MU, Fruhwald MC, Hasselblatt M, Jabado N, Rutkowski S, von Bueren AO, Williamson D, Clifford SC, McCabe MG, Collins VP, Wolf S, Wiemann S, Lehrach H, Brors B, Scheurlen W, Felsberg J, Reifenberger G, Northcott PA, Taylor MD, Meyerson M, Pomeroy SL, Yaspo ML, Korbel JO, Korshunov A, Eils R, Pfister SM, Lichter P (2012) Dissecting the genomic complexity underlying medulloblastoma. Nature 488:100-105. doi:10.1038/nature11284
- 121. Jones DT, Kocialkowski S, Liu L, Pearson DM, Backlund LM, Ichimura K, Collins VP (2008) Tandem duplication producing a novel oncogenic BRAF fusion gene defines the majority of pilocytic astrocytomas. Cancer research 68:8673-8677. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2097

- 122. Jones DT, Kocialkowski S, Liu L, Pearson DM, Ichimura K, Collins VP (2009) Oncogenic RAF1 rearrangement and a novel BRAF mutation as alternatives to KIAA1549:BRAF fusion in activating the MAPK pathway in pilocytic astrocytoma. Oncogene 28:2119-2123. doi:10.1038/onc.2009.73
- 123. Joseph CG, Hwang H, Jiao Y, Wood LD, Kinde I, Wu J, Mandahl N, Luo J, Hruban RH, Diaz LA, Jr., He TC, Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW, Mertens F, Papadopoulos N (2014) Exomic analysis of myxoid liposarcomas, synovial sarcomas, and osteosarcomas. Genes, chromosomes & cancer 53:15-24. doi:10.1002/gcc.22114
- 124. Kajii T, Ikeuchi T, Yang ZQ, Nakamura Y, Tsuji Y, Yokomori K, Kawamura M, Fukuda S, Horita S, Asamoto A (2001) Cancer-prone syndrome of mosaic variegated aneuploidy and total premature chromatid separation: report of five infants. American journal of medical genetics 104:57-64
- 125. Kamata T, Hussain J, Giblett S, Hayward R, Marais R, Pritchard C (2010) BRAF inactivation drives aneuploidy by deregulating CRAF. Cancer research 70:8475-8486. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-0603
- 126. Kan L, Hu M, Gomes WA, Kessler JA (2004) Transgenic mice overexpressing BMP4 develop a fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP)-like phenotype. The American journal of pathology 165:1107-1115. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63372-X
- 127. Kan L, Kitterman JA, Procissi D, Chakkalakal S, Peng CY, McGuire TL, Goldsby RE, Pignolo RJ, Shore EM, Kaplan FS, Kessler JA (2012) CNS demyelination in fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. Journal of neurology 259:2644-2655. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6563-x
- 128. Kang HJ, Feng Z, Sun Y, Atwal G, Murphy ME, Rebbeck TR, Rosenwaks Z, Levine AJ, Hu W (2009) Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the p53 pathway regulate fertility in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:9761-9766. doi:10.1073/pnas.0904280106
- 129. Kang HJ, Kawasawa YI, Cheng F, Zhu Y, Xu X, Li M, Sousa AM, Pletikos M, Meyer KA, Sedmak G, Guennel T, Shin Y, Johnson MB, Krsnik Z, Mayer S, Fertuzinhos S, Umlauf S, Lisgo SN, Vortmeyer A, Weinberger DR, Mane S, Hyde TM, Huttner A, Reimers M, Kleinman JE, Sestan N (2011) Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. Nature 478:483-489. doi:10.1038/nature10523
- 130. Kang YH, Park JE, Yu LR, Soung NK, Yun SM, Bang JK, Seong YS, Yu H, Garfield S, Veenstra TD, Lee KS (2006) Self-regulated Plk1 recruitment to kinetochores by the Plk1-PBIP1 interaction is critical for proper chromosome segregation. Molecular cell 24:409-422. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2006.10.016
- 131. Kannan K, Inagaki A, Silber J, Gorovets D, Zhang J, Kastenhuber ER, Heguy A, Petrini JH, Chan TA, Huse JT (2012) Whole-exome sequencing identifies ATRX mutation as a key molecular determinant in lower-grade glioma. Oncotarget 3:1194-1203
- 132. Kaul A, Chen YH, Emnett RJ, Dahiya S, Gutmann DH (2012) Pediatric gliomaassociated KIAA1549:BRAF expression regulates neuroglial cell growth in a cell type-specific and mTOR-dependent manner. Genes & development 26:2561-2566. doi:10.1101/gad.200907.112

- 133. Kaul A, Chen YH, Emnett RJ, Gianino SM, Gutmann DH (2013) Conditional KIAA1549:BRAF mice reveal brain region- and cell type-specific effects. Genesis 51:708-716. doi:10.1002/dvg.22415
- 134. Kaushal D, Contos JJ, Treuner K, Yang AH, Kingsbury MA, Rehen SK, McConnell MJ, Okabe M, Barlow C, Chun J (2003) Alteration of gene expression by chromosome loss in the postnatal mouse brain. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 23:5599-5606
- 135. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D (2002) The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome research 12:996-1006. doi:10.1101/gr.229102. Article published online before print in May 2002
- 136. Khuong-Quang DA, Buczkowicz P, Rakopoulos P, Liu XY, Fontebasso AM, Bouffet E, Bartels U, Albrecht S, Schwartzentruber J, Letourneau L, Bourgey M, Bourque G, Montpetit A, Bourret G, Lepage P, Fleming A, Lichter P, Kool M, von Deimling A, Sturm D, Korshunov A, Faury D, Jones DT, Majewski J, Pfister SM, Jabado N, Hawkins C (2012) K27M mutation in histone H3.3 defines clinically and biologically distinct subgroups of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas. Acta neuropathologica 124:439-447. doi:10.1007/s00401-012-0998-0
- 137. Khuong-Quang DA, Gerges N, Jabado N (2012) [Mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodeling genes drive pediatric and young adult glioblastomas]. Medecine sciences : M/S 28:809-812. doi:10.1051/medsci/20122810004
- 138. Kieran MW, Walker D, Frappaz D, Prados M (2010) Brain tumors: from childhood through adolescence into adulthood. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 28:4783-4789. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.28.3481
- 139. Killela PJ, Pirozzi CJ, Healy P, Reitman ZJ, Lipp E, Rasheed BA, Yang R, Diplas BH, Wang Z, Greer PK, Zhu H, Wang CY, Carpenter AB, Friedman H, Friedman AH, Keir ST, He J, He Y, McLendon RE, Herndon JE, 2nd, Yan H, Bigner DD (2014) Mutations in IDH1, IDH2, and in the TERT promoter define clinically distinct subgroups of adult malignant gliomas. Oncotarget 5:1515-1525
- 140. Killela PJ, Reitman ZJ, Jiao Y, Bettegowda C, Agrawal N, Diaz LA, Jr., Friedman AH, Friedman H, Gallia GL, Giovanella BC, Grollman AP, He TC, He Y, Hruban RH, Jallo GI, Mandahl N, Meeker AK, Mertens F, Netto GJ, Rasheed BA, Riggins GJ, Rosenquist TA, Schiffman M, Shih Ie M, Theodorescu D, Torbenson MS, Velculescu VE, Wang TL, Wentzensen N, Wood LD, Zhang M, McLendon RE, Bigner DD, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Yan H (2013) TERT promoter mutations occur frequently in gliomas and a subset of tumors derived from cells with low rates of self-renewal. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110:6021-6026. doi:10.1073/pnas.1303607110
- 141. Kiltie AE, Lashford LS, Gattamaneni HR (1997) Survival and late effects in medulloblastoma patients treated with craniospinal irradiation under three years old. Medical and pediatric oncology 28:348-354
- 142. Kim NW, Piatyszek MA, Prowse KR, Harley CB, West MD, Ho PL, Coviello GM, Wright WE, Weinrich SL, Shay JW (1994) Specific association of human telomerase activity with immortal cells and cancer. Science 266:2011-2015

- 143. Kingsbury MA, Yung YC, Peterson SE, Westra JW, Chun J (2006) Aneuploidy in the normal and diseased brain. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS 63:2626-2641. doi:10.1007/s00018-006-6169-5
- 144. Kishigami S, Yoshikawa S, Castranio T, Okazaki K, Furuta Y, Mishina Y (2004) BMP signaling through ACVRI is required for left-right patterning in the early mouse embryo. Developmental biology 276:185-193. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.08.042
- 145. Kitterman JA, Strober JB, Kan L, Rocke DM, Cali A, Peeper J, Snow J, Delai PL, Morhart R, Pignolo RJ, Shore EM, Kaplan FS (2012) Neurological symptoms in individuals with fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. Journal of neurology 259:2636-2643. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6562-y
- 146. Kleihues P, Louis DN, Scheithauer BW, Rorke LB, Reifenberger G, Burger PC, Cavenee WK (2002) The WHO classification of tumors of the nervous system. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 61:215-225; discussion 226-219
- 147. Kleinman CL, Gerges N, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Sin-Chan P, Pramatarova A, Quang DA, Adoue V, Busche S, Caron M, Djambazian H, Bemmo A, Fontebasso AM, Spence T, Schwartzentruber J, Albrecht S, Hauser P, Garami M, Klekner A, Bognar L, Montes JL, Staffa A, Montpetit A, Berube P, Zakrzewska M, Zakrzewski K, Liberski PP, Dong Z, Siegel PM, Duchaine T, Perotti C, Fleming A, Faury D, Remke M, Gallo M, Dirks P, Taylor MD, Sladek R, Pastinen T, Chan JA, Huang A, Majewski J, Jabado N (2014) Fusion of TTYH1 with the C19MC microRNA cluster drives expression of a brain-specific DNMT3B isoform in the embryonal brain tumor ETMR. Nature genetics 46:39-44. doi:10.1038/ng.2849
- 148. Koelsche C, Sahm F, Capper D, Reuss D, Sturm D, Jones DT, Kool M, Northcott PA, Wiestler B, Bohmer K, Meyer J, Mawrin C, Hartmann C, Mittelbronn M, Platten M, Brokinkel B, Seiz M, Herold-Mende C, Unterberg A, Schittenhelm J, Weller M, Pfister S, Wick W, Korshunov A, von Deimling A (2013) Distribution of TERT promoter mutations in pediatric and adult tumors of the nervous system. Acta neuropathologica 126:907-915. doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1195-5
- 149. Kolker S, Mayatepek E, Hoffmann GF (2002) White matter disease in cerebral organic acid disorders: clinical implications and suggested pathomechanisms. Neuropediatrics 33:225-231. doi:10.1055/s-2002-36741
- 150. Korbel JO, Campbell PJ (2013) Criteria for inference of chromothripsis in cancer genomes. Cell 152:1226-1236. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.023
- 151. Korchynskyi O, ten Dijke P (2002) Identification and functional characterization of distinct critically important bone morphogenetic protein-specific response elements in the Id1 promoter. The Journal of biological chemistry 277:4883-4891. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111023200
- 152. Kratz CP, Rapisuwon S, Reed H, Hasle H, Rosenberg PS (2011) Cancer in Noonan, Costello, cardiofaciocutaneous and LEOPARD syndromes. American journal of medical genetics Part C, Seminars in medical genetics 157C:83-89. doi:10.1002/ajmg.c.30300
- 153. Krause A, Hoffmann I (2010) Polo-like kinase 2-dependent phosphorylation of NPM/B23 on serine 4 triggers centriole duplication. PloS one 5:e9849. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009849
- 154. Lahti L, Peltopuro P, Piepponen TP, Partanen J (2012) Cell-autonomous FGF signaling regulates anteroposterior patterning and neuronal differentiation in the mesodiencephalic dopaminergic progenitor domain. Development 139:894-905. doi:10.1242/dev.071936
- 155. Lai A, Kharbanda S, Pope WB, Tran A, Solis OE, Peale F, Forrest WF, Pujara K, Carrillo JA, Pandita A, Ellingson BM, Bowers CW, Soriano RH, Schmidt NO, Mohan S, Yong WH, Seshagiri S, Modrusan Z, Jiang Z, Aldape KD, Mischel PS, Liau LM, Escovedo CJ, Chen W, Nghiemphu PL, James CD, Prados MD, Westphal M, Lamszus K, Cloughesy T, Phillips HS (2011) Evidence for sequenced molecular evolution of IDH1 mutant glioblastoma from a distinct cell of origin. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 29:4482-4490. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.33.8715
- 156. Lambert SR, Witt H, Hovestadt V, Zucknick M, Kool M, Pearson DM, Korshunov A, Ryzhova M, Ichimura K, Jabado N, Fontebasso AM, Lichter P, Pfister SM, Collins VP, Jones DT (2013) Differential expression and methylation of brain developmental genes define location-specific subsets of pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta neuropathologica 126:291-301. doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1124-7
- 157. Lawson AR, Hindley GF, Forshew T, Tatevossian RG, Jamie GA, Kelly GP, Neale GA, Ma J, Jones TA, Ellison DW, Sheer D (2011) RAF gene fusion breakpoints in pediatric brain tumors are characterized by significant enrichment of sequence microhomology. Genome research 21:505-514. doi:10.1101/gr.115782.110
- 158. Lee J, Son MJ, Woolard K, Donin NM, Li A, Cheng CH, Kotliarova S, Kotliarov Y, Walling J, Ahn S, Kim M, Totonchy M, Cusack T, Ene C, Ma H, Su Q, Zenklusen JC, Zhang W, Maric D, Fine HA (2008) Epigenetic-mediated dysfunction of the bone morphogenetic protein pathway inhibits differentiation of glioblastoma-initiating cells. Cancer cell 13:69-80. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2007.12.005
- 159. Levy MZ, Allsopp RC, Futcher AB, Greider CW, Harley CB (1992) Telomere endreplication problem and cell aging. Journal of molecular biology 225:951-960
- 160. Lewis PW, Elsaesser SJ, Noh KM, Stadler SC, Allis CD (2010) Daxx is an H3.3specific histone chaperone and cooperates with ATRX in replication-independent chromatin assembly at telomeres. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 107:14075-14080. doi:10.1073/pnas.1008850107
- 161. Lewis PW, Muller MM, Koletsky MS, Cordero F, Lin S, Banaszynski LA, Garcia BA, Muir TW, Becher OJ, Allis CD (2013) Inhibition of PRC2 activity by a gainof-function H3 mutation found in pediatric glioblastoma. Science 340:857-861. doi:10.1126/science.1232245
- 162. Li F, Mao G, Tong D, Huang J, Gu L, Yang W, Li GM (2013) The histone mark H3K36me3 regulates human DNA mismatch repair through its interaction with MutSalpha. Cell 153:590-600. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.025
- 163. Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754-1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324
- 164. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078-2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

- 165. Liu J, Cheng X, Zhang Y, Li S, Cui H, Zhang L, Shi R, Zhao Z, He C, Wang C, Zhao H, Zhang C, Fisk HA, Guadagno TM, Cui Y (2013) Phosphorylation of Mps1 by BRAFV600E prevents Mps1 degradation and contributes to chromosome instability in melanoma. Oncogene 32:713-723. doi:10.1038/onc.2012.94
- 166. Liu XY, Gerges N, Korshunov A, Sabha N, Khuong-Quang DA, Fontebasso AM, Fleming A, Hadjadj D, Schwartzentruber J, Majewski J, Dong Z, Siegel P, Albrecht S, Croul S, Jones DT, Kool M, Tonjes M, Reifenberger G, Faury D, Zadeh G, Pfister S, Jabado N (2012) Frequent ATRX mutations and loss of expression in adult diffuse astrocytic tumors carrying IDH1/IDH2 and TP53 mutations. Acta neuropathologica 124:615-625. doi:10.1007/s00401-012-1031-3
- 167. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, Scheithauer BW, Kleihues P (2007) The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta neuropathologica 114:97-109. doi:10.1007/s00401-007-0243-4
- 168. Lu C, Ward PS, Kapoor GS, Rohle D, Turcan S, Abdel-Wahab O, Edwards CR, Khanin R, Figueroa ME, Melnick A, Wellen KE, O'Rourke DM, Berger SL, Chan TA, Levine RL, Mellinghoff IK, Thompson CB (2012) IDH mutation impairs histone demethylation and results in a block to cell differentiation. Nature 483:474-478. doi:10.1038/nature10860
- 169. Luco RF, Allo M, Schor IE, Kornblihtt AR, Misteli T (2011) Epigenetics in alternative pre-mRNA splicing. Cell 144:16-26. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.056
- 170. Luco RF, Pan Q, Tominaga K, Blencowe BJ, Pereira-Smith OM, Misteli T (2010) Regulation of alternative splicing by histone modifications. Science 327:996-1000. doi:10.1126/science.1184208
- 171. Lushnikova T, Bouska A, Odvody J, Dupont WD, Eischen CM (2011) Aging mice have increased chromosome instability that is exacerbated by elevated Mdm2 expression. Oncogene 30:4622-4631. doi:10.1038/onc.2011.172
- 172. Majewski J, Schwartzentruber J, Lalonde E, Montpetit A, Jabado N (2011) What can exome sequencing do for you? Journal of medical genetics 48:580-589. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2011-100223
- 173. Maksimovic J, Gordon L, Oshlack A (2012) SWAN: Subset-quantile within array normalization for illumina infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChips. Genome biology 13:R44. doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-6-r44
- 174. Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, DiCarlo JE, Norville JE, Church GM (2013) RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339:823-826. doi:10.1126/science.1232033
- 175. Marchuk DA, Saulino AM, Tavakkol R, Swaroop M, Wallace MR, Andersen LB, Mitchell AL, Gutmann DH, Boguski M, Collins FS (1991) cDNA cloning of the type 1 neurofibromatosis gene: complete sequence of the NF1 gene product. Genomics 11:931-940
- 176. Mardis ER, Ding L, Dooling DJ, Larson DE, McLellan MD, Chen K, Koboldt DC, Fulton RS, Delehaunty KD, McGrath SD, Fulton LA, Locke DP, Magrini VJ, Abbott RM, Vickery TL, Reed JS, Robinson JS, Wylie T, Smith SM, Carmichael L, Eldred JM, Harris CC, Walker J, Peck JB, Du F, Dukes AF, Sanderson GE, Brummett AM, Clark E, McMichael JF, Meyer RJ, Schindler JK, Pohl CS, Wallis

JW, Shi X, Lin L, Schmidt H, Tang Y, Haipek C, Wiechert ME, Ivy JV, Kalicki J, Elliott G, Ries RE, Payton JE, Westervelt P, Tomasson MH, Watson MA, Baty J, Heath S, Shannon WD, Nagarajan R, Link DC, Walter MJ, Graubert TA, DiPersio JF, Wilson RK, Ley TJ (2009) Recurring mutations found by sequencing an acute myeloid leukemia genome. The New England journal of medicine 361:1058-1066. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0903840

- 177. Maria BL, Rehder K, Eskin TA, Hamed LM, Fennell EB, Quisling RG, Mickle JP, Marcus RB, Jr., Drane WE, Mendenhall NP, et al. (1993) Brainstem glioma: I. Pathology, clinical features, and therapy. Journal of child neurology 8:112-128
- 178. Marine JC, Francoz S, Maetens M, Wahl G, Toledo F, Lozano G (2006) Keeping p53 in check: essential and synergistic functions of Mdm2 and Mdm4. Cell death and differentiation 13:927-934. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401912
- 179. Martin GA, Viskochil D, Bollag G, McCabe PC, Crosier WJ, Haubruck H, Conroy L, Clark R, O'Connell P, Cawthon RM, et al. (1990) The GAP-related domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product interacts with ras p21. Cell 63:843-849
- 180. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, Garimella K, Altshuler D, Gabriel S, Daly M, DePristo MA (2010) The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome research 20:1297-1303. doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110
- 181. McPherson A, Hormozdiari F, Zayed A, Giuliany R, Ha G, Sun MG, Griffith M, Heravi Moussavi A, Senz J, Melnyk N, Pacheco M, Marra MA, Hirst M, Nielsen TO, Sahinalp SC, Huntsman D, Shah SP (2011) deFuse: an algorithm for gene fusion discovery in tumor RNA-Seq data. PLoS computational biology 7:e1001138. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001138
- 182. Medici D, Shore EM, Lounev VY, Kaplan FS, Kalluri R, Olsen BR (2010) Conversion of vascular endothelial cells into multipotent stem-like cells. Nature medicine 16:1400-1406. doi:10.1038/nm.2252
- 183. Mishina Y, Crombie R, Bradley A, Behringer RR (1999) Multiple roles for activinlike kinase-2 signaling during mouse embryogenesis. Developmental biology 213:314-326. doi:10.1006/dbio.1999.9378
- 184. Miyamoto T, Porazinski S, Wang H, Borovina A, Ciruna B, Shimizu A, Kajii T, Kikuchi A, Furutani-Seiki M, Matsuura S (2011) Insufficiency of BUBR1, a mitotic spindle checkpoint regulator, causes impaired ciliogenesis in vertebrates. Human molecular genetics 20:2058-2070. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddr090
- 185. Miyazono K, Maeda S, Imamura T (2005) BMP receptor signaling: transcriptional targets, regulation of signals, and signaling cross-talk. Cytokine & growth factor reviews 16:251-263. doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2005.01.009
- 186. Mizoguchi M, Nutt CL, Mohapatra G, Louis DN (2004) Genetic alterations of phosphoinositide 3-kinase subunit genes in human glioblastomas. Brain Pathol 14:372-377
- 187. Monje M, Mitra SS, Freret ME, Raveh TB, Kim J, Masek M, Attema JL, Li G, Haddix T, Edwards MS, Fisher PG, Weissman IL, Rowitch DH, Vogel H, Wong AJ, Beachy PA (2011) Hedgehog-responsive candidate cell of origin for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108:4453-4458. doi:10.1073/pnas.1101657108

- 188. Moyzis RK, Buckingham JM, Cram LS, Dani M, Deaven LL, Jones MD, Meyne J, Ratliff RL, Wu JR (1988) A highly conserved repetitive DNA sequence, (TTAGGG)n, present at the telomeres of human chromosomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 85:6622-6626
- 189. Mueller W, Mizoguchi M, Silen E, D'Amore K, Nutt CL, Louis DN (2005) Mutations of the PIK3CA gene are rare in human glioblastoma. Acta neuropathologica 109:654-655. doi:10.1007/s00401-005-1001-0
- 190. Murat A, Migliavacca E, Gorlia T, Lambiv WL, Shay T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Regli L, Wick W, Kouwenhoven MC, Hainfellner JA, Heppner FL, Dietrich PY, Zimmer Y, Cairncross JG, Janzer RC, Domany E, Delorenzi M, Stupp R, Hegi ME (2008) Stem cell-related "self-renewal" signature and high epidermal growth factor receptor expression associated with resistance to concomitant chemoradiotherapy in glioblastoma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 26:3015-3024. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.15.7164
- 191. Northcott PA, Shih DJ, Peacock J, Garzia L, Morrissy AS, Zichner T, Stutz AM, Korshunov A, Reimand J, Schumacher SE, Beroukhim R, Ellison DW, Marshall CR, Lionel AC, Mack S, Dubuc A, Yao Y, Ramaswamy V, Luu B, Rolider A, Cavalli FM, Wang X, Remke M, Wu X, Chiu RY, Chu A, Chuah E, Corbett RD, Hoad GR, Jackman SD, Li Y, Lo A, Mungall KL, Nip KM, Qian JQ, Raymond AG, Thiessen NT, Varhol RJ, Birol I, Moore RA, Mungall AJ, Holt R, Kawauchi D, Roussel MF, Kool M, Jones DT, Witt H, Fernandez LA, Kenney AM, Wechsler-Reya RJ, Dirks P, Aviv T, Grajkowska WA, Perek-Polnik M, Haberler CC, Delattre O, Reynaud SS, Doz FF, Pernet-Fattet SS, Cho BK, Kim SK, Wang KC, Scheurlen W, Eberhart CG, Fevre-Montange M, Jouvet A, Pollack IF, Fan X, Muraszko KM, Gillespie GY, Di Rocco C, Massimi L, Michiels EM, Kloosterhof NK, French PJ, Kros JM, Olson JM, Ellenbogen RG, Zitterbart K, Kren L, Thompson RC, Cooper MK, Lach B, McLendon RE, Bigner DD, Fontebasso A, Albrecht S, Jabado N, Lindsey JC, Bailey S, Gupta N, Weiss WA, Bognar L, Klekner A, Van Meter TE, Kumabe T, Tominaga T, Elbabaa SK, Leonard JR, Rubin JB, Liau LM, Van Meir EG, Fouladi M, Nakamura H, Cinalli G, Garami M, Hauser P, Saad AG, Iolascon A, Jung S, Carlotti CG, Vibhakar R, Ra YS, Robinson S, Zollo M, Faria CC, Chan JA, Levy ML, Sorensen PH, Meyerson M, Pomeroy SL, Cho YJ, Bader GD, Tabori U, Hawkins CE, Bouffet E, Scherer SW, Rutka JT, Malkin D, Clifford SC, Jones SJ, Korbel JO, Pfister SM, Marra MA, Taylor MD (2012) Subgroup-specific structural variation across 1,000 medulloblastoma genomes. Nature 488:49-56. doi:10.1038/nature11327
- 192. Noushmehr H, Weisenberger DJ, Diefes K, Phillips HS, Pujara K, Berman BP, Pan F, Pelloski CE, Sulman EP, Bhat KP, Verhaak RG, Hoadley KA, Hayes DN, Perou CM, Schmidt HK, Ding L, Wilson RK, Van Den Berg D, Shen H, Bengtsson H, Neuvial P, Cope LM, Buckley J, Herman JG, Baylin SB, Laird PW, Aldape K (2010) Identification of a CpG island methylator phenotype that defines a distinct subgroup of glioma. Cancer cell 17:510-522. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.03.017
- 193. Ohgaki H, Kleihues P (2005) Epidemiology and etiology of gliomas. Acta neuropathologica 109:93-108. doi:10.1007/s00401-005-0991-y

- 194. Ohgaki H, Kleihues P (2005) Population-based studies on incidence, survival rates, and genetic alterations in astrocytic and oligodendroglial gliomas. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 64:479-489
- 195. Oromendia AB, Dodgson SE, Amon A (2012) Aneuploidy causes proteotoxic stress in yeast. Genes & development 26:2696-2708. doi:10.1101/gad.207407.112
- 196. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Farah P, Ondracek A, Chen Y, Wolinsky Y, Stroup NE, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS (2013) CBTRUS statistical report: Primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2006-2010. Neuro-oncology 15 Suppl 2:ii1-56. doi:10.1093/neuonc/not151
- 197. Parsons DW, Jones S, Zhang X, Lin JC, Leary RJ, Angenendt P, Mankoo P, Carter H, Siu IM, Gallia GL, Olivi A, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Keir S, Nikolskaya T, Nikolsky Y, Busam DA, Tekleab H, Diaz LA, Jr., Hartigan J, Smith DR, Strausberg RL, Marie SK, Shinjo SM, Yan H, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Karchin R, Papadopoulos N, Parmigiani G, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE, Kinzler KW (2008) An integrated genomic analysis of human glioblastoma multiforme. Science 321:1807-1812. doi:10.1126/science.1164382
- 198. Paugh BS, Broniscer A, Qu C, Miller CP, Zhang J, Tatevossian RG, Olson JM, Geyer JR, Chi SN, da Silva NS, Onar-Thomas A, Baker JN, Gajjar A, Ellison DW, Baker SJ (2011) Genome-wide analyses identify recurrent amplifications of receptor tyrosine kinases and cell-cycle regulatory genes in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 29:3999-4006. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.35.5677
- 199. Paugh BS, Qu C, Jones C, Liu Z, Adamowicz-Brice M, Zhang J, Bax DA, Coyle B, Barrow J, Hargrave D, Lowe J, Gajjar A, Zhao W, Broniscer A, Ellison DW, Grundy RG, Baker SJ (2010) Integrated molecular genetic profiling of pediatric high-grade gliomas reveals key differences with the adult disease. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 28:3061-3068. doi:10.1200/JCO.2009.26.7252
- 200. Pericak-Vance MA, Yamaoka LH, Vance JM, Small K, Rosenwasser GO, Gaskell PC, Jr., Hung WY, Alberts MJ, Haynes CS, Speer MC, et al. (1987) Genetic linkage studies of chromosome 17 RFLPs in von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis (NF1). Genomics 1:349-352
- 201. Perkins SM, Fei W, Mitra N, Shinohara ET (2013) Late causes of death in children treated for CNS malignancies. Journal of neuro-oncology 115:79-85. doi:10.1007/s11060-013-1197-0
- 202. Pfister S, Janzarik WG, Remke M, Ernst A, Werft W, Becker N, Toedt G, Wittmann A, Kratz C, Olbrich H, Ahmadi R, Thieme B, Joos S, Radlwimmer B, Kulozik A, Pietsch T, Herold-Mende C, Gnekow A, Reifenberger G, Korshunov A, Scheurlen W, Omran H, Lichter P (2008) BRAF gene duplication constitutes a mechanism of MAPK pathway activation in low-grade astrocytomas. The Journal of clinical investigation 118:1739-1749. doi:10.1172/JCI33656
- 203. Pfister SX, Ahrabi S, Zalmas LP, Sarkar S, Aymard F, Bachrati CZ, Helleday T, Legube G, La Thangue NB, Porter AC, Humphrey TC (2014) SETD2-Dependent Histone H3K36 Trimethylation Is Required for Homologous Recombination Repair and Genome Stability. Cell reports 7:2006-2018. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.05.026

- 204. Phillips HS, Kharbanda S, Chen R, Forrest WF, Soriano RH, Wu TD, Misra A, Nigro JM, Colman H, Soroceanu L, Williams PM, Modrusan Z, Feuerstein BG, Aldape K (2006) Molecular subclasses of high-grade glioma predict prognosis, delineate a pattern of disease progression, and resemble stages in neurogenesis. Cancer cell 9:157-173. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2006.02.019
- 205. Piccirillo SG, Reynolds BA, Zanetti N, Lamorte G, Binda E, Broggi G, Brem H, Olivi A, Dimeco F, Vescovi AL (2006) Bone morphogenetic proteins inhibit the tumorigenic potential of human brain tumour-initiating cells. Nature 444:761-765. doi:10.1038/nature05349
- 206. Pollack IF (1999) Pediatric brain tumors. Seminars in surgical oncology 16:73-90
- 207. Post SM, Quintas-Cardama A, Pant V, Iwakuma T, Hamir A, Jackson JG, Maccio DR, Bond GL, Johnson DG, Levine AJ, Lozano G (2010) A high-frequency regulatory polymorphism in the p53 pathway accelerates tumor development. Cancer cell 18:220-230. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.07.010
- 208. Proctor CJ, Gray DA (2008) Explaining oscillations and variability in the p53-Mdm2 system. BMC systems biology 2:75. doi:10.1186/1752-0509-2-75
- 209. Raabe EH, Lim KS, Kim JM, Meeker A, Mao XG, Nikkhah G, Maciaczyk J, Kahlert U, Jain D, Bar E, Cohen KJ, Eberhart CG (2011) BRAF activation induces transformation and then senescence in human neural stem cells: a pilocytic astrocytoma model. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 17:3590-3599. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-3349
- 210. Rajaraman P, Melin BS, Wang Z, McKean-Cowdin R, Michaud DS, Wang SS, Bondy M, Houlston R, Jenkins RB, Wrensch M, Yeager M, Ahlbom A, Albanes D, Andersson U, Freeman LE, Buring JE, Butler MA, Braganza M, Carreon T, Feychting M, Fleming SJ, Gapstur SM, Gaziano JM, Giles GG, Hallmans G, Henriksson R, Hoffman-Bolton J, Inskip PD, Johansen C, Kitahara CM, Lathrop M, Liu C, Le Marchand L, Linet MS, Lonn S, Peters U, Purdue MP, Rothman N, Ruder AM, Sanson M, Sesso HD, Severi G, Shu XO, Simon M, Stampfer M, Stevens VL, Visvanathan K, White E, Wolk A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Zheng W, Decker P, Enciso-Mora V, Fridley B, Gao YT, Kosel M, Lachance DH, Lau C, Rice T, Swerdlow A, Wiemels JL, Wiencke JK, Shete S, Xiang YB, Xiao Y, Hoover RN, Fraumeni JF, Jr., Chatterjee N, Hartge P, Chanock SJ (2012) Genome-wide association study of glioma and meta-analysis. Human genetics 131:1877-1888. doi:10.1007/s00439-012-1212-0
- 211. Ramkissoon LA, Horowitz PM, Craig JM, Ramkissoon SH, Rich BE, Schumacher SE, McKenna A, Lawrence MS, Bergthold G, Brastianos PK, Tabak B, Ducar MD, Van Hummelen P, MacConaill LE, Pouissant-Young T, Cho YJ, Taha H, Mahmoud M, Bowers DC, Margraf L, Tabori U, Hawkins C, Packer RJ, Hill DA, Pomeroy SL, Eberhart CG, Dunn IF, Goumnerova L, Getz G, Chan JA, Santagata S, Hahn WC, Stiles CD, Ligon AH, Kieran MW, Beroukhim R, Ligon KL (2013) Genomic analysis of diffuse pediatric low-grade gliomas identifies recurrent oncogenic truncating rearrangements in the transcription factor MYBL1. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110:8188-8193. doi:10.1073/pnas.1300252110

- 212. Rausch T, Jones DT, Zapatka M, Stutz AM, Zichner T, Weischenfeldt J, Jager N, Remke M, Shih D, Northcott PA, Pfaff E, Tica J, Wang Q, Massimi L, Witt H, Bender S, Pleier S, Cin H, Hawkins C, Beck C, von Deimling A, Hans V, Brors B, Eils R, Scheurlen W, Blake J, Benes V, Kulozik AE, Witt O, Martin D, Zhang C, Porat R, Merino DM, Wasserman J, Jabado N, Fontebasso A, Bullinger L, Rucker FG, Dohner K, Dohner H, Koster J, Molenaar JJ, Versteeg R, Kool M, Tabori U, Malkin D, Korshunov A, Taylor MD, Lichter P, Pfister SM, Korbel JO (2012) Genome sequencing of pediatric medulloblastoma links catastrophic DNA rearrangements with TP53 mutations. Cell 148:59-71. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.013
- 213. Rehen SK, McConnell MJ, Kaushal D, Kingsbury MA, Yang AH, Chun J (2001) Chromosomal variation in neurons of the developing and adult mammalian nervous system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 98:13361-13366. doi:10.1073/pnas.231487398
- 214. Rehen SK, Yung YC, McCreight MP, Kaushal D, Yang AH, Almeida BS, Kingsbury MA, Cabral KM, McConnell MJ, Anliker B, Fontanoz M, Chun J (2005) Constitutional aneuploidy in the normal human brain. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 25:2176-2180. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4560-04.2005
- 215. Reilly KM, Loisel DA, Bronson RT, McLaughlin ME, Jacks T (2000) Nf1;Trp53 mutant mice develop glioblastoma with evidence of strain-specific effects. Nature genetics 26:109-113. doi:10.1038/79075
- 216. Remke M, Ramaswamy V, Peacock J, Shih DJ, Koelsche C, Northcott PA, Hill N, Cavalli FM, Kool M, Wang X, Mack SC, Barszczyk M, Morrissy AS, Wu X, Agnihotri S, Luu B, Jones DT, Garzia L, Dubuc AM, Zhukova N, Vanner R, Kros JM, French PJ, Van Meir EG, Vibhakar R, Zitterbart K, Chan JA, Bognar L, Klekner A, Lach B, Jung S, Saad AG, Liau LM, Albrecht S, Zollo M, Cooper MK, Thompson RC, Delattre OO, Bourdeaut F, Doz FF, Garami M, Hauser P, Carlotti CG, Van Meter TE, Massimi L, Fults D, Pomeroy SL, Kumabe T, Ra YS, Leonard JR, Elbabaa SK, Mora J, Rubin JB, Cho YJ, McLendon RE, Bigner DD, Eberhart CG, Fouladi M, Wechsler-Reya RJ, Faria CC, Croul SE, Huang A, Bouffet E, Hawkins CE, Dirks PB, Weiss WA, Schuller U, Pollack IF, Rutkowski S, Meyronet D, Jouvet A, Fevre-Montange M, Jabado N, Perek-Polnik M, Grajkowska WA, Kim SK, Rutka JT, Malkin D, Tabori U, Pfister SM, Korshunov A, von Deimling A, Taylor MD (2013) TERT promoter mutations are highly recurrent in SHH subgroup medulloblastoma. Acta neuropathologica 126:917-929. doi:10.1007/s00401-013-1198-2
- 217. Rihani R, Bazzeh F, Faqih N, Sultan I (2010) Secondary hematopoietic malignancies in survivors of childhood cancer: an analysis of 111 cases from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result-9 registry. Cancer 116:4385-4394. doi:10.1002/cncr.25313
- 218. Rodriguez FJ, Giannini C, Asmann YW, Sharma MK, Perry A, Tibbetts KM, Jenkins RB, Scheithauer BW, Anant S, Jenkins S, Eberhart CG, Sarkaria JN, Gutmann DH (2008) Gene expression profiling of NF-1-associated and sporadic pilocytic astrocytoma identifies aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member L1 (ALDH1L1) as an underexpressed candidate biomarker in aggressive subtypes.

Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 67:1194-1204. doi:10.1097/NEN.0b013e31818fbe1e

- 219. Rohle D, Popovici-Muller J, Palaskas N, Turcan S, Grommes C, Campos C, Tsoi J, Clark O, Oldrini B, Komisopoulou E, Kunii K, Pedraza A, Schalm S, Silverman L, Miller A, Wang F, Yang H, Chen Y, Kernytsky A, Rosenblum MK, Liu W, Biller SA, Su SM, Brennan CW, Chan TA, Graeber TG, Yen KE, Mellinghoff IK (2013) An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. Science 340:626-630. doi:10.1126/science.1236062
- 220. Roth RB, Hevezi P, Lee J, Willhite D, Lechner SM, Foster AC, Zlotnik A (2006) Gene expression analyses reveal molecular relationships among 20 regions of the human CNS. Neurogenetics 7:67-80. doi:10.1007/s10048-006-0032-6
- 221. Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 132:365-386
- 222. Samanta J, Kessler JA (2004) Interactions between ID and OLIG proteins mediate the inhibitory effects of BMP4 on oligodendroglial differentiation. Development 131:4131-4142. doi:10.1242/dev.01273
- 223. Samuels Y, Wang Z, Bardelli A, Silliman N, Ptak J, Szabo S, Yan H, Gazdar A, Powell SM, Riggins GJ, Willson JK, Markowitz S, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE (2004) High frequency of mutations of the PIK3CA gene in human cancers. Science 304:554. doi:10.1126/science.1096502
- 224. Sanford RA, Bowman R, Tomita T, De Leon G, Palka P (1999) A 16-year-old male with Noonan's syndrome develops progressive scoliosis and deteriorating gait. Pediatric neurosurgery 30:47-52. doi:28761
- 225. Sari N, Akyuz C, Aktas D, Gumruk F, Orhan D, Alikasifoglu M, Aydin B, Alanay Y, Buyukpamukcu M (2009) Wilms tumor, AML and medulloblastoma in a child with cancer prone syndrome of total premature chromatid separation and Fanconi anemia. Pediatric blood & cancer 53:208-210. doi:10.1002/pbc.21966
- 226. Sasaki M, Knobbe CB, Munger JC, Lind EF, Brenner D, Brustle A, Harris IS, Holmes R, Wakeham A, Haight J, You-Ten A, Li WY, Schalm S, Su SM, Virtanen C, Reifenberger G, Ohashi PS, Barber DL, Figueroa ME, Melnick A, Zuniga-Pflucker JC, Mak TW (2012) IDH1(R132H) mutation increases murine haematopoietic progenitors and alters epigenetics. Nature 488:656-659. doi:10.1038/nature11323
- 227. Schiffman JD, Hodgson JG, VandenBerg SR, Flaherty P, Polley MY, Yu M, Fisher PG, Rowitch DH, Ford JM, Berger MS, Ji H, Gutmann DH, James CD (2010) Oncogenic BRAF mutation with CDKN2A inactivation is characteristic of a subset of pediatric malignant astrocytomas. Cancer research 70:512-519. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1851
- 228. Schindler G, Capper D, Meyer J, Janzarik W, Omran H, Herold-Mende C, Schmieder K, Wesseling P, Mawrin C, Hasselblatt M, Louis DN, Korshunov A, Pfister S, Hartmann C, Paulus W, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A (2011) Analysis of BRAF V600E mutation in 1,320 nervous system tumors reveals high mutation frequencies in pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma, ganglioglioma and extra-cerebellar pilocytic astrocytoma. Acta neuropathologica 121:397-405. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0802-6

- 229. Schmierer B, Hill CS (2007) TGFbeta-SMAD signal transduction: molecular specificity and functional flexibility. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 8:970-982. doi:10.1038/nrm2297
- 230. Schuettpelz LG, McDonald S, Whitesell K, Desruisseau DM, Grange DK, Gurnett CA, Wilson DB (2009) Pilocytic astrocytoma in a child with Noonan syndrome. Pediatric blood & cancer 53:1147-1149. doi:10.1002/pbc.22193
- 231. Schwartzentruber J, Korshunov A, Liu XY, Jones DT, Pfaff E, Jacob K, Sturm D, Fontebasso AM, Quang DA, Tonjes M, Hovestadt V, Albrecht S, Kool M, Nantel A, Konermann C, Lindroth A, Jager N, Rausch T, Ryzhova M, Korbel JO, Hielscher T, Hauser P, Garami M, Klekner A, Bognar L, Ebinger M, Schuhmann MU, Scheurlen W, Pekrun A, Fruhwald MC, Roggendorf W, Kramm C, Durken M, Atkinson J, Lepage P, Montpetit A, Zakrzewska M, Zakrzewski K, Liberski PP, Dong Z, Siegel P, Kulozik AE, Zapatka M, Guha A, Malkin D, Felsberg J, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Ichimura K, Collins VP, Witt H, Milde T, Witt O, Zhang C, Castelo-Branco P, Lichter P, Faury D, Tabori U, Plass C, Majewski J, Pfister SM, Jabado N (2012) Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. Nature 482:226-231. doi:10.1038/nature10833
- 232. Seizinger BR, Rouleau G, Lane AH, Ozelius LJ, Faryniarz AG, Iannazzi J, Hobbs W, Roy JC, Falcone B, Huson S, et al. (1987) DNA linkage analysis in Von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis. Journal of medical genetics 24:529-530
- 233. Seizinger BR, Rouleau GA, Ozelius LJ, Lane AH, Faryniarz AG, Chao MV, Huson S, Korf BR, Parry DM, Pericak-Vance MA, et al. (1987) Genetic linkage of von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis to the nerve growth factor receptor gene. Cell 49:589-594
- 234. Sharma MK, Mansur DB, Reifenberger G, Perry A, Leonard JR, Aldape KD, Albin MG, Emnett RJ, Loeser S, Watson MA, Nagarajan R, Gutmann DH (2007) Distinct genetic signatures among pilocytic astrocytomas relate to their brain region origin. Cancer research 67:890-900. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-0973
- 235. Shen Q, Little SC, Xu M, Haupt J, Ast C, Katagiri T, Mundlos S, Seemann P, Kaplan FS, Mullins MC, Shore EM (2009) The fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva R206H ACVR1 mutation activates BMP-independent chondrogenesis and zebrafish embryo ventralization. The Journal of clinical investigation 119:3462-3472. doi:10.1172/JCI37412
- 236. Shete S, Hosking FJ, Robertson LB, Dobbins SE, Sanson M, Malmer B, Simon M, Marie Y, Boisselier B, Delattre JY, Hoang-Xuan K, El Hallani S, Idbaih A, Zelenika D, Andersson U, Henriksson R, Bergenheim AT, Feychting M, Lonn S, Ahlbom A, Schramm J, Linnebank M, Hemminki K, Kumar R, Hepworth SJ, Price A, Armstrong G, Liu Y, Gu X, Yu R, Lau C, Schoemaker M, Muir K, Swerdlow A, Lathrop M, Bondy M, Houlston RS (2009) Genome-wide association study identifies five susceptibility loci for glioma. Nature genetics 41:899-904. doi:10.1038/ng.407
- 237. Shimizu A, Asakawa S, Sasaki T, Yamazaki S, Yamagata H, Kudoh J, Minoshima S, Kondo I, Shimizu N (2003) A novel giant gene CSMD3 encoding a protein with CUB and sushi multiple domains: a candidate gene for benign adult familial

myoclonic epilepsy on human chromosome 8q23.3-q24.1. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 309:143-154

- 238. Shore EM, Xu M, Feldman GJ, Fenstermacher DA, Cho TJ, Choi IH, Connor JM, Delai P, Glaser DL, LeMerrer M, Morhart R, Rogers JG, Smith R, Triffitt JT, Urtizberea JA, Zasloff M, Brown MA, Kaplan FS (2006) A recurrent mutation in the BMP type I receptor ACVR1 causes inherited and sporadic fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. Nature genetics 38:525-527. doi:10.1038/ng1783
- 239. Siegel PM, Ryan ED, Cardiff RD, Muller WJ (1999) Elevated expression of activated forms of Neu/ErbB-2 and ErbB-3 are involved in the induction of mammary tumors in transgenic mice: implications for human breast cancer. The EMBO journal 18:2149-2164. doi:10.1093/emboj/18.8.2149
- 240. Sievert AJ, Jackson EM, Gai X, Hakonarson H, Judkins AR, Resnick AC, Sutton LN, Storm PB, Shaikh TH, Biegel JA (2009) Duplication of 7q34 in pediatric low-grade astrocytomas detected by high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism-based genotype arrays results in a novel BRAF fusion gene. Brain Pathol 19:449-458. doi:10.1111/j.1750-3639.2008.00225.x
- 241. Singh D, Chan JM, Zoppoli P, Niola F, Sullivan R, Castano A, Liu EM, Reichel J, Porrati P, Pellegatta S, Qiu K, Gao Z, Ceccarelli M, Riccardi R, Brat DJ, Guha A, Aldape K, Golfinos JG, Zagzag D, Mikkelsen T, Finocchiaro G, Lasorella A, Rabadan R, Iavarone A (2012) Transforming fusions of FGFR and TACC genes in human glioblastoma. Science 337:1231-1235. doi:10.1126/science.1220834
- 242. Smith MA, Altekruse SF, Adamson PC, Reaman GH, Seibel NL (2014) Declining childhood and adolescent cancer mortality. Cancer. doi:10.1002/cncr.28748
- 243. Solomon DA, Kim T, Diaz-Martinez LA, Fair J, Elkahloun AG, Harris BT, Toretsky JA, Rosenberg SA, Shukla N, Ladanyi M, Samuels Y, James CD, Yu H, Kim JS, Waldman T (2011) Mutational inactivation of STAG2 causes aneuploidy in human cancer. Science 333:1039-1043. doi:10.1126/science.1203619
- 244. Statham AL, Robinson MD, Song JZ, Coolen MW, Stirzaker C, Clark SJ (2012) Bisulfite sequencing of chromatin immunoprecipitated DNA (BisChIP-seq) directly informs methylation status of histone-modified DNA. Genome research 22:1120-1127. doi:10.1101/gr.132076.111
- 245. Stephens K, Riccardi VM, Rising M, Ng S, Green P, Collins FS, Rediker KS, Powers JA, Parker C, Donis-Keller H (1987) Linkage studies with chromosome 17 DNA markers in 45 neurofibromatosis 1 families. Genomics 1:353-357
- 246. Stephens PJ, Greenman CD, Fu B, Yang F, Bignell GR, Mudie LJ, Pleasance ED, Lau KW, Beare D, Stebbings LA, McLaren S, Lin ML, McBride DJ, Varela I, Nik-Zainal S, Leroy C, Jia M, Menzies A, Butler AP, Teague JW, Quail MA, Burton J, Swerdlow H, Carter NP, Morsberger LA, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Follows GA, Green AR, Flanagan AM, Stratton MR, Futreal PA, Campbell PJ (2011) Massive genomic rearrangement acquired in a single catastrophic event during cancer development. Cell 144:27-40. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.055
- 247. Struys EA, Salomons GS, Achouri Y, Van Schaftingen E, Grosso S, Craigen WJ, Verhoeven NM, Jakobs C (2005) Mutations in the D-2-hydroxyglutarate dehydrogenase gene cause D-2-hydroxyglutaric aciduria. American journal of human genetics 76:358-360. doi:10.1086/427890

- 248. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, Weller M, Fisher B, Taphoorn MJ, Belanger K, Brandes AA, Marosi C, Bogdahn U, Curschmann J, Janzer RC, Ludwin SK, Gorlia T, Allgeier A, Lacombe D, Cairncross JG, Eisenhauer E, Mirimanoff RO (2005) Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. The New England journal of medicine 352:987-996. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa043330
- 249. Sturm D, Bender S, Jones DT, Lichter P, Grill J, Becher O, Hawkins C, Majewski J, Jones C, Costello JF, Iavarone A, Aldape K, Brennan CW, Jabado N, Pfister SM (2014) Paediatric and adult glioblastoma: multiform (epi)genomic culprits emerge. Nature reviews Cancer 14:92-107. doi:10.1038/nrc3655
- 250. Sturm D, Witt H, Hovestadt V, Khuong-Quang DA, Jones DT, Konermann C, Pfaff E, Tonjes M, Sill M, Bender S, Kool M, Zapatka M, Becker N, Zucknick M, Hielscher T, Liu XY, Fontebasso AM, Ryzhova M, Albrecht S, Jacob K, Wolter M, Ebinger M, Schuhmann MU, van Meter T, Fruhwald MC, Hauch H, Pekrun A, Radlwimmer B, Niehues T, von Komorowski G, Durken M, Kulozik AE, Madden J, Donson A, Foreman NK, Drissi R, Fouladi M, Scheurlen W, von Deimling A, Monoranu C, Roggendorf W, Herold-Mende C, Unterberg A, Kramm CM, Felsberg J, Hartmann C, Wiestler B, Wick W, Milde T, Witt O, Lindroth AM, Schwartzentruber J, Faury D, Fleming A, Zakrzewska M, Liberski PP, Zakrzewski K, Hauser P, Garami M, Klekner A, Bognar L, Morrissy S, Cavalli F, Taylor MD, van Sluis P, Koster J, Versteeg R, Volckmann R, Mikkelsen T, Aldape K, Reifenberger G, Collins VP, Majewski J, Korshunov A, Lichter P, Plass C, Jabado N, Pfister SM (2012) Hotspot mutations in H3F3A and IDH1 define distinct epigenetic and biological subgroups of glioblastoma. Cancer cell 22:425-437. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2012.08.024
- 251. Suzuki R, Shimodaira H (2006) Pvclust: an R package for assessing the uncertainty in hierarchical clustering. Bioinformatics 22:1540-1542. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl117
- 252. Taylor KR, Mackay A, Truffaux N, Butterfield YS, Morozova O, Philippe C, Castel D, Grasso CS, Vinci M, Carvalho D, Carcaboso AM, de Torres C, Cruz O, Mora J, Entz-Werle N, Ingram WJ, Monje M, Hargrave D, Bullock AN, Puget S, Yip S, Jones C, Grill J (2014) Recurrent activating ACVR1 mutations in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Nature genetics 46:457-461. doi:10.1038/ng.2925
- 253. Thompson SL, Compton DA (2010) Proliferation of aneuploid human cells is limited by a p53-dependent mechanism. The Journal of cell biology 188:369-381. doi:10.1083/jcb.200905057
- 254. Tumolo M, Moscatelli A, Silvestri G (2006) Anaesthetic management of a child with fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva. British journal of anaesthesia 97:701-703. doi:10.1093/bja/ael251
- 255. Turcan S, Rohle D, Goenka A, Walsh LA, Fang F, Yilmaz E, Campos C, Fabius AW, Lu C, Ward PS, Thompson CB, Kaufman A, Guryanova O, Levine R, Heguy A, Viale A, Morris LG, Huse JT, Mellinghoff IK, Chan TA (2012) IDH1 mutation is sufficient to establish the glioma hypermethylator phenotype. Nature 483:479-483. doi:10.1038/nature10866

- 256. Upadhyaya M, Sarfarazi M, Huson SM, Harper PS (1987) Further exclusion data for the Von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis gene: a genetic linkage study of 19 polymorphic markers. Journal of medical genetics 24:534-536
- 257. Valton J, Cabaniols JP, Galetto R, Delacote F, Duhamel M, Paris S, Blanchard DA, Lebuhotel C, Thomas S, Moriceau S, Demirdjian R, Letort G, Jacquet A, Gariboldi A, Rolland S, Daboussi F, Juillerat A, Bertonati C, Duclert A, Duchateau P (2014) Efficient strategies for TALEN-mediated genome editing in mammalian cell lines. Methods. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.06.013
- 258. Varela I, Tarpey P, Raine K, Huang D, Ong CK, Stephens P, Davies H, Jones D, Lin ML, Teague J, Bignell G, Butler A, Cho J, Dalgliesh GL, Galappaththige D, Greenman C, Hardy C, Jia M, Latimer C, Lau KW, Marshall J, McLaren S, Menzies A, Mudie L, Stebbings L, Largaespada DA, Wessels LF, Richard S, Kahnoski RJ, Anema J, Tuveson DA, Perez-Mancera PA, Mustonen V, Fischer A, Adams DJ, Rust A, Chan-on W, Subimerb C, Dykema K, Furge K, Campbell PJ, Teh BT, Stratton MR, Futreal PA (2011) Exome sequencing identifies frequent mutation of the SWI/SNF complex gene PBRM1 in renal carcinoma. Nature 469:539-542. doi:10.1038/nature09639
- 259. Varier RA, Timmers HT (2011) Histone lysine methylation and demethylation pathways in cancer. Biochimica et biophysica acta 1815:75-89. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2010.10.002
- 260. Venkatesh S, Smolle M, Li H, Gogol MM, Saint M, Kumar S, Natarajan K, Workman JL (2012) Set2 methylation of histone H3 lysine 36 suppresses histone exchange on transcribed genes. Nature 489:452-455. doi:10.1038/nature11326
- 261. Venneti S, Garimella MT, Sullivan LM, Martinez D, Huse JT, Heguy A, Santi M, Thompson CB, Judkins AR (2013) Evaluation of histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) and enhancer of Zest 2 (EZH2) in pediatric glial and glioneuronal tumors shows decreased H3K27me3 in H3F3A K27M mutant glioblastomas. Brain Pathol 23:558-564. doi:10.1111/bpa.12042
- 262. Verhaak RG, Hoadley KA, Purdom E, Wang V, Qi Y, Wilkerson MD, Miller CR, Ding L, Golub T, Mesirov JP, Alexe G, Lawrence M, O'Kelly M, Tamayo P, Weir BA, Gabriel S, Winckler W, Gupta S, Jakkula L, Feiler HS, Hodgson JG, James CD, Sarkaria JN, Brennan C, Kahn A, Spellman PT, Wilson RK, Speed TP, Gray JW, Meyerson M, Getz G, Perou CM, Hayes DN (2010) Integrated genomic analysis identifies clinically relevant subtypes of glioblastoma characterized by abnormalities in PDGFRA, IDH1, EGFR, and NF1. Cancer cell 17:98-110. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2009.12.020
- 263. Villard L, Gecz J, Mattei JF, Fontes M, Saugier-Veber P, Munnich A, Lyonnet S (1996) XNP mutation in a large family with Juberg-Marsidi syndrome. Nature genetics 12:359-360. doi:10.1038/ng0496-359
- 264. Vinagre J, Almeida A, Populo H, Batista R, Lyra J, Pinto V, Coelho R, Celestino R, Prazeres H, Lima L, Melo M, da Rocha AG, Preto A, Castro P, Castro L, Pardal F, Lopes JM, Santos LL, Reis RM, Cameselle-Teijeiro J, Sobrinho-Simoes M, Lima J, Maximo V, Soares P (2013) Frequency of TERT promoter mutations in human cancers. Nature communications 4:2185. doi:10.1038/ncomms3185

- 265. Viskochil D, Buchberg AM, Xu G, Cawthon RM, Stevens J, Wolff RK, Culver M, Carey JC, Copeland NG, Jenkins NA, et al. (1990) Deletions and a translocation interrupt a cloned gene at the neurofibromatosis type 1 locus. Cell 62:187-192
- 266. Vulliamy T, Marrone A, Szydlo R, Walne A, Mason PJ, Dokal I (2004) Disease anticipation is associated with progressive telomere shortening in families with dyskeratosis congenita due to mutations in TERC. Nature genetics 36:447-449. doi:10.1038/ng1346
- 267. Wagner EJ, Carpenter PB (2012) Understanding the language of Lys36 methylation at histone H3. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 13:115-126. doi:10.1038/nrm3274
- 268. Wallace MR, Marchuk DA, Andersen LB, Letcher R, Odeh HM, Saulino AM, Fountain JW, Brereton A, Nicholson J, Mitchell AL, et al. (1990) Type 1 neurofibromatosis gene: identification of a large transcript disrupted in three NF1 patients. Science 249:181-186
- 269. Walsh KM, Codd V, Smirnov IV, Rice T, Decker PA, Hansen HM, Kollmeyer T, Kosel ML, Molinaro AM, McCoy LS, Bracci PM, Cabriga BS, Pekmezci M, Zheng S, Wiemels JL, Pico AR, Tihan T, Berger MS, Chang SM, Prados MD, Lachance DH, O'Neill BP, Sicotte H, Eckel-Passow JE, van der Harst P, Wiencke JK, Samani NJ, Jenkins RB, Wrensch MR (2014) Variants near TERT and TERC influencing telomere length are associated with high-grade glioma risk. Nature genetics. doi:10.1038/ng.3004
- 270. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic acids research 38:e164. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq603
- 271. Wang P, Lushnikova T, Odvody J, Greiner TC, Jones SN, Eischen CM (2008) Elevated Mdm2 expression induces chromosomal instability and confers a survival and growth advantage to B cells. Oncogene 27:1590-1598. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210788
- 272. Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M (2009) RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nature reviews Genetics 10:57-63. doi:10.1038/nrg2484
- 273. Ward E, DeSantis C, Robbins A, Kohler B, Jemal A (2014) Childhood and adolescent cancer statistics, 2014. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians 64:83-103. doi:10.3322/caac.21219
- 274. Ward PS, Patel J, Wise DR, Abdel-Wahab O, Bennett BD, Coller HA, Cross JR, Fantin VR, Hedvat CV, Perl AE, Rabinowitz JD, Carroll M, Su SM, Sharp KA, Levine RL, Thompson CB (2010) The common feature of leukemia-associated IDH1 and IDH2 mutations is a neomorphic enzyme activity converting alphaketoglutarate to 2-hydroxyglutarate. Cancer cell 17:225-234. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.01.020
- 275. Weaver BA, Silk AD, Montagna C, Verdier-Pinard P, Cleveland DW (2007) Aneuploidy acts both oncogenically and as a tumor suppressor. Cancer cell 11:25-36. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2006.12.003
- 276. Wen H, Li Y, Xi Y, Jiang S, Stratton S, Peng D, Tanaka K, Ren Y, Xia Z, Wu J, Li B, Barton MC, Li W, Li H, Shi X (2014) ZMYND11 links histone H3.3K36me3 to transcription elongation and tumour suppression. Nature 508:263-268. doi:10.1038/nature13045

- 277. Westra JW, Peterson SE, Yung YC, Mutoh T, Barral S, Chun J (2008) Aneuploid mosaicism in the developing and adult cerebellar cortex. The Journal of comparative neurology 507:1944-1951. doi:10.1002/cne.21648
- 278. White R, Nakamura Y, O'Connell P, Leppert M, Lalouel JM, Barker D, Goldgar D, Skolnick M, Carey J, Wallis CE, et al. (1987) Tightly linked markers for the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene. Genomics 1:364-367
- 279. Willard HF, Greig GM, Powers VE, Waye JS (1987) Molecular organization and haplotype analysis of centromeric DNA from human chromosome 17: implications for linkage in neurofibromatosis. Genomics 1:368-373
- 280. Wrensch M, Jenkins RB, Chang JS, Yeh RF, Xiao Y, Decker PA, Ballman KV, Berger M, Buckner JC, Chang S, Giannini C, Halder C, Kollmeyer TM, Kosel ML, LaChance DH, McCoy L, O'Neill BP, Patoka J, Pico AR, Prados M, Quesenberry C, Rice T, Rynearson AL, Smirnov I, Tihan T, Wiemels J, Yang P, Wiencke JK (2009) Variants in the CDKN2B and RTEL1 regions are associated with high-grade glioma susceptibility. Nature genetics 41:905-908. doi:10.1038/ng.408
- 281. Wu G, Broniscer A, McEachron TA, Lu C, Paugh BS, Becksfort J, Qu C, Ding L, Huether R, Parker M, Zhang J, Gajjar A, Dyer MA, Mullighan CG, Gilbertson RJ, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Downing JR, Ellison DW, Zhang J, Baker SJ (2012) Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-brainstem glioblastomas. Nature genetics 44:251-253. doi:10.1038/ng.1102
- 282. Wu G, Diaz AK, Paugh BS, Rankin SL, Ju B, Li Y, Zhu X, Qu C, Chen X, Zhang J, Easton J, Edmonson M, Ma X, Lu C, Nagahawatte P, Hedlund E, Rusch M, Pounds S, Lin T, Onar-Thomas A, Huether R, Kriwacki R, Parker M, Gupta P, Becksfort J, Wei L, Mulder HL, Boggs K, Vadodaria B, Yergeau D, Russell JC, Ochoa K, Fulton RS, Fulton LL, Jones C, Boop FA, Broniscer A, Wetmore C, Gajjar A, Ding L, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Taylor MR, Downing JR, Ellison DW, Zhang J, Baker SJ (2014) The genomic landscape of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and pediatric non-brainstem high-grade glioma. Nature genetics 46:444-450. doi:10.1038/ng.2938
- 283. Wu YM, Su F, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Khazanov N, Ateeq B, Cao X, Lonigro RJ, Vats P, Wang R, Lin SF, Cheng AJ, Kunju LP, Siddiqui J, Tomlins SA, Wyngaard P, Sadis S, Roychowdhury S, Hussain MH, Feng FY, Zalupski MM, Talpaz M, Pienta KJ, Rhodes DR, Robinson DR, Chinnaiyan AM (2013) Identification of targetable FGFR gene fusions in diverse cancers. Cancer discovery 3:636-647. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0050
- 284. Xu GF, Lin B, Tanaka K, Dunn D, Wood D, Gesteland R, White R, Weiss R, Tamanoi F (1990) The catalytic domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product stimulates ras GTPase and complements ira mutants of S. cerevisiae. Cell 63:835-841
- 285. Xu GF, O'Connell P, Viskochil D, Cawthon R, Robertson M, Culver M, Dunn D, Stevens J, Gesteland R, White R, et al. (1990) The neurofibromatosis type 1 gene encodes a protein related to GAP. Cell 62:599-608
- 286. Xu W, Yang H, Liu Y, Yang Y, Wang P, Kim SH, Ito S, Yang C, Wang P, Xiao MT, Liu LX, Jiang WQ, Liu J, Zhang JY, Wang B, Frye S, Zhang Y, Xu YH, Lei QY, Guan KL, Zhao SM, Xiong Y (2011) Oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate is a

competitive inhibitor of alpha-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases. Cancer cell 19:17-30. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.12.014

- 287. Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, Kos I, Batinic-Haberle I, Jones S, Riggins GJ, Friedman H, Friedman A, Reardon D, Herndon J, Kinzler KW, Velculescu VE, Vogelstein B, Bigner DD (2009) IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. The New England journal of medicine 360:765-773. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0808710
- 288. Yu PB, Deng DY, Lai CS, Hong CC, Cuny GD, Bouxsein ML, Hong DW, McManus PM, Katagiri T, Sachidanandan C, Kamiya N, Fukuda T, Mishina Y, Peterson RT, Bloch KD (2008) BMP type I receptor inhibition reduces heterotopic [corrected] ossification. Nature medicine 14:1363-1369. doi:10.1038/nm.1888
- 289. Zarghooni M, Bartels U, Lee E, Buczkowicz P, Morrison A, Huang A, Bouffet E, Hawkins C (2010) Whole-genome profiling of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas highlights platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha and poly (ADPribose) polymerase as potential therapeutic targets. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology 28:1337-1344. doi:10.1200/JCO.2009.25.5463
- 290. Zhang J, Ding L, Holmfeldt L, Wu G, Heatley SL, Payne-Turner D, Easton J, Chen X, Wang J, Rusch M, Lu C, Chen SC, Wei L, Collins-Underwood JR, Ma J, Roberts KG, Pounds SB, Ulyanov A, Becksfort J, Gupta P, Huether R, Kriwacki RW, Parker M, McGoldrick DJ, Zhao D, Alford D, Espy S, Bobba KC, Song G, Pei D, Cheng C, Roberts S, Barbato MI, Campana D, Coustan-Smith E, Shurtleff SA, Raimondi SC, Kleppe M, Cools J, Shimano KA, Hermiston ML, Doulatov S, Eppert K, Laurenti E, Notta F, Dick JE, Basso G, Hunger SP, Loh ML, Devidas M, Wood B, Winter S, Dunsmore KP, Fulton RS, Fulton LL, Hong X, Harris CC, Dooling DJ, Ochoa K, Johnson KJ, Obenauer JC, Evans WE, Pui CH, Naeve CW, Ley TJ, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Downing JR, Mullighan CG (2012) The genetic basis of early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature 481:157-163. doi:10.1038/nature10725
- 291. Zhang J, Wu G, Miller CP, Tatevossian RG, Dalton JD, Tang B, Orisme W, Punchihewa C, Parker M, Qaddoumi I, Boop FA, Lu C, Kandoth C, Ding L, Lee R, Huether R, Chen X, Hedlund E, Nagahawatte P, Rusch M, Boggs K, Cheng J, Becksfort J, Ma J, Song G, Li Y, Wei L, Wang J, Shurtleff S, Easton J, Zhao D, Fulton RS, Fulton LL, Dooling DJ, Vadodaria B, Mulder HL, Tang C, Ochoa K, Mullighan CG, Gajjar A, Kriwacki R, Sheer D, Gilbertson RJ, Mardis ER, Wilson RK, Downing JR, Baker SJ, Ellison DW (2013) Whole-genome sequencing identifies genetic alterations in pediatric low-grade gliomas. Nature genetics 45:602-612. doi:10.1038/ng.2611
- 292. Zhu Y, Guignard F, Zhao D, Liu L, Burns DK, Mason RP, Messing A, Parada LF (2005) Early inactivation of p53 tumor suppressor gene cooperating with NF1 loss induces malignant astrocytoma. Cancer cell 8:119-130. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2005.07.004
- 293. Zhu Y, Parada LF (2002) The molecular and genetic basis of neurological tumours. Nature reviews Cancer 2:616-626. doi:10.1038/nrc866