

Food reservoir for Escherichia coli causing

community-acquired urinary tract infections

by

Caroline Vincent

Department of Microbiology and Immunology

McGill University, Montréal

April 2010

A thesis submitted to McGill University in partial fulfillment

of the requirements of the degree of Master of Science

© Caroline Vincent, 2010

ABSTRACT

losely related strains of Escherichia coli have been shown to cause extraintestinal infections in unrelated persons. This study tests whether a food reservoir may exist for these E. coli. Isolates from three sources collected over the same time period and geographic area were compared. The sources comprised E. coli isolates from women with urinary tract infection (UTI) (n = 353); retail meat (n = 417); and restaurant/ready-to-eat foods (n = 74). E. coli were evaluated for antimicrobial susceptibility and O:H serotype and compared by using six different genotyping methods. We identified 17 clonal groups that contained *E. coli* isolates (n = 72) from more than one source. *E. coli* from retail chicken (O25:H4-ST131 and O114:H4-ST117) and honeydew melon (O2:H7-ST95) were indistinguishable from or closely related to E. coli from human UTIs. This study provides strong support for the role of food reservoirs in the dissemination of E. coli causing community-acquired UTIs.

RÉSUMÉ

I a été démontré que des souches de Escherichia coli étroitement reliées causaient des infections extraintestinales chez des personnes non-reliées. Cette étude teste l'hypothèse selon laquelle il existerait un réservoir alimentaire pour ces souches d'E. coli. Des isolats provenant de trois sources différentes et récoltés durant les mêmes périodes et régions géographiques ont été comparés. Les sources incluaient des isolats d'E. coli provenant de femmes soufrant d'infection urinaire (IU) (n=353); de viande vendue au détail (n = 417); et d'aliments de restauration/prêts-à-manger (n =74). Les E. coli ont été évalués pour leur susceptibilité aux agents antimicrobiens et leur sérotype O:H, et ont été comparés par l'intermédiaire de six différentes méthodes de génotypage. Nous avons identifié 17 groupes clonaux contenant des isolats d'E. coli (n = 72) provenant de plus d'une source. Des E. coli provenant de viande de poulet (O25:H4-ST131 et O114:H4-ST117) et de melon au miel (O2:H7-ST95) étaient indistinguables ou étroitement reliés à des *E. coli* provenant d'IUs. Cette étude supporte fortement le rôle des réservoirs alimentaires dans la dissémination du E. coli causant des IUs acquises dans la communauté.

ABSTRACTii						
RÉSUMÉ iii						
TABLE OF CONTENTS iv						
AC	ACKNOWLEDGMENTSviii					
514						
LIS	f of ABB	REVIATIONS xiv				
1.	LITERAT	URE REVIEW 1				
1.1	Urinary tr	act and other extraintestinal infections1				
	1.1.1	Generalities1				
	1.1.2	Etiology, incidence and associated costs1				
	1.1.3	Pathogenesis2				
	1.1.4	Risk factors				
	1.1.5	Syndromes				
	1.1.6	Recurrent UTIs (RUTIs)5				
	1.1.7	Other extraintestinal infections5				
	1.1.8	Prevention6				
	1.1.9	Treatment7				
1.2	1.2 Extraintestinal pathogenic <i>E. coli</i> (ExPEC)8					
	1.2.1	Intestinal reservoir8				
	1.2.2	Virulence factors8				
	1.2.3	Pathotypes9				
1.3	Outbreak	s of community-acquired extraintestinal infections caused				
	by <i>E. coli</i>					
	1.3.1	Introduction 10				
	1.3.2	<i>E. coli</i> O15:K52:H1 11				
	1.3.3	<i>E. coli</i> O78:H10 11				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1.3.4	<i>E. coli</i> clonal group A	11
	1.3.5	CTX-M extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing E	. coli
		(O25:H4-ST131)	12
	1.3.6	Summary	16
1.4	Evidence	for a food animal reservoir of ExPEC	17
	1.4.1	Introduction	17
	1.4.2	Similarities between avian and human ExPEC	18
	1.4.3	Antimicrobial-resistant E. coli and ExPEC in retail for	ods 19
	1.4.4	Similarities between antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC o	f
		human and poultry origin	20
	1.4.5	Epidemiologic association between retail meat	
		consumption and UTIs caused by antimicrobial-resis	tant
		E. coli	21
	1.4.6	Summary	22
2.	INTRODU	JCTION	23
			~ ~
2.1	Objective	and hypothesis	23
2.1 3.	Objective METHOD	and hypothesis	23 25
2.1 3. 3.1	Objective METHOD Study des	and hypothesis	23 25 25
 2.1 3.1 3.2 	Objective METHOD Study des Sampling	sign of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs	23 25 25 25
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 	Objective METHOD Study des Sampling Sampling	sign of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs	23 25 25 25 27
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling	of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats	23 25 25 25 27 29
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro	of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats	23 25 25 25 27 29 29
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola	and hypothesis	23 25 25 25 27 29 29 31
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus	and hypothesis DS of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats of <i>E. coli</i> from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods bial susceptibility testing ation s variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA)	23 25 25 25 27 29 29 31
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus Enteroba	and hypothesis DS of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats of <i>E. coli</i> from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods bial susceptibility testing ation s variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) cterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2 (EF	23 25 25 25 27 29 29 31 31 RIC2)
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus Enteroba PCR finge	and hypothesis S sign of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats of <i>E. coli</i> from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods bial susceptibility testing ation s variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) cterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2 (EF erprinting	23 25 25 25 27 29 31 31 RIC2) 32
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus Enteroba PCR finge	and hypothesis S sign of <i>E. coli</i> causing human UTIs of <i>E. coli</i> from retail meats of <i>E. coli</i> from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods bial susceptibility testing ation s variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) cterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2 (EF erprinting oup definition	23 25 25 25 27 29 31 31 RIC2) 32 33
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus Enteroba PCR finge Clonal gro	and hypothesis	23 25 25 25 27 29 31 31 RIC2) 32 32 33 34
 2.1 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 	Objective METHOE Study des Sampling Sampling Sampling Antimicro DNA isola Multilocus Enteroba PCR finge Clonal gro Antimicro Pulsed-fie	and hypothesis	23 25 25 25 27 29 29 31 31 RIC2) 32 32 33 34

3 13 Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) 37				
3.14 Phylogenetic typing (MLST)				
3.15 <i>E. coli</i> virulence microarray				
3.16 Extended-spectrum β-lactamase testing				
3.17	3.17 Statistical analyses			
4.	RESULT	S	43	
4.1	Descriptio	on of study sample	43	
4.2	Clonal group identification			
4.3	Antimicrobial susceptibility			
4.4	Resistance genotypes			
4.5	Correlatio	on between resistance phenotypes and genotypes	45	
4.6	Clonal group characterization			
	4.6.1	Clonal group 1 - O25:H4-ST131	47	
	4.6.2	Clonal group 2 - O2:H7-ST95	48	
	4.6.3	Clonal group 3 - O114:H4-ST117	49	
	4.6.4	Clonal group 4 - O4:H5-ST493	49	
	4.6.5	Clonal group 5 - O36:NM-ST401	50	
	4.6.6	Clonal group 6 - O172:H16-ST295	50	
	4.6.7	Other clonal groups	51	
	4.6.8	Phylogenetic typing	52	
	4.6.9	Virulence profiles	52	
5.	DISCUS	SION	54	
5.1	Antimicro	bial resistance phenotypes and genotypes	54	
5.2	Identifica	tion of common genotypes between <i>E. coli</i> from human	UTI	
	and food	sources	57	
5.3	Transfer	of ExPEC from retail meat to prepared foods	60	
5.4	Person-to	o-person transmission of ExPEC	60	
5.5	Virulence	potential and phylogenetic background	61	
5.6	Limitations of the study62			
5.7	Strengths of the study63			

5.8	Perspectives	64
5.9	Significance and implications	64
6.	CONCLUSIONS	66
7.	FIGURES AND TABLES	67
8.	REFERENCES	76

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My very first thank goes to my supervisor, Dr Amee Manges. I sincerely think that she was and is still the best supervisor I could wish for. Her passion and enthusiasm for research are contagious and she keeps inspiring me by her research career achievements. I deeply appreciated her constant availability and guidance, even when she was on a maternity leave (she was actually never really far from the laboratory!). I am grateful for her support and encouragements in harder times. She generously involved me in her research projects and allowed me to publish as a first author, a dream for a master student. I feel extremely privileged for all that she made me accomplish. She believed in me and always had high expectations for me and this was invaluable to me.

I would also like to thank Dr Samantha Gruenheid, my master advisor, for her time and helpful feedback. I am grateful to former and current members of the Manges lab for their precious company, support and advices: Catherine Racicot-Bergeron, Kate Prussing, Diana George, Patricia Tellis and Chrissi Galanakis. It was great to work and share with all of you!

Throughout my master program, I have been supported by a Canada Graduate Scholarship Master's Award (CGM84898) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, a scholarship from the McGill University Health Centre and a F.C. Harrison and Rozanis fellowship from

viii

the Department of Microbiology and Immunology. The work presented in this thesis was supported by the Public Health Agency of Canada.

J'aimerais remercier mes parents, Solange et René, d'avoir toujours étés présents pour moi. Ma famille, incluant mes frères David et Etienne, est ce que j'ai de plus précieux. Mes parents m'ont épaulée dans les moments les plus sombres de ma vie et ont toujours cru en moi. Sans leur amour inconditionel et leur support inestimable, je ne serais certainement pas là où je suis aujourd'hui. Merci à mon partenaire de vie, Alexandre, un homme extraordinaire que j'adore et avec qui je passe de merveilleux moments. Je suis chanceuse de l'avoir à mes côtés. Finalement, un merci tout particulier à mon beau Peeka, ce petit rayon de soleil et d'énergie qui m'attend à chaque soir à mon retour du travail.

CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS

This thesis is based on the following manuscript and abstract:

Vincent C, Boerlin P, Daignault D, Dozois CM, Dutil L, Galanakis C, Reid-Smith RJ, Tellier PP, Tellis PA, Ziebell K, Manges AR. Food reservoir for *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16:88-95.

Vincent C, Boerlin P, Chalmers G, Daignault D, Dutil L, Garneau P, Harel J, Reid-Smith RJ, Manges AR. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance genotypes of extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* clonal groups containing isolates from food sources and human urinary tract infections. 110th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology (ASM). San Diego, CA. May 23-27, 2010.

- Caroline Vincent, M.Sc. candidate, McGill University Conducted most of the laboratory experiments, collected and interpreted the data, prepared and presented the poster at the ASM meeting, and wrote the manuscript.
- Patrick Boerlin, Co-investigator, University of Guelph Contributed to the conception and design of the study, assisted with data interpretation, reviewed the manuscript and provided insight.
- Gabhan Chalmers, Research technician, University of Guelph Performed antimicrobial resistance genotyping.

- Danielle Daignault, Microbiologist, Public Health Agency of Canada Performed antimicrobial susceptibility testing.
- Charles M. Dozois, Investigator, INRS-Institut Armand-Frappier Contributed to the conception and design of the study.
- Lucie Dutil, Veterinary epidemiologist, Public Health Agency of Canada
 Assembled the retail meat isolate collection, contributed to the conception and design of the study and provided insight.
- Chrissi Galanakis, Honor student, McGill University Contributed to data collection.
- Philippe Garneau, Research agent, Université de Montréal Performed microarray experiments.
- Josée Harel, Co-investigator, Université de Montréal Assisted with data interpretation and provided insight.
- Richard J. Reid-Smith, Veterinary epidemiologist and coinvestigator, Public Health Agency of Canada Contributed to the conception and design of the study, assisted with data interpretation, reviewed the manuscript and provided insight.
- Pierre-Paul Tellier, Family physician and director of the McGill University Student Health Service Clinic Recruited patients with UTIs.

- Patricia A. Tellis, Research technician, McGill University Health Centre
 Provided assistance and training in the laboratory and contributed to data collection.
- Kim Ziebell, Investigator, Public Health Agency of Canada Performed the serotyping.
- Amee R. Manges, Principal investigator, McGill University Conceived and designed the study, supervised the M.Sc. candidate, provided epidemiological expertise and edited the manuscript.

Other manuscripts that I have co-authored during the course of my program but that are not included as part of this thesis are as follows:

Manges AR, Tabor H, Tellis P, **Vincent C**, Tellier PP. Endemic and epidemic lineages of *Escherichia coli* that cause urinary tract infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14:1575-83.

Manges AR, Tellis PA, **Vincent C**, Lifeso K, Geneau G, Reid-Smith RJ, Boerlin P. Multi-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis for *Escherichia coli* causing extraintestinal infections. J Microbiol Methods. 2009;79:211-3.

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

The results reported in this thesis provide the strongest molecularepidemiological evidence available to date for the role of food reservoirs or foodborne transmission in the dissemination of *E. coli* causing common community-acquired urinary tract infections (UTIs). These findings are supported by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, a highly discriminating genotyping method, which identified genetically indistinguishable or closely related *E. coli* strains from food and human UTI sources.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASB	asymptomatic bacteriuria
ATCC	American Type Culture Collection
APEC	avian pathogenic <i>E. coli</i>
cfu	colony-forming unit
CgA	clonal group A
CI	confidence interval
CIPARS	Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance
	Surveillance
CLB	Cell Lysis Buffer
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
CSB	Cell Suspension Buffer
dNTP	deoxynucleoside triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ERIC2	enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2
ESBL	extended-spectrum β-lactamase
ExPEC	extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli
LB	Luria-Bertani
MIC	minimum inhibitory concentration
MLST	multilocus sequence typing
MLVA	multilocus variable number tandem repeat analysis
MNEC	meningitis-associated E. coli
MSHS	McGill Student Health Service

- NARMS National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System
- PAI pathogenicity island
- PCR polymerase chain reaction
- PFGE pulsed-field gel electrophoresis
- RUTI recurrent urinary tract infection
- ST sequence type
- TBE Tris borate EDTA
- TE Tris EDTA
- TMP-SMX trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole
- UPEC uropathogenic *E. coli*
- UTI urinary tract infection
- UV ultraviolet

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Urinary tract and other extraintestinal infections

1.1.1 Generalities

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are considered to be one of the most common bacterial infections (1). The population at highest risk for community-acquired UTIs are sexually active women of childbearing age that are otherwise healthy. The majority of these infections are uncomplicated in nature, that is, they occur in people without underlying anatomic or functional abnormalities of the urinary tract (e.g., catheterization, neurogenic bladder) or comorbidities such as diabetes (2). Complicated UTIs have a more diverse etiology than uncomplicated UTIs and can be polymicrobial (3). The rest of this thesis will focus on uncomplicated, community-acquired UTIs in young women.

1.1.2 Etiology, incidence and associated costs

The majority (80-90%) of uncomplicated UTIs are caused by *E. coli*, or extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC) and, to a lesser extent, by *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (5-15%). *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter* and *Proteus* species are also responsible for a small portion of these infections (3-5).

ExPEC are responsible for an enormous burden of illness, death, and associated medical and indirect costs. Every year, an estimated 6-

8 million cases of uncomplicated UTI occur in the United States, and 130-175 million cases occur globally (1;6). Other extraintestinal infections, such as pyelonephritis, meningitis, and sepsis contribute to another million cases annually in the United States. The urinary tract is the most common source for E. coli causing bloodstream infections, which cause 40,000 deaths from sepsis each year in the United States (1;6). It is estimated that by age 24, one woman out of three will have at least one physiciandiagnosed UTI requiring antimicrobial therapy, and up to 60% of all women will experience at least one UTI during their lifetime (7). Community-acquired UTIs are responsible for over \$1 billion of direct health care costs in the United States annually (6,7). The raising prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among uropathogens is further adding to the cost of treating these infections, since drug-resistant infections often require more complicated treatment regimens and result in more treatment failures. In outpatient settings, a recent study from the United Kingdom estimated that at a minimum the total cost for the treatment and re-consultation for an antimicrobial-resistant UTI episode was 25% higher than for a UTI episode caused by a pan-susceptible E. coli isolate (8).

1.1.3 Pathogenesis

The primary reservoir for ExPEC is the host's own intestinal tract. This has been confirmed by the identification of the same UTI-causing

E. coli strain in the fecal flora of individual patients (9;10). Identical ExPEC strains can also be shared among sexual partners and household members, suggesting both sexual and person-to-person transmission (11-13). UTI occur when the bacteria leave the rectum, colonize the vagina and the periurethral opening, and ascend the urethra to infect the bladder (Figure 1). The bacteria can also migrate further up into the kidney and cause pyelonephritis (kidney infection). A critical step of the infection process involves the attachment of the bacteria to the luminal surface of the bladder. ExPEC possess filamentous adhesive organelles, such as type 1 fimbriae, that allow them to tightly bind the uroepithelium, therefore facilitating the colonization of host tissues and allowing the bacteria to withstand the bulk flow of urine. Recent research has shown the ability of ExPEC to invade the superficial cells of the bladder and persist in an intracellular reservoir that may serve as a source for recurrent UTIs (RUTI) (14;15).

1.1.4 Risk factors

Because their urethra is shorter and closer to the anus, women have a significantly higher risk than men to experience a UTI. Other subpopulations that are more susceptible to UTI include children, pregnant women, the elderly, catheterized or immunocompromised patients, and patients with spinal cord injuries, diabetes, multiple sclerosis, or underlying urologic abnormalities (1). Individual factors that were found to increase

the risk of uncomplicated UTI or RUTI in women include recent or frequent sexual intercourse, use of diaphragm or spermicide-based contraceptives, which is associated with *E. coli* vaginal colonization, a history of RUTIs, and nonsecretion of blood group antigens, which is associated with the presence of *E. coli*-binding glycolipids on the surface of uroepithelial cells (1;4;16).

1.1.5 Syndromes

UTI refers to the presence of bacterial pathogens in the urinary tract and can be classified into three different syndromes: cystitis, pyelonephritis and asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB).

Cystitis, also known as lower UTI, is an acute infection of the bladder or urethra. Typical clinical manifestations include dysuria, frequent need or urgency of urination, suprapubic pain, and low back pain in some cases (16).

Pyelonephritis, or upper UTI, refers to an infection of the kidney and renal pelvis. Characteristic symptoms include fever, flank pain and tenderness, dysuria, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and, in severe cases, sepsis or septic shock (16).

ASB is defined as the presence of a significant concentration of bacteria in the urine (typically $\geq 10^5$ colony-forming units [cfu] per ml of urine), but without accompanying symptoms. This condition is frequent among patients with indwelling catheters and the institutionalized elderly

(16). However, due to its lack of clinical significance, treatment of ASB is not recommended, except in two specific cases: before urologic surgery (to avoid post-operative complications) and during pregnancy (due to an increased risk of pyelonephritis) (4;16).

1.1.6 Recurrent UTIs (RUTIs)

RUTIs are a frequent clinical problem in young women. Twentyseven to 44% of women will experience at least one recurrence within six months after a primary UTI (17;18). RUTIs can be divided into two main categories: relapse and reinfection. A relapse usually occurs within two weeks after treatment cessation, whereas a reinfection occurs more than two weeks after the completion of therapy (4). The subsequent episode of cystitis can often be attributable to the same strain as the one implicated in the original infection. Persistence of the bacteria within the host's bladder or colonic flora, or reintroduction from an external reservoir, for example the sexual partner, may explain these same-strain recurrences (16).

1.1.7 Other extraintestinal infections

UTI is the most frequent infection caused by ExPEC (6). Other types of extraintestinal infection include neonatal meningitis, intraabdominal infection, nosocomial pneumonia, osteomyelitis, cellulitis,

and wound infections. All of these infections can give rise to bacteremia and sepsis, which can be fatal (6;19;20).

1.1.8 Prevention

A well-studied method for the prevention of UTI consists of regularly consuming cranberry products. Proanthocyanidins, which are the active compound of cranberries, are thought to inhibit the attachment of *E. coli* to the uroepithelium, thus preventing subsequent colonization and infection. A recent Cochrane review on the efficacy of cranberries in preventing UTI concluded that cranberry juice may help in reducing the incidence of UTI in women who tend to have recurrences (21). However, convincing clinical trials are still missing and the use of cranberries as a prophylactic agent remains controversial (22).

For women at risk for RUTIs, the discontinuation of diaphragm or spermicide use is the first recommendation. When behavioral changes are not sufficient, an effective strategy to prevent RUTIs consists of using low doses of antimicrobial prophylaxis, either on a regular basis or after sexual intercourse. Patient-initiated treatment can also be used at the onset of symptoms (16;23). Immunoactive prophylaxis, which consists of consuming bacterial extracts in order to stimulate the innate immune system, is another option (2). In a meta-analysis on the safety and efficacy of bacterial lysates in the prevention of RUTI, a product called OM-89 (Uro-Vaxom) was shown to reduce the number of UTI recurrences by

39%, and appears to be a potential alternative to antimicrobial prophylaxis (24).

1.1.9 Treatment

Lower UTIs are usually treated empirically with oral antimicrobials. Indeed, due to time and cost constraints, urine cultures and microbiological diagnosis are not systematically performed. The actual first-line treatment consists of a three-day course of trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX). However, the use of this drug is not recommended in geographic areas where resistance prevalence in uropathogens reaches 20% (16;25). Recent studies from Canada have shown that we are approaching this threshold, with resistance rates of 15-19% among *E. coli* isolated from urine samples (26-28). Fluoroguinolones (ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, norfloxacin) are an effective but more expensive alternative to TMP-SMX (4;25;29;30). Emerging resistance to this class of antimicrobials actually raises concern, since it may limit their use in the treatment of more severe infections such as pyelonephritis (16;25). Other treatment options include a five- to seven-day regimen with nitrofurantoin, and a single three-gram oral dose of fosfomycin. However, these two antibiotics are thought to exhibit more adverse effects and result in lower cure rates compared to TMP-SMX and fluoroquinolones (25;29;30). βlactams agents, which include penicillins, cephalosporins, monobactams and carbapenems, are sometimes used for UTI therapy but are less

effective than the aforementioned drugs due to frequent bacterial resistance (25;29;30).

1.2 Extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* (ExPEC)

1.2.1 Intestinal reservoir

ExPEC differ from diarrheagenic strains of *E. coli*, such as *E. coli* O157:H7, in that they do not cause gastrointestinal diseases. Similarly, diarrheagenic *E. coli* strains are largely unable to cause disease outside of the intestinal tract (19;20). ExPEC can, along with commensal strains, asymptomatically colonize their host's intestinal tract. They may even, at any given time point, constitute the predominant fecal *E. coli* strain in approximately 20% of healthy individuals (31). Therefore, the intestinal acquisition of ExPEC is not sufficient for infection to occur. The pathogen needs to leave the intestinal infection. In the case of UTI, this can be prompted by sexual intercourse or the placement of an indwelling catheter, for example.

1.2.2 Virulence factors

Most commensal strains of *E. coli* that inhabit the gastrointestinal tract belong to phylogenetic lineages A and B1, and tend to lack virulence genes (19;20). This is in contrast to ExPEC, which derives predominantly

from phylogroups B2 and D, and possess specific extraintestinal virulence factors such as adhesins (P and type 1 fimbriae), iron-acquisition systems (aerobactin), host defense-subverting mechanisms (capsule, O antigens), and toxins (hemolysin, cytotoxic necrotizing factor 1) (6;19;20). Many of these virulence factors are found on pathogenicity islands (PAIs), which are large genomic regions that are thought to be acquired by horizontal transfer. PAIs are typically absent from the genomes of commensal *E. coli* strains (32;33).

1.2.3 Pathotypes

Human ExPEC can be further categorized into uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC), meningitis-associated *E. coli* (MNEC) and septicemiaassociated *E. coli* (SEPEC). ExPEC can also cause illness in animals; avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC) causes extraintestinal infections such as colibacillosis and septicemia in poultry, resulting in significant economic losses (34). Each of these pathotypes is typically defined by the clinical syndrome produced or alternatively by the presence of a particular set of virulence factors (35-37). However, the virulence genes associated with each pathotype are not mutually exclusive, and a single virulence factor can be pathologically relevant to several clinical syndromes (19;20). The traditional characterization of *E. coli* pathotypes relies on the identification of somatic (O), capsular (K) and flagellar (H) antigens, which are determined by serotyping. Specific antigen types have been associated

with certain pathotypes. For example, expression of the K1 capsular antigen has been shown to contribute to the pathogenesis of MNEC (38). On the other hand, *E. coli* of serotypes O2 and O78 are responsible for about 80% of avian septicemia cases (39), and a limited number of Oserotypes (O1, O2, O4, O6, O7, O8, O16, O18, O25, and O75) account for up to 81% of UPEC-associated infections (31;40).

1.3 Outbreaks of community-acquired extraintestinal infections caused by *E. coli*

1.3.1 Introduction

Community-acquired extraintestinal infections are generally considered to be sporadic infections that are caused by a diverse pool of *E. coli* strains. Unlike enteric diseases such as salmonellosis or gastroenteritis, extraintestinal infections are not usually associated with outbreaks. However, certain ExPEC lineages have exhibited epidemic behavior, and there is mounting evidence that they are responsible for community-wide outbreaks.

In this thesis, a clonal group or cluster will be defined as a group of isolates that exhibits identical phenotypic and genotypic traits, as determined by a strain typing method such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), multilocus sequence typing (MLST) or serotyping. This definition is based on the fact that a clonal group (or a clone) arises from the asexual reproduction of a common precursor strain.

1.3.2 *E. coli* O15:K52:H1

The first outbreak of extraintestinal *E. coli* infections ever reported occurred in South East London in the winter of 1986-1987. There, a highly virulent and multidrug-resistant clonal group of *E. coli* O15:K52:H1 was found to be responsible for 15% of all UTI cases and caused three deaths from septicemia (41). *E. coli* O15:K52:H1 was later recognized as an endemic cause of UTI and bacteremia in Denmark and Spain (42-44). It was also identified beyond Europe, in the United States and in Canada, which suggests its broad dissemination (45;46).

1.3.3 *E. coli* O78:H10

In 1991, a cluster of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* O78:H10 was identified in Copenhagen, Denmark. The outbreak strain was responsible for 18 cases of community- and hospital-associated UTI over an eight-month period (47). Between 1956 and 1990, only 30 strains of serotype O78:H10 were identified among the thousands received from all around the world by the WHO *Escherichia* Centre at the Statens Serum Institut in Copenhagen (47).

1.3.4 E. coli clonal group A

In 2001, Manges and colleagues reported the discovery of *E. coli* clonal group A (CgA). This clonal group, characterized by serotype

O11/O77/O17/O73:K52:H18, caused 11% of all UTIs, and 49% of TMP-SMX-resistant UTIs in a single California community over a four-month period (48). It also caused antimicrobial-resistant UTIs in Michigan, Minnesota, and Colorado (49), as well as pyelonephritis in several states (50). CgA isolates were highly homogenous with respect to enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2 (ERIC2) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) fingerprinting patterns, O:H serotype, PFGE profiles, virulence-factor profiles and antibiotic resistance patterns. This high degree of genetic homogeneity, combined with the presence of an unusual serotype (the somatic antigens O11, O77, O17 and O73 are not frequently encountered among UTI-causing *E. coli*), suggested that CgA was a newly emerging pathogenic clonal group (48). One year after the possible CgA outbreak, the proportion of drug-resistant UTIs caused by this clonal group had dropped by 38% (p<0.001) (51). This temporal decline in the prevalence of CgA indicated that the number of cases followed an epidemic pattern (rapid increase followed by a sharp decline), which provides further evidence that CgA was responsible for a community-wide epidemic of UTI.

1.3.5 CTX-M extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *E. coli* (O25:H4-ST131)

Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) are plasmid-borne bacterial enzymes that mediate resistance to aztreonam, penicillins, and

third and fourth generation cephalosporins such as ceftazidime, cefotaxime, and cefepime. Resistance to aminoglycosides and TMP-SMX is often co-transferred on the same plasmid (52).

ESBLs can be divided into distinct families or types. ESBLs belonging to the CTX-M family were first described in 1986, in a strain of E. coli (53). Unlike the traditional TEM and SHV-derived ESBLs, which have been mostly restricted to nosocomial klebsiellae, CTX-M enzymes are mainly detected in community-associated E. coli infections, and have recently emerged as the dominant ESBL type worldwide (54-57). CTX-Mproducing E. coli are not only resistant to penicillins and cephalosporins, but also carry resistance to multiple other medically significant antimicrobial drugs, including fluoroquinolones. As these ESBL-producing E. coli are frequently found in human feces, it has been suggested that they could be spreading via the food chain (57). Once in the gut, these multidrug-resistant E. coli may serve as a source for urinary tract and bloodstream infections. The ability of CTX-M-producing E. coli to rapidly disseminate in the community and cause severe infections with limited treatment options raises concern; some authors have even referred to a 'CTX-M β-lactamase pandemic' (58;59).

In 2000-2001, clonally related strains of ESBL-producing *E. coli* were found to be responsible for a community-onset outbreak of UTI in the Calgary Health Region. This was the first ever reported clonal outbreak of ESBL-producing organisms occurring outside of a hospital setting (60). The PFGE-defined clonal group contained 59 isolates (recovered from 59

patients); these isolates were resistant to ciprofloxacin and produced CTX-M-14 β -lactamase. The distribution of UTI cases caused by the epidemic strain followed an epidemic pattern: from a single case detected in June 2000, the outbreak reached its highest point between October and December 2000, with 17 cases reported. The number of subsequent cases gradually went down to 5 in December 2001, and the epidemic strain seemingly disappeared from the population thereafter (60). The temporal and geographical clustering of the cases, combined with the fact that they were caused by closely related *E. coli* strains, suggests that an outbreak of UTI occurred in this Calgary community.

Between 2004 and 2006, Mendonça *et al.* investigated the prevalence of CTX-M enzymes among clinical isolates of *E. coli* in Portugal (61). A cluster of 91 CTX-M-15-producing isolates was identified based on PFGE. These isolates exhibited high levels of resistance to quinolones and aminoglycosides, and the majority were recovered from out- and inpatient urine samples (61). The authors suggested that the country-wide dissemination of CTX-M-producing *E. coli* in Portugal might be due to the introduction and spread of a major clone between the hospitals and the community.

In 2003-2004, the Health Protection Agency's Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring and Reference Laboratory analyzed a set of 287 CTX-Mproducing *E. coli* forwarded from 42 centres throughout the United Kingdom (62). A single CTX-M-producing strain, designated epidemic strain A, was found to account for a cluster of 110 PFGE-related isolates

retrieved from six centres. A sizeable fraction of these isolates were recovered from community patients (23%; the remainder being from hospitalized patients), and the majority were from urine and blood specimens (62). The epidemic strain belonged to serotype O25:H4 and sequence type (ST) 131 (as determined by MLST), and was resistant to ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim (62;63).

One year later, Lau *et al.* also identified an important genetic lineage of *E. coli* belonging to ST131 in the Northwest of England. This lineage was responsible for 59% of cephalosporin-resistant UTIs and bacteremia cases among community and hospital patients (64). The presence of CTX-M enzymes was not evaluated, but further investigation into ST131 isolates revealed that they belonged to serotype O25, and were linked to the United Kingdom's epidemic strain A (63;64).

E. coli O25:H4-ST131 has been identified in several other countries, including Canada (46;65;66), Spain (67;68), Italy (67), Turkey (69), Croatia (70), Japan (71), Norway (72), Korea (73), France (74), Indonesia (75), and the United States (76). This recently emerged clonal group has rapidly disseminated around the globe (77) and actually contributes to a considerable fraction of community-onset extraintestinal infections caused by CTX-M-producing *E. coli*. O25:H4-ST131 belongs to the highly virulent phylogenetic group B2 and harbors plasmids that encode resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents (78;79). Therefore, this clonal group represents a serious threat to public health. In the near

future, carbapenems are likely to become the only choice available for the empirical treatment of community-acquired extraintestinal infections (78).

1.3.6 Summary

These outbreak reports suggest that community-onset epidemics of extraintestinal infection caused by E. coli may be more common than initially expected. The increasing prevalence of drug-resistant UTIs in certain communities may be attributable to the introduction of a single multidrug-resistant clonal group of ExPEC, rather than changes in the frequency of antibiotic use (51). Since urine cultures are not automatically performed for most UTI cases, there is no doubt that other large-scale outbreaks of extraintestinal E. coli infections have occurred, or may actually be ongoing, without being detected. Unlike with foodborne enteric diseases, there is no surveillance system actually in place to monitor and identify clonal outbreaks of extraintestinal infections. These outbreaks are more difficult to detect because there is a time lag ranging from several weeks to months between the acquisition of ExPEC by the gut and the development of an infection. This is in contrast to exposure to diarrheagenic E. coli, which usually results in immediate disease manifestation.

The source(s) of these epidemics was never identified. However, several authors have suggested that a point source, such as contaminated food products, may play a role in the local dissemination of clonally related

E. coli strains (47;48;51;54;55;57;60;78). Indeed, the number of cases implicated in these outbreaks, their temporal clustering, and the absence of obvious epidemiological connections between affected individuals argues against household and sexual contact as the primary modes of transmission.

1.4 Evidence for a food animal reservoir of ExPEC

1.4.1 Introduction

It is unclear how ExPEC are acquired by the human gut, but it has been suggested that foods might be a source of antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC in humans. Indeed, the food supply is an established reservoir for several pathogenic bacteria, such as E. coli O157:H7, Salmonella enterica, Campylobacter jejuni, and Listeria monocytogenes (80). The use of antimicrobial agents for clinical therapy, prophylaxis and growth promotion in animal husbandry ultimately favors the selection of antimicrobial-resistant microorganisms. Pathogenic and antimicrobialresistant bacteria that are found in the fecal flora of food animals can contaminate meat carcasses during the slaughtering process and be transferred to humans via the food supply (81-85). Indeed, pathogenic bacteria found on retail meat items can easily be transmitted to food handlers and consumers via cross-contamination between raw and cooked items, consumption of undercooked meat, and poor hygiene practices in the kitchen (86;87).

1.4.2 Similarities between avian and human ExPEC

Avian and human ExPEC typically cause disease in different hosts. However, since they both have the ability to cause extraintestinal infections, the host specificitiy of APEC and human-associated pathotypes is being challenged. Indeed, several studies have shown that APEC and human ExPEC have overlapping virulence traits, serotypes, and phylogenetic groups, and also share the ability to cause disease in chicks (88-90). Since no distinctive host-associated virulence characteristics appears to exist between avian and human ExPEC, it has been suggested that APEC may be able to cause disease in human hosts (39;88-93). The recent sequencing of an O1:K1:H7 APEC strain, designated APEC O1, revealed extensive similarities between this strain and the genome of three UPEC reference strains (94), suggesting that there is no obvious genetic evidence for a host- or syndrome-exclusive pathotype between avian and human ExPEC (39;94). Therefore, these findings support the possibility that some APEC may behave as zoonotic pathogens.

In a comparative genotyping study of 59 O1:K1:H7/NM ExPEC isolates, Mora and co-authors identified 39 strains from various pathotypes that belonged to phylogroup B2 and ST95. By PFGE, some of these isolates exhibited similar profiles. Six clusters including APEC and human ExPEC strains were identified, suggesting recent divergence from a common ancestor (95). It must be noted, however, that the isolates included in the study were not epidemiologically linked (they were

collected from four countries over a time-period of 15 years). Nonetheless, these results suggest that APEC may be related to human ExPEC.

The similarities observed between human and avian ExPEC suggest that their associated pathotypes are not host-specific. Therefore, APEC may possibly be transmitted to humans via contaminated poultry meat, establish themselves in the human gut, and, under certain circumstances, go on to cause disease at an extraintestinal site. However, convincing epidemiological evidence showing that foodborne APEC are a cause of disease in humans is still lacking.

1.4.3 Antimicrobial-resistant E. coli and ExPEC in retail foods

Several studies have reported the presence of antimicrobialresistant *E. coli* in raw retail meats from groceries stores (96-98). The selection for antimicrobial-resistant organims is likely the result of antimicrobial use in food animal production. Between 1999 and 2003, Johnson and colleagues examined the presence of antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC within large sets of retail food items from community markets in Minnesota (99;100). They observed that poultry samples exhibited the highest level of *E. coli* contamination (92%) compared to beef or pork (69%) and other foods items (9%) (99). Among *E. coli*-positive samples, retail poultry exhibited a significantly higher prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (86-94%) and ExPEC-associated virulence traits (40-46%) compared to other meat and food sources. These findings suggest that

retail meats purchased in supermarkets, particularly poultry products, are often extensively contaminated with antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli*, and some of them carry typical ExPEC virulence features.

1.4.4 Similarities between antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC of human and poultry origin

To address whether poultry products may be a source of antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC in humans, researchers have examined the similarities between drug-resistant and drug-susceptible E. coli isolates of human fecal and poultry origin with regards to their phylogenetic groups, ExPEC-associated virulence factors and O-antigens (101;102). Drugsusceptible human isolates were found to differ considerably from other human and poultry isolates. On the other hand, drug-resistant human isolates closely resembled poultry isolates, and drug-susceptible and drugresistant poultry isolates were largely indistinguishable (101;102). These findings suggest that (i) drug-resistant poultry-source *E. coli* isolates likely arise de novo from susceptible poultry-source precursors, which is consistent with on-farm emergence of resistance due to the selective pressure from antimicrobial drug use in animal husbandry, and (ii) drugresistant human fecal E. coli isolates may originate from a poultry reservoir, which is consistent with the hypothesis of foodborne transmission of pathogenic *E. coli* from poultry to humans.

In a molecular-epidemiological study of fluoroquinolone-resistant *E. coli* isolates from humans and chickens, Johnson *et al.* (102) found one instance of a close PFGE match between a retail chicken and a human fecal *E. coli* isolate. Both isolates were ciprofloxacin-resistant, O76 antigen positive and contained the following virulence determinants: *fimH* (type 1 fimbriae adhesin), *iutA* (aerobactin receptor), *traT* (serum resistance-associated), and *ompT* (outer membrane protease). In another study, eight food-associated ExPEC isolates were found to closely resemble *E. coli* isolates recovered from human extraintestinal infections according to O antigen and random amplified polymorphic DNA profiles (RAPD) (99;100).

These observations suggest that retail chicken may be a source for the acquisition of potentially pathogenic antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* by humans, and raise concerns regarding the human health impact of antimicrobial drug use in food animal production.

1.4.5 Epidemiologic association between retail meat consumption and

UTIs caused by antimicrobial-resistant E. coli

In 2003-2004, Manges *et al.* conducted a case-control study on the dietary habits of women with UTI caused by antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli*. Their results showed that women infected with multidrug-resistant *E. coli* were significantly more likely to report frequent (\geq 4-6 times per week) chicken consumption, and women with UTI caused by ampicillin- or
cephalosporin-resistant *E. coli* were more likely to report frequent pork consumption (103). This study provided epidemiologic evidence that antimicrobial-resistant, UTI-causing *E. coli* may have a food reservoir, possibly in retail chicken or pork.

1.4.6 Summary

Retail meat, particularly poultry, may represent an important but unrecognized vehicle for the community-wide dissemination of antimicrobial-resistant ExPEC. Upon consumption, ExPEC will colonize the intestinal tract and become available to cause a UTI when risk factors (e.g., frequent sexual intercourse) are present. If there is a food animal reservoir for ExPEC, then the use of antimicrobials in food animal production may select for antimicrobial-resistant forms of ExPEC (104), and the public health implications for animal husbandry, food safety and clinical management of human infections would be significant.

2. INTRODUCTION

Evidence showing that food can be a reservoir for ExPEC includes (i) the observation of community-based outbreaks of extraintestinal infections caused by epidemic strains of *E. coli* causing uncomplicated UTI (41;47;48;60-62;64) and other severe infections (41;50;62;64); (ii) the determination that these epidemic strains share antimicrobial resistance patterns, virulence-factor profiles, serotypes, and genotypes with isolates recovered from retail meat (99;100;102); and (iii) the epidemiologic association between retail meat consumption and the intestinal acquisition of antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* causing UTI (103).

2.1 Objective and hypothesis

In this thesis, I report the results of a study designed to address whether a food reservoir exists, possibly in retail chicken meat and other food products, for *E. coli* causing human extraintestinal infections. The objective was to compare the genotypes of systematically selected *E. coli* isolates recovered from (i) human cases of community-acquired UTI, (ii) retail meats, and (iii) restaurant/ready-to-eat foods, in order to determine whether UTI-causing *E. coli* are genetically related to *E. coli* from food sources (retail meat or restaurant/ready-to-eat foods). Isolates from all three sources were collected over the same time period (2005-2007) and geographic sampling area (Montréal and nearby regions).

Our *a priori* hypothesis, based on previous research, was that *E. coli* from human UTIs would most closely resemble the *E. coli* from retail chicken meat. We did examine *E. coli* recovered from beef and pork meats, but we focused on isolates recovered from retail chicken products. We also looked at isolates recovered from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods.

3. METHODS

3.1 Study design

This study is based on an ecologic design. E. coli isolates recovered from three sources (human UTI. retail meat and restaurant/ready-to-eat foods) were systematically sampled over the same period (2005-2007) and geographic area. Human UTI and time restaurant/ready-to-eat isolates were from Montréal, Québec. Retail meat isolates from Québec and parts of Ontario were included because women with infections were primarily from these regions. We hoped to maximize the probability that matching genotypes between E. coli from these three sources could be identified. E. coli isolates from each source were cultured and processed separately in different laboratory rooms to prevent cross-contamination. All organisms were stored in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (Difco) with 15% (vol/vol) glycerol at -80°C until use. The systematic sampling schemes and details for each source are outlined below.

3.2 Sampling of *E. coli* causing human UTIs

Urine cultures were obtained in collaboration with two clinics from the Montréal area: the McGill Student Health Services (MSHS) and the Centre Local de Service Communautaire (CLSC) Métro Guy. *E. coli* isolates were recovered from urine samples of women aged 18-45 years presenting with UTI to one of these two clinics from June 2005 to May

2007. UTI was clinically defined as the presence of two or more relevant symptoms, including dysuria, increased urinary frequency or urgency, pyuria, and hematuria, and by the presence of more than 10² cfu of *E. coli* per ml of clean-catch urine (105). Exactly 1,395 consecutive urine specimens were collected and cultured. The study protocol was approved by the McGill University Institutional Review Board (A01-M04-05A).

Details about specimen culture and bacterial identification of E. coli have been previously described (66). Briefly, urine samples were immediately cultured on Uricult (Orion Diagnostica) MacConkey/cysteine lactose electrolyte-deficient agar dipslides. One arbitrarily selected colony (or multiple if morphologically different colonies were present) was selected from the MacConkey side. Lactose- and indole- positive colonies were presumptively identified as E. coli (106). Those isolates that were either lactose or indole negative were cultured on CHROMagar orientation plates (Becton Dickinson and Company) and subjected to lysine decarboxylase testing (Moeller Decarboxylase, PML Microbiologicals). The reference strains used for decarboxylase testing included Klebsiella pneumoniae (ATCC 13883) and Enterobacter cloacae (ATCC 13047). Isolates with a typical E. coli appearance on the CHROMagar plate and positive for lysine decarboxylase were considered to be E. coli. One E. coli isolate from each urine culture was arbitrarily selected for further analysis. If a woman had RUTIs during the study period, only the isolate from the first episode was included. A total of 599 E. coli isolates were available for this study.

The final study sample (n = 353) of *E. coli* isolates was systematically sampled from the entire eligible human clinical isolate collection in the following manner. All cephalothin-resistant *E. coli* (n = 19) were included, as cephalosporin resistance phenotype has been associated with UTI outbreaks and is a common characteristic of poultryderived E. coli isolates (60-62;64;96;99). Isolates that were known to be part of a clonal group (n = 46), meaning that in earlier studies these *E. coli* were found to be genetically related to other *E. coli* isolates causing UTI in unrelated women, were included (66). We hypothesized that these *E. coli* would be more likely to be associated with food sources as they caused UTIs in multiple, unrelated women. A random sample of *E. coli* isolates resistant to one or more antimicrobial agents was assembled (n = 172). We chose to oversample resistant *E. coli*, as antimicrobial resistance has been associated with possible outbreaks of extraintestinal E. coli infections (41;47;48;60-62;64). A random sample of fully susceptible *E. coli* isolates (n = 116) was selected. An *E. coli* isolate could occur only once in the final study sample.

3.3 Sampling of *E. coli* from retail meats

A sample of 417 *E. coli* isolates recovered from fresh, raw retail chicken, beef, and pork meat was systematically selected from the collection of the Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (CIPARS). This program led by the Public Health

Agency of Canada monitors temporal and regional trends in antimicrobial resistance in selected bacterial species obtained from chain, independent and butcher stores in several Canadian provinces (107). Protocols for sample collection, *E. coli* isolation, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing are detailed in CIPARS's annual reports (107-109). Briefly, CIPARS' retail meat sampling protocol involves a yearly collection of 100 isolates each from poultry (chicken legs or wings [skin on]), pork (shoulder chops) and beef (ground beef) items from randomly selected regions of each participating province (107).

E. coli isolates collected by the CIPARS in Montréal, areas of Québec outside Montréal, and parts of Ontario from January 2005 to July 2007 were included in the study as follows. All CIPARS isolates from Montréal were included because all UTIs occurred in Montréal (n = 197). All CIPARS nalidixic acid-resistant E. coli from all regions of Canada were included (n = 24); these isolates have been associated with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones in both animal and human-related isolates (110). Randomly selected susceptible and resistant isolates from outside Montréal, including regions of Québec and Ontario, were selected to better represent the possible sources of retail meat exposure for the UTI cases. The overall sampling fraction for retail chicken meat isolates was approximately 60%, given that our primary hypothesis focused on poultry products. The sampling fraction for retail beef and pork was 20% each. There has been a strong association between ExPEC clonal groups and antimicrobial resistance (41;47;48;60-62;64). Our targeted sampling

fraction for antimicrobial resistance was 60% for each retail meat category; however, only 25% of retail beef isolates were resistant to one or more antimicrobials.

3.4 Sampling of *E. coli* from restaurant/ready-to-eat foods

We included all 74 *E. coli* isolates from restaurant/ready-to-eat food sources collected in Montréal between February 2005 and October 2007 by the Division de l'Inspection des Aliments, Ville de Montréal (111;112). These isolates were recovered from a range of prepared and ready-to-eat foods, including meats, fruits, vegetables and other items. They were collected as part of routine surveillance activities and from complaintrelated inspections of restaurants and establishments offering ready-to-eat foods.

3.5 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Human clinical and restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolates had been previously screened for resistance to ampicillin, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and TMP-SMX by the disk diffusion method as part of another study (66).

Extended antimicrobial susceptibility testing for both human UTI and restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolates was performed by the Public Health Agency of Canada, Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses. Retail

meat isolates had been tested prior to this study using the same procedure outlined below. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were determined for 15 antimicrobial agents (amikacin, amoxicillinclavulanic acid. ampicillin, cefoxitin. ceftiofur. ceftriaxone. chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, kanamycin, nalidixic acid, streptomycin, sulfisoxazole, tetracycline, and TMP-SMX) by the broth microdilution method (113). Testing was performed by using the Sensititre Automated Microbiology System (Trek Diagnostic Systems Ltd) and National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) susceptibility panel CMV1AGNF. Isolates were defined as resistant, intermediate, or susceptible to each antimicrobial agent according to the most current breakpoints of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (114). Since no CLSI Enterobacteriaceae interpretive criteria is available for streptomycin, breakpoints were based on the MIC distribution and harmonized with NARMS data (107). Isolates exhibiting intermediate resistance were classified as susceptible. Multidrug resistance was defined as resistance to three or more antimicrobial classes, including aminoglycosides (amikacin, gentamicin, kanamycin, and streptomycin); penicillins (amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ampicillin); cephalosporins (cefoxitin, ceftiofur. ceftriaxone, and cephalothin); quinolones (ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid); sulfonamides (sulfisoxazole and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole); tetracycline; nitrofurantoin: and chloramphenicol.

3.6 DNA isolation

E. coli isolates were plated on LB agar and single colonies were picked and inoculated into 3 ml LB broth for 16 h with agitation at 37°C. A 1-ml suspension of bacteria was centrifuged and the pellet was subjected to total DNA extraction and purification with the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA samples were run on a 0.8% agarose gel to ensure their quality and quantified by using the NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). DNA dilutions of 10ng/µl were used for multilocus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) and MLST.

3.7 Multilocus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA)

MLVA was performed on all *E. coli* isolates by the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre. The method was as previously described by Manges *et al.* (115). Essentially, eight genomic loci containing variable numbers of tandem repeats were amplified in separate PCR reactions using fluorescent primers. The PCR reaction mixture included PCR Buffer (1×), MgCl₂ (0.5 mM), deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP; 0.2 mM each), forward and reverse primers (0.2 μ M each), Qiagen HotStart *Taq* (0.04 U/ μ I), and bacterial DNA (20 ng). The thermal cycling was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. Fragments were resolved by capillary electrophoresis, using a 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Raw fragment lengths for each locus were binned manually using a minimum threshold of ±3-bp to distinguish between different alleles. *E. coli* CFT073, K12, and O157:H7 were used as positive controls in every amplification and genotyping run. The set of 8 alleles for each isolate was defined as the MLVA profile.

3.8 Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequence 2 (ERIC2) PCR fingerprinting

E. coli isolates exhibiting identical MLVA profiles were grouped and compared by ERIC2 PCR fingerprinting (116-118) to confirm isolates' relatedness within each MLVA cluster. ERIC2 is a repetitive elementbased PCR technique; it uses a single primer that matches target sequences which are present in multiple locations in the bacterial genome. DNA fragments of varying sizes are amplified and separated using gel electrophoresis, resulting in a unique DNA fingerprint for each isolate.

Boiled lysates were used as a source of template DNA. Briefly, bacteria were harvested from 1 ml of an overnight culture, resuspended in 100 μ l of PCR-grade water and boiled for 10 min. The DNA supernatant was obtained by centrifugation and used in the PCR reaction. Amplification was performed in a 25 μ l reaction volume containing PCR Buffer (1×), MgCl₂ (5.0 mM), dNTPs (0.4 mM each; Invitrogen), primer (1.0 μ M; Sigma-Genosys), *Taq* DNA polymerase (0.08 U/ μ l; Invitrogen), and 2.0 µl of template DNA. The cycling routine included a preliminary denaturation step at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 4.5 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 1 min. PCR products were electrophoresed in 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using an ultraviolet (UV) transilluminator (ChemiGenius2, Syngene). The human prototype CgA strain (ATCC BAA-457) was used as a positive control for each ERIC2 PCR run. Isolates with fingerprints that were indistinguishable on visual inspection were grouped and selected for further typing.

3.9 Clonal group definition

A clonal group was defined as two or more *E. coli* isolates exhibiting indistinguishable MLVA and ERIC2 PCR profiles. We focused only on clonal groups that contained isolates from more than one source. Groups containing isolates from retail meat and restaurant/ready-to-eat sources were included to determine whether *E. coli* strains from retail meat could be identified in prepared foods. Each clonal group was given a designation that includes the serotype and the ST, as in serotype O25:H4 and ST131 (O25:H4-ST131).

All clonal group isolates were subjected to antimicrobial resistance gene typing, and selected isolates from each clonal group were evaluated by PFGE, serotyping, MLST, and phylogenetic typing to confirm the

identities of these clonal groups and to define their within-group variability. Finally, selected isolates from the most homogenous clonal groups were evaluated for the presence or absence of several virulence genes with a DNA microarray.

3.10 Antimicrobial resistance gene detection

All clonal group members were subjected to antimicrobial resistance genotyping. Template DNA was obtained by the boiling method of Kozak et al. (119). Multiplex PCRs were used to detect β-lactamaseencoding genes (bla_{SHV} , bla_{OXA-1} , and bla_{CMY-2}), as well as the major genes for resistance to streptomycin (*strA/strB* and *aadA*), kanamycin (*aphA1*, aphA2 and aadB), gentamicin [aac(3)IV], sulfonamides (sul1, sul2, and sul3), and tetracycline [tet(A), tet(B), and tet(C)] (119;120). Single PCRs were used to amplify the β -lactamase-encoding gene bla_{TEM} (119), the integrase gene from class I integron intl1 (121), and several genes for resistance to trimethoprim (dhfrl, dhfrlb, dhfrV, dhfrlX, dhfrXII, dhfrXIII, dhfrXIV, dhfrXVI, dhfrXVII). Primers and PCR conditions are described in Table 1. Multiplex PCRs were performed with a Qiagen multiplex PCR kit in 25 µl reaction volumes containing 1× Qiagen multiplex PCR master mixture, 1× Q-solution, and 1× primer mixture according to the manufacturer's instructions. dhfrlb and dhfrXIV were amplified with the same primers and the amplicon, if present, was sequenced to identify the corresponding gene variant. Primers for the amplification of all *dhfr* genes

were designed from conserved regions based on sequence alignment of each gene and its variants available from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/).

3.11 Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

Selected isolates from each clonal group were evaluated by Xbal PFGE. The standardized protocol for molecular subtyping of E. coli O157:H7 by PFGE, as established by the PulseNet network of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, was used (122). Briefly, 1 ml of bacterial culture was centrifuged and the pellet was resuspended in Cell 100 mΜ Suspension Buffer (CSB: Tris, 100 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) [pH 8.0]). Bacterial suspensions were further diluted with CSB to an optical density of 0.7 at 610 nm and mixed in a 1:1 volume ratio with an agarose solution consisting of 1% Pulse Field Certified Agarose (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in Tris EDTA (TE) buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]). The mixture was dispensed into the wells of disposable plug molds (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and, once solidified, the plugs were lysed overnight at 54°C in Cell Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris, 50 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 1% Sarcosyl, 0.5 mg/ml proteinase K). Plugs were then washed two times with double distilled water for 15 min and six times with TE buffer for 10 min. All washing steps were performed at 50°C in a shaking water bath. The plugs were subsequently restricted overnight at 37°C with Xbal

endonuclease (60 U/plug, Invitrogen), washed with 0.5× Tris borate EDTA (TBE) buffer (54 g/l of Tris, 27.5 g/l of boric acid, and 6.45 g/l of EDTA), and loaded directly onto a 1% Pulsed Field Certified Agarose gel (Bio-Rad Laboratories). DNA fragments were resolved with the CHEF Mapper electrophoresis appartus (Bio-Rad Laboratories) in 0.5× TBE buffer at 14°C. Electrophoresis was performed for 23 h at a gradient of 6 V/cm, with initial and final switch times of 2.2 and 54.2 s, respectively. The gels were then stained in 0.1% ethidium bromide solution and visualized using a UV transilluminator (ChemiGenius2, Syngene). On each gel, the human prototype CgA strain ATCC BAA-457 and Lambda Ladder PFG Marker (New England BioLabs) were used as positive control and molecular weight marker, respectively.

Restriction-fragment patterns were visually compared. According to the criteria of Tenover *et al.*, isolates exhibiting identical patterns were considered genetically indistinguishable, those exhibiting 1-3 band differences were considered closely related and those exhibiting 4-6 band differences in their PFGE patterns were considered to be possibly related (123). The genetic relatedness of isolates exhibiting related *Xba*l PFGE patterns was confirmed by using a second restriction enzyme, *Not*l.

3.12 Serotyping

O- and H-serotyping was performed by the Public Health Agency of Canada, Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses, using established protocols.

Isolates that did not react with O antiserum were classified as nontypeable (ONT), and those that were nonmotile were denoted NM.

3.13 Multilocus sequence typing (MLST)

MLST was performed to assess the degree of evolutionary relatedness between isolates (124). Gene amplification of seven housekeeping genes (adk [adenylate kinase], fumC [fumarate hydratase], gyrB [DNA gyrase], icd [isocitrate/isopropylmalate dehydrogenase], mdh [malate dehydrogenase], purA [adenylosuccinate dehydrogenase], and recA [ATP/GTP binding motif]) was carried out by using the primers and Ε. MLST conditions specified by the coli web site (http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli).

Amplification was performed in a total volume of 50 µl containing PCR Buffer (1×), MgCl₂ (1.25 mM), dNTPs (0.2 mM each; Invitrogen), forward and reverse primers (2.0 µM; Integrated DNA Technologies), *Taq* DNA polymerase (0.04 U/µl; Invitrogen), and DNA (25 ng). The cycling parameters consisted of an initial denaturation step of 2 min at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 95°C, annealing for 1 min at specific temperature (<u>http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ecoli</u>), and extension for 2 min at 72°C, and a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. Amplicons were sequenced with the forward PCR primers at the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, using a 3730xl DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Allele designations, STs, and ST

complexes (defined as a group of at least three STs sharing six alleles in pair-wise comparisons) were assigned to each isolate based on query results from the electronic database of the *E. coli* MLST web site.

3.14 Phylogenetic typing

Phylogenetic group determination was done according to the method of Clermont et al. (125). Isolates were assigned to one of four major phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, or D) based on the presence of two genes (chuA and yjaA) and a DNA fragment (TSPE4.C2), as determined by triplex PCR. The TspE4C2 primers previously described (125) were replaced by TspE4C2II'F (5'-AGTAATGTCGGGGCATTCAG-3') and TspE4C2II'R (5'-TCGCGCCAACAAAGTATTACG-3') (J.R. Johnson, personal communication). Bacterial DNA was obtained by the boiling method described above for ERIC2 PCR, except that cells were resuspended in 200 µl PCR-grade water instead of 100 µl. Amplification was carried out in a 20 µl mixture containing PCR Buffer (1×), MgCl₂ (4 mM), dNTPs (0.8 mM each; Invitrogen), forward and reverse primers (1.0 µM each; Integrated DNA Technologies), Tag DNA polymerase (0.125 U/µl; Invitrogen), and 3.0 µl of template DNA. The reaction mixture was subjected to a two-step PCR including an initial denaturation for 12 min at 94°C, 30 cycles of 5 s at 94°C and 10 s at 59°C, and a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were electrophoresed in

2.0% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using a UV transilluminator (ChemiGenius2, Syngene).

3.15 E. coli virulence microarray

Representative isolates from highly homogenous clonal groups were evaluated by using an oligonucleotide-based DNA microarray. The microarray used in this study is an updated version of a previously validated microarray (126) targeting 325 *E. coli* virulence genes or markers, as well as their variants. The microarray was designed to detect a large spectrum of virulence genes representative of all *E. coli* pathotypes and includes virulence factors such as adhesins, the locus of enterocyte effacement, colicins and microcins, toxins, iron acquisition and transport systems, capsular and somatic antigens, hemolysins and hemagglutinins, as well as newly recognized or putative *E. coli* virulence genes.

Bacterial DNA extraction and labeling (Bioprime DNA labeling system, Invitrogen) were performed as previously described (127). Briefly, 4 μ l of template DNA was added to a final reaction volume of 50 μ l containing 20 μ l of random-primer solution, 1 μ l of high-concentration DNA polymerase (Klenow fragment; 40 U/ μ l), 5 μ l of dNTPs (1.2 mM dATP, 1.2 mM dGTP, 1.2 mM dTTP, and 0.6 mM dCTP in TE buffer), and 2 μ l of 1 mM Cy5-labelled dCTP. Labeling reactions were performed in the dark at 37°C for 3.5 h and stopped by adding 5 μ l of 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0). The

labeled samples were purified with a PureLink PCR purification kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Pre-hybridization and hybridization of labeled DNA was performed as described elsewhere (128). Microarray slides were scanned at a resolution of 10 µm at 85% laser power with a ScanArray Lite fluorescent microarray analysis system (Perkin-Elmer). Acquisition and quantification of fluorescent spots intensities was performed using the ScanArray Express software version 2.1 (Perkin-Elmer) (127). The local background was subtracted from the recorded spot intensities and the median value of each set of triplicate spotted oligonucleotides was then compared to the median value of the negative control spots present on the array. Oligonucleotides with a signal-to-noise fluorescence ratio greater than 2.0 were considered positive.

Customized algorithms executed in MS-Excel were used to assign a specific pathotype to each *E. coli* isolate according to its set of virulence genes or markers. Isolates were designated diarrheagenic *E. coli* pathotypes based on the presence of particular virulence markers such as the locus of enterocyte effacement genes and heat-stable, heat-labile, or Shiga-like toxin-encoding genes (126;127). Based on the criteria of Johnson *et al.* (129), isolates were classified as ExPEC according to the possession of two or more of the following virulence genes: P or P-related fimbriae; S, F1C, F17, or F165 fimbriae; Dr binding adhesins; aerobactin siderophore system; and *kpsM* II (group 2 capsule synthesis). Isolates were assigned to a particular ExPEC subgroup based on the presence of

the following genes or gene groups: for UPEC, K1 capsule (neuA or kpsM II), iron acquisition system (aerobactin or versiniabactin), P or P-related fimbriae, and S, F1C, F17, or F165 fimbriae; for SEPEC, aerobactin siderophore system, K1 capsule (neuA or kpsM II), traT (serum resistance-associated), iss (increased serum survival), cdtB (cytolethal distending toxin), gafD (F17 fimbriae adhesin), F17A (F17 fimbriae structural subunit), and f165(1)A (F165(1) fimbriae); and for MNEC, iron acquisition system (aerobactin or versiniabactin), *ibeA* (invasion of brain endothelium), and *neuA* and *neuC* (K1 capsule synthesis). Isolates were classified as potentially APEC based on the presence of at least four of the five following genes or gene groups: iss; tsh (temperature-sensitive hemagglutinin); P, F, or S fimbriae; iron acquisition system (aerobactin or versiniabactin); and K1 capsule (*neuA* or *kpsM* II) (130). It must be noted, however, that no consensus APEC genotype currently exists. Isolates lacking one or more of the genes defining a given pathotype were considered nonpathogenic. The virulence score was the number of different virulence genes detected, adjusted for multiple detection of operon-associated genes.

3.16 Extended-spectrum β-lactamase testing

Members of clonal group O25:H4-ST131 were tested for ESBL phenotype. ESBL-production was assessed by a disk diffusion method, as described by the CLSI (114). Inhibition zones obtained with ceftazidime

and cefotaxime disks were compared to those obtained with ceftazidimeclavulanic acid and cefotaxime-clavulanic acid disks, respectively (Sensi-Disc, Becton Dickinson and Company). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 were used as quality control strains.

3.17 Statistical analyses

Proportions and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for proportions were estimated. Differences in proportions and virulence scores were assessed by Chi-square and Mann-Whitney U test, respectively. Statistical significance was defined as a p value <0.05. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 9.0 (StataCorp LP).

4. RESULTS

4.1 Description of study sample

We collected and analyzed a total of 844 *E. coli* isolates from human UTIs (n = 353), retail meats (n = 417), and restaurant/ready-to-eat foods (n = 74). Details regarding the year of isolation, geographic location, and specific meat or food source are summarized in Table 2.

4.2 Clonal group identification

MLVA and ERIC2 PCR identified 17 clonal groups containing isolates from more than one source. Eleven clonal groups contained isolates from human UTI and retail meat sources; five clonal groups contained isolates from retail meat and restaurant/ready-to-eat sources; and one clonal group contained isolates from human UTI and restaurant/ready-to-eat sources. The 17 clonal groups accounted for a total of 72 isolates (range 2-14 isolates per group), including 25 isolates (35%) from human UTI, 39 isolates (54%) from retail meat and 8 isolates (11%) from restaurant/ready-to-eat food. Among retail meat isolates, 32 (82%) were from retail chicken and the remainder were from retail beef or pork.

4.3 Antimicrobial susceptibility

The frequencies of resistance to specific antimicrobial agents among clonal group members are presented in Table 3. Thirty-four isolates (47%) were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent, although resistant isolates were originally oversampled. Of these, 13 (38%) were from human UTI, 20 (59%) were from retail meat and only one (3%) was from a restaurant/ready-to-eat source. The prevalence of resistance to all antimicrobial agents was consistently lower among restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolates than among human UTI or retail meat isolates. Overall, resistance rates were highest for tetracycline (35%), sulfisoxazole (31%) and ampicillin (28%). Resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, cefoxitin and ceftiofur was not observed in human UTI isolates, while resistance to chloramphenicol and ciprofloxacin was not detected in retail meat isolates. Restaurant-ready-to-eat food isolates only exhibited resistance to tetracycline. One isolate recovered from retail chicken (EC01DT05-0408-01) exhibited resistance to eight antimicrobial agents (amoxicillinclavulanic acid, ampicillin, cefoxitin, ceftiofur, streptomycin, sulfisoxazole, tetracycline, and TMP-SMX). Multidrug resistance (defined as resistance to three or more antimicrobial classes) was observed in 32% (95% CI 0.15-0.54) of human UTI isolates, in 33% (95% CI 0.19-0.50) of retail meat isolates, and in none of restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolates.

4.4 Resistance genotypes

The distribution of resistance determinants among the 72 clonal group members are reported in Table 4. Altogether, resistance genes were detected in 34 (47%) isolates, in 94% (33 of 35) of resistant or intermediate isolates, and in 3% (1 of 37) of pan-susceptible isolates. Among human UTI isolates, the most common resistance genes included *bla*_{TEM}, *tet*(B) and *strA/strB*, whereas among retail meat isolates the most frequent resistance genes were *tet*(A), *sul1*, and *aadA*. One isolate from a human UTI (MSHS 825A) possessed seven distinct resistance determinants (sul2, tet(B), bla_{OXA-1}, bla_{TEM}, strA/strB, aadA, and dhfrXIV) as well as the *intl1* gene of the class I integron. The integrase gene (*intl1*) from class I integron was also detected in a considerable fraction (47%) of resistant isolates. The integron-associated genes aadA and sul1 were present (either alone or in combination) in 81% of *intl1*-positive isolates. The resistance genes sul3, aadB, acc(3)IV, dhfrlb, dhfrlX, dhfrXIII and *dhfrXVI* were not identified in any isolates.

4.5 Correlation between resistance phenotypes and genotypes

The genotypes did not always correlate with the resistance phenotype of each isolate. One isolate from retail meat (EC01DT05-0224-01) carried the β -lactamase resistance gene *bla*_{CMY-2} but was phenotypically susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested. On the other

hand, one isolate (MSHS 161) from human UTI source displayed resistance to cephalothin but harbored no identified resistance gene.

Based on MICs, 27 isolates were classified as intermediate or resistant to tetracycline. Of these, 16 (59%) had *tet*(A), 8 (30%) had *tet*(B), 2 (7%) had *tet*(C), and 1 (4%) had no corresponding tetracycline resistance gene. Among ampicillin-resistant isolates (n=20), 1 (5%) had *bla*_{CMY-2}, 2 (10%) had *bla*_{SHV}, 11 (55%) had *bla*_{TEM}, 1 (5%) had *bla*_{CMY-2} and *bla*_{TEM} together, 1 (5%) had *bla*_{OXA-1} and *bla*_{TEM} together, and 4 (20%) had none of the β-lactamase genes investigated.

Of the 10 streptomycin-resistant isolates, 6 (60%) had *strA/strB*, and 4 (40%) had *strA/strB* and *aadA* together. Sixty-two isolates were phenotypically susceptible to streptomycin. The majority of these isolates (52 isolates [84%]) carried neither *aadA* nor *strA/strB*. However, 7 isolates (11%) carried *aadA*, 2 isolates (3%) carried *strA/strB*, and 1 isolate (2%) carried both *aadA* and *strA/strB*. None of the gentamicin-resistant isolates (n=8), carried the associated *aac*(3)IV gene.

4.6 Clonal group characterization

Among the 72 clonal group members, 57 representative isolates were selected for evaluation by PFGE, MLST, serotyping, and phylotyping (Table 5). Based on PFGE patterns, we identified two clonal groups (Group 1 and 2) that contained genetically indistinguishable isolates and

one clonal group (Group 3) that contained closely related isolates from food and human UTI sources.

4.6.1 Clonal group 1 - O25:H4-ST131

Clonal group 1 contained three *E. coli* isolates from phylogenetic group B2 that were characterized as O25:H4-ST131. One isolate was from retail chicken meat and the two others were from cases of human UTI; all isolates were recovered from the Montréal area (Table 5). The Xbal PFGE patterns of the first human isolate (MSHS 161) and the retail chicken isolate (EC01DT06-1737-01) were indistinguishable, and the second human isolate (MSHS 1134A) differed by one band from the other two patterns (Figure 2A). The Notl PFGE patterns of the two human isolates (which were indistinguishable) differed from the retail chicken isolate by a single band (Figure 2B). The retail meat isolate from this clonal group was susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested and had no resistance gene detected. One of the two isolates from human UTI (MSHS 161) was resistant to cephalothin but did not have an associated resistance gene; the other isolate (MSHS 1134A) was resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, sulfisoxazole, and tetracycline, and contained *bla*_{TEM}, *strA*/*strB*, *sul2*, and tet(A) genes. None of the isolates from this clonal group had an ESBL phenotype. Virulence microarray testing assigned all O25:H4-ST131 isolates into the MNEC pathotype (Table 6).

4.6.2 Clonal group 2 - O2:H7-ST95

Clonal group 2 contained nine *E. coli* isolates from phylogroup B2 that were characterized as O2:H7-ST95. One isolate was from a restaurant/ready-to-eat food source (a honeydew melon) and the remaining were from cases of human UTI. All O2:H7-ST95 E. coli isolates were identified on the Island of Montréal (Table 5). The Xbal PFGE patterns were indistinguishable for three of the human UTI isolates (MSHS 100, 186, and 811) and the restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolate (68616.01); the other five O2:H7-ST95 isolates differed by one band (MSHS 1229), two bands (MSHS 95 and MSHS 1062), and four bands (MSHS 782 and MSHS 819) from the food source isolate, respectively (Figure 2A). The Notl PFGE patterns for MSHS 100 and MSHS 186 were indistinguishable from the restaurant/ready-to-eat food isolate, and the other human infection isolates differed by one to seven bands from the honeydew melon isolate (Figure 2B). The food source *E. coli* isolate was fully susceptible and did not carry any resistance gene. Most isolates from human UTI source were also susceptible, except for two: one isolate (MSHS 811) was resistant to ampicillin and carried bla_{TFM}; the other isolate (MSHS 1229) was resistant to ampicillin, sulfisoxazole, and TMP-SMX, and possessed bla_{TEM}, sul2, strA/strB, dhfrV and intl1. All O2:H7-ST95 isolates that were tested on microarray were classified as ExPEC. and shared virulence traits of both UPEC and APEC pathotypes (Table 6).

4.6.3 Clonal group 3 - O114:H4-ST117

Clonal group 3 contained 14 *E. coli* isolates from phylogroup D and ST117. Two isolates were from human UTI and 12 isolates were from retail chicken meat; 9 of these isolates are shown in Table 5. Two isolates, characterized as O114:H4-ST117, were related by PFGE. The first isolate (EC01DT05-0789-01) was recovered from a retail chicken sample in Toronto, Ontario, and the second (MSHS 1014A) was recovered from a human UTI case that occurred in Montréal. Their *Xbal* PFGE patterns differed by five bands, while their *Not*I PFGE patterns differed by more than six bands (Figure 3). Both isolates were fully susceptible and did not carry any resistance determinant. Virulence microarray testing did not assign any of these two PFGE-related isolates to a specific pathotype, and they were thus considered nonpathogenic (Table 6).

Three clonal groups (Groups 4-6, Table 5) exhibited shared phylotypes, MLSTs, and serotypes, but the PFGE patterns were not related.

4.6.4 Clonal group 4 - O4:H5-ST493

Clonal group 4 contained two *E. coli* isolates from phylogenetic group B2 that were characterized as O4:H5-ST493. One isolate (EC01DT05-1012-01) was recovered from a sample of retail pork in Lambton County, Ontario, and the other (MSHS 769) was from a case of

human UTI in Montréal (Table 5). The retail meat isolate was resistant to kanamycin and harboured *aphA2*. The human clinical isolate was resistant to ampicillin, sulfisoxazole, tetracycline, and TMP-SMX, and carried *bla*_{SHV}, *sul1*, *aadA*, *dhfrl*, and *intl1*. Both O4:H5-ST493 isolates were classified as ExPEC; the human UTI isolate also exhibited some of the virulence characteristics of UPEC and APEC (Table 6).

4.6.5 Clonal group 5 - O36:NM-ST401

Clonal group 5 contained three *E. coli* isolates from phylogroup A that were characterized as O36:NM-ST401. One isolate (EC01DT06-1265-01) was from retail beef and the two others (76083.08 and 76083.10) were from restaurant/ready-to-eat food source (both from chicken dishes); two of these isolates are shown in Table 5. All 3 isolates were identified in Montréal, were fully susceptible and had no resistance gene detected. Two O36:NM-ST401 isolates were tested on virulence microarray and were shown to be nonpathogenic (Table 6).

4.6.6 Clonal group 6 - O172:H16-ST295

Clonal group 6 contained two *E. coli* isolates from phylogroup B1 that were characterized as O172:H16-ST295. One isolate (EC01DT06-0274-01) was recovered from a sample of retail chicken in Roussillon, Québec, and the other (79287) was identified in a chicken dish prepared

by a restaurant/ready-to-eat food establishment in Montréal (Table 5). Both isolates were susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested, carried none of the resistance genes screened and fell into the nonpathogenic virulence subgroup (Table 6).

4.6.7 Other clonal groups

The serotypes and PFGE patterns of the other clonal groups (Groups 7-17, Table 5) were variable. Among these, five clonal groups (Groups 7-11, Table 5) were homogenous with respect to phylotypes and MLSTs. One of these five clonal groups contained an *E. coli* isolate (EC01DT06-0649-01) characterized as O17/O73/O77:H18-ST69 [also known as CgA (48)], which was identified in a sample of retail meat pork in Montréal (Group 7, Table 5). This isolate exhibited some of the typical features of CgA. Notably, it showed resistance to TMP-SMX, and carried several virulence genes, including *iutA* (aerobactin receptor), *kpsM* II (group 2 capsule synthesis), *traT* (serum resistance-associated), and *ompT* (outer membrane protease), but lacked the F16 *papA* (P fimbriae structural subunit) allele (48;131). Five clonal groups (Groups 12-16, Table 5) only shared phylotypes and one clonal group (Group 17, Table 5) exhibited different MLSTs, serotypes, phylotypes, and PFGE patterns.

4.6.8 Phylogenetic typing

The clonal group isolates were equally distributed among the 4 major phylogenetic groups: half of the strains (49%) belonged to the virulence-associated groups B2 and D, and the remaining half (51%) belonged to the less virulent, commensal-associated groups A and B1. The majority of the strains belonging to phylogenetic group B2 were from Clonal groups 1 and 2 (12/14; 86%), whereas the majority of strains belonging to phylogenetic group 3 (9/14; 64%).

4.6.9 Virulence profiles

Extended virulence profiles were determined for 21 isolates from 7 highly related clonal groups (Groups 1-7) to assess their degree of withingroup diversity and their virulence potential (Table 6). Of the 325 virulence genes included on the microarray, 85 (26%) were detected in at least one isolate. The following virulence genes were present in all tested isolates: *csgA* (curli structural subunit), *csgE* (curli assembly), *fimH* (type I fimbriae adhesin), *gad* (glutamate decarboxylase A), *ibeB* (invasion of brain endothelium), *ompA* (outer membrane protein), *artJ* (L-arginine periplasmic binding protein), *mviM*, and *mviN* (putative virulence factors). The aggregate virulence scores ranged from 12 to 38 (median 31). As a comparison, CFT073, a pyelonephritogenic *E. coli* isolate that was shown to be highly virulent in a mouse model of ascending UTI (132), had a virulence score of 35, whereas the nonpathogenic laboratory reference

strain *E. coli* K12 had a virulence score of 12 (126). The virulence scores did not differ significantly (P = 0.11) between resistant and susceptible isolates. Overall, virulence profiles were very similar within each clonal group, ranging from 77.4% to 98.8%. *E. coli* isolates classified as nonpathogenic belonged to phylogenetic groups A and B1, whereas pathotype-associated isolates belonged to phylogenetic groups B2 or D. The only exception was Clonal group 3: although isolates from this clonal group belonged to phylogenetic group D, they were classified as nonpathogenic.

5. DISCUSSION

The aim of this work was to investigate whether a food reservoir exists for *E. coli* causing UTIs. To this end, we used several genotyping methods to compare a large set of temporally and geographically matched *E. coli* strains obtained from food and human clinical sources. We identified *E. coli* isolates recovered from retail chicken and other food sources that were indistinguishable from or closely related to isolates recovered from human UTIs. Our *a priori* hypothesis, based on previous results from molecular epidemiologic studies, suggested that retail meat, specifically chicken meat, could be the main reservoir for *E. coli* causing human extraintestinal infections. This study is the first to report convincing evidence for this hypothesis, based on the high degree of genetic similarity observed between certain food and human UTI-causing isolates.

5.1 Antimicrobial resistance phenotypes and genotypes

Johnson and collaborators have shown that antimicrobial-resistant *E. coli* from human feces and bloodstream infections tend to be more similar, compared to their antimicrobial-susceptible counterparts, to antimicrobial-resistant and -susceptible *E. coli* from fecal and meat poultry sources (101;102). These findings suggested that the selection of resistant *E. coli* isolates is more likely to occur in the food animal reservoir, and subsequent contamination of poultry products may allow the transfer of

these antimicrobial-resistant strains to humans. In this study, we observed genetically related *E. coli* from food sources and human infections that were susceptible, implying that both resistant and susceptible extraintestinal infection-causing *E. coli* may be transmitted to humans via the food supply.

Twenty-nine percent of the 72 clonal group-associated isolates exhibited multidrug resistance. This phenotype may result from the acquisition of resistance gene cassettes through mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, transposons, and integrons (133;134). In fact, 62% of multidrug-resistant isolates possessed the class I integron gene *intl1*. The presence of such genetic elements may explain the occurrence of both susceptible and highly resistant *E. coli* in a same clonal group. Exposure to antimicrobial selection pressure in the human and animal reservoirs is likely to contribute to the acquisition or loss of resistance determinants, since they are easily exchanged between strains. This is in contrast to virulence genes, which are relatively stable over time. Further investigations into the presence or absence of other mobile genetic elements such as antimicrobial resistance plasmids among some of our *E. coli* strains are planned.

The accumulation of resistance genes on transferable DNA elements is a major obstacle for antimicrobial resistance control efforts. The horizontal transfer of mobile DNA elements in natural environments and in the intestinal flora of humans and food animals contributes to the

rapid dissemination of antimicrobial resistance genes in bacterial populations (135;136). Moreover, the physical linkage of multiple resistance genes on a single DNA element means that the use of a given antimicrobial agent may not only select for resistance to that agent, but also to a variety of others. Therefore, if the use of a certain antimicrobial agent is being restricted as part of a measure to limit the emergence of resistance, its associated resistance gene may still be co-selected and perpetuated via the use of other antimicrobial agents. This phenomenon explains the continuous presence of chloramphenicol resistance in food-producing animals 25 years after its ban as a growth promoter in Canada (137-140).

We noticed that all eight streptomycin-susceptible isolates that carried the *aadA* gene were also positive for *intl1*. Lanz *et al.* suggested that the *aadA* gene cassette may not be inserted in the integron structure of these isolates. The presence of such silent gene cassettes in susceptible isolates may eventually contribute to the emergence of new resistance phenotypes (141).

The molecular mechanisms underlying antimicrobial resistance are various and complex, and the presence or absence of a specific gene does not always accurately predict the resistance phenotype (133). In this study, the apparent absence of a resistance gene in a phenotypically resistant isolate may be explained by the presence of a resistance gene that was not assessed. These genes may include, but are not limited to

tet(Q) and *tet*(M) for resistance to tetracycline; *aacC2* for resistance to gentamicin; and *ampC* for resistance to ampicillin. Another explanation is that certain resistance phenotypes are caused by point mutations or resistance mechanisms such as efflux pump overexpression, rather than being caused by gene acquisition. In these cases, no associated resistance gene is to be expected with a resistant phenotype (142). The presence of a resistance gene in a correspondingly susceptible isolate may be the result of silent gene effects. Alternatively, it is possible that the breakpoints used for certain antimicrobials are not accurate, resulting in the misclassification of susceptible and resistant isolates. This might be the case for streptomycin, since previous studies have shown that streptomycin resistance genes are often detected in phenotypically susceptible isolates, which suggests that the breakpoint of $\geq 64 \mu g/\mu l$ used for this antimicrobial is too high for epidemiological purposes (141;143).

5.2 Identification of common genotypes between *E. coli* from human UTI and food sources

We identified members of the *E. coli* O25:H4-ST131 clonal group, which has recently been associated with ESBL production and fluoroquinolone resistance in several locations around world (67;77). Strains from this clonal group exhibited a robust and highly homogenous virulence gene profile, suggesting considerable extraintestinal pathogenic potential. ESBL production was not detected. Nonetheless, this clone
undoubtfully has the potential to acquire ESBL-encoding plasmids. *E. coli* belonging to clone O25:H4-ST131 but without CTX-M β -lactamase were also identified in the stools of healthy volunteers in Paris, France, and among fluoroquinolone-resistant UTI-causing isolates in Canada and Europe (46;67;144). These findings suggest that O25:H4-ST131 was already an established clone before it acquired the ability to produce CTX-M enzymes. To confirm this hypothesis, it would be interesting to compare our O25:H4-ST131 isolates to the European CTX-M-producing clone (77), in order to assess their degree of genetic relatedness.

The food source *E. coli* O25:H4-ST131 was recovered from retail chicken, suggesting that meat products may be an important reservoir implicated in the transmission and dissemination of this clonal group. Support to this hypothesis is provided by the recent findings of Cortés *et al.*, who identified genetically related *E. coli* O25:H4-ST131 producing CTX-M-9 from poultry farms and human extraintestinal infections (145). If the use of antimicrobials in food animal production promotes the selection of ESBL-producing *E. coli*, there may be subsequent amplification and transmission of these highly resistant organisms to consumers via the food chain (146).

Some of the virulence genes that have been previously associated with clonal group O25:H4-ST131 (73;77) were also detected in this study. These include *fimH* (type I fimbriae adhesin), *fyuA* (yersiniabactin receptor), *usp* (uropathogenic specific protein), *malX* (pathogenicity island marker), *kpsM* II (group 2 capsule synthesis), *ompT* (outer membrane

protease), and *traT* (serum resistance-associated). Several additional virulence genes were also detected.

E. coli strains of serotype O2, ST95 and phylogenetic group B2 have been previously associated with extraintestinal disease in both humans and avian hosts (92;95). In this study, we identified *E. coli* O2:H7-ST95 (from phylogroup B2) in food and human UTI samples; these isolates were genetically indistinguishable (or closely related) according to PFGE. Isolates from this clonal group also exhibited a wide range of virulence traits, many of which are frequently identified in both APEC and UPEC isolates, namely P (papC and PapG allele II) and type 1 (fimH) fimbriae, yersiniabactin (fyuA, irp1 and irp2) and sit (sitA and sitD) operons, outer membrane protease (ompT), and serum resistance (traT) (88;92;95). Interestingly, the O2 serogroup is one of the most commonly occurring somatic antigens in both APEC and UPEC (34;40;88). Several studies have suggested, based on the similarities between APEC and human ExPEC, that APEC may be able to cross host boundaries and cause disease in humans (39;88-93). Our results provide additional support for this hypothesis.

The O2:H7-ST95 food source isolate identified in this study was from a ready-to-eat honeydew melon. The origin of this *E. coli* contamination could be a food handler with poor hygiene practices. However, given the APEC features of this isolate, cross-contamination in the kitchen with chicken products may be a more likely hypothesis.

5.3 Transfer of ExPEC from retail meat to prepared foods

The observation of several clonal groups containing isolates recovered from retail meats and meat-containing dishes (restaurant/ready-to-eat foods) confirms that prepared foods can be contaminated with *E. coli* originating from raw meats. Cross-contamination between different food items and undercooking of meats may allow the transfer and persistence of *E. coli* in foods and significantly contribute to the burden of foodborne disease.

5.4 Person-to-person transmission of ExPEC

The identification of two clonal groups containing closely related isolates from retail chicken meat and human UTI supports our *a priori* hypothesis. However, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that food source isolates originated from human contamination during food processing or handling, even though this type of contamination seems less likely than a contamination due to the animal's feces during the slaughtering process. Person-to-person transmission of ExPEC may presumably occur via the fecal-oral route, as is the case with bacillary dysentery caused by *Shigella* (147). In this case, food would serve as a vehicle for the transmission of ExPEC between an intestinally colonized food handler and the consumer. Upon ingestion of the contaminated food

item, the consumer will in turn become intestinally colonized with ExPEC and may, when risk factors such as sexual intercourse occur, go on to develop a urinary tract or other extraintestinal infection.

5.5 Virulence potential and phylogenetic background

The abundance of ExPEC-associated virulence genes carried by some of the isolates under study is of concern, because it suggests a high likelihood of virulence and extraintestinal pathogenic potential. *E. coli* from Clonal groups O25:H4-ST131, O2:H7-ST95, O114:H4-ST117, and O4:H5-ST493, as well as the CgA-associated Clonal group 7 exhibited high virulence scores (≥26). Interestingly, all of these groups belonged to phylotypes B2 and D, which corroborates previous findings associating virulent isolates with these phylogenetic groups (148).

There was no significant association between resistance status and virulence score. In fact, a substantial fraction of isolates (9/21; 43%) exhibited extensive virulence profiles, combined with resistance to first-line therapeutic agents. If such isolates are confirmed to originate from a food reservoir, it will represent a serious threat to public health.

5.6 Limitations of the study

This study was based on an ecologic design and samples from three distinct reservoirs were compared across a common geographic area and time-frame. Food-to-human transmission was not directly assessed. An epidemiologic study designed to measure the individual risk of UTI based on food exposure would have been ideal but extremely complex and difficult to perform. Epidemiologic information on the patients with UTI was not available. Information on travel history, antimicrobial use, diet, and other factors would have been useful to describe the study population and assess the significance of other possible transmission routes that might explain our results.

The study also oversampled retail chicken meat. Consequently, isolates from retail beef and pork were underrepresented. It is possible that clonal groups containing closely related isolates from human UTI and beef or pork samples would have been otherwise identified. There is insufficient power in our sampling strategy to exclude the existence of these groups. Despite oversampling isolates from retail chicken meat, we observed that 82% (a considerably greater fraction than the 61% initial sampling fraction) of retail meat isolates belonging to a clonal group were associated with chicken. We recently conducted a retrospective study involving additional sampling of *E. coli* from retail beef and pork which confirmed that these isolates are less clonally related to human UTI isolates than those from retail chicken (Prussing *et al.*, manuscript in

preparation). We also oversampled antimicrobial resistant isolates; however, the majority (53%) of isolates that belonged to a clonal group was fully susceptible.

Gene detection was used to make inferences regarding the virulence potential of the isolates under study. Although the best way to assess the pathogenicity of an isolate remains the use of an animal model, it has been shown that the virulence factor profile of an *E. coli* isolate is a good predictor of its *in vivo* pathogenicity in an animal model of extraintestinal infection (149). Additionally, Picard *et al.*, have suggested that the number of ExPEC virulence genes in an isolate is proportional to its pathogenic potential (150).

5.7 Strengths of the study

Strengths of the study include the substantial sample size, the systematic collection of UTI samples, the analysis of concurrent human and food isolates from the same geographic location, and the use of several different genotyping methods to compare the isolates. The systematic collection of all consecutive urine specimens from women presenting to the participating clinics with a suspected UTI is important in order to have a representative and unbiased sample of UTI. Because empirical therapy is the standard approach for the management of uncomplicated UTIs, the specimens that are normally collected and

transmitted to the clinical laboratory are more likely to be representative of women with recurrent or complicated UTI (151).

5.8 Perspectives

Our next step will be directed towards determining whether the *E. coli* that causes UTI originates from a food animal reservoir, or whether these *E. coli* are transmitted from person-to-person via food. In order to do so, we will collect *E. coli* isolates from abattoir sources. These isolates, in contrast to the *E. coli* recovered from retail meats, are very unlikely to be contaminated by food handlers, due to the strict sanitary measures in place in the slaughterhouses. The isolates will be retrospectively selected from the same time-period and geographical area as our human UTI set of isolates. Both groups will then be compared to assess their genetic similarity and determine which of the two proposed transmission pathways applies to ExPEC.

5.9 Significance and implications

Overall, a small proportion of analyzed isolates (72/844; 8.5%) were found to belong to a clonal group. This suggests that only a limited number of *E. coli* found in foods may have the ability to cause extraintestinal infections in humans. Nonetheless, the mere presence of ExPEC in a food reservoir is worrisome, given that these strains are able to cause mild to

life-threatening extraintestinal infections, especially if they are resistant to antibiotics of importance to human medicine. This underscores the significance of our findings in terms of food safety and public health.

We assembled a set of 844 *E. coli* isolates from different 3 sources in 2 provinces during a 3 year period. Given the ecologic design of the study, the fact that a retail chicken meat isolate and a human UTI isolate were found to be indistinguishable by PFGE is surprising and compelling. The genomic diversity within *E. coli* has been estimated to be extensive enough that the probability to obtain a PFGE match between two isolates from different environments by chance is extremely low (102). Indeed, PFGE is a highly discriminatory genotyping method; it is the gold standard to identify epidemic strains during outbreak investigations (123;152). Even though our total sample size represented only a tiny fraction of the *E. coli* found in foods and human extraintestinal infections, we were able to detect several instances of groups containing closely related isolates from both sources. It is therefore probable that a food reservoir exists and that foodborne transmission of ExPEC is not rare.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our findings provide compelling evidence that some *E. coli* from retail chicken meat and other food sources are closely related to *E. coli* causing human UTIs. Since a food animal reservoir apparently exists for *E. coli* causing urinary tract and other extraintestinal infections, this further reinforces the need for responsible antimicrobial stewardship in human and veterinary medicine, and particularly in food animal production, in order to limit the emergence and dissemination of therapy-refractory pathogens. Alternative measures to reduce the risk of infection or even eliminate these organisms from the food supply may include the promotion and adoption of more hygienic food-handling practices (86;87;153), and the irradiation of meat products prior to distribution (154).

7. FIGURES AND TABLES



Figure 1. Pathogenesis of uncomplicated UTIs. Various types of exposure can lead to the intestinal acquisition of ExPEC. Once in the intestine, ExPEC will establish itself as part of an asymptomatic reservoir. Those strains that are able to persist, or alternatively predominate in the intestinal flora may, in certain conditions, be released from the intestine and colonize an extraintestinal body site, resulting in infection. In the case of an uncomplicated UTI, the pathogen will transit by the vaginal mucosa before reaching the urethra. Subsequent ascension into the urethra and colonization of the bladder will result in a UTI. In every case, disease development will be affected by behavioral factors and host susceptibility, as well as the degree of virulence of the bacteria.



Figure 2. PFGE patterns for *E. coli* O2:H7-ST95 and *E. coli* O25:H4-ST131. A) *Xba*I; B) *Not*I. Lane 1 is the positive control *E. coli* O11:H18-ST69 (ATCC BAA-457); lane 2 is an *E. coli* O2:H7-ST95 isolate from a restaurant sample of honeydew melon (68616.01); lanes 3-10 are *E. coli* isolates from human UTI cases (lane 3, MSHS 100; lane 4, MSHS 186; lane 5, MSHS 811; lane 6, MSHS 1229; lane 7, MSHS 95; lane 8, MSHS 1062; lane 9, MSHS 782; lane 10, MSHS 819); lane 11 is an *E. coli* O25:H4-ST131 isolate from a retail chicken sample (EC01DT06-1737-01); and lanes 12 and 13 are *E. coli* isolates from human UTI cases (lane 12, MSHS 161; lane 13, MSHS 1134A). Outer lanes are pulsed-field molecular weight markers.



Figure 3. *Xba*I and *Not*I PFGE patterns for *E. coli* O114:H4-ST117 (lanes 2 and 3). Lane 1 is the positive control *E. coli* O11:H18-ST69 (ATCC BAA-457); lane 2 is an *E. coli* O114:H4-ST117 isolate from a retail chicken sample (EC01DT05-0789-01); and lane 3 is an *E. coli* isolate from a human UTI case (MSHS 1014A). Outer and center lanes are pulsed-field molecular weight markers.

PCR	Gene	Primer se	quence (5'-3')	Final primer concentration	Annealing temperature	Amplicon	Positive	Reference
		Forward	Reverse	(µM)	(°C)	312C (bp)	Control	
1	sul1	CGGCGTGGGCTACCTGAACG	GCCGATCGCGTGAAGTTCCG	0.2	66	433	AMR130	(119)
1	sul2	CGGCATCGTCAACATAACCT	TGTGCGGATGAAGTCAGCTC	0.3	66	721	AMR130	(119)
1	sul3	CAACGGAAGTGGGCGTTGTGGA	GCTGCACCAATTCGCTGAACG	0.2	66	244	RL0044	(119)
2	tet(A)	GGCGGTCTTCTTCATCATGC	CGGCAGGCAGAGCAAGTAGA	0.1	63	502	R08	(119)
2	tet(B)	CGCCCAGTGCTGTTGTTGTC	CGCGTTGAGAAGCTGAGGTG	0.2	63	173	PB#11	(119)
2	tet(C)	GCTGTAGGCATAGGCTTGGT	GCCGGAAGCGAGAAGAATCA	0.5	63	888	PB#2	(119)
3	aadA	GTGGATGGCGGCCTGAAGCC	AATGCCCAGTCGGCAGCG	0.1	63	525	AMR075	(119)
3	strA/strB	ATGGTGGACCCTAAAACTCT	CGTCTAGGATCGAGACAAAG	0.4	63	893	AMR075	(119)
3	aac (3)IV	TGCTGGTCCACAGCTCCTTC	CGGATGCAGGAAGATCAA	0.2	63	653	AMR075	(119)
4	aphA1	ATGGGCTCGCGATAATGTC	CTCACCGAGGCAGTTCCAT	0.4	55	600	AMR61	(119)
4	aphA2	GATTGAACAAGATGGATTGC	CCATGATGGATACTTTCTCG	0.1	55	347	AMR20	(119)
4	aadB	GAGGAGTTGGACTATGGATT	CTTCATCGGCATAGTAAAAG	0.2	55	208	TN1409	(119)
5	<i>bla</i> _{CMY-2}	GACAGCCTCTTTCTCCACA	TGGACACGAAGGCTACGTA	0.2	62	1000	R1414	(119)
5	bla _{SHV}	AGGATTGACTGCCTTTTTG	ATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCG	0.4	62	393	SHV4339	(119)
5	bla _{OXA-1}	TATCTACAGCAGCGCCAGTG	CGCATCAAATGCCATAAGTG	0.1	62	199	RI0035	(120)
6	bla _{TEM}	TTAACTGGCGAACTACTTAC	GTCTATTTCGTTCATCCATA	0.2	55	247	TEM4676	(119)
7	intl1	CGGAATGGCCGAGCAGATC	CGCAACTGGTCCAGAACCTTG	0.25	68	853	RL079	(121)
8	dhfrl	AATGGTAGCTATATCGAAGAATGGA	CCCTTTTGCCAGATTTGGTAACTAT	0.25	60	462	AMR042	This study
9	dhfrIb/dhfrXIV*	TCATTGATRGCTGCGAAAGC	CCCTTTTTCCAAATTTGATAGC	0.25	54	461	RL0139	This study
10	dhfrV	GGCTGCAAAAGCGAAAAACG	CCCTTTTGCCAAATTTGATAGC	0.25	58	453	RL0456	This study
11	dhfrIX	GGCTTCTCTAAACATGATTGTCG	TCAGTAATGGTCGGGACCTC	0.25	53	462	C600	This study
12	dhfrXII	TTTATCTCGTTGCTGCGATG	AGGCTTGCCGATAGACTCAAG	0.25	55	155	AMR588	This study
13	dhfrXIII	GAATCGGTCCGCATTTATCTG	GGAGTGCGTGTACGTGATTGT	0.25	54	465	dhfr13	This study
14	dhfrXVI	GCCAAGTCGAAGAACGGTAT	TTAACTCTTTTGCCAGATTTGAT	0.25	54	474	RI0164	This study
15	dhfrXVII	GAAAATATCATTGATTTCTGCAGTG	TTTTTCCAAATCTGGTATGTATAATTT	0.25	54	474	RI0254	This study

Table 1. PCR conditions and control strains used for the detection of antimicrobial resistance genes in E. coli isolates

* dhfrlb and dhfrXIV were amplified with the same primer pair and the amplicon, if present, was sequenced to identify the corresponding gene variant.

Table 2. Sources of 844 E. coli isolates collected and analyzed, by year and location

Total no.	Year	, no. (%) isc	lates	Location, no. (%) isolates			
(%)	2005	2006	2007	Quebec	Ontario	Other+	
353 (42)	103 (29)	175 (50)	75 (21)	353 (100)	0	0	
417 (49)	178 (43)	158 (38)	81 (19)	264 (63)	139 (33)	14 (3)	
253 (61)	107 (42)	101 (40)	45 (18)	141 (56)	99 (39)	13 (5)	
82 (20)	37 (45)	26 (32)	19 (23)	81 (99)	1 (1)	0	
82 (20)	34 (41)	31 (38)	17 (21)	42 (51)	39 (48)	1 (1)	
74 (9)	19 (26)	33 (45)	22 (30)	74 (100)	0	0	
21 (28)	7 (33)	6 (29)	8 (38)	21 (100)	0	0	
13 (18)	3 (23)	6 (46)	4 (31)	13 (100)	0	0	
5 (7)	0	4 (80)	1 (20)	5 (100)	0	0	
6 (8)	2 (33)	2 (33)	2 (33)	6 (100)	0	0	
9 (12)	1 (11)	7 (78)	1 (11)	9 (100)	0	0	
20 (27)	6 (30)	8 (40)	6 (30)	20 (100)	0	0	
844 (100)	300 (36)	366 (43)	178 (21)	691 (82)	139 (16)	14 (2)	
	Total no. (%) 353 (42) 417 (49) 253 (61) 82 (20) 82 (20) 74 (9) 21 (28) 13 (18) 5 (7) 6 (8) 9 (12) 20 (27) 844 (100)	Total no. Year, 2005 353 (42) 103 (29) 417 (49) 178 (43) 253 (61) 107 (42) 82 (20) 37 (45) 82 (20) 34 (41) 74 (9) 19 (26) 21 (28) 7 (33) 13 (18) 3 (23) 5 (7) 0 6 (8) 2 (33) 9 (12) 1 (11) 20 (27) 6 (30) 844 (100) 300 (36)	Total no. Year, no. (%) isc (%) 2005 2006 353 (42) 103 (29) 175 (50) 417 (49) 178 (43) 158 (38) 253 (61) 107 (42) 101 (40) 82 (20) 37 (45) 26 (32) 82 (20) 34 (41) 31 (38) 74 (9) 19 (26) 33 (45) 21 (28) 7 (33) 6 (29) 13 (18) 3 (23) 6 (46) 5 (7) 0 4 (80) 6 (8) 2 (33) 2 (33) 9 (12) 1 (11) 7 (78) 20 (27) 6 (30) 8 (40) 844 (100) 300 (36) 366 (43)	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

+Other location includes British Columbia (n = 4) and Saskatchewan (n = 10).

‡Other meat includes bison, lamb, duck, and snail.

§Other food includes fruits (honeydew melon), vegetables, cheese, rice, cousous, and pasta.

	Source, no. (%) isolates					
Antimicrobial agent†	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DDE(n = 0)				
	10tal (11 – 72)	(n = 25)	(n = 39)‡	RRE (11 - 0)		
Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid	4 (6)	0	4 (10)	0		
Ampicillin	20 (28)	9 (36)	11 (28)	0		
Cefoxitin	3 (4)	0	3 (8)	0		
Ceftiofur	3 (4)	0	3 (8)	0		
Chloramphenicol	2 (3)	2 (8)	0	0		
Ciprofloxacin	1 (1)	1 (4)	0	0		
Gentamicin	8 (11)	2 (8)	6 (15)	0		
Kanamycin	5 (7)	1 (4)	4 (10)	0		
Nalidixic acid	8 (11)	4 (16)	4 (10)	0		
Streptomycin	10 (14)	4 (16)	6 (15)	0		
Sulfisoxazole	22 (31)	8 (32)	14 (36)	0		
Tetracycline	25 (35)	10 (40)	14 (36)	1 (13)		
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	10 (14)	6 (24)	4 (10)	0		
Resistance to \geq 1 antimicrobial agent	34 (47)	13 (52)	20 (51)	1 (13)		
Multidrug resistance§	21 (29)	8 (32)	13 (33)	0		

Table 3. Frequencies of antimicrobial resistance among 72 *E. coli* isolates from clonal groups identified within 3 sources*

*UTI, urinary tract infection; RRE, restaurant/ready-to-eat foods.

†Resistance to amikacin or ceftriaxone was not detected in any E. coli isolate.

Retail meat includes chicken (n=32), beef (n=4), and pork (n=3).

§Defined as resistance to \geq 3 antimicrobial classes.

within 3 sources					
	Resistance		Source, no.	(%) isolates	
Target	nesistance	Total $(n - 72)$	Human UTI	Retail meat	DDE(n = 0)
	gener	10tal (11 – 72)	(n = 25)	(n = 39)‡	
Sulfonamides	sul1	13 (18)	4 (16)	9 (23)	ND
	sul2	10 (14)	4 (16)	6 (15)	ND
Tetracycline	tet(A)	15 (21)	4 (16)	11 (28)	1 (13)
	tet(B)	8 (11)	5 (20)	3 (8)	ND
	tet(C)	2 (3)	ND	2 (5)	ND
Ampicillin	<i>bla</i> _{CMY-2}	3 (4)	ND	3 (8)	ND
	<i>bla</i> _{SHV}	2 (3)	1 (4)	1 (3)	ND
	<i>bla</i> _{OXA-1}	1 (1)	1 (4)	ND	ND
	<i>bla</i> _{TEM}	13 (18)	8 (32)	5 (13)	ND
Kanamycin	aphA1	4 (16)	1 (4)	3 (8)	ND
	aphA2	1 (1)	ND	1 (3)	ND
Streptomycin	strA/strB	13 (18)	5 (20)	8 (21)	ND
	aadA	12 (17)	3 (12)	9 (23)	ND
Class I integron	intl1	16 (22)	5 (20)	11 (28)	ND
Trimethoprim	dhfrl	2 (3)	1 (4)	1 (3)	ND
	dhfrV	1 (1)	1 (4)	ND	ND
	dhfrXll	2 (3)	1 (4)	1 (3)	ND
	dhfrXIV	3 (4)	1 (4)	2 (5)	ND
	dhfrXVII	2 (3)	2 (8)	ND	ND
Total no. (%) of isolates positive for any resistance gene		34 (47)	12 (48)	22 (56)	1 (13)

Table 4. Frequencies of antimicrobial resistance genes in 72 *E. coli* isolates from clonal groups identified within 3 sources*

*UTI, urinary tract infection; RRE, restaurant/ready-to-eat foods; ND, not detected.

†The resistance genes *sul3*, *aadB*, *acc*(3)IV, *dhfrIb*, *dhfrIX*, *dhfrXIII* and *dhfrXVI* were not detected in any *E. coli* isolates.

‡Retail meat includes chicken (n=32), beef (n=4), and pork (n=3).

					Genotype			N			
Clonal aroun and strain	Isolate	Type of	Locationt	Voar			Vhal		<u>ет</u>	Serotyne	Phylo
Cional group and Strain	source	sample	LUCATION	i cai	MLVA		DECE	ST	oomolov	Selotype	FTIYIO
1						FUR	FFGE		complex		
	Potail moat	Chickon	Montróal	2006	1 022	22.01	224.0	121	Nono	025.44	D 2
MCHC 161			Montréal	2000	1.033	22.01	22A 0	121	None	025.04	D2 D2
	Human		Montréal	2005	1.033	22.01	22A 1	121	None	025.04	D2 D2
2	numan	011	Montreal	2007	1.055	33.01	33A. I	131	NUTE	025.04	D2
2 68616 01	DDE	Honeydew	Montráal	2005	1 018	18 01	184.0	05	05	02.47	B 2
MSHS 100	Human	IITI	Montréal	2005	1.010	18.01	184.0	95	95	02:117	B2
MSHS 186	Human		Montréal	2005	1.010	10.01	184.0	95	95	02:117	B2
MSHS 811	Human		Montréal	2005	1.010	18.01	184.0	95	95	02:117	B2
MOLE 1220	Lumon		Montréal	2000	1.010	10.01	104.0	95	95	02.117	D2 D2
	Lumon		Montréal	2007	1.010	10.01	104.1	95	95	02.117	D2 D2
MSHS 1062	Human		Montréal	2003	1.010	10.01	104.2	95	95	02.11/ 02:NM	D2 B2
MSHS 782	Human		Montréal	2007	1.010	10.01	104.2	95	95	02.110	D2 B2
	Human		Montréal	2000	1.010	10.01	104.4	90	90	02.07	D2 D2
3	numan	011	Montreal	2000	1.016	10.01	10A.4	90	90	02.117	DZ
	Potail moat	Chicken	Ontario	2005	1 023	23.01	234 0	117	None	0114.44	П
MCUC 1014A			Montróal	2003	1.023	23.01	234.0	117	None	0114.114	
EC01DT05 0224 01	Potoil moot	Chickon	Ontorio	2007	1.023	23.01	23A.5	117	None		
EC01D105-0224-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Montráol	2005	1.023	23.01	230	117	None		
EC01D100-1007-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Other	2000	1.023	23.01	230	117	None	0143.04	
EC01D107-0900-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Ouchee	2007	1.023	23.01	ZOD	117	None		
ECUIDI03-1700-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Quebec	2005	1.023	23.01		117	None	0100.04	
ECUIDI07-1050-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Untario	2007	1.023	23.01		117	None	045.64	D
ECUID107-1090-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Montreal	2007	1.023	23.01		117	None	024:H4	D
101515155	Human	UII	Montreal	2005	1.023	23.01	INT	117	None	024.INIVI	
	Detail meat	Dorle	Ontorio	2005	1 100	100	1004	402	10	04.115	D 2
ECUID105-1012-01	Retail meat	POIK	Ontario	2005	1.102	102	102A	493	12	04.05	BZ DO
MSHS 769	Human	UII	Montreal	2006	1.102	102	102B	493	12	04:H5	BZ
	Datail moat	Deef	Montróal	2006	2 407	107	1074	404	None		٨
ECUID100-1200-01		Beel	Montreal	2006	2.107	107	107A	401	None		A
76083.08	RRE	Chicken	Montreal	2007	2.107	107	107B	401	None	036:INM	A
	Detail meat	Chielen	Quebee	2006	2 007	07.04	074	205	None	01701146	D1
20207		Chicken	Quebec	2000	2.097	97.01	97A	295	None	0172.010	
7	RRE	Chicken	Montreal	2007	2.097	97.01	978	295	none	01/2.010	ВІ
	Potail moat	Pork	Montróal	2006	1 1 1 6	116	1164	60	60	017/72/106-119	П
ECUID100-0049-01			Montréal	2000	1.110	110	116C	60	60	01///3/100.010	
	Human		Montréal	2000	1.110	110	1160	60	60		
000 CHON	numan	011	Montreal	2007	1.110	110	TIOD	09	09		
	Potail moat	Chickon	Other	2006	1 027	27.01	NIT	610	Nono	040.410	П
			Montráol	2000	1.037	37.01	274	040 640	None	049.010	
0	numan	011	Montreal	2005	1.037	37.01	37A	040	None	01.042	
	Potail moat	Chickon	Quebee	2006	1 002	2.01	24	746	Nono	022·NM	۸
MSHS 624			Montréal	2000	1.002	2.01	2A 2B	740	None	033.14M	A
10	Turnan	011	Wontreal	2000	1.002	2.01	20	740	NULLE	020.114	
	Potail moat	Chicken	Montráal	2005	1 003	2 01	20	10	10	O153·NM	٨
EC01DT05-0400-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Quebec	2005	1.003	2.01	20	10	10	O106:H4	Δ
EC01DT06 1546 01	Retail meat	Chicken	Montréal	2000	1.003	2.01	20		None	021.425	~
EC01DT07-0401-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Montréal	2000	1.003	2.01	20	10	10		~
EC01DT07-0491-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Ontario	2007	1.003	2.01	20	10	10		~
MCUC 222			Montróal	2007	1.003	2.01	20 20	10	10		~
MONO 200 MONO 200	Human		Montréal	2005	1.003	2.01	211	10	10		A
11	numan	011	Montreal	2000	1.005	2.01	21	10	10		A
	Potail moat	Poof	Montróal	2005	2.061	2.01	21	10	10		۸
77202		Beel	Montréal	2005	2.001	2.01	2J 2K	10	10		A
17.392	RRE	Deel	Montreal	2007	2.001	2.01	21	10	10	0/1.пэ2	A
	Potail moat	Poof	Montróal	2006	1 015	15.01	151	270	270	0170-⊔7	D1
MCHC 1110			Montróal	2000	1.015	15.01	15A 15P	106	Nono		
13	Tullall	UII	wontreat	2007	1.013	13.01	IJD	190	NULLE	00.11/	
FC01DT06-1854-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Ontario	2006	1 106	106	1064	416	416	O153·H8	R1
CLSC 95	Human		Montráal	2000	1 106	106	106R	58	155	O36 H25	B1
14	nundn	011	monucai	2000	1.100	100	1000	50	100	000.1120	
17											

 Table 5. Characteristics of *E. coli* clonal groups identified within isolates from 3 sources (human clinical, retail meat and restaurant/ready-to-eat foods)*

EC01DT05-1261-01 Retail meat Chicken Montréal 2005 1.106 113 113A 101 101 O29:H12 B1 EC01DT05-1455-01 Retail meat Chicken Montréal 2005 1.106 113 113B UNK None O157:H10 B1

EC01DT06-0760-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Montréal	2006	1.113	113	113C	602	446	O102:H21	B1
MSHS 472	Human	UTI	Montréal	2006	1.113	113	113D	101	101	082:NM	B1
15											
EC01DT05-2243-01	Retail meat	Chicken	Ontario	2005	2.024	24.01	24A	641	86	O30:H25	B1
53573.29	RRE	Chicken	Montréal	2005	2.024	24.01	24B	711	None	O120:H10	B1
16											
EC01DT05-0925-01	Retail meat	Beef	Montréal	2005	2.112	112	112A	UNK	None	O107:H7	B1
73073	RRE	Snail	Montréal	2006	2.112	112	112B	58	155	O154:H25	B1
17											
EC01DT05-0469-01	Retail meat	Pork	Ontario	2005	1.047	15.01	15C	642	278	O105:H4	B1
MSHS 689	Human	UTI	Montréal	2006	1.047	15.01	15D	UNK	None	O174:H7	А

*MLVA, multilocus variable number tandem repeat analysis; ERIC2, enterobacterial intergenic consensus sequence 2; PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; ST, sequence type; RRE, restaurant/ready-to-eat foods; UTI, urinary tract infection; Phylo, phylotype; NT, nontypeable; UNK, unknown; NM, non-motile; ONT, serogroup nontypeable.

+Montréal = city of Montréal; Quebec = province of Quebec, outside Montréal; Ontario = province of Ontario; Other = Saskatchewan and Britsh Columbia.

						Virulence	Genotype§				
Clonal group and strain		Virulanaa	Adhesins	Colicins and microcins	Toxins	Iron acquisition and transport systems	Capsular and somatic antigens	Hemolysins and hemagglutinins	Various functions	New ly recognized or putative <i>E. coli</i> virulence genes	ETT2 elements
	Pathotype‡	score	cssgA fimA fimh fimh fibs(1)A jpfA (0113) pipA (6113) papA (F10) papA (F11) papA (F11) papA (F13) papA (F16) papG II papG III papG III papG III papG II papG III papG III papG III pap	cia cvaC mchB mcbA cka ce1a	astA LT-IIbB sat cnf1	chuA fepC iroN irp1 irp2 irtA sitA sita	kpsM II kpsM III neuA neuC K5 kfiB rfc wzy (0114)	hlyA hlyE hra tsh vat	agn43 ccdB deoK fill fill filmA54 filmA54 filmA54 gad ibeB gad ibeB ibeB ibeB ibeB ibeB ibeB ibeB ibeB	art.) b1121 gimB iol mviM mriN ureD usp	eivG eprJ spaS (eprS) z4184
1 EC01DT06-1737-07 MSHS 161 MSHS 1134A	1 ExPEC; MNEC ExPEC; MNEC ExPEC; MNEC	32 32 31	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ + + +	+ +	+ +	+ + + - - + - + + - - + - + + - -	- + - +	- +	+ + - +	
2 68616.01 MSHS 100 MSHS 186 MSHS 811 MSHS 1229 MSHS 95 MSHS 782	ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC ExPEC; UPEC; APEC	C 31 C 32 C 30 C 32 C 30 C 31 C 28	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		· · · · ·	+ + + + + - + + + + - + + + + + + + + - + + + + + + + + + - + + + + + + + + + - + + + + + + + + + + - + <td< td=""><td>+ - + + - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - -</td><td>- + - + + - + - + + - + - + + - + - + + - + - +</td><td>- +</td><td>+ +</td><td></td></td<>	+ - + + - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - - + - + + - - -	- + - + + - + - + + - + - + + - + - + + - + - +	- +	+ +	
3 EC01DT05-0789-07 MSHS 1014A	1 nonpathogenic nonpathogenic	31 35	+ + + + +	· · + · · ·	- +	+ + - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	· · · · · · · · +	- + + - + - + +	. . <td>+ + + + + - + -</td> <td></td>	+ + + + + - + -	
4 EC01DT05-1012-07 MSHS 769	1 Expec; Upec; Apec Expec	C 35 38	+ + + + = + + + + + + + + + + + +	· · + · · +	+ +	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ . + + .	+ + + - + + + + - +	• - •	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
5 EC01DT06-1265-07 76083.08	1 nonpathogenic nonpathogenic	14 12	+ + + + + - - + -	· · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- + - +	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ - - +	- + + + - + + +
6 EC01DT06-0274-0' 79287	1 nonpathogenic nonpathogenic	17 17	+ +	• • • • • • •	 +	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- + - +	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ + - - + + - - + + - - + + + - -	- + - + + +
/ EC01DT06-0649-07 MSHS 719 MSHS 956	1 ExPEC ExPEC; APEC ExPEC; APEC	26 28 33	+ +	+ + -	 + .	+ + + - - + + + + +	• • •	· • · · · ·	. .	+ + - +	+ + + + + +

Table 6. Pathotypes and virulence scores nd genotypes of E. coli clonal groups identified within isolates from 3 sources (human clinical, retail meat and restaurant/ready-to-eat foods)*

*ST, sequence type; ETT2, *E. coli* type III secretion system 2.

†All isolates in Clonal group 1 were characterized as O25:H4-ST131, phylotype B2; all isolates in Clonal group 2 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST403, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST403, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clonal group 5 were characterized as O36:NN-ST401, phylotype D2; all isolates in Clon phylotype A; all isolates in Clonal group 6 were characterized as O172:H16-ST295, phylotype B1; isolate EC01DT06-0649-01 in Clonal group 7 w as characterized as O17/73/106:H18-ST69 phylotype D; and isolates MSHS 719 and MSHS 956 in Clonal group 7 were characterized as O44/ONT:H18-ST69, phylotype D. ‡Pathotypes were attributed to each E. coli; isolate according to its set of virulence genes or markers (see methods section). ExPEC, extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli; MNEC, meningitis-associated E. coli; UPEC, uropathogenic E. coli; APEC, avian pathogenic E. coli

Sonly those virulence genes that were positive for at least one isolate are show n. csgA, curli assembly; finA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pixA, Pix pili structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, end (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimbriae structural subunit; pinA, type 1 fimbriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O113), LPF fimBriae structural subunit; rine, adhesin-siderophore; IpfA (O11 subunit, with its F9, F10, F11, F13, and F16 alleles; papC, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae anior structural subunit; sfaD, S fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae anior structural subunit; sfaD, S fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, II, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and N, P fimbriae assembly; papG alleles I, III, III, and II enteroaggregative E. coli heat-stable toxin; LT-IIbB, heat-labile enterotoxin type IIb, subunit B; sat, secreted autotransport; iroN, salmochelin receptor; fpuA, yersiniabactin receptor; irp1 and irp2, yersiniabactin biosynthesis; iutA, aerobactin biosynthesis; iutA, aerobactin receptor; fpuA, yersiniabactin receptor; irp1 and irp2, yersiniabactin biosynthesis; iutA, aerobactin receptor; irp1 and irp2, yersiniabactin biosynthesis; iutA, aerobactin receptor; fpuA, yersiniabactin receptor; irp1 and irp2, yersiniabactin biosynthesis; iutA, aerobactin r sitD, iron and manganese ABC transporters; kpsM II, group 2 capsule synthesis; Kps/ III, group 3 capsule synthesis; Kps/ III, group 4 capsule variant; rfc, O4 lipopolysaccharide; hy4, alpha hemolysin; hy4, alpha hemolysin; hy4, alpha hemolysin; hy7, heat-resistant agglutinin; tsh, temperature-sensitive hemagglutinin; vat, vacuolating autotransporter toxin; agn43, antigen 43 precursor; ccdB, F-plasmid-encoded cytotoxic protein; deoK, deoxyribokinase; fliC, flagellin variant; flmA54, flagellin variant; f ompT, outer membrane protease; senB, enteroinvasive E. coli enterotoxin protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, L-arginine periplasmic binding protein; b1121 and b1432, putative virulence factors; traT, serum resistance-associated; artJ, serum resistance-associa usp. uropathogenic specific protein; eivG, eprJ and spaS, E. coli type III secretion system 2 proteins; z4184, E. coli type III secretion system 2 transcriptional regulator.

8. REFERENCES

- Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Am J Med 2002 Jul 8;113 Suppl 1A:5S-13S.
- (2) Wagenlehner FM, Weidner W, Naber KG. An update on uncomplicated urinary tract infections in women. Curr Opin Urol 2009 Jul;19(4):368-74.
- (3) Ronald A. The etiology of urinary tract infection: traditional and emerging pathogens. Am J Med 2002 Jul 8;113 Suppl 1A:14S-9S.
- (4) Stamm WE, Hooton TM. Management of urinary tract infections in adults. NEJM 1993;329(18):1328-34.
- (5) Mazzulli T. Antimicrobial resistance trends in common urinary pathogens. Can J Urol 2001 Jun;8 Suppl 1:2-5.
- (6) Russo TA, Johnson JR. Medical and economic impact of extraintestinal infections due to *Escherichia coli*: focus on an increasingly important endemic problem. Microbes and Infection 2003 Apr;5(5):449-56.
- (7) Foxman B, Barlow R, d'Arcy H, Gillespie B, Sobel JD. Urinary tract infections: self-reported incidence and associated costs. Ann Epidemiol 2000;10:509-15.
- (8) Alam MF, Cohen D, Butler C, et al. The additional costs of antibiotics and re-consultations for antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections managed in general practice. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009 Mar;33(3):255-7.

- (9) Russo TA, Stapleton A, Wenderoth S, Hooten TM, Stamm WE. Chromosomal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of *Escherichia coli* strains causing recurrent urinary tract infections in young women. J Infect Dis 1995;172:440-5.
- (10) Yamamoto S, Tsukamoto T, Terai A, Kurazono H, Takeda Y, Yoshida O. Genetic evidence supporting the fecal-perineal-urethral hypothesis in cystitis caused by *Escherichia coli*. J Urol 1997;157:1127-9.
- (11) Johnson JR, Clabots C. Sharing of virulent *Escherichia coli* clones among household members of a woman with acute cystitis. Clin Infect Dis 2006 Nov 15;43(10):e101-e108.
- (12) Foxman B, Zhang L, Tallman P, et al. Transmission of uropathogens between sex partners. J Infect Dis 1997 Apr;175(4):989-92.
- (13) Johnson JR, Delavari P. Concurrent fecal colonization with extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in a homosexual man with recurrent urinary tract infection and in his male sex partner. Clin Infect Dis 2002;35:E65-E68.
- Anderson GG, Palermo JJ, Schilling JD, Roth R, Heuser J, Hultgren SJ. Intracellular bacterial biofilm-like pods in urinary tract infections. Science 2003 Jul 4;301(5629):105-7.
- (15) Rosen DA, Hooton TM, Stamm WE, Humphrey PA, Hultgren SJ. Detection of intracellular bacterial communities in human urinary tract infection. PLoS Med 2007 Dec;4(12):e329.

- (16) Drekonja DM, Johnson JR. Urinary tract infections. Prim Care 2008 Jun;35(2):345-67, vii.
- (17) Foxman B. Recurring urinary tract infection: incidence and risk factors. Am J Pub Health 1990;80(3):331-3.
- (18) Ikaheimo R, Siitonen A, Heiskanen T, *et al.* Recurrence of urinary tract infection in a primary care setting: analysis of a 1-year followup of 179 women. Clin Infect Dis 1996;22:91-9.
- (19) Russo TA, Johnson JR. Proposal for a new inclusive designation for extraintestinal pathogenic isolates of *Escherichia coli:* ExPEC. J Infect Dis 2000;181:1753-4.
- Johnson JR, Russo TA. Extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*:
 "the other bad *E. coli*". J Lab Clin Med 2002 Mar;139(3):155-62.
- (21) Jepson RG, Craig JC. Cranberries for preventing urinary tract infections. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;(1):CD001321.
- (22) Guay DR. Cranberry and urinary tract infections. Drugs 2009;69(7):775-807.
- (23) Chew LD, Fihn SD. Recurrent cystitis in nonpregnant women. West J Med 1999 May;170(5):274-7.
- (24) Naber KG, Cho YH, Matsumoto T, Schaeffer AJ. Immunoactive prophylaxis of recurrent urinary tract infections: a meta-analysis. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009 Feb;33(2):111-9.
- (25) Warren JW, Abrutyn E, Hebel JR, Johnson JR, Schaeffer AJ, Stamm WE. Guidelines for antimicrobial treatment of uncomplicated

acute bacterial cystitis and acute pyelonephritis in women. Clin Infect Dis 1999;29(4):745-58.

- (26) McIsaac WJ, Mazzulli T, Permaul J, Moineddin R. Communityacquired antibiotic resistance in urinary isolates from adult women in Canada. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2006;17(6):337-40.
- (27) Zhanel GG, Karlowsky JA, Harding GKM, *et al.* A Canadian national surveillance study of urinary tract isolates from outpatients: comparison of the activities of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ampicillin, mecillinam, nitrofurantoin, and ciprofloxacin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000 Apr 1;44(4):1089-92.
- (28) Zhanel GG, Hisanaga TL, Laing NM, et al. Antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli outpatient urinary isolates: final results from the North American Urinary Tract Infection Collaborative Alliance (NAUTICA). Int J Antimicrob Agents 2006 Jun;27(6):468-75.
- (29) Hooton TM, Besser R, Foxman B, Fritsche TR, Nicolle LE. Acute uncomplicated cystitis in an era of increasing antibiotic resistance: a proposed approach to empirical therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2004 Jul 1;39(1):75-80.
- (30) Fihn SD. Clinical practice. Acute uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. N Engl J Med 2003 Jul 17;349(3):259-66.
- (31) Johnson JR. Virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 1991;4(1):80-128.
- (32) Hacker J, Blum-Oehler G, Janke B, Gabor N, Goebel W. Pathogenicity islands of extraintestinal *Escherichia coli*. In: Kaper JB, Hacker J, eds. Pathogenicity islands and other mobile virulence

elements. Washington, D.C.: American Society for Microbiology, 1999. p. 59-76.

- (33) Gal-Mor O, Finlay BB. Pathogenicity islands: a molecular toolbox for bacterial virulence. Cell Microbiol 2006 Nov;8(11):1707-19.
- (34) Dho-Moulin M, Fairbrother JM. Avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (APEC). Vet Res 1999 Mar;30(2-3):299-316.
- (35) Marrs CF, Zhang L, Foxman B. *Escherichia coli* mediated urinary tract infections: are there distinct uropathogenic *E. coli* (UPEC) pathotypes? FEMS Microbiol Lett 2005 Nov 15;252(2):183-90.
- (36) Rodriguez-Siek KE, Giddings CW, Doetkott C, Johnson TJ, Nolan LK. Characterizing the APEC pathotype. Vet Res 2005 Mar;36(2):241-56.
- (37) Kaper JB, Nataro JP, Mobley HL. Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Nat Rev Microbiol 2004 Feb;2(2):123-40.
- (38) Wiles TJ, Kulesus RR, Mulvey MA. Origins and virulence mechanisms of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Exp Mol Pathol 2008 Aug;85(1):11-9.
- (39) Ron EZ. Host specificity of septicemic *Escherichia coli*: human and avian pathogens. Curr Opin Microbiol 2006 Feb;9(1):28-32.
- (40) Gruneberg RN, Bettelheim KA. Geographical variation in serological types of urinary *Escherichia coli*. J Med Microbiol 1969 Aug;2(3):219-24.

- (41) Phillips I, King A, Rowe B, *et al.* Epidemic multiresistant *Escherichia coli* infection in West Lambeth Health District. Lancet 1988;1038-41.
- (42) Prats G, Navarro F, Mirelis B, et al. Escherichia coli serotype O15:K52:H1 as a uropathogenic clone. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38(1):201-9.
- (43) Olesen B, Kolmos HJ, Orskov F, Orskov I. A comparative study of nosocomial and community-acquired strains of *Escherichia coli* causing bacteraemia in a Danish University Hospital. J Hospital Infect 1995;31:295-304.
- (44) Dalmau D, Navarro F, Mirelis B, Blanco J, Garau J, Prats G. Escherichia coli bacteraemia. Serotype O15:K52:H1 as a urinary pathogen. J Hosp Infect 1996;34(3):233-4.
- (45) Johnson JR, Stell AL, O'Bryan TT, et al. Global molecular epidemiology of the O15:K52:H1 extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* clonal group: evidence of distribution beyond Europe. J Clin Epidemiol 2002;40(6):1913-23.
- (46) Johnson JR, Menard M, Johnston B, Kuskowski MA, Nichol K, Zhanel GG. Epidemic clonal groups of *Escherichia coli* as a cause of antimicrobial-resistant urinary tract infections in Canada, 2002 to 2004. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009 Jul;53(7):2733-9.
- (47) Olesen B, Kolmos HJ, Orskov F, Orskov I. Cluster of multiresistant *Escherichia coli* O78:H10 in Greater Copenhagen. Scand J Infect Dis 1994;26:406-10.
- (48) Manges AR, Johnson JR, Foxman B, O'Bryan TT, Fullerton KE, Riley LW. Widespread distribution of urinary tract infections caused

by a multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* clonal group. N Engl J Med 2001 Oct 4;345(14):1007-13.

- (49) Burman WJ, Breese PE, Murray BE, et al. Conventional and molecular epidemiology of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance among urinary *Escherichia coli* isolates. Am J Med 2003;115(5):358-64.
- (50) Johnson JR, Manges AR, O'Bryan TT, Riley LW. A disseminated multidrug-resistant clonal group of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in pyelonephritis. Lancet 2002;359:2249-51.
- (51) Manges AR, Natarajan P, Solberg OD, Dietrich PS, Riley LW. The changing prevalence of drug-resistant *Escherichia coli* clonal groups in a community: evidence for community outbreaks of urinary tract infections. Epidemiol Infect 2006;134:425-31.
- (52) Patterson JE. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2003 Feb;24(1):79-88.
- (53) Matsumoto Y, Ikeda F, Kamimura T, Yokota Y, Mine Y. Novel plasmid-mediated beta-lactamase from *Escherichia coli* that inactivates oxyimino-cephalosporins. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1988 Aug;32(8):1243-6.
- (54) Coque TM, Baquero F, Canton R. Increasing prevalence of ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae in Europe. Euro Surveill 2008 Nov 20;13(47).
- (55) Livermore DM, Canton R, Gniadkowski M, et al. CTX-M: changing the face of ESBLs in Europe. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007 Feb;59(2):165-74.

- (56) Canton R, Novais A, Valverde A, et al. Prevalence and spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Europe. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008 Jan;14 Suppl 1:144-53.
- (57) Health Protection Agency. Investigations into multi-drug resistant ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* strains causing infections in England. 2005 Sep.
- (58) Canton R, Coque TM. The CTX-M beta-lactamase pandemic. Curr Opin Microbiol 2006 Oct;9(5):466-75.
- (59) Pitout JD, Laupland KB. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. Lancet Infect Dis 2008 Mar;8(3):159-66.
- (60) Pitout JDD, Gregson DB, Church DL, Elsayed S, Laupland KB. Community-wide outbreaks of clonally related CTX-M-14 betalactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* strains in the Calgary Health Region. J Clin Microbiol 2005 Jun 1;43(6):2844-9.
- (61) Mendonca N, Leitao J, Manageiro V, Ferreira E, Canica M. Spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase CTX-M-producing *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates in community and nosocomial environments in Portugal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007 Jun;51(6):1946-55.
- (62) Woodford N, Ward ME, Kaufmann ME, et al. Community and hospital spread of Escherichia coli producing CTX-M extendedspectrum beta-lactamases in the UK. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004 Oct 1;54(4):735-43.

- (63) Lau SH, Kaufmann ME, Livermore DM, et al. UK epidemic Escherichia coli strains A-E, with CTX-M-15 beta-lactamase, all belong to the international O25:H4-ST131 clone. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008 Dec;62(6):1241-4.
- (64) Lau SH, Reddy S, Cheesbrough J, et al. Major uropathogenic Escherichia coli strain isolated in the northwest of England identified by multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 2008 Mar;46(3):1076-80.
- (65) Pitout JD, Gregson DB, Campbell L, Laupland KB. Molecular characteristics of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates causing bacteremia in the Calgary Health Region from 2000 to 2007: emergence of clone ST131 as a cause of community-acquired infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009 Jul;53(7):2846-51.
- (66) Manges AR, Tabor H, Tellis P, Vincent C, Tellier PP. Endemic and epidemic lineages of *Escherichia coli* that cause urinary tract infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2008;14(10):1575-83.
- (67) Cagnacci S, Gualco L, Debbia E, Schito GC, Marchese A. European emergence of ciprofloxacin-resistant *Escherichia coli* clonal groups O25:H4-ST131 and O15:K52:H1 causing communityacquired uncomplicated cystitis. J Clin Microbiol 2008 Aug 1;46(8):2605-12.
- (68) Blanco M, Alonso MP, Nicolas-Chanoine MH, et al. Molecular epidemiology of Escherichia coli producing extended-spectrum {beta}-lactamases in Lugo (Spain): dissemination of clone O25b:H4-ST131 producing CTX-M-15. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009 Jun;63(6):1135-41.

- (69) Yumuk Z, Afacan G, Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Sotto A, Lavigne JP. Turkey: a further country concerned by community-acquired *Escherichia coli* clone O25-ST131 producing CTX-M-15. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008 Aug;62(2):284-8.
- (70) Literacka E, Bedenic B, Baraniak A, et al. blaCTX-M genes in Escherichia coli strains from Croatian Hospitals are located in new (blaCTX-M-3a) and widely spread (blaCTX-M-3a and blaCTX-M-15) genetic structures. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009 Apr;53(4):1630-5.
- (71) Suzuki S, Shibata N, Yamane K, Wachino J, Ito K, Arakawa Y. Change in the prevalence of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamaseproducing *Escherichia coli* in Japan by clonal spread. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009 Jan;63(1):72-9.
- (72) Naseer U, Haldorsen B, Tofteland S, et al. Molecular characterization of CTX-M-15-producing clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* reveals the spread of multidrug-resistant ST131 (O25:H4) and ST964 (O102:H6) strains in Norway. APMIS 2009 Jul;117(7):526-36.
- (73) Lee MY, Choi HJ, Choi JY, et al. Dissemination of ST131 and ST393 community-onset, ciprofloxacin-resistant Escherichia coli clones causing urinary tract infections in Korea. J Infect 2010 Feb;60(2):146-53.
- (74) Arpin C, Quentin C, Grobost F, et al. Nationwide survey of extended-spectrum {beta}-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in the French community setting. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009 Jun;63(6):1205-14.

- (75) Severin JA, Mertaniasih NM, Kuntaman K, et al. Molecular characterization of extended-spectrum {beta}-lactamases in clinical *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from Surabaya, Indonesia. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010 Jan 6.
- (76) Johnson JR, Johnston BD, Jorgensen JH, et al. CTX-M-15producing Escherichia coli in the United States: predominance of sequence type ST131 (O25:H4). 2008.
- (77) Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Blanco J, Leflon-Guibout V, et al. Intercontinental emergence of *Escherichia coli* clone O25:H4-ST131 producing CTX-M-15. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008 Feb 1;61(2):273-81.
- (78) Peirano G, Pitout JD. Molecular epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* producing CTX-M beta-lactamases: the worldwide emergence of clone ST131 O25:H4. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2010 Jan 6.
- (79) Clermont O, Lavollay M, Vimont S, *et al.* The CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* diffusing clone belongs to a highly virulent B2 phylogenetic subgroup. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008 May;61(5):1024-8.
- (80) Tauxe RV. Emerging foodborne diseases: an evolving public health challenge. Emerg Infect Dis 1997 Oct;3(4):425-34.
- (81) Witte W. Medical consequences of antibiotic use in agriculture. Science 1998 Feb 13;279(5353):996-7.
- (82) Gorbach SL. Antimicrobial use in animal feed--time to stop. NEJM 2001;345:1202-3.

- (83) van den Bogaard AE, Stobberingh EE. Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics. Links between animals and humans. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2000 May;14(4):327-35.
- (84) Aarestrup FM, Wegener HC. The effects of antibiotic usage in food animals on the development of antimicrobial resistance of importance for humans in *Campylobacter* and *Escherichia coli*. Microbes Infect 1999 Jul;1(8):639-44.
- (85) Singer RS, Finch R, Wegener HC, Bywater R, Walters J, Lipsitch
 M. Antibiotic resistance--the interplay between antibiotic use in animals and human beings. Lancet Infect Dis 2003 Jan;3(1):47-51.
- (86) Cogan TA, Bloomfield SF, Humphrey TJ. The effectiveness of hygiene procedures for prevention of cross-contamination from chicken carcases in the domestic kitchen. Lett Appl Microbiol 1999 Nov;29(5):354-8.
- (87) Cogan TA, Slader J, Bloomfield SF, Humphrey TJ. Achieving hygiene in the domestic kitchen: the effectiveness of commonly used cleaning procedures. J Appl Microbiol 2002;92(5):885-92.
- (88) Rodriguez-Siek KE, Giddings CW, Doetkott C, Johnson TJ, Fakhr MK, Nolan LK. Comparison of *Escherichia coli* isolates implicated in human urinary tract infection and avian colibacillosis. Microbiology 2005 Jun 1;151(6):2097-110.
- (89) Moulin-Schouleur M, Reperant M, Laurent S, et al. Extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli strains of avian and human origin: link between phylogenetic relationships and common virulence patterns. J Clin Microbiol 2007 Oct;45(10):3366-76.

- (90) Moulin-Schouleur M, Schouler C, Tailliez P, et al. Common virulence factors and genetic relationships between O18:K1:H7 *Escherichia coli* isolates of human and avian origin. J Clin Microbiol 2006 Oct 1;44(10):3484-92.
- (91) Mokady D, Gophna U, Ron EZ. Extensive gene diversity in septicemic *Escherichia coli* strains. J Clin Microbiol 2005 Jan;43(1):66-73.
- (92) Johnson TJ, Wannemuehler Y, Johnson SJ, et al. Comparison of extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli strains from human and avian sources reveals a mixed subset representing potential zoonotic pathogens. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008 Nov 15;74(22):7043-50.
- (93) Ewers C, Li G, Wilking H, et al. Avian pathogenic, uropathogenic, and newborn meningitis-causing *Escherichia coli*: how closely related are they? Int J Med Microbiol 2007 Jun;297(3):163-76.
- (94) Johnson TJ, Kariyawasam S, Wannemuehler Y, et al. Genome sequence of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli strain O1:K1:H7 shares strong similarities with human ExPEC genomes. J Bactiol 2007 Feb 9;JB.
- (95) Mora A, Lopez C, Dabhi G, et al. Extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli O1:K1:H7/NM from human and avian origin: detection of clonal groups B2 ST95 and D ST59 with different host distribution. BMC Microbiol 2009;9:132.
- (96) Schroeder CM, White DG, Ge B, *et al.* Isolation of antimicrobialresistant *Escherichia coli* from retail meats purchased in Greater

Washington, DC, USA. Int J Food Microbiol 2003 Aug 15;85(1-2):197-202.

- (97) Saenz Y, Zarazaga M, Brinas L, Lantero M, Ruiz-Larrea F, Torres C. Antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates obtained from animals, foods and humans in Spain. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2001 Oct;18(4):353-8.
- (98) Zhao S, White DG, McDermott PF, et al. Identification and expression of cephamycinase bla(CMY) genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from food animals and ground meat. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001 Dec;45(12):3647-50.
- (99) Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, Smith K, O'Bryan TT, Tatini S. Antimicrobial-resistant and extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in retail foods. J Infect Dis 2005;191:1040-9.
- (100) Johnson JR, Delavari P, O'Bryan TT, Smith KE, Tatini S. Contamination of retail foods, particularly turkey, from community markets (Minnesota, 1999-2000) with antimicrobial-resistant and extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. 2 ed. 2005. p. 38-49.
- (101) Johnson JR, Sannes MR, Croy C, *et al.* Antimicrobial drug-resistant *Escherichia coli* from humans and poultry products, Minnesota and Wisconsin, 2002-2004. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13(6):838-46.
- (102) Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, Menard M, Gajewski A, Xercavins M, Garau J. Similarity between human and chicken *Escherichia coli* isolates in relation to ciprofloxacin resistance status. J Infect Dis 2006 Jul 1;194(1):71-8.

- (103) Manges AR, Smith SP, Lau BJ, et al. Retail meat consumption and the acquisition of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infections: A case-control study. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2007;4(4):419-31.
- (104) Jones TF, Schaffner W. New perspectives on the persistent scourge of foodborne disease. J Infect Dis 2005;91:1029-31.
- (105) Hooton TM, Stamm WE. Diagnosis and treatment of uncomplicated urinary tract infection. Infect Dis Clin North Am 1997;11(3):551-81.
- (106) York MK, Baron EJ, Clarridge JE, Thomson RB, Weinstein MP. Multilaboratory validation of rapid spot tests for identification of *Escherichia coli*. J Clin Microbiol 2000 Sep;38(9):3394-8.
- (107) Government of Canada. Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (CIPARS) 2006. Guelph, ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2009.
- (108) Government of Canada. Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (CIPARS) 2005. Guelph, ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2007.
- (109) Government of Canada. Canadian Integrated Program for Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance (CIPARS) 2007 - Preliminary Results. Guelph, ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2008.
- (110) Ito CA, Gales AC, Tognim MC, Munerato P, la Costa LM. Quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli*. Braz J Infect Dis 2008 Feb;12(1):5-9.

- (111) Government of Canada. Enumeration of *E. coli* and coliforms in food products and food ingredients using 3M Petrifilm *E. coli* count plates. 2001. Report No.: MFHPB-34.
- (112) International Organization for Standardization. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs -- Horizontal method for the enumeration of beta-glucuronidase-positive *Escherichia coli* -- Part 2: Colonycount technique at 44 degrees C using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl beta-D-glucuronide. 2001. Report No.: ISO 16649-2.
- (113) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; Approved Standard-Seventh Edition. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2006. Report No.: CLSI document M7-A7.
- (114) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Sixteenth informational supplement. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2006. Report No.: CLSI document M100-S16.
- (115) Manges AR, Tellis PA, Vincent C, et al. Multi-locus variable number tandem repeat analysis for *Escherichia coli* causing extraintestinal infections. J Microbiol Methods 2009 Sep 16.
- (116) Johnson JR, O'Bryan TT. Improved repetitive-element PCR fingerprinting for resolving pathogenic and nonpathogenic phylogenetic groups within *Escherichia coli*. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2000;7(2):265-73.

- (117) Versalovic J, Koeuth T, Lupski JR. Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in eubacteria and application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 1991;19(24):6823-31.
- (118) Versalovic J, Schneid M, de Bruijn FL, Lupski JR. Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence-based polymerase chain reaction. Methods Mol Cell Biol 1994;5:25-40.
- (119) Kozak GK, Boerlin P, Janecko N, Reid-Smith RJ, Jardine C. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine and wild small mammals in the proximity of swine farms and in natural environments in Ontario, Canada. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009 Feb;75(3):559-66.
- (120) Feria C, Ferreira E, Correia JD, Goncalves J, Canica M. Patterns and mechanisms of resistance to beta-lactams and beta-lactamase inhibitors in uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from dogs in Portugal. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002 Jan;49(1):77-85.
- (121) Sandvang D, Diggle M, Platt DJ. Translocation of integronassociated resistance in a natural system: acquisition of resistance determinants by Inc P and Inc W plasmids from *Salmonella enterica* Typhimurium DT104. Microb Drug Resist 2002;8(3):151-60.
- (122) Ribot EM, Fair MA, Gautom R, *et al.* Standardization of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* for PulseNet. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006;3(1):59-67.
- (123) Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, *et al.* Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel

electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J Clin Microbiol 1995;33(9):2233-9.

- (124) Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, *et al.* Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an evolutionary perspective. Mol Microbiol 2006;60(5):1136-51.
- (125) Clermont O, Bonacorsi S, Bingen E. Rapid and simple determination of the *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000;66:4555-8.
- (126) Bruant G, Maynard C, Bekal S, *et al.* Development and validation of an oligonucleotide microarray for detection of multiple virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006 May;72(5):3780-4.
- (127) Hamelin K, Bruant G, El-Shaarawi A, et al. Occurrence of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from different aquatic ecosystems within the St. Clair River and Detroit River areas. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007 Jan;73(2):477-84.
- (128) Hamelin K, Bruant G, El-Shaarawi A, et al. A virulence and antimicrobial resistance DNA microarray detects a high frequency of virulence genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates from Great Lakes recreational waters. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006 Jun;72(6):4200-6.
- (129) Johnson JR, Murray AC, Gajewski A, et al. Isolation and molecular characterization of nalidixic acid-resistant extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* from retail chicken products. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003 Jul;47(7):2161-8.
- (130) Bonnet C, Diarrassouba F, Brousseau R, Masson L, Topp E, DiarraMS. Pathotype and antibiotic resistance gene distributions of
Escherichia coli isolates from broiler chickens raised on antimicrobial-supplemented diets. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009 Nov;75(22):6955-62.

- (131) Johnson JR, Murray AC, Kuskowski MA, *et al.* Distribution and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* clonal group A. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11(1):141-5.
- (132) Mobley HL, Jarvis KG, Elwood JP, et al. Isogenic P-fimbrial deletion mutants of pyelonephritogenic Escherichia coli: the role of alpha Gal(1-4) beta Gal binding in virulence of a wild-type strain. Mol Microbiol 1993 Oct;10(1):143-55.
- (133) Bennett PM. Integrons and gene cassettes: a genetic construction kit for bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 1999 Jan;43(1):1-4.
- (134) Carattoli A. Importance of integrons in the diffusion of resistance.Vet Res 2001 May;32(3-4):243-59.
- (135) Winokur PL, Vonstein DL, Hoffman LJ, Uhlenhopp EK, Doern GV. Evidence for transfer of CMY-2 AmpC beta-lactamase plasmids between *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* isolates from food animals and humans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001 Oct;45(10):2716-22.
- (136) Kruse H, Sorum H. Transfer of multiple drug resistance plasmids between bacteria of diverse origins in natural microenvironments. Appl Environ Microbiol 1994 Nov;60(11):4015-21.
- (137) Travis RM, Gyles CL, Reid-Smith R, *et al.* Chloramphenicol and kanamycin resistance among porcine *Escherichia coli* in Ontario. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006 Jul;58(1):173-7.

- (138) Gow SP, Waldner CL, Harel J, Boerlin P. Associations between antimicrobial resistance genes in fecal generic *Escherichia coli* isolates from cow-calf herds in western Canada. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008 Jun;74(12):3658-66.
- (139) Gilmore A. Chloramphenicol and the politics of health. CMAJ 1986 Feb 15;134(4):423, 426-5.
- (140) Bischoff KM, White DG, McDermott PF, et al. Characterization of chloramphenicol resistance in beta-hemolytic Escherichia coli associated with diarrhea in neonatal swine. J Clin Microbiol 2002 Feb;40(2):389-94.
- (141) Lanz R, Kuhnert P, Boerlin P. Antimicrobial resistance and resistance gene determinants in clinical *Escherichia coli* from different animal species in Switzerland. Vet Microbiol 2003 Jan 2;91(1):73-84.
- (142) Schwarz S, Chaslus-Dancla E. Use of antimicrobials in veterinary medicine and mechanisms of resistance. Vet Res 2001 May;32(3-4):201-25.
- (143) Boerlin P, Travis R, Gyles CL, *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine in Ontario. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005 Nov;71(11):6753-61.
- (144) Leflon-Guibout V, Blanco J, Amaqdouf K, Mora A, Guize L, Nicolas-Chanoine MH. Absence of CTX-M enzymes but high prevalence of clones, including clone ST131, among fecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy subjects living in the area of Paris, France. J Clin Microbiol 2008 Dec;46(12):3900-5.

- (145) Cortes P, Blanc V, Mora A, et al. ESBL- and CMY-2-producing Escherichia coli potentially pathogenic for humans isolated from animal farms. First time detection of clonal groups O25b:H4-ST131-B2 CTX-M-9 and O25a-ST648-D CTX-M-32 in poultry farms. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010 Mar 12.
- (146) Collignon P, Aarestrup FM. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases, food, and cephalosporin use in food animals. Clin Infect Dis 2007 May 15;44(10):1391-2.
- (147) Nicolas X, Granier H, Le GP. [Shigellosis or bacillary dysentery].Presse Med 2007 Nov;36(11 Pt 2):1606-18.
- (148) Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, O'Bryan TT, Maslow JN. Epidemiological correlates of virulence genotype and phylogenetic background among *Escherichia coli* blood isolates from adults with diverse-source bacteremia. J Infect Dis 2002;185:1439-47.
- (149) Johnson JR, Kuskowski MA, Gajewski A, Sahm DF, Karlowsky JA. Virulence characteristics and phylogenetic background of multidrugresistant and antimicrobial-susceptible clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* from across the United States, 2000-2001. J Infect Dis 2004 Nov 15;190(10):1739-44.
- (150) Picard B, Garcia JS, Gouriou S, *et al.* The link between phylogeny and virulence in *Escherichia coli* extraintestinal infection. Infect Immun 1999 Feb;67(2):546-53.
- (151) Nicolle L, Anderson PA, Conly J, *et al.* Uncomplicated urinary tract infection in women. Current practice and the effect of antibiotic resistance on empiric treatment. Can Fam Physician 2006 May;52:612-8.

- (152) Olive DM, Bean P. Principles and applications of methods for DNAbased typing of microbial organisms. J Clin Microbiol 1999 Jun;37(6):1661-9.
- (153) Yang S, Leff MG, McTague D, *et al.* Multistate surveillance for foodhandling, preparation, and consumption behaviors associated with foodborne diseases: 1995 and 1996 BRFSS food-safety questions. MMWR CDC Surveill Summ 1998 Sep 11;47(4):33-57.
- (154) Osterholm MT, Norgan AP. The role of irradiation in food safety. NEngl J Med 2004 Apr 29;350(18):1898-901.