PETROCHEMISTRY OF A LAYERED ARCHEAN MAGMA CHAMBER AND ITS RELATION TO MODELS OF BASALT EVOLUTION

by

Bendit Rivard

Q

41.1

1

è

Department of Geological Sciences McGill University, Montréal December 1985

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Sciences.

C) Benoit Rivard 1985

1.1

The stratigraphy of Archean greenstone belts the commonly characterized by lower volcanic successions of tholefitic affinity which are replaced upsection by volcanics ofcalc-alkaline affinity. There is little consensus on the Forigin of this transition, but in the Abitibi belt Jolly (1975) has suggested that it was produced via crystal fractionation in the many shallow level layered intrusive bodies. In the western Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt such an intrusion is exposed with its associated system of gabbro feeder dykes and overlying extrusive equivalents. The intrusion is comprised of a core of olivine cumulate with chromite banding and its borders " are lined by earlier emplaced coarse-grained gabbros. The overlying volcanic succession is dominated by aphyric basalts to basaltic andesites subdivided into three groups 👔 a lower unit characterized by intermediate Ti values relative to a middle unit of more primitive basalts low in Fe and Ti, and an upper unit displaying very high Ti values. The finegrained gabbros of the intrusion and the majority of the associated dykes follow an Fe-enrichment trend. Dykes which show field evidence for the assimilation of tonalitic basement, however, follow'a trend of Fe-depletion. The Feenrichment trend of the uncontaminated gabbros can be modelled by the fractional crystallization of plagioclase, clinopyroxene, and olivine (48:32:20). This model, however, can not account for both the spread in Si and the lack of Feobserved in the lavas. Their chemical variations enrichment

4.4

. ".

ABSTRACT

reconciled by a polybaric fractionation andel komatittic parental magnas. The apparent Ferinvolving: depletion of the basalts and their stratigraphy can be understood in terms of decreasing extents of high pressure fractionation of their parental magma with time which determined the low pressure fractionating assemblage of the magmas experienced prolonged residence maoma, Early subcrustal ' reservoirs where they fractionated ovivine and Their residual liquids were saturated in orthopyroxene. plagioclase and pyroxene at low pressures and underwent before erupting was the gabbroic fractionation early intermediate-Ti lavas. The coarse-grained gabbros of the intrusion appear to represent the cumulate of this process. later low-Ti lavas are derived from magmas which The experienced lesser extents of high Spressure fractionation, but underwent excess olivine fractionation at low pressure which produced the olivine cumulates of the intrusion."

When plotted in an AFM projection the basalts and uncontaminated gabbros of the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt fall in the field of tholeiitic rocks while the contaminated gabbros fall in the calc-alkaline field. These different trends have developed from a common parental magma in a common stectonic setting. This work suggests that tectonic interpretations of Archean volcanics 🖗 which rely simply on AFM projections may neglect important aspects of basalt petrogenesis and should be viewed with caution.

F~1

ų.

576.

SONMAIRE

stratigraphie des ceintures de roches vertes archéenes se compose souvent de succession de roches volcaniques tholéiitiques à la base, ensuite remplacées par des roches volcantques calc-alcalines. . Pour de nombreuses intrusions stratifiées de la ceinture de l'Abitibi; Jolly (1975) a proposé une origine par cristallisation fractionnée; l'origine de cette transition demeure toutefois incertaines Des roches extrusives et un système de dykes de gabbro sont associés à une telle intrusion dans le segment ouest du Lac Yasinski de la ceinture de roches vertes de La Grande. De Ś:. cumulats d'olivine et des bandes de chromite se trouvent au centre de l'intrusion alors que des gabbros à grains grossiers antérieurs sont situés en périphérie. Les roches 🐑 volcaniques se composent principalement de basaltes et d'andésites basaltiques aphyriques et se subdivisent en trois unités: l'unité de base à une téneur intermédiaire en Ti, l'unité centrale se compose de basaltes moins évolués, pauvres en Félet Ti, aldrs que de "fortes teneurs en Ti caractérisent l'unitésupérieure. Un enrichissement en/fer caractérise la majorité des dykes et les gabbros à grains fins de l'intrusif. Certains dykes démontrent des évidences l'assimilation du socle tonalitique, définissant une lignée d'appauvrissement en fer. L'enrichissement en fer/définit par les gabbros non-contaminés, peut être reproduit par la . Scristall'isation fractionnée d'un assemblage composé de %plagioclase, clinopyroxene et d'olivine (48:32:20); modèle n'e peut toutefois reproduire la variation en Si des

laves et l'absence d'enfithissement en fer qui les caractérisent. Un modèle de fractionnation à pression variable impliquant un liquide parental de composition komatilique peut toutefois expliquer ces variations de composition. Une diminution progressive du degré de fractionnation à haute pression explique la stratigraphie et la lignée d'appauvrissement en fer des basaltes. La nature de l'assemblage de phases fractionnées à basse pression fût defini par ce degré de fractionnation. Les prémiers magmas auraient donc fractionnée de l'olivine et de l'orthopyroxene

causé par une résidence prolongée a l'intérieur de réservoirs situés sous la croûte. A basse pression, les liquides résiduels ont ensuite fractionné plagioclase et pyroxene avant d'être mis en place sous forme de laves à teneur intermédiaire en Ti. Les gabbros à grains grossiers de l'intrusion semblent etre les cumulats resultant de ce procédé. Les laves tardives à basse teneur en Ti ont été dérivées de magmas ayant subi un plus faible degré de fractionnation à haute pression. Par contre celles-ci ont fractionné un excès d'olivine à basse pression et produit les cumulats d'olivine de l'intrusion,

1.

Les basaltes et les gabbros non-contaminés du segment Lac Yasinski se situent dans le champ tholéiitique du d'agramme AFM alors que les gabbros contaminés se situent dans le champ calc-alcalin. Ces différentes lignées se sont développées à partir du même liquide parental et du meme environnement tectonique. Cette étude suggère que les

1.12

interprétations tectoniques de roches volcaniques archéennes qui ne sont basées que sur des projections AFM peuvent négliger d'importants aspects de la pétrogénèse des basaltes et devraient donc être traitées avec circonspection.

રે કે

×.

18.J.,

در بر بر بر

ïzí

.

ACKNOWLEDGENENTS

A Star of the Instance

wish to express my gratitude to all of those whom in a direct or indirect way have contributed to the completion of this thesis. My greatest appreciation goes to my friend supervisor Dr. Don Francis for his guidance and and instruction on research methodology; his assistance was available at any time which is something few students I also acknowledge the patience and calm he rely on. expressed in times where my emotional problems spilled into my academic life. Comments from Dr. B. F. Martin were ' **'in** 🙀 `of jthis″ appreciated 🥢 improving parts manuscript. Enthusiastic and quick assistance in analytical work was obtained from T. Ahmedali. 🗅

Financial support was provided by the Ministère de l'Energie et Ressources (Québec), les fonds F.C.A.C, Max Bell Foundation and Carl Reinhardt Summer Awards. Field work was supported through the Centre for Northern Studies (McGill University), le Ministère de l'Education du Québec, N.S.E.R.C. and Energie Mines and Ressources Canada.

Many ideas arose from late evening discussions in the company of Don Francis, Tom Skulski, Mian Liu, Serge Nadeau and John Todoeschuck and I am greateful to all of them. My friends Richard Forest, Robert Wares and Andre Lalonde provided unlimited moral support in my bitter moments, Special thanks go to Thor James Brenan who accompanied me for two straight summers in the not so friendly bush of the James Bay. His good humour and honesty made these four months unforgettable. My final acknowledgement goes to my parents for their constant care and to Jeanne and Robert for their last minute help.

3.

×(→)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

٠,

J

	•		u .		• · · Page
÷ •	4	Abstract?	- .••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		·····
) ''	\$ •	Acknowledgm List of fig	ents ures	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ix .
* * • 1		List of tab	1es	, 	·····× ×
	1.1	Archean gre Purpose of	enstone bel the study.	ts	î 6
2	2.1	Regional se Location	tting of th	e La Grande green	stone belt. 8
** **	2.3	The Lac Yas	inski intru	ision	
° 3	3.1 \ 3 2	Preliminar La Grande Abstract	ymodelsfor greenston	basalt evolutio e belt	nin the 15 15
0	3.3 3.4 3.5	Setting The Intrusi Major Eleme	ve complex. nt Geochemi	stry	
۲. ۲. ۲. ۲.	3.6 ÷ 3.7	Discussion. Conclusion.	••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4	c	Summary of (of the sec	ond publi	s and statement o cation	f the goals .
5 * '	5.1 ³ 5.2 3	Petrochemis relation to Abstract Introductio	try of an A models of 	rchean magma chaml basalt evolution.	ber and its
٢.	5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Regional Se The intrusi The volcani Geochemistr	tting on cs v		
	5.7 5.8	Discussion. Conclusions			
	and a start	Summary, further work	impli cat ton k	s and recommanda	1tions for "
		Append fx A:	Sample pre	paration, and analy	/tical
	70 1	Appendix B:	Whole	chendstry.	
		Appendix C:	Geo jogica	I'map of the intrus	sionpocket

ų N

<u>a</u>lt

LIST OF FIGURES

Page 🖉

•	ي. م		, p	ما موانع به مسلحه . است کل مور مدینه هم مور معرف مور بار مانون مانون مانون مانون مانون
	Figure diag	1. MgO versu ram of publ	s FeO (in weight %) ished compositional	Composite trends of
	(1975	5)		······································
	Figure gabbr	2. Mg vers roic dyke ac Yasinski	us Fe (in cation %) complex, ultramafic lavas	for the cumulates
	Figure	3. Na vers	us Fe (in Cation 1)	for the
	cryst and 1	tallization lavas	paths modelled for the	gabbros gabbros
	Figure and	4. Mg versu trends of l	s Fe (in cation %) Con ava suites from across	npositions 🦾
	Grand field	le and Eas l of gabb	tmain belt compared roic compositions from	to the the Lac
	Tasın. -	SKI INTRUSIV	e	Schomatic
	repre paths	s. My vers sentation leading to	of possible magma the formation of iron	evolution depletion
	trend	S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	of th	b. Regional De La Grande	greenstone belt	segment 37
	Figure intru	7. Detailed sion	map of the Lac	Yasinski ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	Figure a and	8. Mg versus	Ti and total Fe (1) Lac Yasinski intrusive	cation %) rocks
	b and	c: for the contamin	Lac Yasinski extrusive ated gabbros	rocks and46
d	Figure Lac Y	9. Al versu asinski gabbi	s ['] Si (in Cation %) ros (a) and basalts (b)	for the
	Figure versu uncon	10. Si, Fe s Mg# taminated gal	(in cation %) and Zr for the contaminat bbros of Lac Yasinski	(in ppm) ed and
,	Figure Guyer	114 Al versu basalt-komat	us Si (in cation %) for tibte suite	the ***Lac
	Figure liqui	12. Olivina dus projecti	e-șilica-plagioclase ion for the Lac Guyer	isomolar basalt-
~	KUMA L	TILE SUICE	19. 19.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	· ·	•) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		•		1

-6

ñ,

ſŦ

-at a

. 5 \$

الا القرار

mat.

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 1.Stratigraphic column of the La Grande
areaTable 2.Whole rock analysis of the Lac
Yasinski segment:lavas, gabbros and peridotites....21

INTRODUCTION

1

1.1 Archean greenstone belts

Archean greenstone belts are among the oldest crustal material preserved, and retain a record of mafic volcanism on the earth prior to 2.5 b.y. Greenstone belts therefore offer an insight to the magmatic processes operating in the Archean and provide the basic information required to unravel the early volcanic history of the Earth.

Archean greenstone belts are typically deformed geosynclines whose stratigraphic successions are dominated by meta-volcanic rocks and associated hypabyssal intrusive rocks. Many Archean greenstone belts contain tholeiitic, calc-alkaline, and alkaline volcanic suites (Goodwin 1977) and some preserve komatiitic and high-magnesian lavas (Condie 1981, Viljoen and Viljoen 1969). Typically the lower part of the volcanic succession is comprised of ultramatic to tholeiitie rocks which give way with mafic volcanic stratigraphic height to volcanic rocks of calc-alkaline affinity. Examples of such tholeiitic to calc-alkaline successions have been documented in Archean terrains of North America, South Africa and Australia (Viljoen and Viljoen Jolly 1975, Bickle et al 1983, Gélinas 1984). For 1970, example, in the Abitibi greenstone belt, located in the southeastern part of the Superior Province of the Canadian shield, geochemical studies by Jolly (1977) have documented a volcanic stratigraphy whose lower portion is dominated by

primitive tholeiitic basalts with local komatiites. These are overlain by more evolved iron-rich tholehitic lavas, at intermediate levels which in turn are succeeded by iron-poor lavas. Jolly (1980) has documented a complete spectrum of chemical trends in the lavas and has shown that ironc enrichment ('tholeiitic') evolutionary trends are replaced up by iron-depletion ('calcalkaline') section evolutionary trends (Fig. 1). The origin of these two trends and their genetic relationship remains a fundamental problem of igneous The orderly stratigraphic succession of the petrology. trends, however (Baragar 1966, 1968 Jolly 1977, Goodwin 1977, Taylor and Hallberg 1977) strongly suggests that the later calc-alkalic magmas are genetically related to the earlier tholeiitic magmas. Three mechanisms are commonly proposed to explain the relationship between tholeiltic and calc-alkaline In the first, the two trends are thought to reflect lavas. differentiation of a common parental magma the under different conditions of oxygen' fugacity. crustal contamination (Kuno 1950, 1968), or depth at which crystal fractionation occurred (Jolly 1975, Hawkesworth and O'Nions, 1977, Jolly 1977, and White and McBirney 1979). In _such models. thickening of the volcanic pile with time is the associated with dehydration and eventual melting of the lower crust. The products of these reactions contaminate . magmas rising from the mantle and induce the crystallization of of low Si content and high Fe0/MgO ratio such as phases amphibole or magnetite. The fractionation of such phases

1-

ħν'



leads to the development of calc-alkaline lavas (O'Nions And Pankhurst 1978). The second explanation is based on a modern 4 tectonic analogy and assumes that the early tholeiitic plate generated by hydrous melting of mantle above a maqmas are subducting lithospheric slab undergoing dehydration. At levels partial melts of the subducting deeper slab form magmas which ascend, and hybridizet the overlying acidic providing the source regions for later calc-alkaline mantle. magmas (Ringwood 1977). Thirdly some Archean calc-alkaline thought to be "produced by increasingly smaller trends are melting of a source containing residual garnet degrees of and/or amphibole (Condie 1976, Davis and Condie 1977, Jahn et these mechanisms appear to be capable of al. 1979). A11 **explaining** the temporal change from tholeiitic to calcalkaline, magmas generally observed in Archean greenstoné belts. There is at present, however, little consensus because of a lack of physical and chemical constraints on the problem.

The complex structural and metamorphic history which Archean lavas have experienced complicates the recognition of the, dominant magmatic process(es) which controlled their chemical evolution. The deformation is often characterized by steeply inclined folds and faults which produce abrupt changes in the lithologies and the loss of stratigraphic components which limit precise lateral correlations. Primary mineralogy is rarely available and petrographic studies often yield inconclusive results. These problems are compounded by

·.....

1. 1.13

()

Nr. P.

100-

the fact that lavas typically can not be related to equivalent The identification of intrusive rocks. the dominant process(es) which control the enrichment por depletion in iron in lavas is commonly based on the ability. of numerical models of such processes to reproduce the observed major and trace element variations. In the Abitibi belt, Jolly (1977) has suggested that the magmas which generated the most primitive lavas, could have fractionated at low pressures to produce the overlying basalts of more According to his model, tholeiitic evolved compositions. magmas' fractionated olivine and plagioclase to produce Feenrichment in the early lavas. The later Fe-depleted lavas produced by the fractionation of clinopyroxene and were magnetite caused by an increase in volatile content of the magma. The rise in volatile content was attributed to deep level fractionation of the source magmas or incorporation of hydrated crustal material. Differentiation in Fred's flow, Ontario, ⁶ (Arndt, 1977) located in Munro township, may an example of magmas related by represent fractional crystalization. It is a komatiite flow with an initial Ma 0 ---content approximating 20 wt% which differentiated to a quartz gabbro with 7.5 wt% MgO. The major element composition o.f the gabbroic differentiate in Fred's flow 1s indistinguishable from many of the basaltic lavas found in Munro township. The compositional similarity between these rocks provides strong evidence for the involvement of lowpressure fractional crystallization in the genesis of many of

÷.

×.,

Laco

ġ.,

N 13

-*

· L Che

the basalts in this area of the Abitibi beit (Arndt et al. 1977). Jolly (1977) postulated that the many layered intrusive bodies observed throughout the belt represent the high level magma chambers in which this process occurred. This study emphasizes the importance of both intrusive and extrusive rocks to any understanding of magma petrogenesis in the Archean.

1.2 Purpose of the study

This thesis has been part of a larger "project undertaken by professors Andrew Hynes and Don Francis (to) investigate the stratigraphy, structure and petrochemical evolution of the La Grande greenstone belt. It draws heavily on results obtained in previous thesis studies of this project by St-Seymour (1982), Skulski (1985), and Liu (1985) in the La Grande-3 (LG-3) and Lac Guyer segments of the La Grande greenstone belt. My contribution to this project was the investigation of a gabbro-peridotite intrusion and its possible extrusive equivalents in the western segment of the Archean La Grande greenstone belt of northern Québec. In^s this region, the juxtaposition of a dyke feeder system, a magma chamber, and an overlying volcanic succession offers a opportunity to study the processes controlling unique basaltic magmatism in the La Grande greenstone belt. The documentation of these magmatic processes may improve our understanding of the nature of Fe-enrichment and Fe-depletion trends' in Archean volcanics and provide constraints for the origin the temporal evolution of magmatism from tholeiitic

to 'calc-alkaline' which is observed in greenstone belts i general. In this study I am responsible for:

ľ,

Č,

1) the detailed field and petrographic investigation of the s

2) the mapping and sampling of the Lac Yasinski basalt stratigraphy along the LG-3 road.

3) the obtainment and interpretation of 153 major element and 66 trace element analyses of the rocks of the intrusive complex and its associated lavas.

The text 'of thesis is comprised of two this manuscripts for publication which are united with a common abstract and introductory and concluding chapters. The first manuscript appeared as a publication in a symposium volume of the Canadian Institute for Mining and Metallurgy entitled Chibougamau-Stratigraphy and Mineralization (CIM Spec Vol 34, 👀 The second manuscript is to submitted for 1984). be publication in Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology.

REGIONAL SETTING OF THE LA GRANDE GREENSTONE BELT

2.1 Location

2

The La Grande greenstone belt is located north of the 530 Abitibi belt in the James Bay Territory of Québec, at 30'N, 73° 50' - 78° 00'W (Fig. 6). It consists of three discontinuous segments running approximately east-west along the La Grande River reservoir system for an estimated lengthof 350 km; the eastern Lac Guyer - LG-4 segment, the central LG-3 segment and the western Lac Yasinski segment. The rocks of 'this region form part of the Superior Province of the have 🌾 Precambrian Canadian shield and a 1 1 undergone 📜 deformation and metamorphism during the Kenoran Orogeny 2:5 b.y. around

2.2 Previous work and regional geology

🕆 consisted 🗠 of earliest work in the belt : The mapping by the Geological Survey of Canada **econnai**ssance (Eade 1966). In 1974, Mills completed a Ph.D thesis study which included field investigations of the Sakami Lake 💱 Goutaceau Lake and Ĵanjandashi Lake areas 🍊 Further regional: mapping of the belt was completed by Sharma (1977) and Ciesielski (1984) and a series of more recent detailed map's was produced at a scale of 1/20,000 by the SES group (Fouque's and 1979), a consortium of Séru Nucléaire. Eldorado et a11 Nucléaire and the Société de Développement de la Baie James

′(SDBJ).

Fouques et al. (1979) divided the stra Grande area into eleven units as shown in Table 1. The Roches Vertes unit (R.V.) comprises the greenstone succession and was divided into two cycles. The first cycle consists predominantly of ultramafic and mafic lavas. volcaniclastics and iron formations. The second cycle groups intermediate to acidic flows, pillow lavas and conglomerates. Fouques et al. suggest that a discordance separates these two cýcles. The basement gneisses are refered to as the vieux gneiss's and are isolated from a younger series of gneisses a (Serie Laguiche) to the south by a major fault running 0700 which is visible on satellite photos. The relationships between the vieux gneiss and the base of the greenstone The presence of granitic succession are contradictory . xenoliths in the LG-3 second cycle volcanics (Skulski 1985), however, suggests that the greenstone succession was erupted. on a crust of granitoid composition. In the area of this the presence of conglomerates which contain angular study` clasts of tonalitic composition at the base of the succession unconformable 👾 supracrustal suggests an relationship between the greenstone and the vieux gneiss. In the Lac Guyer and LG-3 segments however, the vieux gnetsses appear to intrude the volcano-sedimentary succession and mask the basement-greenstone contact. These relationships suggest that the granitoid basement must have been locally remobilized either during or after the mafic volcanism of the upracrustal succession.

Table 1. Stratigraphic column of the La Grande area (after Fouques et al. 1979).

🕆 PROTEROZOLC 👘 📜 Gabbroic dykes with a diabase texture

, Sakami formation

LOWER APHEBIAN SERIE LAGUICHE

- Granites
- Leucocratic granites, pegmatites
 - Nigmatites
- Biotite-amphibole gneiss

à.,

-----Discordance--

ARCHEAN

 \hat{x}_{ij}

Arkoses, quartzites; conglomerates, mafic and felsic volcanics, amphibole gneiss, biotite and amphibole schists

-----Discordance(?)--

Volcano-sedimentaire (V.S.)

ROCHES WERTES (R.V.)

Second cycle; Intermediate to acidic flows; pillow, lavas

----Discordance(?)-

ROCHES VERTES (R.V.)

First cycle: Iron formations, mafic tuffs, ultramafic and mafic lavas

----Discordance-------

VIEUX GNEISS

104

Foliated granodiorite, gránités Migmatite-gneiss, orthognéliss 💈

Recent detailed investigations of the subracrustal succession of the La Grande belt include a Ph.D. study by St.Seymour (1982) and M.Sc. study by Liu (1985) in the eastern Lae Guyer-segments, and an M.Sc. study by Skulski (1984, 1985) in the central LG-3 segment in any area. neighbouring the town of La Grande-3. St-Šeymour (1983) has proposed a stratigraphy for the eastern segment of the in the vicinity of Lac Guyer which begins with acidic flows, pyroclastics and sediments with intercalated basalts. The Mg# (Mg/Mg+Fe) and abundance of these basalts increases with stratigraphic height towards an overlying succession of komatiitic laves. The komatiite succession is comprised of a sequence of pillowed pyroxenetic komatiite flows which separates two sequences of peridotitic komatilites. The uppermost unit in the Lac Guyer area consists of a second succession of basalt which are chemically indistinguishable from the basalts at the base of the stratigraphy. Liu (1985) has established a stratigraphy in the volcano-sedimentary succession southeast of Lac Guyer. He has reported the occurrence of two sequences of basalts. The lower basaltic sequence is composed of thick mafic flows interbedded with 🕬 🖓 mafic and felsic tuffs. These are overlain by a second cycle 😽 of lavas dominated by pillowed basalts. Y.24 The basalts in general are characterized by a pronounced Fe-enrichment rend. Pillowed and massive komatiites are observed at the upper "stragraphic levels. In the LG-3 segment of the La Grande belt, the lowest levels of the stratigraphy consist

predominantly οf a sequence of volcaniclastics metasediments overlain by a thick succession of submarine basalts with low Mg# and minor basaltic andesites (Skulski et al 1984). These rocks are overlain by coarse clastics which 🕆 are, 🕼 turn overlain 🚋 a sequence of basaltic andesites whose evolution is characterized by an iron depletion trend and lower absolute Ti and Fe contents compared to the basalts of the underlying succession. The presence of Fe-enrichment in the lower levels of the volcanic stratigraphy southeast of stratigraphy suggests a temporal evolution of magmatism from 😸 tholeiitic to calc-alkaline in the La Grande belt. "The basalts of Lac Guyer and of the lowermost LG-3 stratigraphy are scharacterized by tag absence in Fe-trends and may represent an intermediate stage between Fe-enrichment and Fedepletion trends.

L.,

×.,

Three phases of deformation are recognized throughout the La Grande belt. The first phase of deformation is penetrative on a centimeter scale and produced the observed schistosity and intrafolial isoclinal folds. The second phase of deformation produced isoclinal folds on a regional scale and open folds on local scale with an average interlimb angle of 30° to 40° degrees, and a steeply dipping fracture cleavage (S-2) varying in arientation from 070° to 120°. These steeply dipping axial planes were the focus of the brittle deformation and were reactivated during later faulting events. The most extensive fault cutting the study area (Fig. 7) lies along one such plane and produced a prominent local foliation parallel to S-2. The latest deformation event is characterized by subhorizontal kink-

1.75

2.3 The Lac Yasinski segment

of the Lac Yasinski region The topography ` i s characteristic of a heavily glaciated terrane with numerous lakes and swamps: Areas which are underlain by granitic and volcanic rocks have a relatively thin glacial cover compared التدور ا to areas underlain by volcano-sediments. The lower parts of the volcano-sedimentary succession are occupied predominantly bγ quartz-rich esediments. Antercalated with minor iron formations and mafic tuffs. The upper parts of the succession are dominated by pillowed and massive lavas which comprise a lower unit of relatively Fe-rich basalts overlain by a thick succession of Fe-poor basalts. These are in turn overlain by the most Fe-rich basalts of the succession. The intrusion of this study is found in the nose of a major synformal F-2 syncline which plunges steeply in the SW direction (Fig. 6). The two major phases of deformation in the Lac Yasinski segment have provided a observed virtually_ complete cross-section of the intrusion which 15 located along the contact between a tomalitic basement and the volcano-sedimentary succession, overlying it. The of basal conglomerates which contain presence angular tonalite of fragments suggest this contact is an Numerous gabbroic dykes cut the unconformity. basement

tonalites and appear to have fed the intrusion which itself cuts into the basal part of the greenstone succession. The intrusion is thus younger then both the basement tonalites and the lower levels of the greenstone belt and there appears to have been little or no displacement along the basement greenstone contact following its emplacement. These relationships suggest that the gabbroic dykes cutting the basement, the intrusion, and the lavas of the supracrustal succession constitute a comagmatic system which provides a opportunity to study the high level processes anique controlling mafic volcanism in this portion of the La Grande greenstone belt. The following manuscript compares the chemical patterns of the rocks of the Lac Yasinski intrusion to those of the lavas of the La Grande greenstone belt and discusses 'the nature of the petrogenetic link between the

two.

PRELIMINARY MODELS FOR BASALT EVOLUTION IN THE LA GRANDE" GREENSTONE BELT

Benoit Rivard and Don Francis

Department of geological sciences McGill University 3450 University St Montréal, Québec H3A 2A7

3.1 Abstract

A layered Archean magma chamber is exposed at the base the Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt. This intrusive complex lies beneath a sequence of mafic volcanics and is underlain by granitoid basement which is crosscut by a swarm of gabbroic dykes. The gabbros of both this complex and the dyke swarm define a pronounced Feenrichment trend. The volcanic patterns of the La Grande and Eastmain greenstone belts, however, define a series of iron "depletion trends which originate in the iron enrichment trend These patterns suggest that the of the gabbroic intrusives. mgabbros produced in the intrusive complex may serve as parental liquids for some of the lava suites of the James Bay greenstone belts.

3.2 Introduction

Archean lavas offer a powerful tool for understanding earth's early history. Iron enrichment ('tholeiitic') the and iron depletion ('calcalkaline') evolutionary trends have been recognized in lava successions in many Archean belts (Jo11y 1975, Gélinas, greenstone 1984). The identification of the dominant process(s) which produce such trends is based on the ability of models of such processes to

reproduce the observed major and trace element variations. The recognition of the dominant process controlling the evolution of Archean lavas, however, is often made difficult by the complex structural and metamorphic history that these rocks have undergone. Primary mineralogy is farely preserved and petrographic studies often yield inconclusive results. These problems are compounded by the fact that lavas typically can not be related to equivalent intrusive rocks.

In this paper we present observations obtained through comparing the chemical patterns of intrusive rocks of the complex with those defined by a reconnaissance survey of the regional geochemistry of Archean lavas of the James Bay Territory. A geochemical model is presented which relates the compositions of the lavas to those of the intrusive rock's obšerved within the layered chamber and dyke system. Stratigraphic and/or temporal variations in this model provide a means of investigating the historical record of evolution in the La Grande greenstone belt. maama addition, the model provides a useful lithochemical field tool with which to map lateral stratigraphic variations on `a regional scale.

3.3 Setting

The La Grande greenstone belt is located in the James Bay Territory of Québec at 53° 30'N, longitude 73° 50' - 78° OO'W. The area was mapped at a scale of 1/100,000 during the late 70's by the Ministère Energie et Ressource du Québec

(Sharma, 1977). A series of more recent maps was produced at a scale of 1/20,000 by the SES group, a consortium of Séru Nucléaire, Eldorado Nucléaire, and the Société de Développement de la Baie James (SDBJ).

1.04

- 2 1

3.4

2.

The La Grande greenstone belt is discontinuous and consists of a number of isolated segments running east-west along the La Grande reservoir system. The intrusive complex of this study is found in the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande belt. Here, two major phases of deformation have provided a virtually complete cross-section of a 4 to 5 km thick volcano-sedimentary succession and the plagiogranite basement which underlies it. The intrusive complex lies along this contact at the nose of a first phase syncline where outcrop exposure exceeds fifty percent.

The nature of the granite-greenstone contact is not well defined because it is obscured by the presence of the intrusive complex. There is, however, no evidence of contact metamorphic effects in tuffaceous units adjacent to the basement suggesting that the contact is not an intrusive one. Thin 'irregular lenses of metaquartzites are found close to the basement contact and grade upwards into a - continuous , series of thin mafic tuffaceous, beds. Mafic tuffs and pillowed lavas appear to dominate the stratigraphy of this segment of the belt. For a more detailed account of the stratigraphy of the) La Grande belt, the reader is referred to a companion paper in this volume (Skulski et al., 1984).

17

(****

5.

3.4 The intrusive complex

A.

The intrusive complex is interpreted to have had a sill-like shape of approximate dimensions 2.5 km long by 1.5 km thick prior to deformation. It consists mainly of a layered ultramaffic cumulate (75%). Gabbro is found along both contacts (25%) and occurs predominantly along the upper The gabbros exhibit chilled margins against the boundery. enclosing greenstones, but no visible decrease in grain size is observed at gabbro-ultramafic contacts. Numerous gabbro dykes crosscut the basement granites immediately beneath the ultramafic cumulate and a few intrude the ultramafic cumulate itself. Those intruding the basement display chilled margins along their contacts. Beneath the cumulate pile, gabbros low in Fe and rich in Mg crosscut earlier high Fe gabbros. This relationship suggests that the gabbros may have become less "evolved with time during the magmatic evolution of the Partially resorbed xenoliths of the complex. granitic irregular white felsic bands are observed basement and in mắný of the gabbro dykes indicating that their magma was actively assimilating crustal material at the time of intrusion.

Ť,

The ultramafic cumulates are serpentinized peridotites which weather to a light brown color. Chromitite layers are observed throughout the majority of the ultramafic body varying in thickness from 20 cm near the gabbro contact exposed in the south to less than a few millimeters near the basement contact. On average, however, these chromite layers

1.00

Ĵ.

six to eight millimeters in thickness and alternate with are two to three centimeters bands which are poor in chromite.

(

de

P

4 × 1

· · ·

The primary mineralogy of the ultramafic unit is almost entirely obliterated and the present mineralogy corresponds to lower greenschist facies metamorphic conditions with temperatures on the order of $400-500^{\circ}$ C. Olivine pseudomorphs are visible in hand specimen and vary in size from less than 0.5 mm to 3 mm. These pseudomorphs consist of serpentine and exhibit mesh textures and, on rare occasions, hourglass textures. The pseudomorphs preserve the primary oval to equant shape characteristic of olivine growth slow cooling rates (Donaldson, 1976). The olivine at pseudomorphs occupy 80% of the rock volume leaving little doubt as to the cumulus nature of this unit. Although cumulate layering is often difficult to recognized in the field, grain size layering is well defined in thin section. In the chromite poor bands, magnetite-chromite crystals are present in amounts not exceeding 6 to 8%. The matrix between the olivine pseudomorphs is composed of a mixture of finetremolite, magnetite and magnesite with arained minor zoisite, chlorite, brucite and "talc. This matrix is divided into patchy areas within which tremolite crystals display a 🗞 🐁 common extinction suggesting the former presence of postcumulus, pyroxene oikocrysts.

14

The gabbros display a bimodal mineralogy consisting of 20 to 40% plagioclase and 60 to 80% amphibole. Quartz,

epidote, calcite and pyrite are present in minor amounts. Most plagioclase crystals are sericitized or saussuritized. The amphibole is actinolite in the iron-poor gabbros, but hornblende in the iron-rich gabbros and entirely replaces primary clinopyroxene. The pseudomorphed pyroxene crystals are elongated (1-2mm) and some display a herringbone texture.

3.5 Major element geochemistry

÷\$_

The ultramafic cumulates are rich in MgO and low in Table 2) and fall close to the is FeO (Fig. 2, olivine Deviations below this line composition line in Mg-Fe space's reflect the amount of intercumulus material, probably largely pyroxene. The large predominance of cumulus olivine in these rocks suggests that the cation Mg/Mg+Fe ratio of the whole rock will approximate the forsterite content of the original range of rock compositions suggest that olivine. The the cumulate colivine ranged in composition from Fo 84 to Fo 89, assuming total iron to be FeO. Although the cumulate rocks display the high loss on fignition (LOI) values characteristic of serpentinites, the clustering of the data observed in figure 2 suggests 'that major element mobilization 'during metamorphism is not a serious problem.

The compositions of the gabbros exhibit a striking Feenrichment trend with FeO increasing from 4.5 to 14.5% as MgO drops from 18 to 6.5 cation% (Fig. 2). Unlike the gabbroic data, the Yasinski lavas define a continuous trend of decreasing FeO with decreasing MgO. The least evolved lavas

Table 2. Whole rock analyses^a

a Analytical Technique

10

Y:`i

The lava samples were all taken at the outer margins, of pillows or flow tops. The samples used for analysis were ground in tungsten carbide vessels to avoid Ni and Cr contamination. Major elements were determined by X-ray fluorescence using fused pellets. Loss on ignition was determined independently. All analyses are normalized to 100% volatile-free with total Fe as FeO. ۰,۰,۰,۰

¥.

Т Т

*****	Lavas				Adbros				Peridatites				
	\$ 304	1 311	8304	8314	8351	8343	\$344	\$373	*-	8375	8358,	\$ 372	μ.
\$102 1	50.27	50.30	51.54	52.18	1 50.69	49.61	50.37	47.78	:	42.72	42.61	42.90	-
T102 1	1.00	0.94	1.03	0.72	0.35	0.43	0.99	1.45	I.	0.05	0.02	0.04	1
A12031	15.26	13.54	15.44	16.67	1 16.51	13.44	14.47	14.42	1	1.54	1.48	3.32	
Cr 2031	0.05	0.03	10.05	0.04	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.03	1	0.90	0.51	1.07	ł
Fe02 1	11.47	12.24	9.79	8.87	7.70	9.72	12.81	14.42	1	13.07	11.47	12.96	1
NeO 1	7.83	7.38	5.44	4.79	11.25	1 9.84	8.11	6.40	1	41.38	43.46	38.02	ļ
HnQ 1	0.19	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.21	0.24	1	0.14	0.17	9.14	1
NIO I	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	1	0.16	0.17	0.19	1
CAD I	11.45	10.08	13.72	14.93	1 10.04	14.87	9.10	10.25	Ì	0.00	0.02	1.20	1
Na20 1	2.23	2.57	2.19	1.42	2.82	1.41	2.46	2.17	È	0.00	0.08	0.00	1
K20 1	0.14	0.42	0.13	0.12	0.32	0.13	1.17	0.30	i	0.01	0.00	0.00	
P205 1	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.07	0/11	i	0.01	0.00	0.00	1

21 🖗

Fig. 2: Cation % Mg - Fe. Gabbroic dyke complex, ultramafic cumulates and Lac Yasinski lavas. Ultramafic cumulate, diamonds; gabbros, triangles; Lac Yasinski lavas, circles. In the bottom figure, the field of Lac Yasinski lava compositions is indicated by the dashed line and the liquids capable of coexisting with the cumulate compositions are defined by the dashed arrows. In the top figure the field of gabbro compositions is enclosed by a dashed line.

ř.

ָרָּדָּ דְּיָרָ דְּיָרָ דְיָרָ דְיָרָ

£20.

*3

Ϋ́ κ

ιų.

ŝ

of this suite, however, overlap the field of gabbroic compositions. This overlap between the gabbros and most primitive lavas is also observed for elements such as aluminum, silicon, titanium and chromium. Plots of alkalies and calcium, however, display considerable scatter, suggesting the effects of alteration. For this reason sodium and potassium were excluded during the later modelling of compositional trends.

3.6 Discussion

genetic relationship between the gabbros and ultramafic rocks was tested assuming a KD of 0.3 for the distribution of Fe and Mg between olivine and liquid (Roeder 1970. Roeder, 1974). This value is generally and Emslie, accepted as being appropriate for most terrestrial basalts. The range of gabbroic liquids capable of coexisting with the observed ultramafic cumulate includes most of the least evolved gabbros (Fig. 2). These gabbros correspond to the largest dykes in the underlying basement and to the gabbros located immediately above the cumulate pile. Īn the basement, they represent a small portion of the total volume. of gabbros present. The volume of gabbro at the top of the intrusion is small in comparaison with the volume of olivingchromite cumulate. It follows that if the ultramafic and a gabbroic rocks were genetically related. then a large amount of gabbroic liquid must have past through this intrusive complex and been removed to account for the paucity of observed gabbro.

23 .

The composition of olivine in equilibrium with the least evolved lavas is approximately Fo 80, a value below the minimum value of Fo 85 estimated for the cumulates. If 15% of the total iron in these gabbros is converted to ferric iron, the calculated olivine composition increases to Fo 82, still below that capable of having produced the cumulates.

Fractional crystallization is the most common process called upon to explain the chemical variation in igneous rocks. It was used in attempts to reproduce the trend observed for the gabbros. A model involving more than 50% crystallization of an assemblage composed of 20% olivine, 32% clinopyroxene and 48% plagioclase is required to reproduce the range of observed gabbros (Fig. 3). The effects of assimilation, as evidenced by the presence of basement xenoliths within the gabbros of the dyke complex, will probably reduce the amount of fractionation required to produce the observed variation. The testing of the magnitude of these effects must await the availability of trace element data on these rocks.

The lavas of Lac Yasinski are aphyric so it is impossible to determine the actual liquidus phases. Lavas of similar composition containing plagioclase and pyroxene phenocrysts are found in the LG-3 area (Skulski et al., 1984) and compositionally similar plagioclase glomeroporphyritic basalts are a recurring phenomenon in Quebec greenstone belts. In modelling a fractionation process which could have

Fig. 3: Cation % Mg - Fe. Crystallization paths modeled for the gabbros and lavas. The field of gabbroic compositions is indicated by the dashed line. The field of lava compositions is indicated by the dotted pattern. The calculated fractional crystallization path for gabbros is indicated by arrow 1. Equilibrium crystallization paths for the lavas are by arrow 2 (100% olivine) and arrow 3 (10% olivine indicated plagiociase). Fractional, and equilibrium were modeled using a finite-difference CDX. 50% 40% crystallization . The fractional crystallization model consisted of technique. the repeated calculation of the instantaneous equilibrium i compositions of crystals coexisting with the liquid. Each step involved the removal of a quantity of these phases equivalent to 0.01 cation per cent of the initial parent and the recalculation of the residual liquid to 100 percent. The equilibrium crystallization model involved steps of a constant decrease in the MgO content of the liquid. The amount of residue increased with each step and was allowed to 🐫 reequilibrate with the liquid such that the bulk composition remained fixed. The oliving composition was computed using using. Roeder's and Emslie's (1970) value of the Fe/Mg KD of 0.3 and combined to their geothermometer to yield an equilibrium rature. This temperature value was then used to define was temperature. the Fe/Mg ratio of the clinopyroxene using the equations of by Gamble and Taylor (1980).
controlled the chemical evolution of the lavas, the most factors are the stgnificant decrease restricting in total ron with only a minimal increase in SiO2. Fractional crystallization Fines for olivine are steep in this region of the Mg0-fe0 disgram and therefore cannot produce the iron depletion displayed by the lavas (Langmuir and Hansen, 1980). The crystallization of reasonable amounts of chromite and calculation of a portion of Fe as Fe203 Helps but are still. the required Fe depletion. incapable of producing The involvement of pyrowine and plagioclase would all force l'iquid compositions to higher from contents. An equilibrium crystallization model involving the extraction of the mineral phases in the avsingte steps, produces a better fit with the observed data compositions, (Fig. 3) for an assemblage omposed only of olivine whose calculated compositions range from Fo 68 to Fo 77, A However, it still fails to produce the degree of Fe depletion observed. ⁷ more – reasonable assemblage composed of plagtoclase, olivine and clinopyroxene (Fig. 3) yields an interior, fit which worsens if the ferric/ferrous iron ratio is raised. What appears to he reguired is the involvement of an iron oxide phase

The most Ng rich compositions of the Lac Yasinski lavas lie within the field defined by the gabbros. Reconnaissance geochemical transects across other segments of greenstone belts in the James Bay Territory all exhibit well defined from depletion trands (Fig. 4) similar to the one observed in the Lac Tasinski Lavas. The overall pattern is Fig. 4: Cation % Mg - Fe. Compositions and trends of lava suites from across the La Grande and Eastmain belt are compared to the field of gabbroic compositions from the Lac Yasinski intrusive. In the top figure the Lac Guyer lava compositions are plotted as open circles and the Eastmain 'lava compositions as half circles. The Lac Guyer data are from Stamatelopoulou-Seymour et al. (1983). In the bottom figure the Eastmain lava suite corresponds to arrow 1, the Lac Yasinski lava suite to arrow 2 and the Lac Guyer lava suite to arrow 3.











()



one of a series of subparallel iron depletion trends (Fig. 4), which are slightly offset in Mg-Fe space. Jolly (1975, 1980) has reported a similar overall pattern for the lavas of the Abitibi greenstone belt. In every case the least evolved lie within the field of gabbro composition, observed liquids in the Yasinski intrusive complex. These observations 🐲 suggest that the gabbros produced in the intrusive complex" may represent the spectrum of parental liquids for some of the lava suites of the James Bay greenstone belts. The different lava suites may all have been derived from similar parental magmas which embarked on Fe-depletion trends at differing points during their compositional evolution.

3.7 Conclusion

An ultramafic sill of cumulate olivine and chromite is exposed at the base of the Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt. It is underlain by a system of crosscutting gabbroic dykes and overlain by a suite of mafic lavas. From a crystal fractionation point of view, the lavas and gabbros appear to have evolved along very different liquid lines of descent. The gabbros display an iron enrichment trend typical of tholeiitic suites while the lavas display an iron depletion trend. Fractional crystallization models lead to a satisfactory solution for the gabbros, but can not reproduce the observed lava trends (Fig. 3). The best fit for the latter is obtained by a model involving the equilibrium crystallization of olivine alone or with an opaque followed by a single step batch fractionation of these phases. The 🐁

likelihood of the presence of liquidus phases other than olivine cannot be discarded. However, if present, they are apparently not being extracted from the melt. The least evolved lavas, of each of the three lavas suites examined, fall in the gabbroic compositional field (Fig. 4) This suggests a genetic relationship between the lavas suites and the gabbros observed beneath the cumulate pile. The gabbros are thought to have evolved by fractional crystallization during their ascent and emplacement in sill reservoirs at high crustal levels. These magmas then evolved to produce the Fe-depletion trends observed in the lavas compositions. SThe factor(s) which control the transition from a trend of iron enrichment to one of iron depletion during magma evolution are poorly understood at present. They may involve an increase in oxidation state with the concomittant, appearance an opaque phase on the liquidus ٥f (Osborn, 1959). Alternatively, an increase of magma viscosity and density during fractionation may reduce the efficiency with which magmas can rid themselves of crystals. Crystals would remain suspended and be more likely to equilibrate with their host before segregation by a process such as magma filter pressing. We are presently investigating these possibilities. by comparing the compositions of lavas suites on different Fe-depletion trends from the James Bay greenstone belts. Augura 2 Augura

29

20 4

4

()

500

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND STATEMENT OF THE GOALS OF THE SECOND PUBLICATION

publication documented the existence The preceding of an apparent correlation between compositions of extrusive rocks of the La Grande and Eastmain greenstone belts and the compositional spectrum of the Lac Yasinski intrusion. The gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion define a compositional trend of increasing. Fe with decreasing Mg. The volcanic patterns of the James Bay greenstone belts, however, define a series of iron depletion trends which appear to originate at different positions along the compositional spectrum of the Yasinski gabbros. This suggested that the more primitive lavas which overlap the spectrum of gabbro compositions were the extrusive equivalents to the gabbros of the Lac Yasinski The factor(s) which controlled the transition intrusion. iron enrichment trend of the intrusive gabbros to from the the iron depletion displayed by the lavas, however, was poorly understood. Fractional crystallization models reproduced the chemical variation observed in the gabbros, but were not succesfull in generating the iron depletion trends observed in the lavas. In addition, it was unclear whether the magmas of the lavas had evolved along apparent iron depletion trends (Fig. 5 path a) or whether these trends represented the locus of a series of liquids which had overshot the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic represented by the spectrum of gabbroic (Fig. 5 path b) compositions.



field observations and analyses of New samples acquired during the summer of 1984 indicated the existance of three distinctive lava populations in the volcanic succession Yasinski greenstone belt based of the Lac the on concentrations of Ti and Fe. The earliest lavas' contained intermediate values of Ti and Fe and were succeeded upwards voluminous lavas with more primitive compositions low by in The fact that these more primitive lavas were and Ti. Fe richer in Si than their more evolved predecessors suggested a mechanism involving a combination of high and low pressure crystal fractionation which would explain the differences between the compositional spectrum of the gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion and the spectra of lava compositions. The presents following paper this model and examines its implications for the origin of iron enrichment and irondepletion trends in the magmas in the La Grande greenstone belt.

PETROCHEMISTRY OF AN ARCHEAN NAGNA CHAMBER AND ITS Relation - To - Nodels of Basalt Evolution.

Benoit Rivard and Don Francis Department of Geological Sciences, McGill' University 3450 University St, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2A7

5.1 Abstract 🕔

5

been proposed that shallow-level intrusions It has represent the dominant site of the fractionation history which is recorded in the chemical stratigraphy of Archean The relict of such a low pressure magma chamber is lavas. along the basement-supracrustal contact of the Lac. preserved Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt. The intrusion is associated with a gabbro dyke swarm in the underlying granitoid basement and appears to constitute part of the feeder system to the overlying vo(lcanic succession. Its core is comprised of an olivine cumulate peridotite with. chromite banding and its borders are lined by massive coarsegrained gabbros which appear to predate the peridotite. Thevolcanic succession of the overlying greenstone belt is dominated by massive and pillowed aphyric basalts to basaltic andesites that have been metamorphosed to mi/d-greenschist. These basalts are subdivided into three groups : a facies. lower unit characterized by intermediate Ti values and 10w Si abundances relative to a voluminous middle unit of more primitive basalts which are low in Fe and Ti, and an upper unit displaying very high Ti values. The fine-grained gabbros of the intrusion and associated dyke swarm follow an Feenrichment differentiation trend which can be modelled by the fractional crystallization of plagioclase, clinopyroxene,

and olivine (48:32:20) and lie along a low pressure cotectic which approximates that determined experimentally by Spulber and Rutherford (1983). This model, however, can not account for both the spread in Si and the lack of fe-enrichment observed in the overlying lavas. The chemical variations of these basalts. best reconciled bу polybaric are a fractionation model involving komatiitic parental magmas. According to this model, the volcanic stratigraphy of the Lac Yasinski segment reflects decreasing extents of high pressure y fractionation of these komatiitic parental magmas with time. the early stages of volcanism, magmas experienced. In prolonged residence in subcrustal magma reservoirs where the fractionation of olivine and orthopyroxene produced residual liquids which were saturated in pyroxene and plagioclase at 1 ow pressures. These liquids gabbroic underwent ^{\$%}fractionation at low pressures before erupting as the early intermediate-Ti lavas. The coarse grained gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion represent the cumulate produced by this S fractionation process. The later low-Ti lavas are derived from magmas which experienced lesser extents of high pressure fractionation, but underwent extensive olivine fractionation as they rose to the surface, producing the olivine cumulates which dominate the core of the Lac Yasinski intrusion. The absence of the development of a Fe-enrichment trend in the Yasinski lavas appears to reflect a systematic overshooting of the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic as defined by the gabbros of the intrusion. The only magmas ,which evolved

towards Fe-poor compositions are gabbroic dykes which show macroscopic evidence of the assimilation of the tonalitic basement.

5.2 Introduction

:,5

a

Archean greenstone beilts preserve detailed records hof Earth's early volcanic history. The existence of the both iron-enrichment ('tholeiitic') and iron depletion ('calcalkaline') differentiation "trends in Archean volcanic suites is well documented (Jolly 1975, Sun and Nesbitt 1978, Gélinas 1984) and studies of the geochemistry of the Abitibi greenstone belt demonstrate that there is a continuous spectrum of differentiation trends between these two endmembers (Jolly 1977). Jolly has observed that the: most strongly Fe-enriched lavas occur near the base of the stratigraphy [whereas the volume of Fe-depleted lavas increases up section. He has suggested that the compositional variations of the Abitibi lavas was primarily controlled by 5 crystal fractionation at low pressures in layered intrusive bodies found throughout the belt. An examination of such Archean intrusive" complexes may thus provide the means to establish the role of low pressure fractionation processes and enable the recognition of the effects of other processes which may have modified magma compositions prior their to emplacement at shallow devels.

This study presents the results of an investigation of a layered intrusion and its extrusive equivalents in the

" 第

western segment of the Archean La Grande-greenstone belt of northern Québec. In this region, the juxtaposition of a dyke feeder system, a layered magma chamber, and an overlying volcanic succession offers a unique opportunity to study the processes controlling basaltic magmatism in the La Grande greenstone belt. The documentation of these magmatic processes may improve our understanding of the origin of Feenrichment and Fe-depletion trends in Archean volcanics and provide constraints for the origin of the temporal transition from 'tholeitiic' to 'calcalkaline' evolutionary trends which is observed in greenstone belts in general.

5.3 Regional setting

()

¥.

i, in

5.8.₁.

The La Grande greenstone beit is located in the James Bay Territory of Québec at 53° 30'N, between 73° 50' and 78° QO'W (Fig. 6). The area was mapped at a scale of 1/100,000 during the late 1970's by the Ministère d'Energie et des Ressources du Québec (Sharma, 1977). A series of more recent maps was produced at a scale of 1/20,000 by the SES group, a consortium of Séru Nucléaire, Eldorado Nucléaire and the Société de Développement de la Baie James (SDBJ).

The La Grande greenstone belt is discontinuous and consists of three isolated segments running approximately east-west along the La Grande reservoir system; the eastern Lac Guyer-segment, the central LG-3 segment, and the western Lac Yasinski segment. The metamorphic grade shows an overall increase eastwardly along the belt from greenschist facies in





(in the second

5

5

T.

Sin a

۲<u>،</u> ۲

÷

37

ν,

La

\$

the Lac Yasinski segment to amphibolite factes in the LG-4 area. Previous petrological studies in the belt include work St.-Seymour (1982), St-Seymour et al. (1983) and Liu (1984) in the eastern Lac Guyer segment and by Skulski et al. (1984) and Skulski (1985) in the central LG+3, segment. the eastern Lac Guyer segment's the volcanic succession begins with acidic flows, pyroclastics and sediments intercalated basalts which increase in abundance up section. (Mg/Mg+Fe) of the basalts increases The Mg #. with stratigraphic height towards an overlying succession of komatiitic lavas. This succession comprises a sequence of pillowed pyroxenitic komatiite flows which separates two sequences of peridotitic komatilites. The upper-most part of the preserved stratigraphy consists of a second basalt succession which overlies the komatilites. The close, spatial relationship of the Lac Guyer basalts and komatilites suggests that they are genetically related and led StySeymour et al. (1983) to propose that members of the komatiitic suite may have served as parental magmas for the basalts.

In the LG-3 segment of the La Grande belt, the lowest levels of the stratigraphy consist predominantly of, a sequence of volcaniclastics and metasediments overlain by a thick succession of submarine basalts with low Mg# and minor basaltic andesites (Skulski et al. 1984). These rocks are overlain by coarse clastics which are in turn overlain by a sequence of basaltic andesites whose evolution is characterized by an iron depletion trend and lower, absolute

Ti and Fe contents compared to the basalts of the underlying succession. Skulski (1985) has proposed that these basaltic andesites were the product of the assimilation of a rhyolite contaminant by a komatiitic magma.

In the western Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande belt. two major phases of deformation have exposed a 4-5 km thick section consisting of a granitoid basement overlain by volcano-sedimentary succession which is comprised of clastic and volcanoclastic sediments, pyroclastic deposits and pillowed basalts (Fig. 6). In the area of the intrusion, the basement frocks are tonalites characterized by e'qual proportions of coarse grained plagioclase and quartz. The base of the volcano-sedimentary sequence is composed of clastic sediments dominated by quartzites and quartz-rich Local conglomerates contain angular areywackes. clasts similar in appearance to the underlying tonalitic basement rocks suggesting an unconformable relationship between the tonalite basement and the greenstone. This conclusion is supported by the absence of xenoliths of greenstone in the tonalites. in this area or any sign of a contact metamorphic aureole in the greenstone adjacent to the tonalite. - The upper parts of the greenstone belt stratigraphy are dominated mafic pillowed and massive lavas with bу associated volcaniclastic-sediments and minor iron formations. Regional metamorphism in this part of the belt falls in the midgreenschist facies, but grades up to amphibolite facies in the proximity of late granite plutons which intrude the

39 '

areenstone.

is is

f The intrusion

The intrusion lies along the basement-greenstone 5,27, 6 contact in the mose of a second phase syncline at the eastern end of the Lac Yasinski greenstone segment. It 15 interpreted; to have had a shape approximating that of a 1 km hick sill which intruded upwards, into the volcanosedimentary succession (Fig. 7) The contact between the intruston and the base of the volcano-sedimentary succession is marked by prominant metamorphic effects which include grainsize coarsening of mafic tuffaceous rocks and the development of a blue tint in the quartz of the adjacent aclastic sedimentary rocks. These metamorphic features define 2-30 m wide aureole which is irregularly distributed about Massive %gabbros and layered peridotite the intrusion. comprise most of the volume of the complex. The peridotites, dominate the core of the intrusion and are completely serpentinized, consisting of an assemblage of serpentine, tremolitie, zoisite, chlorite, magnetite, talc and calcite The transformer alogy has been obliterated, (Fig. 7). but pseudomorphs (0.5mm-3mm) displaying mesh and .rare hourglass textures (Wicks, 1977) preserve the equant and oval shapes of magmatic olivine grains. The olivine pseudomorphs are commonly surrounded by tremolite crystals which display a common extinction and appear to replace poikilitic pyroxene. Layering. is defined by the presence of chromite-rich bands (>95% modal chromite) which alternate with horizons rich Min





(_)

Υ,

olivine pseudomorphs (<3% modal chromite). These bands are restricted to the upper half of the peridotite pile and display an average thickness of 6 to 8 mm. The thickest chromitite layers (20 cm) are found at the top of the pile. near the gabbro-peridotite contact. The large percentage of the rock volume (up to 85 modal %) occupied by the olivine pseudomorphs in addition to the regularity of the __chromite. banding leaves little doubt that the peridotite represents an olivine-chromite cumulate with post-cumulus clinopyroxene. The present metamorphic mineral assemblage of this ultramafic cumulate pile and the style of folding in the chromitite bands indicates that the intrusion has undergone the same folding and metamorphic history as the overlying volcanics of the greenstone belt (Fig. 7).

Gabbro occurs as lenses along both the top and bottom contacts of the intrusion, but predominates along the roof. decrease in grain size was observed at the contact No between gabbro and peridotite. The gabbros display a bimodal medium- to coarse-grained consisting ...of mineralogy plagioclases and actinolite in sub-equal proportions (plagioclase:actinolite, 40:60 to 50:50). The subhedral and equigranular nature of plagioclase and actinolite suggest that plagioclase and pyroxene crystallized simultaneously. The coarseness of these gabbros implies that they may have a cumulate origin. PlagiocTase is commonly saussuritized and replaced by epidote and calcite with quartz and chlorite present as minor constituents. Exsolution lamellae

symetrically disposed about central twin plane are occasionally observed in actinolite crystals. Such texture suggests the replacement of inverted pigeonite and would imply the former presence of two pyroxenes in the coarsegrain gabbros. The local occurrence of intrusive breccias within the gabbros of the intrusion may indicate that multiple pulses of gabbroic magma were involved in the history of the intrusion.

Numerous gabbro dykes with fine-grained 'chilled' margins crosscut both the tonalitic basement underlying the intrusion and the metasedimentary rocks adjacent to the intrusion. These gabbroic dykes are petrographically similar to the gabbros of the intrusion and the decrease in density and proportion of, these dykes away from the main intrusion indicates that the intrusion and the dykes are comagmatic. The fine grained nature of these dyke rocks suggest that they approximate frozen liquids. Larger gabbro dykes (up to 80 m) with high Mg# typically crosscut smaller dykes (= 5 m) with lower Mg# suggesting that the gabbroic magma may have become progressively less evolved and more voluminous? with time.

Although a few gabbro dykes intrude the peridotite, none could be traced across the entire intrusion. Such dykes display symmetric borders (0.2 to 1 m) against the peridotites which are rich in acicular tremolite. The amphibole crystals appear to replace clinopyroxene, which

¥₽

persists locally as relict cores. Domains rich in olivine pseudomorphs (2-5 mm) are also observed locally. This border unit appears to represent a clinopyroxenite produced by reaction between a late gabbroic liquid and the cumulate olivine of the peridotite.

Some dykes cutting the basement contain abundant xenoliths of the host tonalities. Although many of these fragments display sharp contacts with their gabbroic matrix, others appear to have been partially resorbed and are commonly associated with the presence of megacrysts and glomerocrysts of plagioclase. Pegmatitic gabbros which are spatially related to small fragments of tonalite and greywacke in the main intrusion may represent a similar phenomenon. These xenolith-bearing dykes commonly display granophyric intergrowths of feldspar and quartz in their matrix which may reflect the assimilation of felsic material.

5.5 The volcanics

Two transects were measured across the volcanic succession of the Lac Yasinski, belt (Fig. 6). The northern transect contains the greatest outcrop exposure and thus offers the most detailed stratigraphy. Its lowest parts are occupied predominantly by quartz-rich sediments intercalated with minor iron formation, mafic tuffs and pillowed basalts. These give way to a continuous succession of pillowed basalts capped by a thin sequence of intercalated pillowed basalts and mafic tuffs. These are in turn overlain by a second

- succession of pillowed basalts which is topped by an iron formation and a tuffaceous horizon. A 180 m gap in exposure separates, this sequence from an overlying group of pillowed basalts observed at the highest levels of the stratigraphy. Only the chilled margins of pillows were sampled in order to identify phenocryst phases and to minimize the possible effects of compositional variation associated with crystal accumulation, differential alteration or metamorphic recrystallization. The majority of these basalt samples are ${}^{\prime\prime}$ exception aphyric with the of. two which contain glomeroporphyritic plagioclase pseudomorphs. The lavas `are ; dominated by a fine-grained matrix composed of plagioclase and actinolite replacing pyroxene. Plagfoclase is in most cases saussuritized and typically minor amounts of chlorite, epidote, apatite and pyrite are present.

5.6 Geochemistry

<u>~</u>,,

()

()

The peridotites are rich in Mg and extend towards an olivine composition line in Mg.Fe space (Fig. 8a) (Table 3). Because olivine is the main phase in these rocks, the range of Mg# (Mg/Mg + Fe, cation units) of the bulk rocks gives a minimum estimate of cumulus olivine compositions (Fig. 8a). which appear to have ranged between Fo 81 and Fo 88. The, spread of the peridotites from the olivine line (Fig. 8a) towards lower Mg values in pant reflects the increasing proportion of post-cumulus interstitial pynoxene and the presence of chromite in the peridotites. The clinopyroxenite reaction borders contain lower Ng and Fe but higher Ca and Al

Fig. 8: a and b Mg versus Ti and total Fe (cation units) for the Lac Yasinski intrusive rocks. Peridotites, solid triangles; pyroxenites, open triangles; coarse-grained gabbros, solid circles; fine-grained gabbros, open circles; tonalites+ greywackes, open hexagons. Fig. 8.c and d Mg versus Ti and total Fe (cation units) for the Lac Yasinski extrusive rocks. High-Ti basalts, solid squares; intermediate-Ti basalts, half-filled squares; low-Ti basalts, open squares; contaminated gabbros, solid stars. The arrow marks the low pressure fractionation path followed by a primitive Morb basalt as determined from melting experiments (Spulber and Rutherford 1983)



46

()

Table 3. Bulk XRF compositions of the Lac Yasinski intrusive rocks

(_)`

Major and trace elements X-Ray fluorescence analysis were performed at McGill University, Montréal with, a Phillips PW 1400. All elements with the exception of Zr and Y were determined from fused discs and corrected for mass absorption using an ∞ coefficient technique (Ahmedali 1983). Total Fe is calculated as Fe2+. The analytical precisions (one standard deviation, weight percent) as calculated from 20 replicate analyses of one sample are: Si 0.05, Ti 0.003, Al 0.03, Mg 0.058, Fe 0.01, Mn 0.001, Ca 0.01, Na 0.06, K 0.001, P 0.004. Zr and Y were analysed from pressed pellets on which the detection limit is 5ppm. KB Compton scatter from the rhodium X-Ray tube was used to correct for mass absorption for these elements. The absolute precision is less than 5%.

	Contesinated gabbros		Fine-grained gabbros		ed ,	Coarse-grained gabbros			Peridatites	
	84087	84078	83131	83084	84001	84146	84035	84128	84311	84027
\$102	58.25	39.40	47.81	48.81	47.24	48.78	48134	50.22	41.48	40,17
T102	0.61	0.44	0.77	. 0.89	1.29	0.10	°0.25	0.30	0.12	0.10
AL203	14.30	13.89	14.75	14,97	13.55	13.62	13.25	13.21	4.39	4.53
NgO	5.82	5.84	8.34	8,30	5.51	15.34	13.07	10, 55	28.92	30.14
FeQ	8.49	6.74	10.90	11.04	15.47	7.53	7.86	-7.96	11.00	10.95
Rnū	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.21	0.24	0.14	0.17	0,18	. 0.12	0.17
CaO	4.34	5.43	11.16	10.57	9.67	9.28	7.29	14,44	1.01	2, 62
Na20	2.43	4.)02	2.44	2.20	2.42	1.28	1.45	0.87	0.10	0.12
K20	0.72	1.52	0.52	` 0.∎4´	0.34	0.14	0.94	0.21	0,00	0.00
205	0.07	0.34	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02
LOI	2.44	1.58,	0.99	1.92	1.54	3.85	3.46	1.94	10.20	10.50
Tat = 1	100.15		100 18 -		A1	100 11	100 10	100 11	20 88	88 12
Tetal Cation	100.15 unite	99.76 based o	100.15 n 100 c	97.82 Ațions	97.4 1	100.11	100.10	190,13	¥9.03	99. 32
Tetal Cation	100.15 units 55.76	99.74 based o 55.63	100.15 n 100 c 44.39	99.82 Ațions 46.19	97.41 	100.11	100.10 	47.27	77.03	99.32 39.05
Total Cation Bi Ti	100.15 unite 55.76 0.44	99.74 based o 55.43 0.45	100.15 n 100 c 44.39 0.54	99.82 4510ns 46.19 0.63	97.41 47.74 0.94	45.44	45.31	190.13 47.27 0.21	40.66	99.32 39.05 0.07
Total Cation Bi Ti Nl	100.15 units 55.74 0.44 14.13	99.74 based o 55.43 0.45 15.33	100.15 n 100 c 46.39 0.54 14.19	99.82 46.19 0.43 14.70	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48	45.44 0.07 13.02	45.31 0.18 14.83	100.13 47.27 0.21 14.65	40.44 0.07 5.07	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19
Total Cation Bi Ti Nl	100.15 units 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30	99.76 based o 55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15	100.15 100.c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58	99.82 46.19 0.63 16.70 11.71	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40	45.31 0.18 16.83 18.26	190.13 47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80	40.44 0.07 5.07 42.25	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67
Total Cation Si Ti Al Ng Fe	100.15 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 4.80	99.76 based o 55.43 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 8.49	99.82 46.19 0.43 16.70 11.71 8.75	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.70	45.31 0.18 16.85 18.26 6.15	47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67 8.90
Total Cation Si Ti Al Ng Fe	100.15 units 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 6.80 0.15	99.76 based o 55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 8.49 0.14	99.82 44.19 0.43 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54 0.21	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90 0.13	45.31 0.18 16.83 18.26 6.15 0.13	47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27 0.14	40.44 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0,10	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67 8.90 0.14
Total Cation Bi Ti Al Hg Fe Hn Ca	100.15 units 35.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 4.80 0.15 8.52	99.76 based o 53.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 8.49 0.14 ,11.14	99.82 44.19 0.43 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17 10.72	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90 0.13 9.31	45.31 0.18 16.85 18.24 6.15 0.13 9.33	47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.70	40.46 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2.73
Total Cation Bi Ti Al Hg Fe Hn Ca Na	100.15 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 6.80 6.15 4.52 4.88	99.76 based o 53.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30	100.13 n 100 c 46.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 6.49 0.16 11.14 4.80	99.82 46.19 0.63 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17 10.72 4.04	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90 0.13 9.31 2.32	45.31 0.18 16.85 18.24 6.15 0.13 9.33 2.64	47.27 0.21 14.63 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.70 1.59	77.03 40.66 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.47 8.90 0.14 2.73 0.23
Total Cation Bi Ti Al Hg Fe Hn Ca Na K	100.15 55.76 0.44 14.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 4.52 4.88 0.75	99.74 based o 55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.47 7.30 1.82	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 6.49 0.14 11.14 4.80 0.42	99.82 46.19 0.43 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17 10.72 4.04 1.01	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55 0.45	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90 0.13 9.31 2.32 0.19	45.31 0.18 16.85 18.26 0.13 9.33 2.64 1.12	47.27 0.21 14.63 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.70 1.59 0.25	40.66 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.00	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.00
Total Gation Bi Tì Al Hg Fe Hn Ga Na K	100.15 55.76 0.44 14.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.75 0.04	99.76 based c 55.63 0.45 15.33 0.15 5.28 0.11 5.47 7.30 1.82 0.27	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 8.49 0.16 11.14 4.80 0.42 0.04	97.82 46.19 0.43 14.70 1.71 8.75 0.17 10.72 4.04 1.01 0.04	97.41 47.74 0.94 13.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55 0.45 0.08	45.44 0.07 13.02 21.40 5.90 0.13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01	45.31 0.18 16.05 18.26 4.16 0.13 7.33 2.64 1.12 0.02	47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 2.27 0.14 14.70 1.59 0.25 0.02	40.66 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.00 0.01	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.00 5.0.02
Total Gation Bi Ti Al Hg Fe Hn Ca K K Fe Ha K	100.15 units 55.74 0,44 14.13 8.30 4.85 0.15 4.85 4.95 0.04	99.74 based o 53.43 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.47 7.30 1.82 0.27 0.407	100.13 A 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 6.49 0.49 0.62 0.04 0.577	99.82 46.19 0.43 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17 10.72 4.04 1.01 0.04 0.572	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.94 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55 0.45 0.08	45.44 0.07 13.02 21.40 0.13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01	45.31 0.18 14.85 18.24 6.13 7.33 2.64 1.12 0.02	190.13 47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.70 1.59 0.25 0.02	40.66 0.07 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.01 0.01 0.01	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.47 90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.00 0.02 0.02 0.83
Total Gation Bi Ti Al Hg Fa Hn Ca K K Fa Ha K K Fa Ha Ga	100.15 units 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 4.80 0.15 4.52 4.80 0.95 0.04 0.530 241	99.76 based o 53.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27 0.607 408	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 5.49 0.16 11.14 4.80 0.62 0.04 0.577	97.82 4\$1 ons 44.19 0.43 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.172 4.04 1.01 0.04 0.572	97.41 47.74 0.94 13.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55 0.45 0.08 0.388 64	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 0.13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01	45. 31 0. 19 16. 85 18. 26 6. 13 7. 33 2. 64 1. 12 0. 02 0. 748 244	190.13 47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.70 1.59 0.25 0.02 0.702	40.46 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.00 0.01 0.813	99.32 39.05 0.07 5.19 43.47 8.90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.00 20.02 0.83
Total Cation Ti Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Mg Ka Sa Ir	100.15 units 55.74 0.44 14.13 8.30 4.80 0.15 4.80 0.15 4.95 0.04 0.530 241 85	99.76 based o 55.63 0.45 15.33 0.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27 0.407 408 134	100.13 n 100 c 44.39 0.54 14.19 11.58 5.49 0.16 11.14 4.80 0.62 0.04 0.577 45	99.82 45.10ns 46.19 0.63 14.70 11.71 8.75 0.17 10.72 4.01 0.04	97.41 47.74 0.94 15.48 7.96 12.54 0.21 10.04 4.55 0.45 0.08 0.388 64 95	45.44 0.07 15.02 21.40 0.13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01 0.784	45.31 0.18 14.65 18.24 4.16 0.13 7.33 2.64 1.12 0.02 0.748 244 42	190.13 47.27 0.21 14.65 14.80 6.27 0.14 14.78 1.59 0.25 0.02 0.702 4	40.46 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.00 0.01 0.813	99.32 \$9.05 0.07 5.19 43.47 B.90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.00 2.0.02 0. B 3

氧- }

'2

[\]47

contents than the peridotites. In Mg-Fe space (Fig. 8a) they lie at Mg values intermediate between those of the peridotites and the Mg rich gabbros.

- 14 1

٦٢,

The gabbros as a whole define a pronounced Fe and Ti enrichment trend with decreasing Mg (Fig. 8a, 8) (Table 3). The majority of the coarse-grained gabbros plot at the high Mg end of the spectrum while the fine-grained gabbros dominate the low Mg end. In Al-Si space the gabbroic population displays a slight overall decrease in Al with rising Si (Fig. 9a). Incompatible elements such as Zr, Y, and 'Nb wary by a factor of approximately 1.7 across the spectrum of fine-grained gabbroic compositions. A small number of the fine-grained gabbros lie within the field of the coarsegrained gabbros (Fig. 8a) and are characterized by low values . 🎊 of Fe and Ti with respect to the majority of the fine-grained gabbros. Despite their low content in Fe and Ti these gabbros display low Al values and span a broad range of Si contents (46-50 cation %). The gabbros which contain felsic material differ from the main gabbro trend. They are commonly enriched in Si but depleted in Fe when compared to other gabbros at similar Mg# and they depart from the main gabbro spectrum towards the compositions of tonalite or sediment (Fig. 10a, b). These gabbros are enriched in Zr (Fig. 10c) / with respect to gabbros without felsic inclusions.

The mafic volcanic rocks of this study range from $\sqrt{2}$ basalt (SiO₂ < 52 wt %) to basaltic andesite (SiO₂ < 57 wt %)

Fig. 9: A1 versus Si (cation units) for the Lac Yaşinski gabbros (a) and basalts (b). Symbols as in Fig. 8a and b. The arrow indicated the fractional crystallization path (20% ol, -32% cpx, 48% plag) calculated for the fine-grained gabbros. Fractional crystallization was modelled using a finitedifference computer technique similar to that of Nathan and Van Kirk (1978) with modifications similar to those of Cox The fractional crystallization model consisted of (1980). repeated calculation of the instantaneous equilibrium the compositions of crystals coexisting with the liquid. Each involved the removal of a quantity of these phases 🔅 step equivalent to 0.01 cation per cent of the initial parent, and the recalculation of the residual liquid to 100 per cent. The compositions were calculated from algorithms given by phase and Davis (1978) and Ford et al. (1983) for olivine, Hart Morse (1982) for plagioclase and Gamble and Taylor (1980) for The equilibrium temperature compiled for clinopyroxane. olivine at each step was used to define the Fe/Mg ratio of the clinopyroxene.

R

0 2013

54

1

. Ì,

Í ť

16

ŧ.

A

16

46

Si

50

A



(Jolly 1975, Gélinas et al. 1984) in composition. The measured volcanic succession is comprised of three populations in terms of Ti content (Fig. 8d). The earliest lavas have intermediate Ti values (0.7 cation %) and are stratigraphically overlain by later lavas of lower Ti content (0.45 cation %) (Table 4). At the top of the stratigraphy, the four samples collected from the youngest flows are characterized by the highest Ti contents (0.98 cation %).

()

1. d

51

Ų,

ς. <u>ε</u>.

35.2

i.

The intermediate-Ti lavas span a range of Si contents s similar to that of the fine-grained gabbros. The low-Ti lavas span a even greater range of Si contents and are dn the average more siliceous than the intermediate-Ti lavas. T'he high-Ti lavas appear to be anomalously poor in Al compared to the 'other lavas. There is considerable overlap between the compositions of the lavas and the gabbros (Fig. 8c and d) and both have generally similar Zr, Y, Nb, Ba and Rb abundances at similar Mg number. However, whereas the gabbros define an overall trend of increasing Fe, Ti and Zr with decreasing Mg, no such tendency is apparent within the lavas of In fact some of the intermediate-Ti lavas 🗄 given Ti group. appear to define a trend of Fe-depletion with decreasing Mg. The lavas in question are displaced towards higher Al and Si contents than the majority of the intermediate Tf lavas. Despite the absence of an Fe-enrichment trend, however, the layas display a range in Si comparable to or exceeding that observed in the fine-grained gabbros.

ί., ·

All samples were taken from the chilled margins of pillows.

	4	Low-T1	lavas	Interèsdiate-Ti			14vas
	84163-	20012	20013	83151	20002	20006	2001
\$102	51.70	50.21	51.44	55.79	49.76	50.23	50.4
TIOZ	0.71	0.63	0.68	0.48	0.75	0.97	- 1.9
A1203	14.TL	14.96	16.41	13.39	15.30	15.13	-96.4
NgQ _	8.29	·	5.42	5.44	7.05	5.12	4.4
Feū	9.07	10.22	. 8.50	10.17	11.57	7.41	7.0
Nn0	0:21	° °,2 0 '	0.10	0.25	0.18	0.18	0.1
CaO	10.73	12.34	13.55	10.82	11.57	13.41	12.0
Na20	3.05	1.86	1.74	1.72	1.74	2.11	.2.5
K20 -	0.18.	0127	9.45	0.20		.0.1Z	<u> </u>
P205	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.07	~ 0.04	0.0
LOI	0.70	0.97	1.07		, 0.74	2.03	2.4
Total.	99.57	77.88	99.79	100.31	99. 30	99.79	100.1
Cation	units	based of	n 100 ć	ations			
11	48.04	47.18	48.70	53.34	47.30	48,64	48,4
TI~	0.50	0.45	0.48	Q.49	0.40	: 0.71	0.7
A1	14.34	16.57	18.31	15.09	17.14	37,27	18.5
Mg.	11.47	11.46	7.45	_ ₹ ∎07 ´	7.97	7.39	Č 6.6
FB	7.05	.03	- 4.80	8,13	9.21	7.78	7.2
Ma	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.20	0.14	0.15	0.14
Ca	10.67	12:42	13.74	,11.07	11.80	13.91	13.1
Na	5.50	3.39	3.40	3.17	3.58	3.76	4,80
K	.0.18	0.32	0.54	0.24	0.10	0.15	0.2
P	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.0
 Ng t	0.420	0.588	0.529	0.478	0.5Ž0	0.487	0.47
li 🔬	27	54	52		1	27	65
•	48	10 C	42	`40	78 '	80	78
4r 🔬						••	

€.

ţ

i i i

Discussion Chemical variations observed in Archean rocks may be a function of both primary compositional variation and secondary processes such as low-temperature alterat burial and regional metamorphism and local metasomatism. It is difficult to assess rigorously the extent to which such. secondary processes have modified magmatic compositional relationships. There is general agreement that large-lignlithophile eleménts such as Na, K, Rb and Ba may be mobilized in metamorphic terrains (Hart 1969, Hart et al. 1970). As a consequence, classifications based on normative mineralogy must be dealt with caution and little weight can be given to these elements during modeling. There are conflicting results with respect to the mobility of elements such Ca and Al. In some greenstone localities they are reported to be enriched (Condie et al. 1977) and in others depleted (Jolly and Smith 1972) in comparison to expected magmatic values. In the Yasinski rocks Ca and Sr appear to display a positive correlation with volatile content suggesting these elements have been remobilized. On the other hand, elements with high field strength such as Ti, Zr and Y are claimed by@many authors to be relatively immobile during greenschist metamorphism (Pearce and Cann 1973, Coish 1977, Ludden et al. 1982). In the Yasinski rocks, no correlation is observed between volatile content and these elements. The same observation is true for Mg, Fe and Si. These elements do not appear to have been strongly remobilized and thus may be used

5.7

to study the magmatic processes, responsible for the Yasinski

rocks.

". Ę

と書

хŸ_N

The compositional field of the Lac Yasinski gabbros coincides with the spectrum of liquid compositions produced in Tow pressure melting experiments on a primitive MORB basalt (Spulber and Rutherford (1983) , suggesting that they lie along a low pressure cotectic (Fig. 8c and d) involving plagioclase and pyroxene. The fine-grained gabbros may approximate liquid compositions, while the coarse-grained gabbros emust in part represent cumulate compositions. A emixing program (Wright and Doherty 1970) was used to test whether the Fe-rich gabbros could have been derived by crystal, fractionation from a parent representing an average of the Mg-rich, fine-grained gabbros adjacent to the field of the coarse-grained gabbros. The most successful models removal ¹involved the of an assemblage composed of' plagioclase, clinopyroxene and olivine (in proportions of 48%, 32% and 20% respectively). Over 50 % crystallization is requiréd to produce the entire spectrum of fine-gabbro compositions to its most irongrich members. There was a good agreement between" the amount of fractionation predicted by such models and that estimated on the basis of the variation highly incompatible elements such as Zr. of

Most of the mafic lavas of the Lac Yasinski belt cluster with the gappros of the intrusion along a trend of slightly decreasing At with increasing Si (Fig. 9a, b), a •

characteristic of magmas featur'ë along plagioclase a saturation surface. However, the spread in Si of the low-Ti lavas cannot be reproduced by gabbroic crystallization models involving plagioclase, clinopyroxene, and olivine. The extent of fractionation required by such models to account for the Si variation produces a sharp rise in Ti and Fe which 15 not observed in the low-Ti lavas. The crystallization of reasonable amounts of chromite and the calculation of a portion of Fe as Fe₂O₃ reduces the discrepancy, but are still incapable of preventing the buildup of Fe. Similar problems are encountered when trying to model the compositional variation within the intermediate-Ti lavas. The situation becomes worse when gabbroic fractionation models are used to trv to * derive the intermediate-Ti lavas from the more primitive low-Ti lavas because the increase in Si which such models produce is inconsistent with the lower Si content of the intermediate-Ti lavas with respect to the low-Ti lavas.

There is no evidence to suggest that alteration is responsible for the Si difference observed between these two stratigraphically and chemically distinct lava suites of Lac Yasinski. The presence of tonalitic xenoliths in some gabbroic dykes indicates the possible fole of contamination in producing Si enrichment. These xenolith-bearing gabbros display a marked increase in Si, Ba/Zr, and Zr/Y ratios and decrease in Fe with respect to other gabbros of similar Mg# (Fig. 10a, b) (Table 3) which can be attributed to the

55 ي

assimilation of tonalite. Although some low-Ti Yasinski lavas have similar Ti, Fe and Mg contents to the contaminated gabbros (Fig. 8c and d), they have distinctly lower Zr, Rb and Ba contents (Fig. 10c) (Table 4). These chemical features suggest that the high Si content of the low-Ti lavas is not the result of contamination and indicates 'that the difference in silica between low-Ti and intermediate-Ti lavas reflects a fundamental difference in their fractionation histories which precludes deriving one from the other.

Although no lavas more primitive than basalts are observed at Lac Yasinski, 'the olivine dominated' cumulate of the layered intrusion implies the existence of more primitive magmass' Primitive komatilitic lavas have erupted with basalts in the eastern Lac Guyer segment of the La Grande belt (Fig. 6), St-Seymour et al. (1983) have proposed that the basalts of the Lac Guyer area were derived by crystal fractionation in subcrustal magma chambers from parental magmas which were compositionaly equivalent to the associated komatilitic lavas. As the basalts of the Lac Guyer segment fall along the compositional spectrum of the Yasinski gabbros and cluster. with those of the Lac Yasinski lavas, the komatilites and basalts of Lac Guyer may also komatiitic provide compositional analogues of the parental magmas to the lavas of the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt. At Lac Guyer, the compositional field of basaltic lavas is that of the komatilites by that of less abundant linked to Komatilitic or picritic basalts. The distribution of these

lavas in Al-Si space" (Fig. 11a) suggests that they were fractionating along an oliving-pyroxene cotectic (Seymour and) The fact that Ca does not decrease in these 1986). Francis lavas indicates the pyroxene was predominantly, orthopyroxene. The best models for this trend require more than 50% fractionation of an assemblage composed of orthopyroxene and olivine (57 and 43% respectively Fig. 11b path a) for komatiitic parental magmas to evolve to compositions similar to those of the basalts. A pressure on the order of 10kb would be required to eliminate the olivine+orthopyroxene reaction relationship (Takahashi and Kushiro 1983) to enable such a model to work. In support of such a model, the Lac Guyer komatiitic basalts define a path of constant normative quartz in an Ol+Qtz+Plag liquidus. projection (Fig. 12) which follows the experimentally determined positions of the olivine+orthopyroxene cotectic between 10 and 25 Kb (Elthon et al. 1984).

If the Lac Yasinski basalts and gabbros were derived from komatiitic parental magmas undergoing a similar fractionation history, then a mechanism presents itself for explaining the behaviour of Si in the Lac Yasinski lavas. The primary phase volume of olivine expands with decreasing pressure (O'Hara 1968) and in Al-Si space (Fig. 11a) the position of the olivine+orthopyroxene cotectic would shift towards higher Si values. The low-Ti lavas of the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt may have evolved from residual liquids tapped at various stages of

Fig. 11: a Al versus Si (cation units) for the Lac Guyer basalt-komatiite suite. Basalts, open circles; komatiites, solid circles. b Al versus Si (cation units) for the Lac Yasinski lavas. Symbols as in Fig. 8c and d. The continuous line marks the field of the Lac Yasinski gabbros. The dashed line marks the field of the Lac Guyer basalt-komatiite suite displayed in Fig.11a. The arrows indicate fractional crystalization paths calculated with the following phase assemblages: arrow a= 57% opx, 43% of; arrow b-b'' = oliv; arrow c-c''' = .60% cpx, 40% plag.



Fig. 12: Olivine-silica-plagioclase isomolar liquidus projection for the Lac Guyer basalt-komatiite suite. Symbols as in Fig. 8. The experimentally determined cotectic boundwries are taken from Elthon et al. 1984. 1=latm, 2=lOKb, 3=20Kb, 4=25Kb.

PLAG

SILICA

OLIVINE

2

ŝ

ΰ,

pressure fractionation along an olivine+orthopyroxene hiah cotectic. As these magmas rose to the surface, the expansion the olivine liquidus volume would have caused them of to supersaturated with respect to olivine and become to fractionate along a series of olivine control lines (Fig.-11b paths b through b''). This mechanism would account for the spread in Si and Al contents of the Lac Yasinski lavas at relatively constant Ti, P; Zr and Fe. The olivine cumulate Lac Yasinski intrusion may represent the mineral of the from these picritic liquids fractionating along extract oliving control lines as they rose to the surface. A similar scenario has been proposed by Jamieson (1966, 1970) and later by Cox and Jamieson (1973) for the olivine-rich basalts of the Karroo province. The higher Al content (Fig. 9b) and lower Mg content (Fig. 8c, d) of some of aphyric low-Ti basalts with respect to the gabbros suggests that these magmas failed to nucleate plagioclase and overshot the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic defined by the spectrum of gabbro compositions (Fig. 8a). This would explain why these lavas show no signs of iron enrichment.

The lower Si content of the intermediate-Ti basalts indicates that they were derived from magmas which had experienced, larger extents of high-pressure olivine+orthopyroxene fractionation than those which gave rise to the low-Ti lavas. Such residual magmas would reach Al-rich compositions which would be saturated with respect to plagioclase and pyroxene rather than olivine when they

60

٩.

Ĩ,

finally rose, to low pressures. They would thus undergo gabbroic fractionation rather than olivine' fractionation within the low pressure conduit system. In this region of Alhowever, calculations using algorithms from Morse Si space. (1982) and Gamble and Taylor (1980) indicate that for a small." decrease in Si content, the composition of the bulk gabbroic \sim cumulate changes from being less siliceous to more siliceous than that of the corresponding magma. A fan-like array of the second gabbroic fractionation paths exists in this region of Al-Si space (Fig. 11b path c-c'''). Consequently the low pressure fractionation' path of such magmas is strongly sensitive to the Si content produced by the previous history of high pressure fractionation. The spectrum of intermediate-Ti 'lawas could simply represent the locus of this array 'of gabbroic fractionation trends, while the coarse-grained 🔅 gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion would represent the extracted cumulate.

5

The high-Ti lavas exposed at the upper levels of the stratigraphy display anomalously low Al contents but have the highest Ti and Zr contents of the Lac Yasinski basalts. It is difficult to postulate a mechanism which could derive these lavas from magmas equivalent to the low or intermediate-Ti lavas. These observations are based on the analysis of four samples and alteration may be a problem.

Some of the intermediate-Ti Yasinski basalts appear to define a trend of iron depletion (Fig. 8c) in Mg-Fe space.
However. the lack of variations of the Ti and Zr along this trend and the higher Al and Si in the lavas which define it suggest that the nature of this trend was a function a f different extents of olivine+ort/hopyroxene fractionation at high pressure. Extensive degrees of fractionation at hiah pressure resulted in gabbroic fractionation at low pressure and produced basalts of relatively higher Fe content. Smaller of olivine+orthopyroxene fractionation (at dearees high pressure lead to excess olivine fractionation at low pressure which produced evolved basalts without Fe-enrichment. Α spectrum of these combined processes could produce the locus of basalt compositions which appears to define an Fedepletion trend. The gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion which contain felsic material on the other hand defines a definite trend of iron depletion in Mg-Fe space (Fig. 8c) and depart from the main gabbro spectrum towards the composition 🖱 of tonalite. This indicates that the assimilation of tonalitic contaminant was responsible for the iron depletion in these rocks. Although no lavas corresponding to the contaminated gabbros have yet been recognized in the Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt, the second cycle volcanics, described by Skulski (1985) may represent the extrusive equivalents of the contaminated gabbros.

Ā

Nº.

5.8 Conclusions

The Lac Yasinski intrusion represents a relic of a shallow level magma conduit emplaced at the base of a greenstone succession. It records the operation of the low

pressure fractionation processes which have affected the evolution of magmas in the Lac Yasinski greenstone belt. S The coarse grained gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion represent' cumulates of material extracted from the parental maqma which produced the intermediate-Ti lavas. The peridotite appears to représent the olivine cumulate extracted from more which primitive were 🖔 magmas supersaturated with olivine and yielded the low-Ti\Lac Yasinski lavas. The cross cutting relationship of related dykes suggest magmas of the conduit system became more , mafic with time. The general absence of gabbros cutting the peridotite suggests that the magmas which formed the olfvine cumulate came later than those which produced the bulk of the This agrees with dykes cumulates. the gabbro and stratigraphy of the Lac Yasinski segment of the LaxGrande greenstone belt which indicates that the intermediate-Ti extruded first followed by a voluminous basalts were outpouring of low-Ti basalts. The models developed in this paper suggest that this evolution can be understood in terms; of decreasing residence time of magmas in high pressure chambers. In the early stages of volcanism, magmas underwent extensive fractionation of olivine and orthopyroxene at high pressures which produced high Al contents at low Si. The evolved residual magmas reached compositions which were close plagioclase and clinopyroxene saturation when they to rose from depth and underwent gabbroic fractionation in shallow level conduit systems before erupting as the intermediate-Ti

11

τ,

5: 5

63

•

As the magmatic plumbing system evolved, magmas were lavas. tapped from their high pressure fractionation sites at earlier stages in their evolution and reached the shallow level conduit system supersaturated in olivine. These magmas fractionated along olivine control lines and produced the olivine cumulates of the Lac Yasinski intrusion before they erupted as the low-Ti lavas. The delayed crystallization of plagioclase in these magmas resulted in an overshooting of the plagioclase-pyroxene cotectic and the absence of an Feenrichment trend. In the eastern Lac Guyer segment of the La Grande greenstone belt, komatiitic magmas were eventually able to reach the surface having experienced essentially no high pressure crystallization (St-Seymour et al. 1983).

contaminated gabbros of The basalts and Yasinski segment illustrate different mechanisms for the generation of iron-depletion trends. The intermediate Ti basalts exhibit an apparent iron depletion trend. Their chemical pattern can be understood in terms of different extents of high pressure fractionation which determined the of the low pressure fractionating assemblage of nature the magma. Magmas which fractionated olivine at low pressure failed to nucleate placioclase display low Mg and and Fe contents. Magma evolution dominated by gabbroic fractionation low pressúre evolve towards higher Fe contents. at spectrum of basalts which have fractionated along paths between these limits will produce a locus of compositions which define an apparent Fe-depletion trend. The contaminated

gabbros of the Lac Yasinski intrusion display a real Fe depletion trend due to the assimilation of granitic crust by basaltic magma. The general tendency of Fe-depletion trends to dominate in the later stages of greenstone volcanism may reflect the increasing interaction of basaltic magma with crustal material with time.

1,78,

5 24

SUNNARY, INPLICATIONS, AND RECONNENDATIONS FOR FURTHER

1 17

÷.

3. 3

The preceeding manuscript documented the complex interplay of processes involved in the evolution of mafic) magmas in the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande 🔅 greenstone belt. In particular, the behaviour of fe in such magmas was shown to be a sensitive function of their, fractionation history. Prolonged residence of magmas at high pressure produced compositions which were saturated with plagioclase at low pressure. Extensive gabbroic fractionation of such magmas at shallow levels produced residual liquids which evolved towards Ferrich compositions. Magmas which attained crustal levels with relatively primitive compositions however were supersaturated in olivine and underwent excess olivine fractionation to produce residual magmas without a rise in Fe content. Magmas, which assimilated crustal material on their way to the surface evolved towards Fe-poor compositions. In the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt each of these divergent trends appear to have developed from a common parental magma composition in response to different fractionation histories.

A common approach in studies of Archean petrogenesis involves the use of AFM projections to discriminate volcanic suites of tholeiftic and calc-alkaline affinities. Such diagrams are commonly used to interpret Archean volcanic suites in terms of modern plate tectonic models. In figure 13 the fine-grained gabbros, lavas, and contaminated gabbros



of the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande belt are plotted inan AFM diagram. The fine-graffned gabbros and lavas fall within the tholeiite field while the contaminated gabbros plot in the field of calc-alkaline rocks. This illustrates that studies of Archean basalt petrogenesis which rely simply on AFM projections may neglect important aspects of the basalt evolution history and emphasizes that plate tectonic interpretations based on such diagrams should be viewed with caution.

The results of this thesis emphasize the value of comparing associated extrusive and intrusive rocks to the study of the processive controlling the petrogenetic The postulation that the process of evolution of lavas. contamination observed in the gabbroic dykes is responsible for Fe-depletion trends in Archean lavas could not be tested because the absence of such lavas in the study area of the Lac Yasinski segment of the La Grande greenstone belt. This hypothesis needs to be tested in a greenstone belt in which a mafic intrusive complex occurs in close spatial relationship with a succession of lavas characterized by an Fe-depletion trend, The study of such an association would improve our understanding of the development of Fe-depletion trends in the lavas of the upper portion of Archean greenstone belts.

68

Ę

- Ahmedali T (1983) XRF procedures, circular #1, Dept Geological Sciences, McGill University
- Arndt NT (1977) Ultrabasic magmas and high-degree melting of the mantle. Contr Mineral Petrol 64:205-221
- Arndt NT, Naldrett AJ, Pyke DR (1977) Komatiitic and ironrich tholeiitic lavas of Munro township, Ontario: trace element evidence. Geology 5:590-594
- Baragar WRA (1966) Geochemistry of the Yellowknife volcanic rocks, Can J Earth Sci 3:9-30
- Baragar WRA (1968) Major element geochemistry of the Noranda volcanic belt, Quebec-Ontario. Can J Earth Sci 5:773-790
- Bickle MJ, Bettenay LF, Barley LF, Chapman HJ, Groves DI, Campbell IH, De Laeter JR (1983) A 3500 Ma plutonic and volcanic calc-alkaline province in the Archean East Pilbara block. Contr Mineral Petrol 84:25-35
 - Ciesielski A, (1984) Géologie de la Grande Rivière (Chissassibi-LG3) sous province de la Baie James, Québec. Geol Surv Canada, open file Map 379
 - Coish RA (1977) Ocean floor metamorphism in the Betts Cove ophiolite, Newfoundland. Contr Mineral Petrol 80:255-70
 - Condie KC (1976) Trace-element geochemistry of Archean greenstone belts. Earth Sci Rev 12:393-417
- Condie KC Viljoen MJ and Kable EDJ (1977) Effects of alteration, on element distributions in Archean tholeiiites from the Barberton greenstone belt, South Africa. Contr Mineral Petrol 64:75-89
- Condie KC, (1981) Archean greestone belts: Elsevier, « Amsterdam, 432 p
- Cox KG, Jamieson BG (1974) The olivine-rich lavas of Nuanetsi: a study of polybaric magmatic evolution. J Petrol 15:269-301
- Com, KG (1980) A model for flood basalt volcanism. J Petrol 21:629-50

Davis Jr PA, Condie KC (1977) Trace element model studies of Nyanzian greenstone belt, western Kenya. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 40:271-277

Donaldson CH, (1975) An experimental investigation of olivine morphology. Contr Mineral Petrol 57:187-213

Eade KE, (1966) 'Fort George river and Kaniapiskau river (West-half) map-areas, New Quebec, Geol Surv Canada Memoir 339

- Elthon D, Scarfe CM (1984) High-pressure phase equilibria of a high-magnesia basalt and the genesis of primary oceanic basalts. Am Mineral 69:1-15
- Ford CE, Russell DG, Craven JA, Fisk MR (1983) Olivine-liquid equilibria: temperature, pressure and composition of the crystal/liquid cation partition coefficient for Mg, Fe2+, Ca and Mn. J Petrol 24:256-265
- Fouques JP, Schumacker F, (1979) Rapport de synthèse du permis SES, SERU Nucléaire, Eldorado Nucléaire, SDBJ, 26 p
- Gamble RP, Taylor LA (1980) Crystal/liquid partitioning in augite: effects of cooling rate. Earth Planet Sci Lett 47: 21-33
- Gélinas L (1984) Chemostratigraphic division of the Blake River group, Rouyn-Noranda area, Abitibi, Québec. Earth Planet Sci Lett 23:220-231
- Goodwin AM (1977) Archean volcanism in Superior province, Canadian shield. Geol Assoc Canada Spec Paper 16:205-241
- Hart SR (1969) K, Rb, Cs contents and K/Rb and K/Cs ratios of fresh and altered submarine basalts. Earth Planet Sci Lett 6:295-303
- Hart SR, Brooks C, Krogh TE, Davis GL and Nava D (1970) Ancient and modern volcanic rocks: a trace element model. Earth Planet Sci Lett 10:17-28
- Haft SR, Davis KE (1978) Nickel partitioning between olivine and silicate melt. Earth Planet Sci Lett 40:203-219
 - Hawkesworth CJ, O'Nions RK (1977) The petrogenesis of some Archean volcanic rocks from southern Africa. J Petrol 18:487-520
 - Irvine TN, Baragar WRA (1971) A guide to the chemical classification of the common volcanic rocks. Can J Earth Sci 8:523-548
 - Jackson ED (1961) Primary textures and mineral associations in the ultramafic zone of the Stillwater Complex of Montana. US Geol Surv Prof Paper 358, 106p
 - Jahn BM, Auvray B, Blais S, Capdevila R, Cornichet J, Vidal P, Hameurt J (1980) Trace element geochemistry and petrogenesis of Finnish greenstone belts. J Petrol 21:201-244

Jamieson BG (1966) Evidence on the evolution of basaltic magmas at elevated pressures. Nature Lond 212:243-246

- Jamieson BG (1970) Differentiation of ascending magma. In Newall G, Rast N (eds) Mechanism of igneous intrusion. Geological Journal Special Issue 2, Liverpool
- Jolly WT, Smith RE (1972) Degradation and metamorphic differentiation of the Keweenawan tholeiitic lavas of northern Michigan, USA. J Petrol 13:273-309
- Jolly WT (1975) Solubdivision of the Archean lavas of the Abitibi area, Canada, from Fe-Mg-Ni-Cr relations, Earth Planet Sci Lett 27:200-210
- Jo-lly WT (1977) Relations between Archean lavas and intrusive bodies of the Abitibi greenstone belt, Ontario-Québec. In Baragar WRA, Coleman LC, Hall JM (eds) Volcanic regimes in Canada. Geol Assoc Canada Spec Paper 16, pp. 311-330
- Jolly WT (1980) Development and degradation of Archean lavas, Abitibi area, Canada, in light of major element geochemistry. J Petrol 21:323-363
- Kuno H (1950) Petrology of Hakone volcano and the adjacent areas, Japan. Geol Soc Am Bull 61:957-1020
- Kuno H (1968) Origin of andesite and its bearing on the island arc structure. Bull Volcanol 32:141-176
- Kushiro I, Sato H (1978) Origin of calc-alkaline andesite in the Japanese islands. Bull Volcanol 41:576-585
- Langmuir CH, Hansen GN (1980) An evaluation of major element heterogeneity in the mantle sources of basalts. Phil Trans R Soc Lond 297:383-407
- Liu M (1985) Migmatization and volcanic petrogenesis in the La Grande greenstone belt, Québec. Unpublished Masters thesis, MçGill University, Montréal, Québec, 87p
 - Ludden JN, Gelinas L, Trudel P (1982) Archean metavolcanics from the Rouyn-Noranda district Abitibi. Part II. Mobility of trace and rare earth elements. Can J Earth Sci 19:2276-2287
 - Masuda A, Aoki K (1979) Trace element variations in the volcanic rocks from the Nasu zone, northeast Japan. Earth Planet Sci Lett 44:139-149
 - Mills JP (1974) Petrological studies in the Sakami-Lake Greenstone belt of northwestern Québec. PhD Thesis, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA

Morse SA (1982) Plagioclase fractionation and the common basalt. J Geology 90:559-563

- Nathan HD , Van Kirk CK (1978) A model of magmatic crystallization. J Petrol 19:66-94 /
- O'Hara MJ (1968) The bearing of phase equilibria studies in synthetic and natural systems on the origin and evolution of basic and ultrabasic rocks. Earth Sci Rev 4:69-133

1

- O'Nions RK, Pankhurst RJ (1978) Early Archean rocks and geochemical evolution of the earth's crust. Earth Planet Sci Lett 38:211-236
- Osborn EF (1959) Role of oxygen pressure in the crystallization, and differentiation of basaltic magma. Am J Sci 257:609-647
- Pearce JA, Cann JR (1973) Tectonic setting of basic volcanic rocks determined using trace elements analyses. Earth Planet Sci Letters 19:290-300
- Ringwood E (1977) Petrogenesis in island-arc system. In island-arcs, deep-sea trenches and back-arc basins (M Talwani and WC Pitman III, eds), Maurice Ewing series no 1, American geophysical union, Washington DC, 355-365
- Roeder PL, Emslie RF (1970) Olivine-liquid equilibrium. Contr Mineral Petrol 29:275-289
 - Roeder PL (1974) Activity of iron and olivine solubility in basaltic liquids. Earth Planet Sci Lett 23:397-410
 - Sharma KNM (1977) Région de La Grande Rivière area: Ministère des Richesses Naturelles, Québec, Rapport géologique 184, 75p
 - Skulski T, Hynes A, Francis D (1984) Stratigraphic and lithogeochemical characterization of cyclic volcanism in the LG3 area; La Grande River greenstone belt, Québec. In Chibougamau-Stratigraphy and Mineralization, CIM Special Vol 34:57-72
- Skulski T (1985) Unpublished MSc Thesis, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, 223p
- Spulber SD, Rutherford MJ (1983) The origin of rhyolite and plagioagranite in oceanic crust: an experimental study. J Petrol 24:1-25
- Stamatelopoulou-Seymour K (1982) Volcanic petrogenesis in the Lac Guyer greenstone belt, James Bay area, Québec. Unpublished PhD thesis, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, 304p

Stamatelopoulou-Seymour K, Françis D, Ludden J (1983) The petrogenesis of the Lac Guyer komatiites and basalts and the nature of the komatiite-komatiitic basalt compositional gap. Contr Mineral Petrol 84:6-14

Stamatelopoulou-Seymour +K, Francis D (1986) The interaction between Archean mantle and crust, La Grande greenstone belt, New Quebec, Canada. Submitted to Precamb Res

- Sun S, Nesbitt RW (1978) Petrogenesis of Archean ultrabasic and basic volcanics: evidence from rare earth elements. Contr Mineral Petrol 65:301-325
- Takahashi E, Kushiro I (1983) Melting of a dry peridotite at high pressures and basalt magma genesis. Am Mineral 68:859-878
- Taylor Sr, Hallberg JA (1977) Rare-earth element in the Marda calc-alkaline suite: an Archean geochemical analogue of Andean-type volcanism. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 41:1125-1129
- Viljoen MJ, Viljoen RP (1969,) The geology and geochemistry of the lower ultramafic unit of the Onverwacht Group and a proposed new class of igneous rocks. Upper Mantle Project Geol Soc S'Africa Spec Publ 2:221-224
- Viljoen MJ, Viljoen RP (1970) Archean volcanicity and continental evolution in Barberton region, Transval. In African magmatism (TN Clifford and I Gass, eds), Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 27-49
- White D, McBirney AR (1979) Some quantitative aspects of orogenic volcanism in the Oregon Cascades. Geol Soc Am Mem 152:369-388
- Wicks FJ, Whittaker, EJW (1977) Serpentinë textures and serpentinization. Can Mineral 15:459-488

Worst BG (1958) The differentiation and structure of the Great Dyke of southern Rhodesia. Trans Geol Soc S Afr 61: 283-354

Wright TL, Doherty PC, (1970) A linear programming and least squares computer method for solving petrologic' mixing problems. Bul Geol Soc Am 81:1955-2008

APPENDIX A

بر میشو ۱

.,

Analytical procedures and sample preparation

One hundred and fifty-three samples were submitted for major element determination. Thin sections were prepared for most of these samples in order to examine the extent of alteration. The samples were crushed, powdered and homogenised to -200 mesh in a tungsten carbide ring grinder. A smaller population (sixty-six samples) was analysed for selected trace elements.

the major and trace element concentrations were A11 determined by X-ray fluorescence, using a Philips PW-1400 spectrometer with a 100 KV generator at the Department of Sciences, McGill University, Geological Montréal. calibration curve for the major and trace elements was derive by linear regression using 20 to 30 international reference materials (Ahmedali, S.T. 1983). Ba, V, Cr, Ni and major element analysis were determined from fused beads and corrected \sim for γ mass absorption using an ∞ coefficient Total Fe is calculated as Fe₂O₃. The trace technique. element detection limit for fused beads (Ba, V, Cr and Ni) is 10 ppm. The analytical precisions (one standard deviation, weight percent) for the major elements as calculated from 20 replicate analyses of one sample disc are: Si 0.05, Ti 0.003, Al 0.03, Mg 0.058, Fe 0.01, Mn 0.001, Ca 0.01, Na 0.06, K 0.001, P. 0.004.

KB Compton scatter from the Rhodium X-ray tube was used to correct for mass absorption for the trace elements Rb, Sr, Zr, Y and Nb. These elements are analysed using pressed pellets for which the detection limit is 5 ppm. The absolute precision of all trace element data is generally better than 5% absolute (T. Ahmedali pers. comm. 1985).

major element determination one gram of rock For mixed with five grams of lithium tetraborate, 0.3powder was gram 'of lithium flouride, 0.01 gram of ammonium nitrate and 0.015 gram of lithium bromide. The mixture was fused at 10500 C for 20 minutes in platimum crucibles and bound polished Pt molds. The glass bead obtained was analysed for major elements and Ba, Ni, Cr and V determination. The loss on ignition technique served to approximate the volatile content of the rocks. For LOI determination two grams of rock powder was ignited at a temperature of 1000° C for a period of 45 minutes after which the difference between final, weight and initial weight was determined and used to estimate the percent [total volatile content of the rock. The pressed 🖑 pellets were used for the determination of Zr, Y, Nb, Rb and Sr and obtained by mixing 8.000g of rock powder with 0.700g of thermosetting resin binder in a SPEX mixer for 5 minutes, and bound into pressed pellets at a pressure of 25 tons. These pellets were then cured in a drying oven at 2000 C for 15 minutes."

A-2

APPENDIX B

2

Whole rock geochemistrý

The analyses are presented in two formats. On each page the top format lists analyses in weight % which have not been normalized and includes loss on ignition. Total iron was calculated as Fe²⁺. The lower format is in cation, proportions based on 100 cations. The trace elements are in

ppm.

Legend Key Perid.-----peridotite FineGb.-----fine-grained gabbro CoarGb.-----coarse-grained gabbro ContGb.-----contaminated gabbro LTiLav.----low-Ti lava ITiLav.-----intermediate-Ti lava HTiLav.-----high-Ti lava Tonal.-----tonalite

= concentrations not determined

AMPLE B4024 B4026 B4027 Perid Perid <th< th=""><th>٠. •</th><th></th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th></th><th>~ I</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th><i>.</i></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	٠. •		-	-		~ I					<i>.</i>				
Si02 44.84 40.34 45.71 40.17 41.48 41.29 50.66 47.25 140.34 48.17 50.71 37.54 39.45 38.54 38.43 4.37 4.16 6.79 5.83 6.28 0.36 0.23 0.18 0.07 1203 4.25 3.97 6.85 4.53 4.33 4.37 4.16 6.79 5.83 8.28 3.99 4.12 4.29 1.88 400 26.70 29.90 23.04 30.14 28.92 28.55 20.94 22.10 25.48 19.44 21.43 26.00 30.62 34.56 140 1.99 12.08 8.25 10.95 11.84 11.92 0.21 0.20 0.23 0.27 0.17 0.15 0.07 1420 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.12 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 <th>SAMPLE</th> <th>84024 Perid</th> <th>84026 Perid</th> <th>84027 Ругол</th> <th>84029 Períd</th> <th>84311 Perid</th> <th>84312 Perid</th> <th>84633 Pyrox</th> <th>84050 Pyrox</th> <th>84056 ,Perid</th> <th>84114 Pyrux</th> <th>41453 Pyrox</th> <th>41454 Perid</th> <th>41455 Perid</th> <th>84166 Perid</th>	SAMPLE	84024 Perid	84026 Perid	84027 Ругол	84029 Períd	84311 Perid	84312 Perid	84633 Pyrox	84050 Pyrox	84056 ,Perid	84114 Pyrux	41453 Pyrox	41454 Perid	41455 Perid	84166 Perid
102 1.2 0.13 0.12 0.12 0.14 0.12 0.14 0.12 0.14 0.12 0.14 0.12 0.14 0.12 0.14 1.10 11.14 8 46 8 1.25 1.04 1.05 11.34 11.60 11.00 11.04 1.04 1.00 11.01 11.54 8.46 8 1.05 11.05 11.34 11.60 11.34 11.60 11.34 11.60 11.34 11.60 11.34 11.60 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 11.34 <t< td=""><td>5102</td><td>44.84</td><td>40.34</td><td>46.71</td><td>40-17</td><td>41:48</td><td>41.29</td><td>50.86</td><td>47.25</td><td>140 34</td><td>48 17</td><td>50 71</td><td>37 54</td><td>39 45</td><td>38 94</td></t<>	5102	44.84	40.34	46.71	40-17	41:48	41.29	50.86	47.25	140 34	48 17	50 71	37 54	39 45	38 94
11203 4.25 3.97 6.85 4.53 4.39 4.37 4.16 6.79 5.83 8.28 3.99 4.12 4.29 1.88 400 26.70 29.90 23.04 30.14 28.55 20.94 22.10 25.48 19.44 21.43 26.00 30.62 34.56 400 0.20 0.19 0.22 0.17 0.12 0.12 0.21 0.25 0.22 0.21 0.17 0.15 0.07 420 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.10 0.08 8.37 5.98 8.55 10.45 5.70 0.96 0.01 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.35 1.80 0.05 0.02 </td <td>T102</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0,18</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>0.28</td> <td>0.53</td> <td>0.36</td> <td>0.23</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>0.07</td>	T102	0.20	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.12	0,18	0.21	0.28	0.53	0.36	0.23	0.18	0.07
Ag0 26.70 29.90 23.04 30.14 28.55 20.94 22.10 25.48 19.44 21.43 26.00 30.62 34.56 An0 0.20 0.19 0.22 0.17 0.12 0.12 0.21 0.26 0.23 0.22 0.21 0.17 0.15 0.60 Ca0 2.33 1.27 7.95 2.62 1.81 1.79 10.00 8.37 5.98 8.53 10.45 5.70 0.96 0.01 Ca0 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.02 0.03 0.09 0.16 0.43 0.08 0.0 0.0 0.0 Ca0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.35 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02	A1203	4.25	3 97	6.85	4.53	4.39	4.37	4,16	6.79	5.83	8.28	3.99	4.12	4.29	1.88
11.99 12.08 8.76 10.95 11.88 11.93 10.19 10.10 11.54 8.46 8'25 11.05 11.34 11.66 A00 0.20 0.19 0.22 1.81 3.79 10.00 8.37 5.98 8.53 10.45 5.70 0.96 0.01 C0 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.04 0.00 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 <td>MaQ</td> <td>26.70</td> <td>29.90</td> <td>23.04</td> <td>30.14</td> <td>28 92</td> <td>28,55</td> <td>20.94</td> <td>22.10</td> <td>25.48</td> <td>19.44</td> <td>21.43</td> <td>26.00</td> <td>30.62</td> <td>34.56</td>	MaQ	26.70	29.90	23.04	30.14	28 92	28,55	20.94	22.10	25.48	19.44	21.43	26.00	30.62	34.56
AnO 0.20 0.19 0.22 0.17 0.12 0.12 0.26 0.23 0.22 0.21 0.17 0.15 0.01 Ca 2.33 1.27 795 2.62 1.81 -3.79 10.00 8.37 5.98 8.53 10.45 5.70 0.96 0.01 Va2O 0.05 0.01 0.11 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 1.83 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.00 Ca 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.02 0.03 0.35 3.55 3.57 13.	FeO	11.99	12.08	8.7.6	.10 95	11 88	11.93	10.19	* 10.10	11.54	8 46	8 25	-H.05	11.34	11.66
2a0 2.33 1.27 7.95 2.62 1.81 -3.79 10.00 8.37 5.98 8.53 10.45 5.70 0.96 0.01 420 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.90 16 0.43 0.08 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.22 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.22 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.22 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.22 0.02 0.01 0.02 <t< td=""><td>MnO</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.19</td><td>0.22</td><td>Ú 17</td><td>0.12</td><td>0 12</td><td>. 0.21</td><td>0.26</td><td>0 23</td><td>0.22</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.17</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.07</td></t<>	MnO	0.20	0.19	0.22	Ú 17	0.12	0 12	. 0.21	0.26	0 23	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.15	0.07
Na20 0.05 0.01 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.0 0.03 0.09 0.16 0.43 0.06 0.0	CaO	2.33	1.27	7 95	2.62	1,81	-1.79	10.00	8.37	5.98	8.53	10.45	5.70 '	0.96	0.01
C200 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Na20	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.0	0.03	0.09	0.16	0.43	0.08	0.0	0.0	10.0
2205 10.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.35 0.04 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01	K20	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	1,83	0.01	0.0 -	0.0	0.0
01 7.91 10.93 5.34 10.50 10.20 10.20 3.61 4.51 6.90 3.55 3.57 13.10 10.56*.11.70 98.49 98.84 99.10 99.32 99.03 98.38 100.20 99.71 98.79 99.79 99.10 97.93 97.59 98.90 Cation propertions based on 100 cations 51 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 51 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 51 43.67 73.67 5.09 6.09 0.93 10.5 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 54 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.97 9.99 9.97 9.97 9.99 9.97 9.97 <	P205	` 0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	. 0.02	0.02	0.03	0.35	~ 0.04	0,02	0.02	0.01
98.49 98.84 99.10 99.32 99.03 98.38 100.20 99.71 98.79 99.10 97.93 97.59 98.90 Cation proportions based on 100 cations 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44.95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 1 0.15 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0.03 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 1 4.88 4.62 7.66 5.09 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 49 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 -27.04 30.10 40.02 46.22 50.23 2 43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 1 0.09 0.02 0.19 0.00 0.00 <		7.91	10.93	5.34	10.50	10.20	10.20	3.61	4.51	.8.90	3.55	3.57	,13,10	10.58~	- 11.70
Cation proportions based on 100 cations 51 43.70 39.60 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 51 0.15 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0.09 0.13 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 51 4.88 4.62 7.66 5 19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 50 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 27.04 30.10 40.02 46.25 50.23 50 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7 99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 51 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 52 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 54 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 54 0.02 0.02 0.01 .0 02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02		99 49	98 84	99 10	99 32	00 N3	98 38	100.20	99 71	99 79	99 79	00 10	07 03	07 EQ	00 00
Cation proportions based on 100 cations Si 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 T1 0.15 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0.09 0.13 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.07 A1 4.88 4.62 7.66 5.19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 Mg 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 -27.04 30.10 40.02 46.22 50.23 Fe 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90, 9.74 9.87 7 99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.65 9.54 8.39 9.54 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Va 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 C 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	1												-	_ · · · · ·	
Cation propertions based on 100 cations \$ Si 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 Si 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0 09 013 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 Al 4.88 4.62 7.66 5 19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 Mg 38.79 43.87 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 -27.04 30.10 40.02 45.22 50.23 Yen 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Yen 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Yen 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 8 45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Yen 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Yen 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Yen 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02			۰ ۲						~						
Si 43.70 39.80 44.30 39.05 40.66 40.84 47.71 44.50 39.54 44-95 47.79 38.77 39.08 37.97 1 0.15 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0 09 0 13 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 AI 4.88 4.62 7.66 5 19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 Mg 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 -27.04 30.10 40.02 45.22 50.23 7e 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7 99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.00 0.00 Va 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.00 0.00 Va 0.09 0.02 0.11 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02	Cation p	proportio	ns base	id on 10	0 catio	ns			->			•			ير. بر
1 0.15 0.10 0.09 6.07 0.09 0.09 0.13 0.15 0.21 0.37 0.26 0.18 0.13 0.05 AI 4.88 4.62 7.66 5.19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 Mg 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 -27.04 30.10 40.02 45.22 50.23 Fe 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7.99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>															
Al 4.88 4.62 7.66 5 19 5.07 5.09 4.60 7.54 6.74 9.11 4.43 5.01 5.01 2.16 Mg 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 ~27.04 30.10 40.02 46.22 50.23 Fe 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7 99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.10 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.01 0.03 2.18 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02	SI	43.70	39.80	44.30	39.05	40.66	40.84	47.71	44.50	39.54	44~95	47.79	38.77	39.08	37.97
Mg 38.79 43.97 32.57 43.67 42.25 42.10 29.28 31.02 37.23 27.04 30.10 40.02 45.22 50.23 Fe 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7.99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 6.31 1.02 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.20 0.00	Si Ti	43.70	39.80 0-10	44.30	39,05 6,07	40.66	40.84 0 09	· 47.71	44.50 [°] 0.15	39.54 0.21	44~95 0.37	47.79	38.77	39.08	37.97
Fe 9.78 9.97 6.95 8.90 9.74 9.87 7.99 7.95 9.46 6.60 6.50 9.54 9.39 9.51 Mn 0.17 0.16 0.18 0.14 0.10 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.17 0.17 0.15 0.13 0.06 Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	Si Ti <u>~</u> Al	43.70 0.15 4.88	39.80 0_10 4.62	44.30 0.09 7.66	39,05 0.07 5 19	40.66 0.09 5.07	40.84 0 09 5.09	- 47.71 0 13 4,60	44.50 0.15 7.54	39.54 0.21 6.74	44~95 0.37 9.11	47.79 0.26 4.43	38.77 0.18 5.01	39.08 0.13 5.01	37.97 0.05 2.16
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si Ti ^T Al Mg	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79	39.80 0_10 4.62 43.97	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57	39,05 0.07 5 19 43.67	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02	39.54 0.21 6.74 37.23	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23
Ca 2.43 1.34 8.08 2.73 1.90 1.90 10.05 8.45 6.28 8.53 10.55 6.31 1.02 0.01 Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.0	Si Ti ^M Al Mg Fe	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78	39.80 0_10 4.62 43.97 9.97	44,30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95	39,05 0.07 5 19 43.67 8,90	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 . 9.74	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87	47.71 0.13 4.60 29.28 -7.99	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95	39.54 0.21 6.74 37.23 9.46	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51
Na 0.09 0.02 0.18 0.23 0.19 0.0 0.05 0.16 0.30 0.78 0.15 0.0 0.0 0.0 Na 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Si Ti ~~ Al Mg Fe Mn	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17	39.80 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0,16	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18	39.05 0.07 5 19 43.67 8.90 0.14	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10	47.71 013 4.60 29.28 799 0.17	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21	39.54 0.21 6.74 37.23 9.46 0.19	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06
K 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43	39.80 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0,16 1.34	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08	39,05 0,07 5,19 43.67 8,90 0,14 2,73	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 -7 99 0.17 10.05	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 * 8 45	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01
P	SI Ti AI Mg Fe Mn Ča Na	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 - 0.09	39.80 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0,16 1.34 0.02	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18	39,05 0,07 5,19 43.67 8,90 0,14 2,73 0,23	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 -7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16	39.54 0.21 6.74 37.23 9.46 0.19 6.28 0.30	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0 78	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0
0 146.27 142.22 148.13 141 63 143.20 143 49 150.14 148.35 142.99 148.81 150.23 141.48 141.75 139.12 Ng No 0.799 0.815 0.824 0 831 0.813 0.810 0.786 0.796 0.797 0.804 0.822 0.807 0.828 0.84 Al/Al+S1 0.100 0.104 0.147 0 117 -0.111 0.111 0.088 0.145 0.146 0 168 0.085 0.115 0.114 0.05	Si Ti Mg Fe Mn Ca Na K	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 - 0.09 0.0	39.80 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 .00	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0,18	39.05 0.07 5 19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2 73 0.23 0 0	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.0	47.71 013 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16 0.01	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,03	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.0	39.08 0.13 5.01 46.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0
Mg No 0.799 U.815 0.824 0 831 0.813 0.810 0.786 0.796 0.797 0.804 0.822 0.807 0.828 0.84 Al/Al+Si 0.100 0.104 0.147 0 117 •0.111 0.111 0.088 0.145 0.146 0 168 0.085 0.115 0.114 0.05	Si Ti Mg Fe Mn Ca Na K P	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02	39.80 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0,16 1.34 0.02 0.02 0.02	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0,0	39,05 0.07 5 19 43.67 8,90 0.14 2 73 0.23 0 0 -0 02	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1 90 0.19 0.0 0 01	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.01	- 47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 - 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.02	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16 0.01 0.02	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,03 0,02	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0 78 2.18 0.28	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 0.03	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.0 0.02	39.08 0.13 5.01 46.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.02	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.0
Mg No 0.799 U.815 U.824 U 831 0.813 0.810 0.786 0.796 0.797 U.804 U.822 0.807 U.828 U.84 Al/Al+Si 0.100 0.104 0.147 0 117 0.111 U.111 U.088 0.145 0.146 0 168 0.085 0.115 0.114 0.05	SI Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča Na K P	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 0.02	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.0 18 0.01	39.05 6.07 5.19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.02	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0 0.01	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.0 0.01	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.02	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.01 0.02	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,03 0,03 0,02	44-95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 0.03	38.77 0.18 5.01 *40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.01
Mg No 0.799 0.815 0.824 0.831 0.813 0.810 0.786 0.796 0.797 0.804 0.822 0.807 0.828 0.84 Al/Al+Si 0.100 0.104 0.147 0.117 •0.111 0.111 0.088 0.145 0.146 0.168 0.085 0.115 0.114 0.05	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča Na K P	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 0.02 142.22	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.0 0.01	39,05 6,07 5,19 43,67 8,90 0,14 2,73 0,23 0,02 141,63	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0 0.01	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.0 0.01 143 49	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.02	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.01 0.02 148.35	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,03 0,02	44-95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 0.03	38.77 0.18 5.01 *40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.01 139.12
A1/A1+S1 0.100 0.104 0.147 0 117 •0.111 0.111 0.088 0.145 0.146 0 168 \19.085 0.115 0.114 0.05	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča Na K P O	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 0.02 142.22	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.0 0.01	39,05 6,07 5,19 43,67 8,90 0,14 2,73 0,02 -0,02	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0 0.01	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.0 0.01 143 49	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.02	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.01 0.02 148.35	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,03 0,02 142,99	44-95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 0.03	38.77 0.18 5.01 *40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02 141.75	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.01 139.12
	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča Na K P O Mg No	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 0.02 142.22	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.0 0.01 148.13	39,05 6,07 5,19 43,67 8,90 0,14 2,73 0,02 -0,02 141,63	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0 0.01 143.20 0.813	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.01 143 49	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.02 150:14	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16 0.01 0.02 148.35	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,03 0,03 0,02 142,99 5 0,793	44-95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28 148.81	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 0.03 150.23	38.77 0.18 5.01 *40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48 0.807	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02 141.75 0.828	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.01 139.12
	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ča Na K P O Mg No Al/Al+S	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27 146.27	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 9.97 0,16 1.34 0.02 0.0 142.22	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0.18 0.0 0.01 148.13	39,05 6,07 5,19 43,67 8,90 0,14 2,73 0,23 0,02 141 63 4 0 831 7 0 117	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1.90 0.19 0.0 0.01 143.20	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.01 143 49 0.810 0.11	47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.02 150.14	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16 0.01 148.35	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,03 0,02 142,99 5 0,793 5 0,146	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28 148.81 7 0.804 5 0 168	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.03 150.23	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48 0.807 0.115	39.08 0.13 5.01 45.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02 141.75 1.41.75 0.828 0.114	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.01 139.12
	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na K P O O Mg No Al/Al+S	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27 146.27	39.60 0.10 4.62 43.97 0.16 1.34 0.02 0.02 142.22	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.0 0.01 148.13 5.0.824	39.05 6.07 5.19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2.73 0.23 0.02 141 63 141 63	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1 90 0.19 0.0 0.01 143.20 0.813 7.0.111	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.01 143 49 0.810 0.11	- 47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 150 14 0.786 0.088	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.16 0.01 148.35	39,54 0,21 6,74 37,23 9,46 0,19 6,28 0,30 0,02 142,99 5 0,797 5 0,140	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28 148.81 7 0.804 5 0 168	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.01 150.23	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48 0.807 0.115	39.08 0.13 5.01 46.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.0 0.02 141.75 0.828 0.114	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.06 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.01 139.12
	Si Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na K P O O Mg No Al/Al+S	43.70 0.15 4.88 38.79 9.78 0.17 2.43 0.09 0.0 0.02 146.27 146.27	$\begin{array}{c} 39.60\\ 0.10\\ 4.62\\ 43.97\\ 9.97\\ 0.16\\ 1.34\\ 0.02\\ 0.02\\ 0.02\\ 142.22\\ 142.22\\ 0.815\\ 0.104\end{array}$	44.30 0.09 7.66 32.57 6.95 0,18 8.08 0,18 0.01 148.13 5.0.824	39.05 6.07 5 19 43.67 8.90 0.14 2 73 0.23 0 02 141 63 141 63	40.66 0.09 5.07 42.25 9.74 0.10 1 90 0.19 0.0 0 01 143.20 0.813 7.0.111	40.84 0 09 5.09 42.10 9.87 0.10 1.90 0.0 0.01 143 49 0.810 0.11	- 47.71 0 13 4.60 29.28 - 7 99 0.17 10.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.02 	44.50 0.15 7.54 31.02 7.95 0.21 8 45 0.01 0.02 148,35 5 0.796 3 0.145	39.54 0.21 6.74 37.23 9.46 0.19 6.28 0.30 0.02 142.99 5 0.797 5 0.146	44~95 0.37 9.11 ~27.04 6.60 0.17 8.53 0.78 2.18 0.28 148.81 7 0.604 5 0 168	47.79 0.26 4.43 30.10 6.50 0.17 10.55 0.15 0.03 150.23	38.77 0.18 5.01 40.02 9.54 0.15 6.31 0.0 0.02 141.48 0.807 0.115	39.08 0.13 5.01 46.22 9.39 0.13 1.02 0.0 0.02 141.75 0.828 0.114	37.97 0.05 2.16 50.23 9.51 0.01 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.0 0

11 '

B-2

- 2

ŝ

37

1. 1

Ÿ

...*****

`...'

÷.....

	Lagrande	Fd5105K	. 1		-	ŧ	- 4	•							
	, , ,					-			•	بر •	+~tc '	`	.	المحت	¥5*
•	SAMPLE	84024 ~ `Pe'r 1d	84026 Perid	84027 Pyrox	84029 Perid	-84311 'Perid	84312 Perid	84033 Pyrox	84050 Pyrox	84056 Perid	84114 Pyrox	41453 Pyrox	41454 Perid	41455 Perid	84166 Perid
	Ni Cr V	711. 3864. 115.	923. 2636. 81.	1253. 1206. 90.	1202. 1295. 52.	914 2053 72	997. 2050. 84.	300. 1550. 77.	462. 3368. 141.	892. 2982. 119.	907. 1100. 111.	1011. 4342. 98.	1343. 6668. 110.	1374. 8290. 98.	1474°." 1931. 45.
,	Zr Y Nb			Ξ						_	85. 12. 9.	51. 	45. 6. 7.	41. 6. 9.	
-	Rb Sr Ba	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		 22.	·		΄ <u> </u>	<u> </u>	63. 222. 154.	13.4 8. 0.	13. 32. 40.	14. 12. 0.	
				/		,									

÷.,

- 11

57. IN 19.45 P Lagrande Yasinski 83068 8400) 84006 84012 84034 84052 84055 84057 84061 84075 848À1 84085 84090 84094 SAMPLE Perid FineGo 5102 50.25 48, 93 47.27 51,13 48.71 48.98 49.50 49.17 49.48 53,13 51,70 28.16 49.24 49.27 0.79 T102 0.02 1.00 0.99 0.82 1.62 1.04 1.42 1.33 0.60 0.92 0.96 0.92 1.29 14.04 13.54 13.74 13.81 14.12 15.55 13,82 15,20 14.35 14.47 A1203 0.58 13.55 14.11 15,00 6.74 7.04 5.83 6.53 8.03 - 36.47 5.51 7.32 6.62 6.23 6.74 6.37 6.68 *6.57 MgO 12.89 11.15 11.43 14.95 14.34 10.79 13.28 11.68 8.38 -11.49 FeD 12.70 15.47 12.88 15.68 0.20 0.20 0.21 MnO <u>),0(19</u> 0.26 ×0 23 0 21 0,21 0.23 0.20 0.24 0.23 0.19 0.20 9.93 9.48 11.28 CaO 0.05 9.67 10.74 10.51 11.21 9.68 10.68 9.41 8.69 8.67 8.56 Na 20 0.02% 1,88 2.47 1.32 2.44 . 2 04 2.36 2.06 1.79 2:05 2.42 1.67 0.36 0.51 0.27 1.38 0.43 1.21 .0.71. 0.43 0.69 0.47 0.68 0.50 K20 -0.0 0.39 ,0.08 P209 0.06 0111 0.08 0.13 0.13 0.05 0.08 0.07 0.51 0.08 0 0 0.10 0.09 L01 21.28 1.54 `2,0B 1.47 1.85 2.09 1.71 1.65 2.58 1.44 3.39 2,26 1.64 2.16 99.40 100.00 98.58 99.56 100.03 99.65 99.62 99.45 99.01 99.15 99.62 99 47 99.41 99.7B Cation proportions based on 100 cations 47.74 47.45 48.32 46.86 46.42 48.85 47.23 47.35 47.29 48 18 47.45 49.50 S1 29.93 49.40 <__ 0 O2 0.72 0.72 0.59 1.20 0.75 1.04 0.97 0.43 0.68 .0.69 0.55 0.66, 0.94 T1 15.91 16:93 15.67 15.47 15.78 16.09 17.51 -15.96 17.18 15.76 16.30 0.73 15 48 16.01 A1 10.05 9.49 9.60 8.42 9.41 11.44 9.84 -9.28 9.36 7 96 10.51 9.12 9,11 Mg ' 57.77 11.28 10.37 10.36 8.93 12.88 9.13 12.13 11.60 8.62 10.88 Fe 12.54 9.37 6.53 9.18 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.20 , 0.19 °0 46 0.17 -0.17 0.15 Mo 0.17 0.21 0.19 0.19 0.016 0.06 10.04 11.08 10.83 11.50 10.19 10.93 9.77 9 00 10.17 9.95 11,59 8,66 8.76 Ċa 3.83 0 04 3.51 4.59 2.51 4.52 4.42 3.82 . 3.40 3.81 8.36 5.50 4.55 3.12 Na 0.88 0.86 . 0.57 0.81 ... 0.61 0.0 0.45 0.48 0.63 0.33 . 1.73 0.52 1,50 0.52 0.09 0.06 0.11 0.04 0.07 0.06 0.40 0.06 0.0 0.08 0 07 0.07 0.05 0.11 130 29 164.05 154.49 165.03 153.53 153.47 154.91 753.64 153.87 154.37 154.81 154.63 153.95 155.25 0 837 0 388 .0.503 0.478 0.530 0.415 0.512 0.410 0.448 0.570 40.475 0.493 0.587 0.505. Ma Nu. Al/Al+Si 0.024 0.245 0.252 0.248 0.265 0.252 0.241 0.250 0.254 0.270 0.249 0.266 0.241 0.248 5 ... 15 -

									;	1			-			· . ·
· .			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			.,	• •			500		•			- 1 # * * 5. y * ;
	°∈ * 1		-	2 -		· • • ·	**	"p=		· · ·	- ,	,		, i	, .	~~~
τ.	±	- "			-			· · ·		• • •	10.4	· .	-	*	ŀ	•
· , · .	- , ,	<i>.</i> .		*					3		179)		Г с о	,	14 ERANA	· · · ·
- , .	٤	- ,		ц., г	•	` *,	• • • •	, <i>2</i>	,	,	•	·	، م			· · · ·
- 1	and a			/- .=	· ·	•		t	, : , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·	, 2 ° °				,
· · ·					ī	,' '	ين ود	ي بر	1997	°0		• •	ب ^۲		1. Tra .	٠ ،
· · ·)	Lagrande	Yas105K1		•		,	· -	ı			•		je i i		•	. • .
						•	, `	۰. ۲	· .		-	, ' ; 		· .	•	
	SAMPLE	83068 Perid "	84001 FineGb	84006 FineGb	84012 FineGb	84034 FineGb	84052 FineGb	84055 FineGt	-84057 5 FinéGb	84061 FineGb	84075 FineGb	84841 FineGb	- 84085 F1neGb	84090 FineGb	84094 FineGb	£.
· _ ·		1996		122	102					 60				144	102	
• • •	Cr V	29.	-63. 348.	187.	169.	200.	110. 507.	90. 280.	126. 317.	172.	238.	/小62. 小62. 子子11.	185.	.484.	217.	- -
3K 7	Žr Y	3 5 . 2.	95. 31.				·		107. 34.			77. 30.		·	72. 26.	
-	ND RD	9. 14.	* 9. 19.				_	, —	10. 58'.	· ′	·	8. 32.	° — .		9. 23,	• • • •
	Sr Ba	<u> </u>	136. 64.	12.	120.	92 <i>.</i>	208	55.	147. <u>1</u> 75.	89.	53.	149.	66.	359.	89. 79.	*
						1.u	,	с (· · · · ·		•				,	
بر بر	· ·		,	۰. ۲	۰ ۴ ,	· ·		ا مور	· ,		- •		•	,.,	-	,
	· *	· · ·	۰ -		•'. •	€. ek	1	· .	¥	,	· ·		· ·. ·	× ۲ •		
, .		- 				ر محمد م رواند م رواند م	•	-	· .	- •	•	fer ^{en}	¢	· · ·	د. مرجعة م	7 *1
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- ข้า	÷1	•	~		· · · ·	Ţ.	r :	· ·		-	с.,	•	,	
		. 4	م ۱ ۱	•	`≁ , •,	*.	-	<u>م</u> سي م	,-		۰ <u>،</u>			- -		• • •
•	,	- ,	کم میڈیڈ	5		· ·	c	a . 10.		۴.		,			• .	· · ·
	-				·	• • •			•	, 4			·* ,	۰.	•	-
- الحسر					, , , , ,		·^ - · · ·		•	۰ ۰	- ,			-	· ·	· · .
		23 .			. ·	5454		`,#0 + <u>-</u>		; <u>'-</u>	ب	•		•	•	
·	f *, 	1	۲ <u>۲ ۲ ۲</u>			·	, e' +			a	~~ م. ت	· - ·	^		-	-
4	·· · ·					,	• •	·		``.	·		· · ·		· .	· · ·
	· ·	· · ·	· · ·	• .• .	·• .	- • -		4 2.			,	-	•			
-			~y1'	•	· · ·	`, - : ,	· ·	, † , •*•	۰.,	And and a second se		` <u>-</u>	· ·	• •		۴ .
- + -				-		Maria.'	- حدود در مع			`	•		,	• •	, [,] ,	
	-	·) ·	•	• • • •	1.	• -	<i>*</i>	۔ م	-	~	-,	· •	•	: '	*	
,		r I			•		·	·	1		÷	```		• * *	, , ,	, .
				•••• /	· - '	, , ^{, , ,} , ,	Ъ			1. ···	a 9	-				
	_ · _ ^ ~ *	17 7 -		•												

i - ,

بر ،

 Lagrande Väsinsk 84103 84110 41451 83108 83131 84030 84035 84071 84081 84083 84089 84095 84127 84128 SAMPLE FineGb FineGb FineGb FineGb FineGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb (S102 50.76 48.88 49.60 46.51 49.81 50.25 48.34 49.44 49.32 50.19 49.60 53.29 52.19 50.22 0.17 0.25 1.54 0.93 2.26 0.77 0.17 0.25 13.97 14.13 12.99 14.75 15.76 15.25 0.28 0.30 0.34 0.47 0.19 0.48 .0.67 T102 1.16 14.55 13.21 A1203--13.27 14.64 14.62 15.25 / 14.98 14.14 MaO 5.20 5.68 6,91 5,20 8.34 12.36 13.07 12.63 7.32 10.42 12.23 7.07 5.90 10.55 10.90 6.49 (0.20 0.15 7.82 11.73 12.98 17.40 FeO 15.13. 15.18 7.86 6.32 6.19 11.50 11.65 7.96 0.17 0.16 .0.20 0.18 0.15 MnO 0.28 0,24 0.25 U.26 0.15 0.19 0.24 10.02 11.16 8.09 9.46 8.47 10.51 14.66 CaO 9.98 9.23 9.52 9.29 12.79 12.06 6.89 0.90 - 2.66 2.55 1.91 0.52 1.13 Na20 1,93 1.49 3.25 .1.45 1.33 2.63 1.71 1.04 2.46 1.50 0.87 0.94 0.40 0.09 0 21 K20 0.39 0.68 0.73 0.62 0.38 0.97 0.25 P205 0.11 0.14 0.08 0.18 ~0.05 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.09 0.05 0.03 1.44 0,99 3.90 LOI 1.09 .2.61 0.80 3.46 3.75 2.61 2.53 3.35 2.61 2.21 1.94 99.30 99.64 99.18 99.07 100.15 100.87 100.10 100.22 97.79 100.07 99.90 99.69 99.72 100.13 A. -Cation proportions based on 100 cations 49.31 48.10 46.87 46.00 46.742 46.53 45.31 46.65 48.12 46.96 46.79 51.19 50.61 47.27 0.66 1.68 0.54 0.12 0.18 0.24 0.34 15',74 15,14 16.20 17.20 16.85 16.28 16.81 0.20 0.13 0.35 0.49 0.21 1,14 0,66 1,68 Ti 0.85 16.82 16.66 16.01 16,63 14.65 AI 15.19 16.20 9.73 7.53 7.67 11.58 17.06 18,26 17.76 10.65 14.53 17.20 Mq 8.33 10.12 8.53 14.80 12.49 10,25 14.39 8.49 5.02 6,16 6.17 4.94 Fe 12.30 9.57 4.88 9.24 - 9.45 6.27 0.16 0.12 Mn 0.23 0.20 + 0.200.22 0.13 0.13 0.17 0.12 0.12 0.15 0.20 0.14 11.14 - 8:03 9.33 8,85, 10.92 10.39 10.62 9.56 12.82 12.19 7.09 14.78 Ca 9.73 9.64 4.81 - 4.58 2.764 1.73 2.43 4.98 3,10 1.90 4,58 2.82 1.59 Na 3.64 2.84 5.96 0.62 1.33 _0.75 2.41 1.12 0.47 0.48 0.11 1.19 0.31 0.25 ĸ 0.48 0.85 0.88 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.07 0.04 0.02 0.09 0.06 0.15 0.12 155.83 155.66 152.08 153.41 152.41 152.31 152.06 153.48 154.20 153.82 154.27 156.77 157.91 153.93 0 0.380 0.400 0.487 0.348 0.577 0.772 0.748 0.742 0.526 0.746 0.779 0.523 0.474 0.702 Mg No. A1/A1+S1 0.236 0.252 / 0.251 0.248 0.259 0.270 0.271 0.259 0.259 0.264 0.263 0.238 0.247 0.237

I'm at ...

Ŧ

	-	<i>(</i> *						E hop-	- 40 ju					
rande	Yasjnek	بر ا	·	· · ·	فئور. مریحہ	£3	,		کر ہے۔ اور انہوں		egyn _a l addi Ferres	**** [*]	.,	'n
IPLE -	84103	84110	41451	83108	83131	84030	84035	84071	¥ > 8408 1*	≈- <u>,</u> ∘ 84083	84089	84095	84127	v 84128
,	FineGb	FineGb	7 	FineGb	F1neGb	CoarGb	Coardo	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	,CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb
- 1	19.	52.	136.	79.	157.	176.	217.	223.	#a_60.	207.	215.**	65.	32.	137.
!	63,	101.	230.	68.	342.	1275.	1279.	1135.	16.	558.	959.	29.	16.	89.
1	264:	357.	311.		45	98.	117.	138.	234.	WH 102.	120.	238.	294.	174.
1			78.	120.	100.		42.	40.		*.deepe	,	21	09.	40.
~ '	· · ·		<i>21</i> .	43.	10.		. .	9.	, 			~ W100	10.	10.
•			34	9. 74	26		20.	27	`	、 <u> </u>			· • • •	, P .
•		¢	168	183	275		105	124			> <u> </u>	100	112	67
	70.	133.	186.		,	278.	244.	101.	38.	43	0.	181.	5.	8.

413

12.

Lagrande Yasinski

SAMPLE	84130 CoarGD	84146 CoærGd	84148 CoarGb	84149 CoarGo	84150 Coargo	84151 CoarGb	84154 CoarGb	83093 CoarGb	84011 ContGb	84078 ContGo	84842 Cont Gb	, 84087 %CantGD	84096 ContGb	84098 ContGb
5102	50.11	48.78	49.89	49.01	52'. 24	50,41	50 57	48.63	55.47	55.02	58.25	58.25	56.13	59.40
T102	0.19	0.10	0.19	0.15	0,79	0.41	0.35	1.42	0.81	0.19	0.99	0.61	2.00	0.64
A1203	14.67	13.62	15,64	21.43	14.63	17.31	15.51	14.28	13.47	18.52	11.13	14.30	13.67	13.89
MgO	11.18	15.34	10.66	7.59	6.69	8.30	9.40	6.25	6.74	7.33	3.78	5.82	5.22	5.84
FeO	5.95	7.53	6.77	4.57	12.01	7.65	8.38	14.08	7.82	6.12	6.85	8,49	11.94	6.74
MnO	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.*10	0.22	Ő.17	0.19	U.25	0 14	0.10	0.14	0.18	0.14	0.14
CaƊ 🍧 👌	14.10	9.28	13.93	14.68	9.69	2.56	12.37	10.01	6.81	3.15	8.68	6.36	5.11	5.65
Na20	0.99	1.28	0.91	1.42	2.25	1.46	1 72	2 12	3.33	2.62	3.12	2.63	1.02	_4.02
K20	0.34	0.16	0 07	0.08	0.17	0.28	0.12	0 49	2.90	3.50	0.28	0.78	0.59	1.52
P205	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.04	0 03	0.11	0 27	0.03	0.14	0,07	0.84	0.34
L01	1.98	3.85	1.23	0 79	0.68	1.25	0~ 65	1.71	2 00	1.72	6 75	2.66	3.62	1.58
	99.68	100.11	99.46	99.84	99.46	99.84	99.29	99 35	99 76	98.30	100.11	100,15	100.28	99.76
Cation pr	oportio	ns base	d on 10	O catio	ns							•		
Cation pr	oportio	ns base	d on 10	O catio	ns			•	43 			^ء ب		
Cation pr	oportio 46.93	ns base 45.66	d on 10 46.65	0 catio 45.30	ns 49.60	47.24	47.24	、 47.04	 52.16	51.88	58.59	55.76	55,83	
Cation pr Si Ti	-oportio 46.93 0 13	ns base 45.66 0.07	d on 10 46.65 0.13	0 catio 45.30 0.10	ns 49.60 0.56	47.24 0.29	47 .24 0 25	47.04	مع 52.16 0.57	51.88 0 13	58.59 0.75	55.76 0.44	55.83 1.50	55.63 0.45
Cation pr Si Ti Al	46.93 0 13 16.19	ns base 45.66 0.07 15.02	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34	ns 49.60 0.56 16.37	47.24 0.29 19.12	47.24 025 17.07	47.04 1.03 16.28	ھے 52.16 0.57 14,93	51.88 0 13 20.58	58.59 0.75 13.19	55.76 0.44 16.13	55.83 1.50 16.02	55.63 0.45 15.33
Cation pr Si Ti Al My	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61	45.66 0.07 15.02 21_40	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46	ns 49.60 0.56 16.37 ≁9.47	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59	47.24 0.25 17.07 13.09	47.04 1.03 16.28 9.01	مع 52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15
Cation pr Si Si Al My Fe	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66	45.66 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53	ns 49.60 0.56 16.37 ≁9.47 9 54	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99	47.24 0.25 17.07 13.09 6.54	47.04 1.03 16.28 9.01 11.39	مع 52.16 0.57 14,93 9.45 6.15	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Nn	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13	d an 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08	49.60 0.56 16.37 ≁9.47 9 54 0.18	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20	⁴³ 52.16 0.57 14,93 9.45 6.15 0 11	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76 0.12	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15	45.66 0.07 15.02 21.40 5.90 0.13 9.31	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96	45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54	49.60 0.56 16.37 ≁9.47 9.54 0.18 9,86	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61	47.24 025 17.07 13.09 6.54 0.15 12.38	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0 11 5.86	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76 0.12 9.35	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca Na	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15 1.80	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 2.54	49.60 0.56 16.37 ~9.47 9 54 0.18 9.86 4.14	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61 2.65	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0 11 6.86 6 07	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76 0.12 9.35 6.08	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca Na K	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15 1.80 0.41	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32 0.19	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65 0.08	45.30 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 2.54 0.09	49.60 0.56 16.37 9.47 9.54 0.18 9.86 4.14 0.21	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61 2.65 0.33	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12 0.14	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98 0.60	52.16 0.57 14,93 9.45 6.15 0 11 6.86 6 07 3 48	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79 4 21	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76 0.12 9.35 6.08 0.36	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.95	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.4 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97 0.75	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca Na K P	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15 1.80 0.41 0.02	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65 0.08 0.02	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 2.54 0.09 0.02	49.60 0.56 16.37 9.47 9.54 0.18 9.86 4.14 0.21 0.07	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61 0.33 0.03	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12 0.14 0.02	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98 0.60 0.09	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0 11 6.86 6 07 3 48 0.21	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79 4 21 0.02	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 0.12 9.35 6.08 0.36 0.12	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.95 0.06	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97 0.75 0.71	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca Na K P 	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15 1.80 0.41 0.02 154.08	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01 151.99	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65 0.08 0.02 154.56	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 0.09 0.02 155 78	49.60 0.55 16.37 9.47 9.54 0.18 9.86 9.86 4.14 0.21 0.07 156.29	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61 2.65 0.33 0.03	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12 0.14 0.02 154.43	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98 0.60 0.09	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0 11 6.86 07 3 48 0.21	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79 4 21 0.02 157.84	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 0.12 9.35 6.08 0.36 0.12 162.90	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.95 0.06	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97 0.75 0.71	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27 159.59
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca K P O	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14 15 1.80 0.41 0.02 154.08	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01 151.99	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65 0.08 0.02 154.56	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 2.54 0.02 0.02 155 78	49.60 0.56 16.37 9.47 9.54 0.18 9.86 4.14 0.21 0.07 156.29	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 0.13 12.61 2.65 0.33 0.03 155.64	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12 0.14 0.02 154.43	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98 0.60 0.09	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0.11 6.86 6.07 3.48 0.21	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79 4 21 0.02 157.84	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 5.76 0.12 9.35 6.08 0.36 0.12 162.90	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.95 0.06 161.44	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97 0.75 0.71 165.04	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27 159.59
Cation pr Si Ti Al My Fe Mn Ca Ca K P O O	46.93 0 13 16.19 15.61 4.66 0.12 14.15 1.80 0.41 0.02 154.08 0.770 0.2770	45.66 0.07 15.02 21 40 5.90 0 13 9.31 2.32 0.19 0.01 151.99 0.784	d on 10 46.65 0.13 17.24 14.86 5.29 0.12 13.96 1.65 0.08 0.02 154.56 0.737	0 catio 45.30 0.10 23.34 10.46 3.53 0.08 14.54 0.09 0.02 155 78	49.60 0.55 16.37 9.47 9.54 0.18 9.86 9.86 4.14 0.21 0.07 156.29	47.24 0.29 19.12 11.59 5.99 0.13 12.61 2.65 0.33 0.03 155.64	47.24 0 25 17.07 13 09 6 54 0.15 12.38 3 12 0.14 0.02 154.43	47.04 1.03 16.28 9 01 11.39 0.20 10.37 3 98 0.60 0.09 154.05 0.4422 0.25	52.16 0.57 14.93 9.45 6.15 0 11 6.86 6 07 3 48 0.21 155 75 20.606	51.88 0 13 20.58 10 30 4.82 0.08 3 18 4.79 4 21 0.02 157.84 0.681	58.59 0.75 13.19 5.67 0.12 9.35 6.08 0.36 0.12 162.90	55.76 0.44 16.13 8.30 6.80 0.15 6.52 4.88 0.95 0.06 161.44	55.83 1.50 16.02 7.74 9.93 0.12 5.45 1.97 0.75 0.71 165.04) 0.438	55.63 0.45 15.33 8.15 5.28 0.11 5.67 7.30 1.82 0.27 159.59

Ð

s

6

ά

Lagrande Vasinski

· SAMPLE

84130 84146 84148 84149 84150 84151 84154 83093 84011 84078 84842 84087 84096 84098 CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb CoarGb ContGb ContGb ContGb ContGb ContGb ContGb ContGb

"·· `.

	184	509	141	104	6.9	103	140	70	74	40	36	É E	134	105
	388	1222	896	737	27	605	203	205	397	53	14	141 .	134.	361
	129.	96.	152.	105.	291.	174.	157		162.	85.	234.	177.	37.	120
r	40,	34.		، 'رُ بُ تُ			48	102	116.	62,	_	85.	118.	134.
	7.	4.					12.	34	16.	4.		18.	45.	19.
ь '	8.	8.		·		—	8.	10.	8.	8.	<u> </u>	90	11.	11.
Li li	22.	20.	_	<u>مىب</u> ە			15,	23.	111.	81.		29.	25.	37.
r.	63.	58,	·	· ·····		-	80.	157.	569.	74.		263.	65.	431
Jan	17.	0.	22.	21.	Ο.	36. 。	34.		710.	550.	76.	241.	104.	408

A

B--9

Lagrande-Yasinski

<u>____</u> 52.62 48 67 52.86 52.80 51.70 53.58 50.21 51.44 51.49 S102 55.79 48.60 47.58 53.74 49.70 0.89 T102 066 0,,74 0.71 0,74 0.63 0.68 0.69 0.68 0.82 0.47 0.86 0,95 1.00 A1203 14.03 16.51 15.21 13.73 14.91 11.74 14.96 16.41 16.41 13.39 15.50 16.04 14.35 15.45 Mg O. 7,76 8-81 7.15 5,43 8.29 10.82 8 18 5,42 4.42 5.66 7.02 8.51 6.81 6.05 FeO 8.59 8.91 8.77 10.21 9.07 8.36 +0,22 8.58 8.75 10.17 11.95 11.81 10.33 9.96 MrtO 0.16 0.18 0.23 0.30 0.21 0.16 0.20 0.18 0 18 0.25 0 22 0.22 0.31 0.19 (aO 12.34 9,13 13.36 1.1.91 12.11 10.73 9.66 13.55 14.67 10.82 12.53 11.19 8.03 13.43 Na 20 9.99 1.90 3.05 2.24 1.86 1.96 1.72 1.53 1,59 1.50 2.01 2.24 4.07 1.88 0.21 - 0.18 K20 1 13 0.09 0.15 0.87 0.27 U.45 0.12 U.20 0.18 0.24 0.24 0.11 P205 0 38 0.03 0.06 0.05 0.05 0.44 0.04 0105 0.05 0.04 0.08 0.07 0.09 0.07 12.66 0.97 LO1 1.20 0.74 0.87 0,70 1.17 1.07 1.53 1.59 0.53 0.39 1.00 2.33 99.81 99.42 99.40 100.11 99.57 99.78 99.88 99.79 99.81 100.31 99.51 99.76 100.17

Cation proportions based on 100 cations

48.75 45.58 50.11 51.08 48.06 49.89 47.18 48.70 49.40 53.41 45.94 44.65 50.09 47.60 51 0,54 0.50 0.52 0.45 0.48 0.50 0.57 0.33 0.47 0.49 0.63 0.61 0.67 0.72 11 16.99 15.66 16.34 12.88 16.57 18.31 18.56 15.11 17.27 A1 15.32 18.22 17.74 15.76 17.44 Mg 10.72 12.30 10.10 7.83 11.49 15.02 11.46 7.65 6.32 8.08 9.89 11.90 9,46 8,64 Eω 6 66 6 98 6.96 8.26 7.05 6.51 8.03 6.80 7.02 8.14 9.45 9.26 8.05 7.98 0.13 0.25 0.17 0.13 0 16 0.14 Mr. 0.14 0.18 0.15 0.20 0.18 0.17 0.24 0.15 Ca 9,06 13.40 12.10 12 55 10.69 9 64 12.42 13 74 15.08 11.10 12.69 11.25 8.02 13.78 2 78 3 56 4.04 Na 7.17 2.92 5 50 3.60 2 79 3.49 3.39 3,19 3.68 4.08 7.35 0.11 0.24 0.29 1.34 0.25 0.22 0 🖊 8 1.03 0 32 0.54 0.15 0 22 0.29 0.13 0.30 0.05 0.04 6.04 0.35 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.03 0.06 0 06 0.07 0.06 0 .. 153 18 153 54 157 64 157 62 153 95 154.83 154 10 156.32 157 77 159 79 153.35 152 02 154.92 155.32

Mg No. 0.617 0.638 0.592 0.487 0.620 0.698 0.588 0.529 0.474 0.498 0.511 0.562 0.540 0.520 A1/A1+S1 0.239 0.286 0.253 0.235 0.254 0.205 0.260 0.273 0.273 0.220 0.273 0.284 0.239 0.268

Yasinski

1	SAMPLE	83117 ContGb	84159 LTilev	84161 L7/Lav	84162 LT flav	84163 LT1Lav	20007 LT1Læv	20012 LT1Lmv	20013 LTilav	20014 LT1Lev	83151 LT1Lav	84157 1T1Lav	84158 IT1Lav	.84160 'IT1Lev	2000 ITil
, '	" <i>s</i>							, _ 		******					
	NI	79.	183.	99.	111.	153.	232.	159.	121.	151.	157.	122.	136.	68.	1-26
	Cr	410.	429.	312.	815.,	361.	794.	397.	407.	431.	821.	304.	298.	227.	328
	V ?	·	183.	264.	247.	246.	145	225.	257.	267.	_	263.	273.	254.	299

Źr		105.	53.	62.	73.	65.	—	60.	62.	. 63 .	69.	79.	74.	87.	81.
Y		18.	13.	17.	18.	19.		17.	18.	21	18.	26.	24.	23.	25
Nb		11.	8.	8	10	8.		8.	9.	. 9.	10.	.9.	8.	9.	9
Rb	÷	40.	18.	14.,	13.	14.		18	25.	14.	15.	15.	17.	15.	15
Şr		570.	161.	113	95.	107.		78.	118.	144.	83.	112.	125.	98.	- 182
Ba	• <u>1</u>		F1.	.33.	43.	27.	365.	54.	52.	0,	<u></u>	30 .	43.	37.	69

Lagrande,

Lagrande Vasinski

SAMPLE

20002 20004 20005 20006 20008 20009 20010 20011 83152 83153 84164 84165 83150 83145 ITILAV HTILAV HTILAV HTILAV HTILAV \$102 49.76 50.01 49.83 50.23 51.03 49.22 50.66 50.22 48.67 48.55 50.86 50.75 49.05 47.90 TIOŹ 0.95 0.98 0.97 0 97 0.96 0,96 1.02 0.92 0.92 0.87 1.31 1 32 1.42 1.38 A1203 15.30 15.03 15,50 15.13 15.40 15 55 16 44 0 15.20 15.85 15.70 11.09 11.08 11.46 15.41 MgO . 7.05 7.38 7.32 5.12 7.02 7.20 4 65 6.99 6.86 6.31 6.78 7.09 6.80 7.15 FeO 11.59 11.49 11.01 9.61 11.40 11.39 9.08 12.29 11 78 11.31 11.37 10.93 11.29 11.97 MnO 0.18 0.18 0.17 0.20 0.18 0.17 0 18 0.22 0.22 0.23 0.21 0.20 0.23 0.16 LaU 11.59 11.39 11.05 13:41 10.50 11.98 12 83 10.04 11.63 13.27 12.52 9.66 12.00 13.69 1.94 Na20 2.23 2.11 2.11 2 49 2.12 2.59 2 63 2 70 1.85 2.37 2.07 2.55 3.04 K20 0 08 0.14 0.15 0.12 0.19 0.15 0.21 0.42 0.25 0.21 0.31 0.84 0.44 1.00 P205 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.06 0.06 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.06 0.06 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.18 LOI 0.79 0.92 0.58 2.85 1.17 0.60 2.47 0 49 0.69 1.40 2.44 2.40 3.43 2.87 99.30 99.79 100.39 99.42 100.19 99.50 99.63 99.76 99.36 98.78 100.42 100.76 99.84 99.57 Cation proportions based on 100 cations 47 30 47.18 47.03 48.64 47 95 46 49 48 45 47.30 45 76 46.29 49.28 49 41 47.33, 45.36 51 Ti 0.68 0 70 0.69 0.71 0 68 0.68 0 73 0 65 0.65 0 62 0.95 0.97 1.00 1.01 A I 417.14 16.71 17.24 17227 17.06 17.31 18 53 16.87 17.56 17.64 12.67 12 71 -13.03 17.20 9.99 10.30 7.39 9.83 10.14 Mg 10 38 6.63 9.81 9.61 8.97 8.79 10.29 9.78 10.09 Fe 9 21 9.07 9.32 7.78 8,96 9.00 7.26 9.48 9.68 9.26 9.02 9.22 8.90 9.11 Mn 0.14 0.16. 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.14 0 14 0.18 0 18 0.19 0.17 0.16 0.19 0.13 11 17 13 91 10.57 12 12 13,15 10 13 11 71 (a 11.80 11 51 13 55 13.00 12.52 14.15 9.80 3.96 Na 3.58 4 08 3,86 4.54 3.88 4,80 4,80 4 92 3.42 4 45 3.91 4.77 5.58 0.10 0.17 0.18 0.15 0.23 0.26 0.50 0.30 0 26 0 38 1.04 ĸ 0.18 0.54 1.21 0.06 0.05 0.05 0 06 0.06 0.05 0 06 0.06 0.06 0.05 0.08 80.0 0.08 0.14 154 80 154 19 154.41 156.00 154 85 153.88 156.00 153 83 152 65 153 97 154 28 154.38 152.32 151.79 n My No " 0.520 0.534 0.525 0.487 0.523 0.530 0.477 0.503 0.509 0.499 0.515 0.536 0.518 0.516 0.266 0 262 0 268 0 262 0 262 0 271 0 277 0.263 0,277 0.276 0.204 0.205 0.216 0.275 Å1/A1+51

Lagrande Yasinski

N C

.*.

×.,

ø.,

15

B-13

٠,

24

. 4

.

SAMPLE 20002 20004 20005 20006 20008 20009 20010 20011 83152 83153 84164 84165 83150 83145 Itilav Htilav Htilav Htilav Htilav Htilav

2	ູ່ ງ.	35.	32.	27.	49.	29.	65.	42.	_	-	68.	175.		
r	113.	139.	147.	149.	178.	143.	229	235.	97.	105.	<u></u>	283.	624.	225.
b	14.	14.	14.	14.	15.	1,4 .	16.	20.	14.	15.		28.	19.	42.
b	9.	9.	8	9	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	_	22.	20.	.11.
	24.	24	25.	25	26.	25.	25.	24.	23.	24.		21,	22.	25.
r`	78.	80	78.	80.	79.	78.	78	79	74.	74.		120.	118.	107.
	289.	269.	293	280.	287.	275.	281	296.			249.	239.	—	-
r	315.	317.	307.	334.	334.	317	446.	203.	205.	274.	297.	283.	274.	68.
i	126.	121.	136.	133.	154.	130.	236.	140	79.	79.	156.	150.	79.	79.

	•		-					-						
									-1			,	•'	
				. (۰.				
					*	•								
Lagrande	Vasinsk	K						•	4					
. *				*					۰.					
						*						-	•	
							<i>ъ</i>							
SAMPLE	84005	84010	84014	84021	84051	84054	84058	84059	84063	84064	84072	84074	84079	84
•	Tonal	Tonal	Tonal	Tonal	Tonal	Ional	ional	Ional	fonal	'Tonal	Tonal	, Fona I	Fonal	TO
						?			• 					
5102	72.79	71.55	70 44	72.14	69.90	69.71	71.46	70.35	71 14	69.55	71.14	71.27	70.69	67
T102	0.17	0.26	0.37	0.25	0 20	0.25	0.25	0.24	0 27	0.30	0.28	0.23	0.24	0
A1203	14.87	15.57	14.85	15.22	16.22	16.18	15.48	14.59	15.26	14.52	14 32	¥4.36	14.89	13.
MgQ	0.43	0.55	0 75	1,07	1.60	1.54	0.74	1.51	0.94	1.83	1 73	1.22	1.98	4.
F HO	1 12	1.89	3 24	1.84	1 47	1.72	1.47	1.91	1.94	2.53	2 56	2 49	2.86	5
4 b ()	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	
	1 6 4	0.02	2 0.00	1 10	2 10	1 03	2 4 4	2 5	1 7	2 60	2 10	2 40	1 22	
	I.04	£ 13	4 9/	7 76	2 19	1 33	4 44 A AD	2.01	A 90	2 50	2 0	4 20	3.32	- U
NALU	3.29	5.20	4.04	1 5 2	1 40	0.89	1 00	4 20	1 80		2 32	4.20	3.35	7
N2U	1 32	1.30	2.08	0 52	4.03	~ 00	1 2 3	4 39	1 03	3 08	2.5/	1.11	£.39	0
P205	0.07	0.09	0.09	0 10	0 05	0.07	0.09~	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0 04	0.08	0
LOI	1 73	1 07	1.30	0.55	3+60	3.23	1 9-2	4.03	2.04	382	2.24	1.49	2.80	2
	100.09	100 23	100.19	100.08	100.78	100.22	100 24	100.44	100 11	100 24	100.00	99.60	100.17	100
	100.09	100 23	100.19	100.08	100.78	100.22	100 24	100.44	100 11	100 24	100.00	99.60	100.17	100
Cation pr	100.09 oportid	100 23	100.19	100.08 00 catio	100.78	100.22	100 24	100.44	100 11 2	100 24	100.00	× 99.60 I	100.17	100
Cation pr	100.09 roportio	100 23 Ins base	100.19	100.08 00 catio	100.78	100.22	100 24	100.44	100 11 	100 24	100.00	99.60 I	100.17	100
Lation pr	100.09 coportio	100 23 Ins base	100.19 10 on 10	100.08	100.78 ons	100.22 67 99	100 [°] 24	100.44	100 11 0 67 11	68 00	100.00 68 23	99.60 1	100.17	100
Cation pr	100.09 coportio	100 23 ons base 66 71	100.19 ad on 10 66.55	100.08 00 catio 65.93	100.78 ons 67 73	100.22 67 99	100 [°] 24 67.40	100.44 69.38	100 11 0 67 11	100 24 68.00	100.00 68.23	99.60 1 67.61	100.17 67.69	100 64
Lation pr	100.09 Coportio 68 28 0.12	100 23 ons base 66 71 0.18	100.19 ad an 10 66.55 0 26	100.08 00 catio 65.93 0.17	100.78 ons 67 73 0.15	100.22 67 99 0.18	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18	100.44 69.38 0.18	100 11 0 67 11 0,19	100 24 68.00 0.22	100.00 68.23 0.20	99.60 1 67.61 0.16	100.17 67.69 0.17	100 64 0
Cation pr Sr Ti Al	100.09 oportio 68 28 0.12 16,44	100 23 ms base 66 71 0.18 17.11	100.19 ad an 10 66.55 0 26 16.54	100.08 DØ catio 65.93 0.17 16.39	100.78 ons 67 73 0.15 18.52	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21	100.44 69.38 0.18 16.96	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19	99.60 1 67.61 0.16 16.06	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81	100 64 15
Cation pr SY Ti Al	68 28 0,12 16,44 0,60	100 23 ins base 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76	100.19 ad an 10 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06	100.08 00 catho 65.93 0.17 16.39 4 1.46	100.78 ons 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04	100.44 69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47	99.60 1 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83	100 64 0 15 6
Cation pr ST Ti Al Mg Fe	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88	100 23 005 base 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47	100.19 10 on 10 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56	100.08 00 catho 65.93 0.17 16.39 41.46 1.40	100.78 ons 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2 07	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06	99.60 1 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89	100 64 0 15 6 4
Cation pr ST Ti Al Mg Fe Mn	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16 44 0.60 0.88 0.02	100 23 100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.2	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05	100.08 00 catto 65.93 0.17 16.39 * 1.46 1.40 0.02	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2 07 0 04	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04	99.60 i 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89 0.06	100 64 0 15 6 4 0
Cation pr SY Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca	100.09 oportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65	100 23 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01	100.08 DU catio 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1 40 0 02 1.08	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2 07 0 04 2 62	68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16	99.60 1 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89 0.06 1.35	100 64 0 15 6 4 0
Cation pr SY Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca ^r Na:	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62	100 23 005 base 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40	100.19 100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40	100.08 00 catto 65.93 0.17 16.39 * 1.46 1 40 0 02 1.08 12 86	100.78 005 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19	100.44 69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73 8.78	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2.07 0.04 2.62 3.73	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5 43	67.61 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73	67.69 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 8
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33	100 23 100 23 105 base 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51	100.08 00 catio 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61	100.78 005 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73 8.78 8.227	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2.07 0.04 2.62 3.73 3.84	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5 43 3 14	67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 8 0
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K P	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07	100.08 00 catio 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.08	100.78 005 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98 0.04	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1.73 8.78 8.227 0 07	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2.07 0.04 2.62 3.73 3.84 0.07	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07	67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06	100 64 0 15 4 0 8 0 0
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K P	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16,44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72	100 23 66 71 0.18 17,11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 170.08	100.19 100.26 10.55 10.26 10.55 10.25 10.05	100.08 00 catio 65.93 0.17 16.39 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.08 167.68	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98 0.04 173 31	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07	69.38 0.18 16.96 1.57 0.04 2.76 1.30 5.52 7.0.07	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73 8.78 2 27 0 07	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2.07 0.04 2.62 3.73 3.84 0.07	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07	99.60 4 67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 8 0 0
Cation pr SY Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K P O	100.09 oportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72	100 23 100 20 100 20	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24	100.08 00 cath 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1 40 0 02 1.08 12 86 0 61 0 09 167.68	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98 0.04 173 31	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07 174.73	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0.02 1.73 8.78 2.27 0.07 170.37	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 0 04 2 62 3 73 3.84 0.07 172 91	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07 172.35	99.60 (67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 2.83 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0.06 1.35	100 64 0 15 6 40 0 8 0 0 167
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K P O O	100.09 oportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72 0.405	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 170.08 5.0 34	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24	100.08 00 cath 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1 40 0 02 1.08 12 86 0 61 0 04 167.68 2 0 51	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2 78 4.98 0.04 173 31 0 0 66	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05 5 0.47	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07 174.73	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73 8.78 2 27 0 07 170.37 5 0 46	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 0 04 2 62 3 73 3.84 0.07 172 91	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5 43 3 14 0 07 172.35	67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92 6 0 46	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06 171.80	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 0 8 0 0 0 167
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Ka Na: K P O O Mg Nu. Al/Al+Si	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72 0.405 0.194	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 1.70.08 5.0 343 4.0 204	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24 2 0 29 4 0 19	100.08 00 cath 65.93 0.17 16.39 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.04 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.04 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.04 1.08 1.07 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.07 1.08 1.08 1.07 1.08 1.07 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.07 1.08 1.08 1.09	100.78 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98 0.04 173 31 0 0 66 9 0.21	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82 0.061 5.0.21	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05 5 0.47; 5 0 20;	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07 174.73 3 0 58 3 0 19	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1.73 8.78 8.227 0 07 170.37 5 0 46 6 0 20	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 2.07 0.04 2.62 3.84 0.07 172 91 3.0 562 2.0 193	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07 172.35 3.054 7.019	99.60 (67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92 6 0 46 2 0.19	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06 171.80 * 6 0 600 2 0.195	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 0 8 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Na: K P O O Mg Nu Al/Al+Si	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72 0.405 0.194	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 170.08 5.0 34 4.0 20	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24 2 0 29 4 0 19	100.08 00 catio 65.93 0.17 16.39 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.08 167.68 2.0.519 9.0.199	100.78 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2.78 4.98 0.04 173 31 0 0 66 9 0.21	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82 0 0 611 5 0.21	100 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05 5 0.47 5 0 20	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07 174.73 3 0 58 3 0 19	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1.73 8.78 8.227 0 07 170.37 5 0 46 6 0 20	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 0.04 2.62 3.73 3.84 0.07 172 91	68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07 172.35 3.054 7.019	67.61 0.16 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92 6 0 46 2 0.19	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06 171.80 6 0 60(2 0.195	100 64 0 15 6 0 0 8 0 0 0 167 167
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca K Na: K P O O Mg Nu Al/Al+Si	100.09 coportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 170.72 0.405 0.194	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 170.08 5.0 34 4.0 20	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24 2 0 29 4 0 19	100.08 00 cath 65.93 0.17 16.39 1.46 1.40 0.02 1.08 12.86 0.61 0.08 167.68 2.0.519 9.0.199	100.78 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2 78 4.98 0.04 173 31 0 0 66 9 0.21	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82 0.061 5.0.21	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05 5 0.47 5 0 20	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 7 0.07 174.73 3 0 58 3 0 19	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1.73 8.78 8.227 0 07 170.37 5 0 46 6 0 20	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 0 2.67 0 2.67 3 3.84 0.07 172 91 3.0 56: 2.0 19:	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07 172.35 3.054 7.019	67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92 6 0 46 2 0.19	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06 171.80 • •	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Cation pr Sr Ti Al Mg Fe Mn Ca Ra K Na: K P O O Ng Nu Al/Al+Si	100.09 oportio 68 28 0.12 16.44 0.60 0.88 0.02 1.65 9.62 2.33 0.06 1.70.72 0.405 0.194	100 23 66 71 0.18 17.11 0.76 1.47 0.02 2.73 9.40 1.55 0.07 170.08 5.0 34 4.0 20	100.19 66.55 0 26 16.54 1.06 2.56 0.05 3 01 7 40 2.51 0 07 170 24 2 0 29 4 0 19	100.08 00 cath 65.93 0.17 16.39 - 1.46 1 40 0 02 1.08 12 86 0 61 0 08 12 86 0 61 0 08 157.68 2 0 519 9 0 199	100.78 0n5 67 73 0.15 18.52 2.31 1.19 0.03 2.27 2 78 4.98 0.04 173 31 0 0 66 9 0.21	100.22 67 99 0.18 18.60 2.24 1.40 0.03 2.02 1.68 5.80 0.06 173.82 0.061 5.0.21	100 [°] 24 67.40 0 18 17 21 1.04 1 16 0.02 2.47 8 19 2 27 0 07 171 05 5 0.47 5 0 20	69.38 0.18 16.96 2 22 1.57 0.04 2 76 1 30 5 52 0.07 174.73 3 0 58 3 0 19	100 11 67 11 0.19 16 97 1.32 1.53 0 02 1 73 8.78 2 27 0 07 170.37 5 0 46 6 0 20	100 24 68.00 0.22 16 73 2.67 0.04 2.62 3.84 0.07 172 91 3.0 563 2.0 193	100.00 68.23 0.20 16.19 2.47 2.06 0.04 2.16 5.43 3.14 0.07 172.35 3.054 7.019	67.61 0.16 16.06 1.73 1.98 0.03 2.53 7.73 2.14 0.03 170.92 6 0 46 2 0.19	100.17 67.69 0.17 16.81 1.89 0.06 1.35 6 22 2.92 0 06 171.80 *	100 64 0 15 6 4 0 0 8 0 0 0 167 167

'_#v , *** ⊂

يغي ح

> (، • • • •

••••

· `>

e in

٩

B-14

** •

4

Ą,

:

r*	• • سرم • سرم	۱۹۹۳ میں ۱۹۹۹ میں		-		B 		- ` *	, , 1974 ,	♥ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰	مید ۲۱ مد ب	* 			-	•		*			
		• [•]	م ن ور در	۰ ÷ ۹.	•	<i>*</i>	,	• •	*	ű.	ι <u>,</u> ι γ	• 4 • • • •	•	6 6 - 255 19 1	U	9 ž.	•	, -	•	r	``.
۵ [.]	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			•	Lagrande	Yasinsk	1 202		` #	•		1.4	€ 4	-	-	•			Þ	,	
ء در جر	, *¥ +	≺ 4 £	•		SAMPLE	84005 Tona P	84010 Tonal	84014 Tonal	84021 Tonał	84051 Tonal	84054 Tona i	,84058 Tona 1	84059 Tonal	84063 Tonal	84064 Tona 1	84072 Tona I	84074 Tona 1	84079 Tonal	84086 Tona 1		
		يع المريد كار م بر م			Ni Cr Zr Zr ND KD KD Sr Ba	36. 0. 5 6. 62 258. 676.	7. 7. 12 — — - 221.	19. 12. 32. — — 502.	13. 0 20. 142. 11. 10. 27. 154. 243.	51. 45. 22, — — — 481.	21. 15. — — 495.	13. 12 10. — — — 208.	12. 7. 2 132. 5. 10. 127 41. 509	9. 0 135. 7 9. 63. 142 182	13. 10 18. 160, 6. 9. 91. 59. 246	5. 24. 160. 7. 10. 62. 113. 586.	28. 12. 48. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	18. 0. 4. 124. 5. 11. 63. 40. 119.	43 . 38. 73. 107. 9. 11. 23. 129. 155.	*	•
284. 4 74	,		B-15	- <u>-</u> ,	ہ ۲۰ ۲۰ ۲۰		· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·			.;	بد ۲	,	۵ بر سر	*	۰. ب		2	
یم ر س	۹ میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں		-		* 3	بر بر ا بر م	۰ ۲۰ ۲۰۰ ۲۰ ۴.	۰۶ مربع ۱۹		• • • • • • •		ئی ہے جو سے بی ہو۔ ہے		ман У дан - У - Учн			,	• •	ç	•	•
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	/			- -	, ,	• •	1	۰ ۳, ۴۵۰	. ·		• • • •			، -	-	سمعد	- Catalogue de	.)		
· * ,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ی ب	**************************************	۰. ۲	μπ γ τ ^{γ γ} μ μ γ τ γ τ	,		• 	,		_^ ` `	• •		.		-	
<i>i</i> ,	`م الج م	3	÷ 5- 1	۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲		مهم ۱. ۱.	ç *	~~, ~	- 	•	J ^		* č ++*	·		ی ۱۰۰۰ ۱۰۰۰ م	•	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		*	
	*	یعر ۲		n ante de ante ante ante ante ante ante	ه. . و ^{رو} هم 	ی م	یلی نام ج		•			-	~ `}	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	۰ ۰			с. С	۴.	

	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	۰. ۱۹۰۰ - ۲۰ ^۵	× 2		7	۰, ۰	- -			يد 1	<u>_</u> تر ،				•	,			
-	-	_		·	· · · ·				6		• 4 2 197 -	· · · ·	،	· (¥	4	1-
				1	in the			• •		<u>،</u> د	** *	- * »		17 ×1			6	2		
**	-	-	·		فيدي		-		* .			•	• *	•				. ¹	for all g	~
	· · ·			۶.		- -			*	, ,	3** 1 **		· ·				94	t	÷ • •	
、	•				-						~		<u>دين</u> ۲۵	*	- •	* * 0	· 4	-74	• •	•
	•			· • • •	•					*				**	ಲ '			s"	•	· ·
	۰ د						٢	· · · · · ·	•		n Sent al	4 ·	9 ⁴						·	
***	•				Lagrande	Yasinsk	i ,		·~ • • • • •	• •		· · ·	₽			د	~	•		
-				-		, [•]	•	۲				s.	•••	3	هم ۲	جو				• 2
		L		, e,	SAMPLE	84088 Topal	84099 Topal	84101 Toopl	84109 Topal	84137 Toopl	84138 Técal	84139 Topal	84140. Tugal	÷		•, 1	•	L L	r c	~ _
			-		 									•					×	,
					\$102 1102	72.36	66 90 0.32	66 95 0 48	71.56	72.70	74.90	70.95	72.19		. "		,			•
	- r `			•	A 1 2 0 3 Mg 0	15.60	13.54	14.03	15,19 0 53	15,14	14.40	16.01	14.21	£."	5			-	· -	
,		•)	•		Fe0 Mn0	1.5 <u>4</u> 0.04	6.09 0.13	5.13 0.06	1.65 0.02	1.63 0.02	1.05	1.57	2.65			-	•	+ •		
'	• •		-		CaO Na20	1,65 5,67	1.52	4.12	1 70 5 29	2,28 5.36	1.45	1 45	2.14		ه میں		`-	,	_	£
يد او ¹⁴ د. دان	•	ų	ø		к20 Р205	1.34 0.07	2 02 0.05	1.28 0.07	1.89 0.07	1.12 0 07	1.20 0.05	1 73 (1) 10	- 292 0.08	ŧ	, •• ,	٤.				- ,
		. TO			L01	1,14	3 46	1.52	1.95	0.99	0.73	60 100	0.80				.1	۱.	•	*
	_			-a -		100,34	100 29	100.12	100.07	100.01	99.97	100.23	99.92		۰ ۲		٠		د	د -
-	т М	₩ 7¥	2		Catton [®] p	proportio	hs base	di un 10	O catio	ns .			•	, .		•		ت	•	•
		•	م		Si	67,11	65 30	63.60	67 28	67.87	69 62	66 ÖÖ	68.02							•
				- ¹⁴	TT , Al	0,15 17,05	0.23 J5 58	0 34	0 16 15,83	0.16	0.10	0 20 17,55	0.23 15.78	*	-				. .	
					Mg Fe	1.00	7.26	295 4.55	Ú 74 1 29	0.65 1 27	0.35 0.82	0.69	0.70 2.08		· ·		•		v -	
م بريد م ج		c	•	- ,	- Mn Ca	0.03	0.11	0 05 4.19	0.02	0 02 28	0 01	0.01	0.04			, `	:			
	•	ر.			Na K	10.20	2 40	7.00	9.64	9 70	10.42	10 75 2.05	7.40		• `			٠.	•	,
4 1 19 - 54	- x		, ~	-	P •	149 07	0.04	U.U6	0.06	170.00	U.U4	168 70	0.06		•				, ~	•
· · · ·	7, 4 7 87			**	<u>,</u>	100 31	170 33	107.01	103.30	110 31	171.70		170.75		.*				~ . c	
, * * . ²	2	F	•		Ng Ng	0,455	0 593	0.393	0.365	0 340	0,297	7 0 36	3 0 252	2		-	¢	~		
			- ÷	, . • *	A1/A1+3	. 0.203	, 0.193	, 0 199	1	0 19	0 16:		. 0,186	5				s.	-	
	2 4			• ~		,		- '	i 				•		•		,		,	
• • .	•				۔ و ڈ			'	•		s*			•			•			ŋ
		۰ ۱ ۱		-			`, "		، ۲۰	`	<u>~</u> (•`			•			23	
	2		æ' .	, į	· · · · ·	¥	1 ,		•		, *						۵		-	
	_** * *	ь : к	·	-	4 ¹¹⁷	•	÷ .	ν κ							4-			to		
	۰ ⁻ ۲	-		7	· · · · ·	5	÷	î.,		•	<i>(</i> 1								,	٠
	•		· -		، ب		-	•					٠, 📩					-		
	٠	-	5			•						-			-				-	

Lagrande Yasinski

<u>م م</u>رد معرب محمد بر

· •¥

B-17

3

4

.* 1

							•	-	
SAMPLE	84088 Tona I	• 84099 Tonal	84101 - Tonal -	B4109 Tonal	84137 Tonal	84138 Tonal	84139 Tonal	84140 Tonal	,
•									;
NI '	° 17.	35	36.`	9.	11.	17.	11.	. 18.	
Cr	0	99.	32.	6.	7.	8.	З.	0.	1
ν	<u>` 16</u>	68	1,09	18	14	8	26.	26.	
*Zr		99.	128.	—	†39 .	121.	` —	166.	
¥	—	13.	21	—	6.	9.		×29.	
ND	. 	11.	12		9.	8.		12	
RD	_	52.	59.		37	38.		95.	
sr		78.	155		351.	217.	—	127.	
. 8a	202.	193.	282.	456.	250.	454.	431.	621.	

.1

					•			• '					-					
-	- × ×												•					
•	•		•						° 🖪	*	,						4	
	-			<u>،</u> ،			- ~		_									
	*	. •	•		:	•~ L	•	• •	•••	2				•				
-				**	•		· · · · ·	*				•						
	· • •			•	•	*	e.,	•							•			
	10	1			••	- 1		• •	5 1						-			
	<u>}</u>		4		~	<u> </u>		. '	· · · ·	. 1							,	
	ř								•	•				-				•
~	· ,		,* ~	۰ م.	•	~		-		<u></u>						3	•	
	Lagrande	Vasinsk	1 j.e.	~	· .	•				-	-							
	• _ •		•		۰.,		4	• . •	· ·									
۱	•		5		•	•	•		1	•		· •	. ,					
,		-	•	<u>ب</u>	•			. •	•									
	A		÷ ,		۰.	-		•/ *	`	•				-	R.			4
-	SAMDI F	83059	83021	Å3072	83048	83058	83069	83074	83075	83077	A3088	83089	43092	83094	83097			
•	30mr 42	Pacid	Parid	Perid	Purid	Pecid	Pecda '	Decid	Parid	Decid	Pecia	Becid	Perid	Perid	Decid	•		
		F8110	1.14	<i>2</i> . G 1.1 G	* 41.14			Furia ~				Fer 10		Feriu	Perita			
						•			•		1.							
,	(100							********										
	5102	34 / 1	27 20	31,55	41 11	35 30	32.32	36,60	36.95	-33-93	36.21	39 08	36.79	38.32	40.32			
٠	·T102	0.03	0.02	0.04	0 09	0.02	0 01	0.03	0.04	Q.04	0.06	0:09	0.08	0.10	0.09			
	- A1203	0.73	0 69	0 80	3.30	1.23	0.62	096	1.35	<u>,1 19</u>	1.97	2.73	2 85	m .3.83	2.94			
	MgO -	37.56	34.54	35 19	33.92	36,01	35.25	36.70	35.79	36 39	35.38	34.46	32.61	33.59	33.41			
	FeO.	9.62	10 43	11.30	9.61	9 50	14 31	9 25	11 30	10 92	10.51	10 66	11.11	10.01	10.92			
,	MriO	0~ 16	0 20	. D. 19	0 15	° 0.14	0 13	0 20	0 12	0 13	0.19	0.13	0 14	0.15	0.15			•
	T-0	0.01	-3 01	• 0. 21	0 22	0.02	0.001	0.02	0.0	0.0	0.46	0:34	1 10	1.49	· 0.02 \			
	N.20 ·	0.04	- D 0	1 . n na	0 05	0.07	0 07	0.04	0.0	,0.0	0 70 0 05	0 02	0.0	0.04	0.01			
	1420	0.01	0.0		-0.03	00	0.07	0.04	<u> </u>	00	0.05	0.02	00	0.04	0.0			
•	N 20 D 205	. 0.01	0.01		0.01	0.0	-00	00	0 0.9	0.0	· u.u ·	0.0		0.01	0.0			
	P205	0.01	0.01		0 01	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 01	0.0	0.01	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.0			
	LO1 /	10.93	22.03	19.71	10 18	16 97	12.90	15.2U	13.18	16 18	13.81	10.92	13.73.	12.04	10.58			
																	í.	
-	•	a a 86	98.14	99.04	9,8 66	-99,26	98 62	aa oo	98 75	98 78	98.65	98.43	98 41	99.59	98.43			•
		v ·	· •					•	,	-					د			
	,				- <u>-</u>		` -					•						
	Cation pr	oportio	ns base	id on 10	0 catio	กร่	-			•	•				-			
•		•		-		. ·	• -	.								-		
	` ~`					-												
	Si	34 76	29 68	33 25	19 47	35 7H	33 04	36 43	136 41	34 33	35 90	37 88	37 01	37 14	30 17			
	T.	0 03	0.02	0.03	0.06	ຄຸດບ	0 01	0.02	0.02	0 00	0.04	07.00	10.06	0,07	0.07	4		
		0.02	0 02	0.03	0 00	. 0.02	0.01	0.02	. 0 03	0 03	0.04	0 07	0.00	0.07	0.07			
	At •	. 0,00	0 69	0.99	3/3	1 47,	0.75	1.13	1.57	1.42	2.30	12 التر	3 38	4,38	3.37			
	My -	56 07	56.17	55,27	48.55	54 40	53 71*	54.45	52.56	54,87	52.29	49.79	48.90	48.53	48.38		•	•
	Fu	- 8.06	9.52	9,96	7,72	6 8 05	12.23	7 70	9.31	9.24	8,71	8.64	9.35	B. 11	8.87		-	
	Min	0,14	0.18	0,17	012	U 12	0 11	0.17	0ູ້າບ	0.11	0.16	0.11	0 12	0.12	0.12			-
,	Ca -	0.01	3.52	0.24	0 23	0 02	0.01	0 02	0.0	0.0	0 49	0 35	1.19	1,55	0.92			
	Na	0.08	0.0	0, OH	0.09	0.14	0 14	0.08	0.0	0.0	0.10	0.04	0.0	0.08	0.0			
	к	0 0	0.01	0 0	0.01	0.0	n 0	0.0	a ,	0.0	0.0	0 0	0.0	0 0	0.0		, ~	
~	<u>с</u> ,	0 01		0.01	à 01	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.01	0.0	0.01			10.01	0.0	•		
				0.00		0.0								0.01	0.0			
•	0	176 10	140 15	141 76	141 27	1 16 46	144 36	126 00	197 99	136 07	137 06	120 40	120 76	120 27	140.00			
	U	122.18	130 15	133.75	141.37	130 40	133.35	190, 38	131.23	135.07	137.06	139 49	130.70	138.31	140.92		۰.	
-	*	-				-		,		r.		۰			•		,	
	*1				1	:	· · · · · ·	-		• • • • •		•			·	•		-
	'Ng Nu.	0.874	0 855	-U.847	0.863	0 871	. 0.815	0 876	0,849	0 856	0.857	0,852	0.839	0.857	0.845		-	
	AIZA1+51	0.024	0.029	9 0 0 2 9	0 086	0.03A	0.022	0.030	0.041	0.040	0.060	0.076	→D.08 4	🖻 0.105	0.079			,
1	· . · ·		z	-				-	-			~			-			
*	`			· .		•						د .				. ,		
	· · ·				• •	• ,		1		e	,							
	· · ·	• 、	-	•			- *	-	-		· · ·	N .		· . `	z'	ø		<i>.</i>
		• •	-				~ I .	•	,			~					· .	
			-	× .			, `			. '				-	л. Я	•	• •	

Lagrande Yasinsk

AMPLE	83059 Perid	88021 Perid	83022 Perid	.83048 Perid	83058 Perid	B3069 Perid	83074 Perid	- 83075 Perio	83077 Perid	83088 Perid	83089 Perid	83092 Perid	83094 Perid	83097 Perid
1 <u>1</u> .r	B64. 2531.	2672. 2121.	1414. 3284.	1414. 5816.,	1100. 2874.	1021. 6158.	1414.	990. 5337.	1414. 5200.	1257. 3489.	1336. 6158.	1257. 6295.	1336. 684.	1179. 5063.
~		`		1 1	· · ·			•				•	-	

P

ୢୄ୲ୄ

· · ·

Lagrande Yasinsk 83104 83110 83119 83121 83046 83065 83083 83084 83102 83109 83111 83120 83123 83139 , SAMPLE Perid Perid Perid Perid FineGb S102 37.07 48.81 48.36 48.68 53.99 49.94 38.54 39.95 38.65 49.62 49.18 51.78 49 34 49.79 T102 0.11 0.13 0.16. 0.11 0.98 0 90 0.74 0.89 0.92 / 1.82 0 65 1.02 1.56 1.45 13.34 A1203 3.47 4.96 3.54 14.25 14.90 14,97 14,54 14 32 15.87 13.54 14.22 14.36 3.46 8.67 8.30 7.34 4.55 9.01 7.97 3.96 6.32 MgO 32.93 32.39 29.61 33.40 7.99 8.04 11,06 FeO 11.35 11.55 11.02 10.47 12.62 11.54 8.89 12.10 14 63 10.36 11 63 1-1.95 13.45 0.20 0,21 MnO 0.21 0.18 0 21 0.24 0.21 0.22 0.17 0.23 0,18 0.19 0.18 • 0.16 CaO 10.57 8.83 10.34 8 21 1.21 1.73 3.43 1.57 8.96 10.97 8.18 11.24 11.84 9.29 Na20 0.06 0.07 0.06 0.07 2.62 - 1.80 3.15 2,20 1.11 2 82 2 02 2.54 3.79 3.04 0.50 0.84 K20 0.01 0.0 0.01 0.01 1,15 2.39 0.37 0.51 0.91 0 62 1.-03 0.75 0.14 D.13 P205 0.01 0.0 0.01 0.01 **U.07** 0.06 0.31 0,05 0.05 0.20 0 04 0 06 LOI 10.87 11.69 9.66 10.89 1.18 . 1.17 2.33 1,92 2.48 1.66 1.62 1.64 0.56 0.77 98.74 98.28 99.05 98.88 99.65 99.26 99.96 99,82 99 70 99.37 99.39 99 76 99.58 99.73 Cation proportions based on 100 cations 51 37.46 36.58 38.70 37.37 46.81 46 77 48.51 46.21 47 85 48.34 45.62 46.08 51.16 47.23 Τi 0.08 0.10 0 12 0 Q8 0.70 Q.64 0 52 0 63 0.67 1 33 0.46 0.73 1.11 1.03 • 16.70 A 1 3,98 4.02 5.66 4.03 15 84 14.73 16.70 16.62 16.39 17 64 15.11 15.88 / 16.01 47,71 47.64 42 75 11.40 12 11 11 71 10 61 6.58 12.67 11.24 5.59 MΩ 48.14 11.24 8.91 8,93 9 17 6.96 8.76 8.17 9.20 9.47 Fe 9.22 9.53 8.47 9.95 9.82 11.88 10.64 0.17 0.17 0.14 Mn 0.15 0.16 0 15 0.13 0.17 0.16 0 14 0.17 0.20 0.18 0.18 Сa 1.26 1.83 3.56 1.63 9.06 11.18 8.21 10.72 11.68 9.18 10.45 12 01 8.33 9.41 0.11 0.13 0.11 0 13 4,79 3.32 · 5 72 4.04 2 09 5 31 3.69 4.66 6.96 5.57 Na 0.01 . 1.38 0.01 0.0 0.01 0.61 2 86 1.01 0.46 0.63 1.10 0.75 1.24 0.90 0.01 0.0 0.01 0.01 0.06 0.05 0.25 0.04 0.04 0.16 0.03 0.05 0.11 0.10 139.48 138.62 141.60 139.41 152.43 153 88 152 47 152.73 155 62 155.14 152 55 151 72 156.27 153.18 Mg No. 0.838 0.833 0.827 0.850 0.530 0.554 0.635 0.572 0.519 0.357 0.608 0 550 0.371 0.456 A1/A1+51 0.096 0.099 0.128 0.097 0.253 0.263 0.233 0.265 0.258 0.253 0.279 0.247 0.237 0.253

B-2(

Lagrande Vasinski

B-2

*

SAMPLE	83104 Perid	83110 Perid	83119 Perid	83121 Perid	83046 FineGb	83065 FineGb	83083 FineGb	8308,4 F1ñeGb	83102 FineGb	83109 FineGb	83111 FineGb	83120 FineGb	83123 FineGb	83130 FineGi
Ni Cr	1021. 4858.	1336. 342.	943. 3695.	1179 <i>.</i> 4995.	79. 205.	79. 65.	79. 479.	79. 342.	79. 205.	79. 68.	157. 342.	79. 274.	79. 68.	79. 137.
		· · · · · ·										, ,	-	
			٠										•	•
		•			,	•								
,				e		1								
				۲			•							
											-	•		_
							•			Ň		4		
												-		
				٠							~			
			<i>`</i>		-									
			•,	•	a					•			•	
						ð								
•							ž							
				î		1								
									ŧ				•	
	•				•								Ø	

۰ , ۰ . Lagrande Yasinski

												~		
SAMPLE	83112	83132	B3133	83041	83043	83044	83045	83049	83050	83051	83053	83090	83095	83098
	FineGD	FineGb	FineGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGo	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGb
5102	48.89	49.28	47.61	49.31	48.51	52.83	51.52	49.24	49.20	49.79	50.37	48.06	51.14	47.57
ri02	1.56	0.98	0,99	0.29	0,42	0.38	0.50	0,28	0.61	0.34	0.12	0.20	0.58	0.96
1203	13.60	15.15	14.63	15.70	13,34	14.16	14.43	15.71	14.19	16.22	14.59	14.81	14.36	15.43
itg0	5.94	7.75	8.52	9.98	9.64	8.74	8.09	9.92	9.58	11.05	13.32	11.09	9.00	8.12
=e0	15.76	12.03	12.53	7.68	9.50	7.08	7.70	7.67	10.40	7.57	6.29	10.00	9.34	14.03
In0	0.27	0.20	0.21	0.13	0.20	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.22	0.18	0.25
CaO	7.64	10.15	11,40	12.76	14.54	9.54	12.86	12.87	11 28	9.88	10.61	8.57	10.82	7.17
Va 20 👘 🔬	2.44	2.23	1, 69	2.02	1.38	3.65	2.62	2 07	1.99	2.77	1.88	2.07	1,64	2,67
(20	0.84	- 1.22	1.09	0.60 *	0.13	1.31	0.52	0.56	0.79	0.31	1.27	2.70	0.71	0.93
P205 ·	0.12	0.07	0.03	-0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0 01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0,05	0.07
-01	2.20	1.16	1.25	1.76	2.32	2.51	1.99	1.45	1.46	2.36	2.47	2.61	2.16	3.15
	99.26	100.22	99.95	100.24	100.02	100.35	100.40	99.92	99.71	100.46	101.08	100.34	99,98	100.35

۶.

Cation proportions based on 100 cations

St	47.73	46.29	44.98	45.74	45.99	48.98	48 12	45.67	46 20	45.91	45.98	44.75	48.43	45.47	
Ti	1.15	0.69	0.70	0.20	0.30	0.26	0.35	0.20	0.43	0,24	0.08	0.14	0.41	0.69	
X 1	15.65	16.77	16.29	17.17	14.90	15.47	15.88	17.17	15.70	17,63	15.70	16.25	16.03	17.38	
Mg	8.64	10.85	12.00	13.80	13.62	12.08	11.26	13,72	13.41	15.19	18.12	15.39	12,70	11.57	1
Fe	12.86	9.45	9.90	5.95	7.53	5.49	6.02	5.95	8 17	5.84	4.80	7.78	7,40	11.21	
Mn	0722	0.16	0.17	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.20	
Ca	7.99	10.21	11.54	12.68	14.77	9.48	12.87	12 79	11.35	9,76	10.38	8.55'	10.98	7.34	
Na	4.62	4.06	3.10	3.63	2.54	6.56	4.74	3.72	3 62	4.95	3.33	3.74	3.01	4.95	
κ.	1.05	1.46	1.31	071	0.16	1.55	0.62	0.66	0.95	0.36	1.48	3.21	0.86	1.13	•
P	0.10	0.06	0.02	0.01	0,03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	, 0.01	0.01	0.04	0.06	3
0	154.01	152.69	151.66	152.37	152.44	152.94	153.77	152 28	152.22	152.32	151.52	149.56	154.98	151.89	-
Mg NU.	0.402	2 0 534	4 0.548	0.699	0.644	0.687	0.652	0.698	0 621	0.722	0.791	0.664	0.632	0.506	3 '
A1/A1+S1	0.247	0.266	6 0.266	i 0.273	0.245	0.240	0 248	0.273	0.254	0.277	0.255	j 0.266	0.249	0.277	r

B-22

A
Lagrande Yasinski

· 89

SAMPLE	83112 FineGb	83132 FineGb	83133 FineGb	83041 CoarGb	83043 CoarGb	83044 CoarGb	83045 CoarGb	83049 CoarGb	83050 CoarGb	8305 i CoarGb	83053 Coar ¢ b	83090 CoarGb	83095 CoarGb	83098 CoarGb
	70			79				79	70	2 <u>0-2</u>		167		
Cr	68.	205.	205.	137.	410.	137.	137.	205.	410.	753.	53.	410.	479.	205.

0

C

÷ •

they a

· B--23

.....

Lagrande'Yasinski

124

SAMPLE	83099_	83106	83118	83113	83134
	CoarGb	CoarGb	CoarGu	CoarGo	CoarGb
S102	51.07	48.21	50.57	49.88	49.53
- T102	0.14	0.90	0.68	0.43	0.57
A1203	15.08	15.27	14.60	15.78	13.70
MgO '	11.67	8,40	8.29	11,35	11.09
fe0	5.63	10 75	10.13	8.00	9.72
MnQ	0.13	0.22	0.21	0.13	0.18
CaO	9.80	11.13	10.87	6.30	10.94
Na 20	2.47	1.89	1.32	4.02	1.66
K20	1.27	0.96	0.60	0.49	0.99
P205	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.04
L01	3.65	2.21	2.46	4.17	1.98
		~~~~~~			
	100 02	100 00	00 70	100 50	100 40

100.92 100.00 99.78 100.58 100.40

#### Cation proportions based on 100 cations

Si	47.25	45.72	48.48	46.31	46.22
Ti	0.10	0.64	0.49	0.30	0.40
A1	16,44	17.07	16.50	17.27	15.07
Mg	16,09	11.87	11.85	15.71	15.43
Fe	4.36	8.53	8,12	6.21	7.58
Mn	0.10	0.18	0.17	0.10	0.14
Ca	9,71	11.31	11.17	6 27	10.94
Na	4.43	3.48	2.45	7.24	3.00
K	1,50	1.16	0.73	0.58	1.18
Р	0.01	0 05	0.04	0.02	0.03
0	152.62	152.65	155.69	151.37	152.12

Mg No. 0.787 0.582 0.593 0 717 0.670 Al/Al+Si 0.258 0.272 0.254 0.272 0.246

# Geology of the Menarik Lake ultramafic complex

:---

## PROTEROZOIC



### Diabase dykes

# ARCHEAN

SYMBOLS



## **Serpentinites**

Metagabbros, associated dykes



Mafic and intermediate tuffs



Quartzites, greywackes



Granodiorites, tonalites



Massive granites



Congiomerates









Massive granites



Conglomerates

<u>SYMBOLS</u>

Area of outcrop



.

Geological boundary (defined, approximate)



Bedding

Foliation

Axis of minor tolds

Synform

X Antiform

Metagabbro dykes not mapped in detail

Geological interpretation: Benoit Rivard, 1984



















,







