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ABSTRACT

Electroosmotic dewatering of Bentonite suspensions under conditions of constant DC voltage and constant DC current was investigated experimentally in a column 5 cm in diameter. The suspensions were prepared with CaCl₂ in distilled water with concentrations up to 1 M. The initial solid content was between 9.1 wt% and 26 wt% and the initial bed height ranged from 1.0 cm to 5.2 cm. Constant voltages from 4.0 V to 8.0 V and constant currents from 90 mA to 110 mA were used.

Electroosmosis removed 20-60% of the water with energy expenditures well below the energy required to vaporize the water. Higher voltages or currents removed more water. Removal rates were increased by the addition of CaCl₂. The lowest bed height (1 cm) gave the lowest energy of dewatering, but the final water removal was low. For constant voltage experiments with an initial field strength of 2.8 V/cm, bed heights around 2 cm gave the highest water removal. The initial solid content had little effect on the final solid content. The Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory did not predict correctly the effects of electrolyte concentration, solid content and bed height on the rate of electroosmotic dewatering.
Résumé

La déshydratation par électro-osmose de suspensions de Bentonite a été étudiée expérimentalement dans une colonne de 5 cm de diamètre à tension et courants continus constants. Les suspensions ont été préparées avec du CaCl₂ et de l'eau distillé, la concentration allant jusqu’à une Mol/l. Le pourcentage initial de solide variait entre 9.1% et 26% par poids, et la hauteur initiale du lit était comprise entre 1 et 5 cm. La tension utilisée était comprise entre 4 et 8 V, tandis que le courant était compris entre 90 et 110 mA.

Par électro-osmose, 20 à 60% de l’eau a été retirée, et ceci avec des énergies bien inférieures à l’énergie de vaporisation de l’eau. L’augmentation du courant ou de la tension a contribué à augmenter le taux de déshydratation; l’addition de CaCl₂ également. L’énergie de séchage la plus faible a été obtenue avec le lit le plus mince, mais le taux de retrait final était minime. Dans le cas d’expériences sous tension constante, pour des champs électriques de 2.8 V/cm, la déshydratation était optimale pour des hauteurs de lit d’environ 2 cm. Les effects de la concentration de l’électrolyte, du pourcentage initial du solide, et de la hauteur du lit sur le taux de déshydratation par électro-osmose n’a pas été correctement prévu par la théories de Helmholtz/Smoluchowski.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Large quantities of aqueous suspensions of fine-grained materials are produced by many industries. Mechanical dewatering methods such as gravitational settling, filtration and centrifugation may not be effective in dewatering suspensions of particles smaller than 10 μm. As water is removed, the particles move closer together, thus decreasing the size of the pores through which the water must flow and reducing the rate of water removal. Often expensive thermal drying is required to obtain the final desired moisture content.

Electroosmosis is based on the surface charge characteristics of colloidal particles. When an electric current is passed through a water-filled porous medium which has an electrical double layer, water is transported through the medium. Dewatering by electroosmosis has been known for decades, but the industrial application of this method has been limited.

1.1 Helmholtz/Smoluchowski Theory for Electroosmosis

The Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory, originally developed for a straight cylindrical capillary, is based on the following assumptions [Hiemenz (1986), Ellis (1976)]:

1. The radius of the capillary is much larger than the thickness of the electrical double layer. This is conventionally written as:
where \( k' \) is the Debye thickness and \( R \) is the capillary radius.

2. The walls of the capillary are nonconducting.

3. The fraction of the current carried by ions in the double layer is negligible (i.e. negligible surface conductance).

The situation is illustrated in Fig. 1-1. The velocity outside the double layer is uniform and is, in SI units, given by:

\[
\mathbf{u}_e = -\frac{\zeta D \varepsilon_0 i}{\eta \lambda a}
\]  

(1-2)

where \( \zeta \) = zeta potential, (V)

\( D \) = dielectric constant of the bulk fluid

\( \varepsilon_0 \) = permittivity of free space \((8.85 \times 10^{-12} \text{ A}^2 \text{ s}^2/\text{kg m}^2)\)

\( \eta \) = viscosity of the bulk fluid, (kg/m s)

\( \lambda \) = specific conductance of the bulk fluid, (S/m)

\( i \) = electric current, (A)

\( a \) = cross sectional area of the capillary (m²)

Since the field strength, \( E \), and the current are related by:

\[
E = \frac{i}{\lambda a}
\]  

(1-3)

Eq. (1-2) can be written:

\[
\mathbf{u}_e = -\frac{\zeta D \varepsilon_0 E}{\eta}
\]  

(1-4)
Figure 1-1 Electroosmosis in a Capillary
Electroosmotic transport in a porous material is carried out by applying a voltage across a bed or "plug" as depicted in Fig. 1-2. The applied field $E_a$ is given by

$$E_a = \frac{V_1 - V_2}{H} \quad (1-5)$$

Smoluchowski showed that eq. (1-1) applies to a porous material composed of nonconducting particles in a liquid medium if $u_e$ is defined as the cross section average velocity of the fluid (i.e. the superficial velocity or the volumetric flux density). For a porous material:

$$u_e = -\frac{\zeta D e}{\eta \lambda} \left( \frac{i}{A} \right) \quad (1-6)$$

where $A$ is the cross sectional area of the porous plug and $\lambda$ is the conductance of the bulk liquid in the pores. If $Q$ is the volume of water removed from the porous material by electroosmosis, then:

$$u_e = \frac{1}{A} \frac{dQ}{dt} \quad (1-7)$$

The relationship between $i/A$ and the strength of the applied field, $E_a$, depends upon the void fraction and the structure of the porous material. The relationship between $u_e$ and $E_a$ may be written:

$$u_e = -\frac{\zeta D e f(e)}{\eta} E_a \quad (1-8)$$
Figure 1-2  Electroosmosis in a Porous Material
where \( f(\epsilon) \) is a function of the void fraction, \( \epsilon \). This function has been determined for several model structures. For example, if a porous plug of length \( H \) contains straight cylindrical pores of length \( H \), \( f(\epsilon) = \epsilon \). O'Brien (1986) showed that \( f(\epsilon) = 0.343 \) for a porous material composed of uniform spheres in a simple cubic array where \( \epsilon_* = 0.476 \).

Electroosmosis is attractive as a method of dewatering because eq. (1-6) indicates that the rate of water removal is not a function of the water content (or void fraction) if the current is maintained constant. This equation and eq. (1-8) are subject to the assumptions listed above. The most important of these is that the pore radii are very much larger than the thickness of the double layer.

Yukawa and colleagues (1978) developed a model of electroosmotic dewatering in which a voltage is applied to a sludge bed and the water is moved downward with the electroosmotic velocity \( u_e \). They assumed that the sludge bed contained two layers: an upper dewatered layer and a lower layer which has the same water content as the original bed. Weber, Witwit and Mujumdar (1987) derived a simpler model by assuming that the bed dewatered uniformly. Both models were based upon eq. (1-6).

1.2 Experiments on electroosmosis

Gray's work (1966, 1967) concerned the relationship between the rate of electroosmotic water transport and the water content of several clays. The experimental flow cell contained a clay plug between two solution compartments. The voltage was applied using reversible electrodes so that no gases were evolved. A constant current was imposed across the clay plug and the resulting flow of water was measured. The rate of electroosmotic transport per unit charge and the water
content were related by

\[ W = b \left( \frac{w}{10} \right)^m \]  

where \( W \) = water flux per unit charge, \((\text{moles/Faraday})\)

\( w \) = water content in weight percent

The coefficients \( m \) and \( b \) were correlated with the exchange capacity of the clay and the concentration of the external electrolyte solution. This correlation was quite good for the illite clays. The results are given in Table 1-1.

### Table 1-1 Experimental Parameters 'b' and 'm' for Illitic Clay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte (NaCl) M</th>
<th>b (mole/Faraday)</th>
<th>m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-3})</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10^{-2})</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 Experiments on Electroosmotic Dewatering

A number of studies has been reported for electroosmotic dewatering of fine-particle suspensions. Only those related to the dewatering of clays are reviewed here.

Yoshida et al. (1985) dewatered gelatinous Bentonite suspensions under conditions of constant voltage and constant current. The apparatus was similar to that described in Chapter 2. The diameter of the bed was 7.2 cm and its initial height was 5.4 cm.
Using their value for the density of the Bentonite particles, 2.767 g/cm$^3$, the initial volume fraction of water was 0.917. In constant voltage runs, four voltages were applied: 2.5, 5, 10 and 15 V. At a voltage of 10 V and above, the current rose to a maximum and then fell dramatically. For lower voltage the current was relatively constant. The largest applied voltage did not remove the most water. There was an optimum for voltage at which the water removed was a maximum. In constant current runs, three currents were used: 50, 100 and 200 mA. In all cases, the voltage fell slowly as water was removed and then increased rapidly near the end of dewatering.

Lockhart (1983) studied the electroosmotic dewatering of sodium kaolinite suspensions to determine the influence of voltage, salt content, pH, clay type and electrode material. In most runs the initial solid content was 18 wt%. His apparatus was also similar to that described in Chapter 2. He began with a constant voltage, then when dewatering essentially stopped, the voltage was increased to a higher value which was maintained until the dewatering rate fell off again and the procedure was reported. A typical sequence was 2 V, 3 V, 25 V and 50 V. The suspensions were made with water and with NaCl and HCl at concentrations from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-1}$ M. Salt or acid concentrations up to about $10^{-2}$ M usually allowed dewatering to proceed at lower voltages or permitted more complete dewatering at the same voltages, than suspensions prepared with water. Lockhart found that the water flow per unit of charge passed through the bed was not inversely proportional to the concentration of ions, nor was it proportional to the zeta potential. These conclusions are largely contrary to the theory outlined above.
1.4 Objectives

The theory reviewed above suggests that the following variables are important in electroosmotic dewatering:

-- the zeta potential of the particles
-- the current or voltage
-- the conductivity of the suspension

Previous experiments on electroosmotic dewatering indicate that the following additional variables are important:

-- the original solid content of the suspension
-- the original height of the bed before dewatering
-- the content of electrolyte in the water used to prepare the suspension

The objectives of this thesis were to determine the effects of these variables on the rate of electroosmotic dewatering and on the final water content.

Bentonite clay was used in all experiments. This material contains largely silica and alumina with smaller amounts of the oxides of potassium, magnesium, calcium and sodium. The latter three cations comprise the bulk of the exchangeable ions. Bentonite was chosen because suspensions containing as little as 10 wt% solid are easy to prepare and water will not drain from them by gravity. In addition, earlier studies are available for Bentonite or other clays. The salt used in the present work was CaCl₂.

The independent variables in the study were:

-- original solid content
-- original salt concentration
-- original bed height
-- current (in constant current experiments) or voltage (in constant voltage experiments)

The dependent variables were:
-- volume of water removed
-- voltage drop over the top, middle and lower sections of the bed
-- current (in constant applied voltage experiments) or overall voltage drop (in constant applied current experiments)
CHAPTER 2

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1 Experimental Apparatus

A schematic diagram of the electroosmotic dewatering apparatus is shown in Fig. 2-1. The suspension was held in a vertical acrylic cylinder (1), 5 cm ID and 45 cm long, with flanges on each end. A flanged funnel-shaped base (A) was bolted to the lower flange and a cap (B) was bolted to the upper flange. The base (A) held a porous acrylic cylindrical plate (2) to support the lower electrode (3). The porous support had 90 holes of 3 mm diameter drilled on a square pattern with 4.8 mm between centers of the holes. The plate was 63 mm in diameter and 7 mm thick. An electrical wire was soldered to the edge of the lower electrode and passed through a small channel on top of base (A). A filter sheet (5) above the lower electrode was held in place by an O-ring clamped between the two lower flanges. The filter sheet, which was made of Nylon with 5.0 μm pore size, was supplied by Micron Separation Inc (Westborough, MA). A hollow tube passed through cap (B). An acrylic cylindrical plate with 29 holes of 2 mm diameter drilled through it and distributed to match the diamond pattern of opening in the electrode was fixed to the end of the tube. The upper electrode (4) was attached to this plate. An electrical wire, which passed through the hollow tube, was soldered to the upper electrode. The hollow tube was suspended by using a pulley system (11) above the
Figure 2-1  Electroosmotic Dewatering Apparatus
apparatus. A counterweight of 200 g was used to balance the weight of hollow tube and the top electrode so that electrode did not compress the bed, but was free to move downward as water removed.

Each electrode was a circular piece of titanium mesh coated with platinum to a thickness of 2.5 μm to prevent electrode corrosion. The wires forming the mesh were flattened in cross section. The openings in the mesh were diamond-shaped and the open area was about 50% of the total cross section. These electrodes were supplied by Engelhard Corporation USA (Edison, NJ). Since the Bentonite had a negative charge, the upper electrode was made the anode so that water migrated downward.

A constant voltage or a constant current was applied to the electrodes by a regulated DC power supply (6). Voltage and current were measured as functions of time using voltmeter (7) and ammeter (8). In order to measure the voltage drop near the electrodes two pin electrodes were installed, 1 mm from the top electrode and 1 mm from the bottom electrode [(9) and (10)]. The pins were stainless steel of 1.0 mm diameter. The top pin electrode was inserted vertically into the suspension through the hollow tube so that its tip was 1 mm below the top electrode. The lower pin was inserted horizontally through a rubber joint in the lower section of the acrylic cylinder.

The water removed by electroosmosis was collected in a graduated cylinder (not shown in Fig. 2-1) held below the funnel (A). The volume of water was measured as a function of time. Occasionally the pH of the water was measured.
2.2 Experimental Variables

The independent variables were:

1. concentration of CaCl₂ (C₀) in the distilled water used to prepare the Bentonite suspension
2. initial solid content of the suspension (S₀)
3. initial height of bed (H₀)
4. magnitude of the constant applied DC voltage (V₀) or the constant applied DC current (i)

The experimental conditions are listed in Table 2-1 for constant voltage and in Table 2-2 for constant applied current.

The following variables were measured as functions of time during dewatering:

1. volume of water removed
2. voltage drop over the top, middle and lower sections of the bed
3. current (in constant applied voltage experiments)
4. overall voltage drop (in constant applied current experiments)
Table 2-1  Experimental Conditions for Constant Voltage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaCl₂ concentration M</th>
<th>voltage V</th>
<th>initial bed height cm</th>
<th>initial solid content wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-2  Experimental Conditions for Constant Current

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaCl₂ concentration M</th>
<th>Current mA</th>
<th>initial bed height cm</th>
<th>initial solid content wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Properties of Bentonite

The purified grade Bentonite powder was supplied by Fisher Scientific Co. (Pittsburgh, PA). The particle size distribution of the Bentonite was measured with a Malvern 2600 Particle Sizer (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, England). The instrument is based on the diffraction of laser light. As a particle passes through the laser beam, it scatters light with a unique light intensity characteristic at different angles of observation. A particle scatters light predominantly at a favoured scattering angle which is related to its diameter. By assuming the particles are spherical, the analyzer produces the volumetric particle size distribution.

Figure 2-2 shows the volumetric size distribution of the Bentonite. Fifty percent of the particles were smaller than 7 μm. The specific surface area was 1.1 m²/cm³. The mean diameter, D(4,3), derived from the volume distribution was 8.8 μm, where
Figure 2-2  Particle Size Distribution of Bentonite
The zeta potential of the Bentonite particles was measured with a Particle Micro-electrophoresis Apparatus Mark II (Rank Brothers, United Kingdom). This instrument determines the electrophoretic mobility of particles in suspension by observation of their motion under a DC electric field. To reduce timing errors the voltage is set so that the time for particles to move one unit on the microscope screen (corresponding to 100 μm) is 6-8 seconds. The electrophoretic mobility, \( u \), is calculated from:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= \frac{\text{particle velocity}}{\text{potential gradient}} \\
  &= \frac{d\bar{t}}{V/l}
\end{align*}
\]  

(2-2)

where \( d \) = distance travelled by the particles (m)

\( \bar{t} \) = average time for a number of particles to travel the distance \( d \) (s)

\( V \) = applied voltage in electrostatic units (V)

\( l \) = distance between electrodes (m)

The zeta potential, \( \zeta \), is calculated from:

\[
\zeta = \frac{4\pi \eta (300)^2}{\varepsilon_*}
\]  

(2-3)

where \( \eta \) = the viscosity of the suspending liquid (cm²/s)

\( \varepsilon_* \) = the permittivity of the suspending liquid

and the factor \((300)^2\) converts e.s.u. volts to absolute volts. The zeta potential data are shown in Fig. 2-3.
Figure 2-3  Zeta Potential of Bentonite as a Function of pH
The zeta potential of Bentonite particles was measured over a range of pH values by adding 1 M HCl or 1 M NaOH to the suspension. As shown in Fig. 2-3 the zeta potential was negative for pH>2. As the pH increased the zeta potential became more negative. Two measurements were made in suspensions which contained CaCl₂. The zeta potential increased from -34 mV in a salt-free suspension to -14 mV at 10⁻² M CaCl₂. When the concentration of CaCl₂ solution was 10⁻¹ M, the particles coagulated rapidly and sedimentation was so fast that the particle mobility could not be measured. At pH<2, the same phenomena occurred. In both cases coagulation occurred as the zeta potential approached zero.

2.4 Experimental Procedure

A suspension was prepared from a weighed amount of oven-dried Bentonite and a weighed amount of CaCl₂ solution prepared from reagent grade CaCl₂ and distilled water. Dry Bentonite was weighed in a beaker and the necessary amount of solution was weighed in a second beaker. The water was added to the Bentonite and the total weight of the beaker containing the liquid and solid was recorded. The suspension was homogenized for 15 minutes using a magnetic stirrer.

A fresh filter sheet was wetted with methanol and fixed in place. If the sheets were not wetted, water flow was retarded at the beginning of a run. The lower pin electrode was inserted into the bed so that its tip was in the center of the cylinder. The suspension was then poured into the cylinder to the designed height. The beaker containing the remaining suspension was weighed and the weight of the suspension in the bed was determined by difference. The upper electrode was fixed so that it contacted the top of the bed.
At low CaCl₂ concentration no gravity drainage of water occurred and electroosmotic
dewatering began immediately. For CaCl₂ concentrations equal to 10⁻¹ M or higher,
water was allowed to drain by gravity before the voltage or current was applied.

For the first 10 minutes after the voltage or current was applied, the volume of
water removed by electroosmosis was recorded every minute, then every five
minutes and near the end of dewatering, every ten minutes. With a constant applied
voltage the current was recorded every ten minutes. The voltage drops across the
upper, middle and lower sections of the bed were recorded every ten minutes for
both constant voltage and constant current. Periodically the pH of the collected
water was measured. In a few runs a sample of the total water collected was
analyzed for metals by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

In a few runs the cake at the end of dewatering was sectioned for moisture
determination. The dewatered cake was carefully pushed out of the disassembled
cylinder and cut it into three slices perpendicular to its axis. Each slice of the cake
was weighed as soon as it was cut and then it was dried at 105 °C to a constant
weight. The mass of water in each slice was calculated by difference.

2.5 Treatment of Data

A parameter used subsequently to present the data is the total charge passed through
the bed per unit cross sectional area. The total charge passed per unit area, denoted,
$q_T$, was computed from the current and time by:

$$ q_T = \frac{1}{A} \int_0^t i \, dt $$

(2-4)
where \( i = \text{current (A)} \)

\[ t = \text{time (s)} \]

\[ A = \text{cross section area of bed (m}^2\text{)} \]

The integral was computed by Simpson’s rule for runs at constant voltage.

Two important parameters related to the effectiveness of electroosmotic dewatering are:

1) the water flux per unit charge

and

2) the energy of dewatering

Both parameters require the rate of water removal, \( \dot{Q} \). This rate represents the time variation of the volume of water collected, \( Q \):

\[ \dot{Q} = \frac{dQ}{dt} \quad (2-5) \]

The volume versus time data were fitted to a second order polynomial by least squares and the derivative was calculated analytically. The polynomial was fitted to all but the last two or three data points.

The water flux per unit charge, denoted \( W \), was computed from

\[ W = 9.65 \times 10^4 (\dot{Q}_p/M) \quad (2-6) \]

where \( \rho \) is the density of water, \( M \) is the molecular weight of water (= 18.0 g/mole) and one Faraday of charge is \( 9.65 \times 10^4 \) Coulombs. The units of \( W \) are moles of water per Faraday.
The energy of dewatering, denoted $e_d$, is defined as

$$e_d = \frac{\text{electrical power}}{\text{rate of water removal}}$$

(2-7)

Hence,

$$e_d = \frac{iV_o}{\dot{Q}_p/M}$$

(2-8)

where $V_o$ is the overall voltage. The units of $e_d$ are kilojoules per mole.
CHAPTER 3

ELECTROOSMOTIC DEWATERING UNDER CONSTANT VOLTAGE

The electroosmotic dewatering of Bentonite suspensions under conditions of constant applied DC voltage was studied experimentally in the apparatus described in Chapter 2.

3.1 General Features of Dewatering

For each experiment the following data were recorded as functions of time: the volume of water removed, the current and the voltage drop across the upper, middle and lower sections of the bed. These data for three replicates of a typical experiment are plotted in Figs. 3-1, 3-2 and 3-3. Figure 3-1 shows that water was removed up to 150 minutes. The current went through a maximum at about 40 minutes and then decreased to a constant value (see Fig. 3-2). The voltage differences measured across the top, middle and lower sections of the bed are shown in Fig. 3-3. Although the applied voltage was 5.5 V, the voltage drop across the middle section of the bed was only about 3 V. This middle section of the bed had an initial height of 1.0 cm. There were appreciable voltage drops very near the upper and lower electrodes as shown in upper and lower panels of Fig. 3-3. The voltage drop near each electrode was measured over a distance of 0.1 cm. The upper and lower voltage differences were approximately 1.5 and 1 V, respectively.
Figure 3-1  Volume of Water Removed vs Time

\( S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; C_0 = 0 \text{ M}; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_0 = 5.5 \text{ V} \)
Figure 3–2 Variation of Current vs Time

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm; V₀ = 5.5 V)
Figure 3-3 Variation of Voltage Drop Across Three Sections of Bed vs time

\[(S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; C_0 = 0 \text{ M}; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_0 = 5.5 \text{ V})\]
Replicate data for water removed, Fig. 3-1, and current, Fig. 3-2, show good agreement. For water removed the reproducibility was approximately ±5%, while for the current it was approximately ±10%. The voltage data, Fig. 3-3, were the least reproducible because the pin electrode measured the voltage at a point in the bed cross section. The voltage measured at a point was sensitive to local nonuniformities, such as the development of a crack in the cake or the presence of a gas bubble. Since these data are not very reproducible, only general trends could be observed.

Data from the replicate runs are presented in different formats in Figs. 3-4 to 3-6. These plots are used to present the effect of different variables in later sections. See Chapter 2 for a description of the method of calculation of the parameters from the recorded data. Figure 3-4 shows the volume of water removed per unit bed cross section area as a function of the total charge passed per unit bed cross section area. On this plot the ordinate was reproducible to about ±5% at a fixed value of the abscissa. Figure 3-5 shows the variation of the water flux per unit charge versus the percent water removed. The energy of dewatering, i.e. the energy required to remove a mole of water, is plotted in Fig. 3-6 against the percent of water removed. Since the calculations for both figures involved differentiation of the data, the reproducibility was only ±30% for Fig. 3-5 and ±25% for Fig. 3-6.

The general characteristics of dewatering under constant voltage are shown in Fig. 3-1 to 3-6. The rate of water removal was initially constant and then decreased with time as the bed dried—see Fig. 3-1. The current increased in the early period of dewatering and then decreased. The electrical resistance of the bed increases as the percentage of solids, which are nonconducting, increases but it decreases as the
Figure 3-4 Variation of Water Removal with Total Charge

Total Charge Passed / Bed Cross-section Area (A·s/cm²)

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm; V₀ = 5.5 V)
Figure 3-5  Water Flux per Unit Charge vs Percent Water Removed

\(S_o = 9.1 \text{ wt\%; } C_o = 0 \text{ M; } H_o = 2.0 \text{ cm; } V_o = 5.5 \text{ V}\)
Figure 3-6 Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm; V₀ = 5.5 V)
height decreases. The maximum in the current reflects these two opposing trends. In addition, gas evolved at the upper electrode may interfere with the electrical contact between the upper electrode and the bed. The fluctuations in the upper voltage drop in Fig. 3-3 reflect gas bubble generation. As water was removed the volume of the bed and the sizes of the pores decreased making water more difficult to remove as shown in Figs. 3-5 and 3-6.

3.2 Effect of Salt Concentration

Figures 3-7 to 3-11 show the effect on dewatering of the CaCl₂ concentration in the distilled water used to make up the suspension. All data, except those in Fig. 3-11 are for initial suspensions of 9.1% Bentonite with a height of 2.0 cm. The applied voltage was constant at 5.5 V.

The volume of water removed and the current are shown as functions of time in Fig. 3-7. Increasing the CaCl₂ concentration increased the amount of water removed. For example, at 120 minutes 17.5, 21.5 and 26.5 cm³ of water were removed with 0, 10⁻³ M and 10⁻² M CaCl₂, respectively. Figure 3-8 shows the same data plotted as the volume of water removed against the total charge, both quantities divided by the cross sectional area of the bed. On the basis of total charge, more water was removed in the presence of CaCl₂ than without this added salt. Figure 3-9 shows the water flux per Faraday of charge as a function of the percent water removed. The moles of water carried per Faraday were highest with 10⁻³M CaCl₂ and lowest without CaCl₂. As water was removed each Faraday transported less water, however the decrease was more rapid when no CaCl₂ was present.

Figures 3-10 and 3-11 show the variation of the energy of dewatering as a function
Figure 3-7  Water Removed and Current vs Time for Various CaCl$_2$ Concentrations

(S$_0$ = 9.1 wt%; H$_0$ = 2.0 cm; V$_o$ = 5.5 V)
Figure 3-8 Variation of Water Removal with Total Charge

$S_0 = 9.1$ wt%; $H_0 = 2.0$ cm; $V_0 = 5.5$ V
Figure 3-9  Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge with Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; H₀ = 2.0 cm; V₀ = 5.5 V)
Figure 3-10 Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

\( \Delta H / 10 \)

\( S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_0 = 5.5 \text{ V} \)

\( \)
Figure 3-11 Energy of Dewatering vs Average Solid Content of the Bed
of percent water removed. The single dashed horizontal line in Fig. 3-10 represents 10% of the latent heat of evaporation of water $\Delta H_w$, which is 43.8 kJ/mole. Two horizontal lines are shown in Fig. 3-11; the lower one is at 10% of $\Delta H$, while the upper one is at $\Delta H_e$. These reference lines show that significant amounts of water were removed with low energy expenditure. As water removal continued, the energy expenditure increased dramatically. By the end of dewatering, the energy consumption exceeded the heat of vaporization. Figure 3-10 shows that, for $C_0 \leq 10^2 M \text{CaCl}_2$, the initial removal of a mole of water by electroosmosis required only about 2% of the energy of evaporation, but as water was removed, the energy of dewatering increased. Without CaCl$_2$, the energy of dewatering exceeded the heat of vaporization of water at about 40% water removed while for $10^3 M$ it was about 54% and for $10^4 M$, about 63%. Figure 3-11 shows results for 0.01, 0.1 and 1 M CaCl$_2$. At the two higher concentrations there was considerable coagulation of the Bentonite particles and suspensions of 9.1 wt% solids drained by gravity. Gravity drainage before electroosmotic dewatering gave cakes of 19 wt% and 26 wt% solids for 0.1 and 1 M CaCl$_2$, respectively. Electroosmotic dewatering removed additional water, but Fig. 3-11 shows that the energy of dewatering was very high. The initial current was also very high, approximately 350 mA, and the bed became warm by Joule heating.

3.3 Effect of Applied Voltage

Figures 3-12 to 3-15 show the effect of the applied voltage on dewatering. All data are for an initial suspension of 9.1 wt% Bentonite with a height of 2.0 cm. Distilled water was used to make up the suspensions.
Figure 3-12 Water Removed and Current vs Time for Various Applied Voltage

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm)
Figure 3-13  Variation of Water Removal with Total Charge

Total Charge Passed / Bed Cross-section Area (A·s/cm²)

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm)
Figure 3-12 shows the volume of water removed and the current as functions of time. The current and the amount of water removed were larger at higher applied voltages. At 120 minutes 10.7, 16.8 and 18.2 cm³ of water were removed at 4.0, 5.5 and 8.0 V, respectively. In Fig. 3-13 the water removal data are plotted as volume of water removed per unit bed cross sectional area against total charge passed per unit bed cross sectional area. For the same total charge, the voltage of 5.5 V gave highest water removal. Less water was removed at lower (4.0 V) and higher (8.0 V) voltages. Figure 3-14 shows the data plotted as the water flux per unit charge against percent water removed. The intermediate voltage of 5.5 V gave highest water flux until very near the end of the run. The water flux at the highest voltage was initially low, but it changed relatively little after 15% of the water was removed.

Figure 3-15 shows the variation of the energy of dewatering with percent water removed. A voltage of 8.0 V, gave the highest energy expenditure. Both 4.0 V and 5.6 V applied voltage gave the same water removal with the same energy consumption until about 20% water removed.

3.4 Effect of Initial Bed Height

Figures 3-16 to 3-21 show the effect of the initial height of the bed on dewatering. In all cases the original suspensions contained 9.1 wt% Bentonite in 10⁻¹ M CaCl₂. A different voltage was applied for each height. The initial overall field strength, defined as the applied voltage divided by the initial bed height, was fixed at 2.75 V/cm. The voltage applied across a bed of 1 cm initial height was 2.75 V, across a bed of 2.1 cm height, 5.8 V, etc.

Figure 3-16 shows the volume of water removed as function of time for various of
Figure 3-14 Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge with Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm)
Figure 3-15  Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

\( S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt}\% ; \ C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; \ H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm} \)

\( \Delta H/V/10 \)

Percent Water Removed (%)
Figure 3-16  Volume of Water Removed vs Time for Different Initial Heights

\((S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt}\% ; C_0 = 10^{-3} \text{M} ; E_0 = 2.8 \text{ V/cm})\)
initial bed heights. At any given time within the first 40 minutes of dewatering, the volumes of water removed are about the same for initial bed heights of 2.1, 2.8 and 5.2 cm. Since the 5.2 cm initial bed height contained the largest amount of water, the initial amount of water removed was highest for the 5.2 cm bed and lowest for the 1.0 cm bed. Figure 3-17 shows the voltage drops measures across the upper, middle and lower sections of the 1.0 cm height of bed. The large voltage drops near the electrodes reduced the field over the middle portion of the bed to about 0.9 V/cm over the first 30 minutes of the run. Comparable data are shown in Figure 3-18 for the 2.1 cm high bed. The field over the middle portion of the bed was approximately 1.3 V/cm for the first 30 minutes.

The variation of the current with time for four initial heights is shown in Figure 3-19. At a bed height of 1 cm the current is small and nearly constant. Large heights show the characteristic current maximum described earlier. At heights above about 2.1 cm, large cracks appeared in the cake after about 30 minutes of electroosmotic dewatering. This led to large and erratic changes in the current as illustrated in the figure for the 2.8 cm bed. Under these conditions the rates of water removal were lower than for smaller heights.

Figure 3-20 shows the variation of the water flux per unit charge with water removal for several bed heights. The water flux per Faraday decreased with initial bed height. The smallest bed height 1.0 cm gave extremely large water fluxes per Faraday initially. Figure 3-21 shows the energy of dewatering for several bed heights. In the 1.0 cm bed the energy of dewatering was only 2-3% of the heat of vaporization, but dewatering stopped at about 23% water removed. For the 5.2 cm bed only about 6% of the water was removed before the energy of dewatering
Figure 3-17 Voltage Difference Across Sections of the Bed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 10⁻³ M; H₀ = 1.0 cm; V₀ = 2.8 V)
(S̄ᵣ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 10⁻³ M; H₀ = 2.1 cm; V₀ = 5.8 V)

Figure 3-18 Voltage Difference Across Sections of the Bed
Figure 3-19  Current vs Time for Different Initial Height of the Bed

(S_o = 9.1 wt%; C_o = 10^{-3} M; E_o = 2.8 V/cm)
Figure 3-20 Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge with Percent Water Removed

\( S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%} ; C_0 = 10^{-3} \text{M} ; E_0 = 2.8 \text{ V/cm} \)
Figure 3-21  Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

$(S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; C_0 = 10^{-3} \text{M}; E_0 = 2.8 \text{ V/cm})$
exceeded 10% of the heat vaporization. At an initial height of 2.1 cm 51% of the water removed was before the energy of dewatering exceeded ΔH/10.

3.5 Effect of Initial Solid Content

The effect of initial solid content is shown in Figs. 3-22 to 3-24. Two initial solid contents were used: 9.1 and 15.0 wt% Bentonite in 10^3 M CaCl₂. The beds were initially 2.0 cm high and the applied voltage was 5.5 V.

Figure 3-22 shows the course of dewatering as the average percent solids in the bed versus time. The two beds were initially uniform at 9.1 and 15.0 wt%. Water was removed more rapidly from the bed containing the smaller initial percent solid until at 130 minutes both beds had similar average solid contents. Figures 3-23 and 3-24 show that the water flux per unit charge and the energy of dewatering are functions of the average solid content of the bed essentially independent of the initial solid content.
Figure 3-22  Average Solid Content of Bed vs Time

\( (C_0 = 10^{-2} M; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_o = 5.5 \text{ V}) \)
Figure 3-23 Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge with Average Solid Content of Bed

\( S_0, \text{wt}\% \\
\bullet \ 9.1 \\
\triangle \ 15.0 \\

(C_o = 10^{-2} M; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_0 = 5.5 \text{ V})

Average Solid Content (wt\%)
Figure 3-24  Energy of Dewatering vs Average Solid Content of Bed

\[ (C_0 = 10^{-2} \text{ M}; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; V_0 = 5.5 \text{ V}) \]
CHAPTER 4

ELECTROOSMOTIC DEWATERING UNDER CONSTANT CURRENT

The electroosmotic dewatering of Bentonite suspensions under constant applied DC current was studied experimentally and compared with under conditions of constant applied voltage.

4.1 General Features of Dewatering

For each experiment the following data were recorded as functions of time: the volume of water removed and the voltage drop across the upper, middle and lower sections of the bed. Three replicates of a typical experiment are presented in Figs 4-1 to 4-5 for 90 mA current, 2.0 cm initial bed height, 9.1% initial solid content and no CaCl₂.

Figure 4-1 shows that the volume of water removed increased approximately linearly for the first 40 minutes and then increased more slowly. The overall voltage drop decreased initially, reached a minimum and then increased (see Fig. 4-2). The voltage drop near the upper electrode was larger than at the lower electrode (see the upper and lower panel in Fig. 4-3). The voltage difference across the middle section of the bed, shown in the middle panel of Fig. 4-3, was approximately one-half of the overall voltage drop.
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Figure 4-1  Volume of Water Removed vs Time

(S_o = 9.1 wt% ; C_o = 0 M ; H_o = 2.0 cm ; I = 90mA)
Figure 4-2  Variation of Overall Voltage vs Time

$S_0 = 9.1$ wt%; $C_0 = 0$ M; $H_0 = 2.0$ cm; $I = 90$ mA
Figure 4-3  Variation of Voltage Drop Across Three Sections of Bed vs time

\[(S_0 = 9.1 \, \text{wt\%} ; C_0 = 0 \, \text{M} ; H_0 = 2.0 \, \text{cm} ; I = 90 \, \text{mA})\]
Replicate data for water removed in Fig. 4-1 show good agreement, with the deviation of the data from the average value of approximately ±3%. As discussed in Chapter 3, the voltage data were less reproducible. The maximum deviation of the data for voltage drop across the middle section was 1 V from the average value. For the upper and lower sections of the bed only a few points deviated from the average value of approximately 0.5 V.

The data from the replicate runs are also presented in the format of the water flux per unit charge as a function of the percent water removed in Fig. 4-4. The methods of calculation of the parameters from the recorded data are given in Chapter 2. On this plot the deviation of the data from the average value was about ±20% except very near the end of the run when water flux was small. The energy required to remove a mole of water by electroosmosis is plotted in Fig. 4-5 against the percent water removed. The data are reproducible to approximately ±20% except near the end of dewatering.

The general characteristics of dewatering under constant current are shown in Fig. 4-1 to 4-3. The volume of water removed increased nearly linearly over the first 40 minutes while the voltage drop across the middle section decreased over the same period. Beyond 40 minutes the rate of water removal decreased while the voltage increased. The dewatering process may be considered to occur in two stages. In the first stage, where in 40 minutes approximately 20% of the water was removed, the moles of water transported per Faraday decreased about 25% and the energy of dewatering was low. Beyond 20% removal the water transported per Faraday decreased rapidly and the energy of dewatering increased rapidly.
Figure 4-4  Water Flux per Unit Charge vs Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm ; I = 90mA)
Figure 4-5  Energy of Dwatering vs Percent Water Removed

$(S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%; } C_0 = 0 \text{ M;} \; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm;} \; l = 90mA)$
4.2 Effect of salt concentration

Figure 4-6 to 4-9 show the effect on dewatering of the CaCl₂ concentration in the distilled water used to prepare the suspensions. All data are for an initial concentration of 9.1 wt% Bentonite, an initial height of 2.0 cm and a current of 70 mA. The volume of water removed and the voltage drop across the middle section of the bed are shown as functions of time in Fig. 4-6. At higher CaCl₂ concentration water is removed more rapidly. At 110 minutes, 13.5, 14.8 and 21.4 cm³ water were collected, corresponding to 33, 36 and 42% water removed for CaCl₂ concentrations of 0 M, 10⁻¹ M and 10⁻² M CaCl₂, respectively. Figure 4-7 shows the same data plotted as the volume of water removed per unit bed cross sectional area against the total charge passed per unit bed cross sectional area. At higher CaCl₂ concentrations, more water was removed per unit charge.

The data plotted as the water flux per Faraday of charge against the percent water removed are shown in Fig. 4-8. At higher CaCl₂ concentrations more water transported per unit charge at the same percent water removed. Figure 4-9 shows that the energy of dewatering was not affected by salt concentration until 20-25% of the water was removed. With higher CaCl₂ concentration more water was removed when dewatering stopped.

4.3 Effect of applied DC current

Figure 4-10 to 4-13 show the effect of applied current on dewatering. All data are for initial suspensions of 9.1 wt% Bentonite in distilled water with an initial height of
Figure 4-6  Water Removed and Voltage vs Time for Various CaCl₂ Concentrations

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; H₀ = 2.0 cm; I = 70 mA)
Figure 4-7  Variation of Water Removal with Total Charge

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; H₀ = 2.0 cm; I = 70 mA)
Figure 4-8  Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge 
with Percent Water Removed

\( (S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%; } H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm} ; I = 70 \text{ mA}) \)
Figure 4-9 Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

(S₀= 9.1 wt% ; H₀= 2.0 cm ; I = 70 mA)
2.0 cm. Figure 4-10 shows the water removed and the voltage drop across the middle section of the bed as functions of time. At higher applied current larger amounts of water were removed. At 110 minutes 10.6, 13.8 and 16.7 cm$^3$ of water were removed, corresponding to 27, 34 and 40% water removal, at currents of 70, 90 and 110 mA, respectively. In Figure 4-11 the water removal data are plotted as the volume of water removed per unit bed cross sectional area against the total charge passed divided by the cross sectional area. Near the beginning of dewatering approximately the same amount of water was removed by the same total charge for these three runs. With increasing current more water was removed at the end of dewatering. Figure 4-12 shows the data plotted as the water flux per unit charge against the percent water removed. A current of 90 mA gave highest water flux per unit charge initially while 110 mA gave lowest. However the decrease of water flux per unit charge was less drastic at a current 110 mA. Figure 4-13 shows the variation of the energy of dewatering with percent water removed. The energy expenditure to remove 20% water was approximately the same for all runs and also was less than 10% of heat vaporization of water. Since more water was removed with higher current, the energy expenditure increased dramatically at about 25% removal for 70 mA and at about 35% removal for 110 mA.

4.4 Effect of initial solids content

The effect of initial solid content is shown in Figs. 4-14 to 4-16. Two initial solid contents were used: 9.1 and 12.0 wt% Bentonite made up with 10$^3$ M CaCl$_2$ in distilled water. The initial bed height was 2.0 cm and the current was 70 mA.

Figure 4-14 shows the average percent solid in the bed versus time. Water was
Figure 4-10  Water Removed and Voltage vs Time for Various Applied Current
Figure 4-11  Variation of Water Removal with Total Charge

\( S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; \ C_0 = 0 \text{ M}; \ H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm} \)
Figure 4-12 Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge with Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm)
Figure 4-13  Energy of Dewatering vs Percent Water Removed

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 0 M; H₀ = 2.0 cm)
Figure 4-14 Variation of Solids Content with Time

\((C_0 = 10^{-2} \text{ M}; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; I = 70 \text{ mA})\)
removed much faster from the bed of low initial solid content, but similar average solid contents were obtained at 120 minutes for both runs. Figures 4-15 and 4-16 show that the water flux per unit charge and the energy of dewatering are functions of the average solid content of the bed independent of the initial solid content.
Figure 4-15  Variation of Water Transported per Unit Charge

with Average Solid Content

\[ (C_0 = 10^{-2} \text{ M}; \ H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}; \ I = 70 \text{ mA}) \]
Figure 4-16 Energy of Dewatering vs Average Solid Content

\[ \Delta h = 10^{3} \text{M}; H_{0} = 2.0 \text{ cm}; I = 70 \text{ mA} \]
5.1 Ion Exchange & Electrode Processes

The Bentonite as received was largely in the sodium form. When suspended in distilled water, the following exchange reaction occurred:

\[ H_2O + R-Na \rightarrow R-H + Na^+ + OH^- \]  

(5-1)

where R denotes the clay. This was confirmed by measuring the pH of a freshly prepared suspension. If the suspension were made with CaCl₂ in distilled water, a second, competing exchange reaction occurred as follows:

\[ Ca^{+2} + 2R-Na \rightarrow R_2-Ca + 2Na^+ \]  

(5-2)

If only Ca⁺² were exchanged, there would be no change in pH. Table 5-1 shows the pH measured in freshly prepared suspensions containing 9.1 wt% Bentonite made with various concentrations of CaCl₂. As the concentration of Ca⁺² increased, reaction (5-2) became more important, less OH⁻ was released and pH decreased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CaCl₂ Concentration, M</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻³</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻²</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁻¹</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During electroosmosis more sodium was displaced from the Bentonite as described below. The water removed from the bed was analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy for iron, magnesium, calcium and sodium. The first three metals were present in trace amounts; all at concentrations below 10⁻⁴ M. Only sodium was present in large quantity. The concentration of Na⁺ was 5.2x10⁻⁷ M when no CaCl₂ was used in preparing the original suspension and 6.1x10⁻⁷ M when 10⁻¹ M CaCl₂ was used. In all cases the pH of the water removed was above 12 due to the presence of the OH⁻ necessary for charge neutrality.

When sufficient voltage was applied, electrochemical reactions occurred which released gas at each electrode. There was no anodic corrosion since the electrodes were platinum-plated. Figure 5-1, a schematic diagram of the bed, gives the principal electrode reactions. The upper electrode was the anode since the zeta potential of the Bentonite was negative (see Fig. 2-3).

At the cathode the following reaction occurred (Lockhart, 1983):

\[ H_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow 2H_2O + 2e^- \quad E_{ox} = -0.83 \, V \]  \hspace{1cm} (5-3)

The reaction is written as an oxidation and \( E_{ox} \) is the standard oxidation potential at 25°C (Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 1989-1990). The standard states for \( E_{ox} \) are gases at one atmosphere and electrolytes at infinite dilution. If no CaCl₂ were used in preparing the suspension, the anode reaction was:

\[ 2OH^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}O_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \quad E_o = -0.40V \]  \hspace{1cm} (5-4)
Figure 5-1  Schematic of Bed with Electrode Reactions

\[ 2\text{H}^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \]  

\[ 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \]
However, when Cl\(^-\) is present, another reaction might occur:

\[
2\text{Cl}^- \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + 2e^- \quad E_{ox} = -1.36V
\]  

(5-5)

At the chloride concentrations used here, however, the reaction releasing oxygen was favoured because of its larger \(E_{ox}\). Therefore, under all conditions hydroxyl ions were consumed at the anode following Eq. (5-4).

The removal of \(\text{OH}^-\) at the anode allowed reaction (5-1) to proceed, thus liberating additional \(\text{Na}^+\) which appeared in high concentration in the water removed from the bed. The ions released by reaction (5-1) increased the electrical conductivity of the liquid. This increased conductivity contributed to the increase of current (in constant voltage runs) or of the decrease of voltage (in constant current runs) during the first 30-40 minutes of a run.

5.2 Comparison with Helmholtz/Smoluchowski Theory

By rearranging eq. (1-6),

\[
\frac{u \cdot A}{i} = -\frac{\zeta \cdot \Delta e_o}{\eta \lambda} \quad (5-6)
\]

The quantity \(u \cdot A/i\) represents the volume of water transported in \(m^3\) per coulomb of charge. The water flux per unit charge, \(W\), is given by

\[
W = 5.344 \times 10^9 \left(\frac{u \cdot A}{i}\right) \quad \text{mole/Faraday} \quad (5-7)
\]

where the factor \(5.344 \times 10^9\) has units of mole-C/m\(^3\)-Faraday. The energy of dewatering, \(e_o\), which is proportional to \(V_o/i \cdot u \cdot A\), is given by
where the factor $1.806 \times 10^8$ has units of $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{kJ/mole} \cdot \text{J}$.

Equations (5-6) and (5-7) indicate that the water flux per unit charge should be independent of bed height and solid content. Since the addition of electrolytes decreases the zeta potential, $\zeta$, and increases the conductance, $\lambda$, the water flux per unit charge should decrease with electrolyte concentration. Equations (5-6) and (5-8) indicate that the energy of dewatering should increase with applied voltage and that it should be independent of solid content.

Table 5-2 compares measured values of $W$ and $e_d$ at the start of electroosmotic dewatering with values calculated from eqs. (5-7) and (5-8). The first two entries in the table are for constant voltage runs in which the conductance of the collected water was measured. The third entry, which is taken from the literature, is for a different Bentonite which has a much higher zeta potential than the Bentonite used here. The agreement between the data and the predictions of the Helmholtz-Smoluchowski theory is poor. In the present results (nos. 1 and 2) the values of $W$ computed from eq. (5-7) are approximately one-half of the experimental values while the values of $e_d$ computed from eq. (5-9) are roughly a factor of three larger than the data. The agreement with the literature data (no. 3) is even worse. The predicted values of $W$ and $e_d$ differ by about a factor of 10 from the experimental values.
Table 5-2 Comparison of Data with Eqs (5-7) and (5-8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number*</th>
<th>$\xi$ (V)</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (S/m)</th>
<th>$V_o$ (V)</th>
<th>$W_{eq}$(moles/Faraday)</th>
<th>$e_o$(kJ/mole)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eq.(5-7)</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 and 2 from this thesis ($S_o = 9.1$ wt%; $C_o = 10^2 M; H_o = 2.0$ cm)
* 3 from Yokawa (1978) ($S_o = 20$ wt%; $C_o = 0$ M; $H_o = 2.7$ cm)

5.3 Effect of Voltage or Current

Figure 5-2 shows the final percentage of water removed for runs with no CaCl$_2$ added and an initial bed height of 2 cm for constant voltage and constant current. The highest voltage and current gave the most water removal. For comparison, the data of Yoshida et al. (1985) for a 20 wt% Bentonite suspension dewatered under constant voltage are included. The abscissa is the initial field strength for constant voltage and the current per unit cross sectional area for constant current. Yoshida found that an intermediate voltage gave the highest water removal. In the present experiments no optimum voltage (or current) was found, although there was a tendency toward a maximum for constant current.

Figures 5-3 and 5-4 show the variation of the water flux per unit charge and the energy of dewatering, respectively. The present data are shown along with those of Yoshida et al. (1985). Although the initial conditions are different, the variations of
Figure 5-2  Relation Between Final Water Removal, Initial Field Strength and Current per Unit Area

[● Data of Yoshida (1985); ○ & ▲ Data of This Thesis]
Figure 5–3 Water Flux per Unit Charge at Constant Voltage

Filled symbols for present data \( (S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%} ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm}) \)

Open symbols for Yoshida et al. (1985) \( (S_0 = 20 \text{ wt\%} ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 5.4 \text{ cm}) \)
Figure 5-4  Energy of Dewatering at Constant Voltage

Filled symbols for present data  \((S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt}\% ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm})\)

Open symbols for Yoshida et al. (1985)  \((S_0 = 20 \text{ wt}\% ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 5.4 \text{ cm})\)
the ordinates with the percent water removed are similar and the orders of magnitude are similar. Yoshida et al. found a lower water flux per unit charge and a higher energy of dewatering than found here. The data indicate that the water flux per unit charge (Fig. 5-3) is independent of, or at most, weakly dependent upon voltage while the energy of dewatering (Fig. 5-4) is larger at larger voltage. These findings are in general agreement with eqs. (5-7) and (5-8).

Figure 5-5 gives the variation of the water flux per unit charge with the percent water removed for runs at constant current. The present data as well as Yoshida’s data are shown. The water flux per unit charge at the beginning of dewatering varies only slightly with current in general agreement with eq. (5-7).

5.4 Effect of Electrolyte Concentration

Figure 5-6 shows the average solid content of the bed at the end of dewatering as a function of the CaCl₂ concentration for both constant current and constant voltage. The abscissa is the molarity of CaCl₂ + 0.001 M. This scale locates the data for distilled water (0 M CaCl₂) at the left-most point on the plot, yet retains the logarithmic nature of the abscissa. Since the final solid content was independent of the initial solid content, as noted in Chapters 3 & 4, data are plotted for different initial solid contents. Increasing the concentration of CaCl₂ increased the final solid content with either constant voltage or constant current.

Figures 3-7 and 4-7 show that higher CaCl₂ concentrations increased the rate of water removal as well as the final percentage of water removed. This finding is contrary to the Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory (see Chapter 1 and section 5.2). Increasing the electrolyte concentration decreases the zeta potential (see Fig. 2-3) and increases
Figure 5-5  Water Flux per Unit Charge at Constant Current

Filled symbols for present data  \((S_0 = 9.1 \text{ wt\%} ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 2.0 \text{ cm})\)

Open symbols for Yoshida et al. (1985)  \((S_0 = 20 \text{ wt\%} ; C_0 = 0 \text{ M} ; H_0 = 5.4 \text{ cm})\)
Figure 5-6 Final Solid Content vs CaCl₂ Concentration

Initial solid content: ▲ & □ 9.1%; ■ 12%; □ 15%; ● 19%; ○ 26%
the conductance of the liquid. Equations (1-6) and (1-8) show that these changes should reduce the electroosmotic velocity and thus reduce the rate of water removal for both constant voltage and constant current. These expectations are contradicted by the data. Lockhart (1983) obtained similar results for the effect of NaCl concentration on electroosmotic dewatering.

One set of data in this thesis for 10^{-2} M CaCl₂ is comparable to a run from Lockhart's study, although the material he used was montmorillonite rather than Bentonite. The initial solid content for both runs was 9.1 wt%. When the average solid content reached 19.5 wt% the cumulative water flux per unit charge was 312 and 208 moles/Faraday for Lockhart's run and the run in this thesis, respectively. At the same point the cumulative energy expended for electroosmotic dewatering was 1.7 kJ/mole (Lockhart) and 2.5 kJ/mole (this thesis).

5.5 Effect of Initial Bed Height

Figure 5-7 shows the final water removal as a function of bed height for runs at constant voltage. The initial applied field was the same for each height. For the two largest heights (2.8 cm and 5.2 cm) the upper part of the bed became dry and large cracks appeared. The electrical resistance of these beds also increased, possibly due to the large volumes of gas liberated at the electrodes. The largest amount of water was removed at an intermediate bed height. For heights up to 2.1 cm the final percent removal increased with height. This was probably the result of the increased field in the middle portion of the bed, as described in Chapter 3.

Figure 3-20 shows that the water flux per unit charge was very high for the smallest bed height immediately after dewatering began. This large flux may be related to the
Figure 5-7  Final Water Removal vs Initial Bed Height at Constant Current

(S₀ = 9.1 wt%; C₀ = 10³ M; E₀ = 2.8 V/cm)
low voltage applied across 1 cm bed. The current was low (see Fig. 3-19) and little gas was evolved. The lowest energy of dewatering was also achieved at the smallest height (see Fig. 3-21). These results suggest that the most efficient use of energy is obtained with the thinnest beds. However, the thinnest bed was not the driest at the end of electroosmotic dewatering.

Figure 3-20 shows that the initial water flux per unit charge decreased with initial bed height. This result is at variance with the Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory which predicts that the flux per unit charge should be independent of bed height [see eqs. (5-6) and (5-7)]. Figure 3-21 shows that the energy of dewatering increased with bed height. The experiments were conducted at the same initial electric field strength, \( E_0 \), for each bed height. Noting that

\[
E_o = \frac{V_o}{H_o}
\]

and using eq. (5-6), the energy of dewatering can be written

\[
e_d = 1.806 \times 10^{-8} (\frac{E_o \eta \lambda}{-\zeta D e_o}) H_o \tag{5-10}
\]

The Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory predicts that the energy of dewatering increases with bed height at constant field strength in agreement with the data in Fig. 3-21.

5.6 Effect of Initial Solid Content

There is little effect of initial solid content on the solid content at the end of electroosmotic dewatering. It is known that Bentonite binds tightly 1-3 molecular layers of water, corresponding to approximately 0.1-0.3 g water/ g clay (Cebula and Thomas, 1978). This water is probably too tightly bound for removal by
electroosmosis. Water layers beyond this are progressively less tightly held. For a fixed field a certain number of layers will be immobile; the larger the field, the fewer layers, until only the irreversibly bound layers are left.

Figure 5-8 shows the variation of the water flux per unit charge with the wt% water. The data shown as open circles are initial values of flux, i.e. values obtained when the composition of the bed was uniform. The data presented as filled triangles show the variation of the flux per unit charge with the average water content of the bed for a single run with an initial water content of 90.9 wt%. In all cases the initial bed height was 2.0 cm and the concentration of CaCl$_2$ was $10^2$ M. Also shown in the figure are results of Gray (1966) for uniform illitic clay plugs in $10^2$ M NaCl.

The water flux per Faraday showed a strong variation with water content for the Bentonite. Table 5-3 shows the solid content at the end of electroosmotic dewatering for a bed which was cut into three sections. In a single run the bed was drier at the top than the anode at the bottom. The variation of the final solid content about the average final solid content was not sufficient to change the relationship between the flux per unit charge and the water content. The Bentonite data and illitic clay data indicate that the water flux per unit charge decreased as the solid content increased, but the Bentonite flux decreased much more rapidly. The Helmholtz/Smolchowski theory predicts that the flux per unit charge should be independent of the water (or solid) content [see eqs. (5-6) and (5-7)]. Both sets of data contradict this.
Figure 5-8  Variation of Water Flux per Unit Charge with Water Content

- ○ uniform bed composition
- ▲ data from a single run vs average water content
Table 5-3 Final Solid Content in Different Layers of Dewatered Cake

\( (S_o = 9.1 \text{ wt\%}; C_o = 10^2 \text{ M}; V_o = 5.5 \text{ V}; H_o = 2.0 \text{ cm}) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position in Cake</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>Solid Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Layer</td>
<td>3 mm</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Layer</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Layer</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7 Conclusions

From experiments on electroosmotic dewatering of Bentonite under constant voltage or constant current the following conclusions are drawn:

1. Electroosmosis can remove significant amounts of water with an energy expenditure well below the energy required to vaporize the water.

2. At the end of dewatering 20-60\% of the water was removed. Higher final water removals were obtained with higher voltages or higher currents.

3. The water removal rate and the final solid content were increased with the addition of \( \text{CaCl}_2 \).

4. At the constant initial field strength the smallest bed height (1 cm) gave the lowest energy of dewatering and highest flux per unit charge. The driest bed was obtained at a medium height (2.1 cm).

5. The initial solid content had little effect on the final average solid content.

6. The Helmholtz/Smoluchowski theory did not agree with the experimental results for the effects of electrolyte concentration, bed height and solid content.
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NOMENCLATURE

A  cross sectional area of the bed  \([m^2]\)
a  cross sectional area of the capillary  \([m^2]\)
b  coefficient in Eq. (1-9)
C_o  initial CaCl₂ concentration  \([M]\)
D  dielectric constant of bulk fluid
D_o  smallest diameter in size distribution  \([m]\)
D_m  largest diameter in size distribution  \([m]\)
d  distance travelled by the particles  \([m]\)
E  field strength  \([V/cm]\)
E_a  applied field strength  \([V/cm]\)
E_o  initial field strength  \([V/cm]\)
E_{ox}  standard oxidation potential  \([volt]\)
e_d  energy of dewatering  \([kJ/mole]\)
H  bed height  \([cm]\)
H_o  initial bed height  \([cm]\)
i  electric current  \([mA]\)
k_i  Debye thickness  \([m]\)
l  distance between electrodes  \([m]\)
M  molecular weight of water  \([g/mole]\)
m  coefficient in Eq. (1-9)
n(D)  number distribution
Q  volume of water removed by electroosmosis  \([cm^3]\)
\dot{Q}  rate of water removal  \([cm^3/s]\)
q_r  total charge passed per unit area  \([coulomb/cm^2]\)
R  capillary radius  \([m]\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>initial solid content</td>
<td>[wt%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>[s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{t}$</td>
<td>average time</td>
<td>[s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_e$</td>
<td>electroosmotic velocity</td>
<td>[m/s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>overall voltage</td>
<td>[volt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_0$</td>
<td>initial applied voltage</td>
<td>[volt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>water flux per unit charge</td>
<td>[moles/Faraday]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>water content</td>
<td>[wt%]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>zeta potential</td>
<td>[volt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon$</td>
<td>permittivity of the bulk liquid</td>
<td>[F/m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_0$</td>
<td>permittivity of free space</td>
<td>[F/m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_r$</td>
<td>volume fraction of water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>fluid viscosity</td>
<td>[kJ/m·s]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>specific conductance of the bulk fluid</td>
<td>[S/m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density of water</td>
<td>[kg/m$^3$]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>