# Human Salivary Nerve Growth Factor associated with Painful Temporomandibular Disorders

Priscila Dias Nascimento Sander

Master's of Dental Science

Faculty of Dentistry

McGill University Montreal, Quebec, Canada August 2014

A thesis submitted to McGill University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Degree of Master's of Dental Science

© SANDER PDN 2014

# **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my beloved father, Alberto Fernando Monteiro do Nascimento and to my precious stillborn daughter. I miss them incredibly every second of my life, but I feel immensely blessed to have had their presence in my life; owing to them, I am a better person every day.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DEDICATION	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
RÉSUMÉ	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	X
STATEMENT OF SUPPORT	xii
PREFACE	xiii
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	xiv
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	
CHAPTER 2 _ I ITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 Painful Temporomandibular Disorders	
2.2 Epidemiology of Temporomandibular Disorders	
2.2.1 Prevalence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders	4
2.2.2 Incidence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders	5
2.3 Diagnostic of Temporomandibular Disorders	7
2.3.1 Craniomandibular Index	7
2.3.2 Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders	8
2.4 Etiopathogenesis of Temporomandibular Disorders	
2.5 Mechanisms of Pain	
2.5.1 Peripheral Mechanisms and Sensitization	12
2.5.2 Central Mechanishis and Sensitization	13 14
2.6.1 Nerve Growth Factor	14 15
2.7 Saliva as a Diagnostic Tool	17
CHAPTER 3 – STUDY OBJECTIVES	20
CHAPTER 4 – METHODOLOGY	
4.1 Database – National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's	
Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository	21
4.2 Ethics	21
4.3 Study Design and Population	
4.3.1 Cases Selection	23
4.3.2 Controls Selection	23
4.4 Assessments and Data Collection	
4.4.1 Diagnosis – Primary Outcome	23

4.4.2 Putative Confounders	
4.4.3 Saliva Collection	
4.5 Saliva Analysis	
4.6 Statistical Analysis	
4.7 Power Analysis	
4.7.1 Post-hoc Power Analysis	
CHAPTER 5. MANUSCRIPT	32
Human Salivary Nerve Growth Factor Levels associated with Painful	
Temporomandibular Disorders: a Case-Control Study	
CHAPTER 6. DISCUSSION	56
6.1 Summary of the Results	
6.1.1 pTMD and sNGF Levels	
6.1.2 Secondary Analyses	
6.2 Methodological Considerations	
6.2.1 Bias	
6.2.2 Selection Bias	
6.2.3 Information Bias	59
6.2.4 Acquiescence Bias	60
6.2.5 Confounding	61
6.3 Strengths	62
6.4 Limitations	
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSION	65
CHAPTER 8. LIST OF REFERENCES	70
CHAPTER 9. APPENDIX	82

## LIST OF TABLES

**Table 1**. Crude and adjusted logistic regression analyses of painful TMD (dependent variable) and log salivary NGF levels, and putative confounders (independent variables).

**Table 2.** Crude and adjusted linear regression assessing the association between current pain (dependent variable) and log salivary NGF levels and putative confounders (independent variables).

 Table 2.2.1. Prevalence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders.

**Table 2.2.2.** Incidence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders.

**Table 3.** Crude and adjusted linear regression assessing the association between current pain (dependent variable) and log salivary NGF levels and putative confounders (independent variables) including only participants with moderate to severe pain (>4, 0-10 NRS).

**Table 4.** Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of means log salivary NGF levels

 (dependent variable) between groups (independent variables).

# LIST OF FIGURES

**Figure 1.** A: graphical demonstration of the distribution of sNGF levels skewed to the right; B: demonstration of a normal distribution after logarithmic transformation.

**Figure 2**. Mean levels of salivary NGF (sNGF) of TMD cases and controls. Error bars represent standard deviations.

**Figure 2.5.1.** Chemical mediators involved in inflammation-related peripheral sensitization.

**Figure 2.5.2.** Scheme showing inflammatory mediators released at the site of tissue injury that go though peripheral (nerve fibers) to central mechanisms (spinal cord and brain).

**Figure 3.** Scatter plot showing the linear association of log sNGF levels with current moderate to severe pain intensity.

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- TMD Temporomandibular Disorder
- pTMD Painful Temporomandibular Disorder
- TMJ Temporomandibular Joint
- NGF Nerve Growth Factor
- sNGF Salivary Nerve Growth Factor
- MP Myofascial Pain
- DD Disc Displacement
- RDC/TMD Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular disorders
- CMI Craniomandibular Index
- GCPS Graded Chronic Pain Scale
- NIH National Institute of Health

NIDCR's TIRR – National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository

- UMN University of Minnesota
- RSBO Réseau de recherche en santé buccodentaire et osseuse
- FRSQ Fonds de recherche en santé du Québec
- ELISA Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
- OR Odds Ratio
- CI Confidence Interval
- SD Standard Deviation
- ICC Intraclass Correlation Coefficients
- NRS Numeric Rating Scale

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Inflammatory mediators have been proposed to be biomarkers of painful Temporomandibular Disorders (pTMD). The aim of this study was to identify if salivary nerve growth factor (sNGF), an inflammatory mediator, is a marker of pTMD.

**Methods:** Data from 124 participants with TMD and 97 controls were obtained from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository. pTMD diagnosis was determined by clinical examination conducted by calibrated clinicians using a Modified Craniomandibular Index (CMI), wherein the CMI examination items were redesigned to conform precisely to those specified for the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD. Participants completed questionnaires to assess pain, medical history, oral habits and demographics. Five milliliters of unstimulated whole saliva were collected from all participants on the same day of the clinical examination. Blinded laboratory assays were performed to measure the sNGF levels using commercially available ELISA kits.

**Results:** The pTMD group contained more females (109/124; P = 0.0003) and was older (P < 0.0001) than the control group. Participants with pTMD did not show greater likelihood to have higher levels of sNGF than controls (OR = 0.92; P = 0.43). However, older participants with high sNGF levels were almost twice as likely to have pTMD as controls (OR = 1.87; P = 0.01). Moreover, pain intensity was positively associated ( $\beta = 0.38$ ; P = 0.008) with sNGF levels in pTMD participants who reported pain greater than 4 (0-10 Numeric Rating Scale). pTMD participants taking anti-inflammatories had significantly lower levels of sNGF than those who did not ( $\beta = -0.73$ ; P = 0.0004).

**Conclusion:** Our results show that the likelihood of having pTMD is not related to higher levels of sNGF, except among older participants, high sNGF levels may contribute to worsening the pain severity.

# RÉSUMÉ

**Objectif :** Les médiateurs inflammatoires ont été proposés comme marqueurs biologiques des désordres de l'articulation temporo-mandibulaire (DTM). Le but de cette étude est d'identifier si le facteur de croissance nerveuse présent dans la salive (sFCN), un médiateur inflammatoire, est un marqueur de DTM.

**Méthode:** Les données de 124 participants avec DTM et 97 témoins, sans DTM, ont été obtenues du « National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository ». Le diagnostique de DTM a été réalisé par examen clinique effectué par des examinateurs calibrées en utilisant l'indice craniomandibular (ICM) modifiée, dans lequel les questions de ICM ont été redessinés afin de se conformer exactement à celles spécifiées pour les Critères Diagnostiques de Recherche des Désordres Temporo-mandibulaires. Les participants ont rempli des questionnaires destinés à évaluer la douleur, les antécédents médicaux, les habitudes orales et la démographie. Cinq ml de salive non-stimulée ont été recueillis le même jour de l'examen clinique. Des tests de laboratoire en aveugle ont été réalisés pour mesurer les niveaux de sFCN en utilisant des kits « ELISA » disponibles sur le marché commercial.

**Résultats:** La majorité des 124 participants dans le groupe de DTM étaient des femmes (P = 0.0003), et plus âgés que les 97 témoins (P < 0.0001). Les participants atteint de DTM n'étaient pas plus probables d'avoir des niveaux de sFCN significativement plus élevés que les témoins (OR = 0.92; P = 0.43). Toutefois, les participants plus âgés avec des niveaux plus élevés de sFCN étaient presque deux fois plus susceptibles d'être atteints de DTM que les témoins (OR = 1.87; P = 0.01). En outre, l'intensité de la douleur était associée positivement ( $\beta = 0.38$ ; P = 0.008) avec les niveaux de sFCN quand la douleur reportée variait de modérée à sévère (>4; 0-10 échelle d'évaluation numérique). Les participants prenant des anti-inflammatoires avaient des niveaux significativement inférieurs de sFCN à ceux qui n'en prenait pas ( $\beta = -0.73$ ; P = 0.0004).

**Conclusion:** Nos résultats indiquent que la probabilité d'avoir DTM n'est pas liée à des niveaux plus élevés de sFCN, sauf chez les participants plus âgés et les niveaux plus élevés de sFCN peuvent contribuer à l'aggravation de la sévérité de la douleur.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project would not have been feasible without many people to whom I owe my eternal gratitude. First of all, I would like to thank immensely my supervisor Dr. Ana Miriam Velly for her patience, enthusiasm, constant presence and advice. Thank you for always showing me the way, and for the constant motivation even in the most difficult moments that I had to face during these past two years.

I also thank Dr. Petra Schweinhardt for her precious and relevant considerations regarding my study. Special thanks go to Dr. Mervyn Gornitsky for his constant contribution to all my projects, which substantially improved their quality. I also really appreciate the important participation of Dr. Laura Stone as a member of my committee and her insights in the development of this thesis.

I must thank "Le Réseau de recherche en santé buccodentaire et osseuse" (RSBO), "Fonds de recherche en santé du Québec" (FRSQ) and McGill University's Faculty of Dentistry for their financial support of my research project.

I would like to express my special appreciation to all staff of the Department of Dentistry at the Jewish General Hospital and McGill University, especially Ms. Maria Palumbo for her patience and endless support throughout these years. I also want to acknowledge Mike Giovinazzo, research assistant at the Lady Davis Institute, for his amazing work on conducting the assays on the biomarkers that allowed me to have a high-end database.

Х

I am thankful to both my friend Sophie Louise Woodrow and my colleague Shrisha Mohit for helping me with the revision process of this study, and also to Ahad Ahmed for always being willing to help and give me the best suggestions. I have to extend my gratitude to all my friends everywhere, especially to the wonderful Campilongo family for all the great and relaxing weekends that we shared together.

Words cannot express how grateful I am for having the best mother, Marizete Dias Souza, and for her love and inexhaustible support in every aspect of my life. Also, I have to thank my siblings for being such a great comfort during these tough last two years of our lives.

Last but far from least, a more than special thanks to my incredibly precious husband, Rodrigo Albuquerque Sander, for having embarked on this adventure with me, for sharing your life with me, always staying by my side cheering me up, keeping me well-balanced, and helping me put the pieces together. None of this would be possible without your love.

## STATEMENT OF SUPPORT

Financial support for the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository (NIDCR's TIRR) was provided by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH/NIDCR grant # R01DE11252, the University of Minnesota Oral Health Research Center (NIH/NIDCR grant # DE09737-09), and NIH/NIDCR Contract # N01-DE22635. This study was also supported by "Fonds de recherche en santé du Québec (FRSQ) - Réseau de recherche en santé buccodentaire et osseuse" (RSBO) (grant # 234656 and M.Sc. Student Scholarship 2013-2014 # 5213).

## PREFACE

The format of this thesis follows a manuscript-based format thesis. The manuscript included in this thesis discusses the original study on the levels of a salivary biomarker (i.e., nerve growth factor) associated with painful temporomandibular disorders. The first chapter consists of a brief introduction of the topic, which is followed, in the second chapter, by an up-to-date background regarding temporomandibular disorders, saliva samples and biomarkers. The aims of the study are presented in the third chapter, and a discussion about the methodology applied in this study is proposed in the fourth chapter, which is followed by the manuscript *per se*. Finally, the final discussion and conclusions are presented in the sixth and seventh chapters.

The recognition of every author's contribution to the manuscript of this study is presented in the next section.

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS**

### Manuscript:

Human salivary Nerve Growth Factor associated with Painful Temporomandibular Disorders: a Case-Control Study

**Priscila Sander**, DDS, M.Sc. Candidate, McGill University, Faculty of Dentistry: performed the literature review, carried out statistical analyses, and wrote the manuscript.

**James Fricton**, Professor Emeritus, University of Minnesota, School of Dentistry: director of the NIDCR's TIRR database which was used to recruit the data.

**Mervyn Gornitsky**, Professor Emeritus, McGill University, Faculty of Dentistry and Jewish General Hospital, Department of Dentistry: contributed to manuscript writing.

**Petra Schweinhardt**, Associate Professor, McGill University, Faculty of Dentistry: reviewed and contributed to manuscript writing.

**Mike Giovinazzo**, Research Assistant, Jewish General Hospital, Lady Davis Institute: conducted the laboratory assays and provided comments on the manuscript.

Ana Miriam Velly, Associate Professor, McGill University, Faculty of Dentistry and Department of Dentistry, Jewish General Hospital: designed and supervised the NIDCR's TIRR study, contributed to statistical analyses, provided statistical and epidemiological expertise, and reviewed and contributed to manuscript writing.

#### **CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION**

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is nowadays an increasingly reported condition within populations worldwide. In the general population, where the prevalence of painful TMD (pTMD) ranges from 5% to 10% (3, 4), it is the second most commonly occurring musculoskeletal disorder, after back pain (5) and is more frequent among women (2%-18%) than men (0-10%) (6-8). pTMD is characterized by musculoskeletal pain in the muscles of mastication, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), or both, and is often aggravated during jaw function (9). One in three individuals seeking care for pTMD still report persistent pain after 5 years of the treatment (10, 11).

The specific mechanisms implicated in the persistence of pTMD are not clear (12). In fact, pTMD has been related to the dysregulation of pain modulatory systems involving the central and peripheral nervous systems and the immune system (13). A number of animal and human studies have been performed to identify biomarkers of pTMD (14-17). A biomarker is defined as a pharmacological or a physiological measurement that is used to indicate a normal biological or toxic event and has a particular feature that makes it useful for measuring disease progression or the effect of treatments (18-20).

Nerve Growth Factor (NGF), a neurotropic protein often employed as an indicator of mechanical injury and inflammation, has been suggested as a possible pTMD biomarker (21). NGF is naturally found in certain glands and fluids of the body (e.g. blood and saliva), and is produced by mast cells, macrophages and

Schwann cells (22). NGF stimulates growth and maintenance of sympathetic and sensory nerve cells (23, 24). Cells deprived of NGF may undergo apoptotic changes and death (25). In addition, NGF is present in many forms of inflammation (22, 26), and is taken up by the tissues leading to hyperalgesia (27).

In fact, pain is a very subjective experience that can vary greatly among individuals (28). Hence, it would be crucial to have a biomarker of pain status. We therefore evaluated the relationship between salivary NGF (sNGF) levels and pTMD. More specifically, we investigated whether:

1) Participants with high levels of sNGF were more likely to have pTMD, regardless of the number of putative confounders;

2) Levels of sNGF were positively related to pain status - pain intensity, pain subgroups (persistent and recurrent) and pain upon muscle palpation - regardless of the number of putative confounders.

To our knowledge, no research exists addressing the magnitude of variations in sNGF levels between individuals with and without pTMD.

#### **CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### 2.1 Painful Temporomandibular Disorders

It has been reported that TMDs have an impact on over 10 million to as many as 36 million adults in the United States of America (29, 30). TMDs are a group of disorders that affect the TMJ, the muscles of mastication or both (8). pTMD is characterized by musculoskeletal pain in the muscles of mastication, the TMJ, or both and is often aggravated during jaw function (9). TMDs are reported to be more common in females (2-18%) as compared to males (0-10%), with a female to male ratio ranging from 1.2 to 2.6 (7).

Among those affected, approximately half to two-thirds will seek professional care, with an annual cost estimated at \$4 billion dollars (5). A number of individuals seeking treatment for TMD will progress to chronic pain, which is defined as a pain that has persisted beyond normal tissue healing time up to 3 to 6 months (31). In addition, many studies have demonstrated that pTMDs may cause individuals to be debilitated in relation to mastication, speech, and sleep; thus, pTMDs have an influence on decreasing individuals' quality of life (32). The field of pTMD is experiencing countless changes in terms of etiology and treatment.

#### 2.2 Epidemiology of Temporomandibular Disorders

#### 2.2.1 Prevalence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders

Prevalence is a term commonly used in epidemiological studies, and is defined as the proportion of a population found to have a condition within a particular period of time; thus, it is considered as a "measure of disease status" (33). Within literature, prevalence is usually separated in two different terms: period prevalence and point prevalence. Period prevalence is the number of people with a specific disease or condition in a period of time (34). Point prevalence is a measure of the proportion of people in a population who have a disease at a specific date (35).

A great number of epidemiological studies have estimated the prevalence of TMD (Table 2.2.1). A study performed among 677 random Canadian adults (67% participation rate) reported an overall prevalence of 5.5% (pain in the TMJ while opening) and 7.5% (pain in the TMJ while chewing) (36).

In a survey among 1,016 subjects from the Health Maintenance Organization (80% of participation rate) 12% of individuals reported pain in the muscles of the face, joint, and jaw in the last 6 months (37).

A survey conducted among 897 Quebecers (64% response rate) showed that 30% of participants reported at least one episode of pain in the muscles of mastication and jaw joints (38).

A telephone survey conducted among 19,586 adult women in New York metropolitan area (60% participation rate) found that about 10% of those

participants reported pain in face or in the front of the jaw in the last six months (3).

A population-based survey among 30,978 individuals (79% participation rate) from the National Health Institute Survey reported a prevalence of 5% of facial ache or pain in the jaw muscles or the joint in front of the ear (4).

An OPPERA study among 3,263 participants showed that females aged between 35 and 44 years old reported the highest prevalence of pTMD (7.1%) in comparison to females aged 18 - 24 years old (3.5%). However, the authors did not show the overall prevalence for the total population (39).

Table 2.2.1. Prevalence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders							
Authors, Year	Study Design	Sample Size	Age	Assessment	Condition	Prevalence %	
Locker et al., 1988	Survey	677	18-65	Telephone Survey/ Questionnaire	TMJ pain while opening mouth	7.5	
Von Korff et al., 1988	Cohort/ Survey	1,016	18-75	Symptom Checklist	Orofacial Pain	12	
Goulet <i>et al.</i> , 1995	Survey	897	18+	Telephone Survey/ Questionnaire	TMD Pain	30	
Janal <i>et al.</i> , 2008	Survey	782	18-75	Telephone Survey/Clinical examination	Telephone Myofascial Survey/Clinical TMD		
Isong et al., 2008	Survey	30,987	-	Self-reported	Self-reported Myofascial TMD		
Slade <i>et al.</i> , 2011	Cohort/ Survey	3,263	35-44	RDC/TMD	TMD-like pain	7.1	

## 2.2.2 Incidence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders

Incidence is the proportion or rate of new conditions or diseases occurring in a defined population during a specified time period (34). Within the literature, two types of incidence can be found: incidence rate or density and cumulative incidence. Incidence rate is the number of new cases of a disease during a specific period of time divided by the total persons-time of observation (34). The denominator for incidence rate changes as persons originally at risk develop a disease during the period of observation. Cumulative incidence gives an estimation of the probability that an individual will develop a condition during a specific period of time (34).

Some studies have assessed the incidence of TMD (Table 2.2.2). A cohort study performed in 1016 subjects from the Health Maintenance Organization aged between 18-65 reported a annual incidence of TMD of approximately 2.2% (40).

Another study reported an overall annual incidence of 2.9% among 2,255 adolescents 12 to 19 years of age over three years, and the annual incidence was higher among females (4.5%) as compared to males (1.3%) (41).

More recently, a cohort study among 2,737 subjects in the USA reported an annual incidence of 3.9%. However, this cumulative incidence increased from 2.5% (age 18 - 24) to 4.5% (age 35 - 44) *per annum* with increasing age (42).

Table 2.2.2. Incidence of Painful Temporomandibular Disorders							
Authors, Year	Study Design	Sample Size Condition		Annual Incidence %			
Von Korff <i>et al.</i> , 1993	Cohort	1,016	Painful TMD	2.2			
Nilsson <i>et al.,</i> 2007	Cohort	2,255	Painful TMD	2.9			
Slade <i>et al.</i> , 2013	Cohort	2,737	Painful TMD	3.9			

#### 2.3 Diagnostic of Temporomandibular Disorders

A number of classification systems have been proposed for the diagnosis of TMD including both systems used in this study: the Craniomandibular index (CMI) and the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (RDC/TMD).

#### 2.3.1 Craniomandibular Index

Fricton *et al.* were the first group to suggest the CMI in order to stipulate a standardized measurement of limitation of mandibular movement, muscle and joint tenderness, and TMJ sounds (43). In addition, similar to the RDC/TMD, this classification was based on clinical examination (43). The CMI was separated into two categories: Palpation Index, which is calculated by adding scores of tenderness on palpation of the muscles of mastication and TMJ; and Dysfunction Index, which is based on the examination of the TMJ function (43).

## 2.3.1.1 Reliability and Validity of CMI Classification

Several studies have been performed in order to validate the CMI. A study conducted by Fricton *et al.* presented that the validity of the CMI was reasonably accurate and could be used in clinical studies, but strict precautions should be taken into account by the examiners to ensure the replicability of results (44).

Pehling *et al.* analyzed the correspondence between the CMI and the RDC/TMD, and found a great significant agreement between them for the measurement of TMD severity (ICC = 0.97, P < 0.001). For all 12 participants in this study, the mean TMI score was 0.26 (SD 0.18) and CMI score was 0.26 (SD 0.19) (45).

### 2.3.2 Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders

More than 20 years ago, Dworkin and LeResche established an important classification for TMD, the well-known RDC/TMD, in order to reduce the variability of examination methods that was frequently noticed within studies (46). The RDC/TMD is a dual-axis method, wherein clinical examination (Axis I), as well as psychological status and pain-related disability (Axis II) are assessed among all subjects of a study (47).

The RDC categorize TMD into three specific groups according to the frequency of factors among conditions:

Group I: Muscle Disorders – a) Myofascial pain (MP) and b) MP with limited mouth opening. Myofascial pain is defined as a pain in the muscles of mastication or pain at palpation in at least three sites, with one of them at least on the same side as the reported pain. Myofascial pain with limited mouth opening is the pain in the mandibular area and/or muscles of mastication with limitation of the mouth opening, such as pain-free <40mm in unassisted opening and  $\geq$ 5mm in passive stretch. Group II: Disc Displacements (DD) – which can be: a) with reduction, characterized by stretching of the ligaments that hold the disc in place, producing a clicking-like sound and the joint is pain-free; b) DD without reduction with limited opening, characterized by absence of TMJ clicking and/or painful opening of  $\leq$ 35mm and passive stretch of  $\leq$ 4mm; c) DD without reduction without limited opening in which the ligaments are extended to the limit, and painful opening >35mm and passive stretch >4mm with contralateral excursion of more than 7mm. DD is often defined as an abnormal relationship of the articular disc to the mandibular condyle and the articular eminence of the TMJ (46).

Group III: Other common Joint disorders – such as arthralgia, which is the pain in the joint without crepitus; osteoarthritis that is a pain in the joint with crepitus; and osteoarthrosis, which is pain-free joint with crepitus.

#### 2.3.2.1 Reliability and Validity of RDC/TMD Classification

A satisfactory measurement should provide exactly the same value if applied repeatedly by different examiners or by the same study group at a different point in time (48). Thus, reliability is also called reproducibility. Validity deals with the truthfulness of the measurement (49).

The RDC/TMD diagnostic system has been widely used and translated into 21 languages. A study conducted by John *et al.* investigated the reliability of clinical TMD diagnosis using definitions contained in the RDC/TMD. They looked into 10 assessment trials conducted by international clinical centers (San Francisco, Portland, USA; Singapore; Sydney, Australia; Amsterdam, The Netherlands; Heidelberg, Germany; Zurich, Switzerland; Naples, Italy; Linkoping and Malmo, Sweden), which involved 30 clinical TMD specialist examiners who assessed 230 subjects. Volunteers were included as healthy controls. Reliability assessment for RDC/TMD was conducted within each clinical center and between centers by computing the Intraclass Correlation Coefficients (ICC), which is a measure of similarity of units that share the same quantification procedure. Results showed fair to good reliability for MFP with and without limited mouth opening (ICC = 0.51 and 0.60), disc displacement with reduction (ICC = 0.61), and arthralgia (ICC = 0.47). The reliability of diagnostic classification improved when diagnoses were grouped into pain versus non-pain diagnosis (ICC = 0.72) (50).

A study conducted by Schiffman *et al.* presented a modified version of the RDC/TMD currently called Diagnostic Criteria for TMD (DC/TMD). They found a satisfactory sensitivity and specificity for myalgia (0.90 and 0.99, respectively), arthralgia (0.89 and 0.98, respectively), myofascial pain (0.86 and 0.98 respectively), and headache attributed to TMD (0.89 and 0.87 respectively). On the other hand, a medium sensitivity and specificity were found for DD with reduction (0.34 and 0.92, respectively), DD with reduction with intermittent locking (0.38 and 0.98, respectively), DD without reduction with limited opening (0.80 and 0.97, respectively), DD without reduction with limited opening (0.54 and 0.79, respectively), and degenerative disease (0.55 and 0.61, respectively) (51).

#### 2.4 Etiopathogenesis of Temporomandibular Disorders

Initially, TMD was believed to be related simply to malocclusion and unsuitable jaw position (52). Over the years, improvements in the understanding of the biomechanics, neuromuscular physiology, and mechanisms of pain led to significant changes in the rationale related to the cause of TMDs (30).

Nowadays, TMDs are described as having a multifactorial etiology (53, 54). Engel *et al.* first proposed the term biopsychosocial, which compiles biological psychological, and social factors (55). Dworkin and LeResche suggested a biopsychological model of chronic pain development and understanding of pTMD. This model described the variability in the individual pain experience (46).

Contemporary biopsychosocial models of chronic pain attribute an important role of psychosocial factors in contributing to the experience of chronic pain (56). In fact, chronic pain is a multidimensional and complex condition since it is related to subjectivity and private experience, such as the implication of the emotional-affective system, pain behavior, and environmental factors (57). In recent years, based on the biopsychosocial model, several contributing factors have been proposed for pTMD, such as grinding, clenching, comorbidities, trauma, psychological factors, gender and age. The model that succeeded the one proposed by Dworkin and LeResche presented that TMD is also affected by risk factors as suggested by biopsychosocial models, such as pain amplification, pro-inflammatory states, and impaired pain regulation (58).

### 2.5 Mechanisms of Pain

#### 2.5.1 Peripheral Mechanisms and Sensitization

The facial muscles and TMJ are innerved by nerve afferent fibers of the trigeminal nerve and, in the orofacial tissues, these afferent fibers finish as free nerve endings (59). Free nerve endings are considered nociceptors since they are activated by noxious stimuli which is defined as "an actually or potentially tissue damaging event" (60). These fibers respond to peripheral stimulation of tissues (61, 62), and recognize the occurrence of noxious stimulus (59). Two fiber types (A $\delta$  and C-fibers) are in charge of conducting nerve impulses into central nervous system (63). In fact, A $\delta$  fibers are myelinated, medium-diameter fibers, responsible for fast conduction, acute and sharp pain. While C-fibers are non-myelinated, small-diameter, responsible for slow conduction and diffuse pain (64).

Damage or injury to tissue is always followed by an inflammatory process (65). Immediately after the damage, some chemical mediators (e.g., NGF, histamines – Figure 2.5.1) are released at the site of injury and increase the excitability (66, 67). The activation of the afferent fibers



**Figure 2.5.1.** Chemical mediators involved in inflammation-related peripheral sensitization. From Ringkamp *et al.* Copyright 2011 by Ian Suk. Reproduced with permissions (1).

initiates the conduction of nerve impulses to the central nervous system (63). In the case of the persistence of the stimulus, a considerable modification of the peripheral nervous system and a sensitization of the nerve fibers will occur, consequently leading to a hyperalgesia of the nociceptors (65) to such an extent that even a low intensity stimulus can trigger them; in fact, this phenomenon is extensively reported as peripheral sensitization (68). This event comes after the activation of many mediators, including inflammatory mediators, and is followed by the reduction of the threshold of nociceptors (65). Some chemical irritants, such as capsaicin, when applied to a tissue may display a similar mechanism of action (hyperalgesia), as well as an intense response to an innocuous noxious stimuli (allodynia) and even spontaneous activity (63).

Therefore, nociceptors may translate thermic, chemical, or mechanical stimuli into an electrical stimulus which will pass on to the central nervous system and be interpreted by the cerebral cortex as pain (65). The mechanisms involved in the peripheral sensitization of orofacial nociceptive endings have been studied for over two decades; however, they have not been completely elucidated (1, 63).

#### 2.5.2 Central Mechanisms and Sensitization

During the pathway of pain from nerve cells into the central nervous system (Figure 2.5.2) some changes may occur in the spinal cord showing that this is a dynamic process (64, 69) which leads to an expanded response of the neurons in the central nervous system or, in the case of orofacial pain, in the trigeminal

nerve. After this process there is a facilitation of the passage of the signal, which will then be recognized as pain.

Central sensitization is characterized by pronounced changes of the response effects of the neurons in superficial, profound and ventral cords during inflammation (70). It is usually observed after cutaneous inflammation, cutaneous capsaicin application and

inflammation in the joint, muscle and viscera (70).

In fact, a number of neurons respond to stimulation in damaged tissue after sensitization. Furthermore, central sensitization may persist for days or even weeks considering the variety of stages of neurons' acute and chronic inflammation (71, 72).



## 2.6 Pain Mediators

As illustrated in the figures 2.5.1 and 2.5.2, there are many mediators involved in peripheral and central mechanisms of pain, such as histamine, bradykinin, prostaglandin, substance P and NGF.

#### 2.6.1 Nerve Growth Factor

A well-known pain mediator assayed in this study was NGF. It was first tracked down more than six decades ago and was described as a substance present in the body that is capable of inducing neuritis outgrowth in explants from sympathetic and sensory ganglia (73). Nowadays, NGF is defined as a neurotropic protein naturally found in certain glands and body compartments (e.g., synovial fluid, blood, saliva and muscles tissues), and that stimulates the growth of sympathetic and small diameter sensory nerve cells (23, 24). NGF is mostly produced in the mast cells, macrophages and Schwann cells (22), and plays an essential role for the survival and maintenance of sympathetic neurons (74). The cells deprived on NGF may undergo apoptotic changes and death (25, 75).

#### 2.6.1.1 NGF: Peripheral and Central Mechanisms of Pain

NGF is evident in many forms of inflammation (22, 26), and is taken up by the tissues leading to hyperalgesia (27). A number of previous studies have reported that the injection of NGF leads to pain responses like hyperalgesia, allodynia and muscle sensitization (27, 76-79).

Malik *et al.* observed a rapid onset hyperalgesia with a significant decrease in mechanical nociceptive threshold after 10 minutes of the injection of NGF on the dorsum of the hind paw of male rats (76).

A recent experimental study conducted by Wu *et al.* on rats showed that hippocampal NGF was upregulated by TMJ inflammation induced by complete Freund adjuvant injection into the bilateral TMJs and it may be involved in allodynia of inflammatory TMJ pain (79).

Svensson *et al.*, in a randomized double-blind placebo study among 12 healthy male subjects (mean age of  $24.8 \pm 2.8$  years), found that NGF injection in the masseter muscle was associated with a decrease in pressure pain threshold, and with local signs of mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia within seven days after administration of the substance (27).

Andersen *et al.*, also in a double–blinded placebo controlled study among 20 healthy individuals, noticed a local hyperalgesia and increased muscle soreness after the injection of NGF in the tibialis anterior muscle in comparison to the contralateral site (77).

In another randomized and placebo controlled experiment among 10 healthy subjects, Nie *et al.* noted higher pain ratings during temporal summation, and muscle soreness responses in the NGF-injected side of the trapezius in comparison to the contralateral side (78).

However, a case-control study did not find a significant difference in the levels of NGF in plasma, synovial fluid and masseter muscle, between 27 pTMD participants and 23 controls without TMD (16). This discrepancy between studies may be related to TMD pain characteristics and/or confounders, and body compartments since the mean levels of NGF in human body vary largely within studies and fluids of assessment (16, 80-85). Salivary glands represent the largest source of circulating NGF in animal models (68).

#### 2.7 Saliva as a Diagnostic Tool

Blood is historically and widely the chosen fluid for assessing any systemic condition until now. However, blood collection may imply some risks to subjects, which includes pain, discomfort and possible infection (86). These risks make the collection of this type of body fluid less recommendable for children and older subjects. Hence, saliva has many advantages compared to other bodily fluids, such as blood.

Collecting saliva samples has numerous advantages: a) it is basically non-invasive, thus generally preferred by individuals, especially children; b) it has a great participation rate (>70%) obtained in previous studies, which reduces the chances of selection bias (87, 88); c) it is stress-free and safe; d) it can also allow repeated sampling for serial measurement; and e) it is usually performed by the subject of analysis with the supervision of a trained person, which makes this method less costly since it does not require a trained phlebotomist. However, salivary flow and diurnal rhythm can vary and this may affect some parameters of investigation (89).

Human saliva is composed of 98% water and 2% of other compounds, such as antibacterial composites and multiples enzymes (90). It has multiple functions including: food digestion, food and bacterial clearance, lubrication of soft tissues, bolus formation, dilution of detritus, swallowing, immune-related functions, speech, taste and facilitation of mastication (86, 90, 91).

Even though all these functional components have been identified in saliva, numerous molecular functions in the oral cavity remain unknown. Recent evolution in proteomic, genomic and metabolomic techniques has advanced the sensitivity and improved the extension of usefulness in the diagnosis (90). For the past 25 years, salivary diagnostics have been explored for the detection of oral diseases, permitting the assessment of periodontal diseases, as well as caries' risk (92-99).

Salivary biomarkers can be analyzed from unstimulated whole saliva, resting saliva or stimulated saliva from specific gland pairs (such as parotid or submandibular-sublingual pairs) (86). Unstimulated whole saliva is defined as the saliva present in the oral cavity for the majority of a 24-hour period. Unstimulated whole saliva is usually correlated to systemic conditions more accurately than stimulated saliva because the materials used to stimulate flow may change salivary composition (as it induces a higher production of saliva resulting in diluted composition) (100). Whole saliva is mainly composed of fluids produced by major and minor salivary glands (101). Major salivary glands including parotid, submandibular, and sublingual glands, secrete fluid transported from serum and glandular tissues (101).

A number of measurement tools can be applied to analyze salivary composition. Despite that, in the literature the majority of analyses in saliva are done using Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISAs) because of their feasibility, reliability, and precision (86, 90, 101-103).

However, traditional ELISAs generally measure a single biological marker; thus, sample volume requirements increase dramatically if multiple biomarkers are to be measured (86).

# **CHAPTER 3 – STUDY OBJECTIVES**

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the relationship between sNGF levels and pTMD.

More specifically, we investigated whether:

1) Participants with high levels of sNGF were more likely to have pTMD, regardless of the number of putative confounders;

2) Levels of sNGF were positively related to a difference in pain status pain intensity, pain subgroups (persistent and recurrent) and pain upon muscle palpation - regardless of the number of putative confounders.

## **CHAPTER 4 – METHODOLOGY**

In this chapter, a painstaking description of the database, ethics, study design and population, data collection, saliva collection, saliva and statistical analysis applied in this study was accomplished.

# 4.1 Database – National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository

pTMD participants (cases) and controls were selected from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository (NIDCR's TIRR). This database is held by University of Minnesota (UMN), Minneapolis, Minnesota, United States of America. NIDCR's TIRR retains clinical information including TMD signs and symptoms, other medical findings, laboratory data, fluid samples (i.e., saliva and serum), imaging and dental records, surgical and implant data, as well as demographics (104).

### 4.2 Ethics

The first protocol of this study was approved by the UMN's research ethics committee before the beginning of this study. After having been explained about their participation in the study, all participants who agreed to participate signed a consent form (Appendix). The research ethics committee and institutional review board of the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada approved the second
protocol of this study since the database and saliva samples were kept at the Jewish General Hospital on a secured computer and laboratory, respectively.

## 4.3 Study Design and Population

In this case-control study, 124 pTMD case participants and 97 controls were selected from the NIDCR's TIRR. These NIDCR's TIRR participants consisted of those recruited between 2006 and 2009 from the UMN.

Participants who agreed to participate signed a consent form. The Institutional Review Boards from the University of Minnesota (UMN), Minneapolis, USA, and from the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada, approved this study.

All participants who were unable to read in English, under 18 years of age and/or with rare diseases such as tuberculosis, liver diseases, hepatitis, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, sickle cell anemia, sexually transmitted disease, and human immunodeficiency virus were excluded from the NIDCR's TIRR. Myers *et al.* have published detailed data about the NIDCR's TIRR (104).

Only pTMD subjects and controls who had received clinical examinations between 2006 and 2009 participated in this case-control study. The objective of this approach was two-fold: 1) to recruit controls from the same time period as the cases and 2) have both groups examined by the same calibrated examiners.

# 4.3.1 Cases Selection

In this study, the CMI examination was performed by calibrated examiners at the UMN Oral Health Research Center. According to the NIDCR's TIRR examiners, the pTMD case group was composed of individuals diagnosed with pTMD (see section 2.3). It was decided to recruit cases only between 2006 and 2009 in order to have cases and controls selected from the same base and time period, since controls were only recruited in this specific time frame.

# 4.3.2 Controls Selection

Controls, individuals without TMD, were outpatients selected from the dental clinic at UMN between 2006 and 2009 for problems other than pTMD. These participants could not have any orofacial pain.

## 4.4 Assessments and Data Collection

#### 4.4.1 Diagnosis – Primary Outcome

The primary outcome, pTMD diagnosis, was determined by clinical examination using a modified CMI wherein the items were redesigned to conform to those found on the RDC/TMD (46).

# 4.4.1.1 Pain Assessment

Another primary outcome in this study is current pain intensity which was assessed using the question from the Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS) (105) (Appendix): "How would you rate the worst pain at present time?". Pain intensity was a measure that was considered in this study as being more susceptible to the incurrence of recall bias since participants had to report the level of pain intensity of their pain in the past 6 months; thus, we only performed additional analyses on current pain intensity.

Worst and average pain intensities in the past six months were secondary outcomes and were assessed using the following questions from the GCPS:

1) "In the past six months how intense was your worst pain?";

2) "In the past six months, on the average, how intense was your pain?"

The purpose of each of the above questions is to inquire about participants' rating of their pain intensity in a range from 0 (no pain) to 10 (pain as bad as it could be).

pTMD was classified in pain subgroups as persistent or recurrent using the question from the NIDCR's TIRR questionnaire and RDC/TMD (Appendix):

 "What is the pattern of your worst problem?", possible answers: recurrent, persistent or one time only.

## 4.4.2 Putative Confounders

Confounding is a distortion of the exposure-outcome association due to its mutual association with another factor (49). More specifically, a confounder must be associated with E and D and cannot be in the causal pathway between E and D.

The following putative confounders, measured using a detailed NIDCR's TIRR questionnaire (self-reported assessment - see appendix), were included in the analyses:

Oral habits - clenching or grinding - since previous studies demonstrated that injection of NGF into the masseter muscle causes hyperalgesia as well as pain during jaw movement (21), and clenching appears to be associated with craniofacial pain (106).

Periodontal treatment, which has been described as a factor that can influence the levels of many mediators in saliva (92, 98) and is associated with pain and oral inflammation.

Alcohol intake (yes/no), which can influence the amount of saliva and many inflammatory oral diseases (107).

Back pain, which has been related to the odds of having pTMD (9, 109) and degenerated disc tissues were showed as containing high levels of NGF (108).

Stress was also taken into account because it has been indicated that stressful events induce an increase of NGF into the bloodstream (110) and has been related to TMD (111).

## 4.4.3 Saliva Collection

Participants were instructed to avoid eating, chewing gum, or drinking any liquid, except water, for two hours before the sampling.

On the day of the clinical examination, unstimulated whole saliva was obtained in the morning between 8am and 12pm by asking participants to swish and rinse mouth with clean water and expectorate, then the water was discarded. After the oral rinse they were asked to spit saliva into a 50 cc Falcon tube for 5 minutes. Participants should sit quietly without talking, with the head tilted slightly forward. Collection should be without effort; the participant should not try to work up saliva. As soon as some saliva collects in the mouth, the participant should spit into the tube, and the Falcon tube is then placed on ice during the collection.

At the end of 5 minutes, the ice bucket with the saliva sample was transported to the Repository laboratory. The saliva was transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 10,000g for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was then transferred to microcentrifuge tubes, into 0.5 ml aliquots which were then stored at -80°C ( $0.5 \pm 0.1$  ml volume/aliquot). Saliva specimens were sent in a frozen container from the NIDCR's TIRR in Minnesota to the Jewish General Hospital in Montreal, where they were kept in the laboratory directed by Drs. Gornitsky and Schipper at -80°C until analyzed.

## 4.5 Saliva Analysis

In this investigation, free mature NGF concentrations in saliva were measured using ELISA (NGF Emax® ImmunoAssay System, Promega, Madison, USA). In the laboratory, the ELISA plates were coated with Anti-NGF Polyclonal Antibody and incubated overnight at 4°C. The freshly prepared NGF standards and samples were loaded; the plate was sealed and incubated for six hours at room temperature. The second specific monoclonal antibody was added to the plate and incubated overnight at 4°C. Then, the plate was incubated with Anti-Rat IgG HRP Conjugate for 2.5 hours at room temperature. Absorbance values were measured in a microplate reader at 450nm, within 30 minutes of stopping the reaction (Bio-Rad Benchmark Plus, Hercules, USA). The limit of detection was 1 pg/ml.

# 4.6 Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analyses were performed to evaluate the distribution of sNGF levels within groups. Chi-square was used to compare dichotomous (e.g., gender) or categorical variables (e.g., anti-inflammatory intake) between pTMD and control groups. Student's t-test was used to compare the means of samples between case and controls or between pain status and controls.

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed in order to determine the likelihood that pTMD cases (dependent variable) would have higher levels of sNGF (independent variable) in comparison to controls. These analyses were adjusted by putative confounders (see section 4.5.2). Odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated. OR is defined as the ratio of exposure odds among cases to exposure odds among controls (33), and odds is the chance or likelihood of something happening or being the case. A logarithmic transformation was applied to the sNGF values to achieve a normal distribution (Figure 1).

Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were used to assess linear associations between sNGF levels, periodontal disease, headache, pain frequency, pain upon muscle palpation, TMJ surgery and TMJ implant procedures.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the mean difference of sNGF (dependent variable) between participants exposed and not exposed to the putative confounders. Means and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated.

Multivariate linear regression analyses were used to determine if changes in sNGF levels (independent variables) are associated with pain intensity (dependent variables). Beta and 95% CI were estimated in those analyses.

These logistic and linear regression analyses were both performed for the following groups: 1) TMD cases versus controls, and 2) among pTMD participants with pain greater than 4 (0-10 NRS) and controls. These analyses were also stratified by gender and age. For this study, any participant 50 years of age and above was considered as an older person.

Analyses were performed with SAS version 9.3 software (Statistical Analysis System; Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and the level of significance was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## 4.7 Power Analysis

Post-hoc power analyses were executed for the results presented in the manuscript and are described in this section.

We do not have any prior study describing the sizes of correlation or OR, nor the difference in means of sNGF between pTMD and controls, to calculate the appropriate sample size for the primary analysis: logistic regression (Table 1) and linear regression (Table 2).

Our hypothesis was that high levels of sNGF were moderately correlated with pTMD (from 0.25 to 0.40). Another hypothesis was that high levels of sNGF were positively correlated to current pain intensity with a correlation rating from 0.30 to 0.40, and that this correlation would be high among TMD participants with moderate to severe pain intensity (>0.30). Using these correlations and  $\alpha = 0.05$  (two sided test), the required sample size with power of 80% would range between 85 and 123. This sample size was calculated based on the form described by Lachin (1981) (112).

Another hypothesis was that if the true difference between cases and controls means is 0.15, and that standard deviation is 1.34, it would be necessary to include 1128 cases and 1410 controls to be able to reject the null hypothesis that the population means between these groups are equal with probability (power) of 80%. The Type I error probability associated with this test of this null hypothesis is 0.05. The software Power Samples size version 3.0 was used for conducting this analysis.

#### 4.7.1 Post-hoc Power Analysis

## 4.7.1.1 pTMD and Log sNGF

In our study, we found a very low correlation of 0.05 between pTMD and log sNGF, as well as OR = 1.00 (Table 1). Note that the necessary sample size would be 2500 participants per group in order to obtain a power of 80%, considering these effects and alpha of 5%.

## 4.7.1.2 Current Pain and Log sNGF

We also found a very low correlation (r = 0.08) between current pain intensity and log sNGF. Taking into account this correlation and alpha of 5%, the required sample size for achieving a power of 80% would be 1224 participants per group.

The non-significant associations previously described (sections 4.7.1.1 and 4.7.1.2) are not due to a small sample size, but to a low magnitude of associations.

These low associations could be due to:

1) sNGF is not related to all TMD diagnosis, but to a sub-group. Our results suggest that sNGF is related to a subgroup that may be more common among elders, and cases with moderate to severe pain.

2) Low levels of sNGF were found among pTMD because they were using a medication to manage their pain. Even if this cannot be completely excluded; the magnitude of the effect almost did not change when the analysis was stratified by use (cases:  $\beta = 5.36$ , 95% CI: 4.58 – 6.13; and controls:  $\beta = 5.55$ , 95% CI:

3.70 – 7.40) or not of anti-inflammatories (cases:  $\beta = 6.53$ , 95% CI: 6.20 – 6.85; and controls:  $\beta = 6.62$ , 95% CI: 6.21 – 7.02) (P = 0.0005).

# **CHAPTER 5. MANUSCRIPT**

# Human Salivary Nerve Growth Factor Levels associated with Painful Temporomandibular Disorders: a Case-Control Study

Priscila Sander<sup>1</sup>, James Fricton<sup>4</sup>, Mervyn Gornitsky<sup>1,2</sup>, Petra Schweinhardt<sup>1</sup>, Mike Giovinazzo<sup>3</sup>, Ana Miriam Velly<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Dentistry, McGill University (Montreal, Quebec, Canada)
<sup>2</sup> Department of Dentistry, Jewish General Hospital (Montreal, Quebec, Canada)
<sup>3</sup> Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital (Montreal, Quebec, Canada)
<sup>4</sup> School of Dentistry, University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA)

Corresponding author: Dr. Ana Miriam Velly, DDS, MS, PhD Associate Professor, McGill University, Faculty of Dentistry Department of Dentistry, Jewish General Hospital 3755 Cote Ste Catherine, Suite A.017 Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3T 1E2 Email: ana.velly@mcgill.ca Tel: (514) 340-8222 ext. 2932

# ABSTRACT

Inflammatory mediators have been proposed to be biomarkers of painful Temporomandibular Disorders (pTMD). The aim of this study was to identify salivary nerve growth factor (sNGF), an inflammatory mediator, as a marker of pTMD. Data from 124 participants with TMD and 97 controls were obtained from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository. pTMD diagnosis was determined by clinical examination conducted by calibrated clinicians using the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD. Participants completed questionnaires to assess pain, medical history, oral habits and demographics. Five milliliters of unstimulated whole saliva were collected from all participants on the same day of the clinical examination. Blinded laboratory assays were performed to measure the sNGF levels using commercially available ELISA kits. The pTMD group contained more females (109/124; P = 0.0004) and was older (P < 0.0001) than the control group. Participants with pTMD did not show greater likelihood to have higher levels of sNGF than controls (OR = 0.92; P = 0.43). However, older participants with high sNGF levels were almost twice as likely to have pTMD as controls (OR = 1.87; P = 0.01). Moreover, pain intensity was positively associated ( $\beta = 0.38$ ; P = 0.008) with sNGF levels within pTMD participants who reported pain greater than 4 (0-10 Numeric Rating Scale). pTMD participants taking anti-inflammatories had significantly lower levels of sNGF than those who did not ( $\beta = -0.73$ ; P = 0.0004). Our results show that the likelihood of having pTMD is not related to higher levels of sNGF, except among older participants, high sNGF levels may contribute to worsening the pain severity.

Keywords: Nerve Growth Factor; Human Saliva; Temporomandibular Disorders.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a commonly and increasingly reported condition in the general population with a prevalence ranging from 5% to 10% (3, 4). It is the second most commonly occurring musculoskeletal disorder, after back pain (5), and is more frequent among women (2% - 18%) than men (0 - 10%) (6-8). Painful TMD (pTMD) is characterized by musculoskeletal pain in the muscles of mastication, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), or both, and is often aggravated during jaw function (9). One in three individuals seeking care for pTMD reports persistent pain after 5 years of treatment (10, 11).

The specific mechanisms implicated in the persistence of pTMD are not completely explained (12), but have been related to the dysregulation of the pain modulatory systems (13).

A number of animal and human studies have been performed to identify biomarkers of pTMD (14-17). A biomarker is defined as a pharmacological or physiological measurement that is used to indicate a biological or toxic event and has a feature that makes it useful for measuring disease progression or the effect of treatments (18, 19).

Nerve Growth Factor (NGF), a neurotropic protein often employed as an indicator of mechanical injury and inflammation, has been suggested as a possible pTMD biomarker (21). NGF is naturally found in certain glands and fluids of the body (e.g. blood and saliva), and is produced by mast cells, macrophages and Schwann cells (22). NGF stimulates growth and maintenance of sympathetic and

sensory nerve cells (23, 24). Cells deprived of NGF may undergo apoptotic changes and death (25).

NGF is present in many forms of inflammation (22, 26), and is taken up by the tissues leading to hyperalgesia (27, 113, 114). Malik *et al.* observed a rapid onset hyperalgesia with a significant decrease in mechanical nociceptive threshold after 10 minutes of the injection of NGF on the dorsum of the hind paw of male rats (76). Nie *et al.* found, in a randomized and placebo controlled experiment among 10 healthy subjects, higher pain ratings during temporal summation, and muscle soreness responses in the NGF-injected side of the trapezius in comparison to the contralateral side (78).

However, in a case-control study including 23 TMD cases and 27 controls, levels of NGF in plasma, synovial fluid of TMJ and masseter muscle were not statistically significantly different between groups (16). This discrepancy among animal, healthy, and clinical TMD studies with respect to the role of NGF may be related to TMD pain characteristics and confounders (e.g., gender and age).

Pain is a very subjective experience and can vary considerably from one individual to another (28). Thus, it would be crucial to have a biomarker of pain status. Furthermore, it is important to assess which confounders might modify the association between pTMD and salivary NGF (sNGF). Only limited information is available about sNGF saliva in pTMD and we therefore evaluated the relationship between sNGF levels and pTMD in this study.

More specifically, we investigated whether:

1) Participants with high levels of sNGF were more likely to have pTMD, regardless of the number of putative confounders;

2) Levels of sNGF were positively related to pain status - pain intensity, pain frequency and pain upon muscle palpation - regardless of the number of putative confounders.

## **METHODS**

## **Study Design and Population**

We conducted a case-control study in which 124 pTMD participants and 97 controls were selected from the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research's Temporomandibular Joint Implant Registry and Repository (NIDCR's TIRR).

Participants who agreed to participate signed a consent form. The Institutional Review Boards from the University of Minnesota (UMN), Minneapolis, USA, and from the Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada, approved this study.

All participants who were unable to read in English, under 18 years of age and/or with rare diseases such as tuberculosis, liver diseases, hepatitis, Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, sickle cell anemia, sexually transmitted disease, and human immunodeficiency virus were excluded from the NIDCR's TIRR. Myers *et al.* have published detailed data about the NIDCR's TIRR (104).

Only pTMD subjects and controls who had received clinical examinations between 2006 and 2009 participated in this case-control study. The objective of this approach was two-fold: 1) to recruit controls from the same time period as the cases and 2) have both groups examined by the same calibrated examiners.

#### Painful Temporomandibular Disorder Diagnosis

TMD diagnosis was determined by clinical examination performed by calibrated examiners at the UMN using Modified Craniomandibular Index (CMI), wherein the CMI examination items were redesigned to conform precisely to those specified for the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMD (RDC/TMD) (46). Patients with pain in the muscles of mastication, the TMJ, or both, were included in this study. Controls were participants without the diagnosis of TMD and they could not have any orofacial pain.

#### Pain Assessment and Data Collection

Pain intensity was assessed using the Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS), on a 0-10 numeric rating scale (NRS) in response to the following questions: 1) "How would you rate the worst pain at present time?" 2) "In the past six months how intense was your worst pain?" 3) "In the past six months, on the average, how intense was your pain?" (40). Based on a previous publication, specific cutoffs for pain intensity were determined (mild: 1-4, moderate: 5-6, and severe: 7-10) (115).

In addition, participants were classified into TMD subgroups of persistent or recurrent pTMD by answering the following questions: 1) "What is the pattern of your worst problem?", with the possible answers being: recurrent, persistent or one time only.

# **Putative Confounders**

In this study, anti-inflammatory intake, oral habits (i.e., clenching or grinding), periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, gender, and age were considered putative confounders as previous studies have demonstrated that these variables may be related to NGF levels and pTMD (27, 108, 110, 116, 117).

### Saliva Collection and Analysis

On the day of the clinical examination, unstimulated whole saliva was obtained in the morning between 8am and 12pm by asking participants - following oral rinsing - to spit for 5 minutes into a 50-ml graduated sterile tube that was then kept on ice. After collection, the saliva was transferred to microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was divided among microtubes, making 0.5ml aliquots, which were then stored at -80°C ( $0.5 \pm 0.1$  ml volume/aliquot). Saliva specimens were sent in a frozen container from the NIDCR's TIRR in Minnesota to the Jewish General Hospital in Montreal, where they were kept in the laboratory directed by Dr. Gornitsky and Dr. Schipper at -80°C until analyzed.

A blinded laboratory assessment was performed by an expert researcher at the Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital. Free, mature NGF levels in saliva were measured by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) as per the manufacturer's protocol (NGF Emax® ImmunoAssay System, Promega, Madison, USA). The plates were then read on a microplate reader at the

wavelength of 450 nm (Bio-Rad Benchmark Plus, Hercules, USA). The limit of detection was 1 pg/ml.

# **Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive analyses were performed to evaluate the distribution of age, gender, and sNGF mean levels within groups. Chi-square tests were used to compare dichotomous or categorical variables between pTMD and control groups. Student's t-test was used to compare the means of samples (e.g., sNGF, age).

Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed in order to determine the likelihood that pTMD cases (dependent variable) would have higher levels of sNGF (independent variable) in comparison to controls. These analyses were adjusted by putative confounders (i.e., anti-inflammatory intake, oral habits, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender and age). We preferred to adjust the analysis, instead of excluding or matching strategies to control confounders, as adjusted analysis allows for controlling the effect of a putative confounder while keeping all patients in the analysis. We also decided to adjust rather than match, as we wanted to evaluate the relationships between sNGF, age and gender, which would not be possible if matching was used.

Odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated. A logarithmic transformation was applied to the sNGF values to achieve a normal distribution (Figure 1).



**Figure 1. A:** graphical demonstration of the distribution of sNGF levels skewed to the right; **B:** demonstration of a normal distribution after the logarithmic transformation.

Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were used to assess linear associations between sNGF levels, periodontal disease, headache, pain frequency, pain upon muscle palpation, TMJ surgery and TMJ implant procedures.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the mean difference of sNGF (dependent variable) between participants exposed and not exposed to the putative confounders. Means and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated.

Multivariate linear regression analyses were used to determine if changes in sNGF levels (independent variables) are associated with pain intensity (dependent variables). Beta and 95% CI were estimated in those analyses.

These logistic and linear regression analyses were both performed for the following groups: 1) TMD cases versus controls, and 2) among pTMD participants with pain greater than 4 (0-10 NRS). These analyses were also stratified by gender and age. For this study, any participant 50 years of age and above was considered as an older individual.

Analyses were performed with SAS version 9.3 software (Statistical Analysis System; Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA) and the level of significance was set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

One hundred and twenty four pTMD cases and 97 controls were included in this case-control study. pTMD cases were significantly older (mean = 42.98 years, standard deviation [SD] = 15.31 years) than controls (mean = 33.89, SD = 13.77; P < 0.0001). As expected, participants in the pTMD group (88%) were more likely to be females in comparison to the control group (68%; P = 0.0003).

Among pTMD participants, 94 (78%) received the diagnosis of myofascial pain according to the RDC/TMD, 29 (24%) reported having undergone TMJ surgery and 19 (16%) had undergone TMJ implant procedures.

Based on the GCPS scale, the means of current (mean = 4.44, SD = 2.88), worst (mean = 6.67, SD = 2.79) and average pain intensity in the last 6 months (mean = 5.13, SD = 2.65) were moderate. pTMD participants reported persistent pain (n = 64/124, 52%) more frequently than recurrent pain (n = 54/124, 44%; P < 0.0001).

## Salivary NGF levels and pTMD

The mean sNGF level was not significantly different between pTMD cases (mean = 1114.39 pg/ml, SD = 1120.29) and controls (mean = 1163.89 pg/ml, SD = 1127.28; P = 0.68) (Figure 2).



**Figure 2**. Mean levels of salivary NGF (sNGF) of TMD cases and controls. Error bars represent standard deviations.

This result remained after applying a logarithmic transformation to sNGF (pTMD: mean = 6.33 pg/ml, SD = 1.44 and controls: mean = 6.47 pg/ml, SD = 1.25; P = 0.47). Levels of log sNGF were not correlated with TMJ surgery (r = -0.01; P = 0.86) or TMJ implant (r = -0.005; P = 0.93).

Levels of log sNGF were also not correlated with pain subgroups (persistent and recurrent: r = -0.04; P = 0.53), and pain during palpation in the masseter and/or temporalis (r = -0.06; P = 0.36).

Crude and adjusted logistic regression analyses were conducted in order to identify the likelihood of higher levels of log sNGF in pTMD participants, regardless of the number of putative confounders (Table 1). In the crude (OR = 0.92; P = 0.43) and adjusted analyses (model I: OR = 0.98; P = 0.89 and model II: OR = 1.00; P = 0.98) participants with pTMD did not show a significant likelihood of higher levels of log sNGF. pTMD was associated with clenching (P < 0.0001), back pain (P < 0.0001), and age (P = 0.009).

We furthermore found that the likelihood of higher levels of log sNGF among pTMD participants remained statistically not significant when the analysis was stratified by gender (males: n = 45, OR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.25 – 1.40; P = 0.24 and females: n = 167, OR = 1.05, 95% CI: 0.79 – 1.39; P = 0.73).

Among 63 individuals aged 50 years or over, participants with higher levels of log sNGF were almost twice as likely to have pTMD than controls (OR = 1.87, 95% CI: 1.12 - 3.12; P = 0.01). This analysis was adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake (OR = 4.49, 95% CI: 0.53 - 37.45; P = 0.16), clenching (OR = 11.67, 95% CI: 1.17 - 116.48; P = 0.04), back pain (OR = 5.06, 95% CI: 1.03 - 24.71; P = 0.04) and gender (OR = 13.60, 95% CI: 1.25 - 140.16; P = 0.03). No relationship was noted among 158 participants under 50 years of age (OR = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.67 - 1.24; P = 0.58) in a model adjusted by the same variables: anti-inflammatory intake (OR = 2.89, 95% CI: 0.79 - 10.50; P = 0.11), clenching (OR = 11.36, 95% CI: 4.46 - 28.91; P < 0.0001), back pain (OR = 10.72, 95% CI: 3.30 - 33.99; P < 0.0001), and gender (OR = 0.93, 95% CI: 0.35 - 2.47; P = 0.88).

## Moderate to Severe TMD Pain

In the aforementioned results, we found that higher levels of sNGF are related to the likelihood of having pTMD only in older individuals. In this section, we would like to investigate whether high levels of sNGF would be affected by moderate to severe pain. The magnitude of the effect between log sNGF levels and pTMD (OR = 0.99; P = 0.99) changed slightly (OR = 0.80, 95% CI: 0.58 – 1.10; P = 0.18) when the analysis included only 63 participants reporting moderate to severe pain (>4; 0-10 NRS). This model was adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake (OR = 2.30, 95% CI: 0.63 – 8.35; P = 0.21), clenching (OR = 16.16, 95% CI: 5.94 – 44.00; P < 0.0001), back pain (OR = 4.50, 95% CI: 1.53 – 13.29; P = 0.006), gender (OR = 1.47, 95% CI: 0.47 – 4.56; P = 0.50), and age (OR = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.00 – 1.06; P = 0.03). All these results remained in the analyses stratified by gender and age (results not presented).

# Log Salivary NGF Levels and Pain Status

In these analyses, we investigated whether the level of current pain intensity, worst pain and average pain intensity in the last six months were affected by sNGF levels. In the crude analysis, current ( $\beta = -0.20$ ; P = 0.18; Table 2), worst ( $\beta = -0.24$ , 95% CI: -0.63 - 0.14; P = 0.21) and average pain intensity ( $\beta = -0.20$ , 95% CI: -0.51 - 0.12; P = 0.22) were not associated with log sNGF. These results remained in the adjusted model (current:  $\beta = -0.13$ ; P = 0.37; Table 2, worst:  $\beta = -0.12$ , 95% CI: -0.47 - 0.23; P = 0.49, and average pain:  $\beta = -0.10$ , 95% CI: -0.40 - 0.18; P = 0.46), regardless of number of putative confounders (Table 2). All these results remained in the analyses stratified by gender and age (results not presented).

Persistent (crude: n = 161,  $\beta$  = -0.13, 95% CI: -0.50 – 0.23; P = 0.47, and adjusted model: n = 157,  $\beta$  = 0.98, 95% CI: 0.68 – 1.41; P = 0.93) and recurrent

pTMD (crude: n = 151,  $\beta$  = -0.21, 95% CI: -0.51 – 0.09; P = 0.17, and adjusted model: n = 147,  $\beta$  = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.70 – 1.29; P = 0.77) were not associated with log sNGF, regardless of number of putative confounders.

# Moderate to Severe TMD Pain and Pain Status

The results above show that current, worst and average pain in the last six months were not related to sNGF. In this section, we would like to see whether the severity of pain would be affected by sNGF levels including only 63 participants with current pain intensity greater than 4 (Table 3).

In the crude linear model, log sNGF levels were related to an increase in the levels of current pain intensity ( $\beta = 0.38$ ; P = 0.008) (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** Scatter plot showing the linear association of log sNGF levels with current moderate to severe pain intensity.

We noted that, when the analysis was adjusted by potential confounders, this relationship was stronger and remained statistically significant ( $\beta = 0.59$ ; P < 0.0001).

However, log sNGF levels remained not related to an increase in the worsening ( $\beta = 0.10, 95\%$  CI: -0.21 - 0.40; P = 0.53) or the average pain intensity in the last 6 months ( $\beta = 0.11, 95\%$  CI: -0.25 - 0.47; P = 0.55). These results remained in the model adjusted by putative confounders (worst:  $\beta = 0.08, 95\%$  CI: -0.26 - 0.43; P = 0.65 and average pain intensity:  $\beta = 0.20, 95\%$  CI: -0.21 - 0.62; P = 0.32).

Among females (n = 55), log sNGF levels were positively associated with current pain intensity ( $\beta = 0.47$ , 95% CI: 0.19 – 0.75; P = 0.001) in a model adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake ( $\beta = 0.20$ , 95% CI: -0.55 – 0.95; P = 0.59), clenching ( $\beta = -1.11$ , 95% CI: -1.89 – -0.33; P = 0.006), back pain ( $\beta = 0.66$ , 95% CI: -0.21 – 1.55; P = 0.13), and age ( $\beta = -0.04$ , 95% CI: -0.07 – -0.01; P = 0.004). Among males (n = 8), the magnitude of the slope was greater than that noted among females, but not significant, probably due to the very small sample size ( $\beta = 0.71$ , 95% CI: -0.15 – 1.58; P = 0.08)

Log sNGF levels were also positively associated with current pain intensity among older participants (n = 20,  $\beta$  = 0.91, 95% CI: 0.40 – 1.43; P = 0.001) and younger participants (n = 43,  $\beta$  = 0.31, 95% CI: 0.009 – 0.62; P = 0.04). These models were adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake ( $\beta$  = 0.17, 95% CI: -1.49 – 1.83; P = 0.83;  $\beta$  = 1.05, 95% CI: 0.30 – 1.80; P = 0.007), clenching ( $\beta$  = -0.37, 95% CI: -1.82 – 1.08; P = 0.59;  $\beta$  = -1.11, 95% CI: -2.04 – -0.18; P = 0.02), back pain ( $\beta = 0.84$ , 95% CI: 0.78 - 2.45; P = 0.28;  $\beta = -0.23$ , 95% CI: -1.27 - 0.81; P = 0.66, and gender ( $\beta = 2.21$ , 95% CI: -0.80 - 5.23; P = 0.14;  $\beta = -0.77$ , 95% CI: -1.98 - 0.44; P = 0.20)

## **Secondary Analyses**

In the following results, we evaluated whether the mean sNGF levels were different when taking into consideration a number of possible confounder – use of anti-inflammatories, oral habits, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain and stress – since NGF was measured in saliva. Neither periodontal disease (n = 12, r = -0.08; P = 0.23) nor headache (n = 145, r = -0.07; P = 0.28) were associated with log sNGF. Further results (means and 95% CI) for the following variables are presented in table 4.

In the crude analysis, as expected, log sNGF levels among 15 participants taking anti-inflammatories was significantly lower than among those who did not (P = 0.0004). In fact, anti-inflammatory intake showed a statistically significant difference in all models tested ( $P \le 0.003$ ). It was also interesting to note that the use of one anti-inflammatory does not appear to modify the levels of log sNGF (n = 5,  $\beta = 0.99$ , 95% CI: -0.19 - 2.17; P = 0.10), contrary to the use of two or more anti-inflammatories (n = 10,  $\beta = 1.37$ , 95% CI: 0.51 - 2.22; P = 0.001). These results therefore need to be interpreted with caution since we have small sample sizes in both groups.

Levels of log sNGF were neither associated with grinding (n = 51, crude: P = 0.49 and adjusted model: P = 0.38) nor clenching (n = 74, crude: P = 0.80 and adjusted: P = 0.29).

Participants reporting periodontal treatment (n = 19) showed lower log sNGF levels in comparison to those who did not (n = 198) in the crude analysis, this difference was close to the margin of statistical significance (P = 0.09), and did not remain associated with log sNGF in the adjusted model (P = 0.31).

In the crude analysis, log sNGF levels among participants who had reported drinking a beer or wine daily (n = 13) was higher than among those who did not (n = 206; P = 0.03). This significant difference did not remain in the adjusted model (P = 0.11).

In the crude analysis, the mean log sNGF levels among 66 participants who had reported back pain was significantly lower than those 149 without back pain (P = 0.05). This result did not remain in the adjusted model (P = 0.29) and was confounded by use of anti-inflammatory and gender.

In the crude analysis, the mean log sNGF levels among participants who had reported being stressed or overwhelmed (n = 70) was significantly lower in comparison to those who had not (n = 149; P = 0.04). This significant difference did not remain in the adjusted model (P = 0.46).

## DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated whether higher levels of sNGF modify the likelihood of having pTMD. The two main findings are: 1) the likelihood of having pTMD was not related to higher levels of sNGF, except among older participants, and 2) higher levels of sNGF were associated with the worsening of pain severity, among those reporting current moderate to severe pTMD (Table 3). This result supports our first hypothesis that, if the participant has a high level of sNGF, she/he is more likely to have severe pain. It is encouraging to compare this figure with those found by Svensson *et al.* in a randomized double-blind placebo study, which found that NGF injection in the masseter muscle is associated with hyperalgesia (27).

Abnormal high levels of sNGF in the control group could be one explanation for the non-significant likelihood difference found between pTMD cases and controls when all subjects were considered. In fact, in the current study, sNGF levels among controls (mean = 1163.89 pg/ml; SD = 1127.28) were slightly higher than the values reported by Nam *et al.* (mean = 901.4 pg/ml<sup>-1</sup>; SD = 851.93), who assessed NGF concentrations in resting whole saliva (80). However, our results remained when the analysis were adjusted by a large number of potential confounders, such as anti-inflammatory intake, oral habits (e.g., grinding and clenching), periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender and age. In our secondary analysis, we evaluated the role of sNGF and a number of putative confounders.

Safieh-Garabedian *et al.* showed that inflammation induced by subcutaneous injection of Freund's Complete Adjuvant into the plantar surface of the hind paw of male rats led to a significant increase in NGF levels in the skin of the hind paw (26). We found in the present study that participants taking anti-inflammatories and thereby supposedly having less inflammation, showed reduced levels of sNGF.

Our results further showed that participants who underwent periodontal treatment had lower levels of sNGF than those who did not, suggesting that the reduction of inflammation related to periodontal treatment leads to lower release of NGF in saliva. This result agrees with findings by Gašperšic *et al.*, where systemic anti-NGF treatment during provoked periodontitis in 18 female rats significantly reduced bilateral alveolar bone resorption. This indicates that NGF promotes periodontal inflammation (117).

In addition, participants reporting the habit of drinking alcohol had higher levels of sNGF than those who did not have this habit. This result might be related to the well-known fact that alcohol consumption may lead to xerostomia through dehydration (118), and the reduction of saliva can cause increased risk of caries, mouth soreness, and mucosal injury (107), resulting in an increased secretion of sNGF.

Lower levels of sNGF have also been detected in participants reporting back pain in this study. Contrasting with our result, Lee *et al.* performed western blot analysis using vertebral disc tissues and found greater levels of NGF in 10 patients with degenerated disc disease in comparison to 12 patients with herniated nucleus pulposus. Unfortunately, this study (Lee *et al.*) did not present the means of NGF levels, preventing a proper comparison of the results (108).

Regarding the age profile, our study showed no significant difference in the levels of sNGF between young and old participants, similar to Nam *et al.* who also found no statistically significant difference between age groups (80). Nevertheless, it was interesting to find that older participants with higher levels of sNGF had a higher likelihood of pTMD. This greater likelihood may indicate a relationship with a specific type of pTMD (e.g., arthralgia, osteoarthritis) among those participants; this is in agreement with Spears *et al.* who found that induced inflammation of the TMJ was accompanied by an elevation on the concentration of NGF in 40 adult male rats (116), as well as with Aloe *et al.* who found that NGF was present in the synovial fluid of the knees from 22 patients with chronic arthritis, but was undetectable in synovial fluid of knee of two patients without chronic arthritis (119).

This study has several strengths. First, all participants received clinical examination by calibrated examiners for the diagnosis of pTMD, using the CMI on the same day as the saliva sample collection. This approach has the advantage of decreasing the chance of diagnosis misclassification among cases and controls since the diagnosis was determined by calibrated examiners. Second, controls for this study were also recruited from NIDCR's TIRR at the same time period as cases, which may decrease the chance of diagnosis misclassification and information bias, and help to ensure consistent assessment and confounder exposure. Third, saliva was collected by trained researchers who followed valid

collection, storage and transportation protocols. Finally, sNGF assays were conducted by the same researcher who was blinded to the study group and saliva outcome, performing them in the same run and day.

On the other hand, some limitations of the study have to be discussed. First of all, chances of misclassification of the subjects in a case-control study are possible, even though calibrated examiners conducted the clinical data collection based on the CMI protocol. However, this bias may be non-differential (toward underestimation) because TMD misclassification should not be related to the sNGF misclassification as the assays were conducted by the same researcher who was blinded to the study group and saliva outcome, and who performed the assays in the same run. Second, even if ELISA is often indicated as being a valid and reliable method to assess different biomarkers (80, 101, 103), measurement errors have to be considered. Third, changes in the concentration of sNGF over time were not studied and we have a prolonged period in which the saliva samples were kept stored, which may interfere with the stability of this biomarker. However, the direction of this bias may again be toward underestimation since the researcher who performed the assays was blind to TMD groups. Finally, although several statistical adjustments were executed to control confounders, unaccounted confounders still may have influenced the observations.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that older participants with pTMD were more likely to have higher levels of sNGF than those without TMD. In addition, high sNGF levels may contribute to worsening the pain severity, among participants reporting current moderate to severe pTMD, regardless of

anti-inflammatory intake, gender and age. The identification of human salivary biomarkers associated with pTMD, including NGF, may contribute to the identification of biological markers for chronic pain. This identification may also advance our knowledge of the prognostic factors related to pTMD, and may facilitate the understanding of the mechanisms involved in these conditions, thereby facilitating the identification of therapeutic targets for pain treatment.

#### **CHAPTER 6. DISCUSSION**

In this general discussion, a summary of the results showed in the manuscript, some further methodological considerations, as well as the strengths and limitations of this study will be addressed.

The main goal of this study was to identify the relationship between sNGF levels and pTMD. We investigated if high levels of sNGF would modify the likelihood of having pTMD (Table 1). In addition, we evaluated if high levels of sNGF were associated with pain intensity (Table 3). Finally, in a secondary analysis, we assessed whether the levels of sNGF were affected by putative confounders (Table 4).

#### 6.1 Summary of the Results

#### 6.1.1 pTMD and sNGF Levels

In this study, the mean levels of sNGF were not significantly different between pTMD cases and controls. Additionally, sNGF levels were not related to an increased likelihood of pTMD (Table 1).

However, it was interesting to note that among older individuals, participants with higher levels of log sNGF were almost twice as likely to have pTMD than controls. The likelihood of pTMD participants having higher levels of log sNGF remained not statistically significant among female, male and younger groups. Furthermore, this study demonstrated that sNGF levels were related to an increase in the levels of current pain intensity if the pain was moderate to severe (>4; 0-10 NRS) (Table 3). These results remained in the models adjusted by antiinflammatory intake, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender, and age (Table 3). This effect also remained among female, male, younger, and older participants. It was interesting to see that this relationship was stronger among older participants suggesting that these individuals may have more inflammatory conditions than other participants.

# **6.1.2 Secondary Analyses**

Log sNGF levels among participants taking anti-inflammatories was significantly lower than among those who did not (Table 4). In addition, the use of one anti-inflammatory appears to not modify the levels of log sNGF, unlike the use of two or more anti-inflammatories, where we found elevated levels of sNGF.

Participants reporting grinding and/or clenching had no statistically significant difference in the mean levels of log sNGF in comparison to those who did not (Table 4).

In addition, participants reporting periodontal treatment showed a borderline difference in the mean log sNGF levels in comparison to those who did not (Table 4). In the crude analysis, the mean log sNGF levels among participants who had reported drinking a beer or wine daily was significantly higher than among those who did not (Table 4).
The mean log sNGF levels among participants who had reported back pain showed a borderline difference in comparison to those who had not. Moreover, among participants who had reported stress, the mean levels of log sNGF was significantly lower in comparison to those who did not.

## 6.2 Methodological Considerations

## 6.2.1 Bias

Bias is defined as a systematic error that leads to a distortion of the study results (120).

## 6.2.2 Selection Bias

Selection bias is defined as an error that may underestimate or overestimate the measure of association (e.g., OR). It usually occurs as a result of using inappropriate methods for selecting subjects from the target population (33). In order to prevent this type of bias certain steps were taken in this study: 1) cases and controls were selected from the same base and time period at UMN; 2) NIDCR's TIRR examiners and participants were unaware about sNGF levels and putative confounders before the recruitment; and 3) the selection of participants was independent of pain intensity levels in order to have a homogeneous pTMD population.

Controls for this study were recruited from the dental clinic during the same time period as cases and they could not have any orofacial pain condition, which may decrease selection bias. Enrollment at the dental clinic may increase the chance of introducing confounding due to some conditions (i.e., periodontal disease) related to sNGF. To be considered as a confounder, a determined variable must be not only related to sNGF, but also to pTMD without being in the pathway between sNGF and pTMD. Our results showed that self-reported periodontal disease was related neither to sNGF nor pTMD.

## 6.2.3 Information Bias

Information bias is a systematic error in the measurement or classification of participants in a study (35). In order to decrease chances of information bias in this study: 1) cases completed questionnaires just after the clinical examination (diagnosis of TMD and pain intensity), which is a standard approach to reduce information bias (121); 2) calibrated examiners performed the diagnosis of pTMD using a validated method (CMI) to select pTMD and controls; 3) participants also completed validated questionnaires to assess pain status (GCPS) on the same day of clinical examination and saliva sample collection; 4) saliva was collected on the same day as clinical examination; and 5) the laboratory expert who ran the assay for sNGF was blind to the outcome of the study (case and control groups).

## 6.2.3.1 Recall Bias

Recall bias is a type of information bias where participants who have the disease report more exposures than participants who are healthy (122). In this study, the levels of NGF *per se* could not be affected by this type of bias.

However, pain intensity and pain subgroups (persistent and recurrent) were measures that were considered more susceptible to the incurrence of recall bias since participants had to report the level of pain intensity, and persistence or recurrence of their pain in the past 6 months. Thus, in order to inhibit the chances of this bias: first, participants were unaware about their sNGF levels and its possible correlation with pain intensity; second, we investigate the impact of sNGF not only on the worse and average pain in the last 6 months, but also on current pain intensity. Our hypothesis was that current pain is less influenced by recall bias than worst and average pain.

## 6.2.4 Acquiescence Bias

To confirm whether participants with pTMD had a bias towards positive answers to the questionnaires, we investigate the frequency of other conditions, which were unrelated to TMD and sNGF: having a birthmark, dark moles, consuming soft drinks daily and/or tea daily. We hypothesized that if the participant with pTMD had the propensity to respond yes to the questions, they might also have the tendency to answer yes to those items.

We found similar frequencies for having birthmarks among cases (n = 30, 24%) and controls (n = 26, 26%; P = 0.66), dark moles among cases (n = 6, 4%) and controls (n = 6, 6%; P = 0.66), consuming soft drinks daily among cases (n = 39, 31%) and controls (n = 24, 24%; P = 0.24), and drinking tea daily among cases (n = 17, 13%) and controls (n = 14, 14%; P = 0.90).

60

## 6.2.5 Confounding

Confounders can lead to underestimation or overestimation of the true association between a covariate (e.g., biomarker) and a condition (e.g., pTMD) (34). It is always possible to minimize confounding variables by employing certain strategies:

1) Restricting the admission into the study to a group of subjects who have the same confounding factors; however, this strategy can considerably reduce the number of participants in the study, consequently decreasing the power of the study (123) and its external validity (34).

2) Matching two groups that are being compared by the possible existing confounders, such as age and gender, is also a method to control confounding (33). In order to perform matching, we have to be sure about the relationship between the confounder and exposure as well as the outcome. Moreover, we should only match for important confounders (33).

3) In the manuscript, multivariable analyses were applied instead of restricting or matching. This approach has the advantage of controlling confounders without excluding individuals. We also decided to adjust rather than match as we wanted to evaluate the relationship among sNGF, age and gender, which would not be possible if matching was used. In the model I presented in the manuscript, the analyses were adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake, grinding, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender, and age which were considered as putative confounders. Then, a parsimonious model

(model II) was obtained by including the primary dependent variable (pTMD or sNGF), age, gender, and the confounders that were associated with the dependent variable in the model I.

## 6.3 Strengths

This case-control study has a number of strengths. First, the database from NIDCR's TIRR is considered one of the most reliable databanks for pTMD worldwide.

Second, in this study controls were also recruited from the NIDCR's TIRR and from the same base and time period as cases. In fact, they attended the dentistry department of the University of Minnesota for any dental complaint other than pTMD, which may decrease the chance of diagnosis misclassification and selection bias, and help to ensure consistent assessment and confounder exposure (124).

Thirdly, calibrated examiners recruited all participants, which reduced the chance of information bias.

Fourth, all participants received a clinical examination performed by calibrated examiners, for the diagnosis of pTMD, using a validated method (CMI).

Fifth, saliva samples were collected on the same day of clinical examination and questionnaire assessments.

Sixth, participants also completed a validated questionnaire to assess pain intensity (GCPS) on the same day of clinical examination and questionnaire assessments, which shows that this study has a low chance of misclassification.

Seventh, saliva samples were collected by trained researchers who followed valid collection, storage and transportation protocols, and were not aware of the participants' sNGF levels before recruitment and collection. Participants were also unaware of their sNGF levels and its possible correlation with pain intensity, which considerably decreases the chances of recall bias.

Finally, sNGF assays were conducted by the same researcher at the Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, who was blinded to the study group and saliva outcome. In addition, he performed the assays in the same run and day.

## 6.4 Limitations

It is important to take into account the limitations of this study. First of all, chances of misclassification of the subjects in a case-control study are possible, even though calibrated examiners conducted the clinical data collection based on the CMI protocol. However, this bias may be non-differential (toward underestimation) because TMD misclassification and pain status should not be related to the sNGF misclassification as: the examiners and participants were unaware about sNGF levels and putative confounders before the recruitment; and the assays were conducted by the same researcher who was blinded to the study group and saliva outcome and performed the assays in the same run.

63

Second, even if ELISA is often indicated as being a valid and reliable method to assess different biomarkers (37, 40, 41), measurement errors have to be considered.

Third, changes in the concentration of sNGF over time were not studied and we have a prolonged period during which the saliva samples were kept stored, which may interfere with the stability of this biomarker. However, the direction of this bias may again be toward underestimation since the researcher who performed the assays was blind to pTMD groups.

Finally, although several statistical adjustments were executed to control for confounders, unaccounted confounders still may have influenced the observations.

The identification of human salivary biomarkers associated with pTMD may advance our knowledge of the prognostic factors related to pTMD, may facilitate the understanding of the mechanisms involved in these conditions, thereby facilitating the identification of therapeutic targets for pain treatment.

## **CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSION**

The present study indicates that:

- High levels of sNGF did not modify the likelihood of having pTMD; except among older participants;
- sNGF levels may contribute to worsening the pain intensity among participants reporting current moderate to severe pTMD, regardless of anti-inflammatory use, gender and age;
- 3) Indeed this study provides a basis to the use of sNGF to assess the severity of pTMD.

<b>Table 1.</b> Crude a levels and putati	nd adju ve conf	sted logistic reg	gression anal	l <b>yses</b> of les)	painful TMD (	dependent v	ariable	) and log salıva	ry NGF	
		Crude (n = 2	19)		Model I (n = 2	13)		Model II (n = 213)		
Variables	OR	95% CI	P-Value	OR	95% CI	P-Value	OR	95% CI	P-Value	
Log sNGF	0.92	0.76 - 1.12	0.43	0.98	0.75 – 1.27	0.89	1.00	0.77 – 1.30	0.98	
Anti- inflammatory	2.94	1.12 – 7.72	0.03*	3.09	1.05 - 9.05	0.04*	2.69	0.93 - 7.73	0.06**	
Grinding	3.33	1.63 - 6.80	0.001*	1.25	0.46 - 3.37	0.66		Not Included	1	
Clenching	9.60	4.56 - 20.21	<0.0001*	11.30	4.45 - 28.70	<0.0001*	11.90	4.99 - 28.35	<0.0001*	
Periodontal Treatment	1.43	0.54 - 3.78	0.47	0.38	0.09 - 1.52	0.17		Not Included	1	
Alcohol intake	2.80	0.75 - 10.46	0.12	1.84	0.30 - 11.33	0.51		Not Included	1	
Back Pain	9.14	4.21 - 19.83	<0.0001*	6.69	2.58 - 17.32	<0.0001*	6.61	2.68 - 16.28	<0.0001*	
Stress	1.68	0.93 - 3.02	0.08**	1.00	0.43 - 2.30	0.99		Not Included	1	
Gender	3.30	1.66 - 6.56	0.0007*	1.59	0.64 - 3.97	0.31	1.56	0.64 - 3.77	0.32	
Age	1.04	1.02 - 1.06	<0.0001*	1.03	1.01 – 1.06	0.007*	1.03	1.00 - 1.05	0.009*	
<b>Notes:</b> * $P$ -Value < 0	05· **Bor	derline association								

**Notes:** \* *P*-Value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*Borderline association. Model I: Adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory intake, grinding, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender and age. Model II: Final model adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory, clenching, back pain, gender and age.

		Crude (n = 219	))	Model I (n = 213)		13)	<b>Model II (n = 213)</b>		13)
Variables	β	95% CI	P-Value	β	95% CI	P-Value	β	95% CI	P-Value
Log sNGF	-0.20	-0.50 - 0.11	0.18	-0.13	-0.42 - 0.16	0.37	-0.11	-0.40 - 0.17	0.43
Anti- inflammatory	1.30	0.38 - 2.22	0.005*	1.14	0.24 - 2.03	0.01*	0.99	0.17 - 1.86	0.03*
Grinding	1.50	0.55 - 2.45	0.002*	0.33	-0.67 - 1.33	0.51		Not Included	
Clenching	2.36	1.55 - 3.17	<0.0001*	1.92	1.03 - 2.81	<0.0001*	2.08	1.27 – 2.89	<0.0001*
Periodontal Treatment	0.11	-1.36 - 1.58	0.88	-0.98	-2.36 - 0.41	0.16		Not Included	
Alcohol intake	1.37	-0.37 - 3.10	0.12	0.46	-1.22 - 2.15	0.58		Not Included	
Back Pain	2.10	1.25 - 2.92	<0.0001*	1.18	0.25 - 2.12	0.01*	1.25	0.36 - 2.15	0.006*
Stress	0.64	-0.24 - 1.51	0.15	0.14	-0.73 - 1.01	0.75		Not Included	
Gender	-1.29	-2.290.30	0.01*	-0.33	-1.30 - 0.65	0.51	-0.32	-1.28 - 0.63	0.50
Age	0.04	0.01 - 0.06	0.005*	0.02	-0.003 - 0.05	0.08**	0.02	-0.006 - 0.05	0.13

Table 2. Crude and adjusted linear regression assessing the association between current pain (dependent variable) and log salivary NGF levels and putative confounders (independent variables).

**Notes:** \**P*-Value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*Borderline association. Model I: Adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory intake, grinding, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender and age. Model II: Final model adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory intake, clenching, back pain, gender and age.

severe pain (>4, 0-10 NRS).										
		Crude (n = 63	)		Model I (n = 5	9)		Model II (n = 59)		
Variables	β	95% CI	P-Value	β	95% CI	P-Value	β	95% CI	P-Value	
Log sNGF	0.38	0.10 - 0.65	0.008*	0.56	0.26 - 0.87	0.0005*	0.59	0.31 - 0.86	<0.0001*	
Anti- inflammatory	0.50	-0.23 - 1.23	0.18	0.79	0.05 - 1.53	0.04*	0.71	0.003 - 1.41	0.05*	
Grinding	0.09	-0.79 - 0.98	0.83	0.24	-0.67 - 1.16	0.59		Not Included		
Clenching	-0.66	-1.49 - 0.17	0.12	-0.91	-1.790.04	0.04*	-0.82	-1.610.03	0.04*	
Periodontal Treatment	-1.15	-2.53 - 0.24	0.10	-0.70	-2.07 - 0.66	0.30		Not Included		
Alcohol intake	0.16	-1.39 - 1.71	0.84	0.36	-1.31 - 2.03	0.66		Not Included		
Back Pain	0.08	-0.78 - 0.93	0.86	0.34	-0.62 - 1.31	0.48	0.47	-0.41 - 1.35	0.29	
Stress	-0.16	-1.02 - 0.70	0.71	0.29	-0.60 - 1.19	0.51		Not Included		
Gender	0.18	-1.07 - 1.43	0.77	-0.01	-1.20 - 1.18	0.98	-0.05	-1.18 - 1.08	0.93	
Age	-0.007	-0.04 - 0.02	0.59	-0.02	-0.06 - 0.009	0.15	-0.03	-0.06 - 0.001	0.06**	
Notes: * $P$ -Value $\leq 0.05$	; **Borderli	ne association.	•		•	•		•	•	

**Table 3.** Crude and adjusted **linear regression** assessing the association between current pain (dependent variable) and log salivary NGF levels and putative confounders (independent variables) including only participants with current **moderate to** 

Model I: Adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory intake, grinding, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender and age. Model II: Final model adjusted by log sNGF, anti-inflammatory intake, clenching, back pain, gender and age.

rable 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of means log sanvary inor levels (dependent variable) between groups										
(independent variables).										
			Crude (n = 220	)		Model I (n = 21	5)		Model II (n = 2	15)
Variables		Mean	95% CI	P-Value	Mean	95% CI	P-Value	Mean	95% CI	P-Value
Anti- inflammatory	Intake	-0.73†	-1.130.33	0.0004*	-0.63†	-1.060.21	0.003*	-0.68†	-1.090.26	0.001*
Crinding	Yes	6.28	5.91 - 6.66	0.40	6.44	5.83 - 7.04	0.38		Not Included	I
Grinuing	No	6.43	6.22 - 6.64	0.49	6.45	6.10 - 7.20	0.38		Not included	L.
Clanching	Yes	6.43	6.12 - 6.74	0.80	6.67	6.08 - 7.25	0.20	6.57	6.17 - 6.97	0.26
Clenening	No	6.37	6.14 - 6.59	0.80	6.42	5.86 - 6.98	0.29	6.37	6.10 - 6.64	0.50
Periodontal	Yes	5.88	5.27 - 6.50	0.00**	6.37	5.61 - 7.13	0.21	Nat Included		1
Treatment	No	6.44	6.25 - 6.63	0.09**	6.71	6.26 - 7.16	0.51		Not included	
Alcohol	Yes	7.16	6.42 - 7.90	0.02*	6.86	6.02 - 7.71	0.11	No.4 In she de d		1
intake	No	6.34	6.15 - 6.53	0.05*	6.22	5.83 - 6.62	0.11		Not included	l
Rock Pain	Yes	6.10	5.78 - 6.43	0.05*	6.42	5.81 - 7.03	0.20	6.30	6.38 - 6.91	0.12
Dack I alli	No	6.50	6.28 - 6.72	0.05*	6.67	6.13 - 7.21	0.29	6.64	5.88 - 6.71	0.15
Strong	Yes	6.12	5.80 - 6.44	0.044	6.46	5.88 - 7.05	0.46		Not Included	1
511 655	No	6.52	6.30 - 6.74	0.04*	6.62	6.07 - 7.17	0.40		Not included	L
Condor	Female	6.30	6.10 - 6.50	0.06**	6.34	5.84 - 6.62	0.00**	6.24	6.01 - 6.47	0.05*
Genuer	Male	6.73	6.34 - 7.13	0.00**	6.75	6.11 - 7.39	0.08**	6.70	6.27 - 7.14	0.05*
Age	Years	0.006	-0.005 - 0.01	0.25	0.007	-0.006 - 0.02	0.26	0.01	-0.002 - 0.02	0.11
Dainful TMD	Cases	6.33	6.09 - 6.57	0.47	6.52	5.96 - 7.07	0.94	6.45	6.15 - 6.76	0.00
	Controls	6.47	6.20 - 6.74	0.47	6.57	5.97 - 7.16	0.84	6.49	6.10 - 6.88	0.88

Table 4 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of means log salivary NCE levels (dependent variable) between groups

**Notes:** \* *P*-Value  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\*Borderline association; †slope. Model I: Adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake, grinding, clenching, periodontal treatment, alcohol intake, back pain, stress, gender, age, and painful TMD. Model II: Final model adjusted by anti-inflammatory intake, clenching, back pain, gender, age, and painful TMD.

## **CHAPTER 8. LIST OF REFERENCES**

1. Ringkamp M, Raja SN, Campbell JN, Meyer RA. Peripheral Mechanisms of Cutaneous Nociception. Wall and Melzack's textbook of pain. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier/Saunders; 2013. p. 3-34.

2. Basbaum AI, Bautista DM, Scherrer G, Julius D. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of pain. Cell. 2009;139(2):267-84.

3. Janal MN, Raphael KG, Nayak S, Klausner J. Prevalence of myofascial temporomandibular disorder in US community women. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2008;35(11):801-9.

4. Isong U, Gansky SA, Plesh O. Temporomandibular joint and muscle disorder-type pain in U.S. adults: the National Health Interview Survey. Journal of orofacial pain. 2008;22(4):317-22.

5.ResearchNIoDaC.FacialPain:http://www.nidcr.nih.gov/DataStatistics/FindDataByTopic/FacialPain/;2009[cited 2014 21/07].

6. Nilsson IM, List T, Drangsholt M. Prevalence of temporomandibular pain and subsequent dental treatment in Swedish adolescents. Journal of orofacial pain. 2005;19(2):144-50.

7. Drangsholt M LL. Temporomandibular Disorder Pain. Epidemiology of pain: A report of the Task Force on Epidemiology of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Seatle: International Association for the Study of Pain Press; 1999. p. 203-33.

8. LeResche L. Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders: implications for the investigation of etiologic factors. Critical reviews in oral biology and medicine : an official publication of the American Association of Oral Biologists. 1997;8(3):291-305.

9. LeResche L, Mancl LA, Drangsholt MT, Huang G, Von Korff M. Predictors of onset of facial pain and temporomandibular disorders in early adolescence. Pain. 2007;129(3):269-78.

10. Rammelsberg P, LeResche L, Dworkin S, Mancl L. Longitudinal outcome of temporomandibular disorders: a 5-year epidemiologic study of muscle

disorders defined by research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders. Journal of orofacial pain. 2003;17(1):9-20.

11. Ohrbach R, Dworkin SF. Five-year outcomes in TMD: relationship of changes in pain to changes in physical and psychological variables. Pain. 1998;74(2-3):315-26.

12. Lobbezoo F, Drangsholt M, Peck C, Sato H, Kopp S, Svensson P. Topical review: new insights into the pathology and diagnosis of disorders of the temporomandibular joint. Journal of orofacial pain. 2004;18(3):181-91.

13. Light KC, Bragdon EE, Grewen KM, Brownley KA, Girdler SS, Maixner W. Adrenergic dysregulation and pain with and without acute beta-blockade in women with fibromyalgia and temporomandibular disorder. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society. 2009;10(5):542-52.

14. Kaneyama K, Segami N, Yoshimura H, Honjo M, Demura N. Increased levels of soluble cytokine receptors in the synovial fluid of temporomandibular joint disorders in relation to joint effusion on magnetic resonance images. Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery : official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. 2010;68(5):1088-93.

15. Rodriguez de Sotillo D, Velly AM, Hadley M, Fricton JR. Evidence of oxidative stress in temporomandibular disorders: a pilot study. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2011;38(10):722-8.

16. Basi DL, Velly AM, Schiffman EL, Lenton PA, Besspiata DA, Rankin AM, et al. Human temporomandibular joint and myofascial pain biochemical profiles: a case-control study. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2012;39(5):326-37.

17. Ernberg M, Hedenberg-Magnusson B, Alstergren P, Lundeberg T, Kopp S. Pain, allodynia, and serum serotonin level in orofacial pain of muscular origin. Journal of orofacial pain. 1999;13(1):56-62.

18. Arthur J. Atkinson WAC, Victor G. DeGruttola, David L. DeMets, Gregory J. Downing, Daniel F. Hoth, John A. Oates, Carl C. Peck, Robert T. Schooley, Bert A. Spilker, Janet Woodcock and Scott L. Zeger. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: Preferred definitions and conceptual framework. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2001(69):89-95.

19. Strimbu K, Tavel JA. What are biomarkers? Current opinion in HIV and AIDS. 2010;5(6):463-6.

20. Brinkman BM, Wong DT. Disease mechanism and biomarkers of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Current opinion in oncology. 2006;18(3):228-33.

21. Svensson P, Wang K, Arendt-Nielsen L, Cairns BE. Effects of NGFinduced muscle sensitization on proprioception and nociception. Experimental brain research. 2008;189(1):1-10.

22. Pezet S, McMahon SB. Neurotrophins: mediators and modulators of pain. Annual review of neuroscience. 2006;29:507-38.

23. NLM NNLoM-. NGF 2014. Available from: http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/gene/NGF.

24. Lewin GR, Mendell LM. Nerve growth factor and nociception. Trends in neurosciences. 1993;16(9):353-9.

25. Deshmukh M, Johnson EM, Jr. Programmed cell death in neurons: focus on the pathway of nerve growth factor deprivation-induced death of sympathetic neurons. Molecular pharmacology. 1997;51(6):897-906.

26. Safieh-Garabedian B, Poole S, Allchorne A, Winter J, Woolf CJ. Contribution of interleukin-1 beta to the inflammation-induced increase in nerve growth factor levels and inflammatory hyperalgesia. British journal of pharmacology. 1995;115(7):1265-75.

27. Svensson P, Cairns BE, Wang K, Arendt-Nielsen L. Injection of nerve growth factor into human masseter muscle evokes long-lasting mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia. Pain. 2003;104(1-2):241-7.

28. Koyama T, McHaffie JG, Laurienti PJ, Coghill RC. The subjective experience of pain: where expectations become reality. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005;102(36):12950-5.

29. Hoffmann RG, Kotchen JM, Kotchen TA, Cowley T, Dasgupta M, Cowley AW, Jr. Temporomandibular disorders and associated clinical comorbidities. The Clinical journal of pain. 2011;27(3):268-74.

30. Scrivani SJ, Keith DA, Kaban LB. Temporomandibular disorders. The New England journal of medicine. 2008;359(25):2693-705.

31. Merskey H, Bogduk N. Classification of chronic pain, IASP Task Force on Taxonomy. Seattle, WA: International Association for the Study of Pain

Press(Also available online at www iasp-pain org). 1994.

32. Ballegaard V, Thede-Schmidt-Hansen P, Svensson P, Jensen R. Are headache and temporomandibular disorders related? A blinded study. Cephalalgia : an international journal of headache. 2008;28(8):832-41.

33. Rothman KJ. Epidemiology: An Introduction. 2nd ed: Oxford; 2012.

34. Hennekens CH, Buring JE. Epidemiology in Medicine. 1st ed: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1987.

35. Fletcher RH, Fletcher SW. Clinical epidemiology : the essentials. 4th ed. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005. xv, 252 p. p.

36. Locker D, Slade G. Prevalence of symptoms associated with temporomandibular disorders in a Canadian population. Community dentistry and oral epidemiology. 1988;16(5):310-3.

37. Von Korff M, Dworkin SF, Le Resche L, Kruger A. An epidemiologic comparison of pain complaints. Pain. 1988;32(2):173-83.

38. Goulet JP, Lavigne GJ, Lund JP. Jaw pain prevalence among Frenchspeaking Canadians in Quebec and related symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Journal of dental research. 1995;74(11):1738-44.

39. Slade GD, Bair E, By K, Mulkey F, Baraian C, Rothwell R, et al. Study methods, recruitment, sociodemographic findings, and demographic representativeness in the OPPERA study. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society. 2011;12(11 Suppl):T12-26.

40. Von Korff M, Le Resche L, Dworkin SF. First onset of common pain symptoms: a prospective study of depression as a risk factor. Pain. 1993;55(2):251-8.

41. Nilsson IM, List T, Drangsholt M. Incidence and temporal patterns of temporomandibular disorder pain among Swedish adolescents. Journal of orofacial pain. 2007;21(2):127-32.

42. Slade GD, Fillingim RB, Sanders AE, Bair E, Greenspan JD, Ohrbach R, et al. Summary of findings from the OPPERA prospective cohort study of incidence of first-onset temporomandibular disorder: implications and future directions. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society. 2013;14(12)

Suppl):T116-24.

43. Fricton JR, Schiffman EL. The craniomandibular index: validity. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry. 1987;58(2):222-8.

44. Fricton JR, Schiffman EL. Reliability of a craniomandibular index. Journal of dental research. 1986;65(11):1359-64.

45. Pehling J, Schiffman E, Look J, Shaefer J, Lenton P, Fricton J. Interexaminer reliability and clinical validity of the temporomandibular index: a new outcome measure for temporomandibular disorders. Journal of orofacial pain. 2002;16(4):296-304.

46. Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. Journal of craniomandibular disorders : facial & oral pain. 1992;6(4):301-55.

47. Sessle BJ. A focus on the Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders. Journal of orofacial pain. 2009;23(1):5-6.

48. Szklo M, Nieto FJ. Epidemiology : beyond the basics. 2nd ed. Sudbury, Mass.: Jones and Bartlett Publishers; 2007. xiii, 489 p. p.

49. Koepsell TD, Weiss NS. Epidemiologic methods : studying the occurrence of illness. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press; 2003. ix, 513 p. p.

50. John MT, Dworkin SF, Mancl LA. Reliability of clinical temporomandibular disorder diagnoses. Pain. 2005;118(1-2):61-9.

51. Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network\* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Groupdagger. Journal of oral & facial pain and headache. 2014;28(1):6-27.

52. Costen JB. A syndrome of ear and sinus symptoms dependent upon disturbed function of the temporomandibular joint. Ann Otol Rhin & Laryng. 1934;43:1-15.

53. Woda A, Pionchon P. A unified concept of idiopathic orofacial pain:

clinical features. Journal of orofacial pain. 1999;13(3):172-84; discussion 85-95.

54. Okeson JP, de Kanter RJ. Temporomandibular disorders in the medical practice. The Journal of family practice. 1996;43(4):347-56.

55. Engel GL. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. Science. 1977;196(4286):129-36.

56. M.A.F V, J.L.P R, M.P J. Afrontamiento, Depresión, Ansiedad, Auto-Eficacia y Apoyo Social: Impacto en la Adaptación al Dolor Crónico. Escritos de Psicologia. 2009;2(3):8-17.

57. SF D, TI S. Orofacial pain/ temporomandibular disorders. Review of the scientific literature on biobehavioural disorders. Behavioral, cognitive and emotional factors related to etiology, assessment, diagnosis and management. Proceedings of the World Workshop on Oral Medicine III. 1998.

58. Maixner W, Diatchenko L, Dubner R, Fillingim RB, Greenspan JD, Knott C, et al. Orofacial pain prospective evaluation and risk assessment study--the OPPERA study. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society. 2011;12(11 Suppl):T4-11 e1-2.

59. Sessle BJ. Mechanisms of oral somatosensory and motor functions and their clinical correlates. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2006;33(4):243-61.

60. Loeser JD, Treede RD. The Kyoto protocol of IASP Basic Pain Terminology. Pain. 2008;137(3):473-7.

61. A.G. H, B.J. S. Temporomandibular neurosensory and neuromuscular physiology. In: G. Z, G. C, B. S, N. M, editors. Temporomandibular Joint and Masticatory Muscle Disorders. Munksgaard, Copenhagen1994. p. 67-100.

62. Cairns BE. Pathophysiology of TMD pain--basic mechanisms and their implications for pharmacotherapy. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2010;37(6):391-410.

63. Sessle BJ. Peripheral and central mechanisms of orofacial inflammatory pain. International review of neurobiology. 2011;97:179-206.

64. Julius D, Basbaum AI. Molecular mechanisms of nociception. Nature. 2001;413(6852):203-10.

65. Rocha AP, Kraychete DC, Lemonica L, de Carvalho LR, de Barros GA,

Garcia JB, et al. Pain: current aspects on peripheral and central sensitization. Revista brasileira de anestesiologia. 2007;57(1):94-105.

66. Meyer RA, Ringkamp M, Campbell JN, Raja SN. Peripheral mechanisms of cutaneous nociception. In: McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M, editors. London: Wall and Melzack's Textbook of pain; 2006. p. 3-34.

67. Woolf CJ, Ma Q. Nociceptors--noxious stimulus detectors. Neuron. 2007;55(3):353-64.

68. Levi-Montalcini R, Skaper SD, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Leon A. Nerve growth factor: from neurotrophin to neurokine. Trends in neurosciences. 1996;19(11):514-20.

69. Urban MO, Gebhart GF. Central mechanisms in pain. The Medical clinics of North America. 1999;83(3):585-96.

70. Schaible HG, Schmelz M, Tegeder I. Pathophysiology and treatment of pain in joint disease. Advanced drug delivery reviews. 2006;58(2):323-42.

71. Dubner R, Ruda MA. Activity-dependent neuronal plasticity following tissue injury and inflammation. Trends in neurosciences. 1992;15(3):96-103.

72. Schaible HG, Grubb BD. Afferent and spinal mechanisms of joint pain. Pain. 1993;55(1):5-54.

73. Levi-Montalcini R. The nerve growth factor: thirty-five years later. Bioscience reports. 1987;7(9):681-99.

74. Bennett DL. Neurotrophic factors: important regulators of nociceptive function. The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry. 2001;7(1):13-7.

75. Edwards SN, Buckmaster AE, Tolkovsky AM. The death programme in cultured sympathetic neurones can be suppressed at the posttranslational level by nerve growth factor, cyclic AMP, and depolarization. Journal of neurochemistry. 1991;57(6):2140-3.

76. Malik-Hall M, Dina OA, Levine JD. Primary afferent nociceptor mechanisms mediating NGF-induced mechanical hyperalgesia. The European journal of neuroscience. 2005;21(12):3387-94.

77. Andersen H, Arendt-Nielsen L, Svensson P, Danneskiold-Samsoe B,

Graven-Nielsen T. Spatial and temporal aspects of muscle hyperalgesia induced by nerve growth factor in humans. Experimental brain research. 2008;191(3):371-82.

78. Hongling Nie PM, Lars Arendt-Nielsen, Thomas Graven-Nielsen. Temporal summation of pressure pain during muscle hyperalgesia evoked by nerve growth factor and eccentric contractions. European Journal of Pain. 2009(13):704-10.

79. Wu YW, Kou XX, Bi RY, Xu W, Wang KW, Gan YH, et al. Hippocampal nerve growth factor potentiated by 17beta-estradiol and involved in allodynia of inflamed TMJ in rat. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society. 2012;13(6):555-63.

80. Nam JW, Chung JW, Kho HS, Chung SC, Kim YK. Nerve growth factor concentration in human saliva. Oral diseases. 2007;13(2):187-92.

81. Jang MU, Park JW, Kho HS, Chung SC, Chung JW. Plasma and saliva levels of nerve growth factor and neuropeptides in chronic migraine patients. Oral diseases. 2011;17(2):187-93.

82. Martino M, Rocchi G, Escelsior A, Contini P, Colicchio S, de Berardis D, et al. NGF serum levels variations in major depressed patients receiving duloxetine. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013;38(9):1824-8.

83. Guney E, Ceylan MF, Kara M, Tekin N, Goker Z, Senses Dinc G, et al. Serum nerve growth factor (NGF) levels in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Neuroscience letters. 2014;560:107-11.

84. Jiang YH, Peng CH, Liu HT, Kuo HC. Increased pro-inflammatory cytokines, C-reactive protein and nerve growth factor expressions in serum of patients with interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. PloS one. 2013;8(10):e76779.

85. Diniz BS, Teixeira AL, Machado-Vieira R, Talib LL, Gattaz WF, Forlenza OV. Reduced serum nerve growth factor in patients with late-life depression. The American journal of geriatric psychiatry : official journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry. 2013;21(5):493-6.

86. Williamson S, Munro C, Pickler R, Grap MJ, Elswick RK, Jr. Comparison of biomarkers in blood and saliva in healthy adults. Nursing research and practice. 2012;2012:246178.

87. Hansen TV, Simonsen MK, Nielsen FC, Hundrup YA. Collection of blood,

saliva, and buccal cell samples in a pilot study on the Danish nurse cohort: comparison of the response rate and quality of genomic DNA. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2007;16(10):2072-6.

88. Rylander-Rudqvist T, Hakansson N, Tybring G, Wolk A. Quality and quantity of saliva DNA obtained from the self-administrated oragene method--a pilot study on the cohort of Swedish men. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2006;15(9):1742-5.

89. Stone AA, Schwartz JE, Smyth J, Kirschbaum C, Cohen S, Hellhammer D, et al. Individual differences in the diurnal cycle of salivary free cortisol: a replication of flattened cycles for some individuals. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2001;26(3):295-306.

90. Bonne NJ, Wong DT. Salivary biomarker development using genomic, proteomic and metabolomic approaches. Genome medicine. 2012;4(10):82.

91. Lee YH, Wong DT. Saliva: an emerging biofluid for early detection of diseases. American journal of dentistry. 2009;22(4):241-8.

92. Su H, Gornitsky M, Velly AM, Yu H, Benarroch M, Schipper HM. Salivary DNA, lipid, and protein oxidation in nonsmokers with periodontal disease. Free radical biology & medicine. 2009;46(7):914-21.

93. Baughan LW, Robertello FJ, Sarrett DC, Denny PA, Denny PC. Salivary mucin as related to oral Streptococcus mutans in elderly people. Oral microbiology and immunology. 2000;15(1):10-4.

94. Kaufman E, Lamster IB. Analysis of saliva for periodontal diagnosis--a review. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2000;27(7):453-65.

95. Seymour GJ, Gemmell E. Cytokines in periodontal disease: where to from here? Acta odontologica Scandinavica. 2001;59(3):167-73.

96. Gursoy UK, Kononen E, Uitto VJ, Pussinen PJ, Hyvarinen K, Suominen-Taipale L, et al. Salivary interleukin-1beta concentration and the presence of multiple pathogens in periodontitis. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2009;36(11):922-7. 97. Ozcaka O, Nalbantsoy A, Buduneli N. Interleukin-17 and interleukin-18 levels in saliva and plasma of patients with chronic periodontitis. Journal of periodontal research. 2011;46(5):592-8.

98. Sexton WM, Lin Y, Kryscio RJ, Dawson DR, 3rd, Ebersole JL, Miller CS. Salivary biomarkers of periodontal disease in response to treatment. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2011;38(5):434-41.

99. Al-Sabbagh M, Alladah A, Lin Y, Kryscio RJ, Thomas MV, Ebersole JL, et al. Bone remodeling-associated salivary biomarker MIP-1alpha distinguishes periodontal disease from health. Journal of periodontal research. 2012;47(3):389-95.

100. Munro CL, Grap MJ, Jablonski R, Boyle A. Oral health measurement in nursing research: state of the science. Biological research for nursing. 2006;8(1):35-42.

101. Al-Tarawneh SK, Border MB, Dibble CF, Bencharit S. Defining salivary biomarkers using mass spectrometry-based proteomics: a systematic review. Omics : a journal of integrative biology. 2011;15(6):353-61.

102. Rahul Kathariya ARP. Salivary Proteomic Biomarkers For Oral Diseases: A Review Of Literature. Archives of Oral Sciences & Research. 2010;1(1):43-9.

103. Rathnayake N, Akerman S, Klinge B, Lundegren N, Jansson H, Tryselius Y, et al. Salivary biomarkers of oral health: a cross-sectional study. Journal of clinical periodontology. 2013;40(2):140-7.

104. Myers S, Kaimal S, Springsteen J, Ferreira J, Ko CC, Fricton J. Development of a National TMJ Implant Registry and Repository-- NIDCR's TIRR. Northwest dentistry. 2007;86(6):13-8.

105. Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe FJ, Dworkin S. Grading the severity of chronic pain. Pain. 1992(50):133-49.

106. Svensson P, Jadidi F, Arima T, Baad-Hansen L, Sessle BJ. Relationships between craniofacial pain and bruxism. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2008;35(7):524-47.

107. Scully C, Felix DH. Oral medicine -- update for the dental practitioner: dry mouth and disorders of salivation. British dental journal. 2005;199(7):423-7.

108. Lee S, Moon CS, Sul D, Lee J, Bae M, Hong Y, et al. Comparison of

growth factor and cytokine expression in patients with degenerated disc disease and herniated nucleus pulposus. Clinical biochemistry. 2009;42(15):1504-11.

109. Wiesinger B, Malker H, Englund E, Wanman A. Back pain in relation to musculoskeletal disorders in the jaw-face: a matched case-control study. Pain. 2007;131(3):311-9.

110. Aloe L, Bracci-Laudiero L, Alleva E, Lambiase A, Micera A, Tirassa P. Emotional stress induced by parachute jumping enhances blood nerve growth factor levels and the distribution of nerve growth factor receptors in lymphocytes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 1994;91(22):10440-4.

111. Aggarwal VR, Macfarlane GJ, Farragher TM, McBeth J. Risk factors for onset of chronic oro-facial pain--results of the North Cheshire oro-facial pain prospective population study. Pain. 2010;149(2):354-9.

112. Lachin. Controlled Clinical Trials 1981 [08/08/2014]. Available from: http://www.cct.cuhk.edu.hk/stat/other/correlation.htm.

113. Woolf CJ, Safieh-Garabedian B, Ma QP, Crilly P, Winter J. Nerve growth factor contributes to the generation of inflammatory sensory hypersensitivity. Neuroscience. 1994;62(2):327-31.

114. Stills HF, Jr. Adjuvants and antibody production: dispelling the myths associated with Freund's complete and other adjuvants. ILAR journal / National Research Council, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources. 2005;46(3):280-93.

115. Jensen MP, Smith DG, Ehde DM, Robinsin LR. Pain site and the effects of amputation pain: further clarification of the meaning of mild, moderate, and severe pain. Pain. 2001;91(3):317-22.

116. Spears R, Dees LA, Sapozhnikov M, Bellinger LL, Hutchins B. Temporal changes in inflammatory mediator concentrations in an adjuvant model of temporomandibular joint inflammation. Journal of orofacial pain. 2005;19(1):34-40.

117. Gaspersic R, Kovacic U, Glisovic S, Cor A, Skaleric U. Anti-NGF treatment reduces bone resorption in periodontitis. Journal of dental research. 2010;89(5):515-20.

118. Mohammed AA. Update knowledge of dry mouth-A guideline for dentists.

African Health Sciences. 2014;14(3):736-42.

119. Aloe L, Tuveri MA, Carcassi U, Levi-Montalcini R. Nerve growth factor in the synovial fluid of patients with chronic arthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 1992;35(3):351-5.

120. Greenberg RS. Medical epidemiology. Norwalk, Conn.: Appleton & Lange; 1993. xi, 146 p. p.

121. Altman D, Armitage P, Colton T. Encyclopedia of biostatistics. Encyclopedia of biostatistics. 2005.

122. Neugebauer R, Ng S. Differential recall as a source of bias in epidemiologic research. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 1990;43(12):1337-41.

123. Greenland S, Morgenstern H. Confounding in health research. Annual review of public health. 2001;22(1):189-212.

124. Wacholder S, Silverman DT, McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS. Selection of controls in case-control studies. II. Types of controls. American journal of epidemiology. 1992;135(9):1029-41.

# **CHAPTER 9. APPENDIX**

A selection including the consent form, examination forms, medical questionnaire, and other relevant questionnaires that were used in this study will be following.

#### National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research TMJ Implant Registry & Repository [NIDCR's T RR)

#### CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

You are invited to participate in a data and tissue registry and repository related to temporomandibular joint dysfunction (TMD). You were selected as a possible participant because you 1) have a past or current history of TMD, 2) have had or will have temporomandibular joint (TMJ) surgery, 3) have had or currently have a TMJ implant, or 4) may be a control subject for this project. We ask that you read this form and ask any questions you may have before agreeing to be **a** p rticipant in NIDCR's TIRR.

This project is being conducted by James R. Fricton, DDS, MS; Sandra L. Myers, DMD; John O. Look, DDS, MPH, PhD; and Ana Velly, DDS, PhD in the Department of Diagnostic & Biological Sciences at the University of Minnesota School of Dentistry. It is funded by the National Institute of Dental & Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

#### **Project Purpose:**

Many different treatments have been recommended for people with TMD including medications, splints, physical therapy, dental treatment and surgery. Implants have sometimes been used to support or replace the moving parts of the joint. For some people, these implants have caused problems that have necessitated their removal. The disease process of TMD and causes of failure of TMJ implants are not well understood.

The purpose of NIDCR's TIRR is to create a national database to centralize medical information, biological tissues, and retrieved TMJ implants. Information and biological specimens will then be made available to researchers. Studies using these materials will lead to a better understanding of TMD and improved treatment outcomes.

#### Project Procedures:

If you agree to participate in this project, you will be asked to do the following:

- Complete an initial registration, medical history and questionnaire. These should be completed before your appointment and requires approximately 1 hour.
- 2. Allow NIDCR's TIRR to cnt act you to complete follow-up questionnaires.
- Give p rmission to NIDCR's TIRR tb ot ain ad transfer information from yourdha lth records and/or data from previous studies.
- 4. Undergo a clinical examination to evaluate your temporomandibular joint.
- 5. Allow NIDCR's TIRR to cl lect saliva and approximately 3 -ml (6 teaspoons) of blood. This is done at the time of exam, which requires approximately 1 hour total.

If you have TMJ surgery, NIDCR's TIRR will obtain from your surgeon tissue or implant material that was removed as part of the procedure. If you had TMJ surgery in the past, you are asked to permit NIDCR's TIRR to obtain any tissue or implant materials that were removed and held at the place where your surgery was performed.

If you will be having oral surgery, while under local anesthetic, conscious sedation or general anesthesia, and are eligible to donate synovial (joint) fluid from your TMJ, this fluid may be collected at the time of your surgery. Using a needle, a sterile mixture of cyanocobalamin (Vitamin B12) and saline will be flushed through the joint space to collect this fluid. Saline is routinely used in surgical procedures, and Vitamin B12 will be used to measure the amount of synovial fluid obtained. Vitamin B12 is a necessary part of normal body function and is approved by the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of medical conditions such as anemia. Before the synovial fluid collection is performed, you will be asked if you have ever had any unusual or allergic reaction to vitamin B12 or cobalt. More information about Vitamin B12 and the method used for synovial fluid collection may b foundlon NIDCR's T RR website at <a href="http://tmjregistry.org">http://tmjregistry.org</a>.

IRB Code # 0210M33782	
Version Date: 3.1A/N: 2-12-08	1 of 3

Your blood, saliva, tissues and synovial fluid will be used to study genes, gene products and other measurable factors that may be related to TMD or pain.

If you are <u>not</u> having oral surgery and are eligible and consent to donate synovial (joint) fluid from your TMJ, you have the option of having it done with local anesthesia or conscious sedation.

#### **Benefits of Participation:**

There is no direct benefit to participation.

One benefit of participation in this project is that you will have access to a private, electronic record of your TMD health information.

The use of your medical information and specimens will enable researchers to learn more about factors involved in the success of TMJ treatments including implants and surgical or non-surgical therapy.

#### **Risks of Participation:**

- The risks of drawing blood include brief pain, slight bruising and, rarely, infection where the needle entered your skin. Project staff members will take every precaution to prevent an infection. Some people may feel dizzy when they have blood drawn, but this goes away when the person lies down.
- The risks associated with jaw joint synovial fluid extraction are:
  - Pain and/or slight bruising.
    - Infection.
    - Swelling.
    - Bleeding.
    - Arthritis, although rare.
- There is also a risk associated with the release of private information from your health records. NIDCR's TIRR follows all confidentiality guidelines to ensure the protection of your privacy. See the "Confidentiality" portion of this consent form for details on how your private information is protected.
- Your oral surgeon will discuss with you any risks associated with sedation.

#### Compensation:

You will receive compensation of \$50 after completion of the initial questionnaires, clinical examination and collection of blood and saliva.

If you donate synovial fluid for this project, you will be compensated an additional \$125.

#### Participation Related Injury:

In the event that your participation in this project results in an injury, treatment will be available including first-aid, emergency treatment and follow-up care as needed. Care for such injuries will be billed in the ordinary manner to you or your insurance company. If you think that you have suffered an injury related to participation in this project, let the project staff know right away.

#### Confidentiality:

All records and private information obtained by NIDCR's TIRR will be kept private. Data is maintained on a secure website at the University of Minnesota. All identifying information will be removed from your data and specimens before they are released to researchers. A research code will be assigned to these materials so that the researcher cannot link them to you. You will be asked to sign a separate Patient Information Release form in order for NIDCR's TIRR to obtain information from your past health records. In any publications or presentations, no information will be used that would make it possible to identify you as a participant. Your record for this project may be reviewed by the NIDCR, the Food and Drug Administration, and departments at the University of Minnesota with appropriate regulatory oversight. Due to the necessity of gathering information from your doctor or past records, this data may be faxed or transmitted to or from NIDCR's TIRR via the Internet. Every attempt will be made to protect you and your information transmission via the secure Academic Health Center website. To these extents, confidentiality is not absolute.

IRB Code # 0210M33782	
Version Date: 3.1A/N: 2-12-08	2 of 3

#### Protected Health Information (PHI):

Your PHI created or received for the purposes of this project is protected under the federal regulation known as HIPAA. Refer to the attached HIPAA authorization for details concerning the use of this information.

#### Voluntary Nature of the Project:

Participation in this project is voluntary. Your decision whether or not to participate will not affect your current or future relations with the University of Minnesota or your doctor/surgeon. If you decide to participate, you are free to withdraw at any time without affecting those relationships. If you choose to withdraw from this project, you will not be contacted by NIDCR's TIRR any more, and all information identifying you will be destroyed. Your specimens will remain the property of NIDCR's TIRR and will not be returned to you, hhough we will destroy the identifying link to your specimen. You sou ld en tact the project staff person listed in this consent form to withdraw from NIDCR's TIRR.

#### **Contacts and Questions:**

The person describing this project to you is available to answer any questions you have now or in the future. Also, **you are encouraged to** contact the Program Director, Dr. James R. Fricton, at 612-626-4744 for any additional questions. You may contact NIDCR's TIRR in writing or in person at the University of Minnesota School of Dentistry, 7-546 Moos Tower, 515 Delaware St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding the project and would like to talk to someone other than the researcher(s), **you are encouraged to** contact the Fairview Research Helpline at telephone number 612-672-7692 or toll free at 866-508-6961. You may also contact this office in writing or in person at the University of Minnesota Medical Center, Fairview-Riverside Campus, #815 Professional Building, 2450 Riverside Avenue, Minneapolis, MN 55454.

If you are interested in results from this project or publications by researchers involved in NIDCR's TIRR, this information **evill** b listed on the **p**roject's wbs ite: <u>http://tmjregistry.org</u>.

Personnel from this project may contact you to invite you to participate in other studies. If you do not wish to be contacted, please inform the project staff at 612-626-4744.

#### You will be given a copy of this form to keep for your records.

#### **Statement of Consent:**

I have read the above information. I have asked questions and have received answers. g a ree to pr ticipate in NIDCR's TIRR.

Signature of Subject

Date

Signature of Person Obtaining Consent

Date

IRB Code # 0210M33782	
Version Date: 3.1A/N: 2-12-08	3 of 3

### HIPAA<sup>1</sup> AUTHORIZATION TO USE AND DISCLOSE INDIVIDUAL HEALTH INFORMATION FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES

1. **Purpose.** As a research participant, I authorize **Dr. James Fricton** and the researcher's staff to use and disclose my individual health information for the purpose of conducting the research project entitled National Institutes of Dental and Craniofacial Research's TMJ Implant Registry and Repository (NIDCR'sTIRR). **Human Subjects' Code: 0210M33782** 

**2.** Individual Health Information to be Used or Disclosed. My individual health information that may be used or disclosed to conduct this research includes: Demographic information, results of physical exams, x-rays diagnostic and medical procedures as well as medical history.

3. Parties Who May Disclose My Individual Health Information. The researcher and the researcher's staff may obtain my individual health information from:

Hospitals:		
Clinics:		
Other Providers:		
_		

4. Parties Who May Receive or Use My Individual Health Information. The individual health information disclosed by parties listed in item 3 and information disclosed by me during the course of the research may be received and used by Dr. James Fricton and the researcher staff, the National Institute of Health/NIDCR and the FDA.

5. Right to Refuse to Sign this Authorization. I do not have to sign this Authorization. If I decide not to sign the Authorization, I may not be allowed to participate in this study or receive any research related treatment that is provided through the study. However, my decision not to sign this authorization will not affect any other treatment, payment, or enrollment in health plans or eligibility for benefits.

6. Right to Revoke. I can change my mind and withdraw this authorization at any time by sending a written notice to Dr. James Fricton at the University of Minnesota School of Dentistry/Division of TMJ and Orofacial Pain, 6-320 Moos Tower, 515 Delaware St. S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455 to inform the researcher of my decision. If I withdraw this authorization, the researcher may only use and disclose the protected health information already collected for this research study. No further health information about me will be collected by or disclosed to the researcher for this study.

7. Potential for Re-disclosure. Once my health information is disclosed under this authorization, there is a potential that it will be re-disclosed outside this study and no longer covered by this authorization. However, the research team and the University's Institutional Review Board (the committee that reviews studies to be sure that the rights and safety of study participants are protected) are very careful to protect your privacy and limit the disclosure of identifying information about you.

8. Also, there are other laws that may require my individual health information to be disclosed for public purposes. Examples include potential disclosures if required for mandated reporting of abuse or neglect, judicial proceedings, health oversight activities and public health measures.

This authorization does not have an expiration date.

I am the research participant or personal representative authorized to act on behalf of the participant. I have read this information, and I will receive a copy of this authorization form after it is signed.

signature of research participant or research participant's personal representative

date

printed name of research participant or research participant's personal representative

description of personal representative's authority to act on behalf of the research participant

<sup>1</sup> HIPAA is the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, a federal law related to privacy of health information. HIPAA authorization
IRB approved August 23, 2006

NIDCR'S TIRR PATIENT REGISTRATION FORM										
1. Patient Name: (Last, Fin	st, MI)		2. Social Security	No.	3. Birth Date (MM/DD/YY)	4. Sex □ M □ F				
5. Address (Street)		Apt No.	City	State	e Country	Zip				
6. Home Phone	7. E-mail			8. Sp	ouse Name (Last, First, MI)					
9. Employer's Name		City	State		Work Ph	one				
10. Person to Notify in an E	mergency	City	State		Phone					
11. Name of Relative Not Li	ving With You	City	State		Phone					

12. Ethnicity: (please choose	only one)	13. Racial Group: (you ma	y choo	ose more than one)	
Hispanic or Latino		American Indian or Alaska	a Nativ	e 🛛 Asian	
Not Hispanic or Latino		□ Native Hawaiian or Other	Pacific	Islander 🛛 🗆 White	
🗆 Unknown		Black or African American	1	🗆 Unknown d	or unlisted
14. Marital Status:   Single/N	ever Married	d 🗆 Single/Divorced 🗆 I	Marrie	d 🗆 Widowed 🗆 Separa	ted 🗆 No Answer
15. Primary Occupation			16. N	lumber of Children:	
			Ages	s of Female Children:	
			Ages	s of Male Children:	
17. PRIMARY JOB STATUS In	the Past Mo	nth (check only one)		18. EDUCATIONAL LEVEL	(last year
<ul> <li>Employed fulltime</li> <li>Fulltime student and not en</li> <li>Have a job but am on unpa</li> <li>Have a job but am on paid</li> <li>Disabled due to health pro</li> </ul>	mployed aid leave leave blems	Employed part time     Fulltime homemaker     Retired and not employ     Unemployed     Other	red	<ul> <li>Completed)</li> <li>No formal education</li> <li>High school</li> <li>Vocational technical sci</li> <li>College</li> <li>Graduate school</li> <li>Post graduate</li> </ul>	hool
19. Are you receiving or apply	ing for any D	DISABILITY INCOME?	es	🗆 No	
If so, what type (list all that ap One Long term assistance Veterans	oply)? □ Sc □ G □ O	ocial security disability eneral assistance ther	_	<ul> <li>Worker compensation.</li> <li>Private insurance</li> </ul>	
20. Referring Doctor Name	Specialty	Address		Phone	E-Mail
21. Brimany Bhysisian Nama	Specialty	Address		Phone	E Moil

21. Primary Physician Name Specialty	Address	Phone	E-Mail
22. Primary Dentist Name	Address	Phone	E-Mail
23. Primary Pharmacy Name	Address	Phone	E-Mail

Version 1.2 Revised on 02/21/06

1

#### PATIENT INFORMATION

24. Primary Insurance Company Name	А	ddress		Phone
	Member/Claim #	Group #	Subscriber	Relation to Patient
25. Secondary Insurance Company Name	А	ddress		Phone
	Member/Claim #	Group #	Subscriber	Relation to Patient
26. Name of Work/Car insurance (if accident was involved)	А	ddress		Phone
	Member/Claim #	Group #	Subscriber	Relation to Patient
27. Person Responsible for the Bi	ll Name	Address		Phone
28. Attorney Name (if you have one)	А	ddress		Phone
	Claim Representat	ive	Date of Injury	

While you are a patient here, you will be asked to give certain information about your dental problems, medical history, your response to care you have received, and related information that is needed to assist in identifying and treating your problem(s). The dentists or staff who work with you will also make notes on their observations of you, and all this information will be recorded in your chart. Other information regarding fees incurred, and payments of such fees shall also be maintained as part of your record. This information is intended for use in your examination, diagnosis, and treatment, but may also be reviewed by others for a variety of purposes. Your records may be reviewed as part of the educational or research purposes to identify new or better work to treat predicted and called ad trutture. The provide the provided the records are provided by provide the careful called and called a start of your careful and the provide to the called there of the called and the called as part of your careful and the provide to the called there of the called as part of your careful as the called as part of the educational or research purposes to identify new or better work to the called there of the called as the called as the called as the called as the called the there are the called as the called as the called as the called the there are the called the called the called the called there are the called the called there are the called there are the called the called there are there are the called there are the called there are there are the called there are there are there are there are the called there are there are there are there are there are the called there are better ways to treat problems of the head, neck, and related structures. They may also be reviewed as part of general survey of patient care to assure standards of high quality service and financial integrity. In all cases, other than those where you have specifically authorized the release of your records, your identity and personal health record will be maintained in the strictest confidence by those authorized to review records.

PATIENT AGREEMENT <u>Please seek assistance if you do not understand any terms of this agreement</u> 1. I hereby authorize the doctors and staff working under their supervision, to perform ordinary diagnostic procedures, including x-rays and photographs, to determine the general nature of my dental problems.

2. I understand that the benefits, alternatives, discomforts and risks relating to my treatment will be explained to me in terms that I understand and properly annotated in my chart using appropriate consent forms BEFORE treatment is initiated.

3. I permit the doctors or staff to review my dental record for possible participation in dental research. I understand that someone may contact me to request my participation and that appropriate consent will be obtained before I become involved in the study.

4. I permit the your clinic to photograph or record all or part of my treatment or clinical records for publication in scientific journals or for teaching purposes by the staff or students concerned, provided this material is not identified with me by name, recognition, or otherwise.

5. I authorize the your clinic to utilize all tissues, including teeth, removed during the course of freatment for educational and research purposes in accordance with current tissue policies and regulations concerning the use of human subjects in research. 6. I understand that I must authorize in writing, the copying and distribution of any portion of my health record to any person or agency outside the Clinic

with the exception of third party payers. I understand there may be an additional fee for this service. I have read and understand all of the above. I have crossed out and initialed any statement (1 through 7) to which I do not agree. I further understand

that I may withdraw my consent to specific treatment of activities without prejudice to alternative treatment or continuing care Date

Patient/Guarantor Signature

AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION (All patients/guarantors must sign) I certify that the above information is correct. I authorize the Clinic to use and release dental, medical or financial information to other parties who have an implicit "right to know" due to my use of their services. This includes insurance companies and state agencies. I understand that no other information related to my treatment or health status will be released without my written consent. I understand and agree to the terms and conditions of the payment policy described above. I agree to pay this account, when due, in accordance with Clinic and State/Federal Agency policies covering the payment of outstanding balances.

Patient/Guarantor Signature Date

#### ASSIGNMENT OF INSURANCE BENEFITS

I authorize the payment of the group insurance benefits otherwise payable to my billing clinic.

Police Holder/Guarantor Signature Date

#### MEDICARE - BENEFICIARY AGREEMENT

I have been notified by my physician/dentist that he or she believes that Medicare is likely to deny payment for the services identified, for the reasons stated. If Medicare denies payment, I agree to be personally and fully responsible for payment.

Police Holder/Guarantor Signature\_ Date

Version 1.2 Revised on 02/21/06

TIRR Examination					
Patient Name:	Da	te:	Examine	er:	
Mandibular Range of Motion					
1. Incisal overlap and midline deviation of lower incisor	r	□ Right (#8)	or □ Left (#9) rertical norizontal deviation □To	right  □To left	
2. Incisal pattern on opening (deviation $\geq$ 5mm)		□ Straight □ Corrected(	Uncorrected to S) Other:	right 🗆 Uncor	rected to left
3. Unassisted opening without pain		mm	-,		
4. Maximum unassisted opening (by patient)	Pain?	no no	ioint 🗆 Rt 🗆 Lt	□ muscle	Rt 🗆 Lt
5. Maximum assisted opening (with stretch)	Pain?	mm	joint 🗆 Rt 🗆 Lt		Rt 🗆 Lt
6. Right lateral excursion	Pain?	mm no	joint 🗆 Rt 🗆 Lt	□ muscle	□ Rt □ Lt
7. Left lateral excursion	Pain?	mm	joint 🗆 Rt 🗆 Lt	□ muscle	□ Rt □ Lt
8. Protrusion	Pain?	mm	joint 🗆 Rt 🗆 Lt	□ muscle	Rt 🗆 Lt
TMJ Examination					
1. TMJ movement on opening	Right Left	i 🗆 normal	□ limited □ □ limited □	closed lock closed lock	Iocks open
2. TMJ lateral pole tenderness		none	on right	on left	□ both
3. TMJ sounds on right opening closing closing closing closing closing closing closing closed	ick or pop: ick or pop: crepitus: e opening?	□ none □ none □ none □ no	<ul> <li>□ reproduc</li> <li>□ reproduc</li> <li>□ fine</li> <li>□ yes</li> </ul>	ible	eproducible eproducible se
4. TMJ sounds on left ope clc	ning click: sing click: crepitus: opening?	□ none □ none □ none □ no	□ reproduc □ reproduc □ fine □ ves	ible ☐ non-r ible ☐ non-r □ coars	eproducible eproducible se
Tenderness of Muscles					
			Jupi         1. Anterior T         2. Middle Te         3. Posterior         4. Origin of t         5. Body of tt         6. Insertion d         7. Posterior         8. Submand	Yee emporalis mporalis Temporalis he Masseter e Masseter Mandibular ibular Area	Left Side           No         Dupl           Image: Image of the state of th
Occlusal Examination					
Nuissing teeth and not permanently replaced	Righ Lef	nt: 0102030 t: 3231302	4 05 06 07 08 9 28 27 26 25	091011121 242322212	3141516 0191817
2. Dentures	Maxillary Mandibular Edentulous	:: □ none : □ none : □ no dentu	complete     complete     ires	□ partial □ partial	
3. Angle classification	Right Lef				
4. Cross bite	Right Lef		□ both □ ar □ both □ ar	terior only p terior only p	osterior only osterior only
5. Open bite	Left	none	□ both □ ar	iterior only D p	osterior only osterior only
<ol><li>Additional items:</li></ol>					

## Diagnosis (check all that apply)

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
R L Joint Disorders	Muscle Disorders	Neuropathic
TMJ Ankylosis and Adhesions 524.61	Muscle Spasm 728.85	Trigeminal Neuralgia 350.1
TMJ Arthralgia and Inflammation 524.62	Myofascial Pain: Masticatory 729.1	Atypical Face Pain 350.2
TMJ Disc Disorder (reducing) 524.63	Myofascial Pain: Cervical 729.1	Glossodynia/ Burning Mouth 529.6
TMJ Disc Disorder (non-reducing) 524.63	Fibromyalgia/Chronic fatigue 729.1	Other
TMJ Dislocated Jaw, closed lock 830.00	Headache	Orofacial Dyskinesia 333.82
TMJ Dislocated Jaw, open lock 830.10	Migraine with Aura 346.0	Bruxism/Teeth Grinding 306.8
TMJ Osteoarthritis, local & 1° 715.18	Migraine without Aura 346.1	Psychological Factors 316.0
TMJ Rheumatoid Arthritis 715.00	Cluster Headache 346.2	Anomalies of Jaw Size 524.00
TMJ Traumatic Arthropathy 716.18	Tension-Type Headache 307.81	List:
TMJ Strain/Sprain from Overuse 848.1	Rebound/Transformed 784.0	
TMJ Implant Failure 524.61		
TMJ Tumor Benign 213.1		
TMJ Tumor Other:		

## Recommendations

Imaging	Bone Scan	CT Scan	Panorex	
	MR Scan of Brain	MR Scan of TMJ	Tomograms	
	Other:			
Self Care	Exercise	Oral Habits	Pain Diary	
	Palliative	Other:		
Splint/Orthotic	Mandibular Flat	Maxillary Flat	Repositioning	
	Other:	68 Deal Control of Control	24 - CHIMINE MEZZIONI AN ANTINALINE	
Medication	Anti-Inflammatory	Muscle Relaxant	Opioid	
	Neuropathic	Sedative	Tricyclic	
	Other:		1.111	
Physical Therapy	Post Surgical	Evaluate and Treat		
	Modality:	per wk fo	or wks	
	Exercise: Postural	6 by 6 🛛 Stretching 🗆 Rela:	kation 🗆 Conditioning	
Behavioral Health	Chemical Dependency	Depression/Anxiety	Oral Habit Reversal	
	Occupational	□ Relaxation/Biofeedback	Sleep Management	
	Stress Management	Team Synthesis		
	Other:			
Injections	Botox	Nerve Block	TMJ Injections:	
	Trigger Point Injection:			
TMJ Surgery	Arthrocentesis/Lysis/Lav	age 🗆 Athroplasty 🛛	] Arthroscopy	
	Arthroscopy Lysis & Lava	age 🛛 Disc Repair 🛛	] Disc Repositioning	
	Discectomy	Implant Surgery E	] Laser Repair	
	Total Joint Implant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Adv. TMJ Arthroscopy w.	Sup. Lat. Pterygoid Myotomy	Exc. of Fibullated Cartilage	
		Laser Synovectomy	Arthroscopic Disc Suturing	
	DATE OF FUTURE SURGE	ERY:		
Implant Surgery	Implant Removed	□ Implant Placed □ R	ight 🗆 Left	
If Applicable	Type of Implant:			
3.1.5	Endotec	□ Proplast®		
	□ Kent/Vitek®	□ Silicone/Si	lastic®	
	Christensen/TMJ: Stock	Techmedica/TMJ Concepts		
	Christensen/TMJ: Custor	m 🗆 Other:		
	□ Lorenz/Biomet			
Other				

Dr: Date:

MEDICAL HISTORY FORM					
1. Patient Name: (Last, First, MI)	2. Social Security No.	3. Birth Date (MM/DD/YY)	4. Sex □ M □ F		
We appreciate the time you spend completing this questionnaire. The information you provide is confidential and will allow us to provide you the best care possible. Thank you. Sincerely, Clinic Staff					

5. Please list all major HOSPITALIZATIONS OR SURGERIES for any surgical operation or illness in the past.

Date	Reason or Procedure	Name and Address of Hospital

# 6. Please list any MAJOR ILLNESSES OR SPECIAL MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS that you have now or have had in the past.

	Date	Reason	Name and Address of Doctor Who Treated You
_			
22/04			
on 11.			
/ised o			
1 Rev			
ion 1.			
Vers			

	Dossage Per Day			
Medication Name	(mg, cc, etc.)	Times Per Day	Reason	Length of Time Taken
	2			
	2			
	5			
			•	

## 7. What MEDICATIONS are you CURRENTLY taking for any health problems?

## 8. What MEDICATIONS have you taken for the problem IN THE PAST but not now?

		Dossage Per Da			
	Medication Name	(mg,cc,etc)	Times Per Day	Reason	When and why Did You Stop?
4					
1/22/0					
on 1]					
vised					
.1 Re					
sion l					
Ver					

EALTH PROBLEMS: Please check all health problems that you currently have or had in the past.							
9. Cardiovascular Yes	No	17. Infectious disease	Yes	No			
Rheumatic fever/heart disease		Sexually transmitted disease(syphilis, gonorrhea,	0.455				
Heart murmur		or genital herpes)					
Mitral valve prolapse		HIV positive	. 🗆				
Artificial heart valve		Hepatitis Type:					
Ligh blood processor		Tuberculosis (TB)		H			
High blood pressure		Other current infectious disease		Ц			
		18. Skin/Integumentary	Yes	No			
Heart attack		Allergy to latex (rubber)	. 🗆				
Congenital heart defect or lesion	n l	Hives or allergic skin rash	. 🗆				
Heart surgery/angioplasty		Psoriasis (chronic skin rash)	. 🗆				
Pacemaker/defibrillator.		Dark moles (recent change in appearance)	. 🗆				
Stroke.		Birth marks	🗆				
Vascular disease or surgery		19. Endocrine	Yes	No			
Aneurysm		Diabetes	. 🗆				
Other heart problems		Thyroid disease					
10 Respiratory Yes	No	Pancreatic disease	. 🗆				
Asthma		20 Genito-Urinany	Voc	No			
Bronchitis/Pneumonia		Bladder problem/ infections					
Emphysema.		Kidney disease	П	п –			
44 Allemia (Income Lenia -	N	Women	Yes	No			
11. Allergic/immunologic Yes	NO	Are you taking contraceptives.					
Anophylactic check reaction		Are you pregnant	. 🗆				
Peaction to foods:		Are you nursing presently					
Type of food:		Had a miscarriage or stillbirth	🗆				
Reaction to local anesthetic (novacaine)		Had a hysterectomy or ovariectomy	🗆				
Reaction to penicillin, other antibiotics.		Are you on hormone replacement therapy	🗆				
Reaction to sulfa drugs		Dysmenorrhea (painful menstrual periods)					
Reaction to sedatives, or sleeping pills		Premenstrual syndrome (PMS)	. 🏼				
Reaction to barbiturates		Menopause	. Ц	H			
Reaction to aspirin or other pain medication		Mon	Vac				
Reaction to iodine		Testicular tumors or disorders					
Reaction to other medications		Prostatitis		H			
List:		Prostate cancer		Ë -			
12. Gastrointestinal Yes	No	Breast cancer					
Stomach/intestinal ulcers.							
Gastritis		21. Hematologic/Lymphatics	res	NO			
Colitis		Blood transfusion	. Ц	H			
Liver disease/jaundice		Hemophilia/other bleeding disorders	. Ц	H			
Gall Bladder Stones		Leukemia		H			
13 Oropharyngeal Disorders Yes	No	Sickle Cell Anemia Disease		H I			
Stomach reflux-heartburn		Tumor or cancer					
Bad breath (malodor)		Chemotherapy.	🗆				
Enlarged tonsils		Radiation therapy					
44 Fue	Ne	22 Museuleskalatel/Dheumatia	Vee				
I4. <u>Eyes</u> Yes		zz. musculoskeletal/kneumatic					
Full or Partial Blindness		Chronic fatigue syndrome	. Ц	H			
Wear glasses/contacts		Osteoarthritis		H			
	-	Osteopoerosis	Π	H			
15. Ear and Nose, and Throat Yes	No	Rheumatoid arthritis	П	П			
Sinusitis or sinus headache		Artificial joint (knee/hip/other)					
Nasal rhinitis		Siogren's syndrome.					
Inner ear infections		Muscle pain/rheumatism					
16. Neurologic Yes	No	22 Montal Health	Vac	No			
Multiple sclerosis (MS)		20. <u>mental realth</u>					
Epilepsy, seizures or convulsions		Anviety disorder	. []	H			
Migraine		Mental health treatment		П			
Muscular dystrophy		Physical or sexual abuse.					
Cerebral Palsy		Eating disorder.					
Parkinon's Disease				-			
COMMENTS:							

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04
	ase check	l all symp	ptoms that you have had recently (in the past	mor	hth
24. Constitutional Symptoms	Yes	No	31. Skin/Integumentary	Yes	Ν
Frequent fever	🛛		Night sweats		[
Weight loss or gain recently	🗆		Itching/burning skin		Ľ
Unsteady when walking/standing	🗆		Skin color change		Γ
General weakness	🛛		Sweating change		Ľ
Fatigue	🛛		Temperature change of skin		Ľ
Chills	🗆				
Hot and cold spells	🗖		32. Genito-Urinary	Yes	N
Change in appetite			Urinary retention or difficulty urinating		E
21. 12. 12. 12.			Urinate frequently		
25. Cardiovascular	Yes	No	Pain during urination		[
Racing heart (palpitations)	🗖		Blood in urine,		I
Chest pain		Ц	33. Hematologic/Lymphatics	Yes	N
Cold hands		H	Bleed for a long time		[
Swollen feet/ankles			Bruise easily.		[
06 Beenimten	Vec	No	Swollen glands		[
26. <u>Respiratory</u>	res		24 Museula skalats //Dhaumatia		
Chronic cougn			34. Musculoskeletal/Rheumatic	Yes	<u>_</u>
Cough up blood			Stiff joints.	H	ļ
Shortness of breath			Asking a sinful isinfo		
breatining uninculties			Arm poin/tingling	H	
27. Gastrointestinal	Yes	No	Hand/wrist nain/carnel tunnel		
Stomach pain			low back nain		
Nausea			Neck pain	П	
Vomiting			Shoulder and upper back pain		
Persistent diarrhea	🗆		Leg pain/tingling	П	
Persistent constipation	🗆		Painful feet/ankles		
Heartburn /indigestion			Knee pain		
Bloody or black stools					
Pain with bowel movement	🗆		35. Neurologic	Yes	_
Bloating (gassy feeling)	🗆		Severe headaches	Ц	
Intolerance to a variety of foods	🗆		Wake up from headache		
			Fainting, dizzy spells of black-outs	H	
28. <u>Eves</u>	Yes	No	Speech dimculty/slurring.	H	
Eye pain	🛛		Facial twitching	H	
Eye strain/ sensitivity to light			Tingling or numbross in face	H	
Double vision		Ľ	Tingling or numbress in arms/fingers	H	
Blind spots			Hands shake or tremble	П	
Biurred vision			Memory loss		
Seeing halo around lights	L	Ц	Balance problem		
29 Ears Nees and Threat	Voc	No	Weakness in parts of body		
Earachas				_	
Erequent pasal condection		H	36. Chemical Use	Yes	_
Sneezing frequently			Coffee daily		
Change in sense of smell			beer or wine daily	. Ц	
Vertigo (head spinning)		П	tea daily	Ľ	
Ringing or noises in the ears		П	cocktails or other alcoholic beverages daily	Ц	
Hearing difficulty/ loss			soft drinks(pop) daily	Ц	
Plugged Fars		П	marijuana or otner recreational drugs	H	
Frequent sore throat.			cigarettes/pipe/cigar daily	H	
Need to clear throat			cnewing topacco.	H	
Post-nasal drainage	🗆		Drug/alcohol dependency (current/recovering)	H	
Tight throat			Take alcohol or recreational drugs to help with pain		
Changes in voice or voice difficulties			Immediate family members chemically dependent	H	
Difficulty swallowing	🗆		Internetiate failing members chemically dependent		
Lump in the throat			37. Psychiatric	Yes	1
			Stressed out /overwhelmed		
30. Oropharyngeal Disorders	Yes	No	Low energy level		
			Crying spells.		
Stomach reflux-heartburn			Sleep problems/insomnia		
Stomach reflux-heartburn Bad breath (malodor)			Poor concentration		
Stomach reflux-heartburn Bad breath (malodor) Bad taste in mouth				_	
Stomach reflux-heartburn Bad breath (malodor) Bad taste in mouth Coating on tongue			Trouble relaxing.		
Stomach reflux-heartburn Bad breath (malodor) Bad taste in mouth Coating on tongue Enlarged tonsils			Trouble relaxing. Felt like taking your own life in past 6 months		1

#### DENTAL AND OROFACIAL HISTORY 42. <u>Dental Occlusion</u> Difficulty chewing due to bite..... 38. Have you had any of the following dental Yes treatments? No Orthodontic braces..... Malocclusion (bad bite).... Orthognathic or bite surgery..... Wisdom teeth extracted. Image: Constraint of the stargery in the 43. Oral Habits Have you or others noticed yourself doing any of the following Upper partial denture. Image: Constraint of the second s Grinding your teeth when awake. □ Waking up with sore jaws. □ Clenching your teeth when awake. □ Clenching your teeth when awake. □ Holding your jaw forward. □ Chewing gum. □ Playing a musical instrument with the mouth. □ Sleeping on stomach. □ Touching or holding your teeth together. □ 39. Dental Problems Yes Missing teeth need replacement. □ Need new crown(s) or filling(s). □ Problem with dentures. □ Tooth fracture(s). □ Holding or pressing the tongue against your teeth... Broken filling(s)..... Tooth decay Persistent tooth pain Image: Control of the sensitive to hot/cold Painful tooth when biting on it..... П Biting your lips..... Biting tongue. No 44. Periodontal (Gums) Periodontal disease 44. Periodontal (Gums) Yes Periodontal disease □ Gingivitis or bleeding gums □ Loose teeth. □ Deep pockets in gums. □ Corre over □ Cheek pain. Sore gums. □ Difficulty in cleaning teeth. □ Calculus (tartar build-up) □ Impacted or unerupted teeth. □ Temple headache..... 45. Oral Obstructive Sleep/Breathing Problems Yes No 43. Old Obsultive Stepping Image: Constraint of the stepping Snore loudly Image: Constraint of the stepping Stop breathing while sleeping Image: Constraint of the stepping Choke or struggle for breath while sleeping Image: Constraint of the stepping Wake up at night frequently Image: Constraint of the stepping Move around a lot while sleeping Image: Constraint of the stepping Jaw stiffness upon wakening...... 41. Mouth Lesions or Disease Yes Burning or painful tongue □ Dry mouth □ Mouth sores □ Tongue cores □ Doze off or fall asleep during day..... Wake up feeling tired...... Lips cracking or sore. 46. Mouth or Facial Injury Have you had trauma or injury to your jaw, head, or neck? Lumps or bumps in mouth..... Describe: Have you or will you consult an attorney about this condition?..... COMMENTS:

Revised on 11/22/04

ersion

Yes No

Yes No

Yes No

GENERAL HEALTH STATUS FORM							
1. Patient Name: (Last, First, MI)	2. Social Security No.	3. Birth Date (MM/DD/YY)	4. Sex □ M □ F				

This questionnaire is a description of you from YOUR point of view and, thus, there are no right or wrong answers. Please respond with your first thought to each question as accurately as possible. If a question does not seem to apply to you, please answer to the best of your ability. There are three types of questions;

1) Multiple choice questions 2) Yes/No questions 3) Placement on line questions. Although the multiple choice questions and yes/no questions are probably familiar to you, the placement on line questions may not be. Here is an example of this type of question. You need to fill in the dot for both where you feel you are NOW (in the past month) as well as where you feel you SHOULD BE.

Sample: Ho	w often ha	ve you red	eived emo	tional sup	port from	your family and f	riends?
Now OO	00000	0000	00000	0000	000	00000	
Should O O	00000	00000	00000	0000	0000	00000	
Never	Sor	netimes	Half of t	he	Usually	Always	
-			the tim	e			
5 What is v	our MAIN	oroblem o	r complain	2 (Choose	only one	4	
O None	0	Jaw Pain	oompium	O Facia	l Pain	O Earaches	
O Headache	e õ	Pain in Jav	v Joint	O Lock	ng of Jaw	O Inability to	Open Jaw
O Tooth Pai	n O	Noises in .	Jaw Joint	O Fatig	ue in Jaws	S O Neck Pain	
O Bite is off	0	Other					
6. Choose o	ne answei	for each	nuestion to	describe	this MAIN	problem:	
What side is	it on?	O Right o	nlv OL	eft only	O Both si	ides	
What is the p	pattern?	O Persist	ent OR	ecurrent	O One-tir	me	
Quality of the	e pain?	O Throbb	ing OD	ull	O Sharp	O Burning	O N/A
How many d	ays (0-30)	in the past	month has	it occurred?	·		
7 In the need	t C month		TEN haa th		hlom o o o		
1 in the pas	0 0 0 0 0				0 0 0 0		
Never	Once a	Onc	ea O	ncea	Once an	Constantly	
	Month	we	ek	day	hour		
8. When the	MAIN pro	blem occu	rs, how LC	NG does i	t last?	0000	
Does not	1 minute	1 h			1 week	Continuous	
occur	Timitute	1 10	Jui I	uay	IWEEK	Continuous	
9. How wou	ld you rate	the WOR	ST pain on	a 0 to 10 s	cale at th	e PRESENT time,	that is right
now, where	0 is "no p	ain" and 1	0 is "pain a	is bad as c	ould be"?	?	
0	0 0	3	Ð (5	6 0	8	9 0	
No pain						Pain as bad	
						as it could be	

10. In the past six mo	nths, how inten	se was you	ur worst "?	pain, ra	ated on a 0 to	o 10 scale where 0
© ① ② No pain	3 ⊕	\$ 6	0	8	③ ⑩ Pain as bac	d
44 1		VEDAOE			as it could b	
11. In the past six mo	nths, ON THE A pain" and 10 is	VERAGE, "pain as ba	how inte	nse wa Ild be"	s your pain i ? [That is, vo	rated on a 0 to 10 our usual pain af
times you were exper	riencing pain].	pani ao a			. [	al doual pair at
0 0 0	3 4	6	Ø	8	90	
No pain					Pain as bac	d
					as it could b	ie -
12. How UNPLEASAN	IT OR DISTURB	ING is you	r usual le	evel of	this MAIN pr	oblem?
	3 4	56	Ø	8	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	
Imaginable					Imaginable	)
13. What is your SEC	OND WORST pr	oblem or o	omplain	t? (Cho	ose only on	e)
O Headache	) Jaw Pain ) Pain in Jaw Joir	nt C	) Facial P	oflaw		ility to Open Jaw
O Tooth Pain C	Noises in Jaw J	loint C	Fatique	in Jaws	s O Neck	(Pain
O Bite is off C	Other					
14. If present, choose	one answer for	r each que		lescrib	e your 2nd w	vorst problem:
What is the pattorn?		Leit only Recurrent		imo		
Quality of the patient?		Dull	O Sharr			
How long does it last?		Minutes			⊖ Dave	⊖ N/A ⊖ Constant
How many days (0-30)	in the past mont	h has it occ	urred?	5	O Days	Oblistant
How intense is it usual	ly on a 0 to 10 sc	ale (10 is th	ne worst)'	?		
			ie weret)	-		
15. What is your THIR	RD WORST prob	lem or con	nplaint?	(Choos	se only one)	
O Headache	) Jaw Pain ) Pain in Jaw Joir	nt C	) Facial P	oflaw	O Eara	ility to Open Jaw
O Tooth Pain	) Noises in Jaw J	loint C	) Fatique	in Jaws	s O Neck	Pain
O Bite is off	Other		- utigue	in oune		
16. If present, choose	e one answer for	r each que	stion to c	lescrib	e your 3rd w	orst problem:
What side is it on?	ORight only O	Left only	OBoth s	sides		
What is the pattern?		Recurrent	OOne-ti	me	. <b>.</b> .	0.11/1
Quality of the pain?		Dull	O Sharp	0		O N/A
How long does it last?	Olt's gone O	Minutes	O Hours	5	O Days	O Constant
How many days (0-30)	in the past mont	h has it occ	surred?			
How intense is it usual	ly on a 0 to 10 sc	ale (10 is th	ne worst)'	?		

17. If you have HEADACHES, please answer the following questions about them:						
Where does it occur? C	Temple O F	orehead	O Top of	f head	$\bigcirc$ Side of head	O Base of head
What side is it on?	<ul> <li>Right only</li> </ul>	O Let	ft only	O Both	n sides	
What is the pattern?	O Persistent	O Re	current	⊖ One	-time	
Quality of the headache?	OThrobbing	O Dull	0	Sharp	O Burning	O N/A How
long does it last?	Olt's gone	O Minu	ites O	Hours	○ Days	<ul> <li>Constant</li> </ul>
How many days (0-30) in t	he past month	has it occ	curred?			
How intense is it usually o	n a 0 to 10 sca	le (10 is th	ne worst)?			
When it occurs, do you ha	ve any: O Nau	usea O Vo	omiting O	Sensitiv	/ity to light ⊖ Ser	nsitivity to noise
Right before it occurs, do	you have any:	O Speec	h changes	OV	ision changes	O Weakness
40 S		O Other s	sensations	S:		

Please answer the following questions about all of the above problems.

18. How dif © Least imagin	f <b>icult i</b> ① nable	s it to l ②	3 3	E OR ④	S S	G ©	he prol Ø	blem(s ®	s) ove ⑨ Worst	r time? (1) t imaginable	
19. In the p rated on a activities"?	ast six 0 to 10	month scale	ns, how where	/ much 0 is "n	ı has ti o inter	he prol ferenc	blem ir e" and	nterfer 10 is	ed wit "unat	th your daily activities ble to carry on any	5
© No interfere	① nce	0	3	4	\$	6	Ø	8	() U c any	nable to arry on v activities	
20. In the p RECREATI "extreme c	ast six ONAL, hange'	month SOCIA '?	ns, how	FAMI	has ti LY AC	he prol TIVITIE	blem(s ES whe	) chan ere 0 is	ged y "no o	our ability to take par change" and 10 is	rt in
© No change	0	0	3	4	\$	6	Ø	8	9	Extreme     change	
21. In the p (including	ast six housev	month vork) v	ns, how /here 0	is "no	has thas the has	he prol ge" an	blem(s d 10 is	) chan "extre	ged y eme c	our ABILITY TO WOR	١K
© No change	0	2	3	4)	(5)	6	Ø	8	9) E	w Extreme change	
22. About how many days in the LAST SIX MONTHS (180 days) have you been kept from your usual activities (work, school or housework) because of the problem(s)?											
23. What a	ctivitie	s does	the pro	blem(	s) prev	vent or	r limit y	/ou fro	om do	ing? Yes No	

Yes	No	Yes	No
swallowing		chewing	
eating hard food		drinking	
eating soft foods		exercising	
maintaining normal weight		cleaning teeth or face	
yawning		having your usual facial appearance 🗆	
talking		sexual activity	
smiling/laughing			

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

24. What other activities do all nealth problems prevent or limit you from
--

Yes	No	
Working		Riding in the car or bus
Driving		Fixing meals
Household chores		Yard work
Walking long distances		Active sports
Hard exercise		Mild exercise
Active hobbies		Reading
Sitting for hours		Standing for hours
Socializing with friends or family		Discussing personal problems
Sleeping		

Distantia the same as here		
Riding in the car of bus		Ш
Fixing meals		
Yard work	. 🗆	
Active sports		
Mild exercise		
Reading		
Standing for hours		
Discussing personal problems		

25. When was the problem first noticed?

### 26. The problem began with (check all that apply):

#### O Jaw surgery

- Blow to jaw/head/neck O Chewing
- O Dental work

○ Venogram/arteriogram

(check all that apply)

O Ear/Nose/Throat

O Orthopedic Surgeon

- Orthodontics (braces) O Work accident
- O Stressful situation
- O Athletic injury
- O Tooth extraction

(mm/dd/yy)

- O Motor vehicle accident O Nothing, pain just came on

Yes No

○ Other

# 27. Please describe the onset of your problem:

#### 28. Which TESTS have you had for the problem? (check all that apply)

- O None O Other xrays
- O TMJ x-ray
- O MR scan (magnetic resonance)
- Nerve block (injection)
- O Arthrogram in the joint O Diet analysis
  - O Bone scan
- O Jaw tracking ○ Other\_

O None

O Orthodontist

O Neurologist

O Oral Surgeon

O Myelogram

O Anesthesiologist

O Ophthalmologist

- O 'insurance' Physician/Dentist
- O Internist
- O TMJ specialist
- O Psychologist
- O Neurosurgeon
- O Physical Therapist
- O Chiropractor

# 30. Which TREATMENTS have you had for the problem? (check all that apply)

- O No treatment
- O Electrical stimulation (TENS)
- O Ultrasound or iontophoresis O Root canal/dental treatment
- O Exercise
- Neurosurgery
- O Orthodontic/braces
- Chiropractic treatment

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

- - O Splints or bite planes
  - O Counseling
    - O Medications
    - Heat/cold applications
    - O Stress management
    - O TMJ implant surgery
    - O Hypnosis
    - Other\_

- O Rheumatologist
- O Psychiatrist O Occupational Therapist
- O Physical Medicine Specialist ○ General Practitioner (M.D.) ○ Other
- O Traction

O Dentist

- O Injections/nerve blocks O Acupuncture
- O Massage/acupressure O Biofeedback
- O TMJ surgery without implants
- O Pain program
- O Botox injections

# 29. Which of these HEALTH/HELPING PROFESSIONALS have you seen for the problem? O Acupuncturist

- - O CT scan (CAT)
    - O Thermogram
    - O Tooth pulp test
- - O Panoramic xrays
  - O Urine studies
  - O Blood studies
- O EMG (electromyography)

31. How many EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS (for any reason) have you had in the past year? 00 01 O 2 or 3 O 4 or 5 O 6 or more 32. What is the total number of DIFFERENT KINDS OF PILLS or MEDICINES (any type, except vitamins) that you take daily? 00 O1 to 2 O 3 to 4 ○ 5 to 6 O 7 or more 33. How many days did you spend IN THE HOSPITAL during the past year? 00 O 1 to 3 O 4 to 6 O 7 to 14 O 15 or more 34. How often have you been seen by HEALTH PROFESSIONALS (for any reason) in the last year? Daily Once a Once a Once every Not at Week 3 months month all 35. How many SURGERIES have you had for a jaw joint (TMJ) problem? Right: Left: 36. If you have had TMJ surgery, please check the type of surgeries you have had. O No surgery right left right left TMJ disk removal..... O Arthroscopic surgery...... O 0 0 0 Orthognathic surgery...... TMJ disk implant removal......O 0 TMJ disk implant placed...... O O Arthroplasty.....O 0 Total synthetic joint placement...... O 0 Arthrocentesis/lysis and lavage...... O 0 0 TMJ disk repair..... O Arthrotomy......O 0

#### 37. If you have had a TMJ implant, please check the type of implant(s) that you have had. O No Implants

0

0

	right	left
Silicone/Silastic® disk (permanent)	Ō	0
Silicone/Silastic® disk (temporary)	0	0
Christensen (TMJ, Inc) joint	0	0
Hoffman-Pappas/Endotec joint	0	0
Other:	0	0

	right	left
Lorenz/Biomet	Õ	0
Proplast/teflon® disk	0	0
Techmedica/TMJ Concepts joint	0	0
Kent/Vitek joint	0	0

#### 38. Please check any side effects you have had from TMJ treatment or TMJ surgery; O No Side Effects

right	left	right	left
Facial or jaw swelling O	0	Asymmetry of the face	0
Facial muscle weakness	0	Objectionable scarringO	0
Allergic reaction to drugs	0	Bruising or discoloration	0
Numbness to skinO	0	Change in bite O	0
Worsening of pain	0	Difficulty opening jaw	0
Ear ringing	0	Difficulty chewing	0
Ear plugged O	0	InfectionO	0
Ear painO	0	TMJ noise or crepitus	0
Dental problemsO	0	Eye brow weaknessO	0

#### 39. How many years has this problem or other health problems affected your life?

					-
O less than 1	O 1 to 2	O 3 to 4	O 5 to 10	O 11 to 19	O 20 or more

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

Other\_\_\_

40. How many members of your household (not including you) have a pain problem, a physical handicap, or a chronic disease? 0 01 02 03 04 05 or more

#### 41. a. Have any of your LIVING blood related family members had the following illnesses or conditions?

			Any	Any	Any	Any	Any
Fat	ther M	other	Brother	Sister	Grandfather	Grandmother	Child
TMJ disorders	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arthritis	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heart disease	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cancer (	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Headaches	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hip joint implant	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knee joint implant (	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Joint implant failure (	C	0	0	0	0	0	0
None of the above (	C	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### b. Have any of your DECEASED blood related family members had the following illnesses or conditions?

			Any	Any	Any	Any	Any
F	ather	Mother	Brother	Sister	Grandfather	Grandmother	Child
TMJ disorders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arthritis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heart disease	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cancer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Headaches	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hip joint implant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knee joint implant	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Joint implant failure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
None of the above	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

#### Please fill in the bubble on the: 1) first line where you feel you are NOW (in the past month) 2) second line for where you feel you SHOULD be.

#### 42. What has been your usual activity level in the past month?

Now 0000	000000	00000000	0000000	0000
Should OOOO	000000	00000000	0000000	0000
Lay in	Lay down	Sit and	Sit and	Up and busy
bed all	half the	rest half	rest a few	all day
day	day	the day	times a day	without any rest
43. What has be	en your usual	exercise level in the	e past month?	
Now 0000	000000	00000000	0000000	0000
Should 0000	000000	00000000	0000000	0000
No	Pleasure	Short walks	Long walks	Regular
exercise	drives, go	fishing, etc.	golf, bowling,	running
	outside		etc.	tennis, etc.

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

44. What has your usual sleep been like in the past month? Now Difficulty No Sleep Wake on Sleep falling asleep, sleep poorly occasion soundly 3-5 hrs. wake early during night all night 45. What have your usual eating habits been like in the past month? Do not No appetite, Snack most Eat one Eat three eat lightly of the day, regular meal eat good regular occasional and snack meals regular meal for the rest 46. How often are you tense in a typical day? Half the Always Usually Twice a day Never time 47. How often do you hurry in a typical day? I hurry 1 I hurry I occasionally I never half the all day usually hurry when hurry and night hurry time necessary 48. How much do you take responsibility for your health? Not at all Somewhat Moderately A lot Completely 49. How often is the problem used as a reason to others that keeps you from doing something? Usually Half the Sometimes Never Always 50. How regular has your lifestyle (eat, sleep, work, etc.) been in the past month? One-two Regular Irregular, Five-six Eat, sleep, always miss regular for half regular days and work eating, sleeping, days per the week per week regularly and work week every day 51. How often do you feel you have had financial problems? Now Usually Half the Sometimes Always Never time 52. How often do you enjoy your work (or if not working your usual daily activities)? Half the Never Sometimes Usually Always time 53. How often have you received emotional support from your family and friends? Now Never Sometimes Half the Usually Always time

54. How often are you dependent on family or friends to fulfill your daily needs? Half the Always Usually Sometimes Never Time 55. When you are in pain, how have others reacted in the past month? They attend They do They ask They Nobody to all of some things me how complain knows when my needs for me l am about me I am in pain 56. How are your relationships with your co-workers, or if not working, your companions at your usual daily activities? Poor Moderate Worst Good Best Possible Possible 57. How is your relationship with the most important person in your life (spouse, significant other, best friend)? Now Worst Poor Moderate Good Best Possible Possible 58. How well do you understand the problem? Not at all Poor Moderate Good Completely 59. How motivated are you to reduce the problem? No Moderate High Low Complete motivation motivation 60. How much do you expect the problem to be reduced in the future? Lessened Half of Completely No Most of change slightly it gone it gone gone 61. How long do you feel it will take to reduce the problem when treated? Weeks to Never be Months to Days to Immediate reduced years months weeks reduction 62. How often do you feel depressed? Always Usually Half the Sometimes Never time 63. How often do you feel anxious or worried? Always Usually Half the Sometimes Never

time

64. How often do y	ou feel angry	?		
Now 00000	000000	000000	0000000	00000
Should 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Always	Usually	Half the	Sometimes	s Never
Ű.		Time		
65. How often do y	ou feel confu	ised about life	?	
Now 00000	000000	000000	0000000	00000
Should 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Always	Usually	Half the	Sometimes	s Never
		time		
66. How often do y	ou feel bad a	bout yourself?	•	
Now 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Should 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Always	Usually	Half the	Sometimes	s Never
		time		
67. How is your en	ergy level?			
Now 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Should 00000	00000	000000	0000000	00000
Always	Usually	Low Half	Sometimes	s Never
low	low	the time	low	low
68. In general, would	you say your he	ealth is:		
O Excellent	O Very go	od O Good	O Fair	O Poor
O Excellent	⊖ Very go	od O Good	⊖ Fair	O Poor

The following questions are about activities you might do during a typical day. Does your health *now* limit you in these activities? If so, how much?

	Yes, limited a lot	Yes, limited a little	No, not limited at all
<b>69.</b> Moderate activities, such as moving a table, pushing a vacuum cleaner, bowling, or playing golf			
70. Climbing several flights of stairs			

During the *past 4 weeks*, have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular daily activities as a result of your physical health?

	Yes	No
71 Accomplished less than you would like		
72. Were limited in the kind of work or other activities		

During the *past 4 weeks*, have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular daily activities as a result of any emotional problems (such as feeling depressed or anxious)?

	Yes	No
73. Accomplished less than you would like		
74. Didn't do work or other activities as carefully as usual		

**75.** During the *past 4 weeks*, how much did pain interfere with your normal work (including both work outside the home and housework)?

ONot at all O A little bit O Moderately O Quite a bit O Extremely

These questions are about how you feel and how things have been with you during the past 4 weeks. For each question, please give the one answer that comes closest to the way you have been feeling.

How much of the time during the past 4 weeks

	All of the time	Most of the time	A good bit of the time	Some of the time	A little of the time	None of the time
76. Have you felt calm and peaceful?						
77. Did you have a lot of energy?						
78. Have you felt downhearted and blue?						

**79.** During the past 4 weeks, how much of the time has your physical health or emotional problems interfered with your social activities (like visiting friends, relatives, etc.)?

O All of the time O Most of the time O Some of the time O A little of the time O None of the time

.....ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE......

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

80. In the last month, how much have you b	een dist Not at all	ressed by A little bit	Moderately	Quite a bit	Extremely
Headaches	@	0	Ø	3	۲
Loss of sexual interest or pleasure	©	0	0	3	4
Faintness or dizziness	©	0	0	3	(4)
Pains in the heart or chest	0	0	0	3	۲
Feeling low in energy or slowed down	®	0	0	3	۲
Thoughts of death or dying	@	0	0	3	۲
Poor appetite	©	0	0	3	۲
Crying easily	@	0	0	3	۲
Blaming yourself for things	©	0	0	3	4
Pains in the lower back	©	0	0	3	•
Feeling lonely	@	0	0	3	4
Feeling blue	©	0	0	3	4
Worrying too much about things	©	0	0	3	•
Feeling no interest in things	©	0	0	3	4
Nausea or upset stomach	©	0	0	3	•
Soreness of your muscles	©	0	0	3	4
Trouble falling asleep	©	0	0	3	4
Trouble getting your breath	©	0	0	3	•
Hot or cold spells	©	0	0	3	4
Numbness or tingling in parts of your body	©	0	0	3	•
A lump in your throat	©	0	0	3	4
Feeling hopeless about the future	©	0	0	3	•
Feeling weak in parts of your body	©	0	0	3	4
Heavy feelings in your arms or legs	@	0	0	3	4
Thoughts of ending your life	©	0	0	3	•
Overeating	0	0	0	3	4
Awakening in the early morning	©	0	0	3	4
Sleep that is restless or disturbed	©	0	0	3	4
Feeling everything is an effort	0	0	0	3	4
Feelings of worthlessness	©	0	0	3	•
Feeling of being caught or trapped	0	0	0	3	۲
Feelings of guilt	@	0	0	3	•

# Thank you for your time and effort.

Version 1.1 Revised on 11/22/04

# Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC/TMD)

	HISTORY QUE	STIONNAIRE	
		ID#	
		Date: / / /	
lease	e read each question and respond accordingly. For ea	ch of the questions below circle on	ly one respo
	Would you say your health in general is excellent,		
	very good, good, fair or poor?		
		Excellent	1
		Very good	2
		Good	3
		Fair	4
		Poor	5
	Would you say your oral health in general is		
	excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?		
		Excellent	1
		Very good	2
		Good	3
		Fair	4
		Poor	5
	Have you had pain in the face, jaw, temple, in	No	0
	front of the ear or in the ear in the past month?	Yes	1
	[If no pain in the past month, SKIP to question	14]	
	If Yes,		
.a.	How many years ago did your facial pain begin for	the first time?	
	[If one year ago or more SKIP to question 5]	[If less than one year ago, co	de 00]
b.	How many months ago did your facial pain begin f	or the first time?	
	months		
	Is your facial pain persistent, recurrent	Persistent	1
	or was it only a one-time problem?	Recurrent	2
		One-Time	3
	Have you ever gone to a physician, dentist,	No	1
	chiropractor or other health professional	Yes, in the	last
	for facial ache or pain?	six mont	ns 2
		Yes, more	than
			2

-5-

	Research Di	agnost	ic Crite	ria							
7.	How wou right now	ld you , where	rate your 0 is "no	facial pa pain" an	in on a 0 d 10 is " <sub>F</sub>	to 10 sc ain as b	ale at the ad as cou	present 1 ld be"?	time, tha	t is	
	No pain									Pai as	n as bad could be
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
8.	In the pas where 0 is	t six m "no pa	onths, ho uin" and 1	ow intens 10 is "pai	e was yo n as bad	ur worst as could	pain rate be"?	d on a 0 i	to 10 sca	le	
	No pain									Pai as	n as bad could be
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
9.	In the pas 0 to 10 sc your usua No pain	t six m ale whe l pain a	onths, on re 0 is "n t times yo	the aver o pain" a ou were e	rage, how and 10 is experienc	intense "pain as ing pain	was your bad as co ].	pain rate ould be"?	ed on a [That is	s, Pai	n as bad
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	<b>as</b> 9	10
11.	D In the pas rated on a any activity <b>No</b>	AYS at six m 0 to 10 ties"?	onths, ho ) scale wh	w much here 0 is	has facia "no inter	l pain in ference"	terfered v and 10 is	vith your s "unable	daily act to carry	ivities on C	Unable To arry On Any
	Interferenc	e				-		_			Activities
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
12.	In the pas in recreati "extreme	t six m onal, so change	onths, ho ocial and "?	ow much family ac	has facia tivities w	l pain ch here 0 is	anged yo s "no inte	ur ability rference	to take j " and 10	part is	
	No Interference	e								C	Inable To arry On Any Activities
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
13.	In the pas including	t six m housew	onths, ho vork) whe	ow much ere 0 is "i	has facia 10 interfe	l pain ch erence "	anged yo and 10 is	ur ability "extreme	to work change	"?	
	No Interference	e								t C	Jnable To arry On Any Activities
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

14.a.	Have you ever had your jaw lock or No catch so that it won't open all the way? Yes								
	[If no problem opening all the way, SKIP to question 15]								
14.b.	If Yes, Was this limitation in jaw opening severe No enough to interfere with your ability to eat? Yes		0 1						
15.	a. Does your jaw click or       No       0       d. During the day, do you         pop when you open or close       Yes       1       grind your teeth or         your mouth or when chewing?       clench your jaw?	No Yes	0 1						
	b. Does your jaw make a grating No 0 e. Does your jaw ache or or grinding noise when it Yes 1 feel stiff when you opens and closes or when chewing? wake up in the morning?	No Yes	0 1						
	c. Have you been told, or do No 0 f. Do you have noises or you notice that you grind Yes 1 ringing in your ears? your teeth or clench	No Yes	0 1						
	your jaw while sleeping g. Does your bite feel un- at night? comfortable or unusual?	No Yes	0 1						
16.a.	Do you have rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, or other systemic arthritic disease?	No Yes	0 1						
16.b.	Do you know of anyone in your family who has had any of these diseases?	No Yes	0 1						
16.c.	Have you had or do you have any swollen or painful joint(s) other than the joints close to your ears (TMJ)?	No Yes	0 1						
	[If no swollen or painful joints, SKIP to question 17.a.]								
16.d.	If Yes, Is this a persistent pain which you have had for at least one year?	No Yes	0 1						
17.a.	Have you had a recent injury to your face or jaw?	No Yes	0 1						
	[If no recent injuries, SKIP to question 18]								
17.b.	If Yes, Did you have jaw pain before the injury?	No Yes	0 1						
18.	During the last six months have you had a problem with headaches or migraines?	No Yes	0 1						

19. What activities does your present jaw problem prevent or limit you from doing?

a.	Chewing	No Yes	0 1	g.	Sexual activity	No Yes	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$
b.	Drinking	No Yes	0 1	h.	Cleaning teeth or face	No Yes	0 1
c.	Exercising	No Yes	0 1	i.	Yawning	No Yes	0 1
d.	Eating hard foods	No Yes	0 1	j.	Swallowing	No Yes	0 1
e.	Eating soft foods	No Yes	0 1	k.	Talking	No Yes	0 1
f.	Smiling/laughing	No Yes	0 1	1.	Having your usual facial appearance	No Yes	0 1

20. In the last month, how much have you been distressed by. . .

		All	Bit	ately	A Bit	tremely
a.	Headaches	0	1	2	3	4
b.	Loss of sexual interest or pleasure	0	1	2	3	4
с.	Faintness or dizziness	0	1	2	3	4
d.	Pains in the heart or chest	0	1	2	3	4
e.	Feeling low in energy or slowed down	0	1	2	3	4
f.	Thoughts of death or dying	0	1	2	3	4
g.	Poor appetite	0	1	2	3	4
h.	Crying easily	0	1	2	3	4
i.	Blaming yourself for things	0	1	2	3	4
j.	Pains in the lower back	0	1	2	3	4
k.	Feeling lonely	0	1	2	3	4
1.	Feeling blue	0	1	2	3	4
m.	Worrying too much about things	0	1	2	3	4
n.	Feeling no interest in things	0	1	2	3	4
о.	Nausea or upset stomach	0	1	2	3	4
p.	Soreness of your muscles	0	1	2	3	4
q.	Trouble falling asleep	0	1	2	3	4
r.	Trouble getting your breath	0	1	2	3	4
s.	Hot or cold spells	0	1	2	3	4
t.	Numbness or tingling in parts of your body	0	1	2	3	4
u.	A lump in your throat	0	1	2	3	4
v.	Feeling hopeless about the future	0	1	2	3	4
w.	Feeling weak in parts of your body	0	1	2	3	4
х.	Heavy feelings in your arms or legs	0	1	2	3	4
y.	Thoughts of ending your life	0	1	2	3	4
z.	Overeating	0	1	2	3	4
aa.	Awakening in the early morning	0	1	2	3	4

Not At A Little Moder- Quite

Ex-\_

	bb. cc. dd. ee. ff.	Sleep that is restless or distu Feeling everything is an effo Feelings of worthlessness Feeling of being caught or tr Feelings of guilt	rbed rt rapped		Not A All 0 0 0 0 0 0	at Al	Little Bit1 1 1 1 1	Moder2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Quite <u>A Bit</u> 3 3 3 3 3 3	Ex <u>tremely</u> 4 4 4 4 4 4
21.	How care	good a job do you feel you a of your health overall?	re doing in	taking				Excelle Very go Good Fair Poor	nt ood	1 2 3 4 5
22.	How in tak	good a job do you feel you a king care of your oral health?	re doing					Excelle Very go Good Fair Poor	nt ood	1 2 3 4 5
23.	Whe	n were you born?			Month .		Day .	`	lear	
24.	Are y	70u male or female?						Male Female		1 2
25.	Whic	h of the following groups be	st represent	t your r	ace?					
					Aleut, E Asian o Black White Other (please	Eskimo r Pacifi specify	or An ic Islar v)	nerican In nder	ndian	1 2 3 4 5
26.	Are a	any of these groups your natio	onal origin o	or ance	stry?					
	Puert Cuba Mexi Mexi	to Rican in ican/Mexicano ican American	1 2 3 4		Chicano Other I Other S None o	o Latin A: panish f the al	merica pove	n		5 6 7 8
27.	What	t is the highest grade or year o	of regular so	chool tl	nat you h	ave co	mplete	d?		
	Neve Elem High Colle	er attended or Kindergarten: ientary School: i School: ege:	00 1 9 13	2 10 14	3 11 15	4 12 16	5 17	6 18+	7	8

28.	During the past 2 weeks, did yo unpaid work in the family farm	ou work at a job or business not counting work are /business)?	ound the l	nouse (include	
	1		Yes	1	
			No	2	
29.	Are you married, widowed, dive	orced, separated or never been married?			
		Married-spouse in household		1	
		Married-spouse not in household		2	
		Widowed		3	
		Divorced		4	
		Separated		5	
		Never Married		6	
30.	Which of the following best rep	presents your total combined household income d	uring the	past 12 month	1
	\$0.\$14000	\$25,000_\$34,999 \$56	1000 or m	ore	

 \$0-\$14,999	 \$25,000-\$34,999	 \$50,000 or more
 \$15,000-\$24,999	 \$35,000-\$49,999	

What is your USA 5 digit zip code or your International Area Code? 31. \_\_\_\_ •

	RESEARCH TMD CLINICA	DIAGNO AL EXA/	OSTIC MINAT	CRIT	ERIA FOR <i>I</i>	٨				
		ID# .								
		Date:		_ / _		_ /				
1.	Do you have pain on the right side of your face, the left side or both sides?					No Rig Le Bo	one ght ft th		0 1 2 3	
2.	Could you point to the areas where you feel pain?	None Jaw Je Muscl Both	<u>Rig</u> l oint les	<u>ht</u>	0 1 2 3	No Jav Mu Bo	one w Joint uscles th	<u>Left</u>	0 1 2 3	
	[Examiner feels area subject points to, if it is unclear whether it is joint or muscle p	pain]								
3.	Opening Pattern Stra Rigl Rigl Left Oth	Straight0Right Lateral Deviation (uncorrected)1Right Corrected ("S") Deviation2Left Lateral Deviation (uncorrected)3Left Corrected ("S") Deviation4Other5								
	тур	c	(speci	ify)						
4.	Vertical Range of Motion	Maxil	lary inc	cisor us	ed				8 9	
	<ul> <li>a. Unassisted opening without pain</li> <li>b. Maximum unassisted opening</li> </ul>	mm mm	M <u>None</u> 0	USCL <u>Right</u> 1_	E PAI Left 2	N Both 3	<u>None</u> 0	JOINT <u>Right</u> 1	PAIN Left 2	Both 3
	c. Maximum assisted opening	mm	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
	d. Vertical incisal overlap	mm								

5.

Joint	Sounds (palpation)			
			RIGHT	LEFT
a.	Opening	None	0	0
		Click	1	1
		Coarse Crepitus	2	2
		Fine Crepitus	3	3
	Measurement of Opening Click	_	mm	mm
b.	Closing	None	0	0
		Click	1	1
		Coarse Crepitus	2	2
		Fine Crepitus	3	3
	Measurement of Closing Click	_	mm	mm
c.	Reciprocal click eliminated	No	0	0
	on protrusive opening	Yes	1	1
		NA	8	8

6. Excursions

			MUSCLE PAIN				JOINT PAIN			
			None	<u>Right</u>	Left	Both	None	<u>Right</u>	Left	Both
a.	Right Lateral Excursion	mm	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
b.	Left Lateral Excursion	mm	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
c.	Protrusion	mm	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
					RIGH	IT	LEF	Т	NA	A Contraction
d.	Midline Deviation	mm			1		2		8	

7. Joint Sounds on Excursions

**Right Sounds:** Click None **Excursion Right** 0 1 Excursion Left 0 1 Protrusion 0 1 Left Sounds: Coarse None Click **Excursion Right** 0 1

Excursion Left

Protrusion

## **DIRECTIONS, ITEMS 8-10**

The examiner will be palpating (touching) different areas of your face, head and neck. We would like you to indicate if you do not feel pain or just feel pressure (0), or pain (1-3). Please rate how much pain you feel for each of the palpations according to the scale below. Circle the number that corresponds to the amount of pain you feel. We would like you to make a separate rating for both the right and left palpations.

- 0 = No Pain/Pressure Only
- 1 = Mild Pain
- 2 = Moderate Pain
- 3 = Severe Pain

Coarse

2

2

2

Fine

2

2

2

0

0

1

1

Crepitus Crepitus

Crepitus Crepitus

Fine

3

3

3

3

3

8. Extraoral muscle pain with palpation:

01	20110101	in moore pain one parparoni	DICUT	LEFT
	a.	Temporalis (posterior) "Back of temple"	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{RIGH1}}\\0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$	$\frac{\text{LEFI}}{0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3}$
	b.	Temporalis (middle) "Middle of temple"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	c.	Temporalis (anterior) "Front of temple"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	d.	Masseter (superior) "Cheek/under cheekbone"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	e.	Masseter (middle) "Cheek/side of face"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	f.	Masseter (inferior) "Cheek/jawline"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	g.	Posterior mandibular region (Stylohyoid/posterior digastric region) "Jaw/throat region"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
	h.	Submandibular region (Medial pterygoid/Suprahyoid/anterior digastric region) "Under chin"	0 1 2 3	0123
9.	Joint J	pain with palpation:	DIGUT	LEFT
	a.	Lateral pole "outside"	0 1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{LEFI}} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$
	b.	Posterior attachment "inside ear"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3
10.	Intrao	ral muscle pain with palpation:	DIGUT	IEET
	a.	Lateral pterygoid area "Behind upper molars"	0 1 2 3	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$
	b.	Tendon of temporalis "Tendon"	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3

Questions Used to Grade Chronic Pain Status

Pain intensity items

 How would you rate your back/headache/facial pain on a 0-10 scale at the present time, that is right now, where 0 is 'no pain' and 10 is 'pain as bad as could be'?

	No pain									could be
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 10
2.	In the past as bad as a	6 months, could be'?	how inten	se was your	r worst pair	n rated on	a 0–10 scal	e where 0 i	is 'no pai <b>n</b> '	and 10 is 'pain
	No pain									Pain as bad could be
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 10
3.	In the past	t 6 months	, on the ave	erage, how	intense wa	is your pair	n rated on a	a 0–10 scai	e where 0 i	is 'no pain' and
	10 is 'pain	as bad as	could be'?	(That is, y	our usual p	pain at tim	es you were	e experienc	ing pain.)	
										Pain as bad
	No pain									could be
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 10

Disability items

- 4. About how many days in the last 6 months have you been kept from your usual activities (work, school or housework) because of back/headache/facial pain?
  - Disability days
- 5. In the past 6 months, how much has back/headache/facial pain interfered with your daily activities rated on a 0-10 scale where 0 is 'no interference' and 10 is 'unable to carry on any activities'?

										Unable to
	No interfer	ence							Ca	arry on any activities
	0	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
6.	In the past recreationa	t 6 months, L social and	how much family activ	has back/	headache/1	facial pain pane' and h	pain change 0 is 'extrem	d your abi	lity to ta	ke part in
						ange and i	o is cattern	e enange .		Extreme
	No change									change
	0	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
7.	In the pas housework	t 6 months, ) where 0 is	how much	has back, and 10 is	/headache/	facial pain	changed yo	our ability	to work	(including
	nousenern		no enunge	und 10 1.	eatrenie en	inge .				Extreme
	No chance									Extreme
	No change									change
	0	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Methods of Grading Chronic Pain Severity

#### Scoring

Characteristic Pain Intensity is a 0-100 score derived from questions 1-3:

- Mean (Pain Right Now, Worst Pain, Average Pain) × 10
- Disability Score is a 0-100 score derived from questions 5-7:

Mean (Daily Activities, Social Activities, Work Activities)  $\times$  10

Disability Points: add the indicated points for disability days (question 4) and for Disability Score.

Disability points									
Disability days (0-180)		Disability score	(0-100)						
0-6 Days	0 Points	0-29	0 Points						
7-14 Days	1 Point	30-49	1 Point						
15-30 Days	2 Points	50-69	2 Points						
31 + Days	3 points	70 +	3 points						