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Abstract
Well-controlled laboratory column experiments were conducted to understand the influence of 
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) biofilms on the transport of selected engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in granular porous media representative of groundwater aquifers or riverbank filtration settings. To understand the importance of particle size on retention in the biofilm-coated granular (quartz sand) matrix, column experiments were carried out using nano-sized (20 nm) and micron-sized (1 μm) sulfate-functionalized polystyrene latex particles (designated as 20nSL and 1mSL, respectively). Additional experiments conducted with nano-sized (20 nm) carboxyl-modified latex particles (20nCL) and carboxyl-modified CdSe/ZnS quantum dots (QDs) provide information on the influence of particle surface chemistry on retention. Biofilm grown on the surface of the sand was characterized by total biomass quantification, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) and electrokinetic analysis. All four particles exhibit increased retention in the biofilm-coated packed bed; e.g., the attachment efficiency (α) of the 1mSL particle increases from 0.40 to 1.7, whereas α for the 20nSL particle increases from 0.04 to 0.10 in the biofilm-coated system. Particle surface chemistry can also influence the affinity of the ENPs for the biofilm coating as revealed by the greater attachment of the 20nSL particle onto the biofilm-coated sand (α=0.10) than its carboxylated counterpart (α=0.04). Column experiments conducted using sand coated with growth medium (LB) or extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) extracted from P. aeruginosa biofilms further reveal that particle surface chemistry influences the interaction between the different ENPs and these coated sand surfaces. Namely, coating of sand surfaces with LB medium or bacterial EPS does not affect the transport of the sulfonated nanoparticle, but the LB coating leads to decreased retention of the carboxylated latex nanoparticle. Furthermore, our results show that EPS coatings are not necessarily good surrogates for biofilm-coated sand. Electrokinetic characterization of the clean and coated sand surfaces also reveals that the extent of particle retention is not controlled by electrical double layer interactions. Future studies should thus be aimed at improving our understanding of the fundamental mechanisms (both colloidal and non-colloidal) governing nanoparticle transport and fate in biofilm-laden granular aquatic environments.
Introduction

Global increases in investment on nanotechnology research and development have led to an exponential rise in commercial applications involving engineered nanoparticles (ENPs). Nanoscale materials can be found in a variety of products and processes such as electronics, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, energy and materials applications. The wide scale use of ENPs may result in large quantities of these materials being released in the environment as industrial and domestic wastes. Unfortunately, several ENPs are suspected of posing environmental and/or health risks. Some ENPs, when released in the environment, can migrate in the subsurface where they may interact with soil organisms, and contaminate groundwater supplies which are an important source of potable water. It is therefore of interest to understand the fate and transport of ENPs in aquatic environments, such as those representative of groundwater aquifers, riverbank filtration settings and engineered water treatment facilities.

Following introduction into natural subsurface environments or engineered water treatment systems (e.g., deep-bed filtration), the transport and fate of ENPs is governed by a complex interplay of particle-surface and particle-particle interactions. Accordingly, several recent laboratory studies have been aimed at understanding the influence of environmental factors (e.g., water chemistry, flow velocity, collector surface chemistry, size and shape) and ENP properties (e.g., size, shape, and surface chemistry) on their transport and aggregation in granular porous media. However, the natural subsurface environment and engineered treatment facilities are complex heterogeneous systems that may not be well represented by homogenous granular materials such as the clean glass beads or quartz sand commonly used to study ENP transport in packed columns. For instance, biofilms are ubiquitous
in many natural and engineered environments, yet there is limited information on the influence of these microbial structures on the transport and fate of ENPs in granular aquatic matrices\textsuperscript{5-9}.

Biofilms are structured communities of microorganisms enclosed within a self-developed matrix of excreted polymeric substances and adherent to a living or inert surface\textsuperscript{9}. Biofilm accumulation in granular porous matrices affects not only the surface properties of the granular media, but can also alter hydraulic conditions such as permeability and flow patterns. Yet, a limited number of studies have examined the role of biofilms in the fate and transport of ENPs in granular systems\textsuperscript{5-9}. Leon Morales et al.\textsuperscript{7, 9} showed that \textit{P. aeruginosa} SG81 biofilms significantly retarded the transport of 30 nm laponite clay particles in columns packed with sand when suspended in a CaCl\textsubscript{2} solution. In contrast, transport of the laponite particles was enhanced in the biofilm-coated column when the clay was suspended in a monovalent NaCl solution. Tong et al.\textsuperscript{5} demonstrated that the presence of \textit{E. coli} biofilms on the surface of quartz sand leads to increased retention of nano-sized fullerene (\textit{nC\textsubscript{60}}) particles. In their study\textsuperscript{5}, the transport of \textit{nC\textsubscript{60}} was retarded when suspended in either NaCl or CaCl\textsubscript{2} solutions; however, the overall effect of the biofilm coating on nanoparticle retention was not dramatic. Interestingly, the measured deposition rate of the \textit{nC\textsubscript{60}} particles in the packed column did not increase by more than 50% as a result of biofilm coating over the range of water chemistry examined\textsuperscript{5}. Peulen and Wilkinson\textsuperscript{6} used CLSM and fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) to study the diffusion of dextran, nano-sized carboxylated latex (\textit{nCL}), and nano-sized silver (\textit{nAg}) particles in biofilms of \textit{P. fluorescens}. They observed that the charge of the nanoparticles was an important factor in their diffusion behavior; the negatively charged \textit{nAg} exhibited significant decrease in the self-diffusion coefficient in a dense biofilm. FCS has also been used to investigate the diffusion of \textit{nCL} in biofilms.
of Lactococcus lactis (L. lactis). Using genetically modified hydrophilic and hydrophobic mutants of L. lactis, Habimana et al. showed that bacterial surface hydrophobicity influences the diffusion of nCL in a biofilm matrix. These few studies clearly suggest that the presence of biofilms can alter the transport behavior of ENPs in natural and engineered aquatic environments; however, our understanding of this process is too limited to allow the development of functional relationships between ENP transport potential and the physical and/or physicochemical properties of ENPs. Little is known about the effect of ENP size and surface properties on their transport in biofilm-coated granular aquatic environments. Moreover, our understanding of the mechanisms that govern ENP transport and fate in biofilm-laden systems is limited.

In this study, we used well-controlled laboratory column experiments to examine the transport behavior of selected ENPs in P. aeruginosa biofilm-coated sand. The effect of particle size was investigated by using nano-sized and micron-sized sulfate-functionalized model latex particles. Additional experiments conducted with nCL and carboxylated quantum dots (QDs) gave further insight on the influence of particle surface chemistry on the transport behavior of the selected ENPs. Columns packed with sand coated with growth medium or bacterial EPS were also used to understand the role of these coatings on ENP transport behavior. Physicochemical characterization of the ENPs and collector surfaces (including biofilm-coated sand) was also undertaken in an effort to better understand the key transport and retention processes in the coated matrices.
Materials and Methods

Preparation and Characterization of Particle Suspensions. Table 1 summarizes the properties of the selected particles. Fluorescent sulfonated polystyrene latex beads with nominal diameters of 1 μm (1mSL) and 20 nm (20nSL) (Invitrogen), carboxylated polystyrene latex beads with nominal diameter of 20 nm (20nCL) (Invitrogen) and carboxylated CdSe/ZnS QDs (Ocean Nanotech) with nominal diameter of 10 nm were used. Suspensions having the desired particle concentrations were prepared by diluting stocks in filtered (0.2 μm nylon filter, Fisher) 10 mM KCl solution at pH 7.2 to obtain suspensions at a concentration of 8×10¹¹ particles/mL for the 3 ENPs, and 10⁷ particles/mL for the micron-sized particle.
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The hydrodynamic diameters of the ENPs were characterized using dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Zetasizer Nano, Malvern). Laser Doppler Velocimetry in conjunction with Phase Analysis Light Scattering (Zetasizer Nano, Malvern) was used to measure the electrophoretic mobility (EPM) of the ENPs. EPMs were converted to zeta (ζ) potential using the Smoluchowski equation for the larger 1mSL particle, and using the approach reported by Ohshima for the three ENPs. Each measurement was done in triplicate and repeated with four different samples.

Preparation of Biofilm-Coated and EPS-Coated Sand. High purity quartz sand (US mesh size -20/+25 fraction, Granusil #2040, Ottawa plant, Unimin) was selected as the granular material to allow careful control of the experimental system. The sand was sterilized by autoclaving for 25 min at 121°C and then soaked overnight in sterile electrolyte (10 mM KCl, pH 7.2) prior to packing into the sterile
glass column as described previously\textsuperscript{13}. For biofilm–coated columns, autoclaved sand was soaked in
diluted Luria-Bertani (LB) Lennox broth (4 g/L, Fisher) and stored overnight in a non-shaking
incubator at 37°C, prior to packing the column. The Gram-negative rod-shaped, aerobic, EPS-
producing bacterium \textit{P. aeruginosa} ATCC 27853 was used for biofilm formation. A pure culture of \textit{P. aeruginosa} was maintained at -80°C in LB broth (20 g/L, Fisher) with 15% glycerol. One week prior
to inoculation, the frozen culture was streaked onto a LB agar plate that was incubated at 37°C for 21 h. For each biofilm column experiment, a pre-culture was prepared by inoculating 150 mL of sterile
LB broth (in a 500 mL baffled flask) using a single colony from the LB plate. This culture was
incubated at 37°C and 200 rpm for 21 h. After 21 h, 1 mL of this pre-culture was used to inoculate
1.6 L of diluted LB broth (4 g/L) that was then injected into the media-coated sand column (at 0.8
mL/min for 24 hrs, 37°C) for development of biofilm in the column. Following biofilm formation in
the column, a 10 mM KCl solution was pumped through the column at 4 mL/min for 20 min to flush
loose biomass from the pore space. The biofilm-coated sand packed column was then used for particle
transport experiments. Control experiments were conducted using sterile columns packed with LB-
coated sand. Regular examination of column effluent did not show any evidence of microbial
contamination.

To understand the role of biofilm EPS on particle transport, column studies were also
conducted with EPS-coated sand. EPS has been reported as the key component of biofilm that can
determine the physicochemical and biological properties of biofilms\textsuperscript{14,15}. EPS was extracted from \textit{P. aeruginosa} biofilms using the rapid centrifugation method\textsuperscript{16}. Briefly, biofilms grown on glass wool
were extracted in 10 mM HEPES Buffer (Sigma) by sonication at 40 kHz for 2 min (FS60H bath
sonicator, Fisher). Formaldehyde (37%) (Fisher) was added to the extracted biofilm and the solution was stored at 4°C for 1 hr. This was followed by addition of 1 N NaOH and storage in 4°C for an additional 4 hrs. After refrigerating for 4 hrs, the biofilm extract was centrifuged at 20,000g, 4°C for 20 min. The supernatant was subjected to dialysis in water (3500 Da dialysis membrane, Fisher) for 24 hrs to obtain the EPS extracted from biofilm in the solution. Autoclaved sand was soaked in this EPS solution overnight in a static incubator at 37°C to obtain the EPS-coated sand that was then used to wet pack the column for transport studies.

**Characterization of Granular Material.** Streaming potential analysis (Electrokinetic Analyzer, Anton Paar) was used to characterize the electrokinetic properties of the sand surfaces. Clean, biofilm-coated, EPS-coated, or LB-coated sand was carefully packed into a cylindrical cell (Anton Paar) and streaming potential was measured by pumping a solution of 10 mM KCl (pH 7.2) across the packed cell as described previously. The Fairbrother-Mastin equation was used to convert measured streaming potentials to zeta potentials (VisioLab for EKA 1.03, Anton Paar). For the biofilm-coated sand, the presence of biofilm on the sand surface before and after streaming potential measurements was confirmed by staining the sand with the nucleic acid stain SYTO9 (Invitrogen) and imaging the biofilm by fluorescence microscopy (EX:490 nm, EM:520 nm, Olympus IX71).

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM, Zeiss LSM 510 META) equipped with argon laser (488 nm) and BP 505-530 filter was used to determine the approximate thickness of biofilm formed on the sand surface. Biofilm-coated sand was carefully extruded from packed columns into four equal sections. Twenty-five random sand grain samples were selected from each section, stained
with SYTO9, and observed at 10× magnification by CLSM. A cross-sectional image of each sand grain was recorded using ZEN 2009 LE software and analyzed using image processing software (Image J, NIH). Biofilm thickness for each sand grain was determined by averaging 20 thickness measurements evenly distributed around the perimeter of the grain.

**Column Transport Experiments.** Transport experiments were performed using glass columns (8 cm packed length, 1.6 cm internal diameter) wet packed with the following types of media, as described above: (i) clean sand, (ii) LB-coated sand, or (iii) EPS-coated sand. Some of the LB-coated sand columns were used for biofilm development after packing as described above. Columns packed with clean or coated sand were fully saturated and equilibrated with 10 mM KCl (pH 7.2) for 2 hrs at 0.8 mL/min. A particle suspension prepared in 10 mM KCl (pH 7.2) was then injected into the column for 20 min (~6 pore volumes (PVs)), followed by particle-free electrolyte for 30 min. Effluent particle concentration was continuously monitored using a fluorospectrophotometer (Fluoromax 4, Horiba Jobin Yvon) and a 1-cm flow-through cell. The concentration of the influent particle suspension (\(C_0\)) was the same as that used for characterization of the particles. Each particle transport experiment was repeated at least twice. Tracer experiments were conducted using 10 mM KNO₃ in each of the four treatments. In the case of biofilm-coated, LB-coated, and EPS-coated sand experiments, the column was sliced into four equal sections after each experiment. These sections were then dried at 120°C for 14 hrs and the weight of dried sand measured. Following this, the sections were subjected to 800°C heating for 24 hrs to burn the organic mass, and re-weighed. Total biomass in each column section was determined from the loss of combusted mass.
Results and Discussion

Particle Characterization. The average hydrodynamic diameter of the three different ENPs and the micron-sized particle was determined by DLS at the conditions used in the column transport experiments (10 mM KCl, pH 7.2). The measured sizes of the sulfonated and carboxylated latex particles are relatively close to their commercially reported values (Table 1); however, the QD shows a marked difference between its reported (10 nm) and DLS-measured (108 nm) size. Because Rayleigh scattering is proportional to the particle diameter raised to the 6th power, the DLS-measured particle sizes are biased by the largest aggregates. Hence, the DLS measurements suggest that the QD has a tendency to aggregate in the experimental solution. This observation is further supported by measurements conducted using nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) that reveal the polydispersity of the QD suspension in 10 mM KCl (Supporting Information, Figure S1). Interestingly, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of QDs suspended in low ionic strength electrolyte similar to the manufacturer’s stock solution (0.1 mM KCl, pH 7) does not reveal significant aggregation of the stock QD suspension (Supporting Information, Figure S2).

The surface charge of ENPs has been shown to play an important role in ENP transport and deposition onto sand grains and model sand surfaces. Thus, the ζ-potential of the particles was determined under the conditions used in the column experiments and found to be negative for all of the particles (Table 1). The 1mSL particles are most negatively charged followed by the 20nSL, 20nCL and the QD in decreasing order of their absolute surface potentials. Because ζ-potential can be an
important parameter governing colloid stability, its role in the observed ENP transport behavior will be discussed in a later section.

**Characterization of Collector Surfaces.** Figure 1 shows a comparison of the $\zeta$-potentials for the different collector surfaces used in this study. The $\zeta$-potential of clean sand is negative (-15.3 ± 0.6 mV) under the experimental conditions. All three organic coatings (biofilm, EPS, and LB) decrease the absolute surface potential of the clean sand, whereby biofilm-coated (-8.5 ± 0.3 mV) and EPS-coated sand (-9.1 ± 0.5 mV) exhibit comparable $\zeta$-potentials, suggesting a similarity between the two types of coatings with respect to charged functional groups. Although the $\zeta$-potential of collector surfaces is known to play an important role in determining particle-surface interactions in aquatic systems\textsuperscript{4}, the surface potential of biofilm-coated collectors has not been previously reported in this context. Truesdail et al.\textsuperscript{17} showed that positively charged aluminum hydroxide-coated sand continuously exposed to wastewater becomes more negatively charged due to surface conditioning and biofilm formation on the surface. The data in Figure 1 further demonstrate that streaming potential analysis is a useful technique for characterizing the electrokinetic properties of biofilm-coated collector surfaces in aquatic media.
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Collector surfaces were further characterized by measuring the total attached biomass (biofilm, EPS, and LB components) per unit mass of sand for the packed column. The total biomass for the biofilm-coated columns (3.11 ± 0.35 mg/g sand) is much higher than the total biomass on the surface of LB-
coated (0.71 ± 0.09 mg/g sand) and EPS-coated sand columns (0.89 ± 0.05 mg/g sand). These measurements confirm the presence of organic coatings on the collector surfaces and establish the reproducibility of the coating procedure. Biomass measurements were also used to establish the longitudinal profile of biofilm growth within the packed columns (Figure 2a). Significantly more biomass is observed at the influent end (top) of the column as compared to the effluent end. This observation can be attributed to several reasons, including (i) classical physicochemical filtration (as well as physical straining) inherently results in a decay in the number of retained cells with transport distance; and (ii) higher availability of nutrients at the column influent.

[FIGURE_2_HERE]

CLSM combined with fluorescent staining was used to quantify the approximate thickness of biofilm formed on the surface of the sand grains (Figure 2b). The average biofilm thickness is 22 ± 6 μm whereas the mean equivalent spherical diameter of the sand grains is approximately 0.76 mm. Thus, biofilm coating increased the effective diameter of the collectors only by ~5%. Imaging of sand grains sampled from different locations in the column indicates that biofilm thickness is similar along the entire length of the packed column (data not shown). Hence, the observation of variable biomass but similar biofilm thickness along the length of the column suggests that biomass (possibly sloughed from the biofilm) is present in the interstices between the collector grains towards the top of the column.

Transport and Retention of Selected ENPs in LB-, Biofilm-, and EPS-Coated Sand. Prior to injecting ENPs into the sand packed columns, the breakthrough behavior of an inert tracer was
examined (Figure S3). Tracer breakthrough curves (BTCs) for the biofilm-coated columns showed an early appearance of a small amount of tracer (Fig. S3c). However, the bulk of the tracer appeared after a duration of approximately 7 min which is similar to the breakthrough time of the ENPs through the columns irrespective of the collector surface (Figure S3 and Table S1). Previous studies have reported that biofilm formation can cause bioclogging leading to changes in system hydrodynamics\textsuperscript{25}, however, such a dramatic effect was not observed in our system. The relatively high influent flow rate and extensive column equilibration may explain the unchanged hydrodynamics of the system in spite of biofilm formation.

Colloid filtration theory (CFT) was used to interpret the results of column experiments for the different ENPs and treatments. The attachment efficiency (\(\epsilon\)) in CFT is a useful parameter to compare the transport and deposition behavior of different particles under varying environmental conditions. ENP attachment efficiencies were calculated from each measured particle BTC as follows:

\[
\alpha = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{d_{s0}}{(1 - \epsilon) L \eta_0} \ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right)
\]  

(1)

where \(d_{s0}\) is the average diameter of the sand grains, \(\epsilon\) is the bed porosity, \(L\) is the packed-bed length, and \(\eta_0\) is the theoretical single-collector contact efficiency evaluated using a correlation equation developed by Tufenkji and Elimelech\textsuperscript{26}. The value of \(C/C_0\) in eq. 1 is obtained from the BTCs recorded from the particle transport experiments.

Figure 3 shows a comparison of the measured attachment efficiency for the micron-sized particle (1mSL) and the three ENPs (20nSL, 20nCL, and QD) when introduced into clean or coated sand-packed columns. In the clean sand columns, the largest particle (1mSL) is retained to a much
greater extent (ξ=0.40) than the smaller ENPs (average ξ=0.05), even though 1mSL exhibits the most negative ζ-potential. As discussed above, the clean sand surface is negatively charged under the conditions of the transport experiment (Figure 1). Hence, the deposition of the negatively charged 1mSL particle onto the clean sand is considered to be unfavorable; namely, 1mSL experiences repulsive electrical double-layer interactions as it approaches the sand surface. Particle-surface interaction energy profiles were calculated using the classical Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory which describes the interaction potential between charged surfaces as the sum of electrical double layer (EDL) and van der Waals (VDW) interactions\textsuperscript{27, 28}. Although DLVO calculations have certain inherent limitations (e.g., they do not account for the heterogeneity of the coated sand surfaces), these calculations can be used to estimate the height of the repulsive energy barrier (Φ\textsubscript{max}) and the depth of the secondary energy well (Φ\textsubscript{z\textsubscript{min}}) upon approach of the negatively charged particles to the negatively charged clean sand surface. In this study, the total interaction energy, namely, the sum of VDW and EDL interactions, was determined by treating the particle-sand system as a sphere-plate interaction. EDL interactions were calculated using the linear superposition approximation of Gregory\textsuperscript{29}, where the ζ-potentials of the particles (Table 1) and the clean sand surface (Figure 1) were used in place of the respective surface potentials. The retarded VDW attractive interaction energy was calculated from the expression proposed by Gregory\textsuperscript{30}. Values of 1.0×10\textsuperscript{-20} J and 1.02×10\textsuperscript{-20} J were chosen for the Hamaker constant for the silica-water-latex and the silica-water-QD systems, respectively\textsuperscript{3}. Particle sizes were taken from values obtained from the DLS measurements (Table 1).

Table 2 summarizes the key parameter values obtained from particle-surface interaction energy calculations based on the classical DLVO theory. The predicted depth of the secondary energy well
(Φ_{x_{\text{min}}}) is relatively small indicating that retention in the secondary minimum is expected to be negligible for the three ENPs. DLVO calculations predict a repulsive energy barrier of ~180kT as the 1mSL particle approaches the clean sand surface (where k is the Boltzmann constant and T is the absolute temperature). The three smaller ENPs (20nSL, 20nCL, and QD) are also repelled by the clean sand surface, but the predicted height of the repulsive energy barrier (Φ_{\text{max}}) is much lower for these particles (Table 2). Thus, based on consideration of particle retention in the primary energy well alone (classical interpretation of particle deposition), the three smaller ENPs are expected to deposit to a much greater extent than the larger 1mSL particle. The noted disagreement between the experimental observations and the predictions based on the classical interpretation of particle deposition in the primary energy well suggest that the retention of 1mSL particles in the sand column may not be solely governed by this simple physicochemical filtration process. Table 1 shows that the 1mSL particle experiences an attractive interaction on the order of 1kT as it approaches the clean sand surface. Hence, it is also possible that this particle experiences some retention in the secondary energy well, which would explain the greater extent of retention of the 1mSL particle in the clean sand system. Furthermore, a physical mechanism such as straining may also be contributing to the removal of 1mSL particles from the pore fluid. The measured hydrodynamic diameter of the QD is much greater than that of the polystyrene latex nanospheres, and this ENP is expected to experience more repulsion as it approaches the clean sand surface (Table 2), yet it exhibits a greater attachment efficiency than the latex nanospheres in the clean sand column (Figure 3). Although the predicted depth of the secondary energy well for the QD is not very significant (Table 2), the larger aggregates present in the QD suspension will experience greater attraction than that predicted by the average
particle size indicated in Table 1. Hence, some QD aggregates might be retained in the secondary energy well. Straining may also contribute to the removal of QD aggregates, which would explain the greater retention of this ENP onto the clean sand in comparison to the two polystyrene nanoparticles.
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The first step in biofilm formation on surfaces is the establishment of a “conditioning film”, or layer of adsorbed organic and inorganic molecules from the local surrounding aquatic environment\textsuperscript{35, 36}. To better understand how the presence of such a conditioning film might influence ENP transport in saturated granular porous media, experiments were also conducted using sand coated with diluted LB medium. The undefined LB growth medium consists of a complex mixture of peptides, proteins, vitamins, trace elements, and minerals that can adsorb onto and mask the available favorable deposition sites on the sand surface. Yet, the average $\zeta$-potential of the LB-coated sand is less negative than the clean sand, indicating that even if the components of LB mask the favorable patches on the sand and coat the sand surface, the overall effect is not significant enough to yield a more negatively-charged surface. Although DLVO calculations would not be meaningful for this highly heterogeneous system, the different particles are generally expected to experience lessened EDL repulsion upon approach to the LB-coated sand versus the clean sand. Nevertheless, in general, the particles exhibit lower retention in the LB-coated sand column (note: the effect is not significant for the 20nSL particle). As mentioned above, LB media is a complex mixture of organic and inorganic compounds, and adsorption of these components onto the clean sand can result in a highly heterogeneous surface that may give rise to diverse colloidal and non-colloidal interactions upon
approach of the different particles. Steric repulsion of nanoparticles, bacteria, or other colloids as they approach polymer-coated surfaces in aquatic media has been reported for different experimental systems\textsuperscript{37-42}. Given the diversity of polymers and chemical functionalities present in the undefined growth medium, steric repulsion of the selected micron- and nano-sized particles upon approach to the LB-coated sand surface is very likely, irrespective of particle size and surface chemistry.

The LB-coated sand packed columns were used to establish biofilm-coated matrices for the study of particle transport. In general, \textit{P. aeruginosa} biofilms reduce the transport potential of the selected ENPs in the packed bed (Figure 3). This observation is consistent with some previous studies examining colloid or bacteria transport in biofilm-coated sand packed columns\textsuperscript{9,43-47}. The overall trend in particle retention for the biofilm-coated sand columns is similar to that observed for the clean sand (and LB-coated) columns, whereby the 1mSL particle experiences the greatest retention, and the smaller ENPs exhibit lower attachment efficiencies than 1mSL. It is of interest to point out that the $\bar{z}$ value for the 1mSL particle exceeds unity $\bar{z}$ which may be an indication that physical straining contributes to the retention of this particle in the biofilm-coated sand column.

The average $\zeta$-potential of the biofilm-coated sand is less negative than that of the clean sand, suggesting that the negatively charged ENPs should experience lessened EDL repulsion upon approach to the biofilm coating. Overall, the particles do show higher retention onto sand coated with a mature biofilm than on the clean sand. Interestingly, the $\bar{z}$ values for the different particles in the biofilm-coated sand packed column are not dramatically high; only the larger 1mSL particle exhibits an attachment efficiency greater than unity.
EPS are important components of biofilms\textsuperscript{8,9} and thus it is of interest to understand the role of EPS in defining the retention properties of biofilm. When the clean sand surface is coated with EPS extracted from \textit{P. aeruginosa} biofilms, the larger $1\text{mSL}$ particle experiences similar high retention as in the biofilm-coated column. Although the $\zeta$-potential of the EPS-coated sand is the same as that of the biofilm-coated sand (Figure 1), the attachment efficiencies of the three ENPs are different in the two systems (Figure 3). Specifically, the $\tilde{R}$ values of the ENPs in columns packed with EPS-coated sand are lower than those observed in the biofilm-coated system. These results demonstrate that the electrokinetic properties of these complex collector surfaces are not necessarily good predictors of particle retention potential. Figure 3 also shows that even though EPS is considered to make up an important fraction of the biofilm matrix\textsuperscript{14, 36}, EPS coatings are not necessarily good surrogates for biofilms.

The selection of ENPs that are similar in size but different in surface coating allows us to examine the influence of surface chemistry on ENP-surface interactions in the different experimental systems. The $20\text{nSL}$ and $20\text{nCL}$ have comparable $\tilde{R}$ values in the clean sand columns; however, their deposition behavior in the coated-sand columns is quite different. The attachment efficiency of the sulfonated nanoparticle is similar in the clean, LB-, and EPS-coated sand, whereas the deposition of the carboxylated nanoparticle is considerably lower in the LB-coated sand (when compared to clean sand) (Figure 3). The retention of the QD is also much lower in the LB-coated and EPS-coated sand columns (compared to clean sand). In contrast, Tong et al.\textsuperscript{5} observed increased retention of $nC_{60}$ particles on silica surfaces coated with EPS extracted from \textit{E. coli} (when compared to clean silica). These observations illustrate the importance of the ENP surface chemistry in influencing the
nanoparticle’s interaction with EPS coatings or other conditioning films. Likewise, we note that the like-sized 20\,\text{nm}L and 20\,\text{nm}CL particles exhibit different affinities for the biofilm-coated sand, whereas their transport behavior is similar in the clean sand column. Although the coatings on the particles used here are fairly well defined and uniform, the compositions of the collector surface coatings (e.g., LB and biofilm) are highly complex. Hence, it is not straightforward to identify the specific interactions that may favor or disfavor the attachment of the sulfonated versus carboxylated nanoparticles onto these collector coatings. The architecture of the coated collector surfaces is another parameter that can influence the retention of particles in the granular matrix and that can vary from one type of coating to another. Thus, future studies aimed at understanding the role of such collector coatings on particle transport and fate should also consider comprehensive chemical and physical characterization of the coated surfaces. The composition of biofilm, EPS and other conditioning films that may be present in natural or engineered aquatic environments is highly variable\textsuperscript{36}, further complicating our ability to generalize the potential influence of these coatings on ENP transport and fate.

Environmental Implications

An understanding of ENP fate in water-saturated granular porous media is needed to establish the potential risks of these materials to aquatic and soil environments as well as to public health. Although the number of studies examining the transport, aggregation, retention and transformation of various ENPs in aquatic systems is growing rapidly\textsuperscript{3}, our knowledge on ENP-biofilm interactions is very limited. This and other studies\textsuperscript{5, 7-9} demonstrate how the presence of biofilm grown on collector
surfaces can result in increased retention of ENPs in natural and engineered granular aquatic environments. Yet, Leon-Morales et al. also reported that changes in water chemistry can result in increased mobility of a nanoparticle (laponite) in biofilm-coated sand. Hence, the influence of biofilm on ENP transport and fate is not clear. In this study, the use of ENPs that are similar in size but having different surface functionalities demonstrate how particle surface chemistry can influence ENP interactions with biofilm-, EPS, or other film-coated environmental surfaces. Experiments conducted with columns packed with clean sand or sand that had been pre-coated with growth medium, biofilm or bacterial EPS reveal that ENPs can interact differently with a mature biofilm versus a conditioning film.

Evidently, particle transport in a biofilm-coated matrix is a complex phenomenon which cannot be completely described by any single process. While straining and deposition in the secondary energy minimum appear to play a more important role for the larger particle (1mSL), physicochemical or specific interactions and/or steric repulsion between the particles and various collector surfaces also likely contribute to the overall particle and ENP transport behavior. Thus, the electrokinetic properties of the particle/ENP and collector surfaces are not necessarily good predictors of transport behavior in a biofilm- or polymer-coated granular matrix.

The experiments presented here were performed with selected few ENPs in columns packed with uniformly-sized quartz sand and biofilms comprising of only one strain of P. aeruginosa. However, natural soils and engineered granular filtration systems contain heterogeneous biofilms of mixed organisms, coating grains of variable shape, size, and surface chemistry. Ongoing research in our laboratory aims at extending this work to examine the transport behavior of ENPs having different
chemistries in biofilm-laden soils over a broader range of environmentally relevant conditions. An improved understanding of ENP transport in natural and engineered granular aquatic environments will lead to recommendations for safer disposal practices of ENP-laden wastewaters and better predictions of ENP contamination potential in groundwater.
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Table 1. Selected nano- and micron-sized particles used in transport experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>Core Material</th>
<th>Surface Functional Groups</th>
<th>Reported Particle Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Measured Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>EPM (μm cm/V sec)</th>
<th>Zeta Potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1mSL</td>
<td>polystyrene latex</td>
<td>sulfate</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>925 ± 80</td>
<td>-5.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-65.1 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20nSL</td>
<td>polystyrene latex</td>
<td>sulfate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33 ± 4</td>
<td>-2.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-45.1 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20nCL</td>
<td>polystyrene latex</td>
<td>carboxyl</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
<td>-2.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-41.0 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QD</td>
<td>CdSe/ZnS</td>
<td>carboxyl</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>108 ± 22</td>
<td>-2.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-19.5 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Calculated DLVO interaction energy parameters (Φ_{max} and Φ_{x_min}) reported in units of kT for the different particle-sand systems^a^.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sand Treatment</th>
<th>Selected Particle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1mSL</td>
<td>20nSL 20nCL QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clean sand</td>
<td>177.7 4.5 2.9 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 0.1 0.1 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^Values of Φ_{max} are shown in regular font and values of Φ_{x_min} are shown in italics.
Figure 1. Zeta potential of the collector (sand) surfaces used in this study. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
Figure 2. Characterization of biofilm-coated sand in the packed column. (a) Total biomass as a function of bed depth; (b) Biofilm coating of sand grains as observed by CLSM at 10× magnification (image has been converted to black and white where the biomass is shown in black).
Figure 3. Attachment efficiencies of a microsphere and three selected ENPs on clean and coated sand surfaces. Sand coatings include a conditioning film of diluted LB medium (LB-coated), EPS extracted from *P. aeruginosa* biofilm (EPS-coated), and *P. aeruginosa* biofilm (biofilm-coated).
P. aeruginosa biofilm-coated sand

nanoparticle size and surface chemistry affect retention in biofilm