

Ice hockey skating sprints: run to glide mechanics of high calibre male and female athletes

Aleksandra R. Budarick¹, Jaymee R. Shell¹, Shawn M. K. Robbins^{2,3}, Tom Wu⁴, Philippe J. Renaud¹, and David J. Pearsall^{1,5}

¹ *Department of Kinesiology and Physical Education, Faculty of Education, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

² *Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation, Constance Lethbridge Rehabilitation Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

³ *School of Physical and Occupational Therapy, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

⁴ *Department of Movement Arts, Health Promotion and Leisure Studies, Bridgewater State University, Bridgewater, Massachusetts, USA*

⁵ *Physical Activity and Health Research Centre, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

Aleksandra R. Budarick: aleksandra.budarick@mail.mcgill.ca

Jaymee R. Shell: jaymee.shell@mail.mcgill.ca

Shawn M.K. Robbins: shawn.robbs@mcmcgill.ca

Tom Wu: twu@bridgew.edu

Philippe J. Renaud: philippe.renaud@mcmcgill.ca

David J. Pearsall*: david.pearsall@mcmcgill.ca

Funding for this study was provided by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) grant number CRDPJ 453725-13, and Bauer Hockey, Ltd, Blainville, Quebec, Canada.

* Correspondence: David J. Pearsall, 475 Pine Avenue West, Montreal, Quebec, H2W 1S4

E-mail: david.pearsall@mcmcgill.ca

1 Ice hockey skating sprints: run to glide mechanics of high calibre male and female athletes

2

3 Abstract

4 The skating acceleration to maximal speed transition (sprint) is an essential skill that
5 involves substantial lower body strength and effective propulsion technique. Coaches and
6 athletes strive to understand this optimal combination to improve performance and reduce
7 injury risk. Hence, the purpose of this study was to compare body centre of mass and lower
8 body kinematic profiles from static start to maximal speed of high calibre male and female
9 ice hockey players on the ice surface. Overall, male and female skaters showed similar
10 COM trajectories, though magnitudes differed. The key performance difference was the
11 male's greater peak forward skating speed (8.96 ± 0.44 m/s vs. the females' 8.02 ± 0.36
12 m/s, $p < 0.001$), which was strongly correlated to peak leg strength ($R^2 = 0.81$). Males
13 generated greater forward acceleration during the initial accelerative steps, but thereafter,
14 both sexes had similar stride-by-stride accelerations up to maximal speed. In terms of
15 technique, males demonstrated greater hip abduction ($p = 0.006$) and knee flexion ($p = 0.026$)
16 from ice contact to push off throughout the trials. For coaches and athletes, these findings
17 underscore the importance of leg strength and widely planted running steps during the
18 initial skating technique to achieve maximal skating speed over a 30 m distance.

19 Word Count: 197 words

20 Keywords: Biomechanics, Kinematics, Centre of Mass, Motion Capture

21 **Introduction**

22 In ice hockey, rapid skating acceleration and sprint speeds are tactically important in the
23 game for an athlete to achieve correct positioning on the ice, catch and cover opponents, and win
24 the race to the puck. Typically, coaches emphasise ‘power skating’ for skill development and
25 training which can provide a quick, general performance assessment; however, specific skating
26 technique factors for optimal performance have not been critically evaluated. For a
27 comprehensive understanding of skate sprint locomotion mechanics, discrete body position-time
28 measures are required. For example, in sprint running, centre of mass (COM) ‘speed curve’
29 profiles can detect key sprint phase transition points that correspond to changes in body segment
30 angles (Nagahara, Matsubayashi, Matsuo, & Zushi, 2014). Similar analyses in ice hockey are
31 necessary to gain a comprehensive understanding of the mechanics involved in the acceleration
32 to maximal skating speed transition.

33 Previous ice hockey research has studied the kinematics and kinetics of maximal skating
34 in various settings. For example, skating treadmill studies have highlighted differences between
35 high and low calibre male athletes in terms of lower body joint range of motion and stride
36 characteristics that relate to differences in forward skating velocity (Upjohn, Turcotte, Pearsall,
37 & Loh, 2008). While skating treadmills are a great training tool to develop skating power and
38 technique, there are substantial differences in stride rate, heart rate, and VO_2 max values when
39 compared to on-ice skating (Nobes et al., 2003), and treadmills do not afford the ability to
40 practice skate starts. Hence, to understand skate start factors that affect speed curves, it is
41 necessary to study athletes skating on the actual ice surface. For example, push-off forces
42 measured by wireless transducers in the skate worn on the ice identified differences in step
43 mechanics between initial and latter skating steps when skating 35 m. Initial steps showed

44 unimodal force-time profiles comparable to a sprint step, while latter steps exhibited a distinct
45 bimodal force pattern (Stidwill, Turcotte, Dixon, & Pearsall, 2009), which is indicative of the run
46 to glide transition (de Koning, Thomas, Berger, de Groot, & van Ingen Schenau, 1995). What
47 defines the optimal skate start technique during this transition in ice hockey is not well known.

48 Recent studies have examined this issue further. For example, Buckeridge, LeVangie,
49 Stetter, Nigg, and & Nigg (2015), using biaxial electro-goniometers secured to players skating on
50 an ice surface, studied the hip and knee range of motion of high and low calibre male ice hockey
51 skaters over 30 m. They found that high calibre skaters showed larger hip range of motions
52 during the start to maximal speed transition. Other studies have demonstrated the use of motion
53 capture technologies on the ice surface to quantify skate start techniques. For instance, Renaud et
54 al. (2017) tracked lower body hip, knee and ankle kinematics during skate starts, and revealed
55 that high calibre male players exhibited greater forward velocity and acceleration by way of
56 faster joint movement speed, and greater ‘running’ vertical COM velocity (i.e. shorter stance
57 time and higher COM vertical position) during the first four steps compared to low calibre
58 players. More recently, Shell et al. (2017) studied lower body mechanics during the first seven
59 skate start steps in elite male and female skaters. While previous studies have assumed that males
60 and females perform the same skating stride (Abbott, 2014), Shell et al. (2017) showed clear sex
61 differences during initial skate start technique, namely that females exhibited approximately 10°
62 lower hip abduction and knee extension at ice contact than males, which may ultimately
63 correspond to differences in full body COM acceleration. It remains unclear whether these sex
64 differences persist into maximal skating, and how lower body kinematic profiles may influence
65 key performance variables such as forward COM speed.

66 Thus, the purpose of this study was to compare the skaters' full body COM 'speed curve'
67 profiles through the skate start to maximal speed transition of high calibre male and female ice
68 hockey players. Further, we aimed to identify when the skate start run to glide transition point
69 occurs, and how this corresponds to changes in hip and knee movement patterns. Combining
70 previous skate start data (Shell et al., 2017) with new data on the successive maximal speed steps
71 from the same participant cohort, novel insight into the speed curve progressions over a longer
72 distance (34 m) was explored. It was hypothesised that the skating run to glide transition would
73 involve a progression from wide running step widths narrowing by the fifth step, after which
74 gliding strokes would begin. Further, it was hypothesised that males would achieve a faster peak
75 speed than females, with sex differences in maximal skating attributed to greater hip abduction
76 and knee flexion of males during stance phases compared to females.

77 **Methods**

78 *Participants*

79 Nine high calibre male (age 22 ± 1 years, height 1.81 ± 0.08 m, weight 81.5 ± 8.4 kg, 16
80 ± 2 years hockey experience) and ten female (age 21 ± 1 years, height 1.72 ± 0.07 m, weight
81 71.2 ± 10.4 kg, 14 ± 1 years hockey experience) ice hockey players participated in this study. All
82 participants played university varsity hockey (Canadian Interuniversity Sport league), were
83 between the ages of 18-35 years old, and were free from any lower extremity musculoskeletal
84 injury. Ethics were obtained from McGill University's Research Ethics Board II, and informed
85 consent from all athletes was obtained before participation.

86 *Instrumentation*

87 Kinematic data were collected on a National Hockey League standard arena ice surface
88 using an eighteen-camera (two T40S, eight T20S, eight T10S cameras) Vicon Motion Capture
89 system (Vicon Motion Systems Ltd., Oxford, UK; Figure.1). The maximal skating data were
90 collected in the same test sessions as the Shell et al. (2017) study that focused only on the skating
91 starts. The infrared system captured an approximate volume of 3 m wide by 15 m long by 2 m
92 high. The capture rate was set at 240 Hz, and the cameras captured data from 14 mm passive-
93 reflective markers with an image error <0.2 pixels per camera. Each participant wore dark, tight
94 fitting compression clothing so that the retro-reflective markers were placed as close to the
95 anatomical markers as possible. A whole-body cluster marker set was used, consisting of eighty-
96 one spherical markers, with sixty-seven on the body and fourteen on the equipment (Shell et al.,
97 2017). Participants were given skates in their size (Bauer 1X, Bauer Hockey Ltd, Exeter, New
98 Hampshire, USA) sharpened to a 3/8 in. hollow with a 9.5 radius (both standard) by the same
99 technician prior to data collection, as well as a hockey stick corresponding to their height and
100 handedness to mimic a game situation.

101 ***Experimental Protocol***

102 *Off-ice tests*

103 Upon arriving at the arena, each participant was given an overview of the testing
104 procedures. Anthropometric measurements, including barefoot standing height and weight in
105 compression clothing, were measured (Table 1).

106 Participants performed a single-leg jump test: a proxy measure of unilateral lower
107 extremity strength (Reiman & Manske, 2009) which predicts running sprint and change of
108 direction task performance in University-aged males and females (Meylan et al., 2009), and on-

109 ice performance of Midget to Junior-aged (15-22 years) male hockey players (Farlinger,
110 Kruisselbrink, & Fowles, 2007). Participants performed three jumps on each leg, and the final
111 score was the average jump distance on each leg.

112 *On-ice tests*

113 Participants were given a 5-minute warm-up period on the ice. Two sets of skating trials,
114 the start phase (Shell et al., 2017) and maximal speed (sprint) phase, were performed on the ice
115 surface in a randomised order. Each participant performed five trials of each condition with a
116 one-minute rest period between trials. The start and maximal speed phases of the skating sprint
117 were assessed separately, as the cameras' capture distance was limited to 15 m. The initial phase
118 consisted of the first seven steps from 0 to 15 m (Shell et al., 2017) and the maximal speed phase
119 consisted of four steps from 19 to 34 m.

120 To measure the start phase of the skate sprint, the participant began on the first blue line
121 within the capture area and performed a maximal-effort sprint to the opposite blue line (Shell et
122 al., 2017). To measure the maximal speed phase, each participant started on the goal line (19 m
123 before the capture area) and skated through to the opposite blue line (Figure 1). Speed curves
124 were estimated by combining the two skating phases from 0 to 34 m.

125 **Data Processing**

126 Data were labelled and gap-filled using Vicon Nexus 2.1.1 (Vicon Motion Systems Ltd.,
127 Oxford, UK), using a combination of Woltring and rigid-body filling. A low pass, 4th order
128 Butterworth filter with 8 Hz cut-off frequency was applied.

129 Visual3D software (v5.01.23, C-Motion, Germantown, Maryland, USA) was used to
130 detect ice-contact and push off stride events. The skate ON events (when the skate contacts the

131 ice) were detected at the point of maximal vertical acceleration of the heel marker (Zeni,
132 Richards, & Higginson, 2008), and the OFF events (when the skate ends ice contact) were
133 detected at the point of maximal jerk of the heel marker in the forward direction (Hreljac &
134 Marshall, 2000). Visual inspection confirmed the instances of all events based on the marker
135 trajectory data. The upper and lower body, trunk, and head markers were used to calculate the
136 body COM within Visual3D based on the methods of Dempster (1955).

137 For the start trials (Shell et al., 2017), seven steps (from ON to OFF for the same leg)
138 were recorded within the capture area, representing five strides (from ON to subsequent ON for
139 the same leg). For the maximal speed trials, four steps were recorded representing 2 ½ complete
140 strides. COM movement was calculated for each step through the acceleration phase (0-15 m,
141 steps 1-7) and maximal speed phase (19-34 m, steps 10-14). The number of steps in each phase
142 represents the minimum number of steps taken by all participants within the 15 m area and were
143 chosen to complete event-based comparisons between groups.

144 **Data Analysis**

145 Skate sprint speed curves from start to 34 m were generated by concatenating body COM
146 forward speed measures at each ON event during the start and maximal speed trials for each
147 participant. An estimated group logarithmic line of best fit (and R^2) was calculated (Excel 2016,
148 Microsoft) of forward skating curves from start to 34 m.

149 Custom MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) scripts, including the biomechZoo
150 toolbox (Dixon, Loh, Michaud-Paquette, & Pearsall, 2017), were used for data analysis. For all
151 COM measures and joint angles, the maximum, minimum, and range of motion values during
152 each stride were extracted from the waveforms.

153 In MATLAB R2014a and after visual inspection of each trial, the Step 1 (S1) side was
154 defined as the leg first within the capture area with the first ice contact (i.e. ON event). The Step
155 2 (S2) side was then the opposite leg, or the leg with the first OFF event. Therefore, the time
156 scale for kinematic measures were normalised to S1 and S2 sides regardless of whether the
157 participant first contact the ice with the left or right foot.

158 All kinematic data were calculated for all stance (ST) phases through the start (ST1-ST5)
159 and maximal speed phases (ST9-ST11). The kinematic variables included COM position in the
160 side-to-side (x) and vertical (z) directions, COM forward (y) speed and acceleration, frontal hip
161 joint angle (adduction/abduction), sagittal knee joint angle (flexion/extension). Additionally,
162 spatiotemporal variables including peak speed, task completion time (the absolute time from the
163 first to last event within each task), and step widths (the distance from the ipsilateral proximal
164 foot to the contralateral proximal foot, perpendicular to the direction of primary motion; only in
165 the maximal speed phase) were assessed.

166 In addition to the discrete measures, all kinematic data are also presented using bootstrap
167 resampling. This technique illustrates differences between groups by calculating the variability
168 over 1000 samples along each curve, using a 95% confidence interval (Dixon, Stebbins,
169 Theologis, & Zavatsky, 2014). The colour bar alongside the waveforms represents the effect size
170 (Cohen's d) of each difference, with larger differences in black and smaller differences in white
171 (Dixon et al., 2014).

172 **Statistical Analysis**

173 Group means and standard deviations were calculated for all variables, and significance
174 level was set at $p < 0.05$ for all tests. A two-way repeated-measures ANOVA (SPSS Statistics,

175 IBM Corporations, Somers, USA, Version 23.0) was used to examine the influence of the main
176 effects of sex (male or female) and stance number (ST1-5; ST9-11), and their interaction on the
177 dependent variables. Data sphericity was tested using Mauchly's test, and if data was non-
178 spherical, a Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied. Independent samples t-tests were
179 completed for peak speed, task completion time, and single leg jump distance, since they were
180 not influenced by step number. A Pearson's r correlation was used to determine the strength of
181 the relationship between the single leg jump test, peak skating speed.

182 **Results**

183 *Off-ice tests*

184 During the single leg jump test, males jumped further than females on both the right (2.06
185 ± 0.28 m vs. 1.79 ± 0.13 m, respectively, $p=0.015$) and left (2.17 ± 0.16 m vs. 1.77 ± 0.14 m,
186 respectively, $p<0.001$) legs (Shell et al., 2017). Peak skating speed was strongly correlated with
187 peak (left) leg strength ($R^2=0.81$).

188 *On-ice tests*

189 Males completed the start task in less time ($p=0.031$) than females (1.82 ± 0.12 s vs. 1.97
190 ± 0.17 s, respectively) (Shell et al., 2017); however, in the maximal speed task, males and
191 females completed the task in similar ($p=0.461$) amounts of time (1.06 ± 0.10 s vs 1.11 ± 0.16 s,
192 respectively). The entire skating sprint from 0 to 34 m was completed in 4.94 ± 0.49 m/s for
193 males and 5.24 ± 0.48 m/s for females ($p=0.772$). With regards to step widths, no significant
194 main effects of step or sex, or their interaction, were found during the maximal speed phase
195 (Table 2).

196 *COM movement*

197 Sinusoidal COM movement progression was evident through the skating sprint. For peak
198 side-to-side motion, a significant main effect of step was detected ($p < 0.001$), while the main
199 effect of sex ($p = 0.411$) and their interaction ($p = 0.208$) were not significant. There were
200 increasing step-to-step differences in side-to-side COM movement during the start phase up to
201 0.07 ± 0.06 m, which stabilised to 0.09 ± 0.04 m during maximal skating (Figure 2). For vertical
202 COM motion, no significant main effects for step ($p = 0.054$), sex ($p = 0.807$), or their interaction
203 ($p = 0.767$) were detected. The vertical COM oscillation peaked during the second start step (0.03
204 ± 0.05 m on average for both males and females), then attenuated to ± 1 cm during maximal
205 skating (Figure 2).

206 *Forward COM Speed Curves*

207 By concatenating the start and maximal skating phases, forward COM speed-time
208 progression curves from 0 through 34 m were produced for males and females (Figure 3).
209 Logarithmic lines of best fit strongly agreed with the data for both sexes ($R^2 = 0.9988$). On
210 average, both males and females entered the maximal speed capture area (19 m) on their 10th
211 step (or 5th stride) at approximately 4 seconds and exited after their 14th step (or 7th stride) at 5
212 seconds.

213 The forward speed progression was non-linear, with incremental increases in speed
214 coinciding with each push-off (Figure 3). Over the entire skating trajectory, significant main
215 effects of step ($p < 0.001$), sex ($p = 0.003$), as well as their interaction ($p = 0.004$) were detected for
216 forward speed. Over the first seven steps (15 m), males reached a faster speed than females (6.7
217 ± 0.4 m/s vs 6.0 ± 0.4 m/s). At 19 m and entering the maximal speed phase, a males and females
218 had reached respective velocities of 8.4 ± 0.4 m/s vs 7.5 ± 0.3 m/s. At the end of 34m, males and
219 females had reached peak speeds of 8.96 ± 0.44 m/s vs 8.02 ± 0.36 m/s, respectively (Figure 3).

220 Corresponding forward acceleration sex differences over the 34-m sprint occurred
221 (Figure 3). Significant main effects of step ($p<0.001$) and sex ($p=0.014$) were detected, as well as
222 their interaction ($p=0.034$). The interaction displays sex differences at the third and fourth steps.
223 Forward accelerations during the initial skating phase were unimodal, with peak values of $9.6 \pm$
224 2.0 m/s^2 for males and $7.8 \pm 1.6 \text{ m/s}^2$ for females during the first push-off. At maximal skating
225 speed, peak forward accelerations of $2.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ m/s}^2$ for males and $2.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ m/s}^2$ for females
226 occurred, and each stance phase exhibited a bimodal acceleration pattern. Technically, the
227 skaters did not reach their ultimate maximum speed even after 34 m, as marginal increases in
228 speed (acceleration) still occurred (Figure 3).

229 *Lower Body Joint Angles*

230 Males and females exhibited different hip joint movement patterns through each skating
231 phase, highlighted by the bootstrap colour bars (Figure 4). For hip adduction, significant main
232 effects of step ($p<0.001$) and sex ($p=0.007$) were detected, while their interaction was not
233 significant ($p=0.106$). Hip abduction followed a similar pattern in which the main effects of step
234 ($p<0.001$) and sex ($p=0.006$) were significant, while their interaction was not ($p=0.708$). The
235 combination of hip adduction and abduction show that females were more consistently more
236 adducted through all stance phases. However, for hip range of motion, a significant main effect
237 of step was found ($p<0.001$), as well as an interaction effect ($p=0.006$), while the main effect of
238 sex was not ($p=0.834$).

239 Sex differences can also be seen at the knee joint, particularly at ice contact events,
240 highlighted by the bootstrap colour bars (Figure 5). For knee flexion, there were significant main
241 effects of step ($p<0.001$) and sex ($p=0.026$), while their interaction was not significant ($p=0.079$).
242 Males were more flexed (by approximately 7°) at ice contact and during the stance phases than

243 females. For knee extension, only the main effect of step was significant ($p=0.028$), while the
244 main effect of sex ($p=0.727$) and their interaction ($p=0.256$) were not. Both males and females
245 ended their stance phase at approximately 20° of flexion. Similarly, for knee range of motion,
246 only the main effect of step was significant ($p<0.001$), while the main effect of sex ($p=0.060$)
247 and their interaction ($p=0.107$) were not.

248 Both males and females exhibit a similar ‘knee extension plateau’ phenomenon, in which
249 a temporary cessation of knee extension occurs at ice contact. However, females exhibit this
250 phenomenon more prominently. The average absolute time for the knee extension plateau was
251 0.08 s for males and 0.12 s for females, so this phenomenon was not visible to the naked eye.

252 **Discussion and Implications**

253 This study aimed to compare high calibre male and female skaters’ COM ‘speed curve’
254 and lower body joint profiles through the skate start to maximal speed transition, and to identify
255 when the skate start run to glide transition point occurs. Overall, male skaters achieved faster
256 peak skating speed at 34 m, as well as greater hip abduction and greater knee flexion in relation
257 to females, as hypothesised. These variables collectively afforded males with greater hip-knee
258 push-off range of motion, which in combination with their greater lower body strength and
259 higher initial acceleration, contributed to male skaters’ greater forward skating speed. The run to
260 glide transition can be identified at approximately the third stride (fifth step), where marked
261 differences in forward COM acceleration and step widths occurred.

262 To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to map in detail the skaters’ speed curve
263 progression in ice hockey skate sprints. As such, this can provide a template for coaches and
264 athletes in terms of skill development goals. Coaches can use and apply this information to

265 maximise their athletes' performance by increasing lower extremity strength to augment forward
266 COM speed and performance. Coaches and trainers of female athletes could also use this
267 knowledge to introduce training techniques to optimise skating technique, i.e. strengthen the
268 muscles around the knee and hip as well as emphasise explosive and wide skating steps during
269 the running start.

270 *On-Ice Tests*

271 All participants completed the 34-m skating sprint in approximately 5 seconds. This is
272 comparable to Buckeridge et al. (2015) who reported a task completion time of 4.42 seconds for
273 male high calibre ice hockey players while skating over 30 m. Both males and females were still
274 accelerating at the end of the 5 seconds and 34 m (Figure 2). This is consistent with the
275 theoretical skating speed-time curve generated by van Ingen Schenau, de Koning, and de Groot
276 (1994) that showed skater speed increases even after 10 seconds. However, pointed differences
277 between speed skating and ice hockey skating, such as longer distances travelled, longer skate
278 blades, fewer distractions, and a larger forward trunk angle, would result in different peak speeds
279 for each sport. In theory, skaters would reach their ultimate maximal skating speed once they
280 reach their anaerobic threshold (Droghetti et al., 1985). It is unlikely that an ice hockey athlete
281 would perform an all-out sprint to exhaustion, especially in a game setting, so these athletes may
282 never achieve their ultimate peak speed. The peak speeds determined in this study are arguably
283 representative of an in-game context.

284 No differences were found in maximal skating phase step widths between males and
285 females. This is counter the findings of Shell et al. (2017) who found sex differences in the first,
286 second, fourth, and sixth steps of the skating start tasks, whereby males displayed larger steps in
287 these 4 steps. As skaters reach their maximal speed, step widths become smaller because there is

288 less need to create lateral propulsion on the ice, and wider steps are less necessary to promote
289 balance or stability on the ice. Potentially, step widths for both males and females become
290 smaller and more similar in size between their 7th and 10th steps, which would further denote the
291 transition from running to gliding.

292 *COM movement*

293 No differences between male and female skaters existed in the side-to-side and vertical
294 COM displacements during the skate sprint. The skater's sinusoidal COM motion was evident
295 throughout both run and glide phases, similar to that reported by van Ingen Schenau and de
296 Koning (1999) for speed skating. Both sexes showed larger side-to-side COM excursion during
297 maximal skating speed. Large vertical COM movements occurred during the run start phase then
298 subsequently tapered to ± 1 cm oscillation for both male and female skaters in glide skating,
299 comparable to those previously reported by Lee and Farley (1998). These dampened COM
300 vertical oscillations emerged during the skate run to glide transition as forward momentum
301 increased (de Koning et al., 1995).

302 *Forward COM Speed Curves*

303 Speed curves during the skating sprint were calculated by tracking the progression of the
304 body's COM. A logarithmic speed to time function was observed (i.e. rapid gains in speed
305 during the initial 4 steps ever decreasing towards maximal speed). The maximal skating speed
306 was greater for males than females, though the step-by-step push-off speed gains were the same
307 in the latter phase of the skate sprint. Hence, the male's greater acceleration in the first two start
308 strides had a large effect on final speed. This agrees with early findings by Marino and Weese
309 (1979) stating that the first 1.25 seconds during the skate start greatly affect maximal skating

310 speeds. In this study, males achieved greater peak accelerations within 1.25 seconds. Given the
311 strong correlation between participants' skating speed and leg strength ($R^2 = 0.81$) and the
312 differences noted in male:female leg strengths, future studies should determine to what extent leg
313 strength is a covariate of sex differences in skate start performance. On face value, these finding
314 support coaches' emphasis on lower body power drills to improve performance.

315 Within each skating stride, positive and negative COM forward acceleration intervals
316 occurred during the skating speed curve (Figure 3). Although net forward acceleration was
317 positive, intervals of negative acceleration began after the first three strides (6th step), which
318 encountered ice surface friction and air drag resistance (Humble & Gastwirth, 1988). The within-
319 stride accelerations oscillated between +2 and -2 m/s² at maximal speed. The bimodal
320 oscillations in forward acceleration align with the bimodal force-time curves reported by Stidwill
321 et al. (2009), which showed distinct differences between the first three running-like strides and
322 the latter gliding strides. Consequently, the transition point between skate running to gliding
323 technique may be identified at the point at which the first negative forward acceleration interval
324 occurs, and as hypothesised, occurred within the third stride (fifth step).

325 The skating sprint is unique when compared to the track and field sprint. In particular, the
326 low ice surface friction significantly hinders the skater's acceleration during the first 10 m due to
327 poor blade-to-ice traction; however, after the run to glide transition, the low ice surface friction
328 augments speed gains by increasing stride (glide) distance (van Ingen Schenau et al., 1994).
329 Skate sprint performance, as in running, is dictated largely by leg power and physiological
330 factors of the ATP-PCr system under maximal anaerobic effort (Zupan et al., 2009). However,
331 off-ice sprint tests cannot fully predict skating performance as skating technique variables must
332 be considered (Behm, Wahl, Button, Power, & Anderson, 2005; Bracko & George, 2001;

333 Durocher, Jensen, Arredondo, Leetun, & Carter, 2008). Hence, best estimates of skate sprint
334 performance require concurrent biomechanical and physiological assessment on the ice surface.

335 *Lower Body Joint Angles*

336 Maximal skating displayed greater hip and knee range of motion during skate stance to
337 push-off, when compared to the early accelerative strides. Both males and females exhibited
338 abducted hips through the entirety of the skating stride, but males were significantly more
339 abducted (by 7°) than females (Figure 4). The reduction of hip abduction in females may be in
340 part a mechanism to reduce high knee abduction moments and in turn avoid valgus knee strain
341 injuries (Sigward and Powers, 2007) and to lesser extent groin injuries (Abbott, 2014; Agel &
342 Harvey, 2010). This may represent the optimal trade-off between skating push-off force and
343 injury potential for female athletes.

344 In addition to the hip, differences in knee flexion were seen between males and females
345 (Figure 5). Males displayed greater knee flexion than females, while females exhibited greater
346 knee pre-extension upon ice contact, which is consistent with previous work comparing drop
347 vertical jump mechanics between the sexes. In landing tasks, studies have suggested that females
348 stereotypically tend to land with more knee extension and emphasis on energy-absorption at the
349 ankle rather than the hip (Decker, Torry, Wyland, Sterett, & Steadman, 2003). This, coupled
350 with a more erect body position, may increase females' knee injury risk due to the landing
351 strategy that moves entirely proximally (Decker et al., 2003). The greater initial crouched knee
352 posture of males may allow for a shallower knee extension plateau. Females may benefit from
353 learning how to contact the ice differently to improve energy absorption and decrease lower body
354 injury.

355 Both males and females exhibit a knee extension plateau during acceleration and
356 maximal skating, but females displayed this more prominently. During this 0.08 to 0.12 second
357 time interval, the orientation of the tibia segment remains relatively fixed in relation to the ice
358 surface, possibly for balance control or stability while undergoing the transition from one base of
359 support (i.e. narrow blade edge) to the other over the slippery ice surface. Joint stability and
360 force absorption are related to muscle stiffness, and males typically have higher stiffness in their
361 active muscles than females (Granata, Padua, & Wilson, 2002). In combination with higher
362 strength output, more stiffness in the male lower body muscles would translate into higher force
363 production. This would help explain the attenuated male knee plateau phenomenon because
364 males may be strong enough to contact the ice and continue pushing through the stride.
365 Conversely, females may be weaker and show less stiffness upon landing, ultimately pausing
366 knee extension at ice contact before beginning the push phase. Further research is needed to
367 determine the function of the knee extension plateau and how it affects skating stride propulsion.

368 *Limitations and Future Advancements*

369 The limitations to the current analysis include the fact that collection of the start and
370 maximal skating trials was non-continuous, so the complete speed curve was obtained through
371 logarithmic projection. Additionally, despite best efforts to mimic in-game ice hockey conditions
372 including holding a hockey stick and skating on the ice surface, participants wore unfamiliar
373 skates and compression clothing without body protective padding, which may have altered their
374 natural in-game skating technique. Future kinematic analysis would be beneficial to understand
375 sex differences in other skating tasks such as backward skating or change-of-direction tasks.
376 Additionally, the inclusion of upper body joint kinematics (i.e. shoulder joint) would provide a
377 more holistic view of sex differences in skating technique. Further development of lower cost

378 and real-time movement tracking technology is warranted to afford practical tools for ice hockey
379 trainers.

380 **Conclusion**

381 This study quantified ice hockey COM speed curves and lower body kinematics of
382 skating sprint to maximal speed. Males achieved greater maximal skating speed than females due
383 to their greater acceleration during the first four starting steps, which may be attributed to
384 increased lower body strength and skating technique differences, including greater hip abduction
385 and knee extension. The COM is an important parameter of athletic performance as it defines
386 how the body is moving through space, and this represents the first study to comprehensively
387 describe and compare the forward body COM speed curves and lower body joint kinematics of
388 male and female ice hockey players.

389 **Acknowledgements**

390 The authors would like to thank Carlos Escudero-King and Daniel Aponte for their help
391 during data processing and analysis, and David Greencorn, Daniel Boucher, and Adrien Gerbé
392 for their help during data collection.

393 **References**

- 394 Abbott, K. (2014). Injuries in women's ice hockey: Special considerations. *Current Sports*
395 *Medicine Reports*, 13(6), 377-382.
- 396 Agel, J., & Harvey, E. J. (2010). A 7-year review of men's and women's ice hockey injuries in
397 the NCAA. *Canadian Journal of Surgery*, 53(5), 319-323.
- 398 Behm, D. G., Wahl, M. J., Button, D. C., Power, K. E., & Anderson, K. G. (2005). Relationship
399 between hockey skating speed and selected performance measures. *The Journal of*
400 *Strength & Conditioning Research*, 19(2), 326-331.
- 401 Bracko, M. R., & George, J. D. (2001). Prediction of Ice Skating Performance With Off-Ice
402 Testing in Women's Ice Hockey Players. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning*
403 *Research*, 15(1), 116-122.

- 404 Buckeridge, E., LeVangie, M. C., Stetter, B., Nigg, S. R., & Nigg, B. M. (2015). An On-Ice
405 Measurement Approach to Analyse the Biomechanics of Ice Hockey Skating. *PloS One*,
406 10(5), e0127324.
- 407 de Koning, J. J., Thomas, R., Berger, M., de Groot, G., & van Ingen Schenau, G. J. (1995). The
408 start in speed skating: From running to gliding. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*,
409 27(12), 1703-1708.
- 410 Decker, M. J., Torry, M. R., Wyland, D. J., Sterett, W. I., & Steadman, J. R. (2003). Gender
411 differences in lower extremity kinematics, kinetics and energy absorption during landing.
412 *Clinical Biomechanics*, 18(7), 662-669.
- 413 Dempster, W. T. (1955). Space requirements of the seated operator: Geometrical, kinematic, and
414 mechanical aspects of the body, with special reference to the limbs. Michigan State
415 University East Lansing.
- 416 Dixon, P. C., Loh, J. J., Michaud-Paquette, Y., & Pearsall, D. J. (2017). biomechZoo: An open-
417 source toolbox for the processing, analysis, and visualization of biomechanical movement
418 data. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 140, 1-10.
- 419 Dixon, P. C., Stebbins, J., Theologis, T., & Zavatsky, A. B. (2014). Ground reaction forces and
420 lower-limb joint kinetics of turning gait in typically developing children. *Journal of*
421 *Biomechanics*, 47, 3726-3733.
- 422 Droghetti, P., Borsetto, C., Casoni, I., Cellini, M., Ferarri, M., Paolini, A. R., . . . Conconi, F.
423 (1985). Noninvasive determination of the anaerobic threshold in canoeing, cross-country
424 skiing, cycling, roller, and iceskating, rowing, and walking. *European Journal of Applied*
425 *Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, 53, 299-303.
- 426 Durocher, J. J., Jensen, D. D., Arredondo, A. G., Leetun, D. T., & Carter, J. R. (2008). Gender
427 differences in hockey players during on-ice graded exercise. *The Journal of Strength and*
428 *Conditioning Research*, 22(4), 1327-1331.
- 429 Farlinger, C. M., Krusselbrink, L. D., & Fowles, J. R. (2007). Relationships to skating
430 performance in competitive hockey players. *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning*
431 *Research*, 21(3), 915-922.
- 432 Granata, K. P., Padua, D. A., & Wilson, S. E. (2002). Gender differences in active
433 musculoskeletal stiffness. Part II. Quantification of leg stiffness during functional hopping
434 tasks. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 12(2), 127-135.
- 435 Hreljac, A., & Marshall, R. N. (2000). Algorithms to determine event timing during normal
436 walking using kinematic data. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 33(6), 783-786.
- 437 Humble, R. N., & Gastwirth, B. W. (1988). The biomechanics of forward power skating. *Clinics*
438 *in Podiatric Medicine and Surgery*, 5(2), 363-376.
- 439 Lee, C. R., & Farley, C. T. (1998). Determinants of the center of mass trajectory in human
440 walking and running. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 201, 2935-2944.
- 441 Meylan, C., McMaster, T., Cronin, J., Mohammad, N. I., Rogers, C., & deKlerk, M. (2009).
442 Single-Leg Lateral, Horizontal, and Vertical Jump Assessment: Reliability,

- 443 Interrelationships, and Ability to Predict Sprint and Change-of-Direction Performance.
444 *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23(4), 1140-1147.
- 445 Nagahara, R., Matsubayashi, T., Matsuo, A., & Zushi, K. (2014). Kinematics of transition during
446 human accelerated sprinting. *Biology Open*, 3, 689-699.
- 447 Nobes, K., Montgomery, D., Pearsall, D., Turcotte, R., Lefebvre, R., & Whittom, F. (2003). A
448 comparison of skating economy on-ice and on the skating treadmill. *Canadian Journal of*
449 *Applied Physiology*, 28(1), 1-11.
- 450 Reiman, M. P., & Manske, R. C. (2009). *Functional testing in human performance*. Champaign,
451 IL: Human Kinetics.
- 452 Renaud, P. J., Robbins, S. M., Dixon, P. C., Shell, J. R., Turcotte, R. A., & Pearsall, D. J. (2017).
453 Ice hockey skate starts: Optimal movement technique for maximal acceleration. *Sports*
454 *Engineering*, 20(4), 255-266.
- 455 Shell, J. R., Robbins, S. K. M., Dixon, P. C., Renaud, P. J., Turcotte, R. A., Wu, T., & Pearsall,
456 D. J. (2017). Skating start propulsion: three-dimensional kinematic analysis of elite male
457 and female ice hockey players. *Sports Biomechanics*, 16(3), 313-324.
- 458 Sigward, S. M., & Powers, C. M. (2007). Loading characteristics of females exhibiting excessive
459 valgus moments during cutting. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 22, 827-833.
- 460 Stidwill, T. J., Turcotte, R. A., Dixon, P., & Pearsall, D. J. (2009). Force transducer system for
461 measurement of ice hockey skating force. *Sports Engineering*, 12(2), 63-68.
- 462 Upjohn, T., Turcotte, R., Pearsall, D. J., & Loh, J. (2008). Three-dimensional kinematics of the
463 lower limbs during forward ice hockey skating. *Sports Biomechanics*, 7(2), 206-221.
- 464 van Ingen Schenau, G. J., & de Koning, J. J. (1999). Biomechanics of speed skating. *Handbook*
465 *of Competitive Speed Skating*, 41-71.
- 466 van Ingen Schenau, G. J., de Koning, J. J., & de Groot, G. (1994). Optimisation of sprinting
467 performance in running, cycling and speed skating. *Sports Medicine*, 17(4), 259-275.
- 468 Zeni, J. A., Jr., Richards, J. G., & Higginson, J. S. (2008). Two simple methods for determining
469 gait events during treadmill and overground walking using kinematic data. *Gait and*
470 *Posture*, 27(4), 710-714.
- 471 Zupan, M. F., Arata, A. W., Dawson, L. H., Wile, A. L., Payn, T. L., & Hannon, M. E. (2009).
472 Wingate anaerobic test peak power and anaerobic capacity classifications for men and
473 women intercollegiate athletes. *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*,
474 23(9), 2598-2604.
475
476
477

478 **Table 1:** Participant Characteristics (adapted from Shell et al., 2017).

Parameter	Female (Mean \pm SD)	Male (Mean \pm SD)	p-value
Age	21 \pm 1	22 \pm 1	p = 0.452
Years of Hockey Experience	14 \pm 1	16 \pm 2	p = 0.016 *
Body Height (m)	1.72 \pm 0.07	1.81 \pm 0.08	p = 0.011 *
Body Mass (kg)	71.2 \pm 10.4	81.5 \pm 8.4	p = 0.031 *
Lower Limb Length (m)	0.93 \pm 0.05	0.97 \pm 0.05	p = 0.148

479 *Indicates significant difference between sexes (p < 0.05).

480

481 **Table 2:** Average step width (\pm SD) of maximal skating.

Parameter	Sex	Stance 9	Stance 10	Stance 11
Step Width (m)	Female	0.07 \pm 0.04	0.04 \pm 0.03	0.09 \pm 0.07
	Male	0.07 \pm 0.03	0.09 \pm 0.05	0.07 \pm 0.04

482

483 **Figure 1:** On-ice system setup. The Vicon cameras are represented by the black triangles, and
484 the light grey shaded area represents the calibrated capture area on the ice. The grey arrow
485 indicates the trajectory for the maximal speed (sprint) trials, and the black arrow represents the
486 start trials.

487

488 **Figure 2:** The body COM side-to-side (x) position [top] and vertical (z) position [bottom] during
489 the start [left] and sprint [right] phases for males and females. S1 black, S2 grey; Step ON solid,
490 Step OFF dashed lines. Times normalised to respective task interval durations. The male-female
491 differences for specific phases are indicated in the colour spectrum bars (0 white to 1 black).
492

493 **Figure 3:** The body COM forward (y) speed curve [top], step-by-step speed progression
494 [middle] and step-by-step forward acceleration [bottom] during the start [left] and maximal
495 speed [right] phases for males and females. S1 black, S2 grey; Step ON solid, Step OFF dashed
496 lines. Times normalised to respective task interval durations. The male-female differences for
497 specific phases are indicated in the colour spectrum bars (0 white to 1 black).

498

499 **Figure 4:** Hip joint angle in the frontal plane (adduction + abduction -) during the start [left] and
500 maximal speed [right] phases for males and females. S1 black [top], S2 grey [bottom]; Step ON
501 solid, Step OFF dashed lines. Times normalised to respective task interval durations. The male-
502 female differences for specific phases are indicated in the colour spectrum bars (0 white to 1
503 black).

504

505 **Figure 5:** Knee joint angle in the sagittal plane (flexion + extension -) during the start [left] and
506 maximal speed [right] phases for males and females. S1 black [top], S2 grey [bottom]; Step ON
507 solid, Step OFF dashed lines. Times normalised to respective task interval durations. The male-
508 female differences for specific phases are indicated in the colour spectrum bars (0 white to 1
509 black).

510