

Adapting, updating, and translating the Social Functioning Scale to assess social, recreational and independent functioning among youth with psychosis in diverse sociocultural contexts

Journal:	Early Intervention in Psychiatry
Manuscript ID	EIP-2021-077
Manuscript Type:	Brief Report
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Apr-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Pawliuk, Nicole; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal) Malla, Ashok; McGill University, Psychiatry; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal) Mohan, Greeshma; Schizophrenia Research Foundation, Psychiatry Taksal, Aarati; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal) Pope, Megan; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal) Birchwood, Max; University of Warwick, Medical School Mangala, Ramamurti; Schizophrenia Research Foundation, Psychiatry Padmavati, R; Schizophrenia Research Foundation, Psychiatry Loohuis, Heleen; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psyhoses Schmitz, Norbert; McGill University, Psychiatry; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal) Shah, Jai; McGill University, Psychiatry; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psyhoses Rangaswamy, Thara; Schizophrenia Research Foundation, Psychiatry Iyer, Srividya; Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psyhoses
Keywords:	Culture, Psychosis, Scale adaptation, Social, Recreational and independent functioning

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts Adapting, updating, and translating the Social Functioning Scale to assess social, recreational and independent functioning among youth with psychosis in diverse sociocultural contexts

Running title: Assessing activities of youth with psychosis

Nicole Pawliuk

Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Ashok Malla

Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal; and Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Greeshma Mohan

Schizophrenia Research Foundation (SCARF), Chennai, India

Aarati Taksal

Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Megan A. Pope*

Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Maximillian Birchwood

Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Warwick, United Kingdom

Ramamurti Mangala

Schizophrenia Research Foundation (SCARF), Chennai, India

Padmavati Ramachandran

Schizophrenia Research Foundation (SCARF), Chennai, India

Heleen Loohuis*

Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Norbert Schmitz

Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

Ridha Joober

Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal; and Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Jai Shah

Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal; and Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

Thara Rangaswamy

Schizophrenia Research Foundation (SCARF), Chennai, India

Srividya N. lyer# Orcid 0000-0001-5367-9086

Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal; Prevention and Early Intervention Program for Psychosis (PEPP-Montreal), Douglas Mental Health University Institute, Montreal, Canada

#Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Srividya N. Iyer, Ph.D., ACCESS Open Minds, Douglas Research Centre (affiliated to McGill University), 6875 Boulevard LaSalle Montreal, (Quebec) H4H 1R3 Canada; 514-761-6131 x. 6129; Email: srividya.iyer@mcgill.ca

*Pope and Loohuis were affiliated to PEPP when contributing to this study.

Pope present address is: Montreal, Canada; megan.a.pope@gmail.com

Loohuis present address is: McGill University, Faculty of Law, 3644 Peel Street, Montreal, Room 24, Chancellor Day Hall; heleen.loohuis@mcgill.ca

Abstract

Aim: To compare social, recreational, and independent functioning among persons with psychosis across two geo-cultural contexts, we adapted the well-established Social Functioning Scale (SFS) and translated it into French and Tamil. We present the development and psychometric testing of this adaptation, the SFS-Early Intervention.

Methods: Sixteen items were added to reflect contemporary youth activities (e.g., online games) and 31 items adapted to enhance applicability and/or include context-specific examples (e.g., 'church activity' replaced with 'religious/spiritual activity'). Psychometric properties and participant feedback were evaluated.

Results: Test-retest reliability (ICCs) ranged from 0.813 to 0.964. Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) ranged from 0.749 to 0.936 across sites and languages. Correlations with original subscales were high. The scale was rated easy to complete and understand.

Conclusions: The SFS-Early Intervention is a promising patient-reported measure of social, recreational and independent functioning. Our approach shows that conceptually sound existing measures are adaptable to different times and contexts.

Keywords: culture; psychosis; scale adaptation; social, recreational and independent functioning; youth

Introduction

There is widespread consensus on the importance of social, recreational, and independent functioning for persons with psychosis (Fenton et al., 2017; Iwasaki, Coyle, & Shank, 2010). The need for patient-reported outcome measures in mental health is also generally agreed upon (Butt, Walls, & Bhattacharya, 2019). Since it was developed and its psychometric properties were established (Birchwood, Smith, Cochrane, Wetton, & Copestake, 1990), the Social Functioning Scale (SFS) and some of its seven subscales have been used widely and translated into several languages (Chan et al., 2019; Grant, Addington, Addington, & Konnert, 2001; Schneider et al., 2017; Yasuyama, Ohi, Shimada, Uehara, & Kawasaki, 2017).

We therefore considered three subscales of the SFS when setting out to compare **social, recreational, and independent functioning** between persons receiving early psychosis intervention in a high-income (Montreal, Canada) and a low-middle income (Chennai, India) context, as part of a large comparative study (Malla et al., 2020). We needed measures that could be deployed in both contexts, in English and Canadian French in Montreal and in English and Tamil in Chennai. The SFS was not available in French and Tamil, and to our knowledge, had not been used in India. It was constructed for the UK context in 1990 for multiple-episode schizophrenia patients. Since then, massive cultural and technological changes have likely altered the meaning and nature of social, recreational and independent functioning, especially for young people. We therefore reviewed, updated, adapted, and translated three SFS subscales (prosocial activities, independence-performance, and recreation activities). This paper presents this process, along with the psychometric evaluation of the adapted subscales, which we call the SFS-Early Intervention. Our goals are twofold—to make the SFS-Early Intervention available for wider use in the psychosis community and to describe our process as an exemplar of the adaptation of well-established measures to different times and contexts.

Methods

Setting and sample: This work was conducted within our outcomes study of young people with first-episode psychosis treated in similar early intervention services in Montreal (N=168) and Chennai (N=165) (Malla et al., 2020). Separate samples were recruited to establish test-retest reliability of the SFS-Early Intervention. Subsets of patients at each site provided feedback on the scale. The study received ethics approval and all participants provided written consent.

Measure: Three SFS subscales were selected—prosocial activities to assess social functioning, usually activities involving others or social spaces, e.g., going to the movies, visiting relatives; independence-performance to assess independent living skills, e.g., shopping for food, cooking meals; and recreation activities to assess engagement in solo leisure/recreation activities, e.g., swimming, knitting. Permission for adaption and translation was sought from SFS's lead developer (MB, co-author on current report).

Review and adaptations: Clinician-scientists and clinicians at both sites systematically reviewed the three SFS sections and added items to reflect young people's contemporary activities (e.g., playing video games); modified existing items for suitability to persons from diverse backgrounds (e.g., 'church activity' replaced with 'religious/spiritual activity'); and included context-specific examples (e.g., 'cricket' in Chennai and 'hockey' in Canada instead of 'rugby' or 'football' in the British original). Patient advisors' feedback was also integrated (e.g., 'online gambling' added) and final modified subscales were created (Supplementary material 1 and 2).

Performance on some items in the independence-performance subscale (e.g., payment of bills, cooking meals) may not be part of normative expectations for some persons with first-episode psychosis, as

these expectations are shaped by age, gender, and context. E.g., an 18-year-old Indian man living with family may not be expected to pay bills or cook meals. A version of this subscale was therefore created for a clinician/staff member to record whether a given patient was expected to perform each of the items based on their knowledge of the larger cultural context and the patient's age and family context. This would allow the evaluation of individuals with reference to expectations calibrated to their age and context.

Translation: The SFS-Early Intervention was translated from English into French and Tamil, following recommended steps (WHO, 2019) including back-translation.

Scoring: Patients were asked to indicate how often (0=never to 3=often) they had participated in each activity over the past three months. As in the SFS, we calculated totals for each subscale. Some items (added based on patient partners' inputs) represent possible habit-forming behavioural addictions (Supplementary material 1†) as discussed in DSM5 and the draft ICD11 (Saunders, 2017), and can be scored separately.

Testing: 31 Montreal and 29 Chennai patients completed the measure twice, with 7-23 days between assessments. Test-retest reliability was computed using intraclass correlation coefficients, 2-way random effect, with absolute agreement, single measure [ICC(2,1)], ranging from 0 to 1. The ICCs were interpreted as "poor" (ICC< 0.40), "fair" (0.40–0.59), "good" (0.60–0.74) and "excellent" (ICC>0.75) (Cicchetti, 1994).

Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was estimated for each subscale, at each site and separately for the three language versions and interpreted as "unacceptable" (alpha<0.70), "fair" (0.70-0.79), "good" (0.80-0.89) and "excellent" (alpha> 0.90) (Cicchetti, 1994). To establish concordance, Pearson correlations were computed for each subscale, with and without the new items, the latter representing a close approximation of the original SFS. Data from patients who completed the SFS-Early Intervention at month 6 of their treatment (n=99 in Montreal, n=123 in Chennai; 89 in English, 39 in French and 94 in Tamil) were used to estimate internal consistency and concordance.

Twelve Montreal and 10 Chennai patients provided feedback, by rating ease of completion and comprehension on 1 (difficult) to 10 (easy) scales, and rating the overall measure as easy, difficult, or somewhat difficult to answer.

<u>Results</u>

Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical characteristics of the internal consistency sample. Like in the larger study (Malla et al., 2020), Chennai and Montreal patients were similar with regards to education, duration of untreated psychosis and baseline negative symptoms, while different in terms of gender, age, marital status, affective versus non-affective psychosis and substance use diagnosis, and baseline positive symptoms. For the test-retest sample, Chennai and Montreal samples were similar in age, gender, and education (Table 2).

Test-retest reliability: Reliability estimates for the combined and site-specific samples were "excellent", with scores between 0.813 and 0.964 in Chennai; 0.856 and 0.946 in Montreal; and 0.856 and 0.949 for the combined sample (Table 3).

Internal consistency: Cronbach's alphas for the three subscales overall; in the Montreal sample; in the Chennai sample; and in the three language groups were in the "good" to "excellent" range (0.809-

0.936; Table 4) with the exception of the recreational activities subscale in the Montreal sample (0.749) and in French (0.769), whose Cronbach's alphas were in the "fair" range.

Correlations with SFS: The SFS-Early Intervention subscales correlated highly with scores calculated using only items from the SFS. For the combined, Montreal and Chennai samples, respectively, Pearson's *r*'s were 0.982, 0.980 and 0.983 for prosocial activities; 0.982, 0.970 and 0.987 for independence-performance; and 0.980, 0.943 and 0.979 for recreational activities (all significant at p<0.001).

Acceptability: The scale was rated easy to complete (Montreal: 8.3/10; Chennai: 7.7/10) and understand (Montreal: 8.6/10; Chennai: 7.8/10). All 10 Chennai patients, and 10 of 12 Montreal patients rated the scale as easy to answer.

Discussion

The SFS was updated for greater relevance to the modern-day context and adapted for applicability in two distinct contexts operating in three languages through simple means like adding, re-wording or detailing items and rigorous translation. Our supplementary scale that allows an evaluation of independent functioning calibrated against culturally normed and developmentally appropriate expectations is a conceptually important, novel extension.

In our sample, the new subscales proved concordant with the original subscales. Test-retest reliability was "excellent" at both sites. Internal consistency was "good" to "excellent" for the overall and the three language versions and compared favorably to the original scale, whose alpha reliabilities were 0.69-0.85 (Birchwood et al., 1990). Furthermore, young users with psychosis rated the SFS-Early Intervention as easy to use.

Overall, the SFS-Early Intervention was found to be psychometrically sound and acceptable in three languages and across settings. That the scale lends itself to context-specific adaptation without changing its essential structure enhances its usability in cross-national research as in our India-Canada study (results to be separately published). We therefore recommend it as a patient-reported outcome measure of social, recreational, and independent functioning among young people with psychosis across geo-cultural and linguistic contexts. Clinically, the scale can help monitor leisure and independent functioning throughout treatment. The endorsement of potentially unhealthy leisure activities (Weybright, Son, & Caldwell, 2019) or possible addictions (Saunders, 2017) can prompt dialogue and action. Additional research is needed to ascertain the scale's suitability across wider youth mental health settings (Hetrick et al., 2017).

Our report demonstrates how an established measure, based on a relevant conceptual framework and with sound psychometric properties, can be modernized and adapted for diverse sociocultural contexts. Doing so allows one to build on existing research based on the original measure, while ensuring that measures used are culturally relevant and updated to reflect newer preoccupations, preferences, or activities of the target population. Our approach is an exemplar of a more feasible alternative to creating completely new measures in health research.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the Montreal and SCARF patients, families and teams. Specifically, we would like to thank Drs Shivaprakash Srinivasan and T.C. Rameshkumar, and Helen Martin, Sneha Natarajan, Arthy Jayavel, Shruthi Rao and Anjhana Krishnamoorthi who contributed to service delivery and data collection at SCARF. From the Montreal team, we would like to thank Kevin MacDonald, Geraldine Etienne and Emily Schorr for supporting data collection and project coordination. We also thank Dr. Howard Margolese and the PEPP-MUHC program for their help with recruitment for this study.

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: This research was funded by an R-01 grant (no. 5R01MH093303-05) and an associated supplemental grant (no. R01MH093303-04) from NIH. Iyer received a salary award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and a salary award from the Fonds de recherche du Québec—Santé. Malla was funded by the Canada Research Chairs program and Shah by a salary award from Fonds de Recherche Quebec — Santé. The funding source had no further role in study design, data collection and analysis, writing of the report, and in the decision to submit the report for publication.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest with respect to this paper.

Data Availability Statement: Data is not available as consenting participants did not agree to their data being widely shared.

References

- Birchwood, M., Smith, J., Cochrane, R., Wetton, S., & Copestake, S. (1990). The social functioning scale: the development and validation of a new scale of social adjustment for use in family intervention programmes with schizophrenic patients. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 157*(6), 853-859.
- Butt, M. F., Walls, D., & Bhattacharya, R. (2019). Do patients get better? A review of outcomes from a crisis house and home treatment team partnership. *BJPsych bulletin*, 43(3), 106-111.
- Chan, E. H., Kong, S. D., Park, S. H., Song, Y. J., Demetriou, E. A., Pepper, K. L., . . . Guastella, A. J. (2019). Validation of the social functioning scale: Comparison and evaluation in early psychosis, autism spectrum disorder and social anxiety disorder. *Psychiatry research*, *276*, 45-55.
- Cicchetti, D. V. (1994). Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and standardized assessment instruments in psychology. *Psychological assessment*, 6(4), 284-290.
- Fenton, L., White, C., Gallant, K. A., Gilbert, R., Hutchinson, S., Hamilton-Hinch, B., & Lauckner, H. (2017). The benefits of recreation for the recovery and social inclusion of individuals with mental illness: An integrative review. *Leisure Sciences*, *39*(1), 1-19.
- Grant, C., Addington, J., Addington, D., & Konnert, C. (2001). Social functioning in first-and multiepisode schizophrenia. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 46(8), 746-749.
- Hetrick, S. E., Bailey, A. P., Smith, K. E., Malla, A., Mathias, S., Singh, S. P., . . . Fleming, T. M. (2017). Integrated (one-stop shop) youth health care: Best available evidence and future directions. *Medical Journal of Australia, 207*(S10), S5-S18.
- Iwasaki, Y., Coyle, C. P., & Shank, J. W. (2010). Leisure as a context for active living, recovery, health and life quality for persons with mental illness in a global context. *Health promotion international*, 25(4), 483-494.
- Malla, A., Iyer, S. N., Rangaswamy, T., Ramachandran, P., Mohan, G., Taksal, A., . . . Joober, R. (2020). Comparison of clinical outcomes following 2 years of treatment of first-episode psychosis in urban early intervention services in Canada and India. *The British Journal of Psychiatry, 217*(3), 514-520.
- Saunders, J. B. (2017). Substance use and addictive disorders in DSM-5 and ICD 10 and the draft ICD 11. Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 30(4), 227-237.
- Schneider, M., Reininghaus, U., van Nierop, M., Janssens, M., Myin-Germeys, I., & Investigators, G. (2017). Does the Social Functioning Scale reflect real-life social functioning? An experience sampling study in patients with a non-affective psychotic disorder and healthy control individuals. *Psychological medicine*, *47*(16), 2777-2786.
- Weybright, E. H., Son, J. S., & Caldwell, L. L. (2019). "To have healthy leisure is to have balance": Young adults' conceptualization of healthy and unhealthy leisure. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 50(3), 239-259.
- WHO. (2019). Process of translation and adaptation of instruments; Retrieved from http://www.who.int/substance abuse/research tools/translation/en/.
- Yasuyama, T., Ohi, K., Shimada, T., Uehara, T., & Kawasaki, Y. (2017). Differences in social functioning among patients with major psychiatric disorders: interpersonal communication is impaired in patients with schizophrenia and correlates with an increase in schizotypal traits. *Psychiatry research*, 249, 30-34.

Table 1 Clinical and demographic characteristics of the SFS-Early Intervention month 6 sample

4 5		O lement	CONTRACT TO A	
6	Montreal	Chennai	Statistical Test	P value
7	Mean (SD); n (%)	Mean (SD); n (%)		
Age at entry (years)	24.44./4.00\	26.00 (5.24)	E/4 220\ 44 02	0.004
9	24.41 (4.90)	26.80 (5.31)	F(1,220) = 11.92	0.001
10Gender	65 (65 70/)	60 (54 00()		
¹¹ Men	65 (65.7%)	63 (51.2%)	2/2) 6.25	
1 ² Women	33(33.3%)	60 (48.8%)	$\chi^2(2) = 6.35$	0.042
Transgender	1 (1%)	0	-/	
5Education (years)	12.60 (2.79)	12.24 (3.78)	F(1,218) = 0.61	0.436
16				
17Education			24.1	
18Less than high school	25(25.8%)	29 (23.6%)	$\chi^2(1) = 0.14$	0.707
19High school or more	72(74.2%)	94 (76.4%)		
20 Occupation				
²¹ Student	17(17.9%)	17(13.9%)		
¹² Paid employment	22(23.2%)	20 (16.4%)	$\chi^2(3) = 26.14$	<.001
Homemaker	0	29(23.8%)		
25 Unemployed	56(58.9%)	56(45.9%)		
₂₆ Marital Status				
27Single	93(94.9%)	70(56.9%)		
²⁸ Married / Common-law / in Relationship	4(4.1%)	48(39.0%)	$\chi^2(2) = 40.84$	<.001
²⁹ Separated / Divorced / Widowed	1(1%)	5(4.1%)		
Living Situation				
Alone	9(9.2%)	1(0.9%)		
With family	77(78.6%)	103(96.3%)	$\chi^2(2) = 15.19$.001
With friend / roomate, in residence, in group	12(12.2%)	3(2.8%)		
5home, homeless				
6SCID Diagnosis Type				
37Schizophrenia spectrum	69(69.7%)	110(89.4%)	$\chi^2(1) = 13.68$	<.001
³⁸ Affective psychosis	30(30.3%)	13(10.6%)		
Substance Abuse or Dependence (SCID)				
40 41 ^{Yes}	29(32.6%)	13(10.6%)	$\chi^2(1) = 15.75$	<.001
41 4 ₂ No	60 (61.4%)	110(89.4%)		
43Age at onset of current psychotic episode	` ,	, ,		
44(years)	23.46 (5.19)	26.17 (5.26)	F(1,217) = 14.46	<.001
45DUP to presenting episode (weeks)	,	,		
	43.45 (94.0)	34.08 (52.36)	F(1,204) = 0.02	0.892
46(analysis conducted on log of means) 47	Median = 9.93	Median = 12.64	, , ,	
48 49 5 <mark>0SAPS‡ Total</mark>	Range= 0 – 684.3	Range = 0.29 - 223		
49 J-SAPS‡ Total	<u> </u>	5 - 5		
50°	36.48 (15.35)	20.45 (9.22)	F(1,209) = 88.83	<.001
2SANS§ Total	()	()	(, ,	
53	23.96 (12.54)	20.91 (15.47)	F(1,213) = 2.45	0.119
54 +6ADS - 6 - 1 - 6 - 1 - A	20.00 (12.01)	20.51 (15.17)	. (1,210) 2.10	J.113

‡SAPS – Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms, §SANS – Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the test-retest reliability sample

Participants	Montreal (N=31)	Chennai (N=29)	Statistical Test	P value
	M(SD); n(%)	M(SD); n(%)		
Age at entry (years)	23.9 (5.07)	26.31 (5.10)	F(1,58) = 3.30	0.075
Gender				
Men	18 (58.1%)	15 (51.70%)	$\chi^2(1) = 0.243$	0.622
Women	13 (41.9%)	14 (48.3%)		
Education (years)	12.23 (2.17)	12.62 (3.91)	F(1,58) = 0.238	0.628
Language				
English	17 (54.8%)	8 (26.6%)	$\chi^2(1) = 4.16$	0.041
Tamil / French	14 (45.2%)	20 (71.4%)		

Table 3. Test-retest reliability of SFS-Early Intervention (ICC)

	Prosocial Activities	Independence-Performance	Recreation Activities
	ICC (95%CI), N	ICC (95%CI), N	ICC (95%CI), N
Montreal	.856 (.724, .928), 31	.903 (.795, .955), 26	.946 (.891, .974), 31
Chennai	.813(.631, .91), 27	.952(.893, .978), 27	.964 (.922, .983), 27
Total	.856 (.765, .913), 58	.930 (.883, .959), 53	.949 (.916, .970), 58

Table 4. SFS-Early Intervention internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha)

Prosocial Activities	SFS-Early Intervention 0.911	N 209	SFS(Birchwood et al., 1990); provided for comparative purposes) 0.82			
Independence- Performance	0.889	211	0.85			
Recreation Activities	0.915	206	0.69			
SUBSCALE	Montreal	N	Chennai	N		
Prosocial Activities	0.894	86	0.924	123		
Independence- Performance	0.826	90	0.910	121		
Recreation Activities	0.749	83	0.925	123		
SUBSCALE	English	N	French	N	Tamil	N
Prosocial Activities	0.899	80	0.893	35	0.929	94
Independence- Performance	0.860	84	0.809	35	0.915	92
Recreation Activities	0.882	81	0.769	31	0.936	94

Supplementary material 1: Social Functioning Scale-Early Intervention

Please find attached the SFS-Early Intervention which has three subscales: Prosocial activities (27 items: 7 as
original; 14 modified; 6 new items); Independence-performance (16 items: 2 as original, 11 modified, 3 new items)
and Recreation activities (21 items: 8 as original, 6 modified, 7 new items).

Study ID:		
Name:	Date:	

INSTRUCTIONS: Please place a tick in the appropriate column to indicate how often you have done any of the following activities **over the past 3 months**.

Prosocial Activities	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often
A1. Going to the cinema/movies#				
A2. Theatre/concert				
A3. Watching an indoor sport in person, not on tv (e.g., hockey, basketball – M); (e.g., chess, table-tennis, wrestling – C)#				
A4. Watching an outdoor sport in person, not on tv (e.g., soccer, baseball-M); (e.g., football, cricket – C)#				
A5. Art gallery/museum				
A6. Exhibition				
Visiting places of interest (e.g., beach, parks) #				
A8. Meetings, talks, etc. (outside the house)#				
A9. Taking a class (outside the house)#				
A10. Visiting relatives in their homes#				
A11. Being visited by relatives				
A12. Visiting/hanging out with friends (including boy/girlfriends)#				
A13. Parties (e.g., birthday parties - C)#				
A14. Formal occasions (e.g., wedding, baptism – M); (e.g., wedding – C)#				
A15. Disco / nightclub#				
A16. Club/society				
A17. Playing an indoor sport				
A18. Playing an outdoor sport				
A19. Pub/bar#				
A20. Eating at a restaurant/outside the house#				
A21. Religious/spiritual activity outside the home#				
A22. Video chat (e.g., Skype)/ online chatting (e.g., MSN) with relatives*				
A23. Video chat (e.g., Skype)/ online chatting (e.g., MSN) with friends*				
A24. Working out at the gym*				
A25. Group activity at the gym (e.g. zumba, spinning-M; zumba, spinning, aerobics – C)*				
A26. † Gambling / poker in casino or video lottery terminal-M; Gambling / video gambling-C*				
A27. † Using drugs / alcohol with others - M; Using drugs / alcohol /smoking cigarettes with friends - C*				
A28. Other activities done outside the home* Specify:				

Please place a tick in the appropriate column to indicate how often you have done any of the following activities **over the past 3 months**.

Independence Performance	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often
B1. Buying items from shops				
B2. Washing, tidying up (dishes & apartment/house cleaning)#				
B3. Showering /bathing #				
B4. Washing clothes/doing laundry#				
B5. Looking for jobs				
B6. Looking for school opportunities*				
B7. Shopping for food/groceries#				
B8. Cooking meals#				
B9. Leaving the house alone #				
B10. Uses buses, trains, the metro – M ; Uses buses, trains, the metro, auto rickshaws – C #				
B11. Driving a car, bicycle, etc. to get around*				
B12. Handling money/credit card#				
B13. Budgeting				
B14. Choosing and buying clothes#				
B15. Taking care of personal appearance				
B16. Ensuring payment of bills*				

Please place a tick in the appropriate column to indicate how often you have done any of the following activities over the past 3 months.

Recreation Activities	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often
C1. Playing musical instruments				
C2. † Playing video games / online games*				
C3. Sewing, knitting				
C4. Gardening				
C5. Reading magazines/books/newspapers#				
C6. Watching television/films/online videos#				
C7. Listening to music #				
C8. Cooking (as a recreation)				
C9. Household/Do It Yourself/renovation projects (e.g. putting up shelves) #				
C10. Fixing things (car, bike, household etc.)				
C11. Walking, hiking, jogging#				
C12. Driving/cycling (as a recreation/hobby)				
C13. Swimming				
C14. Shopping (as a recreation/hobby)				
C15. Artistic activity (painting, crafts etc.)				
C16. Hobby (e.g. collecting things)				
C17. † Using drugs / alcohol alone*				
C18. Surfing internet (as a recreation)*				
C19. † Online gambling (e.g., online poker)*				
C20. Online course (e.g. language course)*				
C21. Facebook, Twitter & other social media				
C22. Individual sport/physical activity done alone (e.g., working out/exercising/Yoga at home)*				
C23. Other recreation activities done alone: Specify:				

[#] Modifications (bolded)

Copyrights to the Social Functioning Scale-Early Intervention are jointly held by Srividya N. Iyer and Maximilian Birchwood. This measure can be used and translated at no cost, with permission from Srividya N. Iyer and Maximilian $Birchwood, who \ can \ be \ contacted \ at \ \underline{srividya.iyer@mcgill.ca} \ and \ \underline{M.J.Birchwood@warwick.ac.uk} \ respectively.$

^{*}new items (bolded)

[†] potential addictive behaviours (Saunders, 2017)

C = in Chennai version only, M = in Montreal version only

Supplementary material 2. SFS-Early Intervention – Modifications to Social Functioning Scale (Birchwood et al., 1990)

Section	Modifications (bolded)	Original wording
	C = specific to Chennai, M = specific to Montreal	
	•	
Prosocial	Going to the cinema / movies	Going to the cinema
Activities	Watching an indoor sport in person, not on tv (e.g.,	Watching an indoor sport (e.g., squash,
	hockey, basketball - M); (e.g., chess, table-tennis,	table-tennis)
	wrestling - C)	-
	Watching an outdoor sport in person, not on tv (e.g.,	Watching an outdoor sport (e.g., football,
	soccer, baseball-M); (e.g., football, cricket - C)	rugby)
	Visiting places of interest (e.g., beach, parks)	Visiting places of interest
	Meetings, talks etc. (outside the house)	Meetings, talks etc.
	Taking a class (outside the house)	Evening class
	Visiting relatives in their home	Visiting relatives
	Visiting / hanging out with friends (including boy /	Visiting friends AND Being visited by
	girlfriends)	friends (2 items)
	Parties (e.g., birthday parties - C)	Parties
	Formal occasions (e.g., wedding, baptism - M); (e.g.,	Formal occasions
	wedding - C)	
	Disco / nightclub	Disco etc. AND Nightclub / social club (2
	, 3	items)
	Pub / bar	Pub
	Eating at a restaurant / outside the house	Eating out
	Religious / spiritual activity outside the home	Church activity
Section	Modifications (bolded)	Original
	C = specific to Chennai, M = specific to Montreal	
Independence	Buying items from shops.	Buying items from shops alone (without
Performance		help)
	Washing, tidying up (dishes & apartment / house	Washing pots, tidying up, etc.
	cleaning)	
	Showering / bathing	Regular washing, bathing etc.
	Washing clothes /doing laundry	Washing own clothes
	Looking for jobs	Looking for a job (if unemployed)
	Shopping for food / groceries	Doing the food shopping
	Cooking meals	Prepare and cook meal
	Leaving the house alone	Leaving the house
	Uses buses, trains, the metro – M ; Uses buses, trains, the	Uses buses, trains, etc.
	metro, auto rickshaws - C	
	Handling money /credit card	Using money
	Choosing and buying clothes.	Choosing and buying clothes for self
Section	Modifications (bolded)	Original
	C = specific to Chennai, M = specific to Montreal	
Recreation	Reading magazines / books / newspapers	Reading things
Activities	Watching television / films / online videos	Watching television
	Listening to music	Listening to records or a radio
	Household / Do It Yourself / renovation projects (e.g.,	DIY activities
	putting up shelves)	
	Walking, hiking, jogging	Walking, rambling
	Shopping (as a recreation/hobby)	Shopping