Identification and functional characterization of genes conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight, underlying QTL-Fhb1, based on forward and reverse genetics approach

Nancy Soni

Department of Plant Science McGill University, Montreal, Canada February 2021

A thesis submitted to the McGill University in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

©Nancy Soni (2021)

Dedicated to my family and wheat growers

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
ABSTRACT	xiv
RÉSUMÉ	xvi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xviii
PREFACE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THE AUTHORS	1
Contributions of Authors	
Contributions to Knowledge	
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	5
1.1 OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES	
Hypotheses	
Global Objective	
Specific Objectives	
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Fusarium head blight	
2.1.1 Fusarium head blight epidemiology	
2.1.2 Pathogen growth and colonization	
2.1.3 Impacts of FHB in wheat production	
2.1.4 Management practices for FHB	
2.1.5 Types of FHB resistance	
2.2 Wheat crop breeding	
2.2.1 Marker-assisted selection (MAS)	
2.2.2. Quantitative trait locus (QTL) for FHB resistance	
2.3 Wheat chromosome 3B and QTL-Fhb1	
2.3.1 Gene discovery in QTL-Fhb1	
2.4 Forward genetics approach to identify novel candidates in FHB resistance	
2.4.1 QTL-sequencing	
2.4.2 Metabolomics	

2.4.2.1 Metabolite extraction and analytical platforms	21
2.4.2.2 Computational framework and advancement of metabolomics	23
2.5 Reverse genetics approach for functional genomics	23
2.5.1 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)	23
CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER III	26
CHAPTER III	27
Combined metabolo-genomics approach-based identification of genetic determinants of Fusar	ium
head blight resistance in wheat QTL-Fhb1	27
3.1 Abstract	28
3.2 Introduction	29
3.3 Materials and methods	31
3.3.1 Plant production and experimental design	31
3.3.2 Pathogen production and inoculation	31
3.3.3 Disease severity assessment	31
3.3.4 Sample collection, metabolite extraction, and Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis	32
3.3.4.1 LC-MS data processing, statistical analysis and metabolites identification	32
3.3.4 QTL-Fhb1 sequencing	33
3.3.4.1 Bioinformatics analysis and <i>In-silico</i> annotation of a gene identified	33
3.3.4.2 Comparative mapping	33
3.3.4.3 First-strand cDNA synthesis and semi-quantitative PCR analysis	34
3.3.4.4 Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis	34
3.4 Results	35
3.4.1 Resistant NIL had reduced disease severity	35
3.4.2 Resistant-related (RR) metabolites in response to Fg infection in wheat NILs	35
3.4.3 Genes identified in QTL-Fhb1	35
3.4.4 GO enrichment analyses revealed over-represented GO term(s)	36
3.4.5 Gene synteny among relative species	36
3.4.6 Gene expression profiling post- <i>Fg</i> infection	36
3.4.7 Genetic variants among NILs	37
3.4.8 Prioritization of Candidate Genes	37
3.5 Discussion	38
3.5.1 Functional genomic analysis of constitutive and inducible defense responses to Fg infection in whe	at
NILs with contrasting FHB resistance	39
3.5.2 Novel candidates for FHB resistance	39
3.5.2.1 Glutamate metabolic process	39

3.5.2.2 Response to oxidative stress/ Oxidation-Reduction	
3.5.2.3 Carbohydrate metabolic process	
3.5.2.4 Receptor-like kinases	41
3.5.2.5 Regulation of transcription	
3.5.3 Metabolic profiling provided key insights to metabolic pathways actively participating in patho	gen
invasion	43
3.6 Author contribution statement	46
3.7 Compliance with ethical standards	46
3.8 Conflict of interest	46
3.9 Acknowledgments	46
REFERENCES	47
CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER IV	73
CHAPTER IV	74
Role of laccase gene in wheat NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1 for resistance against Fusa	arium
head blight	74
4.1 Abstract	75
4.2 Introduction	75
4.3 Materials and methods	77
4.3.1 Plant production and experimental design	77
4.3.2 Pathogen production and inoculation	78
4.3.3 Candidate gene identification in QTL-Fhb1	78
4.3.4 Gene expression analysis	79
4.3.5 Phylogenetic analysis	79
4.3.6 Molecular docking of TaLAC4 with lignin model compounds	79
4.3.7 Gene cloning, sequencing, and polymorphism analysis	80
4.3.8 Construction of BSMV vectors and virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of TaLAC4	81
4.3.9 Comparison of <i>TaLAC4</i> non-silenced and silenced NIL-R	82
4.3.9.1 qRT-PCR, fungal biomass, and disease severity	82
4.3.9.2 Phloroglucinol-HCL staining or weisner test	82
4.3.9.3 Acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) assay	83
4.3.10 Metabolite profiling of <i>TaLAC4</i> non-silenced and silenced NIL-R	83
4.3.10.1 Metabolites extraction, LC-HRMS and data processing	84
4.3.10.2 Statistical analysis and putative metabolite identification	
4.4 Results	85
4.4.1 Characterization of <i>TaLAC</i> gene	85
4.4.2 Molecular docking revealed binding substrates of TaLAC4	85

4.4.3 Polymorphism and differential gene expression of TaLAC4 in NIL-R and NIL-S	86
4.4.4 TaLAC4 gene expression in silenced and non-silenced NIL-R	87
4.4.5 Silencing of TaLAC4 gene in NIL-R increased disease severity and fungal biomass in rachis	87
4.4.6 Total lignin and its structure altered in NIL-R, following silencing of TaLAC4	88
4.4.7 Effect of <i>TaLAC4</i> silencing on the abundances of PRr metabolites in NIL-R	88
4.5 Discussion	88
4.5.1 TaLAC4 in plant defense against Fg	88
4.5.2 Metabolic changes in the laccase silenced NIL-R plants	89
4.5.3 TaLAC4 contributes to basal immunity by mediating induced lignins	91
4.6 Author contribution statement	92
4.7 Compliance with ethical standards	93
4.8 Declaration of Competing Interest	93
4.9 Acknowledgments	93
REFERENCES	93
CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER V	114
CHAPTER V	115
TaNAC032 transcription factor regulates lignin-biosynthetic genes to combat Fusarium	head
blight in wheat	115
5.1 Abstract	116
5.2 Introduction	116
5.3 Materials and methods	119
5.3.1 Plant materials and experimental design	119
5.3.2 Fungal inoculum production and inoculation	119
5.3.3 Metabolite extraction and LC-high resolution MS/MS analysis	120
5.3.4 Identification of NAC as a candidate gene underlying QTL-Fhb1	120
5.3.5 Sanger sequencing and genetic polymorphism analysis	121
5.3.6 Real-time Quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR)	121
5.3.7 In-silico promoter analysis of RR metabolites biosynthetic genes (R_{RRM}) and their interaction with	
TaNAC032	122
5.3.8 Constructing knockdown vectors, in vitro transcription of viral RNA, and plant inoculation	122
5.3.8.1 TaNAC032 gene silencing confirmation and disease evaluation	123
5.3.8.2 Lignin detection using Weisner test	123
5.3.8.3 Lignin quantification based on acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) assay	124
5.4 Results	124
5.4.1 Sequencing and Ab-initio characterization of a novel TaNAC032 transcription factor identified in	wheat
NILs	124

5.4.2 TaNAC032 gene expression following pathogen inoculation	125
5.4.3 Polymorphism in <i>TaNAC032</i> protein	
5.4.4 In silico promoter analysis and physical interaction of RR metabolite biosynthetic genes (RR	RM) with
TaNAC032	
5.4.5 Silencing of pathogen-induced TaNAC032 increased susceptibility in wheat resistant NIL to	Fg infection
5.4.6 Semi-comprehensive metabolomics revealed biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids and lignan gl	ycosides by
the downstream R_{RRM} genes that were regulated by $TaNAC032$ in wheat	
5.4.7 The silenced Tanac032 in NIL-R revealed altered lignin composition in rachis	
5.5 Discussion	128
5.6 Author Contributions	
5.7 Compliance with ethical standards	
5.8 Declaration of Competing Interest	
5.9 Acknowledgments	
REFERENCES	133
CHAPTER VI	157
GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	157
6.1 General discussion and conclusions	157
6.2 Future works	159
REFERENCES	163
APPENDICES	174
Supplementary Tables	
Supplementary Text	
PERMISSION FOR MANUSCRIPT USE	
Personal use	
Commercial use	
Internal institutional use	
BIOSAFETY RELATED DOCUMENTS	186

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Resistance related induced (RRI) and resistance related constitutive (RRC) metabolites
putatively identified upon Fg and mock inoculation in NILs rachis with resistant and susceptible
QTL-Fhb1
Table 3.2 Genes located in the QTL-Fhb1 region derived from Sumai 3*5/Thatcher population
flanking between markers XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142
Table 3.3 List of primers used in the gene expression study. 59
Table 3.4 List of candidates possessing multiple genomic variants based on SNP variant analysis.
Table 4.1 List of high fold change resistance-related induced metabolites identified in NIL-
R+BSMV:Talac4 (TaLAC4 silenced NILs)
Table 4.2 GenBank accession numbers of proteins used in the phylogeny study 100
Table 4.3 List of primers used in the experiments. 102
Table 5.1 Promoter sequence analysis of downstream resistance-related metabolite biosynthetic
genes (RRRM) harboring secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE)
Table 5.2 List of primers used in this study
Table 5.3 Genbank accession numbers of proteins used in the phylogeny study

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Phenotyping of NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1
Figure 3.2 Gene ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis
Figure 3.3 Comparative mapping of chromosome 3B linkage map with the corresponding 3B physical bin map and novel genes underlying OTL-Fhb1 between XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142
flanking markers
Figure 3.4 Heatmap of differentially expressed genes
Figure 3.5 MultAlin software based amino acid sequence alignment of resistant and susceptible NIL
Figure 3.6 The workflow of combined metabolo-genomics approach to identify potential FHB resistance genes in wheat NILs
Figure 4.1 Physical map of the QTL-Fhb1 on the short arm of wheat chromosome 3B 103
Figure 4.2 Ab-initio characterization of <i>TaLAC4</i> gene
Figure 4.3 Molecular docking of TaLAC4 with lignin model compounds
Figure 4.4 Sequencing of <i>TaLAC4</i> gene in the NILs with contrasting alleles 107
Figure 4.5 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) fragments were designed to specifically knock- down the <i>TaLAC4</i> gene
Figure 4.6 BSMV based virus-induced gene silencing of the phytoene desaturase (PDS) gene
Figure 4.7 BSMV based virus-induced gene silencing of <i>TaLAC4</i> gene
Figure 4.8 Effect of <i>TaLAC4</i> silencing in FHB resistant near-isogenic line (NIL-R), inoculated with <i>F. graminearum</i> or mock-solution
Figure 4.9 Total lignin quantification using acetyl bromide method and phloroglucinol-HCL staining
Figure 5.1 In silico analysis of the TaNAC032 gene
Figure 5.2 <i>TaNAC032</i> sequencing and sequence analysis

Figure 5.3 TaNAC032 sequencing and sequence analysis in wheat genotypes (Sumai 3, NIL-R
(S/T), NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher) with varying
levels of FHB resistance
Figure 5.4 Canonical lignin biosynthesis pathway in plants
Figure 5.5 In-silico DNA-protein interaction using the GeneMANIA server
Figure 5.6 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of the <i>TaNAC032</i> gene
Figure 5.7 Effect of TaNAC032 silencing in FHB resistant near-isogenic line (NIL-R), inoculated
with <i>F. graminearum</i> or mock-solution
Figure 5.8 BoxPlot represents relative metabolite abundances of RRI metabolites in silenced
(BSMV: <i>Tanac032</i>) and non-silenced (BSMV: 00) NIL-R at 3 dpi after Fg inoculation 153
Figure 5.9 Lignification induced by <i>TaNAC032</i> in rachis
Figure 5.10 Proposed model showing TaNAC032 transcription factor regulating the biosynthesis
of lignin specific pathway biosynthetic R_{RRM} genes to produce resistant-related metabolites (RMs).

LIST OF APPENDICES

Supplementary Table 3. 1 Resistance related (RR) metabolites (P<0.05) detected in the wheat NILs
rachis following <i>F. graminearum</i> (<i>Fg</i>) or mock-solution inoculation
Supplementary Table 3. 2 Putative candidate genes and gene ontology (GO) underlying QTL-
Fhb1176
Supplementary Table 4.1 Lists the predicted minimum binding energy scores (kJ/mol), RMSD of
best-fit predicted laccase models with lignin model compounds obtained from AutoDock Vina
software
Supplementary Table 4.2 List of high fold change metabolites identified in NIL-R+BSMV: Talac4
(TaLAC4 silenced NILs)

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABSL	Acetyl bromide soluble lignin
AME	Accurate mass error
AUDPC	Area under disease progress curve
BAC	Bacterial artificial chromosome
BLAST	Basic local alignment search tool
BSMV	Barley stripe mosaic virus
cDNA	Complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid
CFIA	Canadian Food Inspection Agency
CRISPR	Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats
D3G	DON-3-O-glucoside
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DON	Deoxynivalenol
dpi	Days post inoculation
FAO	Food and agriculture organization
FC	Fold-change
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FHB	Fusarium head blight
GC-MS	Gas chromatography mass spectrometry
HCAAs	Hydroxycinnamic acid amides
hpi	Hours post inoculation
IWGSC	International wheat genome sequencing consortium
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry
LC-HRMS	Liquid chromatography high resolution mass spectrometry
m/z	Mass to charge ratio
MS	Mass spectrometry
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
NIL	Near-isogenic line
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
PCD	Programmed cell death

PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDS	Phytoene desaturase
PR	Pathogenesis related
PSD	Proportion of spikelets diseased
qPCR	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
RCBD	Randomized complete block design
RM	Mock inoculated resistant genotype
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
RNAi	RNA interference
RP	Pathogen inoculated resistant genotype
RR	Resistance related
RRC	Resistance related constitutive metabolite
RRI	Resistance related induced metabolite
RT-qPCR	Quantitative reverse transcription PCR
SM	Mock inoculated susceptible genotype
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
SP	Pathogen inoculated susceptible genotype
SSR	Simple Sequence Repeat
TaCCR	Triticum aestivum Cinnamoyl-CoA reductase
TaCAD	Triticum aestivum Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase
T-DNA	The transfer DNA
TaLAC4	Triticum aestivum Laccase-4-like
TaNAC032	Triticum aestivum NAC transcription factor 32-like
Tri6	Trichodiene synthase-6
VIGS	Virus-induced gene silencing

ABSTRACT

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is among the most destructive diseases of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), predominantly caused by Fusarium graminearum (Fg). Amongst hundreds of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) identified for FHB resistance, QTL-Fhb1 is of significant interest even today, contributing a major effect in FHB resistance. A few genetic determinants of FHB resistance have been identified in the recent past, but their resistance mechanisms have not been deciphered. Therefore, this study aimed to identify candidate gene(s) and to decode resistance mechanisms based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach in wheat near-isogenic lines (NILs) differing at QTL-Fhb1. The resistance-related (RR) metabolites identified in NIL-R with high fold-change in abundance were mainly phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, and lignan glycosides. The dissection of QTL-Fhb1 based on flanking marker sequencing led to the identification of laccase-4-like, cell wall invertase, G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase, NAC transcription factor 32-like and glutamate synthase 1 as the potential candidate genes. Plant laccases are implicated in the lignification of secondary cell walls as an innate defense response against pathogens. The Fg was limited to the inoculated pair of spikelets in NIL-R spikes, but it spread to other spikelets when the TaLAC4 was silenced in NIL-R, based on virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS). Histopathology revealed thickened cell walls, mainly due to G-lignin, in non-silenced NIL-R, relative to the silenced, and as well a higher total lignin content. Metabolic profiling of TaLAC4 silenced NIL-R identified the accumulation of several precursor metabolites higher in abundances upstream TaLAC4, confirming the role of TaLAC4 in pathogen-induced lignification of secondary cell walls in the rachis. Also, in-silico promoter analysis of phenylpropanoid pathway-related genes identified TaLAC4, TaCCR, TaCAD, and TaMYB carrying secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE) sites, which were further confirmed based on protein-DNA docking. Henceforth, the TaNAC032 was functionally characterized based on VIGS to understand the transcription regulation of TaLAC4. TaNAC032 silenced NIL-R confirmed an increase in fungal biomass and disease severity but displayed decreased expression of downstream resistance genes TaCCR, TaCAD, TaLAC4, and TaMYB.

Furthermore, the silenced NIL-R was also associated with reduced total lignin content and the total lignin deposited in cell walls. This was also associated with a decrease in the abundance of RR metabolites related to monolignols and lignan glycosides, confirming the plausible role of *TaNAC032* in the regulation of lignin biosynthetic genes, including *TaLAC4* in wheat resistant

NIL. Among the genes identified in QTL-Fhb1, this is the first study to report the role of *TaNAC032* and *TaLAC4* in FHB resistance through reinforcement of secondary cell walls. Both *TaNAC032* and *TaLAC4* significantly contributed to QTL-Fhb1 resistance. If mutated, both functionally validated candidate genes can be edited in susceptible genotypes, employing genome editing tools to enhance FHB resistance.

RÉSUMÉ

La fusariose de l'épi (FHB) est une maladie du blé (Triticum aestivum L.) hautement destructrice causée par Fusarium graminearum (Fg). Parmi des centaines de locus de caractères quantitatifs (QTL), QTL-Fhb1 est d'un intérêt particulier, puisque celui-ci contribue à un effet majeur dans la résistance à la FHB. Récemment, quelques déterminants génétiques de la résistance à la FHB ont été identifiés, mais leurs mécanismes de résistance spécifiques n'ont pas été découverts. Cette étude visait à identifier les gène(s) candidat(s) et décoder les mécanismes de résistance en se basant sur une approche métabolo-génomique combinée avec des lignées de blé quasi-isogéniques (NILs) caractérisées par une différence au niveau de QTL-Fhb1. Les métabolites de résistance reliée (RR) avec un fort changement en abondance identifiés dans la lignée NIL-R étaient principalement les phénylpropanoïdes, les flavonoïdes et les glycosides de lignane. La dissection de QTL-Fhb1 basée sur le séquençage de marqueurs de flancs a mené à l'identification de laccase-4-like, cell wall invertase, G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase, NAC transcription factor 32-like et glutamate synthase 1 comme potentiel gènes candidats. Les laccases végétales sont impliquées dans la lignification des parois cellulaires secondaires comme réponse défensive innée contre le pathogène. Le Fg était limité à une paire d'épillets inoculée chez NIL-R, mais se propageait aux autres épillets lorsque TaLAC4 était éteint dans NIL-R par extinction de gène par virus (VIGS). L'analyse histopathologique a révélé un épaississement de la paroi cellulaire, principalement dû à la G-lignine, dans la lignée NIL-R non éteinte, en comparaison à la lignée éteinte, en conjonction avec une quantité totale de lignine supérieure. Le profilage de métabolites de TaLAC4 dans les lignées NILs éteintes a identifié une accumulation de plusieurs métabolites précurseurs avec une abondance supérieure en amont de TaLAC4, confirmant ainsi le rôle de *TaLAC4* dans la lignification induite par un pathogène des parois cellulaires secondaires du rachis. De plus, l'analyse in-silico du promoteur des gènes impliqués dans la voie du phénylpropanoïde a identifié TaLAC4, TaCCR, TaCAD, et TaMYB comme comportant des sites d'éléments de liaison NAC pour parois secondaires (SNBE), ce qui a été aussi confirmé par amarrage de protéines-ADN. Conséquemment, TaNAC032 a été fonctionnellement caractérisé par VIGS pour comprendre le processus de régulation de la transcription de TaLAC4. La lignée TaNAC032 éteinte NIL-R a confirmé une augmentation de la biomasse fongique et de la gravité de la maladie, mais une réduction dans l'expression des gènes de résistance TaCCR, TaCAD, TaLAC4, et TaMYB, situés en aval, a aussi été observée.

De surcroît, la quantité totale de lignine, ainsi que la lignine totale déposée dans les parois cellulaires, ont été réduites dans la lignée NIL-R éteinte. Ceci était associé avec une réduction dans l'abondance des métabolites RR reliés aux monolignols et des glycosides de lignane, confirmant le rôle plausible de *TaNAC032* dans la régulation de gènes impliqués dans la biosynthèse de la lignine, ce qui inclut *TaLAC4* dans la souche NIL. Parmi les gènes identifiés dans QTL-Fhb1, il s'agit de la première étude à reporter le rôle de *TaNAC032* et *TaLAC4* dans la résistance à la FHB par le renforcement des parois cellulaires secondaires. Dans l'ensemble, *TaNAC032* et *TaLAC4* ont significativement contribué à la résistance de QTL-Fhb1. Les gènes fonctionnellement validés pourront être édités dans les génotypes susceptibles, si mutés, en employant des outils pour l'édition du génome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My experience at McGill University has been nothing short of amazing. Since my first day, I have been received a warm welcome from all my lab mates. This thesis epitomizes not only my work in the lab and at the keyboard but also the result of collective experiences I have encountered at McGill from dozens of remarkable individuals whom I also wish to acknowledge. First and foremost, I wish to express my sincere gratitude and profound indebtedness to my advisor, professor **Ajjamada C. Kushalappa**, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. His guidance and continuous support have given me the freedom to pursue this research without objection. He has provided insightful discussions about the research all the time we met, whether it's the coffee room or hallway. His saying "defend science, and not defend yourself" has always encouraged me to gain in-depth knowledge about the related subject. He has helped and supported me immensely during every phase of my Ph.D. life. Please accept my heartfelt thanks!

I am genuinely grateful to my advisory committee members, Dr. Jean-Benoit Charron and Dr. Robin Beech for their insightful comments, criticism, suggestions, and encouragement which helped me gaining confidence, expand my knowledge and enhance my thinking abilities. I would like to convey my acknowledgment to Dr. Raj Duggavathi for permitting me to use lab space for qRT-PCR and microtome equipment for histochemical studies. I am grateful to our Dean Anja Geitmann for granting permission to use lab space for microscopy and Dr. Bara Altartouri for providing great help in microscopy. I am thankful to our collaborator Mr. Yves Dion, Centre de recherche sur les grains (CÉROM) for his valuable guidance and support. I would like to thank Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada for providing wheat NILs and Dr. S. Rio, CÉROM for providing Fusarium graminearum isolate (GZ-3639). I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Denis Faubert and his team members Mrs. Boulos Marguerite and Dr. Sylvian from IRCM, Montreal for the LC-MS analysis. I would like to thank all the plant science staff, **Guy Rimmer**, Ian Ritchie, and S. Dernovici, for their invaluable assistance and providing access to the laboratory and research facilities in the greenhouse. Without their precious support, it would not be possible to conduct this research. I sincerely thank Susan Gregus, L. Flood, D. Chan-hum, for their much-needed assistance in administrative tasks.

I am overwhelmed by all my lab mates for their unconditional help and support, both professionally and personally. I would like to start with Niranjan; he was a true and amazing friend ever since we began to share the lab space. He has inspired me every single day and helped me motivated. I can't forget those sleepless nights working in the lab and going back home the next morning, incredible days of my life! I would like to thank Sripad for always being supportive and guiding me to the right path. He has always boosted my confidence. Also, I thank Russiachand, Krishna Kumar and Chaitra Nagaraju for all your support, motivation and encouragement. Bikram Paudel, I cannot thank you enough for your great help whether it is taking me to the hospital or grocery shopping.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the previous post-docs and lab mates, I have shared lab space with, Dr. Arun Kumar, Dr. Yogendra Kalenahalli, Dr. Shivappa, Dr. Shailesh Karre, Dr. Uday Kage and Dr. Dhananjay Dhokane, Harini, Hanan, Fatemeh, Dr. Farhard and Dr. Huali for continually motivating me to do better research through sharing their scientific work experiences. Thanks for the engagement in fruitful scientific discussions and accompanying me for coffee break and lunch. I would like to extend my deepest gratitude to Dr. Deepa Madalageri for taking care of me like a sister, like a best friend.

I am more than grateful to Achal Dhariwal for always being a great support to me. Thank you for keeping my spirit high, and I am sure, you will become a great scientist. I thank all my beloved friends, Ashish Subba, Mohit, Rasika, Richa, Kshitija, Nilesh, Bhakti, Sadanand, Vanya, Jia, Varinder, Meha, Karuna, Irfan, Diljot, Sukhjiwan, Diksha, Maria, Dina, Julian, and Dana. The memories we have shared shall be cherished forever. Thank you for all your immense care and love. My special thanks to Jérôme Gélinas Bélanger for translating abstract to French.

I am obliged to the McGill University for providing me financial support when I was in need through research assistantship, graduate excellence award, the GREAT award for attending an international conference, Frank P Jones bursary, and Francis James Ioan. I am also grateful to Associate Dean, Dr. Ian Strachan for providing me the Graduate mobility award to enhance graduate research experience at ETH Zurich. I am obliged to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), McGill Sustainability Systems Initiative (MSSI) and Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec (MAPAQ), Québec, Canada for providing funding to conduct this research. I am sincerely grateful to the Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph for providing the Corteva Plant Sciences Symposium travel grant and having me there as one of the plenary speakers.

I finish with India, where I have an amazing family as the most crucial part of my life. My family is unique and perfect in many ways. Their immense love, care, unconditional support and

sacrifice is unmatched. They have supported me whenever I needed it and cherished with me every great moment.

PREFACE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THE AUTHORS

Contributions of Authors

The following thesis was prepared according to the "Guidelines Concerning Thesis Preparation" of McGill University. The thesis contains three Chapters (Chapters III- V) representing three separate research manuscripts: Chapter IV and Chapter V, both are published in the Plant Science Journal. Detailed authors' information and their contributions to each Chapter are mentioned in the "Connecting Text" section before each Chapter. Below is a general description of the thesis topics and the contributions of each author to the thesis.

Nancy Soni was the primary researcher for each Chapter. She conducted all the greenhouse experiments, laboratory experiments, analyzed all the data, wrote manuscripts and the thesis under the supervision of Dr. Ajjamada C. Kushalappa. Dr. Kushalappa provided continuous guidance, resources and funds to conduct the research. He had thoroughly edited the manuscripts and thesis and has given priceless suggestions throughout the research work. His contributions are the same for all the manuscripts. Dr. Raghavendra Gunnaiah, Assistant Professor at University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India and Dr. Shivappa Hukkeri, Scientist-Cannabis Molecular Breeding at Destiny Bioscience, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, provided expertise and insight on the QTL-Fhb1 sequencing project and data analysis. Mr. Achal Dhariwal, a Doctoral research fellow at the University of Oslo, Norway, helped in molecular docking and statistical analysis. Mr. Niranjan Hegde, Plant Science Department, McGill University, helped in greenhouse work and gene silencing experiment discussion. Mr. Bara Altartouri assisted in microscopy and providing images. Dr. Raj Duggavathi, Department of Animal Science, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, provided lab access to perform qRT-PCR and tissue sectioning for histochemical study. Dr. Farhad Nazarian-Firouzabadi, Professor at Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran, provided a protocol for gene expression data analysis.

Contributions to Knowledge

This thesis's Chapters describe original and novel findings on the identification and functional characterization of Fusarium head blight resistance gene, *TaLAC4* and *TaNAC032*, in conferring

resistance to FHB through cell wall reinforcement as the possible resistance mechanism predominant in wheat QTL-Fhb1.

Chapter III describes the original finding related to identifying five novel genes underlying QTL-Fhb1 based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach. Wheat NILs derived from the Sumai 3/Thatcher mapping population were inoculated with the pathogen (Fg) or mock. The rachis samples collected at 72 hpi were used to carry out metabolic profiling. The metabolic profiling of rachis data showed a significant accumulation of metabolites related to phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, fatty acids and terpenoids. These resistance-related metabolites may potentially act as constitutive and inducible defenses against the F. graminearum (Fg) attack. They can be further used as biomarkers following validation. Also, QTL-Fhb1 dissection based on a paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform identified 37 putative candidate genes. The annotated sequences of these genes were analyzed for synteny among chromosomes of related species such as Oryza sativa, Sorghum bicolor, and Brachypodium distachyon. These genes were narrowed down based on gene expression, SNP analysis, and metabolic profiling to identify potential FHB resistance candidates. The five novel candidates selected for FHB resistance are Cell Wall Invertase (CWIN), G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), NAC transcription factor 32-like, Glutamate synthase 1 (GLT1), and Laccase-4-like (LAC4). The polymorphism identified among these genes could be associated with the varied accumulation of resistance-related metabolites in the susceptible NIL. Following functional validation, these genes could further serve in breeding resistant wheat varieties.

Chapter IV represents the first study reporting the role of laccase in FHB resistance underlying wheat QTL-Fhb1. Gene expression analysis based on qRT-PCR in NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1 suggested induced expression of *TaLAC4* in resistant NIL (NIL-R) upon *F*. *graminearum* (*Fg*) inoculation. Multiple sequence alignment of *TaLAC4* gene sequences identified two significant substitutions, lysine to glutamate at position 250 (K250E) and histidine to aspartate at position 252 (H252D) in NIL-S. These mutations have been previously reported to affect DNA binding ability and dissociating ligand-receptor complex, respectively (Ris-Stalpers et al., 1991; Singh et al., 2013). *TaLAC4* function validated based on VIGS in NIL-R confirmed increased susceptibility to *Fg* infection based on disease severity analysis and fungal biomass quantification. Besides, the acid-soluble lignin test confirmed a 15 % higher amount of the total lignin content in NIL-R compared to silenced NIL-R. There was also an altered lignin distribution, which was based on the phloroglucinol-HCL staining. Moreover, the metabolic profiling of *TaLAC4* silenced NIL-R revealed increased accumulation of monolignol derivatives such as coniferyl alcohol glucosides higher in abundance, suggests *TaLAC4* is necessary for the oxidative polymerization of monolignols to biosynthesize G lignin. This study opens the opportunity to explore other laccases or cell wall biosynthetic genes involving synergistically to confer a high level of resistance against FHB in wheat.

Chapter V reported the FHB resistance mechanism governed by the NAC transcription factor, TaNAC032 underlying wheat QTL-Fhb1. For the first time, the study identified a transcription factor underlying QTL-Fhb1 conferring the FHB resistance due to its crucial role in lignin biosynthesis. The *in silico* promoter analysis identified RR metabolite biosynthetic genes containing secondary NAC binding elements (SNBE) to understand the primary transcriptional regulatory mechanism of secondary cell wall biosynthesis govern by TaNAC032 in wheat QTL-Fhb1. The functional significance of the **SNBE** sites for the following genes: TaCCR, TaCAD, TaLAC4, and TaMYB were validated based on Arabidopsis as a search organism using GeneMANIA software (http://www.genemania.org/).

Further, functional validation of *TaNAC032* in NIL-R based on VIGS showed an increase in susceptibility to *F. graminearum* due to a significant increase in disease severity and fungal biomass. The silenced NIL-R also showed the decreased total lignin content, which was quantified based on the acid-soluble lignin test and the Weisner test. Eventually, the expression of downstream lignin-specific genes was also decreased in *TaNAC032* silenced NILs. This was also associated with the reduced abundances of significant metabolites related to monolignol derivatives, lignan glucosides and HCAAs. This study signifies the role of *TaNAC032* as a functional regulatory gene imparting resistance to FHB in wheat by regulating secondary cell wall biosynthetic genes due to cell wall reinforcement.

In general, the candidate genes in QTLs have been identified using NILs with susceptible backgrounds. In the studies presented here, we used NILs with a resistance background. Despite high resistance to FHB, the silenced gene rendered the NIL-R susceptible. Furthermore, in addition to Sumai 3 based NILs, the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) also has developed NILs based on Nyubai. However, in this study, both the NIL-R and NIL-S were highly susceptible to FHB (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). Our study revealed that *TaNAC32* was mutated in both NILs. This confirmed that a molecular marker associated with FHB resistance though present in a genotype,

may not be associated with FHB resistance genes, questioning the use of molecular markers in breeding.

Furthermore, it is proved here that both of these genes should be functional in a cultivar to confer high resistance to the spread of FHB within spike; if any gene is non-functional, then there would be no type-II or rachis resistance. The two genes functionally validated in this study can be used to replace a mutated or non-functional gene in susceptible cultivars based on genome editing tools to enhance FHB resistance in *T. aestivum* and related species, provided the rest of the hierarchy of genes are functional.

CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*), bread wheat, is an allohexaploid (AABBDD) with six sets of chromosomes that include two sets from three different species, 2n=6x=42. The evolution of bread wheat results from two separate hybridization events. The initial hybridization occurred ten years ago between the two grass species *T. urartu* (the A-genome donor) and *T. speltoides* (the B genome donor). This new tetraploid species further hybridized with a diploid species, *T. tauschii* (the D genome donor), resulting in hexaploid wheat with 42 chromosomes, six complete genomes, each of 7 chromosomes (Marcussen et al., 2014). It is the largest grown crop, covering more than 240 million/ha globally (Curtis et al., 2009). It belongs to the Poaceae (formerly known as the *Gramineae*), which represents one of the largest families of flowering plants among 10,000 species and contributes up to 20 % of calories consumed worldwide due to the rich sources of starch and energy (CIMMYT, 2015). It constitutes essential components such as proteins, vitamins, dietary fiber, and phytochemicals beneficial for human health (Shewry and Hey, 2015). The annual global wheat production is 741 million tonnes in September 2016, with Canada ranking in sixth position with 27.6 million tonnes (FAO, 2016).

Over the last decade, an estimated consumption of 725 million tonnes increased wheat demand by 20 % compared to 598 million tonnes in 2006 (FAO, 2016). The production of major cereal crops, such as wheat and barley, has been continuously jeopardized due to various biotic and abiotic environmental stresses. Wheat is highly vulnerable to various pests, nematodes, diseases affecting head and grains (Black chaff, Common bunt, Ergot, Fusarium head blight, Loose smut, Sooty head molds, Septoria nodorum blotch a.k.a. Septoria glume blotch), diseases affecting leaves (Bacterial streak, Barley yellow dwarf, Leaf rust, Powdery mildew), and diseases affecting lower stems and roots (Cephalosporium stripe, Common root rot, Eyespot) (Duveiller et al., 2012). This leads to the extensive reduction of the crop quality and quantity. Fusarium head blight (FHB), also known as the wheat scab, is one of the most destructive wheat diseases caused by a fungal plant pathogen. Since 1991, FHB outbreaks across the eastern half of the United States have been common and outspread, affecting both yield and quality of wheat produced (Bai and Shaner, 1994; McMullen et al., 1997). Among several species causing FHB, the most prevalent ones include Fusarium graminearum Schwabe (teleomorph: Gibberella zeae), Fusarium culmorum, Fusarium avenaceum (teleomorph: Gibberella avenaceum) (Parry et al., 1995). In North America, F. graminearum Schwabe prevails over other species that can cause FHB (Atanasov, 1920;

Bergstrom et al., 1986; Parry et al., 1995; Sutton et al., 2007). During harvest, the light-weighted kernels and the fungus-infected wheat and barley head debris come into soil surface contact and become a vital site for the overwintering of the fungus. Further, hot and humid weather during crop growing season facilitate fungus development and spread by landing onto the kernels, glumes, or other head parts (Atanasov, 1920; Bergstrom et al., 1986; Ireta and Gilchrist, 1994; Parry et al., 1995; Sutton et al., 2007). In cereal crops such as wheat, the fungus macroconidia and ascospores germinate and penetrate through space between lemma and palea, colonize florets, and spread to other spikelets through the rachis leading to necrotic lesions, bleaching, and shriveling of kernels (Matny, 2015). Fusarium infection contaminates grains with mycotoxins (fungal secondary metabolites) such as deoxynivalenol (DON), nivalenol (NIV), and zearalenone (ZON), which weakens gluten strength, affecting the milling and baking qualities (Dexter et al., 1996; McMullen et al., 1997). Consumption of mycotoxin infected grains is hazardous to human and animal health, which causes cancers, immunosuppression, reproductive problems and abortion (Matny, 2015). Consequently, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) sets the limits of DON with >1 ppm (µg g-1) for human consumption (McMullen et al., 1997).

Integrated FHB disease management strategies are mainly comprised of: (i) Cultural practices, (ii) Fungicides application, (iii) Biological control agents and, (iv) Plant host resistance. Cultural practices include proper crop rotation, good tillage practices, and implementation of phytosanitary measures such as collection and disposal of waste remains. Fungicides such as Caramba (BASF Corporation), Prosaro (Bayer CropScience), Proline (Bayer CropScience) belongs to the triazole class of fungicides. Triazole fungicides are triazole pesticides derivatives constituting the most important category of fungicides that have excellent protective, curative and eradicant power towards a broad spectrum of crop diseases. The fungicide group, demethylation inhibitors (DMI), which contain the triazole fungicides, are highly effective against many different fungal diseases, especially powdery mildews, rusts, and many leaf-spotting fungi (Mueller, 2006). The fungicides are most efficient for managing FHB if applied at an appropriate time interval (Bradley and McMullen, 2008). Also, biological control agents such as Bacillus and Pseudomonas and yeast belonging to Rhodotorula, Sporobolomyces and Cryptococcus genera were found effective in reducing the growth of F. graminearum (Palazzini et al., 2007). Unfortunately, they all are associated with several drawbacks: high cost, irregular efficacy, unpredictable nature of FHB outbreak and potential health risks (Stack, 2000; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Xue et al., 2008).

Eventually, host plant resistance is considered the most efficient, economical, and eco-friendly approach to control the incidence of FHB and the accumulation of mycotoxin (Buerstmayr et al., 2002).

FHB resistance is quantitative and the severity dramatically varies with environmental conditions, making the selection of resistant genotypes very challenging (Bai and Shaner, 1994; Buerstmayr et al., 2002). The variation in FHB resistance among cultivars was first reported in the 19th century in the United States of America (Cummins, 1978). Three types of FHB resistance has been broadly categorized: (i) resistance to initial infection of spikelets (type-I), (ii) resistance to spread within the spike through rachis (type-II) and (iii) reduction of mycotoxin accumulation in grains (type-III) (Mesterhazy, 1995). Researchers from several different countries identified cultivars possessing different FHB resistance levels; however, only a few sources showed stable FHB resistance across environments. These have been used as the major sources of resistance in breeding programs (Yu et al., 2006). Among these, type II resistance is evaluated based on disease severity or the area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) over 15-20 days post-inoculation of a single pair of spikelets with a pre-adjusted spore concentration, thus reducing inoculum load variability. Whereas type I resistance is evaluated based on spray inoculation, which is comparatively more prone to experimental errors, leading to avoidance. The experimental errors have significantly been reduced by inoculating spikelets with known concentration of inoculum and measuring pathogen biomass in inoculated spikelets, under greenhouse conditions (Kumar et al., 2015).

More than a hundred quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for FHB resistance have been identified in wheat using Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) (Buerstmayr et al., 2012). The FHB resistance QTL, with major or minor effects, has been reported from all the 42 chromosomes of hexaploid wheat. Major QTL on chromosome 3B (QTL-Fhb1), 6B (QTL-Fhb2), and 2D exhibit type-II resistance, and chromosomes 5A (QTL-Fhb5) and 4B (QTL-Fhb4) confer type-I resistance. These QTLs were stable across different parental backgrounds and environments in several mapping populations (Buerstmayr et al., 2012). However, the resistance mechanisms underlying these QTL are yet unexplored. Thus, identifying genes underlying these QTLs and elucidating the mechanism associated is critical for transferring these genes into elite cultivars.

Among all the QTLs identified, the QTL-Fhb1 derived from Sumai-3 is the major QTL located on the 3BS chromosome arm, the largest of the wheat chromosome (Choulet et al., 2014).

The QTL-Fhb1 derived from Sumai-3 (resistant parent) and Thatcher (susceptible parent) cross explained 60 % of the phenotypic variation for rachis resistance (Cuthbert et al., 2006). Sumai-3 is a Chinese bread wheat cultivar that possesses a high level of rachis resistance. The QTL has been mapped as a Mendelian factor, spanning a region of 1.3 cM flanked by STS-80 and STS-142 markers. Different mapping populations have been used to fine-map QTL-Fhb1 conferring type II resistance to identify candidate genes involved in resistance (Cuthbert et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008). Positional cloning of QTL-Fhb1 within a 261 kb region of the bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones revealed seven novel genes. Four were used to develop transgenic lines but failed to confer resistance against FHB (Liu et al., 2008). Transcriptomics analysis of near-isogenic lines (NILs), generated by the process of repeated backcrossing carrying QTL-Fhb1, revealed increased transcript abundance of genes related to jasmonic acid, ethylene-related, ABC transporters, UDPglucosyltransferases, WRKY transcriptions factors, PCD-related and xylanase inhibitors (Jia et al., 2009); glucanases, NBS-LRR, WRKY transcription factors and UDP-glucosyltransferases (Kugler et al., 2013); pathogen-related proteins, ABC transporter and jasmonic acid signaling related genes (Xiao et al., 2013), but none proved the FHB resistance mechanisms. A few genes have been identified as candidates and functionally validated, such as the pore-forming toxin gene (PFT) as the resistance gene and a histidine-rich calcium-binding protein (TaHRC) as the susceptible gene but the mechanisms of resistance has not been elucidated (Su et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019).

Alternatively, functional analyses of these mapped QTL based on an integrated approach such as metabolomics combined with genomics are considered the most promising way to identify the molecular players involved in disease resistance (Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013). Forward genetics is an approach (metabolomics, proteomics, transcriptomics and genomics) to identify the candidate gene for plant disease resistance. Whereas reverse genetics approach (e.g., virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)) functionally characterizes the gene as the best approach to unveil the resistance mechanism in plants against biotic stress (Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013).

Metabolomics is the comprehensive, non-biased, high throughput analysis of complex metabolite mixtures allowing the identification and quantification of every individual metabolite ideally. Metabolomics contributes to our understanding of the complex molecular interactions in biological systems, thus defining functional genomics methodology (Hall et al., 2002). They are the end products of cellular processes; their fold changes can be considered an individual's

phenotype to genetic or environmental changes (Fiehn, 2002). Metabolic profiling of wheat and barley spikelets inoculated with *F. graminearum* identified hundreds of metabolites, including monomers and polymers of phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, fatty acids, alkaloids and terpenes (Bollina et al., 2010; Kumaraswamy et al., 2011). Some of these metabolites were deposited to reinforce the cell wall, thus controlling the pathogen to initial infection or from rachis colonization (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). Metabolites have mapped in the metabolic pathways to identify the candidate genes such as *TaACT* in wheat QTL-2DL (Kage et al., 2017) and *HvWIN1* in barley (Kumar et al., 2016). Combined transcriptomics and metabolomics enabled the identification of resistant genes (R genes) such as *TaACL* in wheat QTL-Fhb2 (Dhokane et al., 2016) and *HvCERK1* in barley (Karre et al., 2017). Likewise, a combined metabolic-genomics study identified and functionally characterized the *TaACT* gene and *TaWRKY* transcription factor in QTL-2DL, imparting resistance against FHB (Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017). However, an association of RR metabolite with the gene is insufficient to understand the resistance mechanism; functional validation is still needed.

Among several available tools for functional validation, VIGS is a comparatively more efficient and fast technique to perform gene functional analyses in response to both biotic and abiotic stress (Senthil-Kumar et al., 2008; Cakir et al., 2010; Ramegowda et al., 2014). Upon functional validation, these candidate genes can be further used in breeding programs for crop improvement. Within this framework, the present study aimed at employing an integrated metabolo-genomic approach to identify and functionally characterize genetic determinants of FHB resistance underlying major QTL-Fhb1. The identified resistance genes can be further used in breeding FHB.

1.1 OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES

Hypotheses

1. Wheat near-isogenic lines (NILs), carrying resistance and susceptible alleles of the QTL- Fhb1, may vary in their gene sequence and gene expression upon *F. graminearum* inoculation.

2. Resistance gene(s) functional in resistant NIL biosynthesizes metabolites that are antimicrobial and/or reinforce cell walls to suppress the pathogen growth, thus the disease severity.

3. The mutated or non-functional gene(s) in susceptible NIL is inapt to synthesize these metabolite(s), or if they can, not significantly higher in abundance.

4. The silencing of the resistance gene(s) in the resistant NIL would compromise resistance against FHB.

Global Objective

The main objective of this research is to identify and functionally characterize the novel candidates associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in the wheat major QTL-Fhb1 by silencing them in resistant near-isogenic lines derived from a fixed resistant (Sumai 3*5/Thatcher) mapping population.

Specific Objectives

1. To identify the induced resistant gene(s) functional in wheat resistant NILs that are polymorphic in susceptible NILs based on QTL-Fhb1 sequencing.

2. To identify resistance-related induced metabolites in wheat near-isogenic lines accumulated higher in abundance to combat the infection by *Fusarium graminearum*.

3. To functionally validate the candidate gene(s) identified in wheat QTL-Fhb1 based on virusinduced gene silencing (VIGS) approach.

4. To confirm the silencing events based on disease severity assessment, fungal biomass quantification, metabolic profiling and lignin detection.

CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Fusarium head blight

2.1.1 Fusarium head blight epidemiology

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is one of the fatal diseases globally, affecting mainly wheat and barley (Leonard and Bushnell, 2003). The fungal species causing disease include F. avenaceum, F. culmorum, F. poae and F. graminearum. Among all, F. graminearum (Gibberella *zeae*) predominantly affects major cereal crops worldwide and is the primary causal agent of FHB (Bai et al., 2000). The disease was first discovered in England in 1884 and was known as "scab," which later became "tombstone disease" due to the chalky, lifeless appearance of infected kernels (McMullen et al., 1997). The fungus is primarily known for producing mycotoxins such as deoxynivalenol, which has adverse effects on human and animal health. FHB is ranked as the ruinous plant disease by the USDA (United States Department of Agriculture). Since 1990, a loss of over 3 billion dollars due to FHB epidemics concerned all the wheat and barley farmers in the United States and Canada (Windels, 2000). Fungal species such as F. graminearum has more than one host such as oat, rye corn, rice, barley, and soybean, which significantly contribute towards infection by providing a source of inoculums. Several ascospores and asexual macroconidia, chlamydospores, and hyphal fragments serve as a primary and principle source of inoculums carried away by the wind to the site of infection (Perry, 1995; Bai and Shaner, 2004). As the optimum temperature for the pathogen growth and development is 25°C, any increase in the temperature increases fungal infection risk. Favorable environmental conditions such as hot and humid weather during the anthesis stage also subsidize infection incidence. The disease has caused immense losses in both the quality and quantity of the wheat grains to date.

2.1.2 Pathogen growth and colonization

The pathogen, *F. graminearum*, survives in the plant debris in the soil as infected mycelium or as ascocorps. Under favorable conditions (warm, wet, and humid), the ascocorps absorb water and forcibly release ascospores, the sexual stage (*Gibberella zeae*). These ascospores may travel a short distance through wind currents and land on susceptible wheat heads leading to infection (Leonard and Bushnell, 2003). The wheat anthesis stage is the primary stage of infection. The infection is mainly through the initial colonization of anthers or stomata. The extruded anthers

are colonized, and through that, it enters the spikelets. At anthesis time, they produce ascospores that germinate and penetrate through cracks between lemma and palea, enter into the spikelets through the stomata on the inner side and colonize the spikelet. From the infected spikelets, it spreads to neighboring spikelets within a spike through rachis. However, in general, it cannot colonize rachis in barley as they have high rachis resistance. The severity of infection depends on the stage of infection. For instance, there will be no development of kernels if the anthers get infected just after their emergence, which results in fungus colonization and florets destruction. If florets are infected a bit late, they will produce diseased kernels (shrunk, wrinkled, or tombstone in appearance) (Del Ponte et al., 2007). Furthermore, if kernels get colonized by the fungus during the late development stage, it might get contaminated with a mycotoxin. What is more disastrous is using infected seeds as a sowing material, which would serve as an inoculum source infecting seedlings.

The first symptoms of fusarium head blight can be visualized after the anthesis (flowering) stage, where diseased spikelets display premature bleaching. As the pathogens grow and spread, the infection symptoms may progress throughout the entire spike. Accumulation of light pink colored spores (sporodochia) may appear on the rachis and on individual spikelets under warm and moist environmental conditions, which is very favorable for the growth of *F*. *graminearum* (Bai and Shaner, 1996). Followed by this, blue-black spherical bodies known as perithecia (reproductive structures of fungus) may develop on the infected spikelet surface. Later, the fungus colonizes the entire spikelet and the developing grain. As a result, the infected kernels are found rough, shriveled, wrinkled, and grayish-brown colored (Del Ponte et al., 2007). Relative humidity (>90 %) and moderate warm temperature (~ 15 – 300 C) are the best-suited

Relative number (>90 %) and moderate warm temperature (~ 13 - 500 C) are the best-suited conditions for the occurrence of FHB infection (Del Ponte et al., 2007). These conditions act as an inoculum during the anthesis stage to progress floret infection and grains colonization by fungus. Since the FHB disease cycle has limited timelines, such as sporulation, spore dispersal, and host infection, it is well suited to be examined by disease forecasting models. Disease forecasting models integrate factors like temperature, humidity, inoculum production, and plant development as forecasters regarding FHB severity (De Wolf et al., 2003).

2.1.3 Impacts of FHB in wheat production

FHB causes severe damage to wheat grains either directly or indirectly by contaminating grains with mycotoxins (Gilbert and Tekauz, 2011). Direct damage leads to a reduction in the yield

due to insufficient production of kernels through infected florets, which may get separated from the chaff during the threshing process, or kernels may not be produced at all. On the other hand, indirect damage contaminates grain with mycotoxins causes severe effects on human and animal health (Champeil et al., 2004). Mycotoxins are the chemicals produced by Fusarium species to defend themselves against other microorganisms or disrupt the plant's immune system. Deoxynivalenol (DON) is one of the major toxins produced by F. graminearum (Goswami and Kistler, 2004). DON, also known as vomitoxin, affects the digestive system of monogastric animals. It is known to disrupt cell function by interfering with protein synthesis. It adversely affects humans either through direct consumption of grains contaminated with DON or through the consumption of animals fed with contaminated grains, causing nausea, fever, vomiting, and headache (Pestka, 2008). DON contaminated grains also lead to feeding refusal by animals (McMullen et al., 1997). The USA and Eastern Canada have undergone losses of million metric tons in wheat yield for many years. During the 1990s, the Canadian province- Manitoba, suffered a high economic loss of USD 300 million, and Ontario and Quebec lost USD 200 million (Windels, 2000). Also, the loss of 7 million hectares of land due to FHB infection has been accounted for in China (Bai and Shaner, 2004). The primary concern for researchers is the DON levels in the FHB infected wheat. The DON level in infected human food was found very high (>20 ppm), in contrast to what is recommended (<1 ppm) by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA). In this aspect, the FDA has set guidelines for DON levels allowable in livestock feed depending upon their tolerance levels (Schmale and Munkvold, 2009).

2.1.4 Management practices for FHB

Several management practices for FHB were used to reduce disease spread, including cultural, chemical, and biological approaches. Cultural practices include preparation of land, crop rotation with non-cereal crops, and water management during the anthesis stage to reduce disease prevalence (Champeil et al., 2004). Since primary inoculum is the major source of widespread disease, deep plowing practices, burying crop residues, early sowing of cultivars for a short duration helped to escape disease in some field areas (Champeil et al., 2004). Also, it has been found that a decrease in tillage and maize cropping leads to regional scab epidemics (McMullen et al., 1997; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Bateman et al., 2007). Thus, proper removal of previous crop residues along with good tillage practices may be effective against FHB. Chemical controls include the application of fungicides; for instance, - foliar fungicides have been used against FHB during

the anthesis stage in some areas (McMullen et al., 1997; Mesterházy et al., 1999). However, these fungicides cannot provide complete resistance and are also associated with mycotoxin contamination. Also, fungicides are barely used in some areas because of their high cost, variability in the effectiveness, and FHB epidemics' inconsistency. However, small success has been achieved in commercial fungicides used for cereal seed treatment to control the occurrence of Fusarium seedling blight (Bradley and McMullen, 2008). Foliar fungicides have been found to reduce the FHB severity and DON mycotoxin levels up to 50-60 % during multi-state uniform fungicide trials (Bradley and McMullen, 2008). Different types of biocontrol agents like bacteria (Bacillus spp., Kluyvera cryocrescens, Paenibacillus fluorescens, and Pseudomonas fluorescens), yeasts (Cryptococcus spp and Sporobolomyces roseus), and fungi (Trichoderma harzianum and T. viren) were found useful for controlling FHB (Bacon and Hinton, 2007). Biocontrol agents showed controlled FHB epidemics and also a reduction in the DON contamination. They are useful for protecting the spikelets post-flowering stage when no fungicides can be applied. However, against FHB, not only the regulatory issues, other scientific and technological barriers such as the establishment of appropriate screening methods, the development of appropriate formulation and application, as well as the design and use of tools for monitoring the biocontrol agents introduced into the agroecosystem is still challenging (Legrand et al., 2017). In contrast, host plant resistance is the most efficient, economical, and eco-friendly approach to control FHB incidence and its associated mycotoxin accumulation (Zhu et al., 2016). Thus, integrated management of FHB comprising biological control agents and plant host resistance offers a promising and additional strategy in managing FHB.

2.1.5 Types of FHB resistance

Though resistance against FHB is complicated due to the existing interactions among the genotypes, pathogens, and the environment, it has been broadly classified into three main types of resistance. The three types of resistance comprise a resistance to initial infection of spikelets (type I), resistance to spread of the infection within rachis (type II), and resistance to mycotoxin (type III) (Schroeder and Christensen, 1963; Miller et al., 1985). Evaluation of disease resistance based on spikelet resistance is inconsistent, and also variations in inoculums availability, spore deposition, and environment lead to experimental errors (Cuthbert et al., 2006; Buerstmayr et al., 2009). On the other hand, type II (rachis) resistance to Fusarium head blight is the resistance to the spread of symptoms from the infection. It is commonly measured by observing the progression

of blight throughout the spike from a single inoculated floret (point inoculation) to the neighboring spikelet through rachis (Shaner and Buechley, 2001). Rachis resistance confers both spikelet and rachis resistance and has been found stable in different genotypes across various populations (Cuthbert et al., 2006; Buerstmayr et al., 2009). Type III resistance exists in resistant cultivars carrying factors that prevent deoxynivalenol and other mycotoxins synthesis or promote its degradation (Miller et al., 1985). Wheat genotypes that showed partial or incomplete FHB resistance include Sumai-3, Wangshuibai, Ning 7840, and CItr 11028 derived from China, Nyubai, Shinchunaga, and Nobeokabouzu from Japan, Frontana and Encruzilhada from Brazil, Praa 8 and Novokrumka from Europe and Ernie and Freedom from Northern America (Bai and Shaner, 2004; Duan et al., 2014). Sumai-3 and its derivatives have exhibited high FHB resistance and hence have been used extensively in various breeding programs to identify resistance mechanisms (Yu et al., 2008).

2.2 Wheat crop breeding

2.2.1 Marker-assisted selection (MAS)

FHB resistance is quantitative and associated with low heritable traits that indicate that most of the variation in a trait is due to environmental factors and is not associated with genetic differences. Fusarium head blight is a low heritable trait, with most of the variations is due to environmental factors with little or no influence from the genetic differences (Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Bai and Shaner, 2004). This makes FHB phenotyping more challenging. Thus, molecular markers indicate the best tool for selecting the low heritable traits and mapping QTLs for resistance against FHB. As stated by Van Stanford et al., "Molecular markers technology provides tools needed for the identification, selection and combining favorable alleles through genotypic selection" (Van Sanford et al., 2001). Molecular markers have continuously been exploited in breeding programs for plant selection through marker-assisted selection (MAS), which has been developed to avoid the problems connected with conventional plant breeding to change the selection criteria from the selection of phenotypes towards the selection of genes, either directly or indirectly (Francia et al., 2005). With the availability of various molecular markers and genetic maps, MAS has become possible both for traits governed by major genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs). However, the usefulness of molecular marker is associated with its capability to reveal polymorphism in the nucleotide sequence, allowing discrimination between different molecular

marker alleles. These polymorphisms can be identified using molecular marker techniques such as restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP), amplified fragment length polymorphisms (AFLP), random amplified polymorphic sequences (RAPD), micro-satellite or simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSR), cleavable amplified poly-morphic sequences (CAPS), single-strand conformation polymorphisms (SSCP), single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (Mohan et al., 1997; Rafalski, 2002). Molecular markers have a gigantic future in agriculture research enabling the construction of linkage maps for multiple crop species. QTL (Quantitative trait locus) analyses use these linkage maps to identify chromosomal regions harboring genes that control single or multiple traits. Thus, molecular markers gave new directions to develop crops with improved characteristics (Jonah et al., 2011).

2.2.2. Quantitative trait locus (QTL) for FHB resistance

QTL or Quantitative trait locus analysis is a statistical process/method which connects two forms of information. This helps to describe the genetic basis of the variations within complex traits. QTL analysis allows researchers in fields as diverse as agriculture, evolution, and medicine to link certain complex phenotypes to specific regions of chromosomes. This process aims to identify the action, interaction, number, and precise location of these regions.

Molecular markers have been used to identify QTL in different FHB resistance sources such as Sumai-3, Ernie, Freedom, Truman, Wuhan-1, W14, Frontana, Wangshuibai, Ning 7840, and CJ 9306. More than 100 QTL have been identified, but only a few were stable across the environment and locations (Yu et al., 2008). the 3BS chromosome of Sumai-3 and its derivatives harboring *QTL-Fhb1* is the most widely used QTL for FHB resistance (Waldron et al., 1999; Rudd et al., 2001). It has been found as an effective and stable source of FHB resistance across different genetic backgrounds (Bai and Shaner, 2004). Apart from this, QTLs were also mapped on chromosome 5AS and 6BS using Sumai-3 and its derivatives (Stack, 2000; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2006). A significant achievement was made by developing other resistance cultivars such as W14 and CJ9306 and their derivatives VA01W476 using resistant sources such as Sumai-3, Wangshuibai, Ning-7840, and Frontana (Jiang and Ward, 2006). The FHB resistance in these cultivars was believed to be better than Sumai-3 and also vary in superior agronomic characteristics (Jiang et al., 2007). Also, *QFhs.nau-2DL* derived from CJ9306 was identified, contributing 20 % towards variation in DON accumulation and 15.5 % towards type-II resistance
(Jiang et al., 2007). *QTL-2DL* derived from Wangshuibai was also detected, accounting for an 11 % variation in FHB resistance.

Likewise, QTLs derived from Wangshuibai were mapped on chromosome 4B, 5A, and 5B. Furthermore, various QTLs were derived from Ernie and mapped on chromosomes 2B, 3B, 4BL, and 5A, suggesting 43.3 % of FHB severity variation (Liu et al., 2007). FHB resistance QTL on chromosome 2DL and 4BS derived from Wuhan1 (Chinese cultivar) were also identified using double haploid lines through mapping study (Somers et al., 2003). Since various efforts have been made to identify QTL from different resistance sources, the dissection of QTL will enable identifying the underlying gene(s) and gene mechanisms (Bradley and McMullen, 2008).

2.3 Wheat chromosome 3B and QTL-Fhb1

In the practice of sequencing a complete hexaploid wheat genome (17Gbp), an effort was made by IWGSC to retrieve the physical mapping and reference sequence of all the 21 wheat chromosomes. Among the entire wheat chromosome, 3B is the largest chromosome in size (~ 1Gbp). According to the various studies conducted, the first chromosome harboring a complete BAC (Bacterial Artificial Chromosome) library and a physical map (Šafář et al., 2004; Paux et al., 2008). BAC (Bacterial artificial chromosome) library is the large "DNA insert" library of choice. Furthermore, it is also a crucial tool specifically for map-based cloning, molecular cytogenetics, physical mapping, comparative genomics, and genome sequencing. These are DNA fragments of some particular (known) sizes that can be used to help identify the fragments of DNA of a particular size. This makes sure that the BAC library is accurately made up of DNA fragments that too of a particular size range. These DNA fragments are inserted into a BAC vector using an enzyme called ligase to join the two bits of DNA together.

QTL-Fhb1 is the major QTL located on the 3BS chromosome derived from Sumai-3, a Chinese cultivar (Cuthbert et al., 2006). It was identified and designated as *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS* and mapped by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis (Waldron et al., 1999). Mapping populations with resistant (Sumai-3) and susceptible (Thatcher) genetic background were used to isolate and mapped Fhb1 on 3BS chromosomes within the 1.27-cM interval (S/T) (Cuthbert et al., 2006). Two microsatellite markers, Xgwm533 and Xgwm493, were used to flank *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS* on the 3BS chromosome (Anderson et al., 2001). Sequence-tagged sites (STS) markers developed from wheat expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were used to increase the marker density near *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS* in order to facilitate fine mapping (Liu and Anderson, 2003). This QTL has

been verified in several mapping populations. It lies in the deletion bin 3BS 0.78–0.87, which is a position on the genetic map with a unique segregation pattern and is separated from adjacent bins by a single recombination event (Bai and Shaner, 1996; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2002; Liu and Anderson, 2003). QTL-Fhb1 has been proved to impart rachis resistance against FHB, thus is of significant importance (Bai and Shaner, 1996; Waldron et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2003).

2.3.1 Gene discovery in QTL-Fhb1

Several studies were carried out, expediting the identification of genes responsible for FHB resistance. For instance, map-based cloning led to identifying seven putative candidate genes in QTL-Fhb1 and functionally validated UMN10 as a diagnostic marker (Liu et al., 2008). Diagnostic markers enable efficient and quick characterization and screening of germplasm for allelic diversity with accuracy since they are not subjected to recombination. This avoids false selection or loss of information in marker-assisted breeding (Ogbonnaya et al., 2001; Avila et al., 2007; Nadeem et al., 2018). Transcriptomics analysis of NILS carrying QTL-Fhb1 identified increased transcript abundance of genes related to jasmonic acid, ethylene-related, ABC transporters, UDPglycosyltransferases, WRKY transcriptional factors, PCD-related, and xylanase inhibitors but not functionally validated (Jia et al., 2009). Furthermore, an integrated metabolic-proteomic approach was applied, which led to identifying metabolites related to HCAAs, phenolic glycosides, and flavonoids (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). An effort was made by Kugler et al. to carry out RNA-Seq analysis using NILs, which led to the identification of genes such as glucanases, NBS-LRR, WRKY transcription factors, and UDP-glycosyltransferases. Although unable to prove resistance function (Kugler et al., 2013). Likewise, RNA-Seq, which uses next-generation sequencing to analyze the continuously changing cellular transcriptome, identified pathogen-related proteins, ABC transporter, and jasmonic acid signaling-related genes but were not functionally validated (Xiao et al., 2013). To improve FHB resistance in wheat NILs containing the contrasting alleles at QTL-Fhb1 derived from Sumai-3 and Stoa (susceptible), fine mapped to 0.08cm, a pore-forming toxin gene (PFT) was identified. Silencing the PFT gene in NIL-R led to a severely bleached spike (Rawat et al., 2016). However, this gene failed to confirm FHB resistance in Wangshubai and Sumai-3 (Jia et al., 2018). RNA-seq analysis of 1Mb genomic contig harboring Fhb1 from the Sumai-3 derivative CM-82036 identified 28 genes, including a GDSL lipase gene, as a potential candidate based on high expression in NIL-R (Schweiger et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis and wheat,

GDSL lipase has been reported to modulate system immunity by exploiting the ethylene (ET) signaling pathway (Ling, 2008; Kwon et al., 2009). Recently, a histidine-rich calcium-binding protein (*TaHRC*) was identified with a large deletion in the start codon region of its susceptible allele and functionally validated to confer FHB resistance by preventing *F. graminearum* spread (Su et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019). So far, GDSL, PFT, and HRC genes are considered as a genetic component of QTL-Fhb1 and a key determinant for FHB resistance in wheat, but the mechanism of resistance is still at large.

2.4 Forward genetics approach to identify novel candidates in FHB resistance

2.4.1 QTL-sequencing

Quantitative trait locus (QTL)-Seq is a method that combines bulked segregant analysis (BSA) and high-throughput whole-genome re-sequencing to detect the major locus of a certain quantitative trait in a segregating population. However, identifying the gene(s) and gene mechanisms to impart resistance against FHB requires a comprehensive dissection of QTL associated with important agronomic quantitative traits. The advancement in high-throughput next-generation sequencing technologies has smoothened the path to attain QTL-sequencing. Various crops have been studied for investigating genes underlying major QTL by adopting a QTL-sequencing strategy. In brassica, a fine mapped QTL region harboring genes responsible for seed glucosinolate contents such as GSL-Elong, Myb28, GSL-ALK, and GSL-PRO were studied for underlying genetic mechanisms (Bisht et al., 2009). In radish roots, GSL-QTL-2 regions were analyzed for the SNP markers corresponds to candidate genes such as *RsMAM3*, *RsIPMDM1*, and *RsBCAT4* responsible for the biosynthesis of 4-methylthio-3-butenyl glucosinolate (4MTB-GSL) (Zou et al., 2013). In rice, QTL-sequencing was used to reveal gene(s) or QTLs linked with blast resistance, thus conveying resistance against Magnaporthe oryzae, a fungal pathogen in rice cultivar (Takagi et al., 2013). In cucumber, a candidate gene Ef1.1 underlying a major QTL controlling early flowering on chromosome 1 has been identified successfully employing QTLsequencing based on NGS technology (Lu et al., 2014). A study was performed to identify potential candidate genes underlying major Chickpea QTL associated with 100-seed weight using NGSbased whole genome QTL-sequencing integrated with QTL mapping followed by differential expression profiling. This study revealed six protein-coding putative candidate genes, where COP9 signalosome complex subunit 8 (CSN8) served as a potential candidate having a role in enhancing

seed weight and yield (Das et al., 2015). In the recent past, SNP markers and leaf rust resistance locus, Lr19 underlying QTL (*Qfhs-pur-7EL*) were identified against fungal pathogens, *F.graminearum*, and *P. triticina* Eriks using genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) (Xiao et al., 2016).

In the light of above-mentioned alluring studies, sequencing wheat major QTL-Fhb1 based on flanking marker sequences will pave the way towards discovering genes and their role in FHB resistance. A flanking marker is an identifiable region, that is polymorphism, located near a gene that can be used in linkage studies to track the gene's coinheritance in question.

2.4.2 Metabolomics

Metabolomics generates a profile of small molecules derived from cellular metabolism through complex networks of biochemical reactions, thereby providing insights into multiple aspects of cellular physiology. Advancements in technology have paved the way to the rapid and increasingly expansive data acquisition with samples as small as single cells. However, substantial challenges in the field remain (Liu and Locasale, 2017).

Metabolomics is defined as a systematic identification and quantitation of all metabolites in an organism or biological sample under specified conditions (Shulaev, 2006). Metabolites being closer to phenotype help answer several questions related to the plant phenotypes and provide the missing link between the genotype and the phenotype (Fiehn, 2002; Hall et al., 2002; Okazaki and Saito, 2012). Metabolomics investigates the activity and status of cellular and organismal metabolism on a global or network scale to delineate the endpoints of physiology and pathophysiology. It involves measuring small-molecule compounds, including endogenous and exogenous molecules, that are the products and substrates of chemical reactions within biological systems. A metabolomics experiment directly reflects the metabolic network activity that leads to the production of these metabolites and yields essential information about the system's underlying biological status in question (Jang et al., 2018). However, the fundamental chemical structure's difference impedes unraveling the biological questions to the level of other omics technologies like genomics and proteomics, thus requiring future advancements (Okazaki and Saito, 2012). Even though metabolomics has been widely used as a tool for functional genomics, discover biomarkers, safety assessment of genetically modified crops, QTL analysis, stress resistance (abiotic and biotic) and trait improvement in plants (Soga et al., 2006; Urano et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2010; Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Yogendra et al., 2014; Yogendra et al., 2017).

2.4.2.1 Metabolite extraction and analytical platforms

Accurate determination of intracellular metabolite levels requires well-validated procedures for sampling and sample treatment. Several methods exist for metabolite extraction, but the literature is contradictory regarding the adequacy and performance of each technique. A metabolome consists of a vast array of compounds; hence the fully integrated strategy for metabolite extraction, optimal separation, detection, identification, and data analysis will affect the final output and advancement, further dependent on analytical and computational developments (Hall et al., 2002). The metabolite extraction is the primary step in metabolic profiling; thus, a comprehensive approach should be used to quench maximum metabolites. Likely, using one single solvent cannot extract all the metabolites; therefore, one which gives maximum extraction must be used (Shulaev et al., 2008; Gunnaiah, 2013). Besides, the polarity of the analytes in consideration and the analytical platform also contribute significantly to metabolite extraction. Among several concentrations of methanol and chloroform tried and tested, it was found that 70% to 75% methanol (v/v) is the most efficient in extracting a wide range of secondary metabolites from various plants and tissues (De Vos et al., 2007).

The analytical techniques that are most often used for metabolite profiling include NMR, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS), liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), and capillary electrophoresis–mass spectrometry (CE–MS) to gain a broad perspective of the metabolome of a tissue (Shulaev, 2006). GC combined with MS-based metabolite profiling represents a highly sensitive, reliable, robust approach but is limited to volatile compounds and requires derivatization (Lisec et al., 2006). It also has the advantage of large commercial and public libraries being available (Schauer et al., 2005). GC combined with the time of flight (TOF)- MS was the mainstream method, and later GC-MS was routinely used (Fiehn et al., 2000; Lisec et al., 2006). GC-MS-based metabolomics technique has broad applications in identifying and quantifying primary plant metabolites primarily involved in natural growth, reproduction, and development and generally perform a physiological function within the organism. These include amino acids, sugars, sugar alcohols, organic acids, and polyamines, thus focusing exclusively on primary metabolic pathways (Wang et al., 2015). CE-MS additionally fills the comparable need like GC-MS yet isn't mainstream and only sometimes utilized. It gives enormous scope quantitative information to vast loads of metabolites like GC-TOF or MS (Vinaixa et al., 2016).

LC-MS, then again, is the most favored method for the investigation of plant secondary metabolites. The bit of leeway is that no derivatization is required. It holds a large sample capacity; however, it's slow compared to other techniques (De Vos et al., 2007). LC, in combination with a soft ionization strategy, can be more proficient. Electrospray ionization (ESI) or atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) results in a positive or negative mode ionization. The LC-MS approach helps recognize and measure numerous semi-polar compounds such as phenolic acids, phenylpropanoids, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosylates, saponins and others (De Vos et al., 2007). In response to *F. graminearum* infection, several resistance-related metabolites in barley and wheat using LC-MS have been accounted (Bollina et al., 2010; Bollina et al., 2011; Kumaraswamy et al., 2011).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy has also come to the fore in plant metabolomics as the choice method for natural product structure determination (Ward et al., 2007). NMR provides another non-destructive, non-biased, highly quantitative platform, doesn't require derivatization or separation, can determine the atomic state of compounds and enables identification of complex unidentified compounds (Okazaki and Saito, 2012). However, the drawback persists with low sensitivity, which reduces NMR utilization over Mass spectrometry (De Vos et al., 2007). Other direct injection approaches such as Fourier transform- ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FTMS) and TOF-MS has been a platform of choice due to high sensitivity and resolution, thus have been used for metabolite fingerprinting without separation. FTMS has an advantage over other analytical platforms due to its ability to detect compounds before separation and provide accurate chemical formulae of the detected peaks, which helps metabolite annotations (Okazaki and Saito, 2012).

Nonetheless, direct conveyance frameworks may expand the adduct development, and it needs an identification framework for sub-atomic isomers (De Vos et al., 2007). Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) imaging has likewise been utilized to distinguish plant metabolites yet with restricted applications (Kaspar et al., 2011). Additionally, platforms like stable isotope- enabled mass spectrometry have opened new entryways for plant metabolomics misused and have been favorable in improving the metabolite annotation and identifying associated pathways (Zhao et al., 2020).

2.4.2.2 Computational framework and advancement of metabolomics

Several tools are available for data processing, which is a critical step in large scale, untargeted metabolomics. The processing of LC-MS data depends upon the use and the and large scale, untargeted metabolomics. The drawback remains associated with a very few secondary metabolites have been identified in plant species compared to the primary metabolites (Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013). However, data processing developments in the past years have made data processing holistic, unbiased and made it simple than before. Software packages available for mass peak detection and alignment includes XCMS (Smith et al., 2006), XCMS² (Benton et al., 2008), MetAlign (Lommen and Kools, 2012), MZmine (Katajamaa et al., 2006; Pluskal et al., 2010), Markerlynx and others. These packages consist of four necessary steps: deconvolution, grouping, alignment across samples and gap filling. Based on mass identified and retention time, analytical information in the profile is transformed into coordinates followed by alignment (De Vos et al., 2007). Often, multiple peaks for the same compound are identified as the output of these software packages, including adducts, isotopes, and dimers. The annotation/identification of the metabolites is made using the accurate mass, fragmentation pattern and number of carbons based on isotope ratio (Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013). The accurate mass of compounds can be referenced with the masses of the compounds available in public or commercially available libraries and databases. Likewise, the fragmentation patterns of compounds can be matched with the databases like KEGG, METLIN, Lipid Maps, PlantCyc, MetaCyc, MASS BANK, KNAsSAcK and others. The fragmentation patterns can also be confirmed using ChemDraw, ChemSketch or other chemical drawing software for compounds and standards for the respective compound (De Vos et al., 2007; Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013). Metabolite identification can also be performed by knowing the number of carbon atoms present in the molecular based on isotope ratio (Kushalappa and Gunnaiah, 2013).

2.5 Reverse genetics approach for functional genomics

2.5.1 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) is one of the reverse genetics tools for analyzing gene function that uses viral vectors carrying a target gene fragment to produce dsRNA, which triggers RNA-mediated gene silencing. In plants, virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) has broad applications in both forward and reverse genetics and is an effective means for the functional annotation of genes. Functional validation of genes in cereal crops such as wheat is very challenging due to its big and complex genome. For instance, functional analysis of genes has been efficiently carried out in model crops such as Arabidopsis and rice using T-DNA knockout libraries and T-DNA activation (Weigel et al., 2000). However, none of these techniques can be exploited for gene functional validation in wheat due to very low transformation efficiency. Also, wheat being polyploid is not suited for gene functional validation through the loss of function mutations because of homologous genes (Cakir et al., 2010). Thus, Virus-induced gene silencing has proved to be an asset in genetics for carrying out gene functional analysis.

VIGS was first used to describe the phenomenon of recovery from virus infection (Van Loon and Van Kammen, 1970). RNA-mediated post-transcriptional gene silencing-based defense response against pathogens carried out by VIGS is a host's natural mechanism (Ratcliff et al., 1997; Robertson, 2004). It uses viral vectors carrying a target gene fragment to produce dsRNA, which triggers RNA-mediated gene silencing. So far, various viral vectors for carrying gene silencing in different crop plants have been used, which includes barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV), tomato mosaic virus (TMV), potato virus X (PVX), and tobacco rattle virus (TRV) (Kang et al., 2013; Manmathan et al., 2013). However, the extensive use of BSMV vectors has been observed in crops such as wheat and barley (Tai et al., 2005). For the first time, Ma et al. (2012) carried out virus-induced gene silencing in spikes or grains of monocotyledonous species using the Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV)-based vector. PDS encoding phytoene desaturase was used as a marker gene for checking the feasibility of BSMV used for gene silencing in grains, followed by silencing the gene of interest (Ma et al., 2012). VIGS is considered the best technique to study the gene function in plant development, biotic and abiotic stress resistance (Robertson, 2004; Burch-Smith et al., 2006; Senthil-Kumar et al., 2008; Ramegowda et al., 2014).

This approach was successfully employed in revealing gene functions of Lr21, Lr10 (resistance to leaf rust), pm3b, Pm21 (powdery mildew resistance loci) in wheat (Scofield et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2013; Manmathan et al., 2013). The transcription factor (WKRY53) and phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) were identified as having significant roles in aphid resistance based on VIGS in wheat (Van Eck et al., 2010). The role of gene encoding high molecular weight glutenin subunit 1Bx14 was functionally validated by silencing (Ma et al., 2012). Mlo gene was silenced in *Triticum aestivum* for the resistance against powdery mildew (Várallyay et al., 2012). Silencing of two genes, *TaEra1* and *TaSal1*, which enhances drought tolerance, was

achieved by exploiting the VIGS approach (Manmathan et al., 2013). BSMV-VIGS system was successfully used to study wheat genes involved in *Z. tritici*–wheat leaf interactions against Septoria tritici leaf blotch (STB) disease of wheat (Lee et al., 2015).

CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER III

As discussed previously in Chapter II, the most promising approach for FHB resistance is to develop and cultivate resistant varieties. Wheat QTL-Fhb1 is the major effect QTL for FHB resistance, mainly associated with type II resistance (resistance to spread of the pathogen within the spike through rachis). Several genes were identified and functionally validated for their resistance effects, yet the resistance mechanism is not entirely understood. The microarray-based analysis revealed that the FHB resistance mechanism is organ-specific. After glume, the rachis is considered the second most responsive organ when exposed to the macroconidia of F. graminearum (Golkari et al., 2007). Thus, the structural and biochemical composition of rachis varies in resistant and susceptible NILs, which plays a critical role in restricting pathogen advancement (Miller et al., 2011; Lahlali et al., 2015). We hypothesized that NILs carrying resistance and susceptible alleles of the QTL- Fhb1 might vary in their gene sequence and gene expression upon F. graminearum. We also hypothesized that if the resistance gene is functional in resistant NIL, it may biosynthesize metabolites that are antimicrobial and/or reinforce cell walls to control the pathogen and impart rachis resistance. In the present study, candidate genes involved in rachis resistance underlying wheat major QTL-Fhb1 based on metabolic profiling and dissecting wheat fine mapped QTL-Fhb1 using paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform were identified. The functional characterization of selected potential genes was conducted and reported in the following studies.

Nancy Soni wrote the first draft of the manuscript. She conceived the experimental design and performed most of the experiments. Dr. Raghavendra Gunnaiah and Dr. Shivappa Hukkeri, former Ph.D. students in Dr. Kushalappa's lab, helped with metabolomics analysis and synteny mapping, respectively. Dr. Kushalappa has been actively involved in designing each experiment's strategies, corrected several drafts of the manuscript and provided full funding to carry out the work performed in this Chapter.

CHAPTER III

Combined metabolo-genomics approach-based identification of genetic determinants of Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat QTL-Fhb1

Nancy Soni¹, Raghavendra Gunnaiah^{1,2}, Shivappa Hukkeri^{1,3}, and Ajjamada C. Kushalappa¹

¹ Plant Science Department, McGill University, Ste.-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada; ² University of Horticultural Science, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India; ³ University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Ajjamada C. Kushalappa Professor, McGill University Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada H9X3V9 Tel: 1(514-709-5874) Email: <u>ajjamada.kushalappa@mcgill.ca</u>

Keywords: Fusarium head blight, wheat NILs; QTL-Sequencing; SNP analysis; semiquantitative PCR; metabolomics.

3.1 Abstract

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is one of the most damaging diseases of wheat worldwide. QTL-Fhb1 is a prominent FHB resistance locus of wheat successfully introgressed in wheat breeding programs. It confers significantly high resistance to the causal pathogen Fusarium graminearum (F_g) and mycotoxin deoxynivalenol. However, mechanisms of resistance are still elusive. In this study, a prospective resistance mechanism has been elucidated based on a combined metabologenomics approach. Semi-targeted metabolomics of rachis sample, following mock and Fginoculation, identified a total of 111 significant metabolites, classified further into resistancerelated constitutive (RRC) and resistance-related induced (RRI) metabolites. Illumina HiSeq nextgeneration DNA sequencing of the NILs with resistant and susceptible allele identified 37 putative candidate genes. The differences in gene expression profiles between near-isogenic lines segregating for Fhb1 inoculated with Fg or treated with mock were investigated based on semiquantitative PCR. Among several genes identified, the pathogen-induced TaNAC32, TaLAC4, TaCwInv, TaLECRK1, and TaGST1 in the NIL-R were found to be polymorphic in the NIL-S, suggests their potential role in FHB resistance. The list of candidate genes, SNP variant analysis and metabolic profiling represents a valuable resource for breeding and future studies aiming to functionally validate role of these genes for *Fg* and deoxynivalenol resistance.

Significance and Impact of the Study: This study identifies novel candidate genes for FHB resistance in wheat major QTL-Fhb1 based on a combined metabolo-genomic approach. These genes can be functionally validated in NILs or wheat cultivar for their role in FHB resistance.

3.2 Introduction

Fusarium head blight caused by *Fusarium spp.*, especially *Fusarium graminearum* (Fg), is one of the most devastating wheat diseases (Bai and Shaner, 2004; Buerstmayr et al., 2009). FHB epidemics lead to substantial economic losses due to reduced yield and quality of the grain, mainly due to mycotoxin contamination. DON-contaminated wheat grains are harmful to animals and humans (Jiang et al., 2007; Gauthier et al., 2015). Consequently, the regulatory agencies in Canada and other countries have set the upper limits of DON level in wheat grain at 1ppm for human consumption and at 5ppm for animal feeds (Ferrigo et al., 2016). The current FHB management practices based on cultural practices and fungicides are inadequate to achieve these limits.

Genetic improvement of wheat is the most effective strategy to manage FHB (Bai and Shaner, 2004; Dean et al., 2012). Mainly three types of FHB resistance have been used in breeding programs, such as resistance against initial infection of spikelets (Type I), pathogen spread within the spike (Type II), and mycotoxin degradation (Type III) (David Miller and Arnison, 1986). More than 250 quantitative trait loci (QTL) for FHB resistance were identified in all the 21 chromosomes, of which 50 were considered unique as diversely present in wheat populations (Liu et al., 2009). Among these, significant QTL-Fhb1 (syn. Qfhs.ndsu.3BS, Fhb1) localized on the 3BS chromosome, accounts for 60 % FHB resistance under various genetic backgrounds and environments and considered as the most reliable (Anderson et al., 2001; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Basnet et al., 2012). Therefore, this QTL has been studied extensively to uncover the role in type II FHB resistance and the underlying mechanisms.

Sumai-3 derived QTL-Fhb1 was first identified in several mapping populations and fine mapped to a 1.2 cM interval between sts3B-189 and sts3B-206 from the original SSR markers, gwm493 and gwm533 (Bai et al., 1999; Waldron et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2001; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2008). The dissection of host responses to DON led to the identification of genes such as DON-glucosyltransferases to combat the toxin by converting DON into DON-3-O-glucoside (D3G) in double haploid lines (Lemmens et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 2018). Likewise, transcriptomics of Sumai3 and two susceptible NILs, inoculated with *Fg*, revealed genes encoding β -1,3-glucanase (PR-2), wheatwins (PR-4) and thaumatin-like proteins (PR-5) (Golkari et al., 2009). RNA-sequencing based differential gene expression analyses in NILs with contrasting QTL-Fhb1 identified glucanases, nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR), WRKY transcription factors, and UDP-glucosyltransferases as candidate genes (Kugler et al., 2013;

Hofstad et al., 2016). A gene encoding chimeric lectin-containing two agglutinin domains and an ETX/MTX2 domain involved in carbohydrate binding and pore-forming named PFT (poreforming toxin-like) was identified as the candidate gene in QTL-Fhb1 from Sumai 3 (Rawat et al., 2016). However, this gene failed to confirm FHB resistance in Wangshubai and Sumai-3 (Jia et al., 2018). RNA-seq analysis of 1Mb genomic contig harboring QTL-Fhb1 from the Sumai-3 derivative CM-82036 identified 28 genes, including a GDSL lipase gene, as a potential candidate based on high expression in NIL-R (Schweiger et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis and wheat, GDSL lipase has been reported to modulate system immunity by exploiting the ethylene (ET) signaling pathway (Ling, 2008; Kwon et al., 2009). In the recent past, a histidine-rich calcium-binding protein (TaHRC) was identified as a susceptible gene conferring resistance to FHB based on a large deletion in the start codon region of its susceptible allele (Bai et al., 2018; Su et al., 2018; Su et al., 2019). Later, it was claimed that the deletion led to the expression of a new protein that confers resistance to FHB (Li et al., 2019). Also, previously a candidate for FHB resistance known as wheat gene WFhb1-1 was identified, which has been recently cloned as a key functional component of Qfhb1 with potential antifungal function in wheat (Paudel et al., 2020). Therefore, the race for the identification of the FHB resistance genic component is still going on.

Systems biology approach integrating with other multiple 'omic' platforms emerging as an essential platform to identify novel biological pathways and understanding the disease resistance mechanisms (Shah and Newgard, 2015). Metabolites being closer to the phenotype are considered to explain the mechanisms of FHB resistance. Previously, a semi-comprehensive metabolomic analysis revealed high fold change increase in abundance of hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAAs) and fatty acid metabolites in response to Fg in wheat NILs and identified *TaACT* and *TaWRKY70* as a candidate gene for FHB resistance (Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017). Furthermore, an integrated metabolic-RNA sequencing has identified *Ta4CL3* in wheat and *HvCERK1*, *HvWRKY23*, and *HvWIN1* in barley as R genes (Kumar et al., 2016; Karre et al., 2017; Karre et al., 2019). Thus, it was conceptualized that plants' resistance against pathogens is mainly due to hierarchies of genes, including regulatory and biosynthetic genes that eventually produced RR metabolites and proteins that suppress pathogen progress in plants (Kushalappa et al., 2016). The present study uses a functional genomics approach, which includes genomics and metabolomics, to discover the novel genes and detect novel pathways of the metabolic network. This study will accelerate our understanding of genes that might be responsive for FHB resistance

in wheat and the mechanism of secondary metabolism involved in resistance. These genes can be further considered for functional validation, followed by their employment in breeding practices or improving cultivars based on genome editing approaches.

3.3 Materials and methods

3.3.1 Plant production and experimental design

Wheat NILs carrying resistant and susceptible QTL-Fhb1 were derived from a cross (Sumai 3*5/Thatcher) (S/T) where Sumai3 is FHB resistant Chinese spring wheat cultivar, and Thatcher is a susceptible wheat cultivar. The QTL-Fhb1 was successfully fine mapped within a 1.27-cM interval in the S/T population on chromosome 3BS (Cuthbert et al., 2006). The experiment was conducted in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two genotypes (resistant and susceptible NILs) provided by Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada), two inoculations (mock and pathogen = *Fg* isolate (155.SLS, obtained from Dr. S. Rioux, CÉROM, Quebec) and five biological replications over time. Each experimental unit consisting of nine plants, three plants in each of three pots. The plants were maintained in the greenhouse precisely as suggested by Kage et al. (Kage et al., 2017).

3.3.2 Pathogen production and inoculation

The *Fg* isolate was grown on potato dextrose agar for 4-5 days at 26 °C and further sub-cultured on Rye B agar media, with UV exposure for three days, for sporulation. Macroconidia were harvested from seven-day-old cultures, and the spore count was adjusted to 10^5 macroconidia ml-1 using a hemocytometer (American Scientific Products, USA) (Chamarthi et al., 2019). In the mid-region of the spike, three alternate pairs of spikelets at 50 % anthesis (GS 65) were point inoculated with 10 µL of either macro conidial suspension or mock solution using a syringe with Leuer lock (GASTIGHT 1750 DAD, Reno, USA). At least ten spikes per replication were selected from three pots containing three plants. Inoculated plants were covered with transparent plastic bags sprayed inside with water to maintain high humidity. The bags were removed 48 h postinoculation (hpi) (Kage et al., 2017).

3.3.3 Disease severity assessment

The number of spikelets diseased was recorded at 3-day intervals for 15 days. From the data collected, the proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD = number of spikelets diseased/total number

of spikelets in a spike) and area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) were calculated. A Student *t-test* was used to compare the AUDPC variations between NILs (Gunnaiah, 2013).

3.3.4 Sample collection, metabolite extraction, and Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis

For metabolomics, ten spikes with three alternate pairs of spikelets, pathogen or mock-inoculated, per replication, harvested at 72 hpi, with a total of 60 spikes, were used. The spike region beyond the inoculated spikes was discarded. The rachis and spikelets were separated from the remaining, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in separate vials at -80 degrees Celsius until further use. The metabolites extraction was performed as suggested by De Vos et al. (2007) with some modifications (De Vos et al., 2007). One hundred milligrams (100mg) of the powdered rachis sample were placed in a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube washed with methanol and precooled with liquid nitrogen. 350ul of 60% cold methanol was added and vortexed, and samples were sonicated at 25 degrees Celsius for 15 minutes. Followed by this, 350ul of 100% methanol in the same samples was added and vortexed. After 10 minutes of incubation in the cold room, samples were sonicated at 40 kHz for 15 mins in a water bath set at room temperature. Afterward, sample extracts were centrifuged for 10 min at 20 000 g at room temperature, and the supernatant was collected. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22-mm Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) membrane filter (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA, USA) and finally centrifuged at 2520 g for 10 min. An aliquot of 100ul of the filtrate was used for metabolite analysis using liquid chromatography coupled with high-resolution hybrid mass spectrometers (LC-ESI-LTQ-Orbitrap, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) (Bollina et al., 2010; Gunnaiah et al., 2012).

3.3.4.1 LC-MS data processing, statistical analysis and metabolites identification

The Xcalibur raw files obtained from LC-HRMS output were converted into an mzXML format using MSConverterGUI. The MS data on abundance was processed using an interactive LC-MS data processing software, mzMine2 (Pluskal et al., 2010). Publicly available databases such as PlantCyc, KEGG, LIPID MAPS, and METLIN were used to identify metabolites. Metabolites were further confirmed based on MS/MS fragmentation patterns in MASSBANK, METLIN, and in silico fragmentation, as described previously (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). Following normalization, peak abundances were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) performed using Metaboanalyst v 3.0 (Xia and Wishart, 2011). An adjusted *p*-value (FDR) cutoff of P < 0.05 and P <

0.01, followed by Fisher \'s LSD post hoc test, was used to identify significant peaks across all the samples and treatments. Subsequently, the fold change (FC) in abundances of peaks were calculated for RR metabolites: resistance-related constitutive (RRC=RM/SM>1.0) and resistance-related induced (RRI = (RP/RM>1.0)/(SP/SM>1.0) metabolites; where R is resistant, S is susceptible, P is a pathogen and M is mock (Bollina et al., 2010; Gunnaiah et al., 2012).

3.3.4 QTL-Fhb1 sequencing

The seedlings of wheat NILs, with resistant and susceptible QTL-Fhb1, were grown and maintained in the greenhouse. The leaves were harvested, DNA extracted using QIAGEN mini kit, and verified for the quality to construct the genomic DNA library. The probes for the target QTL-Fhb1 region fine mapped on chromosome 3BS within a 1.27-cM interval (S/T) using flanking markers XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142 were designed by Roche Nimblegen. The paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform at genome Quebec, McGill University, was used to capture the QTL-Fhb1 region.

3.3.4.1 Bioinformatics analysis and In-silico annotation of a gene identified

Bioinformatic analysis using QTL-Fhb1 raw reads were performed by the Genotypic Technology Pvt Ltd, Bangalore, India (https://www.genotypic.co.in/). The raw reads were processed by a Genotypic proprietary tool for adapters and low-quality bases trimming towards 3'-end. The processed reads were aligned to the reference sequence using the Bowtie2 program (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The consensus sequence was generated from the aligned reads using the Genotypic proprietary tool and annotated using the Augustus program (Stanke et al., 2008). GO implemented Further, enrichment analysis was using the agriGO 2.0 (http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2/index.php). Enriched GO terms were analyzed based on the REVIGO program to remove reductant GO terms and to reflect the similarity of given terms by semantic space (http://revigo.irb.hr/) (Xiong et al., 2017). The top biological process category GO REVIGO was used to analyze the terms with the lowest p values for enrichment.

3.3.4.2 Comparative mapping

Markers XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142 flanking the fine mapped QTL-Fhb1 region were used to construct a physical map harboring all the putative candidate genes identified. Putatively identified genes localized within the fine mapped intervals in a high-density genetic linkage map were searched for the genomic synteny, which is widely used in studying complex genomes and possibly function of genes in closely related species such as *Oryza sativa*, *Sorghum bicolor*, and *Brachypodium distachyon* using MapChart software version 2.1 (Voorrips, 2002).

3.3.4.3 First-strand cDNA synthesis and semi-quantitative PCR analysis

The rachis samples collected at 48 hpi for gene expression analysis were used for total RNA isolation using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen Inc.). Purified total RNA (~1–2 μ g) was used to synthesize cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, ON, Canada) for the relative quantification of transcript expression. Taking the standardized cDNA concentration for each sample, semi-quantitative PCR was performed using GoTaq® Green Master Mix (Promega, USA) in a T100TM Thermal Cycler (BioRad, Canada) using gene-specific primers. To ensure no falsepositive PCR fragments were generated and to discriminate wheat genomes, the primer sets were designed spanning the 3'UTR region (the most divergent region) using primer3 software enlisted in the table (Table 3.3). For PCR, an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 94°C was followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec 94°C, 40 sec 55°C, 1 min 72°C and end step 5 min 72°C. TaActin gene was used as an endogenous reference for adjusting the relative transcript level. The experiment was performed with three biological and technical replicates for each treatment. All the agarose gel images saved were processed further for digital image analysis using ImageJ software version 1.4.3u. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test method based statistical analysis determined the level of significant difference at p < 0.05. The data obtained as "area," representing the PCR band density, were used to prepare a Heat map using R package gplots. Clustering established using the complete package and pairwise Euclidean distances testing hclust (hierarchical cluster) function in R. The data were analyzed for statistical significance based on the Student *t-test*.

3.3.4.4 Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis

The line-specific marker identification was carried out to explore genetic variants such as SNPs and InDels. Full-length genomic sequences of all the genes were mapped for variant searches in both the NILs to identify potential FHB resistance candidates. Further, the effect of genomic variants at the protein level was identified based on multiple sequence alignment of amino acid sequences of resistant and susceptible NIL using MultAlin software (Corpet, 1988).

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Resistant NIL had reduced disease severity

Wheat spikes showing blackish-brown discoloration along with necrotic lesions or bleaching symptoms were considered as diseased. The FHB symptoms in NIL-R were restricted only to a pair of spikelets inoculated, with no further spread, suggesting that the pathogen colonized only the spikelets inoculated and did not spread further until 15 dpi. On the contrary, in NIL-S, the complete spike showed diseased symptoms, spreading from the point of inoculation to top and bottom ends at 15 dpi (Fig. 3.1a). The proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD) was significantly higher in NIL-S (2.88) as compared to NIL-R (0.37) (Fig. 3.1b).

3.4.2 Resistant-related (RR) metabolites in response to Fg infection in wheat NILs

A total of 111 significant metabolites common to all the five replicates, excluding adducts and isotopes, among which 25 were annotated as RR metabolites, classified further as resistancerelated constitutive (RRC) and resistance-related induced (RRI) metabolites (Table 3.1). These metabolites were further confirmed based on fragmentation patterns (Supp. Table 3.1). Mapping these metabolites to the metabolic pathways identified RRI metabolites belong to phenylpropanoid pathway, fatty acids, terpenoids, and primary metabolism. For instance, Phenylpropanoids (monolignols, lignans, lignan glucosides, flavonoids): coniferyl ferulate (FC= 5.64), podorhizol beta-D-glucoside (FC= 5.15), sinapyl alcohol (FC= 4.4), 4- coumaric acid (FC= 3.35), pcoumaroyl diketide (FC= 2.09), sinapic acid methyl ester (FC= 2.99), isorhamnetin 3-(6"malonylglucoside) (FC= 2.53) and a lignan, clesitanthin A (FC= 3.59); Lipid biosynthesis (fatty acids): PA(17:1(9Z)/0:0);1-(9Z-heptadecenoyl)-sn-glycero-3-phosphate (FC= 2.11), 3hydroxybut-2-enoic acid (FC= 3.20) and PI(18:4(6Z,9Z,12Z,15Z)/0:0) (FC= 2.10); Alkaloids: emetamine, an isoquinoline alkaloid (FC= 2.27); Others: succinate (FC=3.51) and 6-Hydroxymelatonin (FC= 2.50) involved in glutamate and tryptophan metabolism respectively were identified in wheat NILs with more than two-fold change abundance.

3.4.3 Genes identified in QTL-Fhb1

Dissection of fine mapped QTL-Fhb1 tightly linked with STS markers (XSTS 142 and XSTS 80) was carried out through the paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform. The raw data obtained was further processed through bioinformatics analysis to identify candidate genes responsible for

FHB resistance. Mapping of raw reads around 77-86 % to the wheat Chinese spring reference genome led to identifying novel genes present in both the NILs carrying the resistant and susceptible allele. In total, 98 genes identified, which were common in both the NILs and the high number, could be attributed to the large and complex wheat genome. Out of 98 genes, only 37 were coding genes based on consensus sequence prediction employing the Augustus model (Table 3.2). The sequenced amplicons were annotated further using FGeneSH, and Blast2Go and the non-annotated sequence in the Augustus model were annotated using BLAST programmed with Wheat EST sequence. Following that, the predicted genes were undergone a homology search using BLAST against *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Brachypodium distachyon*, and *Oryza sativa*.

3.4.4 GO enrichment analyses revealed over-represented GO term(s)

The putative candidate genes were further classified based on GO enrichment analyses (Supp. Table 3.2). Biological processes such as glutamate, mRNA, carbohydrate, cellular, cellular catabolic, multi-organism, and response to oxidative stress were significantly enriched (Fig. 3.2a). The enriched molecular functions were mainly related to iron ion binding, hydrolase activity, carbon-carbon lyase activity, catalytic activity, protein serine/threonine kinase activity, and oxidoreductase activity and iron-sulfur cluster binding (Fig. 3.2b).

3.4.5 Gene synteny among relative species

The genomic synteny analysis among related species provided new insights into the significance of the fine mapped QTL-Fhb1 and the underlying genes within it. The linkage map was built using physical distance among the annotated genes between the flanking markers (XSTS3B-138, XSTS3B-142) to show gene order and the relative distances of the genes on the 3BS chromosome (Fig. 3.3a). The orthologous genes on different chromosomes in the related species such as *Oryza sativa*, *Sorghum bicolor*, and *Brachypodium distachyon* and wheat genome displayed significant synteny to the predicted candidate genes on the 3BS chromosome (Fig. 3.3b).

3.4.6 Gene expression profiling post-Fg infection

Gene expression analysis of all 37 genes in four different samples (RP, RM, SP, and SM, based on two genotypes and two inoculations) revealed varying levels of expression patterns in both the NILs (Fig. 3.4). Gene #3 (glycosyltransferase HGA-like), gene #9 (cell wall invertase), gene #16

(G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), LECRK1), gene #17 (NAC transcription factor 32-like), gene #19 (calmodulin *TaCaM2-2*), gene #25 (glutamate synthase1), gene #33 (laccase-4-like) and gene #36 (two-component response regulator ORR25-like) were significantly expressed in NIL-R upon *Fg* inoculation (RP). These were mainly involved in lignin biosynthesis, response to oxidative stress, glutamate metabolic process, and transcriptional regulation. This further suggests their potential role in plant defense upon *Fg* inoculation in NIL-R. Likewise, 13 genes were identified as resistance-related constitutive (RRC) genes, mainly involved in primary metabolism (Fig. 3.4; Table 3.2). Only one gene encodes for Executer 2 protein was strongly expressed in SM with an unknown biological process. Likewise, gene #2 (Sarcoplasmic reticulum histidine-rich calcium-binding protein), gene #7 (phosphatidylserine synthase), gene #13 (putative disease resistance protein RGA3), and gene #26 (1,3 beta-glucan synthase) displayed increased expression in SP, implying a possible role in susceptibility to FHB, though need functional validation.

3.4.7 Genetic variants among NILs

Among 37 putatively identified genes, 12 genes were identified with several SNPs in NIL-S compared to NIL-R (Table 3.4). These SNPs also corresponds to several mutations at the protein level, leading to functional consequences of genetic variation (Fig. 3.5). These include gene #8 (fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 1), gene #9 (cell wall invertase), gene #12 (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2), gene #16 (LECRK1), gene #17 (NAC transcription factor 32-like), gene #25 (glutamate synthase 1), gene #26 (1,3beta-glucan synthase), gene #28 (potassium channel KAT3-like), gene #31 (β -glucosidase BoGH3B-like), gene #32 (scarecrow-like protein 9), gene #2 (HRC) and gene #33 (laccase-4-like) (Table 3.4). These genes were mostly responsive to oxidative stress, regulation of transcription, and carbohydrate metabolic processes providing insights into the potential genomic targets contributing to disease resistance.

3.4.8 Prioritization of Candidate Genes

An integrated approach of QTL sequencing and metabolomics unfolded the unique candidate genes involved in FHB resistance and provide insights into the FHB resistance mechanism, the pathways upregulated, and the biosynthetic gene(s) involved in biosynthesizing these RR metabolites. This study was mainly aimed at the identification of candidate genes in NIL-R responsive to Fg infection. The workflow diagram of the conjoint approach to identify potential

candidates is shown (Fig. 3.6). Metabolomics and QTL-Fhb1 sequencing, including differential gene expression analyses, and SNP variant analysis data, suggested some promising candidate genes: laccase-4-like, cell wall invertase, G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase, NAC transcription factor 32-like and glutamate synthase 1, which may impart a high level of FHB resistance in wheat. However, future study is needed to further validate these genes(s) in wheat NILs and related species in response to FHB infection to confirm their resistance role.

3.5 Discussion

The present study revealed few potential molecular players determining the level of FHB resistance between the two wheat NILs, unraveling the genetic architecture through an integrated approach of metabolomics and genomics. Previously, QTL-Fhb1, covering ~1 Mb interval between molecular markers sts3B-32 and sts3B-206 derived from a cross of CM-82036 (highly resistant donor cv.) and Remus (highly susceptible cultivar) using CM-82036 as the recurrent parent, identified 28 genes based on RNA-seq study (Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Schweiger et al., 2016). In this study, a fine mapped QTL-Fhb1 derived from Sumai-3 and Thatcher was resequenced using flanking markers, XSTS-3B 80 and XSTS-3B 142 covering 1.27 cM to identify FHB responsive candidate gene(s) (Cuthbert et al., 2006). Among 37 putative candidates identified in this study, genes #5, gene #10, gene #14, gene #18, gene #20, gene #21, gene #27, gene #29, gene #30, gene #34, and gene #37 were excluded as no significant differential expression was observed among NILs. Although several related genes or gene families have already been identified through the omics approach in response to *Fg* infection in wheat, only a few were functionally validated based on RNAi silencing, overexpression, CRISPR mutants, and haplotype association analysis.

However, resistance in wheat against FHB is quantitative, involving additive effects of several governing the resistance, yet the underlying resistance mechanisms are still unknown. Thus, based on metabolomics analysis, gene expression profiling of putative candidate genes in resistant NIL post-Fg infection and SNP analysis, we have listed and discussed potential candidates for FHB resistance. These candidates possess either a direct or indirect association to FHB resistance by modulating other regulatory genes or enzymes in the background of NILs varying in QTL-Fhb1.

3.5.1 Functional genomic analysis of constitutive and inducible defense responses to Fg infection in wheat NILs with contrasting FHB resistance

In response to pathogen attack, plants adopt both constitutive and induced defenses. The trade-off between the two leads to defense diversity, where constitutive defense serves as the first place of defense through numerous plant toxins and induced defense response mediated by hypersensitive response minimizes the infectious period (Lam et al., 2001; Wittstock and Gershenzon, 2002; Boots and Best, 2018). Furthermore, the most substantial cost incurred in the case of induced defense responses in response to infections, constitutive defense response, must be paid even in the absence of disease (Boots and Best, 2018). The major resistant effect of QTL-Fhb1 can be explained based upon genetic changes that occur in a genotype, such as induction and constitutive expression of the gene, genetic mutation, or genotype-specific expression of the gene in either resistant or susceptible phenotype (Xie et al., 2007; Schweiger et al., 2016). This study has identified 37 putative candidate genes which are engaged in different biological and molecular function. Genes explicitly engaged in defense response against pathogen attack (*Fg*), concluded as potential resistant gene(s), and further discussed.

3.5.2 Novel candidates for FHB resistance

3.5.2.1 Glutamate metabolic process

Gene expression analysis affirmed significantly induced expression of gene #25 in resistant NIL upon *Fg* inoculation (RP) compared to NIL-S (Figure 3.4). GLT1 catalyzes biosynthesis of L-glutamate, which further catalyzed by glutamate decarboxylase to form gamma-aminobutyrate (GABA). Subsequently, GABA gets converted to succinate, an essential component of the Citrate cycle (TCA cycle). In this study, gene #4 was identified with constitutive expression with no SNPs detected, thus eliminated as a candidate. Gene #25 consists of various substitutions in susceptible NIL based on SNP analysis (Table 3.4; Fig. 3.5). GO enrichment analysis unveiled glutamate metabolism as one of the most significantly enriched biological processes in our study (Fig. 3.2a). A study reported a concurrent over-activation of the cytosolic glutamine synthetase and the GABA against *B. cinerea* in tomato by suppressing pathogen-induced senescence, thus dominating anticell-death defense mechanism which constructs an effective defense response against necrotrophic pathogens (Seifi et al., 2013). Therefore, Gene #25 is considered a potential candidate gene for functional validation for conferring FHB resistance in wheat.

3.5.2.2 Response to oxidative stress/ Oxidation-Reduction

Gene #33 (Laccase) encodes for an unnamed protein product was identified with comparatively high transcript abundance in resistant NIL upon Fg inoculation (RP) as compared to NIL-S (Fig. 3.4). GO enrichment analysis represented oxidation-reduction as a significantly enriched molecular function (Figure 3.3b). Plant laccases catalyze monolignols' oxidation into the primary lignification stage and process lignin polymerization in the xylem (Hoopes and Dean, 2004). In QTL-Fhb2, an integrated metabolo-transcriptomics study also reported secondary cell wall lignification related genes, including laccase as a candidate gene in response to Fg in wheat RILs (Dhokane et al., 2016). A transcriptome-based study of two major QTLs, QTL-Fhb5 and QTL-Fhb1, identified laccase protein as one of the potential FHB associated candidates (Schweiger et al., 2013). In barley, GO enrichment analysis of DEGs revealed oxidoreductases, including laccases, as one among the highly enriched group after 96 hpi of Fg (Huang et al., 2016). Apart from having a role in lignin polymerization, overexpression of laccase (*GhLac1*) in cotton (Gossypium spp.) was identified with enhanced resistance to the fungal pathogen Verticillium dahliae and the insect pests cotton bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera) and cotton aphid (Aphis gosypii) (Hu et al., 2018). In Arabidopsis, lac11, lac4, lac17 triple mutant showed no major effect on the peroxidase transcript activity, suggesting laccase is independent of peroxidase activity for monolignol polymerization during vascular bundle development (Zhao et al., 2013). Further, Gene #33 was identified with two significant substitutions, lysine to glutamate (K250E) and histidine to aspartate (H252D) (Fig. 3.5).

Moreover, untargeted metabolomics analysis revealed the accumulation of coniferyl ferulate (FC= 5.64) and sinapyl alcohol (FC= 4.4) belonging to phenylpropanoids with high fold change difference (Table 3.1). Oxidative polymerization of two primary monomers, coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol, leads to lignin polymerization (Zhao et al., 2013). Thus, based on gene expression, SNP variant analysis, and associated RR metabolite, gene #33 is considered a high potential candidate for FHB resistance.

3.5.2.3 Carbohydrate metabolic process

Gene #9 (Cell wall invertase (Cw-Inv)), also known as beta-fructofuranosidase, was identified with a serine to alanine substitution (S497A) based on amino acid sequence comparison between NILs (Fig. 3.5). This mutation prevents potential phosphorylation events that play critical

roles in the plant immune response (Bauer et al., 2003). During plant-pathogen interaction, cell wall invertases have been reported to be crucial for proper sucrose and carbohydrate partitioning and signaling to trigger defense responses (Tauzin and Giardina, 2014). Thus, serine substitution to alanine in NIL-S may impede sugar portioning and signaling events during Fg infection. Concurrently, strongly induced expression in resistant NIL compared to susceptible NIL upon Fginoculation was observed based on gene expression study (Fig. 3.4). In A. thaliana, repressed callose deposition was observed upon an increase in exogenous sucrose concentrations, suggesting hexose cleavage products of sucrose in cell wall reinforcement to restrict pathogen invasion (Luna et al., 2010). Similar plant invertases have been reported in wheat in response to powdery mildew infection (Sutton et al., 2007). RNA sequencing analysis of four wheat genotypes (Nyubai, Wuhan 1, HC374, and Shaw) revealed much higher upregulation of beta- fructofuranosidases in HC374 at 4 dpi of Fg (Pan et al., 2018). Gene #31 (Beta-glucosidase BoGH3B-like) belongs to glycoside hydrolase family three protein. Broadly GH family mainly comprises β-glucosidases induced in the response of biotic and abiotic stresses and therefore required for plant development. These β glucosidases, though found in several organisms but perform distinct functions. For instance, these glucosidases are associated with cell wall catabolism, signaling, lignification, defense, symbiosis, and secondary metabolism in plants (Opassiri et al., 2006; Calderan-Rodrigues et al., 2018). Gene #8 and gene #31 both were constitutively expressed in NIL-R (RM), although they were not expressed upon Fg and NIL-S. In contrast, gene #9, based on SNP calling and induced gene expression upon Fg inoculation, can be considered for functional validation as a significant candidate for FHB resistance.

3.5.2.4 Receptor-like kinases

Gene #16 (G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1)), also known as LECRK1, displays strong induced expression in resistant NIL post-Fg inoculation (RP) (Fig. 3.4). Interestingly, a deletion of 15 amino acids (D367-K381) was spotted in NIL-R compared to NIL-S, comprised of plant PAN/APPLE-like domain and functions in mediating protein-protein or protein-carbohydrate interactions (Fig. 3.5). Cell surface localized lectin receptor kinases function in priming pattern-triggered immunity and mediating downstream signaling events (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Lacombe et al., 2010). An orthologous gene, Bph3 gene encoding plasma membrane-localized lectin receptor kinases, confer broad-spectrum disease resistance against brown planthopper and white back planthopper (Liu et al., 2014). In response to

Fg infection in wheat, several similar lectin receptor kinases were also identified upregulated (Dhokane et al., 2016; Pan et al., 2018). Multiple genomic SNPs and high transcript abundance in NIL-R make gene #16 more intriguing to study FHB resistance further. Besides, Gene #11 (Rust resistance kinase Lr10) Gene#22 (Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc-1)) involved in protein serine/threonine kinase activity. Lr genes are involved in defense against rust disease such as *Puccinia triticina* in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*.) (Krattinger et al., 2009; Manickavelu et al., 2010). However, these genes were constitutively expressed in resistant NIL (RM), whereas not expressed significantly in NIL-S.

3.5.2.5 Regulation of transcription

Gene #17 encodes for NAC domain-containing protein (TaNAC032) was identified as an induced R gene in NIL-R post-Fg infection (Fig. 3.4). The deletion of 121 nucleotides in NIL-S corresponds to the deletion of 41 amino acids (N62-R102) resulted in the deletion of conserved NAC domain in NAC transcription factor 32, apart from various other SNPs compared to NIL-R (Table 3.4; Fig. 3.5). Secondary wall NACs (SWNS) is the group of closely related NAC TFs that function as master switches either directly by modifying the cell wall and facilitating programmed cell death (PCD) or indirectly by binding to 19 bp secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE) sequences. Thus, it activates the expression of downstream transcription factors and genes involved in lignin or secondary cell wall biosynthesis (Mitsuda et al., 2005; Yamaguchi et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2018). Although no direct involvement of NAC32 in response to biotic stress is evident, other NAC TF such as TaNACL-D1 was identified as a candidate against FHB infection and DON in wheat (Perochon et al., 2019). Over-expression of NAC leads to increased lignin deposition in Arabidopsis (Zhong et al., 2006). In our study, untargeted metabolomics analysis unveiled a higher accumulation of various free phenylpropanoids, lignans and phenolic glycosides with high fold change. These are mainly involved in reinforcing secondary cell walls suggesting gene #17 in regulating other regulatory factors or lignin-specific genes in response to pathogen attack. Thus, gene #17 represents one of the potential candidates for FHB resistance.

Also, Gene #32 (Scarecrow-like protein 9) encodes for GRAS family protein such as *A*. *thaliana* protein SCARECROW, a transcription factor required for quiescent center cells specification and maintenance of surrounding stem cells, and the asymmetric cell division involved in radial pattern formation in roots (Pysh et al., 1999). An *A. thaliana* SCARECROW-like 14 (SCL14 is involved in the detoxification of plants challenged with harmful endogenous

metabolites, particularly, Xenobiotics (Fode et al., 2008). Also, In response to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato infection in tomato, GRAS family of transcriptional regulators, plays a crucial role in resistance (Mayrose et al., 2006). Another gene related to transcription regulation, Gene #36 (Two-component response regulator ORR25-like), is a transcriptional activator that binds specific DNA sequences. This gene encodes for Myb-related protein-containing REC and myb_SHAQKYF domain-containing protein. Myb transcription factors are majorly involved in biotic and abiotic stresses, development, differentiation, metabolism, and defense response (Ambawat et al., 2013). For instance, *AtMYB30* is engaged in response to pathogen attack by activating hypersensitive cell death program (Li et al., 2009), while, *AtMYB108* is involved in both biotic and abiotic stress responses (Mengiste et al., 2003). Expression analyses revealed a high expression of this gene in resistant NIL upon *Fg* inoculation, hinting as a potential candidate, although no SNPs were detected based on SNP variant analysis.

3.5.3 Metabolic profiling provided key insights to metabolic pathways actively participating in pathogen invasion

Plant/pathogen interaction outcome depends on a complex cascade of recognition, attack, and defense reactions at the plant/microbe interface. Initially, early defense response events occur at the host's genetic level, such as ion fluxes across the plasma membrane, cascades of phosphorylations and dephosphorylations, and production of reactive oxygen species (Dixon and Paiva, 1995). Later, these events are continued globally by metabolic modifications that include: (a) stimulation of the phenylpropanoid and fatty acid pathways, (b) production of defense-specific chemical messengers such as salicylic acid (SA) or jasmonates, and (c) accumulation of components with antimicrobial activities such as phytoalexins and pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Somssich and Hahlbrock, 1998). In our study, NILs with contrasting allele subjected to non-targeted metabolic profiling identified several resistant related significant metabolites underlying different metabolic pathways such as phenylpropanoids, fatty acids, terpenoids, and flavonoids. Phenylpropanoid-related metabolites, including monolignols, lignans, lignan glucosides and flavonoids, were identified as RRI metabolites higher in abundance. For instance, coniferyl ferulate, p-coumaroyldiketide, sinapyl-alcohol, sinapic acid methyl ester, cleistanthin A and isorhamnetin 3-(6"-malonylglucoside) were identified with high fold change (Table 3.1). As plant cell wall is a mechanical barrier to the pathogen progression, lignin deposition leads to the reinforced cell wall, which is more resistant to fungal cell wall degrading enzymes and limits

diffusion of mycotoxins produced by a pathogen (Siranidou et al., 2002; Sattler and Funnell-Harris, 2013; Gunnaiah and Kushalappa, 2014). Lignans also exhibit antifungal properties against several pathogenic fungi by playing a role as antifungal phytoalexin (Cho et al., 2007).

Transcriptomics-based studies have indicated the induction of expression of several genes involved in flavonoids synthesis in response to wheat upon Fg inoculation (Ravensdale et al., 2014). Flavonoids function in plant defense mechanism through mechanisms: exhibiting antioxidant properties, reinforcement of plant structure, thus act as a physical barrier against fungal infection, inhibition of plant cell wall degrading enzymes secreted by fungal pathogens and ability to restrain mycelium hyphae elongation by inhibiting fungal spore development (Treutter, 2005; Venturini et al., 2015) This is also coinciding with the previous metabolomics approaches led to the identification of several RR metabolites belonging mainly to phenylpropanoid pathway particularly hydroxycinnamic acids (HCAAs) such as N-caffeoylputrescine, feruloyl-2hydroxyputrescine, coumaroylagmatine, and coumaroyl-putrescine, in response to Fg infection in wheat NILs and RILs (Gunnaiah and Kushalappa, 2014; Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017). The phenylpropanoid pathway and its related metabolites are crucial for plant defense response to pathogen attack.

Further, aromatic amino acids such as phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan act as a precursor for a wide range of metabolites involved in resistance to DON-producing fusarium species, thus play an essential role in plant defense against biotic stress (Tzin and Galili, 2010). Wherein tryptophan catabolism leads to the generation of indole-3-acetic acid (auxin), glucosinolates, and terpenoids. Like, 6-Hydroxymelatonin (FC= 2.50) (Table 3.1) in tryptophan metabolism has extensively studied in plants under stress conditions such as heat, cold, salt, drought, heavy metal pathogen attack. In response to pathogen attack, it activates other stress-relevant hormones, like salicylic acid, ethylene, and jasmonic acid (Yu et al., 2018).

In plants, fatty acids and their derivatives are essential for basal immunity and genemediated resistance response. Also, to play a role in the induction of systemic acquired resistance and role in plant defense signaling pathway, they carry antimicrobial effect to limit the fungal pathogen growth, including Fg. Also, fatty acids were supposed to modulate ROS production and establish a physical barrier to combat pathogens through cuticle formation (Kumaraswamy et al., 2011, Walters et al., 2004). RRI metabolite identified as 3-hydroxybut-2- enoic acid, PA(17:1(9Z)/0:0);1-(9Z-heptadecenoyl)-sn-glycero-3-phosphate and PI(18:4(6Z,9Z,12Z,15Z)/0:0) (Table 3.1) involved in fatty acid biosynthesis, which are involved in early detection of pathogens. In response to various stresses, the levels of free fatty acids increase to play a major role in establishing a plant-microbe relationship (Walley et al., 2013).

Terpenoids derived via the mevalonate and methylerythritol 4-phosphate pathways in plant cells constitute the largest and most diverse plant secondary metabolites. Based on metabolomics studies, terpenoids as biochemical defense compounds both constitutive and induced against Fg in wheat and barley are evident about their essential role in plant-pathogen interactions (Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Kumaraswamy et al., 2012; Petti et al., 2012). This study identified Laurenobiolide (FC= 1.54) (Table 1), a phytochemical compound belonging to terpenoids. Interestingly, in this study, Emetamine (FC= 2.27) (Table 3.1) as RRC metabolite involved in isoquinoline alkaloid biosynthesis belongs to tropane alkaloid was identified. Alkaloids play an essential role in both biotic and abiotic stresses, thus contributes to plant innate immunity (Piasecka et al., 2015). This clearly illustrates the involvement of secondary metabolites derived from five biosynthetic routes, namely the phenylpropanoid, terpenoid, flavonoids and fatty acids metabolic pathways in FHB resistance. However, further detailed analysis of these compounds by isolating individual or group of metabolites and identifying individual compounds based on standards could confirm these metabolites' presence in wheat.

Among primary metabolism, we have identified Succinate (FC= 3.51) (Table 3.1), a GABA metabolite involved in alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism pathways. An outbreak of stresses such as pathogen attack, heat shock, low temperature, acidification, oxygen deficiency, mechanical stimulation, and drought leads to the accumulation of GABA in higher plants (Bouche et al., 2004; Bown et al., 2006). Omics based approach revealed the role of glutamate metabolism in response to Fg infection to be associated with GABA and GABA-shunt (production mechanism bypassing two steps in tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and producing GABA from glutamate), however more functional specifications are needed (Seifi et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2018; Eldakak et al., 2018).

In conclusion, this study used the metabolo-genomics approach to identify genetic determinants of FHB resistance in the major QTL-Fhb1, which facilitated identifying a few potential candidate genes in wheat NILs varying in QTL-Fhb1. However, these genes are not independent; instead, they are part of metabolic pathways and can confer FHB resistance only in association with the gene hierarchy in NIL backgrounds to biosynthesize each metabolite. The genes involved in the

biosynthesis of conjugated metabolites, especially those related to lignin in the phenylpropanoid pathway, which reinforces the cell walls are the major players. For these downstream genes to express, they should be associated with the rest of the gene hierarchy: receptors, signal transduction, signaling, and regulatory genes. Thus, the genes identified in this study should be considered further for functional analysis, which may help to interpret more clearly their role in FHB resistance. Following validation of resistance functions in commercial wheat cultivars and editing them to make them functional can enhance FHB resistance.

3.6 Author contribution statement

NS wrote the manuscript and analyzed QTL-Fhb1 gene sequencing data, metabolomics data, and generation of all figures and tables. Also, conducted and analyzed semi-quantitative PCR experiment; RG, conducted disease severity, metabolite sample preparation, and LC-HRMS analysis; RG, SH initiated QTL-Fhb1 sequencing project and guided through the study; SH helped in developing synteny map and discussing manuscript; ACK*, conceived the idea, aided in designing the experiments and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

3.7 Compliance with ethical standards

The authors declare that the experiments comply with the McGill Environment, Health and Safety guidelines and the current laws of Canada.

3.8 Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

3.9 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), McGill Sustainability Systems Initiative (MSSI) and Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec (MAPAQ), Québec, Canada. We thank Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada for providing wheat NILs and Dr. R. H. Proctor, USA for providing Fg isolate (GZ-3639). We thank Mr. Yves Dion, Centre de recherche sur les grains (CÉROM), Canada, for his guidance and support. We also acknowledge Mr. Achal Dhariwal, University of Oslo, Norway for assisting in bioinformatics analysis and other anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ambawat S, Sharma P, Yadav NR, Yadav RC (2013) MYB transcription factor genes as regulators for plant responses: an overview. Physiology and molecular biology of plants : an international journal of functional plant biology 19: 307-321
- Anderson JA, Stack RW, Liu S, Waldron BL, Fjeld AD, Coyne C, Moreno-Sevilla B, Fetch JM, Song QJ, Cregan PB, Frohberg RC (2001) DNA markers for Fusarium head blight resistance QTLs in two wheat populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 102: 1164-1168
- Bai G, Kolb FL, Shaner G, Domier LL (1999) Amplified fragment length polymorphism markers linked to a major quantitative trait locus controlling scab resistance in wheat. Phytopathology 89: 343-348
- Bai G, Shaner G (2004) Management and resistance in wheat and barley to fusarium head blight. Annual Review of Phytopathology **42:** 135-161
- Bai G, Su Z, Cai J (2018) Wheat resistance to Fusarium head blight. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 40: 336-346
- **Basnet BR, Glover KD, Ibrahim AMH, Yen Y, Chao S** (2012) A QTL on chromosome 2DS of 'Sumai 3' increases susceptibility to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Euphytica **186**: 91-101
- Bauer PM, Fulton D, Boo YC, Sorescu GP, Kemp BE, Jo H, Sessa WC (2003) Compensatory phosphorylation and protein-protein interactions revealed by loss of function and gain of function mutants of multiple serine phosphorylation sites in endothelial nitric-oxide synthase. J Biol Chem 278: 14841-14849
- Bollina V, Kumaraswamy GK, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S, Faubert D, Hamzehzarghani H (2010) Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics application to identify quantitative resistance-related metabolites in barley against Fusarium head blight. Mol Plant Pathol **11**: 769-782
- Boots M, Best A (2018) The evolution of constitutive and induced defences to infectious disease. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 285: 20180658
- Bouche N, Fait A, Zik M, Fromm H (2004) The root-specific glutamate decarboxylase (GAD1) is essential for sustaining GABA levels in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 55: 315-325
- Bown AW, Macgregor KB, Shelp BJ (2006) Gamma-aminobutyrate: defense against invertebrate pests? Trends Plant Sci 11: 424-427
- Buerstmayr H, Ban T, Anderson JA (2009) QTL mapping and marker-assisted selection for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat: a review. Plant Breeding 128: 1-26
- Buerstmayr H, Lemmens M, Hartl L, Doldi L, Steiner B, Stierschneider M, Ruckenbauer P (2002) Molecular mapping of QTLs for Fusarium head blight resistance in spring wheat. I. Resistance to fungal spread (Type II resistance). Theor Appl Genet 104: 84-91
- Calderan-Rodrigues MJ, Fonseca JG, Clemente HS, Labate CA, Jamet E (2018) Glycoside hydrolases in plant cell wall proteomes: Predicting functions that could be relevant for improving biomass transformation processes. Advances in Biofuels and Bioenergy 1: 165-182

- Chamarthi S, Kumar K, Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa A, Dion Y, Choo T (2019) Identification of fusarium head blight resistance related metabolites specific to doubled-haploid lines in barley. European Journal of Plant Pathology 138: 67-78
- Chen F, Liu C, Zhang J, Lei H, Li H-P, Liao Y-C, Tang H (2018) Combined metabonomic and quantitative rtpcr analyses revealed metabolic reprogramming associated with fusarium graminearum resistance in transgenic arabidopsis thaliana. Frontiers in Plant Science 8
- Cho HY, Lee-Parsons CW, Yoon SY, Rhee HS, Park JM (2007) Enhanced benzophenanthridine alkaloid production and protein expression with combined elicitor in Eschscholtzia californica suspension cultures. Biotechnol Lett 29: 2001-2005
- Corpet F (1988) Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. Nucleic acids research 16: 10881-10890
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Thomas J, Cloutier S, Brule-Babel A (2006) Fine mapping Fhb1, a major gene controlling fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor Appl Genet 112: 1465-1472
- David Miller J, Arnison PG (1986) Degradation of deoxynivalenol by suspension cultures of the fusarium head blight resistant wheat cultivar Frontana. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 8: 147-150
- De Vos RC, Moco S, Lommen A, Keurentjes JJ, Bino RJ, Hall RD (2007) Untargeted large-scale plant metabolomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Nature protocols 2: 778
- Dean R, Van Kan JA, Pretorius ZA, Hammond-Kosack KE, Di Pietro A, Spanu PD, Rudd JJ, Dickman M, Kahmann R, Ellis J, Foster GD (2012) The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 13: 414-430
- **Dhokane D, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C** (2016) Integrated metabolo-transcriptomics reveals fusarium head blight candidate resistance genes in wheat qtl-fhb2. PloS one **11:** e0155851-e0155851
- Dixon RA, Paiva NL (1995) Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. The Plant cell 7: 1085-1097
- Eldakak M, Das A, Zhuang Y, Rohila JS, Glover K, Yen Y (2018) A quantitative proteomics view on the function of qfhb1, a major qtl for fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Pathogens 7: 58
- Ferrigo D, Raiola A, Causin R (2016) Fusarium toxins in cereals: Occurrence, legislation, factors promoting the appearance and their management. Molecules 21
- Fode B, Siemsen T, Thurow C, Weigel R, Gatz C (2008) The Arabidopsis GRAS protein SCL14 interacts with class II TGA transcription factors and is essential for the activation of stress-inducible promoters. The Plant cell 20: 3122-3135
- Gauthier L, Atanasova-Penichon V, Chereau S, Richard-Forget F (2015) Metabolomics to decipher the chemical defense of cereals against fusarium graminearum and deoxynivalenol accumulation. Int J Mol Sci 16: 24839-24872
- **Golkari S, Gilbert J, Ban T, Procunier JD** (2009) QTL-specific microarray gene expression analysis of wheat resistance to Fusarium head blight in Sumai-3 and two susceptible NILs. Genome **52:** 409-418
- **Gunnaiah R** (2013) Functional characterization of wheat fusarium head blight resistance qtl (fhb1) based on nontargeted metabolomics and proteomics. *McGill University*

- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC (2014) Metabolomics deciphers the host resistance mechanisms in wheat cultivar Sumai-3, against trichothecene producing and non-producing isolates of Fusarium graminearum. Plant Physiol Biochem 83: 40-50
- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC, Duggavathi R, Fox S, Somers DJ (2012) Integrated Metabolo-Proteomic Approach to Decipher the Mechanisms by Which Wheat QTL (Fhb1) Contributes to Resistance against Fusarium graminearum. PLOS ONE 7: e40695
- Hofstad AN, Nussbaumer T, Akhunov E, Shin S, Kugler KG, Kistler HC, Mayer KFX, Muchlbauer GJ (2016) Examining the Transcriptional Response in Wheat Fhb1 Near-Isogenic Lines to Fusarium graminearum Infection and Deoxynivalenol Treatment. The Plant Genome 9
- **Hoopes JT, Dean JF** (2004) Ferroxidase activity in a laccase-like multicopper oxidase from Liriodendron tulipifera. Plant Physiol Biochem **42:** 27-33
- Hu Q, Min L, Yang X, Jin S, Zhang L, Li Y, Ma Y, Qi X, Li D, Liu H, Lindsey K, Zhu L, Zhang X (2018) Laccase GhLac1 Modulates Broad-Spectrum Biotic Stress Tolerance via Manipulating Phenylpropanoid Pathway and Jasmonic Acid Synthesis. Plant Physiology 176: 1808-1823
- Huang L, Liu Y, Liu X, Ban L, Wang Y, Li M, Lu F (2016) Functional expression of Trametes versicolor thermotolerant laccase variant in Pichia pastoris. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment 30: 261-269
- Jia H, Zhou J, Xue S, Li G, Yan H, Ran C, Zhang Y, Shi J, Jia L, Wang X, Luo J, Ma Z (2018) A journey to understand wheat Fusarium head blight resistance in the Chinese wheat landrace Wangshuibai. The Crop Journal 6: 48-59
- Jiang G-L, Shi J, Ward RW (2007) QTL analysis of resistance to Fusarium head blight in the novel wheat germplasm CJ 9306. I. Resistance to fungal spread. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 116: 3-13
- Jones JD, Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature 444: 323-329
- Kage U, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2017) Identification and characterization of a fusarium head blight resistance gene TaACT in wheat QTL-2DL. Plant Biotechnology Journal 15: 447-457
- Kage U, Yogendra KN, Kushalappa AC (2017) TaWRKY70 transcription factor in wheat QTL-2DL regulates downstream metabolite biosynthetic genes to resist Fusarium graminearum infection spread within spike. Scientific Reports 7: 42596
- Karre S, Kumar A, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC (2017) Metabolo-transcriptome profiling of barley reveals induction of chitin elicitor receptor kinase gene (HvCERK1) conferring resistance against Fusarium graminearum. Plant Mol Biol 93: 247-267
- Karre S, Kumar A, Yogendra K, Kage U, Kushalappa A, Charron J-B (2019) HvWRKY23 regulates flavonoid glycoside and hydroxycinnamic acid amide biosynthetic genes in barley to combat Fusarium head blight. Plant Molecular Biology 100: 591-605
- Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, Huerta-Espino J, McFadden H, Bossolini E, Selter LL, Keller B (2009) A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. Science 323: 1360-1363

- Kugler KG, Siegwart G, Nussbaumer T, Ametz C, Spannagl M, Steiner B, Lemmens M, Mayer KF,
 Buerstmayr H, Schweiger W (2013) Quantitative trait loci-dependent analysis of a gene co-expression network associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivumL.). BMC Genomics 14: 728
- Kumar A, Yogendra KN, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, Dion Y, Choo TM (2016) WAX INDUCER1 (HvWIN1) transcription factor regulates free fatty acid biosynthetic genes to reinforce cuticle to resist Fusarium head blight in barley spikelets. Journal of experimental botany 67: 4127-4139
- Kumaraswamy GK, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S (2012) Differential metabolic response of barley genotypes, varying in resistance, to trichothecene-producing and -nonproducing (tri5–) isolates of Fusarium graminearum. Plant Pathology 61: 509-521
- Kushalappa AC, Yogendra KN, Karre S (2016) Plant innate immune response: Qualitative and quantitative resistance. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 35: 38-55
- Kwon SJ, Jin HC, Lee S, Nam MH, Chung JH, Kwon SI, Ryu CM, Park OK (2009) GDSL lipase-like 1 regulates systemic resistance associated with ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 235-245
- Lacombe S, Rougon-Cardoso A, Sherwood E, Peeters N, Dahlbeck D, van Esse HP, Smoker M, Rallapalli G, Thomma BP, Staskawicz B, Jones JD, Zipfel C (2010) Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance. Nat Biotechnol 28: 365-369
- Lam E, Kato N, Lawton M (2001) Programmed cell death, mitochondria and the plant hypersensitive response. Nature 411: 848-853
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9: 357-359
- Lemmens M, Scholz U, Berthiller F, Dall'Asta C, Koutnik A, Schuhmacher R, Adam G, Buerstmayr H, Mesterhazy A, Krska R, Ruckenbauer P (2005) The ability to detoxify the mycotoxin deoxynivalenol colocalizes with a major quantitative trait locus for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 18: 1318-1324
- Li G, Zhou J, Jia H, Gao Z, Fan M, Luo Y, Zhao P, Xue S, Li N, Yuan Y, Ma S, Kong Z, Jia L, An X, Jiang G, Liu W, Cao W, Zhang R, Fan J, Xu X, Liu Y, Kong Q, Zheng S, Wang Y, Qin B, Cao S, Ding Y, Shi J, Yan H, Wang X, Ran C, Ma Z (2019) Mutation of a histidine-rich calcium-binding-protein gene in wheat confers resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nature Genetics 51: 1106-1112
- Li L, Yu X, Thompson A, Guo M, Yoshida S, Asami T, Chory J, Yin Y (2009) Arabidopsis MYB30 is a direct target of BES1 and cooperates with BES1 to regulate brassinosteroid-induced gene expression. Plant J 58: 275-286
- Ling H (2008) Sequence analysis of GDSL lipase gene family in Arabidopsis thaliana. Pak J Biol Sci 11: 763-767
- Liu S, Hall MD, Griffey CA, McKendry AL (2009) Meta-analysis of qtl associated with fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Crop Science **49:** 1955-1968
- Liu S, Pumphrey M, Gill B, Trick H, Zhang J, Dolezel J, Chalhoub B, Anderson J (2008) Toward positional cloning of Fhb1, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Cereal Research Communications 36: 195-201

- Liu Y, Wu H, Chen H, Liu Y, He J, Kang H, Sun Z, Pan G, Wang Q, Hu J, Zhou F, Zhou K, Zheng X, Ren Y, Chen L, Wang Y, Zhao Z, Lin Q, Wu F, Zhang X, Guo X, Cheng X, Jiang L, Wu C, Wang H, Wan J (2014) A gene cluster encoding lectin receptor kinases confers broad-spectrum and durable insect resistance in rice. Nature Biotechnology 33: 301
- Luna E, Pastor V, Robert J, Flors V, Mauch-Mani B, Ton J (2010) Callose deposition: A multifaceted plant defense response. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 24: 183-193
- Manickavelu A, Kawaura K, Oishi K, Shin-I T, Kohara Y, Yahiaoui N, Keller B, Suzuki A, Yano K, Ogihara
 Y (2010) Comparative gene expression analysis of susceptible and resistant near-isogenic lines in common wheat infected by Puccinia triticina. DNA research : an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes 17: 211-222
- Mayrose M, Ekengren SK, Melech-Bonfil S, Martin GB, Sessa G (2006) A novel link between tomato GRAS genes, plant disease resistance and mechanical stress response. Mol Plant Pathol **7:** 593-604
- Mengiste T, Chen X, Salmeron J, Dietrich R (2003) The BOTRYTIS SUSCEPTIBLE1 gene encodes an R2R3MYB transcription factor protein that is required for biotic and abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell 15: 2551-2565
- Mitsuda N, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Ohme-Takagi M (2005) The NAC Transcription Factors NST1 and NST2 of Arabidopsis Regulate Secondary Wall Thickenings and Are Required for Anther Dehiscence. The Plant Cell 17: 2993
- **Opassiri R, Pomthong B, Onkoksoong T, Akiyama T, Esen A, Ketudat Cairns JR** (2006) Analysis of rice glycosyl hydrolase family 1 and expression of Os4bglu12 beta-glucosidase. BMC Plant Biol **6**: 33
- Pan Y, Liu Z, Rocheleau H, Fauteux F, Wang Y, McCartney C, Ouellet T (2018) Transcriptome dynamics associated with resistance and susceptibility against fusarium head blight in four wheat genotypes. BMC Genomics 19: 642
- Paudel B, Zhuang Y, Galla A, Dahal S, Qiu Y, Ma A, Raihan T, Yen Y (2020) WFhb1-1 plays an important role in resistance against Fusarium head blight in wheat. Scientific reports 10: 1-15
- Perochon A, Kahla A, Vranic M, Jia J, Malla KB, Craze M, Wallington E, Doohan FM (2019) A wheat NAC interacts with an orphan protein and enhances resistance to Fusarium head blight disease. Plant Biotechnol J
- Petti C, Reiber K, Ali SS, Berney M, Doohan FM (2012) Auxin as a player in the biocontrol of Fusarium head blight disease of barley and its potential as a disease control agent. BMC plant biology 12: 224
- Piasecka A, Jedrzejczak-Rey N, Bednarek P (2015) Secondary metabolites in plant innate immunity: conserved function of divergent chemicals. New Phytol 206: 948-964
- Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Orešič M (2010) MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 395
- Pysh LD, Wysocka-Diller JW, Camilleri C, Bouchez D, Benfey PN (1999) The GRAS gene family in Arabidopsis: sequence characterization and basic expression analysis of the SCARECROW-LIKE genes. Plant J 18: 111-119

- Ravensdale M, Rocheleau H, Wang L, Nasmith C, Ouellet T, Subramaniam R (2014) Components of priminginduced resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat revealed by two distinct mutants of Fusarium graminearum. Molecular Plant Pathology 15: 948-956
- Rawat N, Pumphrey MO, Liu S, Zhang X, Tiwari VK, Ando K, Trick HN, Bockus WW, Akhunov E, Anderson JA, Gill BS (2016) Wheat Fhb1 encodes a chimeric lectin with agglutinin domains and a poreforming toxin-like domain conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nat Genet 48: 1576-1580
- Sattler S, Funnell-Harris D (2013) Modifying lignin to improve bioenergy feedstocks: strengthening the barrier against pathogens?[†]. Frontiers in Plant Science 4: 70
- Schweiger W, Steiner B, Ametz C, Siegwart G, Wiesenberger G, Berthiller F, Lemmens M, Jia H, Adam G, Muehlbauer GJ, Kreil DP, Buerstmayr H (2013) Transcriptomic characterization of two major Fusarium resistance quantitative trait loci (QTLs), Fhb1 and Qfhs.ifa-5A, identifies novel candidate genes. Molecular Plant Pathology 14: 772-785
- Schweiger W, Steiner B, Vautrin S, Nussbaumer T, Siegwart G, Zamini M, Jungreithmeier F, Gratl V,
 Lemmens M, Mayer KFX, Bérgès H, Adam G, Buerstmayr H (2016) Suppressed recombination and
 unique candidate genes in the divergent haplotype encoding Fhb1, a major Fusarium head blight resistance
 locus in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129: 1607-1623
- Seifi HS, Van Bockhaven J, Angenon G, Hofte M (2013) Glutamate metabolism in plant disease and defense: friend or foe? Mol Plant Microbe Interact 26: 475-485
- Shah SH, Newgard CB (2015) Integrated metabolomics and genomics: systems approaches to biomarkers and mechanisms of cardiovascular disease. Circulation. Cardiovascular genetics 8: 410-419
- Sharma P, Gangola MP, Huang C, Kutcher HR, Ganeshan S, Chibbar RN (2018) Single nucleotide polymorphisms in b-genome specific udp-glucosyl transferases associated with fusarium head blight resistance and reduced deoxynivalenol accumulation in wheat grain. Phytopathology 108: 124-132
- Siranidou E, Kang Z, Buchenauer H (2002) Studies on symptom development, phenolic compounds and morphological defence responses in wheat cultivars differing in resistance to fusarium head blight. Journal of Phytopathology 150: 200-208
- **Somssich IE, Hahlbrock K** (1998) Pathogen defence in plants a paradigm of biological complexity. Trends in Plant Science **3:** 86-90
- Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D (2008) Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve de novo gene finding. Bioinformatics 24: 637-644
- Su Z, Bernardo A, Tian B, Chen H, Wang S, Ma H, Cai S, Liu D, Zhang D, Li T, Trick H, St. Amand P, Yu J,
 Zhang Z, Bai G (2019) A deletion mutation in TaHRC confers Fhb1 resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Nature Genetics 51: 1099-1105
- Su Z, Jin S, Zhang D, Bai G (2018) Development and validation of diagnostic markers for Fhb1 region, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 131: 2371-2380
- Sutton PN, Gilbert MJ, Williams LE, Hall J (2007) Powdery mildew infection of wheat leaves changes host solute transport and invertase activity. Physiologia Plantarum 129: 787-795
- **Tauzin AS, Giardina T** (2014) Sucrose and invertases, a part of the plant defense response to the biotic stresses. Frontiers in plant science **5:** 293
- Treutter D (2005) Significance of flavonoids in plant resistance and enhancement of their biosynthesis. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 7: 581-591
- Tzin V, Galili G (2010) The biosynthetic pathways for shikimate and aromatic amino acids in arabidopsis thaliana. Arabidopsis Book 8: e0132
- Venturini G, Toffolatti SL, Assante G, Babazadeh L, Campia P, Fasoli E, Salomoni D, Vercesi A (2015) The influence of flavonoids in maize pericarp on fusarium ear rot symptoms and fumonisin accumulation under field conditions. Plant Pathology 64: 671-679
- Voorrips RE (2002) Mapchart: Software for the graphical presentation of linkage maps and qtls. Journal of Heredity 93: 77-78
- Waldron BL, Moreno-Sevilla B, Anderson JA, Stack RW, Frohberg RC (1999) RFLP mapping of QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Crop Science 39: 805-811
- Walley JW, Kliebenstein DJ, Bostock RM, Dehesh K (2013) Fatty acids and early detection of pathogens. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 16: 520-526
- Wittstock U, Gershenzon J (2002) Constitutive plant toxins and their role in defense against herbivores and pathogens. Current opinion in plant biology 5: 300-307
- Xia J, Wishart DS (2011) Metabolomic data processing, analysis, and interpretation using MetaboAnalyst. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics Chapter 14: Unit 14.10
- Xie GQ, Zhang MC, Chakraborty S, Liu CJ (2007) The effect of 3BS locus of Sumai 3 on Fusarium head blight resistance in Australian wheats. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 47: 603-607
- Xiong H, Guo H, Xie Y, Zhao L, Gu J, Zhao S, Li J, Liu L (2017) RNAseq analysis reveals pathways and candidate genes associated with salinity tolerance in a spaceflight-induced wheat mutant. Scientific Reports 7: 2731
- Yamaguchi M, Mitsuda N, Ohtani M, Ohme-Takagi M, Kato K, Demura T (2011) VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN7 directly regulates the expression of a broad range of genes for xylem vessel formation. Plant J 66: 579-590
- Yu Y, Lv Y, Shi Y, Li T, Chen Y, Zhao D, Zhao Z (2018) The role of phyto-melatonin and related metabolites in response to stress. Molecules 23: 1887
- Zhang J, Xie M, Tuskan GA, Muchero W, Chen J-G (2018) Recent advances in the transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in the woody plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 9
- Zhao Q, Nakashima J, Chen F, Yin Y, Fu C, Yun J, Shao H, Wang X, Wang ZY, Dixon RA (2013) Laccase is necessary and nonredundant with peroxidase for lignin polymerization during vascular development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25: 3976-3987
- Zhong R, Demura T, Ye ZH (2006) SND1, a NAC domain transcription factor, is a key regulator of secondary wall synthesis in fibers of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 3158-3170

Table 3.1 Resistance related induced (RRI) and resistance related constitutive (RRC) metabolites putatively identified upon *Fg* and mock inoculation in NILs rachis with resistant and susceptible QTL-Fhb1.

	Observed		Fold	RR						
Sr. No.	Mass (Da) ^a	Metabolite	change	classification						
	·									
Phenylp	Phenylpropanpoids : Monolignols, Lignans, Lignan glucosides and flavonoids									
1	357.1359	Coniferyl ferulate	5.64***	RRI						
2	579.2111	Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside	5.15**	RRI						
3	210.0891	Sinapyl-alcohol	4.4**	RRI						
4	540.1623	Cleistanthin A	3.59*	RRI						
5	164.0473	4-coumaric acid	3.35***	RRI						
6	238.0840	Sinapic acid methyl ester	2.99*	RRI						
7	564.1068	Isorhamnetin 3-(6"-malonylglucoside)	2.53	RRI						
8	206.0579	p-coumaroyldiketide	2.09*	RRI						
9	356.1104	1-O-Feruloyl-beta-D-glucose	1.99**	RRI						
10	654.1786	Iristectorigenin A 7-O-gentiobioside	1.94	RRI						
11	372.1203	(+)-sesamolinol	1.81***	RRI						
12	580.2147	(+)-Syringaresinol O- β -D-glucoside	1.75	RRI						
13	342.0970	Caffeic acid 3-glucoside	1.64*	RRI						
14	726.2354	Naringenin 7-O-(2",6"-di-O-alpha-rhamnopyranosyl)-beta-glucopyranoside	1.55	RRI						
15	520.1936	(-)-Pinoresinol glucoside	1.53**	RRI						
16	414.1277	(-)-Podophyllotoxin	1.5*	RRI						
Lipid bi	osynthesis	·								

^a Observed Mass: To the observed mass one H mass was added because the LC/MS analysis was done in negative ionization mode.

17	103.0409	3-hydroxybut-2-enoic acid	3.20	RRI				
18	440.2766	PA(17:1(9Z)/0:0);1-(9Z-heptadecenoyl)-sn-glycero-3-phosphate	2.11*	RRI				
19	592.2638	PI(18:4(6Z,9Z,12Z,15Z)/0:0)	2.10*	RRC				
Terpenoids and alkaloids								
20	476.2724	Emetamine	2.27**	RRC				
21	291.1624	Laurenobiolide	1.54**	RRI				
22	522.2091	Isobrucein A	1.52*	RRI				
23	376.1361	Loganate	1.49*	RRI				
24	376.1516	Ailanthone	1.44**	RRI				
Other n	netabolites (Gluta	amate metabolism, Tryptophan metabolism, Phenolic compounds)						
25	118.0239	Succinate	3.51***	RRC				
26	249.1202	6-Hydroxymelatonin	2.50**	RRI				
27	162.0571	Quinoline-3,4-diol	1.61**	RRI				

Significance (Students *t*-test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, *** P<0.001

Detailed compound identification is presented in Supp. Table 3.1. Fold change (FC) was calculated based on relative intensity of metabolites: RRC = RM/SM>1.0; RRI = (RP/RM>1.0)/(SP/SM>1.0), where RM = Resistant Mock; SM = Susceptible Mock; RP = Resistant Pathogen; RM = Resistant Mock. Note: ** significant at P < 0.01; *significant at P < 0.05; the significance of RRI was based on RP>RM and SP>SM.

Table 3.2 Genes located in the QTL-Fhb1 region derived from Sumai 3*5/Thatcher population flanking between markers XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142.

Gene	Wheat Ensembl ID	Genomic location	GenBank ID	Final Annotation ^c	Coverage	Е-
No. ^b					(%)	value
#1	TraesCS3B02G000200	3B:218045-	AK455950.1	EXECUTER 2	0.97	0
		222328				
#2	TraesCS3B02G019900	3B:8526623-	CBH32655.1	Sarcoplasmic reticulum histidine-rich calcium-	0.9579	3E-157
		8529426		binding protein		
#3	TraesCS3B02G022000	3B:9404342-	AJ867398.1	Glycosyltransferase, HGA-like	0.94	0
		9408751				
#4	TraesCS3B02G022900	3B:9913916-	FN564434.1	Glutamate decarboxylase	0.99	6E-115
		9917749				
#5	TraesCS3B02G023200	3B:9931500-	FN564434.1	Polygalacturonase 3	0.98	0
		9939414				
#6	TraesCS3B02G023400	3B:10045662-	FN564434.1	F-box like domain superfamily containing protein	0.97	0
		10048767				
#7	TraesCS3B02G024600	3B:10627150-	FN564434.1	Phosphatidylserine synthase	1	1E-104
		10632531				
#8	TraesCS3B02G025200	3B:10953564-	KY930446.1	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 1	1	3E-141
		10957184				
#9	TraesCS3B02G028500	3B:12302917-	AF030420.1	Cell wall invertase	0.85	2E-151
		12305457				

^b Gene no. depict relative positions in the direction of markers STS3B-138 and STS3B-142. ^c Annotations were made based WheatEnsembl database and BlastN against *Triticum aestivum*.

#10	TraesCS3B02G030000	3B:13814087-	AK447232.1	Uncharacterized protein	0.8	3E-108
		13817475				
#11	TraesCS3B02G030500	3B:13961374-	U51330.1	Rust resistance kinase Lr10	0.85	0
		13964727				
#12	TraesCS3B02G034500	3B:16449576-	AK335700.1	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 conversed	0.98	0
		16455782		domain		
#13	TraesCS3B02G084100	3B:52916105-	MG560142.1	Putative disease resistance protein RGA3	0.83	2E-164
		52923537				
#14	TraesCS3B02G126200	3B:102594018-	KY461076.1	NAC domain-containing protein 75	0.95	5E-62
		102599840				
#15	TraesCS3B02G126700	3B:103243031-	AK334701.1	G-patch domain containing protein	0.98	0
		103246106				
#16	TraesCS3B02G175500	3B:177086624-	AK452408.1	G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-	0.97	0
		177088975		protein kinase (RKS1), LECRK1		
#17	TraesCS3B02G194000	3B:214284139-	AK455477.1	NAC transcription factor 32-like	0.81	3E-23
		214285430				
#18	TraesCS3B02G202200	3B:236195446-	MG560141.1	Purple acid phosphatase	0.94	0
		236197956				
#19	TraesCS3B02G219900	3B:266563760-	MG560141.1	Calmodulin TaCaM2-2	0.92	0
		266567261				
#20	TraesCS3B02G256300	3B:413958242-	AK455595.1	Cytochrome P450 72A14-like	0.98	0
		413960680				
#21	TraesCS3B02G272100	3B:437908829-	MG560141.1	Peroxidase 19-like	0.95	1E-135
		437915002				
#22	TraesCS3B02G273000	3B:439463486-	EU660902.1	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc-1)	0.91	0
		439466300				

#23	TraesCS3B02G275700	3B:445592555-	MG560141.1	Violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE) domain	0.91	7E-65
		445595475		containing protein		
#24	TraesCS3B02G297300	3B:476944285-	AK448685.1	WD40 repeat domain containing protein	0.99	0
		476946311				
#25	TraesCS3B02G299800	3B:481595302-	FN564429.1	Glutamate synthase 1 (GLT1)	0.98	1E-130
		481606660				
#26	TraesCS3B02G327500	3B:528138893-	DQ086483.1	1,3-beta-glucan synthase	0.98	0
		528147684				
#27	TraesCS3B02G327600	3B:528172449-	FJ436983.1	DUF1421 domain containing protein	0.92	0
		528177761				
#28	TraesCS3B02G328800	3B:531210808-	HF541871.1	Potassium channel KAT3-like	0.91	0
		531213439				
#29	TraesCS3B02G331400	3B:536433922-	FJ830847.1	Heat shock protein 70	0.97	0
		536438211				
#30	TraesCS3B02G333100	3B:539559841-	HM236489.1	UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1-like	0.85	2E-120
		539561616				
#31	TraesCS3B02G341500	3B:548046061-	AK455728.1	Beta-glucosidase BoGH3B-like	0.98	0
		548050821				
#32	TraesCS3B02G392600	3B:618148246-	MG560140.1	Scarecrow-like protein 9	0.87	6E-152
		618151575				
#33	TraesCS3B02G392700	3B:618154243-	AK331516.1	Laccase-4-like	0.99	0
		618157461				
#34	TraesCS3B02G425700	3B:663573757-	HE996279.1	Protein PELOTA 1-like	0.97	0
		663574893				
#35	TraesCS3B02G497800	3B:741463238-	HQ702206.1	NB-ARC domain-containing protein	0.93	0
		741466391				

#36	TraesCS3B02G517000	3B:760051120-	FN564434.1	Two-component response regulator ORR25-like	0.94	0
		760055994				
#37	TraesCS3B02G566400	3B:798408352-	FN564426.1	SKP1-like protein	0.95	3E-107
		798408783				

Table 3.3 List of primers used in the gene expression study.

Designate	Gene/Primer Name	Forward primer (5'- 3')	Reverse primer (5´- 3´)		
d Gene					
no.					
gene # 1	EXECUTER 2	AAACATGGCATTTTGGTTCC	GGTCTCGCCCGACATATCTA		
gene #2	Sarcoplasmic reticulum histidine-rich calcium-binding protein	ACTCTGTGACGGCAATGTTT	AGAGCCGGAGACAGTAACAT		
gene # 3	Glycosyltransferase, HGA-like	GTGAGGGATGACGACACAGA	CCATAAAATGCCCCGAATC		
gene #4	Glutamate decarboxylase	TGGCCGTGCTTTGTTTTAAC	GCTCTTGACAGTTCACATACG		
gene # 5	Polygalacturonase 3	TGGGGTGAAGCTAATGTGGT	ACATCTGCAATCGCTCTAACC		
gene # 6	F-box like domain superfamily containing protein	GGGCCCTGCCAGTTGTAATTT	TTGAAATGCACAGATCAGCA		
gene # 7	Phosphatidylserine synthase	GCCCACACCATTCTTTTCTC	ACCGATCAATGGCCTACTG		
gene # 8	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 1	TTCGGCCTATACCCCTAGAA	TACTTCGCAAAAACGCAACA		
gene # 9	Cell wall invertase	CACGGAAACATGGGAATGAAT	GGGACATAAACTGATCTGCAA		
gene # 10	Uncharacterized protein	CCGTACATGGTTTGGTTGAG	TGCATCCAGAGGTTATTGGA		
gene # 11	Rust resistance kinase Lr10	CCGTCAGGCAGGTACATATT	TCAGGTGGTAATAGAGTGGAA		
gene # 12	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 conversed domain	AAGCTTTGTGGGGTTTGTTGTT	CTGCATCTCTACCCTTGGAAT		
gene # 13	Putative disease resistance protein RGA3	GCCGTATTCCTTCCTGTACA	AGCAAGTAAGTGGAAGTGGAT		
gene # 14	NAC domain-containing protein 75	CAAATGTGTCTTGCGAGCAT	ACCGAGTTCCATGTTGAAATG		
gene # 15	G-patch domain containing protein	CAAATGTGTCTTGCGAGCAT	ACCGAGTTCCATGTTGAAATG		

gene # 16	G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase	AGTCACTTGTTGCTGAGTTG	TTGCTCATTCCGTAGAGAGTT
	(RKS1), LECRK1		
gene # 17	NAC transcription factor 32-like	TCGACCAGTGTGAGTAGAGT	GAAGCTGCACTGATTGAGAA
gene # 18	Purple acid phosphatase	TTGTGATCGAAGCCAACTCT	GCCTTTCATGCTACCTCACA
gene # 19	Calmodulin TaCaM2-2	CGACCTGTCGTGGGTAAGTT	CCCAGTTTCAGGATCAAATG
gene # 20	Cytochrome P450 72A14-like	ATCTTGTCTTGCGGCTTTG	CCCAGTTTCAGGATCAAATGA
gene # 21	Peroxidase 19-like	TCCCTTACAGTGCGTTTCAA	TGCAATCGGATCCTACCATT
gene # 22	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc-1)	GGCATTTTACCAGAAGCATGA	CAGAAGGTATACACTGCATAG
			АА
gene # 23	Violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE) domain containing protein	GGGCAATTCTTTTCCCAACT	AACGTCGACCTCCCAATTAC
gene # 24	WD40 repeat domain containing protein	TGGTAAAACGGCTGTGGTAT	GCGGCTAAGACACAGATAGT
gene # 25	Glutamate synthase 1 (GLT1)	TTGGGCTCAATAGGGAAAGA	CCAACAAACAATAGCCAACCA
gene # 26	1,3-beta-glucan synthase	TGGCGATTTATGCATTGAGT	ATCAGAACTCACGGGTAAGC
gene # 27	DUF1421 domain containing protein	TGCCGTCTATTGATTCTGTCC	TCACCGTAATCCAGTTGTGC
gene # 28	Potassium channel KAT3-like	CAAAATGATCTGGGGACTGG	CGGGGTCTTGGACACTTAAA
gene # 29	Heat shock protein 70	AGGGATCTTGTTGGCTGTAA	ACGCTAGTTCAACCAAGTCA
gene # 30	UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1-like	ATACCGGTTTCTTCAGTTCGT	ACGGCAGCTAATGTTTTGTAG
gene # 31	Beta-glucosidase BoGH3B-like	TTCACCGCTAATCTGCTCTT	CAGGGAACAATGTCCATGTG
gene # 32	Scarecrow-like protein 9	TTAGCATTTTTGGTGGAGCA	CAACCAAGCAAATGAAAAAGG
gene # 33	Laccase-4-like	GTTTAATTTGCGCCCCTCAT	ACGGGCTAAACACACTACAA
gene # 34	Protein PELOTA 1-like	CACTTATTTCTGTTATACCAAG	CACCACATGCTAGCAATTCT
		CA	
gene # 35	NB-ARC domain-containing protein	GTTGTCCAGCTTTGGAGGAA	TGCATCGTCTTTTCAACTCC
gene # 36	Two-component response regulator ORR25-like	GGGGCCTCTTTAAGGCAATA	TGCAGAACACGTCAATTCTCA
gene # 37	SKP1-like protein	TTTTCACTGGACTCTGTGACG	GCAGAGCCGGAGACAGTAAC

3BSTR_Predic	Posit	3BSTR	3BSTS_Variant_Bas	Posit	3BSTR	3BSTS_Vari	Posit	3BST	3BSTS_Vari
ted_Genes	ion		e	ion		ant_Base	ion	R	ant_Base
Gene #8	2423	G	А	2720	G	А	3029	Т	G
	2441	Т	С	2733	G	А	3077	Т	C
	2611	G	А	2746	Т	С	3999	А	С
	2617	Т	С	2757	А	С	4029	С	Т
	2646	G	Т	2849	А	G	4035	G	Т
	2673	С	Т	2987	Т	G			
Gene #9	2361	Т	G						
Gene #12	1048	А	G	1544	А	G	439	G	А
	1051	G	А	1546	А	G	499	G	А
	1096	G	С	1615	А	G	177	Т	C
	1139	Т	С	1625	G	А	2218	Т	C
	1204	G	А	1637	G	А	2239	G	А
	1387	G	А	1266	С	Т	381	Т	C
Gene #16	1017	А	G	1516	AGTA	AGTA	1326	Т	C
					CGTA				
	1083	А	G	447	G	А	1350	С	Т
	1119	G	А	486	А	Т	1404	G	А
	1122	G	С	596	G	С	804	С	Т
	839	G	А						
Gene #25	6706	А	G	7276	С	Т	7885	G	А
	6792	А	G	7385	СТ	CTCTT	7938	G	C
	6821	ATT	AT	7412	Т	C	8014	С	Т

Table 3.4 List of candidates possessing multiple genomic variants based on SNP variant analysis.

	6879	TCCC	TC	7419	C	Т	8030	C	Т
	6893	GCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTCT,G	GCTCTCTCTCTCT	7559	G	А	8037	ACTC	ACTC
		СТСТСТСТСТСТСТСТ	СТСТСТСТСТ					CTC	
	8133	С	Т	8153	С	Т	8225	Т	С
Gene #28	2010	GTGGAGT	G	2740	С	А	2449	Т	С
	2111	G	С	2231	C	Т	2453	А	С
	2120	С	Т	2237	G	А	2462	А	С
	2149	A	G	2270	А	G	2469	G	А
	2156	Т	А	2316	С	Т	2472	С	Т
	2163	А	G	2348	G	А	2485	C	Т
	2387	СААААА	САААА						
Gene #31	3154	A	AG	3681	А	G	4137	А	С
	3152	A	G	3708	А	G	4173	G	А
	3209	A	Т	3718	А	С	4213	С	Т
	3296	С	Т	3727	Т	С	4218	CTT	СТ
	3375	G	А	3745	С	Т	4219	TTAC	Т
	3399	G	А	4038	Т	С	4249	G	Т
	3446	А	G	4049	А	G	4276	Т	С
	3477	G	С	4067	Т	С	4446	G	А
	3561	G	А	4072	С	А	4452	T,A	G
	3642	G	А	4131	G	А	4469	G	С
Gene #32	1005	С	Т	1287	Т	С	1827	С	А
	1047	С	Т	1340	Т	С	1899	G	А
	1062	T,C	G	1461	C	Т	1903	G	C
	1086	С	Т	1504	А	С	1928	С	А
	1122	Т	А	1602	Т	С	1956	G	А

	1134	С	Т	1680	C	Т	1999	А	G
	1173	С	G	1740	Т	С	2061	Т	С
	1182	G	С	1797	А	G	2067	ATCC	AT
								Т	
Gene #33	2315	А	G	2320	G	С	2321	С	G
Gene #17	464	А		1245	Т	С			
Gene #26	1158	А	G	1512	G	Т	2394	G	А
	1170	С	Т	1557	G	А	2601	А	С
	1209	А	G	1659	G	А	2691	Т	С
	1242	G	А	1851	А	G	2763	А	G
	1266	Т	С	1956	А	C	2842	А	G
	1269	А	G	2107	G	Т	2907	G	С
	1329	G	С	2214	А	G	2929	А	С
	1344	G	А	2252	А	C	3003	Т	С
	1362	Т	С	2335	А	G	3072	Т	С
	1374	А	G	2354	G	А	3134	TGCA	Т

Figure 3.1 Phenotyping of NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1. (a) NILs varying in FHB resistance following inoculation of one pair of spikelets in the mid-region of spike with *F. graminearum* (*Fg*) spore suspension, at 12 dpi. The rachis in NIL-R shows only necrotic spots or diseased symptoms limited to the inoculated spikelet, while in S-RIL the complete spike showed bleaching and diseased symptoms at 12 dpi; (b) Disease severity analysis in NILs, based on visual observations of proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD).



Figure 3.2 Gene ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis. The GO annotation results were based on 37 putative candidate genes identified based on a paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform. The top eight GO terms enriched in genes identified in QTL-Fhb1 are based on the lowest over-represented p values. Circles in closer proximity have GO terms that are more closely related. The size of the circle indicates the number of related genes involved in the same: (a) biological process and ;(b) molecular functions. The color of the circle depicts the statistical significance of the enriched GO terms based on the over-represented p-value.



Figure 3.3 Comparative mapping of chromosome 3B linkage map with the corresponding 3B physical bin map and novel genes underlying QTL-Fhb1 between XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142 flanking markers. (a) The linkage map displays gene order and the relative distances of the genes on the 3BS chromosome flanking between STS3B-138 and STS3B-142 markers; (b) The orthologous genes on different chromosomes in the related species such as Oryza sativa, Sorghum bicolor, and Brachypodium distachyon. Left side for genetic map=linkage distance (cM); Left side for gene predicted= NCBI IDs.

> 8526623 CBH32655.1 - AJ867398.1 9404342 -FN564434.1 9913916 FN584434.1 9931500 -10045662 FN584434.1 10827150 -FN584434.1 10953564 KY930446.1 AF030420.1 AK447232.1 1381 4087 13961374 U51330.1 16449576 AK335700.1 52916104 MG580142.1 102594016 KY461076.1 103243032 AK334701.1 AK452408.1 177086624 214284144 -AK455477.1 MG560141.1 238195440 MG580141.1 266563760 -413958240 AK455595.1 437908832 -MG560141.1 439463488 -EU680902.1 445592544 MG560141.1 476944288 AK448885.1 FN564429.1 481595298 DQ088483.1 528138880 FJ438983.1 528172448 -531210816 HF541871.1 FJ830847.1 536433920 539559872 HM238489.1 548046080 AK455728.1 618148224 -MG 560140.1 - AK331516.1 618154240 -HE996279.1 663573760 741483232 -HQ702208.1 FN584434.1 760051136 798408320 · - FN584428.1

3B

(a)

	T.aestivum_Fhb1	Brachypodium_distachyon	Oryza_s ativa	Sorghum_bicolor
0 50 100 200 250 300 350 400 450 550 600 650 700 750 800	STS3B-138 CBH32655.1_Sarcoplasmic_reticulum_histidine-rich_calcium-binding_protein AJ867398.1_Glycosyltransferase FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Polygalacturonase-3 FN564434.1_Prosphatidylserine_synthase AK447232.1_Uncharacterized_protein US1330.1_Rust_resistance_kinase_Lr10 AK335700.1_Ubiquitin-conjugating_enzyme_E2 MG560142.1_Omega_gliadin-D3 KY461076.1_NAC_domain-containing_protein AK455477.1_NAC_transcription_factor-32 MG560141.1_Purple_acid_protein AK455595.1_Cytochrome_P450_domain_containing_protein MG560141.1_Perpidaese EU660902.1_Uncharacterized_protein MG560141.1_Volaxanthin_de-epoxidase_(VDE)_domain_containing_protein AK455885.1_WD40_repeat_domain_containing_protein HF541871.1_Uncharacterized_protein FK368431.1_Sheta-glucan_synthase FJ38983.1_DUF1421_domain_containing_protein HF541871.1_Uncharacterized_protein FK448885.1_WD40_repeat_domain_containing_protein HF548881.1_UDP-arabinopyranose_mutase-14ike AK4	XM_003567673.4 XM_003572493.4 XR_002961056.1 XM_003567555.3 XM_003567555.3 XM_0140955912 XM_01239886.3 XM_0140987062 XM_01232270.3 XM_0023665711.4 XM_0035665711.4 XM_0035665711.4 XM_003566731.4 XM_003566935.4 XM_003569354.4 XM_003569354.4 XM_003569354.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569374.4 XM_003569494.4 XM_003569494.4 XM_003569494.4 XM_003569494.4 XM_003564542.4 XM_003564542.4 XM_003572426.4 XM_003572426.4 XM_003572426.4 XM_003573438.4	XM_0157620492 XM_0157771362 XM_0157638132 XM_0157689782 XM_0157758402 XM_01577649562 XM_01577659562 XM_0157654352 XM_0157661742 XM_0157664552 XM_0157764652 XM_0157764652 XM_0157764652 XM_0157764652 XM_0157764652 XM_0157764652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157564652 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654562 XM_0157654662 XM_015765	XM_0024552502 XM_0214629501 XM_0024552562 XM_0024552562 XM_0148955912 XM_021449737.1 XM_021456370.1 XM_021453219.1 XM_021453279.1 XM_021445279.1 XM_021445279.1 XM_002448407.2 XM_002448212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_0024458212 XM_002445809.1 XM_00244887012 XM_00244837012 XM_0024465090.1 XM_002444360.2

(b)

Figure 3.4 Heatmap of differentially expressed genes. The expression levels of putative candidate genes are represented in resistant NILs and susceptible NILs, both in mock and pathogen inoculated samples. The rows represent putative candidate genes, whereas columns depict different treatment groups. The two dendrograms are shown at the top and left sides.



Figure 3.5 MultAlin software based amino acid sequence alignment of resistant and susceptible NIL. CwInv, NAC32-like, LECRK1, Laccase-4-like, *TaHRC*, FBA1, Ubiquitin, KAT3, and SCL9 were identified with multiple allelic variations at the protein level.

	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
CwInv_NILR CwInv_NILS Consensus	ngtapf Ngtapf Ngtapf	ALVIGSLLO ALVIGSLLO ALVIGSLLO	CHAAATYYQAS CHAAATYYQAS CHAAATYYQAS	SHVVYPELQS Shvvypelqs Shvvypelqs	LESKEVATEL LESKEVATEL LESKEVATEL	RTGYHFQPPI RTGYHFQPPI RTGYHFQPPI	KHMINGTRYF9 Khmingtryf9 Khmingtryf9	SYHYCGSSPS: Syhycgssps: Syhycgssps:	STAHCSFLYF STAHCSFLYF STAHCSFLYF	ifvcnastyfi Ifvcnastyfi Ifvcnastyfi	PCHTTQQPIR PCHTTQQPIR PCHTTQQPIR	SKCLHATYHP SKCLHATYHP SKCLHATYHP	ISKCTYIRHDA ISKCTYIRHDA ISKCTYIRHDA	GPMYYKG GPMYYKG GPMYYKG
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
CwInv_NILR CwInv_NILS Consensus	LYHLFY LYHLFY LYHLFY	(QYNPKGAY) (QYNPKGAY) (QYNPKGAY)	IGNIIHAHSY! IGNIIHAHSY! IGNIIHAHSY!	STDLIHWAAL STDLIHWAAL STDLIHWAAL	EPAIYPTRPF EPAIYPTRPF EPAIYPTRPF	DYNGCHSGS1 Dyngchsgs1 Dyngchsgs1 Dyngchsgs1	TLLPNGVPVJ TLLPNGVPVJ TLLPNGVPVJ	MYTGIDPHKI Mytgidphki Mytgidphki	IQVQNVAYPF IQVQNVAYPF IQVQNVAYPF	INLSDPYLRHI INLSDPYLRHI INLSDPYLRHI	HVKPDYNPII HVKPDYNPII HVKPDYNPII	SADNGINASA Sadnginasa Sadnginasa	FRDPTTANYGP FRDPTTANYGP FRDPTTANYGP	DGHHRLY DGHHRLY DGHHRLY
	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
CwInv_NILR CwInv_NILS Consensus	VGTKEN Vgtken Vgtken	INKGIAVLYF INKGIAVLYF INKGIAVLYF	RSRDFKKHVK RSRDFKKHVK RSRDFKKHVK	IRHSLHAGLT IRHSLHAGLT IRHSLHAGLT	GHHECPDFFP Ghhecpdffp Ghhecpdffp	VAVVGGSRHI VAVVGGSRHI VAVVGGSRHI	iqsgydtaeli Iqsgydtaeli Iqsgydtaeli	idryhaeeyk' idryhaeeyk' idryhaeeyk'	(VLKVSLELF (VLKVSLELF (VLKVSLELF	IRYDYYTYGT' IRYDYYTYGT' IRYDYYTYGT'	YNHDKERYTP Ynhdkerytp Ynhdkerytp	dpafpdndyg Dpafpdndyg Dpafpdndyg	lrydygdfyas Lrydygdfyas Lrydygdfyas	ksffdpa Ksffdpa Ksffdpa
	391	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520
CwInv_NILR CwInv_NILS Consensus	kkrryl Kkrryl Kkrryl	.HGHANESDT .HGHANESDT .HGHANESDT	TYPDDLHKGWI Typddlhkgwi Typddlhkgwi	IGIQAIPRKI IGIQAIPRKI IGIQAIPRKI	FLSRSGRQLI FLSRSGRQLI FLSRSGRQLI	QHPYEEYKSL QHPYEEYKSL QHPYEEYKSL	.RSKHVNVSNI .RSKHVNVSNI .RSKHVNVSNI	(AVKGGEHFK) (AVKGGEHFK) (AVKGGEHFK)	/SGFKSVQSI /SGFKSVQSI /SGFKSVQSI)YEAAFAIKN)YEAAFAIKN)YEAAFAIKN	lnkaekfopt Lnkaekfopt Lnkaekfopt	HRTDSQELCN HRTDAQELCN HRTDaQELCN	KFNSHVKGGVG KFNSHVKGGVG KFNSHVKGGVG	PFGLHLL PFGLHLL PFGLHLL
	521	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600	610	620	630	640	648
CwInv_NILR CwInv_NILS Consensus	TSDDLE TSDDLE TSDDLE	ERTVVFFRV ERTVVFFRV ERTVVFFRV	/FKTNDTNYV\ /FKTNDTNYV\ /FKTNDTNYV\	/LHCNDPTRS /LHCNDPTRS /LHCNDPTRS	SYESQIYRPT Syesqiyrpt Syesqiyrpt	FAGFYNYDIF Fagfynydif Fagfynydif	IKTKKIALRTI IKTKKIALRTI IKTKKIALRTI	IDHSYVESF(IDHSYVESF(IDHSYVESF(GAGGKTCILI GAGGKTCILI GAGGKTCILI	FRYYPRKAIG FRYYPRKAIG FRYYPRKAIG	DDAHLFYFNN DDAHLFYFNN DDAHLFYFNN	GESDIKYTKL Gesdikytkl Gesdikytkl	НАНЕМКТРТИМ Нанемктртим Нанемктртим	KLLEQ KLLEQ KLLEQ
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus	MEEG Meeg Meeg	FVFRGCELPI FVFRGCELPI FVFRGCELPI	PGFRFQPTDQI PGFRFQPTDQI PGFRFQPTDQI	EIIVCYLKRK EIIVCYLKRK EIIVCYLKRK	VASAASAVTS VASAASAVTS VASAASAVTS	LIADVDIYKF LIADVDIYKF LIADVDIYKF	DPHELPGKNAU DPHELP <mark>D</mark> K DPHELPdK	CHHTYGIRSH	IHQPVQSFVS	ISLTLSSMHE	LPRKINTTRR	SSGKGEHFFF QFGEGEHFFF qfGegehfff	sprdrkypngai Sprdrkypngai Sprdrkypngai	RPNRTA RPNRTA RPNRTA
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus	GSGY GSGY GSGY	MKATGTDKP. Mkatgtdkp. Mkatgtdkp.	ILAAGGARCLI Ilaaggarcli Ilaaggarcli	GYKKALYFYQ Gykkalyfyq Gykkalyfyq	GRSPRGTKTEI Grsprgtktei Grsprgtktei	HVHHEYRLLH HVHHEYRLLH HVHHEYRLLH	ADAGAATRHKA Adagaatrhka Adagaatrhka	Phosmrlodh Phosmrlodh Phosmrlodh Phosmrlodh	LCRVRKKGV LCRVRKKGV LCRVRKKGV	avapdhdgnp Avapdhdgnp Avapdhdgnp	GAPSHAEVQA Gapshaevqa Gapshaevqa	IDSTTAAAHG IDSTTAAAHG IDSTTAAAHG	AFGDDHTDGQLI AFGDDHTDGQLI AFGDDHTDGQLI	LQYLMS LQYLMS LQYLMS
	261 I	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	349 l				
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus	261 6656 6656 6656	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS'	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI	290 RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI	300 ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI	310 LSFHAHDDVY LSFHAHDDVY LSFHAHDDVY	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI	330 1666A6HHTD0 1666A6HHTD0 1666A6HHTD0	340 DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL	349 I SMFEDD SMFEDD SMFEDD				
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus	261 I 6656 6656 6656	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI 20	290 RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI 30	300 ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI 40	310 LSFHAMDDVYI LSFHAMDDVYI LSFHAMDDVYI 50	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 60	330 1666AGHHTD0 1666AGHHTD0 1666AGHHTD0 70	340 DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL 80	349 1 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD 90	100	110	120	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN: LECRK1_SN: Consensu	261 I 6656 6656 6656 I I I U U S Q S	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARSPLP SALAARSPLP	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI 20 LIVGQGAPFT LIVGQGAPFT LIVGQGAPFA	290 RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI 30 PLEVHPTNGG PLEVHPTNGG PLEVHPTNGG	300 ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI 40 GAARSLGLGIS GAARSLGLGIS GAARSLGLGIS	310 LSFHAMDDVYI LSFHAMDDVYI SFHAMDDVYI 50 50 SPPKPAATVFL SPPKPAATVFL	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 60 LLLLMDGSLL LLLLTDGSLL LLLLTDGSLL	330 IGGGAGHHTDO IGGGAGHHTDO IGGGAGHHTDO 70 RESASTOTILI RESASTOTILI RESASTOTILI	340 DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL 80 PGKGISGNET GKGISGNET GKGISGNET	349 I SMFEDD SMFEDD SMFEDD 90 LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI	100 GFFPPGPSIHY GFFPPGPGIHY GFFPPGPgIHY	110 /FLGVRFRNM /FLGVRFRNM	120 GNSPTFHLGDR GNSPTFHLGDR GNSPTFHLGDR	130 VVLTD VVITD VVITD
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_SN Consensu	261 6656 6656 6656 1 IL 099 IL 099 IL 099 IL 099 IL 131 I	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP 1 140	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI 20 LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA 150	290 RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI 30 PLEVHPTNGGI PLEVHPTNGGI PLEVHPTNGGI 160	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI GRARSLGLGIS GRARSLGLGIS GRARSLGLGIS 170	310 LSFHAHDDVYI LSFHAHDDVYI SFHAHDDVYI 50 SPPKPAATVFL SPPKPAATVFL 180	320 FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC 60 LLLLMDGSLL LLLLIDGSLL 190	330 IGGGAGHHTDU IGGGAGHHTDU IGGGAGHHTDU 70 RESASTDTILI RESASTDTILI 200	340 DQL SPPTSL DQL SPPTSL DQL SPPTSL B0 SKGISGNET SKGISGNET 210	349 I SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD 90 LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI 220	100 GFFPPGPSIHY GFFPPGPgIHY 230	110 FLGVRFRNM FLGVRFRNM FLGVRFRNM 240	120 IGNSPTFHLGDR IGNSPTFHLGDR 250	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN: LECRK1_SN: LECRK1_SN: LECRK1_SN: Consensu	261 GGSG GGSG GGSG GGSG I I IL U S U S U S U S U S U S U S U S U S U	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP 1 140 GASLEILGDS GASLEILGDS	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI 20 LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA 150 LYIKENGASLI LYIKENGASLI LYIKENGASLI	290 RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI RRESAPEVHLI 30 PLEVHPTNGG PLEVHPTNGG PLEVHPTNGG HUSPSPGGNV: HUSPSPGGNV:	300 ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI 40 GAARSLGLGI GAARSLGLGI GAARSLGLGI 170 SSARVAVLHDI SSARVAVLHDI	310 LSFHAHDDVYI LSFHAHDDVYI SFHAHDDVYI 50 SPPKPAATVFL SPPKPAATVFL 180 IGNLHVRDQGH IGNLHVRDQGH	320 FLQPSKRANCC FLQPSKRANCC FLQPSKRANCC 60 ILLLMDGSLL ILLLIDGSLL 190 ISSLVLHQSFE ISSLVLHQSFE	330 IGGGAGHHTDO IGGGAGHHTDO IGGGAGHHTDO 70 RESASTDTILI RESASTDTILI 200 YPGDARLIPGAI YPGDARLIPGAI	340 BQLSPPTSL DQLSPPTSL BQLSPPTSL BQ SKGISGNET SKGISGNET 210 RLGLDKDTGK RLGLDKDTGK	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD USSKSGFELI LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI 220 NVSLTFKSFSI NVSLTFKSFSI	100 GFFPPGPGIN GFFPPGPgIN 230 HNGSLSVDAD HNGSLSVDAN HNGSLSVDAN	110 FLGVRFRNNA FLGVRFRNNA 240 RNGFVLTTDG RNGFVLTTDG RNGFVLTTDG	120 GNSPTFHLGDR GNSPTFHLGDR GNSPTFHLGDR 250 HANRGTFPDH HANRGTFPDH HANRGTFPDH	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_SN Consensu LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN Consensu	261 6656 6656 6656 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARSPLP SALAARSPLP SALAARSPLP SALAARSPLP SALEILGOS GASLEILGDS GASLEILGDS 1 270	280 VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI VAAGHVHDGAI 20 LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA LIVGQGAPFA 250 LYIKENGASLI LYIKENGASLI 280	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLE VHPTNGGI PLE VHPTNGGI PLE VHPTNGGI 160 HUSPSPGGNV: 290	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI ASVLENIKRDI 40 GRARSLGLGI GRARSLGLGI 50 170 558RVRVLHDI 558RVRVLHDI 300	310 LSFHANDDVYI LSFHANDDVYI LSFHANDDVYI 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	320 FLQPSKRANCC FLQPSKRANCC FLQPSKRANCC 60 LLLLMDGSLL LLLLTDGSLL 190 ISSLVLHQSFE ISSLVLHQSFE 320	330 GGGAGHHTDO GGGAGHHTDO IGGGAGHHTDO 70 AESASTOTILI AESASTOTILI 200 YPGDARLPGAI YPGDARLPGAI 330	340 BQL SPPTSL DQL SPPTSL DQL SPPTSL BO SGKGISGNET SGKGISGNET 210 RLGLDKDTGK RLGLDKDTGK 340	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD USKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI LVSKSGGFELI USKSGGFELI SKVSLTFKSFSI NVSLTFKSFSI NVSLTFKSFSI 350	100 GFFPPGPGIHI GFFPPGPGIHI 230 HINGSLSVDAD HINGSLSVDAB HINGSLSVDAB 360	110 FELGVRFRNHF FELGVRFRNHF FELGVRFRNHF 240 RNGFVLTTDG RNGFVLTTDG RNGFVLTTDG 370	120 IGNSPTFHLGOR IGNSPTFHLGOR 250 HANRGTFPDHH HANRGTFPDHH 380	130 1 VVLTD VVITD VVITD 260 2580 VSSRD VSSRD VSSRD 390 1
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN Consensu	261 I GGSG GGSG I I I I I I I I I I I I I	270 QVDGAGAIS QVDGAGAIS 10 SALARAPPLP SALARAPLP SALARAPLP 1 140 ANSLEILGOS SALEILGOS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS SALEITAS	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 1 JYGGGAPT 1 JYGGGAPT 1 JYGGGAPT 1 JYGGGAPT 1 JYGGGAPT 1 JYGGGAPT 280 RHG1EFL QFM RHG1EFL QFM RHG1EFL QFM	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG 160 LUSPSPGGNV 290 LGJSLI HRUSS LGJSLI HRUSS	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI 40 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	310 LSFHANDDYY SFHANDDYY 50 SPPKPARTVFL PPKPARTVFL 180 GGNL HYROGGG GGNL HYROGGG GGNL HYROGGG 310 310 310 310 310	320 FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC 60 IIIIIDOSII IIIIII	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 70 MESASTDTILL RESASTDTILL RESASTDTILL 200 YFGORHLPGRH 330 FGREGGGCCC FGREGGGCCC FGREGGGCCC	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 SKGISSONET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 	100 GFFPPGPSIN GFFPPGPy 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	110 FLGVPFRNHF FLGVPFRNHF FLGVPFRNHF 240 RNGFVLTDC RNGFVLTDC 370 EY ESCOQTEHOL ESCOQTEHOL EE	120 GNSPTFHLGOR GNSPTFHLGOR 250 HANRGTFPDH HANRGTFPDH HANRGTFPDH 380 	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN	261 I G656 G656 G656 I IL 0P3 US 0P3 I IL 0P3 I II I I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I II I	270 QVDGAGAIS QVDGAGAIS 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP 1 140 AASLETIGOS GASLETIGOS GASLETIGOS SSLLLINPET SSLLLINPET SSLLLINPET 1 400	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 LIVGQGAPT LIVGQGAPT LIVGQGAPF LIVGQGAPF 20 LIVGQGAPF 20 LIVGQAPF 20 LIVGQAPF 410 410	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGG 160 HLSPSPGGNV 180 160 LSPSPGGNV 290 201 LGTSL HRUSI LGTSL HRUSI LGTSL HRUSI LGTSL HRUSI	300 RSVLENIKRD RSVLENIKRD 40 40 GRARSLGLGS 120 300 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	310 LSFHAHDDVY LSFHAHDDVY 50 59PKPARTVFL 9PPKPARTVFL 180 GRNL HYRDGGK GRNL HYRDGGN 310 310 JUVARIUSL PSC JUVARIUSL PSC JUVARIUSL PSC 440	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 60 ILLINDSSLL ILLIDSSL ILLIDSSL ISSL VLAGSF ISSL V	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 GKGISGNET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD 30 LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL SKSGGFEL 350 MVSLTFKSFS 350 PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV 480	100 GFFPPGFS1H1 GFFPPGF2H1 230 230 360 GCSRSLPLS1 GCSRSLPLS1 490	110 FLGVPFRMMF FLGVPFRMMF 240 240 370 370 370 500	120 IGNSPTFHLGDR IGNSPTFHLGDR 250 HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH SFPPLBLQL LGGLI 510	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN	261 I GGSG GGSG GGSG I I I I I I I I I I I I I	270 QVDGAGAIS QVDGAGAIS 10 SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP SALAARAPLP 1 140 AASLETLGDS GASLETLGDS GASLETIGDS SSLLIMPET SSLLIMPET SSLLIMPET 1 400 VAGTDECCRA	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 1.1V50GAPFT 1.1V50GAPFA 1.1V50GAP	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG 150 HUSPSPGGNV 230 230 LGTSL HRUSS LGTSL	300 RSVLENIKRD RSVLENIKRD 40 40 GRARSLGLGTS 120 SSARVANLING SSARVANLING SSARVANLING SSARVANLING 300 EPOPANAGCC 430 YHNLYNLSLA HUNLSLA HUNLSLA	310 LSFHAHDDVY LSFHAHDDVY 50 59PKPARTVFL 9PPKPARTVFL 180 GRNL HYRDGGK GRNL HYRDGGK GRNL HYRDGGK 310 1WVRRWSLPSC 440 440 440 440 440 440	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 60 ILILIDOSLL 111100SLL	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 70 RESASTIDTILI RESASTIDTILI RESASTIDTILI 200 YFGORALPGANL PGORALPGAN 330 FGRGRGSGKCC 460 IOTEGTVLLY. IQTEGTVLLY.	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 GKGISSONET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD 30 1/VSKS66FEL 1/VSKS66FEL 1/VSKS66FEL 1/VSKS66FEL 220 MVSLTFKSFS 350 7EIGLGYEV 7EIGLGYEV 7EIGLGYEV 7EIGLGYEV 1/FIELGLGYEV 1/FIELGLGYEV 1/SVLIAPRR	100 GFFPPGF21H GFFPPGF21H 230 230 360 TGCSR5LPLS TGCSR5LPLS 490 RDSLGRGKFE RDSLGRGKFE RDSLGRGKFE	110 FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF 240 240 370 370 370 500 FEPL 500 FEPL FLOVE FLO	120 GNSPTFHLGDR GNSPTFHLGDR 250 HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH 380 	130 1 VVLTD VVITD 260 1 VSSR0 VSSR0 390 1 SSR0 290 1 SSR0 290 1 KIGEG KIGEG KIGEG KIGEG
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RK LECRK1_SK Consensus LECRK1_SK Consensus LECRK1_SK Consensus LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK	261 I 66556 66556 66556 66566 1 I 1 099 13 I 1 099 13 I 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 099 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	270 400GAGAIS 400GAGAIS 10 501 ARRAPLP 501 ARRAPLP 501 ARRAPLP 1 140 ARSLETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 503 LETLGOS 504 ARRAPLP 1 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 1.1vGQGAPT 1.1vGQGAPT 1.1vGQGAPT 1.1vGQGAPT 1.1vGQGAPT 280 RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN RKGIEFLQAN 300 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	290 RRESRPE VHL I RRESRPE VHL I 30 PLEVHPT NGG 160 HLSPSFGGHVV HLSPSFGGHVV 290 160TSL HRVSI 290 160TSL HRVSI 420 75YGHGGKL I 75YGHGGKL I 550	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI 40 40 GRARSLGLGS 170 SSARVAVLENIS SS	310 LSFHAHDDVYI SFHAHDDVYI 50 SPKPARITVFL SPKPARITVFL 180 GGNLMYRDGGN GGNLMYRDGGN 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCK FLQPSKRANCK FLQPSKRANCK FLQPSKRANCK 60 1111105511 1111105511 1111105511 1111105511 1111105511 1111105511 111105511 11110551 111110551 11110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111110551 111105551 1111055555555	330 IGGGAGHHTDI IGGGAGHHTDI IGGGAGHHTDI IGGGAGHHTDI 70 RESARSTOTILLA 200 YFGGAHLPGARLPGA 330 FGACAGSGKCC 460 LQTREGTVLLY LQTREGTVLLY 530	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 GKGISGNET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD 30 UVSKG6FEL UVSKG6FEL UVSKG6FEL UVSKG6FEL UVSKG6FEL 350 MVSLTFKSFS 350 PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV 480 LTSVLLHRFR LTSVLLHRFR LTSVLLHRFR	100 GFFPPGP51H1 GFFPPGP2H1 230 HNGSLSV0A HNGSLSV0A GCSRSLPLSC GCSRSLPLSC 430 RDSLGRGKFE RDSLGRGKFE RDSLGRGKFE 220	110 FL GVPF RMMF FL GVPF RMMF 240 240 370 370 370 500 FEPL ESDG0TEHO	120 IGNSPTFHLGOR IGNSPTFHLGOR 250 HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH HARRGTFPDH JEGLI SFAPL DKLGGLI 510 QIKKATMPSD QIKKATMPSD QIKKATMPSD QIKKATMPSD QIKKATMPSD	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN LECRK1_RN	261 1	270 400646815 400646815 40064815 40064815 10 501 ARRAPLP 501 ARRAPLP 1 10 501 ARRAPLP 10 501 ARRAPLP 140 400 400 551 LINIPET 551 LI	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 1.1V50GAPFT 1.1V50GAPFT 1.1V50GAPFA 1.1V50GAP	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGG PLEVHPT NGG 150 HUSPSPGGNV 230 1607SLINRUSI LGQTSLINRUSI LGQTSLINRUSI LGQTSLINRUSI LGQTSLINRUSI LGQTSLINRUSI 1607SLINRUSI 1607SLINRUSI 1607SLINRUSI 1606FECRF 550 11.606FECRF 11.606FECRF 11.606FECRF	300 RSVLENIKRD RSVLENIKRD 40 40 GRARSLGLS 120 5580 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	310 LSFHAHDDVYI SFHAHDDVYI 50 SPPKPARTVFL PPPKPARTVFL 180 GRNL HYRDGGN GRNL HYRDGGN 310 SINU WRLSPSC 440 440 SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFPYTKTLYL SFF	320 FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC FLQPSKRANC 50 SSLVLAGSE 320 CKS66FFC6 CKS66FFC6 CKS66FFC6 CKS66FFC6 SSLVLAGSE 580 VKGKRLLVX VKGKRLLVX	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 70 IEGGRGHTDI 200 YEGGRHLPGR IEGRST 100 YEGGRHLPGR 330 FGREGSGKCC 460 IOTEGTVLLY. IOTEGTVLLY. 107EGTVLLY.	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 GKGISSONET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 	100 GFFPPGF9S1H1 GFFPPGF9L1 230 230 360 TGCSRSLPLS TGCSRSLPLS 490 RDSLGRGKFEV RDSLGRGKFEV RDSLGRGKFEV G20 GTRKGLAYLHE GIRKGLAYLHE GIRKGLAYLHE	110 FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF 240 240 370 370 370 500 FEP ESDGTEHOU ESDG	120 GINSPTFHLGOR GINSPTFHLGOR 250 HIANRGTFPDHH HANRGTFPDHH 380 LGGL ISFAPLOKLGGL 510 QITKATINFSD QITKATINFSD QITKATINFSD QITKATINFSD QITKATINFSD G40 TKPENILLARE TKPENILLARE TKPENILLARE	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK Consensus LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK	261 1 66556 66556 66556 66556 66556 1	270 QVDGAGAIS' QVDGAGAIS' 10 SALAARAPLP SALARAPLP 1 140 ASSLETLGDS GASLETLGDS GASLETIGDS SALLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSLLLINET SSL SSL SSL SSL SSL SSL SSL SS	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT 20 LIVS 20	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGG 160 HLSPSFGGNV HLSPSFGGNV 290 160TSL MRUSI 60TSL MRUSI 60TSL MRUSI 60TSL MRUSI 60TSL MRUSI 550 TLGGREKGR 550 560	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI 40 40 GRARSLGLES 170 SSARVAL IDD SSARVAL IDD S	310 LSFHAHDDVYI SFHAHDDVYI 50 SPKPARITVFL SPKPARITVFL 180 GGNL MYRDGGN GGNL MYRDGGN GGNL MYRDGGN 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 190 ISLULIOSLL 190 ISLULIOSLL 190 ISLULIOSLULIOSL 190 ISLULIOSLULIOSL 190 ISLU	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 200 70 RESRISTDITLLI 200 YFGORHLPGR RESRISTDITLI 200 YFGORHLPGR 330 FGRCRGSGKCC 460 IOTRESTVLLY 530 EYTPNGSLDRIF	340 iDQL SPPTSL iDQL SPPTSL 80 GKGISGNET 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD 30 LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL 220 MVSLTFKSFS MVSLTFKSFS 350 PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV BTEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV TEHGLGYFV THUST TSVLLHRFR LISVLLHRFR LISVLLHRFR SHIVYTTHL SHIVYTTHL SHIVYTTHL	100 GFFPPGP51H1 GFFPPGP2H1 230 HNGSLSVDA HNGSLSVDA GGCSRSLPLSC GGCSRSLPLSC 430 RDSLGRGKFEV RDSLGRGKFEV RDSLGRGKFEV 520 GTRKGLAYLHE GTRKGLAYLHE 5750	110 FL GVPF RMMF FL GVPF RMMF FL GVPF RMMF 240 240 370 370 370 370 370 500 FEPL RVYSYF EGPL RVYSF EGPL RVF EGPL RVF	120 IGNSPTFHLGOR IGNSPTFHLGOR 250 HARRGTFPDHT HARRGTFPDHT 380 ISFAPLOKLGGLI SFAPLOKLGGLI 510 QIKKATMPSDI QIKATMPSDI QIK	130
NAC32_RNIL NAC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK LECRK1_SK	261 1 66556 66556 66556 66556 1	270 400GAGAIS 400GAGAIS 10 501 ARRAPLP 501 ARRAPLP 501 ARRAPLP 1 140 503 ALARRAPLP 1 140 503 ALARRAPLP 1 270 503 ALARRAPLP 1 270 504 ALARRAPLP 1 400 1 660 504 ALARRAPLP 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6	280 VARGHYHDGAI VARGHYHDGAI 20 LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT 20 LIVS 20 LIVS 20 LIVS 20 LIVSQGAPT 20 LIVS 20 LIVSQGAPT 20 LIVS 2	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPT NGGE PLEVHPT NGGE 160 HKSPSFGGHVY HKSPSFGGHVY 290 160TSL MRVSL 60TSL MRVSL 60TSL 7550 11.00REKOPR 11.00RE	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI 40 40 GRARSLGLESS 170 SSARVAL LAUKA SSARVAL L	310 LSFHAHDDVY LSFHAHDDVY 50 SPKPARTVFL SPKPARTVFL 180 GRL MYRDGA GRL MYRDGA GRL MYRDGA GRL MYRDGA GRL MYRDGA GRL MYRDGA 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFK FLQFFKRANCI FLQFFK FLQF	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 200 70 IGGGRGHTDI 200 70 IGGGRGHLPGR 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 100 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	340 BOL SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL CKSTSCHET CK	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD 290 LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL LVSKSGGFEL 220 MVSLTFKSFS 350 PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV PTEHGLGYFV A80 LTSVLLHRFR LTSVLLHRFR LSVLLHRFR SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL SHNYRYTIHL	100 GFFPPGP51H1 GFFPPGP2IH1 230 MINGSLSVDAP 1NGSLSVDAP 360 GCSRSLPLSC 430 RDSLGAGKFEV RDSLGAGKFEV RDSLGAGKFEV 520 GTAKGLAYLHE 51RKGLAY	110 FL GVPF RMMF FL GVPF RMMF FL GVPF RMMF 240 240 370 370 370 370 370 500 FEPL RVYSYF ESDG0TEHOL FEPL RVYSYF EGDL RVSYF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL RVF EGDL	120 GINSPTFHLGDR GINSPTFHLGDR 250 HARRGTFPDHM 380 SFAPL DKLGGL SFAPL DKLGGL 510 GIKKATTMFSDI QIKATTMFSDI QIK	130
NRC32_RNIL NRC32_SNIL Consensus LECRK1_RN LECRK1_SN Consensus LECRK1_SN Consensus LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN LECRK1_SN Consensus LECRK1_SN Consensus	261 1	270 470GAGAIS 470GAGAIS 470GAGAIS 10 581AARAPLP 11 10 581AARAPLP 11 10 581AARAPLP 12 10 581AARAPLP 12 10 581AARAPLP 12 10 581AARAPLP 10 597AARAPLP 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	280 VARGHVHDGRI VARGHVHDGRI VARGHVHDGRI 20 LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT LIVSQGAPT 20 LIVSCHSCH 280 ANGLEFLQFN A	290 RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI RRESAPE VHLI 30 PLEVHPTNGGE 160 HLSPSPGGWV 290 LGUTSLMRUSI LGUTSLMRUSI LGUTSLMRUSI LGUTSLMRUSI CUTSLMRUSI C	300 RSVLENIKRDI RSVLENIKRDI 40 40 GRARSLGLES 170 SSARVAVLENIKRDI 300 EPOPRINGTCC EPOPRINGTCC 430 430 THNLYNLSLAF THNLYNLSLAF THNLYNLSLAF 560 EFOPRINGTCC 690 560 FEVOTLGH10 690 561 PITKECD 562 PITKECD	310 LSFHAHDDVY SFHAHDDVY 50 SPKPARIVEL SPKPARIVEL 180 GRL HYRDOG GRL HYRDOG GRL HYRDOG GRL HYRDOG GRL HYRDOG 101 SHUYRKIS, PSC 440 STO STO STO STO STO STO STO STO	320 FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI FLQPSKRANCI 190 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 320 ISSLVLAGSF 155LV	330 IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI IGGGRGHHTDI 200 70 RESRSTDTLLI 200 70 RESRSTDTLI 200 70 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	340 BOL SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPPTSL BOU SPTSL BOU SPTSL	349 SHFEDD SHFEDD SHFEDD 30 UVSKSGGFEL UVSKSGGFEL UVSKSGGFEL UVSKSGGFEL UVSKSGGFEL 220 MVSLTFKSFS 350 71EHGLGYFV	100 GFFPPGP51H1 GFFPPGP2F1H1 GFFPPGP2F1 100 GFFPPGP2F1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	110 FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF FLGVPFRNMF 240 240 370 370 370 370 370 370 370 37	120 GNSPTFHLGOR GNSPTFHLGOR 250 HANRGTFPDH HANRGTFPDH 380 550 LGGLI 510 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 01KKATHNFSDI 640 CIKPENTLLOREI TKPENTLLOREI 770 EENDRPSHGQV EENDRPSHGQV	130

		1 10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
Laccase_R Laccase_S Consen	NIL NIL SUS	MAITISSGLHC MAITISSGLHC MAITISSGLHC	SLLMATLMLL SLLMATLMLL SLLMATLMLL	VVQAQGITRH VVQAQGITRH VVQAQGITRH	YDFNIQHANVTF YDFNIQHANVTF YDFNIQHANVTF	RLCGTKSIVT RLCGTKSIVT RLCGTKSIVT	TVNGQFPGPEL TVNGQFPGPEL TVNGQFPGPEL	IAREGDRVHVR IAREGDRVHVR IAREGDRVHVR	VTNHVAHNHS VTNHVAHNHS VTNHVAHNHS	IHHHGIRQHR IHHHGIRQHR IHHHGIRQHR	TGHADGPAYV TGHADGPAYV TGHADGPAYV	TQCPIQKGQTY TQCPIQKGQTY TQCPIQKGQTY	VYKETVTAQE VYKETVTAQE VYKETVTAQE	KGTLHHH KGTLHHH KGTLHHH
		131 140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
Laccase_R	NIL	AHISHFRSTVY	GAIVILPKLG	VPYPFPAPHK		SDTEATINT	ALKYGGAPN		PLYNCSAKDT	FKLKVEPGKR	YLLRLVNAAL	NDELFFSVAN		
Consen	SUS	AHISHFRSTYY	GAIVILPKLG	VPYPFPAPHK	ELPPVIFGENN	SDTERIVNT	ALKYGGAPN	CSDAFTINGLPG	PLYNCSAKDT	FKLKVEPGKR	YLLRLYNAAL	NDELFFSYAN	ITLTVVeVdA	YYKPFT
		261 270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
Laccase_R Laccase_S	NIL NTL	VRTLVISPGQT		YPGANEYHEA YPGANEYHEA	RPYSTIRPGTFE RPYSTIRPGTFE	NSTVAGILE	YRNPGSPSA	ASEDKALPIEKP ASEDKALPIEKP		VTKFTTKLRS VTKFTTKLRS	LATKQYPAAV	PQSVDRRFFFT	IGLGTLPCP	(NHTCQG (NHTCQG
Consen	sus	VRTLVISPGQT	TNVLLNTKPA	YPGANFYMFA	RPYSTIRPGTFL	NSTVAGILE	YRNPGSPSA	SFDKALPIFKP	MLPYFNDTNF	VTKFTTKLRS	LATKQYPARV	PQSYDRRFFFT	IGLGTLPCP	CNHTCQG
		391 400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520
Laccase_R Laccase_S	NIL NIL	PNGTQFAAAVN PNGTQFAAAVN	NVSLVLPSTA NVSLVLPSTA	LLQSHFTGLT LLQSHFTGLT	SGVYAANFPAM SGVYAANFPAM	PLSPFNYTGT PLSPFNYTGT	PPNNTHVAT(GTKLLALSFNTS GTKLLALSFNTS	VELVHQDTSI Velvhqdtsi	LGIESHPLHL LGIESHPLHL	HGFNFFVVGQ Hgfnffvvgq	gfg <mark>ny</mark> davkdf Gfg <mark>ny</mark> davkdf	Pakfnlydpye Pakfnlydpye	RNTVGV
Consen	sus	PNGTQFAAAVN	NVSLVLPSTA	LLQSHFTGLT	SGVYAANFPAH	PLSPFNYTGT	PPNNTHVAT	TKLLALSFNTS	VELVHQDTSI	LGIESHPLHL	HGENEEVVGQ	GFGNYDAVKDF	PAKENLYDPVE	RNTYGY
		521 530	540		560	570	578 I							
Laccase_K Laccase_S Consen	NIL NIL SUS	PAGGAVAIRFL PAGGAVAIRFL PAGGAVAIRFL	Honpgvaf hh Adnpgvaf hh Adnpgvaf hh	CHLEVHTTHG CHLEVHTTHG CHLEVHTTHG	lrhhhqyqdgsi Lrhhhqyqdgsi Lrhhhqyqdgsi	(PSQKLLPPF (PSQKLLPPF (PSQKLLPPF	'SDMPKC PSDMPKC PSDMPKC							
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
HRC_RNIL	SDS	ESESDSDRKRR	KRKORKRHKK	HGHSDSDGAR	RRKHRSKRRSS	DSSDESDSD	EYDSESEED	RRRKKHSHRRK	IRRHSSRSES	DASDYSSDDD	ERRSTRKDH	RSRRRRHRSS	DDESEEKIR	RHRKRH
Consensus					RRKHRSKRRSS	dSSDESDSD	ETDGESEEE EYDgESEE#	RRRKKHSHRRKI	RRHSSRSES	DASDYSSDDC	ERRSTRKDHS	RSRRRRHRSS	DDESEEKIR	RHRKRH
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	20802						
HRC_RNIL	RS				KHNDGKGSHKS	RHHRRHHH	IHHDHRANSA	EPSDGKQLV						
Consensus	RS	SDEDKPSDSDN	HKRHRSRSHS	LDDGAAGEPD	KHNDGKGSHKS	RHHRRHHH	IHHDHRANSA	EPrDGKQLV						
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
FBA1_RNTI	Î	SGKPCRRHI GR	PRRRAFRST			I TPREFEG	ISGARANTEG	KURARMHKTAV	VI PPPASHOP	SI FLAVASKR	RTHRPTFPHA	MVTAKI SSPA	AARL APSAGS	ARRASR
FBA1_SNIL Consensus	HGP HGP	SGKPCRRHLGR	PRRRAERSTA PRRRAERSTA	NIKKGTISLI NIKKGTISLI	APLPYRRITPAT	LTPREEEG	ISGARANIEG ISGARANIEG	Kurarnhktav Kurarnhktav	/LPPPASHQP /LPPPASHQP	SLFLAVASKR SLFLAVASKR	RTHRPIEPHA RTHRPIEPHA	Mytaklsspa Mytaklsspa	AARLAPSAGS AARLAPSAGS	arrasr Arrasr
	131 	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
FBA1_RNIL FBA1_SNIL Consensus	VRV VRV VRV	Rasggsyadel Rasggsyadel Rasggsyadel	VSTAMFRKVO VSTAMFRKVO VSTAMFRKVO	SVYHPCPHISF SVYHPCPHISF SVYHPCPHISF	PVIFGRDGRFHD PVIFGRDGRFHD PVIFGRDGRFHD	ilshsvasat Ilshsvasat Ilshsvasat	rcvgprsghg rcvgprsghg rcvgprsghg	FACFPIGHMGGI FACFPIGMMGGI FACFPIGMMGGI	ladktvaspg Ladktvaspg Ladktvaspg	RGILAIDESS RGILAIDESS RGILAIDESS	ATCGKRLASI ATCGKRLASI ATCGKRLASI	GLDNTEVNRQ GLDNTEVNRQ GLDNTEVNRQ	AYRQLLLTTA Ayrqllltta Ayrqllltta	GLGEYI GLGEYI GLGEYI
	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
FBA1_RNIL FBA1_SNIL	SGA SGA	ILFEETLYQST		KDONINPGIN	KVDKGLVPLPGS	INNESHCQGL	DGLASRCAE	YYKQGARFAKHI YYKQGARFAKHI	RTVVSIPCGP	TALAYKEAAN TALAYKEAAN	GLARYAAIAQ GLARYAAIAQ		EILLDGDHGI FTLLDGDHGT	ERTLEV
Consensus	SGA	ILFEETLYQST	TDGKTFYDYL	KDONIHPGI	KVDKGLVPLPGS	INNESHCQGL	DGLASRCAE	YYKQGARFAKH	RTVVSIPCGP	TALAYKEAAH	GLARYAAIAQ	DNGLVPIVEP	EILLDGDHGI	ERTLEY
	391 I	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520
FBA1_RNIL FBA1_SNIL	AEK AEK	VHSEVFFYLRE	KNVLFEGILI NNVLFEGILI	NPSHVTPGAE	EHKEKASPEAIA EHKEKASPEAIA	IKNTLTHLRF	RVPPAVPGI	MFLSGGQSELEI MFLSGGQSELEI	ATHNLNAHNQ ATHNLNAHNQ	Sanphhyses Sanphhyses	YARALQNSVL YARALQNSVL	KTHQGQPENI KTHQGQPENI	eaaqkallvr Eaaqkallvr	akansl Akansl
Consensus	AEK	VHSEVFFYLRE	NVLFEGILL	.nPSHVTPGAE	EHKEKASPERIF	IKNTLTHLRF	RVPPAVPGI	MFLSGGQSELEI	ATMNLNAMNQ	SANDAHASES	YARALQNSYL	KTHQGQPENI	EAAQKALLYR	AKANSL
5004 DUT	521	530	540 :	I										
FBH1_SNIL	AQL	GSYTGEGESDE	AKKGHFQKG	TY .										
Lonsensus	HŲL	USTIGEGESDE	HKKGAPQKG											
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	13
KAT3_RNIL KAT3_SNIL Consensus	MARS MARS MARS	SCARTGSRVTF SCARTGSRVTF SCARTGSRVTF	RCFPCYDGDR RCFPCYDGDR RCFPCYDGDR	TGEFNGCNVP TGEFNGCNVP TGEFNGCNVP	NDLLPSLGATA NDLLPSLGATA NDLLPSLGATA	ATQPTAGKL ATQPTAGKL ATQPTAGKL	LSKYLVSPYG LSKYLVSPYG LSKYLVSPYG	RRYRVHETFLI RRYRVHETFLI RRYRVHETFLI	LLVVYSAHI LLVVYSAHI LLVVYSAHI	CPLEFAFLRY CPLEFAFLRY CPLEFAFLRY	LPRAPFVVDC LPRAPFVVDC LPRAPFVVDC)VVNGFFAVD])VVNGFFAVD])VVNGFFAVD]	LLTFFVPYV LLTFFVPYV LLTFFVPYV	DNKSYLV DNKSYLV DNKSYLV
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	26
KAT3_RNIL	DDPK	KIALRYLSTH	IFDVCSTVP	FRSITHLFTR	HEHSLGLKFLN	VLRLHRLR	RVSSLFARLE	KDIRFNYAVIR	CTKLISVTL	FAVHCAGCIN	YLIADRYPDF	PARTHIGAAHF	DFREDGLAV	RYVTCLY
KAT3_SNIL Consensus	DDPK DDPK	KIALRYLSTNA KIALRYLSTNA	IFDVCSTVP IFDVCSTVP	FRSITHLFTR FRSITHLFTR	HEHSLGLKFLN Hehslglkfln	IVEREARER IVEREARER	R¥SSLFARLE R¥SSLFARLE	KDIRFNYAVIR Kdirfnyavir	CTKLISVTL CTKLISVTL	FAYHCAGCIN FAYHCAGCIN	IYLIADRYPDF IYLIADRYPDF	PARTHIGAAHF PARTHIGAAHF	DFREDGLAV DFREDGLAV	RYVICLY
	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	39
KAT3_RNIL	SITT	HTTTGYGDLHF	ONAREHLEG	ISYNLFNLHL	TAYLIGNHTNL	VVHSTSRT	RDFRDHVQAA	TEFAARNOLPR	QIEEQHLNH	RCLTFKAEGL		KAIRSSISLY		
Consensus	SITT	MTTTGYGDLHF	IQNAREALFG	ISYMLFNLAL	TAYLIGNHINL	VVHSTSRT	RDFRDHVQAA	TEFAARNQLPR	QIEEQHLNH	CLEFKHEGL	KQQ#TLDILF	YRIRSSISLY	LCFPVVUGH	TERGYS
	391	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500 5	i05	
KAT3_RNIL	SETO				VTGVVDIVAFL		ATEGGLLGE		TFRTTKLSQ	VLRISRPKLM		ETTRINLEO	NV NV	
Consensus	21,16	TEMAREYF	APKEDIILQ	NEYPSDL	VTGeVDIVAFL	DGTEQYYG	ATEGGLLGE	IGYLCNKPQPF	TFRTTKLSQ	VLRISRPKL	DIIQENAEDO	EIIRINLEQ	NV.	

	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L MEN L MEN s Men	ILPNVPASIA Ilpnvpasia Ilpnvpasia	EKNQGNETSDI Eknqgnetsdi Eknqgnetsdi)AGEPEEVAD)AGEPEEVAD)AGEPEEVAD	/FVYREDVVS /FVYREDVVS /FVYREDVVS	lksnknargl Lksnknargl Lksnknargl	VHEVAGEYDS VHEVAGEYDS VHEVAGEYDS	EGSITDDESD EGSITDDESD EGSITDDESD	aeenerksah Aeenerksah Aeenerksah	KTENVGPGGI Ktenvgpggi Ktenvgpggi)NANNASHGDD)NANNASHGDD)NANNASHGDD	VESQSSLPDN Vesqsslpdn Vesqsslpdn	KVRVLHIDGT KVRVLHIDGT KVRVLHIDGT	ENTEDIDS Entedids Entedids
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L VV\ L VV\ s VV\	VDRTFLHGD VDRTFLHGD VDRTFLHGD	IVASSSDPTG IVASSSDPTG IVASSSDPTG)HGLYADYSLI)HGLYADYSLI)HGLYADYSLI	1VDLQGAHGE 1VDLQGAHGE 1VDLQGAHGE	HIKGVSAKDL HIKGVSAKDL HIKGVSAKDL	RRIREFNVGD RRIREFNVGD RRIREFNVGD	YVVSGLHLGR YVVSGLHLGR YVVSGLHLGR	VDEVFDNVSV VDEVFDNVSV VDEVFDNVSV	LFDDGSVCK\ LFDDGSVCK\ LFDDGSVCK\	/Sradphrlrl /Sradphrlrl /Sradphrlrl	ASGPHHPDTA Asgphhpdta Asgphhpdta	CPFYPGQRVK CPFYPGQRVK CPFYPGQRVK	AVSSSVYK Avsssvyk Avsssvyk
	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L TSI L TSI s TSI	Xulhgmukasi Xulhgmukasi Xulhgmukasi	RLEATVTKVET RLEATVTKVET RLEATVTKVET	TAAVIVYHIA TAAVIVYHIA TAAVIVYHIA	SAHCGTNQDS Sahcgtnqds Sahcgtnqds	VPPEEQNPKD VPPEEQNPKD VPPEEQNPKD	LTLLSCFSYA LTLLSCFSYA LTLLSCFSYA	Shqlaehchp Shqlaehchp Shqlaehchp	QPHTSSCAND QPHTSSCAND QPHTSSCAND	alhecskhke Alhecskhke Alhecskhke	LNSEQADVPE LNSEQADVPE LNSEQADVPE	SAVDVQAEQA Savdvqaeqa Savdvqaeqa	QNTKTDYNPL Qntktdynpl Qntktdynpl	ekhgdsla Ekhgdsla Ekhgdsla
	391	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L DRS L DRS s DRS	INHSDGDNTC Inhsdgdntc Inhsdgdntc	Vakdsesgas\ Vakdsesgas\ Vakdsesgas\	/STLPKEGYHI /STLPKEGYHI /STLPKEGYHI	DHATYRKKIR DHATYRKKIR DHATYRKKIR	kvfvrkokra Kvfvrkokra Kvfvrkokra	krrdesfesa Krrdesfesa Krrdesfesa	LLISDTYTKV LLISDTYTKV LLISDTYTKV	DYLHQDGRKE Dylhqdgrke Dylhqdgrke	CGVSSTSLIF CGVSSTSLIF CGVSSTSLIF	PIQTPNDHEFF Piqtpndheff Piqtpndheff	PEQYAVEKVS Peqyavekvs Peqyavekvs	DDYDQPSETR DDYDQPSETR DDYDQPSETR	RVGLVRSV RVGLVRSV RVGLVRSV
	521	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600	610	620	630	640	650
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L NAI L NAI S NAI	(DRTVSVSNF) (DRTVSVSNF) (DRTVSVSNF)	ksslhaqepre Ksslhaqepre Ksslhaqepre	IECTEVVSA IECTEVVSA IECTEVVSA	YELDGHPDYD Yeldghpdyd Yeldghpdyd	YCYGDVVVRL YCYGDVVVRL YCYGDVVVRL	PSVSHPHESS PSVSHPHESS PSVSHPHESS	NGGNTHELDK NGGNTHELDK NGGNTHELDK	nydseeasaa Nydseeasaa Nydseeasaa	snavppdvaf Snavppdvaf Snavppdvaf	IEEQLSQKESS IEEQLSQKESS IEEQLSQKESS	SEVTHLSHVG SEVTHLSHVG SEVTHLSHVG	NIVGFQDGEI NIVGFQDGEI NIVGFQDGEI	EVTHGDGS EVTHGDGS EVTHGDGS
	651	660	670	680	690	700	710	720	730	740	750	760	770	780
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L VSI L VSI s VSI	VGPHEIYVV VGPHEIYVV VGPHEIYVV	GREDDGGSIDI GREDDGGSIDI GREDDGGSIDI)Gapsdagshi)Gapsdagshi)Gapsdagshi	TVDDNEHDL TVDDNEHDL TVDDNEHDL	PDDPANDDLQ PDDPANDDLQ PDDPANDDLQ	NAVQNSIEHE NAVQNSIEHE NAVQNSIEHE	NGSFNSQDET NGSFNSQDET NGSFNSQDET	SVGSGPLSVA SVGSGPLSVA SVGSGPLSVA	FGFVTRLASE FGFVTRLASE FGFVTRLASE	IFARGKKHLD IFARGKKHLD IFARGKKHLD	gsnsdridev Gsnsdridev Gsnsdridev	ESQQSNEVSE ESQQSNEVSE ESQQSNEVSE	SGDDIDKN Sgddidkn Sgddidkn
	781	790	800	810	820	830	840	850	860	870	880	890	900	910
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L EDE L EDE s EDE	NRHASSEST NRHASSEST NRHASSEST	TVTTNDSNAEI TVTTNDSNAEI TVTTNDSNAEI	(SVDVVHADE) (SVDVVHADE) (SVDVVHADE)	Padsdcl.Khf Padsdcl.Khf Padsdcl.Khf	DVLQCPPDHH DVLQCPPDHH DVLQCPPDHH	YLENIAHGTG YLENIAHGTG YLENIAHGTG	GRKWYKKYQQ Grkwykkyqq Grkwykkyqq	EHGILEKNLP EHGILEKNLP EHGILEKNLP	DYIYVRVFEL DYIYVRVFEL DYIYVRVFEL)RHDLHRAVII)RHDLHRAVII)RHDLHRAVII	GASGTPYQDG Gasgtpyqdg Gasgtpyqdg	LFFFDFYLPP LFFFDFYLPP LFFFDFYLPP	efpqapps Efpqapps Efpqapps
	911	920	930	940	950	960	970	980	990	1000	1010	1020	1030	1040
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L AYY L AYY s AYY	'HSGGLRVNP 'HSGGLRVNP 'HSGGLRVNP	NLYVDGKGLVI NLYVDGKGLVI NLYVDGKGLVI	.NEKPYFNER(.NEKPYFNER(.NEKPYFNER(GYEKQVGTVE Gyekqvgtve Gyekqvgtve	Geknalpyne Geknalpyne Geknalpyne	NTYLLSVKSH NTYLLSVKSH NTYLLSVKSH	LYILRRPPHN LYILRRPPHN LYILRRPPHN	FEDFVKSHFC Fedfvkshfc Fedfvkshfc	KRGHYILKAO KRGHYILKAO KRGHYILKAO	CEAYLQGAVVG CEAYLQGAVVG CEAYLQGAVVG	TLNDDACPPT TLNDDACPPT TLNDDACPPT	DTNKEYSCSH DTNKEYSCSH DTNKEYSCSH	GFKLALGK GFKLALGK GFKLALGK
	104	1 1050	1060	1071										
Ubiquitin_RNI Ubiquitin_SNI Consensu	L ILI L ILI S ILI	PRLITALKDI PRLITALKDI PRLITALKDI	GADCSQYEHL(Gadcsqyehl(Gadcsqyehl(GKTETAQES Gktetaqes Gktetaqes										
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	TAQPA TAQPA TAQPA TAQPA	LPL VHEHARP LPL VHEHARP LPL VHEHARP	AVPVSLSLLP AVPVSLSLLP AVPVSLSLLP	VRRAAQSPGQ VRRAAQSPGQ VRRAAQSPGQ	THVRRNFHGI THVRRNFHGI THVRRNFHGI	APRAPSVHSTH APRAPSVHSTH APRAPSVHSTH	IHVRPIPSAPF IHVRPIPSAPF IHVRPIPSAPF	PVAGHPLRVSS PVAGHPLRVSS PVAGHPLRVSS	PRGRTHTERI PRGRTHTERI PRGRTHTERI	TQAGHARVG TQAGHARVG TQAGHARVG	VDTSADSPGRR VDTSADSPGRR VDTSADSPGRR	ARARPIPRL ARARPIPRL ARARPIPRL	SKTPARLHFPF SKTPARLHFPF SKTPARLHFPF	FILPL
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	LALPK LALPK	LGNPPPPLSP LGNPPPPLSP	LPPSLGSPLR LPPSLGSPLR	YAPSELVGPR YAPSELVGPR YAPSELVGPR		YLSALTATLCH YLSALTATPCH YLSALTATPCH		SHYNDAALHD SHYNDAALHD SHYNDAALHD	CGPLPGGSKL	PIYPQIPDV PIYPQIPDG PIYPQIPDG	FTREELESLLL FTREELESLLL FTREELESLLL	FPPDGADAVI FPPDGADAG	AYL TAAAAPPL AYL NAAAAPPL	
contoniodo	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
SCL9_RNTL	ASAGS	ASSPPPRDAL	VSSPAAAAAA	GOAOPDDSEV	FSDIVLGYI	NRHLHAEDIDE	KEDHYPAHOA	ILLAAEKPFLE	TLADOPPCS	GSTVESPDG	SSATTNSFNSL	ATCNCAAPS	GLRAHOAPPE	LEFPT
SCL9_SNIL Consensus	ASA <mark>D</mark> S Asads	assppprdal Assppprdal	VSSPAAAAAA VSSPAAAAAAA	GQAQPDDSEV GQAQPDDSEV	FSDIVLGYI FSDIVLGYI	NRMLMAEDIDE NRMLMAEDIDE	KFDHYPAHQA KFDHYPAHQA	ILLAAEKPFLE ILLAAEKPFLE	ILADQPPCS	GSTVESPDG GSTVESPDG	SSVTTNSFNSL SSattnsfnsl	ATCNCAAPSI ATCNCAAPSI	Gelranqappe Gelranqappe	ILEFPT ILEFPT
	391 	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520 1
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	AEFLQ AEFLQ AEFLQ	PPQFYQDL <mark>I</mark> P PPQFYQDLSP PPQFYQDL <mark>I</mark> P	ESCYVEAGGA ESCYVEAGGA ESCYVEAGGA	NPYDANEFNP NPYDANEFNP NPYDANEFNP	LPDA <mark>s</mark> lsqs LPDA <mark>l</mark> lsqs LPDA <mark>l</mark> lsqs	SSFASSNGSSV SSFASSNGSSV SSFASSNGSSV	/AFSEVFEPHL /AFSE <mark>G</mark> FEPHL /AFSE <mark>g</mark> FEPHL	.STAGAYPDAG .STAGAYPDAG .STAGAYPDAG	ilsdyvlqsqa ilsdfylqsqa ilsd%vlqsqa)aaqssrgfe)aaqfsrgfe)aaqfsrgfe	EGSRFLPQESK Egsrflpqesk Egsrflpqesk	LVIDVO <mark>N</mark> LVIDVDSLP LVIDVDn	SVHVKEDKKVV	EVKRE
	521	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600	610	620	630	640	650
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	Kadae	VAIHRGKKHF	YGDDLDADEV	rcckhsapvv Rcckhsapvv Rcckhsapvv	DVDHLVREM DADHLVREM DADHLVREM	IDK	CSRG¥KELRE	HDVARQS	GGAHGKGSGH GGAHGKGSGH GGAHGKGSGH	IGKGRGKKQV IGKGRGKKQV IGKGRGKKQV	Kopkkevvdle Kopkkevvdle Kopkkevvdle	TLLIHFAQS TLLIHCAQS TLLIHCAQS	SIDDRRSATE SIDDRRSATE SIDDRRSATE	ILLKQI ILLKQI ILLKQI
	651	660	670	680	690	700	710	720	730	740	750	760	770	780
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	rqhas Rqhas Rqhas	ANGDGDQRLA Angdgdqrla Angdgdqrla	HCFANGLEAR HCFANGLEAR HCFANGLEAR	LAGNGSQIYK Lagngsqiyk Lagngsqiyk	LHTISRFACI LHTISRFACI LHTISRFACI	ADILKAYQLYL Adilkayqlyl Adilkayqlyl	AACPFKKISH AACPFKKISH AACPFKKISH	IYFANQTINNF IYFANQTINNF IYFANQTINNF	VEKAKKVHI VEKAKKVHI VEKAKKVHI	/DFGYYYGFQ /DFGYYYGFQ /DFGYYYGFQ	HPCLIQRLSKR HPCLIQRLSKR HPCLIQRLSKR	PGGPPELRI PGGPPELRI PGGPPELRI	TAIDTPOPGFF TAIDTPOPGFF TAIDTPOPGFF	PAERI PAERI PAERI
	781	790	800	810	820	830	840	850	860	870	880	890	900	910
SCL9_RNIL SCL9_SNIL Consensus	EEIGR EEIGR EEIGR	YLSDYAQTFK Ylsdyaqtfk Ylsdyaqtfk	VPFKYHGIAS VPFKYHGIAS VPFKYHGIAS	QFEAVRVEDL QFEAVRVEDL QFEAVRVEDL	HIEKDEILI HIEKDEILI HIEKDEILI	VNSHFRFKTLM VNSHFRFKTLM VNSHFRFKTLM	idesvvaespr idesvvaespr idesvvaespr	RNMVLNTIRKN RNMVLNTIRKN RNMVLNTIRKN	KPHVFIHGVI KPHVFIHGVI KPHVFIHGVI	Ingsynapff Ingsynapff Ingsynapff	VSRFREALFQF VSRFREALFQF VSRFREALFQF	Sahfdhleai Sahfdhleai Sahfdhleai	NIPRDNEERLL NIPRDNEERLL NIPRDNEERLL	IESTI IESTI IESTI
	911	920	930	940	950	960	970	980	990	999				
SCL9_RNIL	FSREA		RHERPETYKQ			KRAREKVKCY	HKNFIIDED	IGHLLQGHKGP		NPQF				

SCL9_SNIL Consensus FSREAINVISCEGHERHERPETYKQHQVRNQRARFKQLPLDQEIMKRAREKVKCYHKNFIIDEDNGHLLQGHKGRILYALSTHKANPQF Figure 3.6 The workflow of combined metabolo-genomics approach to identify potential FHB resistance genes in wheat NILs.



CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER IV

In the previous Chapter III, we have identified five novel candidates for FHB resistance based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach. These include cell wall invertase, G-type lectin Sreceptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), NAC transcription factor 32-like, glutamate synthase 1, and laccase-4-like. As hypothesized, these genes were associated with differential accumulation of RR metabolites, gene sequence and gene expression. Lignification is a common defense mode against pathogens during plant-microbe interactions (Vance et al., 1980; Bhuiyan et al., 2009). It makes plant cell walls more resistant to the mechanical pressure exerted by the fungal pathogen and water, which lessens the effect of cell wall degrading enzymes from the pathogen (Vance et al., 1980; Nicholson and Hammerschmidt, 1992; Bhuiyan et al., 2009). Various resistant varieties have shown the accumulation of lignin and lignin-like phenolic compounds as defense responses (Lahlali et al., 2016). Based on high fold-change induction of RR metabolites mainly related to phenylpropanoids and mapping back these RR metabolites to the metabolic pathway, identified laccase-4-like as the potential candidate. Therefore, we prioritized the TaLAC4 gene to study further in response to F. graminearum in wheat NILs. We hypothesized that the silencing of TaLAC4 in resistant NIL would compromise resistance against FHB. Sequence analysis, gene expression and functional characterization of the TaLAC4 gene were conducted and reported in the following study.

Nancy Soni wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and she conceived the experimental design, performed all the laboratory and greenhouse experiments. Mr. Niranjan Hegde provided valuable suggestions related to the gene silencing experiment and assisted in greenhouse work. Mr. Achal Dhariwal, a pre-doctoral fellow at the University of Oslo, Norway, helped in molecular docking and statistical analysis. Dr. Kushalappa conceived the idea, aided in designing the experiments and edited the drafts of the manuscript.

CHAPTER IV

Role of laccase gene in wheat NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1 for resistance against Fusarium head blight

Nancy Soni¹, Niranjan Hegde¹, Achal Dhariwal², and Ajjamada C. Kushalappa¹

¹Plant Science Department, McGill University, Ste.-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec, Canada; ²University of Oslo, Norway

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Ajjamada C. Kushalappa Professor, McGill University Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada H9X3V9 Tel: 1(514-709-5874) Email: <u>ajjamada.kushalappa@mcgill.ca</u>

Reprinted with permission from Elsevier:

Soni N, Hegde N, Dhariwal A, Kushalappa AC, 2020. Role of laccase gene in wheat NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1 for resistance against Fusarium head blight. *Plant Science* **298**, 110574.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110574

4.1 Abstract

Fusarium head blight (FHB), caused mainly by *Fusarium graminearum (Fg)*, is one of the most severe diseases of wheat. It affects grain yield and quality due to mycotoxin contamination, which is harmful for both human and livestock consumption. Cell wall lignification, following pathogen invasion, is one of the innate defense responses. Plant laccases are known to lignify the secondary cell walls. A metabolo-genomics study identified laccase as one of the candidate genes in QTL-Fhb1 of wheat NILs derived from Sumai 3*5/Thatcher cross. Based on phylogenetics, it was named as *TaLAC4*. Real-time qPCR revealed a strongly induced expression of *TaLAC4* in NIL-R. The VIGS based transient silencing of *TaLAC4* in NIL-R resulted in an increased susceptibility leading to *Fg* spread within the entire spike in 15 dpi, contrasting to non-silenced where the infection was limited to inoculated spikelets. Histopathology revealed thickened cell walls, mainly due to G-lignin, in non-silenced NIL-R, relative to silenced, in conjunction with higher total lignin content. Metabolic profiling of *TaLAC4* silenced NILs identified the accumulation of several precursor metabolites higher in abundances upstream *TaLAC4*. These results confirm that the resistance function of *TaLAC4* in NIL-R is due to pathogen-induced lignification of secondary cell walls in the rachis.

4.2 Introduction

Fusarium head blight (FHB), mainly caused by *F. graminearum* (*Fg*), is globally a devastating disease affecting wheat, barley, corn, and other small grain crops. FHB epidemics lead to significant loss of grain yield and quality due to mycotoxins contamination (McMullen et al., 1997; McMullen et al., 2012). Wheat continues being the essential source of food grain for humans, growing on the land area more than any other commercial crop, with approximately 700 billion tonnes global production and 46.8 billion US\$ of total export value (FAO, 2019). Enhancing plant immunity based on an understanding of plant-pathogen interaction provides a promising and environmentally friendly approach to reducing losses caused by FHB (Lacombe et al., 2010). In wheat, the QTL-Fhb1 (syn *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS*) derived from Chinese cultivar Sumai 3, is one amongst the major effect QTLs conferring moderately high levels of FHB resistance (Anderson et al., 2001; Jin et al., 2013). Several FHB resistance genes, such as GDSL, PFT and HRC have been identified and functionally validated as a genic component of QTL-Fhb1, but the resistance mechanisms are still elusive (Rawat et al., 2016; Su et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019). FHB resistance,

however, is controlled by hierarchies of genes, and transfer of a single gene may not confer resistance (Kushalappa et al., 2016).

Plants have multilayered defense responses against microbial pathogen attack. For instance, to restrict pathogen entry into the cell, plants undergo reinforcement of preformed physical and physiological barriers (Chisholm et al., 2006; Jones and Dangl, 2006). Also, plasma membrane-bound and intracellular immune receptors commence defense responses either directly by physical interactions with pathogen-derived immunogens or indirectly by following modifications acquired by host plants upon pathogen invasion (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Kourelis and van der Hoorn, 2018). Besides, other antimicrobial compounds suppress pathogenicity by detoxifying or inhibiting virulence factors activity (Kitajima and Sato, 1999; Thomma et al., 2002; Ahuja et al., 2012). As plant cell wall is a mechanical barrier to the pathogen progression, lignin deposition via phenylpropanoid metabolism leads to the reinforced cell wall, which is more resistant to fungal cell wall degrading enzymes and limits diffusion of mycotoxins produced by a pathogen (Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Sattler and Funnell-Harris, 2013).

In plants, phenylpropanoid metabolism is the crucial secondary metabolic pathway involved in defense responses against both biotic and abiotic stresses (La Camera et al., 2004). Lignin biosynthesis via the phenylpropanoid pathway involves polymerization of monolignols forming sinapyl alcohol lead syringyl units, coniferyl alcohol lead guaiacyl units, and p-coumaric acid lead hydroxyphenol units. They reinforce cell wall by providing mechanical strength which further act as a physical barrier for pathogen colonization (Bonello and Blodgett, 2003; Fraser and Chapple, 2011). In response to pathogen ingression, lignin deposition limits the diffusion of toxins and enzymes produced by pathogen, limiting water and nutrient supply to the pathogen (Naoumkina et al., 2010; Mottiar et al., 2016). Thus, plant immune responses as defense-induced lignification against various pathogens represent basal defense mechanisms and employed for the activated immune response as a biochemical marker (Baayen, 1988; Menden et al., 2007; Bhuiyan et al., 2009; Adams-Phillips et al., 2010; Kishi-Kaboshi et al., 2010)

Laccase (p-diphenol: dioxygen oxidoreductase, EC.1.10.3.2; LAC) mainly involved in catalyzing one-electron oxidation of substrates such as phenols and derivatives and four-electron reduction of dioxygen to water (Mot and Silaghi-Dumitrescu, 2012). Due to its ability to oxidize various substrates, LACs have been exploited in the industrial processes (Forootanfar and Faramarzi, 2015). In the recent past, several advancements have been made on the role of plant

LACs in lignin biosynthesis (Liang et al., 2006; Berthet et al., 2011; Cesarino et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). In Arabidopsis, the knocking down of LAC4 and LAC17 resulted in xylem disruption and the detection of the soluble constituents (Berthet et al., 2011). Further, knockout mutants of *AtLAC4, AtLAC17* and *AtLAC11* were identified with several physiological changes, including growth inhibition, narrowed stems, and lack of lignified vascular bundles. Thus, apart from other laccases, *AtLAC11* also indicates its role in lignin polymerization (Zhao et al., 2013). In cotton, over-expression of *GhLAC1* led to increased lignification, resulting in enhanced tolerance to the fungal pathogen *Verticillium dahlia*, the insect pests cotton bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera*) and the cotton aphid (*Aphis gosypii*) (Hu et al., 2018). Also, over-expression of *GhLAC15* was found to be associated with increased cell wall lignification, total lignin content, and G monolignol subunit and G/S ratio, contributing towards improved resistance against Verticillium wilt infection in Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 2019).

In this study, we report the mechanisms of the *TaLAC4* gene, identified in the wheat major QTL-Fhb1, based on virus-induced silencing of *TaLAC4* in NIL-R. The disease severity and pathogen biomass were significantly increased when *TaLAC4* was silenced in NIL-R, as compared to nonsilenced. The total lignin was higher in *TaLAC4* non-silenced than in silenced NIL-R, following pathogen inoculation. The histopathology revealed more thickened cell walls in *TaLAC4* nonsilenced NIL-R compared to silenced NIL. These explained the resistance mechanisms of *TaLAC4*. The metabolic profiling of *TaLAC4* silenced NIL-R, following pathogen inoculation, revealed accumulation of several metabolites higher in abundances upstream of *TaLAC4*, relative to mock inoculation, such as monolignol derivatives like coniferyl alcohol glucosides, coumarins, fatty acids, flavonoids, HCCAs, and phenylpropanoids. Whereas no metabolites significantly increased in non-silenced NIL-R.

4.3 Materials and methods

4.3.1 Plant production and experimental design

NILs (near-isogenic lines) used in this study were derived from a cross of Sumai3*5/Thatcher (S/T), where Sumai3*5 is a resistant Chinese spring wheat cultivar, and thatcher is susceptible wheat cultivar to FHB (Cuthbert et al., 2006). The QTL-Fhb1 was successfully fine mapped within a 1.27-cM interval in S/T population on chromosome 3BS. The NILs were differing in an effective allele at the QTL-FHB1, such as FHB susceptible and resistant alleles, were obtained from Dr. S.

Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two genotypes (resistant and susceptible NILs), two treatments (pathogen and mock), and five biological replications over time, with each experimental unit consisting of nine plants, three plants in each pot. The plants were grown in greenhouse conditions maintained at 23 ± 2 °C temperature, daylight of 16 h, and relative humidity of 70 ± 10 % throughout the growing period. A compound slow-releasing fertilizer 14:14:14 (NPK) and 0.03 % of trace elements were applied at the rate of 5 g per pot once in every 15 days to each pot (Kage et al., 2017).

4.3.2 Pathogen production and inoculation

The *Fg* isolate (155.SLS, obtained from Dr. S. Rioux, CÉROM, Quebec) was grown on potato dextrose agar for four to five days at 26 °C and further sub-cultured on Rye B agar media, with UV exposure for three days, for sporulation. Macroconidia were harvested from seven-day-old cultures, and the spore count was adjusted to 10^5 macroconidia ml⁻¹ using a hemocytometer (American Scientific Products, USA) (Chamarthi et al., 2019). Three alternate pairs of spikelets, in the mid-region of the spike, were point inoculated with ten µl of either macro conidial suspension (P) or mock-solution (M) using a syringe with Leuer lock (GASTIGHT 1750 DAD, Reno, USA), at 50 % anthesis stage. At least ten spikes per replication were inoculated from three pots containing three plants. Inoculated plants were covered with transparent plastic bags sprayed with water to maintain high moisture. The bags were removed 48 h post-inoculation (hpi) (Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017).

4.3.3 Candidate gene identification in QTL-Fhb1

A paired-end Illumina HiSeqTM 2500 platform was used to capture the QTL-Fhb1 region flanking between two SSR markers, XSTS3B-138, and XSTS3B-142 (Cuthbert et al., 2006). The raw reads obtained were annotated using wheat reference-based analysis. The annotated sequences were analyzed for synteny among chromosomes of related species such as *Oryza sativa*, *Sorghum bicolor*, and *Brachypodium distachyon* to find out the cross homology. Among 37 putative candidate genes, five novel candidates including cell wall invertase, G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), LECRK1, NAC transcription factor 32-like, glutamate synthase 1 and laccase-4-like were selected as potential candidates based on gene expression, SNP

analysis, and metabolic profiling to speculate the possible resistance mechanism against FHB in wheat (Table 3.2; Fig. 4.1).

4.3.4 Gene expression analysis

The rachis samples of NILs were used for the isolation of total RNA using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen Inc.). Purified total RNA (~1–2 µg) was used to synthesize cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, ON, Canada) for the relative quantification of transcript expression. Temperature kinetics were performed with serially diluted cDNA for the putative candidate polymorphic genes along with the reference gene (*TaActin*). Taking the standardized cDNA concentration for each sample, real-time qRT-PCR was performed using Qi SYBR Green supermix (BioRad, Canada) in a CFX384TM Real-Time system (BioRad, Canada). *TaActin* gene transcript level was used to normalize the mRNA abundance of putative candidate polymorphic genes. qRT-PCR results were analyzed using the comparative 2– $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method or delta-delta Ct method (2– CT), and the data were analyzed for statistical significance based on the Student *t*-test (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

4.3.5 Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis for *TaLAC* was done as described previously with few modifications (Rawat et al., 2016). Protein sequences of both the candidate genes were blast searched on the NCBI BLASTp search tool. The protein sequences of more than >80 % identity were retrieved from the NCBI database and were further used to construct a phylogenetic tree. Related protein sequences for both the candidate genes were aligned using MUSCLE. MEGA 7 software was used for the evolutionary analyses with few modifications, such as bootstrap confidence value was set to 100 iterations, and the Poisson correction method was used for the evolutionary distance measurement. Followed by that, a phylogenetic tree was built in MEGA 7 software based on the maximum likelihood method. All the accession numbers of the sequences from the NCBI database used in constructing a phylogenetic tree are mentioned in the table (Table 4.2).

4.3.6 Molecular docking of TaLAC4 with lignin model compounds

TaLAC4 protein sequence retrieved from the wheat ensemble database (TraesCS3B02G392700.1) was used for the homology-based modeling. HHpred, a protein structure prediction server, used three databases such as PDB, Pfam, and NCBI conserved domain to perform homology search

(Zimmermann et al., 2018). Based on the homology search, ten best proteins were selected for multiple sequence alignment (MSA). Following that, the obtained MSA was then used to build a protein model using the MODELLER software ingrained with the MPI bioinformatics toolkit (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de//) (Webb and Sali, 2016). The obtained protein structure was first visualized using the PyMol® tool and then used for docking the substrate molecules to identify the docking affinities towards the receptor (TaLAC4). Mainly four lignin model compounds were selected namely, sinapyl alcohol (monomer), guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl (dimer), syringyl β-O-4 syringyl β -O-4 sinapyl alcohol (trimer), and guaiacyl β -O-4 syringyl β - β syringyl β -O-4 guaiacyl (tetramer). We could only retrieve the structure of sinapyl alcohol from the database (ZINC database (http://zinc.docking.org/); the rest were sketched using ChemSketch software (https://www.acdlabs.com/resources/freeware/chemsketch/download.php). As suggested, energy minimization was performed using CHARMm forcefield and the BEST algorithm was used for each compound conformation generation (Awasthi et al., 2015). The target protein, the substrates to be docked, and the docking grid were all arranged using AutoDockTools (Morris et al., 2009). AutoDockTools-1.5.6, a part of the MGLTools package, is a graphical interface program that allows visualization and manipulation of molecular structures. Other modifications such as reassigning hydrogen atom to retain the polarity of hydrogen atoms, defining docking grid orientation towards the binding site, and the spacing factor was set up to 1.000 in angstrom units were made.

4.3.7 Gene cloning, sequencing, and polymorphism analysis

The genomic DNA was isolated from wheat NILs seedlings using a DNA isolation kit (Qiagen Dneasy Kit). Gene-specific primers were used to amplify putative full-length candidate genes in NILs using isolated DNA as a template (Table 4.3). Thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada) was used to carry out PCR with the following steps: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Following that, the PCR product was loaded onto 1 % agarose gel, and the specific PCR product was then eluted from gel, purified using a Gel Extraction kit (QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit - Qiagen), further cloned into pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega, USA). The positive clones were subjected to Sanger DNA sequencing at McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Center (http://gqinnovationcenter.com/). The retrieved DNA sequences were translated into a protein sequence using the ExPASy translate tool for sequence

analysis (http://web.expasy.org/translate/). The presence of functional domains was predicted using the MOTIF search tool (http://www.genome.jp/tools/motif/) and further confirmed based on PROSITE and NCBI Conserved Domain Database (NCBI CDD) search tool. Sequence comparison was done based on the multiple sequence alignment using MultAlin software (http://multalin.tou-louse.inra.fr/multalin/).

4.3.8 Construction of BSMV vectors and virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of TaLAC4

For gene silencing experiment, gene fragment of size ~ 291 bp was selected, which includes both coding sequence and the 3 ÚTR region (also known as the most divergent sequence), to increase the specificity (Scofield et al., 2005). The selected gene fragment was further analyzed for siRNA generation efficiency and the absence of off-targets in the modified viral genome with the help of the siRNA Scan tool (http://bioinfo2.noble.org/RNAiScan.htm), and NCBI BLAST search against the GenBank database. The gene fragment was amplified from cDNA with gene-specific primers (Table S2), cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector (Promega Corp., WI, USA) and sequenced. The positive clones were processed further for plasmid isolation. The plasmid was restriction digested using Not1 (New England Biolabs, MA, USA), thus creating Not1 restriction sites. The cDNA fragment was subsequently cloned into a pSL038-1 vector with a modified BSMVy genome segment along with Not1 restriction sites present downstream of yb gene53. The pSL038-1 vector carrying either phytoene desaturase (PDS) served as a positive control, whereas the pSL038-1 vector without any gene served as a negative control. The BSMV plasmids were then linearized, where BSMV α , py were linearized using the Mlu1 restriction enzyme and BSMV β with the Spe1 enzyme, respectively. Further, in-vitro transcription of the linearized plasmids was performed with mMessage Machine[™] T7 in-vitro transcription kit (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, USA), as suggested in the manufacturer's protocol.

The experiment was performed as RCBD consisting of one genotype, i.e., NIL-R with two treatments (mock and pathogen) and five biological replications over time with two pots per replication. Plants were generated in the greenhouse, as discussed before. All the three in-vitro transcripts (α , β , and γ BSMV) were linearized and inoculated into the plant in the ratio of 1:1:1 (1 µl of each) (Scofield et al., 2005). To facilitate virus entry and infection, these transcripts were rub-inoculated along with 22.5 uL of inoculation buffer mainly onto flag leaf and spikelets to increase the efficiency of silencing (Ma et al., 2012). An experimental unit consisting of a total of

10 spikes per replication was rub-inoculated with BSMV+*TaLAC4* as a test, BSMV+PDS as a positive control, and BSMV:00 as negative control respectively.

4.3.9 Comparison of TaLAC4 non-silenced and silenced NIL-R

4.3.9.1 qRT-PCR, fungal biomass, and disease severity

The experiment was performed as RCBD, with two treatments of NIL-R with *TaLAC* silenced or not, in three biological replications, two pots containing three plants per replication. After 15 dpi with BSMV virus, three alternate pairs of spikelets were inoculated with ten μ l of *Fg* macroconidial suspension and covered with polyethylene bags sprayed with water after inoculations until 48 h and five out of ten spikes were collected at 72 hpi for qRT-PCR analysis. For fungal biomass quantification, genomic DNA was extracted from the rachis samples, and real-time qPCR was performed. Relative fungal housekeeping gene *Tri6* copy number was measured to estimate fungal biomass. *TaActin* gene was used to normalize the abundance of *Tri6* gene. Student *t*-test was applied for the statistical significance of the data.

Disease severity was evaluated using ten spikes, with one pair of spikelets in the midregion of each spike inoculated with Fg. After inoculation, plants were covered with wet polyethylene bags to maintain high moisture and removed after 48 h. The number of spikelets diseased were assessed every three-day interval for 15 dpi. Spikelets discolored or bleached and brown were considered diseased. From this, the cumulative proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD), and the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) were calculated. Statistical significance of the data was performed using ANOVA.

4.3.9.2 Phloroglucinol-HCL staining or weisner test

Histological analysis of rachis samples inoculated with mock or pathogen, collected at 48 hpi, was conducted to reveal differential cell wall reinforcement. Samples were embedded in cryomolds using Shandon CRYOMATRIX (Richard-Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo). Further, sections of 10 µm thickness were cut using a cryotome machine (Leica, CM1850, Canada) set at -20 °C and immediately collected on slides treated with a 5 % 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APES) solution. Staining was done as suggested by Hu et al. (Hu et al., 2017) with some modifications. Slides harboring sections were rinsed with distilled water and air-dried at 37 °C for 10-15 mins. Fixed sections were treated with a 3 % (w/v) phloroglucinol solution for 1 min, followed by washing

with 50 % HCL. Slides were mounted with glycerol and immediately observed under a light microscope, OLYMPUS BX51.

4.3.9.3 Acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) assay

Chemical analyses of lignin were done as described by Barnes and Anderson (2017) with some modifications (Barnes and Anderson, 2017). Rachis samples stored at -80 degrees were ground using liquid N_2 into homogenously fine powder to prepare alcohol insoluble residue (AIR). Around 100 mg of finely ground tissue was taken in a 2 mL Eppendorf tube, and 70 % ethanol was added, vortexed, and centrifuged to pellet residue. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 1:1 chloroform: methanol solution. The residual pellet was further suspended in acetone as described before and air-dried in the chemical hood overnight with Eppendorf lid open until completely dry. De-starching of AIR was done by adding 90 % DMSO to the pellet, vortexing, and shaking overnight at a speed of 50 rpm on a platform rocker to facilitate proper mixing. Successively, after centrifugation, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was washed with DMSO and later with 70 % ethanol, six times. The acetone step was repeated, and the remaining material was de-starched AIR. Lugol's iodine solution was used to verify the absence of starch. Approximately 5 mg of de-starched AIR was taken into a glass screw cap vial, and 1 mL of 25 % acetyl bromide was added where a tube containing only 25 % acetyl bromide was served as blank. For each biological sample, three technical replicates were performed. Samples were incubated at 70 degrees for 1 h with mixing every 10 mins. After the incubation, samples were kept on ice, 5 mL of glacial acetic acid was added, and vortexed inside the chemical hood. The AIR was allowed to settle down overnight at room temperature. Absorption was checked at 280 nm against a blank on a spectrophotometer by taking 300 µL of acetyl bromide from the top of each tube. Beer's law was used to calculate the percentage of acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) with the previously established extinction coefficient. The mass percentage of ABSL was converted to μ g mg-1 AIR using formula: %ABSL= (A280/ ϵ *L)*(D/m)*100, Where A280 = Absorbance at 280 nm (Blank corrected), ε = extinction coefficient (g-1 L cm-1), L = spectrophotometer path length (cm), D = dilution factor from digested AIR, m = mass of destarched AIR (mg). Student *t*-test was applied for the statistical significance of the data.

4.3.10 Metabolite profiling of TaLAC4 non-silenced and silenced NIL-R

4.3.10.1 Metabolites extraction, LC-HRMS and data processing

For metabolite analysis, ten spikes containing the three alternate pairs inoculated and un-inoculated spikelets and rachis, per replication, were harvested at 72 hpi. In total, (10×6=60 pairs) 60 rachis were separated from spikelet pairs per replication. Both the samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further use. Metabolites were extracted from the rachis samples initially in 60 % ice-cold aqueous methanol and then finally in 100 % ice-cold aqueous methanol (Bollina et al., 2010). About 100 uL of filtrate or sample extract was used for metabolite analysis in a negative ionization mode using a high-resolution, accurate-mass (HRAM) Q Exactive[™] Hybrid Quadrupole-346 Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (LC-MS/MS) (Thermo Fisher, USA) using a 5 cm XB-C18 kinetex column. The Xcalibur raw files obtained were converted into mzXML format using MSConverterGUI. The data processing and molecular profile data-based mass spectrometry analysis was performed using mzMine-2 tools (Pluskal et al., 2010) along with peak deconvolution, peak detection, spectral filtering, and normalization of peaks (Katajamaa and Oresic, 2005).

4.3.10.2 Statistical analysis and putative metabolite identification

The peak intensities of monoisotopic masses were subjected to pairwise analysis of treatments, based on Students *t*-test using MetaboAnalyst (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca/). The pair-wise treatment combinations were: RM vs. SM, RP vs. RM and SP vs. SM, where RM and RP = NIL-R+BSMV:00 (non-silenced) inoculated with water (mock) and pathogen respectively, and SM and SP = NIL-R+BSMV:*Talac4 (TaLAC4 silenced)* inoculated with water (mock) and pathogen respectively were used to identify significant metabolites in all the treatments. Peak abundances significant at P< 0.05, and false discovery rate threshold of 0.05 were retained for further analysis. The significant monoisotopic peaks were identified as metabolites based on three criteria; (i) accurate mass error, AME \leq 5 ppm (Tohge and Fernie, 2010; Gunnaiah et al., 2012), (ii) Fragmentation pattern and (iii) in-silico confirmation of fragmentation based on Masspec scissor in ChemSketch (ACD labs, Toronto) (Supp. Table 4.2) (Matsuda et al., 2009). Subsequently, these metabolites were classified as a pathogenesis-related (PR) metabolite if the fold change in abundance in the pathogen inoculated treatments were higher than in mock-inoculated treatments in resistant/non-silenced NIL-R (PRr = RP/RM>1.0) or susceptible/*TaLAC4* silenced NIL-R (PRs = SP/SM>1.0) (Bollina et al., 2010; Gunnaiah et al., 2012).

4.4 Results

4.4.1 Characterization of *TaLAC* gene

The full-length *TaLAC* gene was sequenced in NILs derived from Sumai3*5/Thatcher. FGENESH based sequence analysis revealed that *TaLAC* has six exons and five introns (Fig. 4.2a), later intron-exon boundaries were confirmed for Acceptor (AG) and Donor (GT) sites, respectively through FSPLICE

(http://linux1.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=fsplice&group=programs&subgroup=gfind). The full-length gene sequence of *TaLAC* was 4419 bp consisting of an open reading frame (ORF) of 2178bp, a 313 bp 3' untranslated region (UTR), and 128 bp 5' UTR. *TaLAC* encodes for 578 amino acids long protein sequence, comprising of three conserved domains (Cu_oxidase_3, Cu_oxidase, and Cu_oxidase_2) spanning from position 9-540 amino acids (Fig. 4.2b). The complete genomic sequence was submitted to the NCBI GenBank repository and was assigned an accession number MT587562. The *TaLAC* showed 97.23 % identity with *Aegilops tauschi* putative *TaLAC4*, 93.76 % with *Triticum Urartu*, and 86.53 % with *Brachypodium distachyon*. Also, multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis confirmed the close homology of the *TaLAC* gene sequence (unnamed protein product in *T. aestivum*) with *TaLAC4* in *Aegilops tauschi*. Based on this, we putatively designated *TaLAC* as *TaLAC4* like gene (or as *TaLAC4*) (Fig. 4.2c).

4.4.2 Molecular docking revealed binding substrates of TaLAC4

A three-dimensional structure of TaLAC4 was obtained by homology detection and structure prediction by HMM-HMM (Hidden Markov Models). Homology search based ten best proteins were selected for multiple sequence alignment (MSA) are: PDB: 3SQR showing 42.4% sequence identity (id.) to TaLAC4; PDB: 1ZPU (26.2% id.); PDB: 1AOZ (24.8% id.); PDB: 5ZIX (29.1% id.); PDB: 1HFU (28.4% id.); PDB: 5EHF (28.6% id.); PDB: 2Q9O (34.3% id.); PDB: 3PXL (27.5% id.); and PDB: 6RI6 (28.1% id.). They have been used as templates to calculate a model for TaLAC4 using HHpred and Modeller (Zimmermann et al., 2018; Webb & Sali, 2016).

To evaluate the binding affinity among wheat TaLAC4 and selected lignin model compounds as substrates, molecular docking of these model compounds with their respective binding sites, sinapyl alcohol (L1: PHE`267/CA, GLN` 265\CA) (Fig. 4.3a), guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl (L2: PHE`267/CD1) (Fig. 4.3b), syringyl β -O-4 syringyl β -O-4 sinapyl alcohol (L3: TRP`316/CE2) (Fig. 4.3c), and guaiacyl β -O-4 syringyl β - β syringyl β -O-4 guaiacyl (L3:

TRP`316/CE2) (Fig. 4.3d) on TaLAC4 was performed using AutoDockTools. The best-fit ligand conformations were selected based on their minimum binding energies. Amino acid residues, which established contact with ligand and the residues involved in hydrogen bonding with ligands, were recorded using AutoDock Tools using the results obtained from AutoDock Vina. We have used Edu PyMOL v2.4.2 (https://pymol.org/educational) for visualizing the interactions of the ligand and modelled protein structure and for developing the respective docked images. The docking results revealed docking scores based on lignin model compounds (Supp. Table 4.1). Sinapyl alcohol, guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl and syringyl β -O-4 syringyl β -O-4 sinapyl alcohol, with the binding energy -16.02, -21.21, and -0.71 kJ/mol, respectively, bind to the inner pocket of the folded protein and showed some difference in the binding affinity with significant differences in DG, however, carrying few similar binding sites in deep inside the pocket (Fig. 4.3 a,b). On the other hand, the binding affinity recorded for guaiacyl β -O-4 syringyl β - β syringyl β -O-4 guaiacyl was 1.86 kJ/mol, along with different binding sites indicates the lesser affinity towards TaLAC4 (Fig. 4.3d). The difference in the binding affinity attributed to the binding pockets, for instance, the binding pockets for syringyl β -O-4 syringyl β -O-4 sinapyl alcohol and guaiacyl β -O-4 syringyl β - β syringyl β -O-4 guaiacyl was found to be in the shallow region on the surface of the protein. Hence, the other substrates, guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl and sinapyl alcohol bind effectively to the TaLAC4 macromolecule to facilitate the catalytic reaction. Based on the binding energy, guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl, a dimer of guaiacyl (G) lignin unit, docked more efficiently than other lignin compounds.

4.4.3 Polymorphism and differential gene expression of TaLAC4 in NIL-R and NIL-S

Multiple sequence alignment of *TaLAC4* gene sequences identified two significant substitutions, in NIL-S, lysine to glutamate at position 250 (K250E) and histidine to aspartate at position 252 (H252D) (Fig. 4.4a). These mutations have been previously reported to be associated with affecting DNA binding ability and dissociating ligand-receptor complex, respectively (Ris-Stalpers et al., 1991; Singh et al., 2013). Although in our study, super-imposed protein three-dimensional (3D) structure prediction based on Phyre Investigator suggested no such significant conformational changes among the two NILs. However, whether the effect of mutation has a deleterious impact on protein-ligand binding needs further confirmation. The semi-quantitative PCR analysis normalized with *TaActin* indicated significantly higher expression of *TaLAC4* in NIL-R as compared to NIL-S. Further confirmation was done based on real-time quantitative PCR

(qRT-PCR), where the relative gene expression analysis of *TaLAC4* in NILs following *Fg* inoculation was higher with FC=3.13 difference in pathogen treated NIL-S as compared to mock solution treated NIL-S. Similarly, mock-treated NIL-R showed higher expression with FC=1.51 as compared to NIL-S (Fig. 4.4b). The drastic change in induced expression of *TaLAC4*, following *Fg* inoculation, suggests its role in induced defense responses against *Fg*.

4.4.4 TaLAC4 gene expression in silenced and non-silenced NIL-R

Based on observed changes in the expression pattern of the TaLAC4 in response to Fg and mock treatment, the BSMV-VIGS system was employed to transiently silence the TaLAC4 gene in NIL-R to validate its role in resistance function (Fig. 4.5). To check the feasibility and efficacy of the BSMV-VIGS system, a wheat phytoene desaturase (TaPDS) genes was silenced in NIL-R as a positive control. The appearance of photo-bleaching symptoms started developing on wheat spikes at 15 dpi after silencing TaPDS with BSMV:TaPDS (Fig. 4.6). Accordingly, the BSMV-VIGS system was used to access the potential roles of TaLAC4 in FHB resistance in wheat NILs. Similarly, BSMV:Talac4 (test/silenced) and BSMV:00 (control/non-silenced) recombinant vectors were designed and introduced in NIL-R spikes through rub-inoculation. Resultantly, phenotypic differences could be observed in both the spike and the rachis from the point of inoculation and the spread of disease (Fig. 4.7a). Further, to study the effect of TaLAC4 silencing on the wheat NIL-R plants, the relative gene expression study was performed based on qRT-PCR. Relative gene expression analysis revealed the significant (P < 0.01) reduction (FC: 6.64) in LAC4 gene expression in silenced plants (BSMV:Talac4) compared to non-silenced (BSMV:00) at 48 hpi with Fg, establishing the successful down-regulation of the target gene in wheat NILs (Fig. 4.7b).

4.4.5 Silencing of *TaLAC4* gene in NIL-R increased disease severity and fungal biomass in rachis

The effect of silencing the *TaLAC4* gene in NIL-R was evaluated following point inoculation of two florets per spike. In 80 % of the non-silenced NIL-R spikes, the pathogen did not spread beyond the inoculated spikelets, meaning high rachis resistance (Type-II), whereas in silenced it spread to almost the entire spikelet in 15 dpi. The AUDPC was significantly higher in silenced plants (6.84) as compared to non-silenced (2.31), with an FC= 2.96 (Fig. 4.8a). Also, the fungal biomass was significantly higher in silenced plants (2.95) as compared to non-silenced (0.8), with

an FC= 3.69, indicating increased susceptibility to Fg (Fig. 4.8b). These further confirmed the resistance in NIL-R, due to functional *TaLAC4* as compared to the non-functional.

4.4.6 Total lignin and its structure altered in NIL-R, following silencing of TaLAC4

In response to pathogen attack, plant secondary cell wall components, mainly lignin biosynthesis results in both growth and defense (Xie et al., 2018). Therefore, total lignin was quantified in silenced and non-silenced rachis samples, that were mock, and pathogen inoculated. The acid-soluble lignin test confirmed a 15 % higher amount of the total lignin content in the non-silenced, as compared to silenced *TaLAC4* NIL-R (Fig. 4.9a). The phloroglucinol-HCL staining (Wiesner test), which confirms the presence of coniferaldehyde groups in the lignin, revealed an increased deposition of lignin in the rachis of *TaLAC4* non-silenced NIL-R, as compared to silenced, post-*Fg* inoculation (Fig. 4.9b), meaning possible increased polymerization due to G-lignin.

4.4.7 Effect of *TaLAC4* silencing on the abundances of PRr metabolites in NIL-R

Lack of laccase activity was associated with increased upstream metabolic flux. Even when laccase was silenced in NIL-R, still it induced some resistance metabolites (PRs, pathogenesis-related metabolites), following pathogen inoculation. There was a significant increase in the accumulation of precursor metabolites, mainly the soluble phenolics such as coniferyl alcohol derivatives belonging to *Phenylpropanoids*: coniferin (FC= 2.48) with high fold change (Table 4.1); *Coumarins*: isopimpinellin (FC=13.7), 5,6,7-trimethoxycoumarin (FC=2.22); *Fatty acid*: docosanoic acid (FC=2.76); *Flavonoids*: hinokitiol glucoside (FC=2.67) and; *Hydroxycinnamic acid amides (HCAAs)* and *Phenylpropanoid conjugates*: 1-O-Vanilloyl-beta-D-glucose (FC=4.26), podorhizol beta-D-glucoside (FC=2.38) and (+)-syringaresinol O-beta-D-glucoside (FC=3.42) were found to be elevated in the silenced NIL-R, following pathogen inoculation as compared to mock-treatment. These precursor metabolites in non-silenced NIL-R may have been used to biosynthesize downstream RR metabolites; thus, no significant precursor metabolites were detected and identified.

4.5 Discussion

4.5.1 *TaLAC4* in plant defense against *Fg*

The plant cell wall components such as cellulose, hemicelluloses, lignin, and pectic polysaccharides often act as barriers to pathogen progress (Xu et al., 2011; Bellincampi et al.,
2014). Pathogens produce various CWDEs such as pectinases, xylanases, and cellulases to degrade cell walls to enable them to colonize plant tissues (Mary Wanjiru et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2012). Several studies have suggested the role of cell wall polymers contents and composition on the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions (Cantu et al., 2008; Pogorelko et al., 2013; Blümke et al., 2014). Lignin, an essential structural component of cell wall involved in pathogen defense response by providing resistance against CWDEs and preventing diffusion of toxins produced by the pathogen (Sattler and Funnell-Harris, 2013; Zhang et al., 2017). Lignin has been reported to impart resistance against multiple plant diseases, including F_g infection in wheat (Lionetti et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2018; Voss-Fels et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Previously, in Arabidopsis, plant laccases (LAC4, LAC11, and LAC17) were reported to be necessary for lignin polymerization and non-redundant with peroxidases (Berthet et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013). In response to verticillium wilt infection in cotton, *GhLAC15* imparts resistance by induced lignification and lignin components in plant cell walls (Zhang et al., 2019). These plant laccases oxidatively polymerize monolignols into guaiacyl (G), syringyl (S), and p-hydroxyphenyl (H) lignin units (Wang et al., 2015).

In this study, TaLAC4 was functionally characterized as one of the candidate genes for FHB resistance in the QTL-Fhb1 based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach. Real-time qRT-PCR based differential gene expression study also revealed strong induced expression of the TaLAC4 gene in NIL-R inoculated with Fg. These findings present some insights into the role of wheat laccase genes in the defense mechanism against Fg infection in wheat. Following that, we show that the silencing of TaLAC4 in NIL-R led to an increased susceptibility to Fg. This was also associated with a significant increase in fungal biomass and cumulative progression of disease severity. Interestingly, the quantification of total lignin in rachis revealed a considerable reduction of lignin in silenced NIL-R, which also corresponds to the histochemical analysis, which clearly showed the disruption of lignin in the silenced plants, suggesting the role of laccase in catalyzing the lignin polymerization.

4.5.2 Metabolic changes in the laccase silenced NIL-R plants

Metabolites belonging to the phenylpropanoid pathway are often reported for their significance in chemical defense against Fg infection and DON production (Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Gauthier et al., 2015; Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017). Wherein, some are produced constitutively, and function as preformed antibiotics in non-host resistance to pathogens, knowns as phytoanticipins;

and others are induced in response to pathogen invasion and involved in plant defense mechanism, as phytoalexins (Dixon et al., 2002; Kushalappa et al., 2016). Under stress condition, previous studies reported a re-direction of metabolic flux in the phenylpropanoid pathway leading to the accumulation of a range of secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, coumarins, stilbenes and hydroxycinnamic acid conjugates that play crucial roles in plants stress response (Vogt, 2010; Fraser and Chapple, 2011; Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017; Yogendra et al., 2017). These studies, however, indicated a decrease in RR metabolites, downstream of the candidate gene that was silenced in a resistant genotype. Whereas in our study it was not possible to quantify metabolites downstream of *TaLAC4* as were involved in polymerization of complex lignins, which the LC-HRMS was unable to detect.

Even though our main objective was to explore the resistance mechanisms of functional TaLAC4, by exploring metabolites downstream of this gene, we also explored if the silenced TaLAC4 in NIL-R had any mechanisms of resistance due to genes upstream of TaLAC4 in the phenylpropanoid pathway, as the NILs we had resistance background. This revealed an increase in the accumulation of precursor metabolites, upstream of TaLAC4, and their conjugates in silenced NIL-R, following pathogen inoculation relative to mock. As a result, a coniferyl alcohol glucoside was strikingly increased in the silenced NIL-R plants (Table 1). Elevated levels of coniferyl alcohol glucoside (coniferin) and sinapyl alcohol glucoside (syringin) in the laccase triple mutant in Arabidopsis (Lac4, Lac11, and Lac17) have been reported, along with significant upregulation of both UGT72E2 and UGT72E3 genes (Miao and Liu, 2010; Zhao et al., 2013). Interestingly, TaLAC4 silenced plants showed an increase in the abundance of precursor metabolites and their conjugates and lignans, mainly related to coumarins, HCAAs and phenylpropanoids, flavonoids and fatty acids due to lack of laccase activity with significantly high fold change (Table 4.1). Wherein, HCAAs cross-links with polysaccharides to form suberins and deposit as cell wall appositions at the inner side of plant cell walls for cell wall reinforcement (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014). In wheat, against F_g infection, several studies have reported HCAAs as resistance-related induced (RRI) involved in the thickening of the cell wall as defense response (Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017). Upon Fg infection, resistant wheat cultivar Sumai-3 was identified with the accumulation of Ncaffeoylputrescine, 4-coumaroyl-3-hydroxyagmatine, and feruloyl-serotonin highly upregulated (Cajka and Fiehn, 2014). Against P. infestans infection in potato, increased expression of 4CL was

associated with increased downstream metabolites, such as HCCAs accumulation to impart resistance through cell wall thickening (Pushpa et al., 2014; Yogendra et al., 2014; Yogendra et al., 2017).

The primary transcriptional regulatory mechanism of lignin and secondary cell wall biosynthesis comprised the hierarchical network of NAC and MYB transcription factors (Nakano et al., 2015). For instance, among phenylpropanoid and lignin biosynthesis regulating related transcription factors, in Arabidopsis, MYB46 and MYB83 were found to activate the expression of PAL1, C4H, 4CL1, C30H1, HCT, CCoAOMT, CCR1, F5H1, CAD6 genes (Zhong et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2014). Apart from MYB46/MYB3, downstream, several other MYB transcription factors, including MYB58, MYB63, MYB85, MYB4, MYB32, and MYB7, were identified to specifically activate lignin biosynthesis via targeting AC elements (Zhou et al., 2009). Upstream MYB transcription factor, the NAC transcription factor including SECONDARY WALL-ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN 1/NAC SECONDARY WALL THICKENING PROMOTING FACTOR 3 (SND1/NST3) and its close homologs NST1, NST2, VASCULAR-RELATED NAC DOMAIN6 (VND6), and VND7 were also identified to regulate lignin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis (Kubo et al., 2005; Zhong et al., 2006). TaWRKY70, StWRKY1, HvWRKY23 transcription factors regulate the downstream phenylpropanoid pathway, resulting in the accumulation of flavonoid glycoside and hydroxycinnamic acid amides to resist the spread of Fg infection (Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017; Yogendra et al., 2017; Karre et al., 2019). In our study, the silencing of TaLAC4 caused a significant increase in the precursor metabolites and their conjugates, upstream of *TaLAC4*. The monolignols and their derivatives were accumulated higher in abundance in TaLAC4 silenced NIL.

4.5.3 TaLAC4 contributes to basal immunity by mediating induced lignins

During the onset of the secondary cell wall (SCW) formations in plants, lignin is synthesized via a complex biosynthetic pathway providing mechanical strength, hydrophobicity, and mainly contributing to defense against pests and pathogens. The elaborate process also leads to the production of a wide range of phenylpropanoid derivatives such as hydroxycinnamic acids, flavonoids, coumarins, chalcones, phenylpropenes, and stilbenes using phenylalanine as the initial substrate (Le Roy et al., 2016). However, the laccases are involved in the downstream lignification and were demonstrated in Arabidopsis based on several knockout mutants, for instance, lac4 lac17 lac11 triple mutant showed hypolignified fibers and distorted xylem vessel phenotype along with severe growth defects followed by failure of lignin detection in both stems and roots based on histochemical analysis (Berthet et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013). The lignin polymerization takes place via a combinatorial radical coupling process in the apoplastic cell wall allowing incorporation of several lignin monomers to produce different lignin polymers, such as G-lignins, in different cell types (Tobimatsu and Schuetz, 2019). The degree and nature of polymeric cross-linking are decided based on the S/G ratio. According to Chezem et al. (Chezem et al., 2017), compared to S-lignin, the G-lignin is more cross-lined and resistant to depolymerization and thus is a better defensive barrier against the pathogen. For instance, AtMYB15 contributes to basal immunity by mediating defense-induced G-lignin synthesis (Chezem et al., 2017). However, elevated S-lignin content was found to be linked with cell wall biochemical traits in response to Fg infection in wheat (Lionetti et al., 2015). In this study, the histochemical analysis of pathogen inoculated TaLAC4 non-silenced NIL-R rachis showed an increase in the total lignin deposition, especially the coniferaldehyde groups.

In the last decades, plant-pathogen relationship study has produced new information related to their interaction encompassing complex networks of molecules, signaling pathways, and strategies like recognizing the invaders and induce defense responses to protect themselves. The complex defense network involves a plethora of critical elements, regulators, and pathways associated. In conclusion, the current study functionally validated *TaLAC4* as a potential candidate gene underlying QTL-Fhb1 flanking between XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142. The silencing of the *TaLAC4* gene leads to a drastic increase in fungal biomass, disease severity, and reduction in total lignin deposition. Also, over-accumulation of monolignol derivatives upon laccase silencing in the NIL-R rachis suggests *TaLAC4* is necessary for the oxidative polymerization of monolignols to biosynthesize G lignin. This study opens the opportunity to explore other laccases or cell wall biosynthetic genes involving synergistically to confer a high level of resistance against FHB in wheat.

4.6 Author contribution statement

NS wrote the manuscript and performed all the experiments; NH helped in valuable suggestions and greenhouse work; AD helped in molecular docking and statistical analysis; ACK* conceived the idea, aided in designing the experiments and edited the manuscript. All au- thors read and approved the manuscript.

4.7 Compliance with ethical standards

The authors declare that the experiments comply with the McGill Environment, Health and Safety guidelines, and the current laws of Canada.

4.8 Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

4.9 Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), McGill Sustainability Systems Initiative (MSSI) and Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec (MAPAQ), Québec, Canada. We thank Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada, for providing wheat NILs and Dr. S. Rio, CEROM for providing Fg isolate. We thank Mr. Yves Dion, Centre de recherche sur les grains (CÉROM), Canada, for his guidance and sup- port. We also acknowledge anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Adams-Phillips L, Briggs AG, Bent AF (2010) Disruption of poly(adp-ribosyl)ation mechanisms alters responses of arabidopsis to biotic stress. Plant Physiology 152: 267
- Ahuja I, Kissen R, Bones AM (2012) Phytoalexins in defense against pathogens. Trends Plant Sci 17: 73-90
- Anderson JA, Stack RW, Liu S, Waldron BL, Fjeld AD, Coyne C, Moreno-Sevilla B, Fetch JM, Song QJ, Cregan PB, Frohberg RC (2001) DNA markers for Fusarium head blight resistance QTLs in two wheat populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 102: 1164-1168
- Awasthi M, Jaiswal N, Singh S, Pandey VP, Dwivedi UN (2015) Molecular docking and dynamics simulation analyses unraveling the differential enzymatic catalysis by plant and fungal laccases with respect to lignin biosynthesis and degradation. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics **33**: 1835-1849
- Baayen RP (1988) Responses related to lignification and intravascular periderm formation in carnations resistant to Fusarium wilt. Canadian Journal of Botany 66: 784-792
- **Barnes WJ, Anderson CT** (2017) Acetyl bromide soluble lignin (absl) assay for total lignin quantification from plant biomass. Bio-protocol **7:** 2149
- **Bellincampi D, Cervone F, Lionetti V** (2014) Plant cell wall dynamics and wall-related susceptibility in plantpathogen interactions. Frontiers in plant science **5**: 228

- Berthet S, Demont-Caulet N, Pollet B, Bidzinski P, Cézard L, Le Bris P, Borrega N, Hervé J, Blondet E, Balzergue S, Lapierre C, Jouanin L (2011) Disruption of LACCASE4 and 17 results in tissue-specific alterations to lignification of Arabidopsis thaliana stems. The Plant cell 23: 1124-1137
- Bhuiyan NH, Selvaraj G, Wei Y, King J (2009) Gene expression profiling and silencing reveal that monolignol biosynthesis plays a critical role in penetration defence in wheat against powdery mildew invasion. Journal of experimental botany 60: 509-521
- Blümke A, Falter C, Herrfurth C, Sode B, Bode R, Schäfer W, Feussner I, Voigt CA (2014) Secreted fungal effector lipase releases free fatty acids to inhibit innate immunity-related callose formation during wheat head infection. Plant physiology **165**: 346-358
- Bollina V, Kumaraswamy GK, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S, Faubert D, Hamzehzarghani H (2010) Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics application to identify quantitative resistance-related metabolites in barley against Fusarium head blight. Mol Plant Pathol **11**: 769-782
- **Bonello P, Blodgett JT** (2003) Pinus nigra–Sphaeropsis sapinea as a model pathosystem to investigate local and systemic effects of fungal infection of pines. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology **63**: 249-261
- Cajka T, Fiehn O (2014) Comprehensive analysis of lipids in biological systems by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Trends Analyt Chem 61: 192-206
- Cantu D, Vicente AR, Labavitch JM, Bennett AB, Powell AL (2008) Strangers in the matrix: plant cell walls and pathogen susceptibility. Trends Plant Sci 13: 610-617
- Cesarino I, Araújo P, Sampaio Mayer JL, Vicentini R, Berthet S, Demedts B, Vanholme B, Boerjan W, Mazzafera P (2013) Expression of SofLAC, a new laccase in sugarcane, restores lignin content but not S:G ratio of Arabidopsis lac17 mutant. Journal of Experimental Botany 64: 1769-1781
- Chamarthi S, Kumar K, Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa A, Dion Y, Choo T (2019) Identification of fusarium head blight resistance related metabolites specific to doubled-haploid lines in barley. European Journal of Plant Pathology 138: 67-78
- Chezem WR, Memon A, Li FS, Weng JK, Clay NK (2017) Sg2-type r2r3-myb transcription factor myb15 controls defense-induced lignification and basal immunity in arabidopsis. Plant Cell **29:** 1907-1926
- Chisholm ST, Coaker G, Day B, Staskawicz BJ (2006) Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. Cell **124**: 803-814
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Thomas J, Cloutier S, Brule-Babel A (2006) Fine mapping Fhb1, a major gene controlling fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor Appl Genet 112: 1465-1472
- Dhokane D, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2016) Integrated metabolo-transcriptomics reveals fusarium head blight candidate resistance genes in wheat qtl-fhb2. PloS one **11**: e0155851-e0155851
- Dixon RA, Achnine L, Kota P, Liu CJ, Reddy MS, Wang L (2002) The phenylpropanoid pathway and plant defence-a genomics perspective. Mol Plant Pathol 3: 371-390
- FAO (2019) Food outlook biannual report on global food markets.

- **Forootanfar H, Faramarzi MA** (2015) Insights into laccase producing organisms, fermentation states, purification strategies, and biotechnological applications. Biotechnology Progress **31:** 1443-1463
- Fraser CM, Chapple C (2011) The phenylpropanoid pathway in Arabidopsis. Arabidopsis Book 9: e0152
- Gauthier L, Atanasova-Penichon V, Chereau S, Richard-Forget F (2015) Metabolomics to decipher the chemical defense of cereals against fusarium graminearum and deoxynivalenol accumulation. Int J Mol Sci 16: 24839-24872
- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC, Duggavathi R, Fox S, Somers DJ (2012) Integrated Metabolo-Proteomic Approach to Decipher the Mechanisms by Which Wheat QTL (Fhb1) Contributes to Resistance against Fusarium graminearum. PLOS ONE 7: e40695
- Hu Q, Min L, Yang X, Jin S, Zhang L, Li Y, Ma Y, Qi X, Li D, Liu H, Lindsey K, Zhu L, Zhang X (2018) Laccase GhLac1 Modulates Broad-Spectrum Biotic Stress Tolerance via Manipulating Phenylpropanoid Pathway and Jasmonic Acid Synthesis. Plant Physiology 176: 1808-1823
- Hu R, Xu Y, Yu C, He K, Tang Q, Jia C, He G, Wang X, Kong Y, Zhou G (2017) Transcriptome analysis of genes involved in secondary cell wall biosynthesis in developing internodes of Miscanthus lutarioriparius. Scientific Reports 7: 9034
- Jin F, Zhang D, Bockus W, Baenziger PS, Carver B, Bai G (2013) Fusarium head blight resistance in u.S. Winter wheat cultivars and elite breeding lines. Crop Science 53: 2006-2013
- Jones JD, Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature 444: 323-329
- Kage U, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2017) Identification and characterization of a fusarium head blight resistance gene TaACT in wheat QTL-2DL. Plant Biotechnology Journal 15: 447-457
- Kage U, Yogendra KN, Kushalappa AC (2017) TaWRKY70 transcription factor in wheat QTL-2DL regulates downstream metabolite biosynthetic genes to resist Fusarium graminearum infection spread within spike. Scientific Reports 7: 42596
- Karre S, Kumar A, Yogendra K, Kage U, Kushalappa A, Charron J-B (2019) HvWRKY23 regulates flavonoid glycoside and hydroxycinnamic acid amide biosynthetic genes in barley to combat Fusarium head blight.
 Plant Molecular Biology 100: 591-605
- Katajamaa M, Oresic M (2005) Processing methods for differential analysis of LC/MS profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 6: 179
- Kim WC, Kim JY, Ko JH, Kang H, Han KH (2014) Identification of direct targets of transcription factor MYB46 provides insights into the transcriptional regulation of secondary wall biosynthesis. Plant Mol Biol 85: 589-599
- Kishi-Kaboshi M, Okada K, Kurimoto L, Murakami S, Umezawa T, Shibuya N, Yamane H, Miyao A, Takatsuji H, Takahashi A, Hirochika H (2010) A rice fungal MAMP-responsive MAPK cascade regulates metabolic flow to antimicrobial metabolite synthesis. Plant J 63: 599-612
- **Kitajima S, Sato F** (1999) Plant pathogenesis-related proteins: molecular mechanisms of gene expression and protein function. J Biochem **125:** 1-8

- Kourelis J, van der Hoorn RAL (2018) Defended to the nines: 25 years of resistance gene cloning identifies nine mechanisms for r protein function. Plant Cell **30**: 285-299
- Kubo M, Udagawa M, Nishikubo N, Horiguchi G, Yamaguchi M, Ito J, Mimura T, Fukuda H, Demura T (2005) Transcription switches for protoxylem and metaxylem vessel formation. Genes Dev **19:** 1855-1860
- Kushalappa AC, Yogendra KN, Karre S (2016) Plant innate immune response: Qualitative and quantitative resistance. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 35: 38-55
- La Camera S, Gouzerh G, Dhondt S, Hoffmann L, Fritig B, Legrand M, Heitz T (2004) Metabolic reprogramming in plant innate immunity: the contributions of phenylpropanoid and oxylipin pathways. Immunol Rev 198: 267-284
- Lacombe S, Rougon-Cardoso A, Sherwood E, Peeters N, Dahlbeck D, van Esse HP, Smoker M, Rallapalli G, Thomma BP, Staskawicz B, Jones JD, Zipfel C (2010) Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance. Nat Biotechnol 28: 365-369
- Le Roy J, Huss B, Creach A, Hawkins S, Neutelings G (2016) Glycosylation is a major regulator of phenylpropanoid availability and biological activity in plants. Front Plant Sci 7: 735
- Li G, Zhou J, Jia H, Gao Z, Fan M, Luo Y, Zhao P, Xue S, Li N, Yuan Y, Ma S, Kong Z, Jia L, An X, Jiang G, Liu W, Cao W, Zhang R, Fan J, Xu X, Liu Y, Kong Q, Zheng S, Wang Y, Qin B, Cao S, Ding Y, Shi J, Yan H, Wang X, Ran C, Ma Z (2019) Mutation of a histidine-rich calcium-binding-protein gene in wheat confers resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nature Genetics 51: 1106-1112
- Liang M, Davis E, Gardner D, Cai X, Wu Y (2006) Involvement of AtLAC15 in lignin synthesis in seeds and in root elongation of Arabidopsis. Planta 224: 1185
- Lionetti V, Giancaspro A, Fabri E, Giove SL, Reem N, Zabotina OA, Blanco A, Gadaleta A, Bellincampi D (2015) Cell wall traits as potential resources to improve resistance of durum wheat against Fusarium graminearum. BMC Plant Biology 15: 6
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402-408
- Ma M, Yan Y, Huang L, Chen M, Zhao H (2012) Virus-induced gene-silencing in wheat spikes and grains and its application in functional analysis of HMW-GS-encoding genes. BMC Plant Biology 12: 141
- Mary Wanjiru W, Zhensheng K, Buchenauer H (2002) Importance of cell wall degrading enzymes produced by fusarium graminearum during infection of wheat heads. European Journal of Plant Pathology **108:** 803-810
- Matsuda F, Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Niida R, Kuromori T, Shinozaki K, Saito K (2009) MS/MS spectral tagbased annotation of non-targeted profile of plant secondary metabolites. Plant J **57:** 555-577
- McMullen M, Bergstrom G, De Wolf E, Dill-Macky R, Hershman D, Shaner G, Van Sanford D (2012) A unified effort to fight an enemy of wheat and barley: Fusarium head blight. Plant Dis **96:** 1712-1728
- McMullen M, Jones R, Gallenberg D (1997) Scab of wheat and barley: A re-emerging disease of devastating impact. Plant Dis 81: 1340-1348
- Menden B, Kohlhoff M, Moerschbacher BM (2007) Wheat cells accumulate a syringyl-rich lignin during the hypersensitive resistance response. Phytochemistry **68**: 513-520

- Miao YC, Liu CJ (2010) ATP-binding cassette-like transporters are involved in the transport of lignin precursors across plasma and vacuolar membranes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 22728-22733
- Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, Sanner MF, Belew RK, Goodsell DS, Olson AJ (2009) AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. J Comput Chem **30:** 2785-2791
- Mot AC, Silaghi-Dumitrescu R (2012) Laccases: Complex architectures for one-electron oxidations. Biochemistry (Moscow) 77: 1395-1407
- Mottiar Y, Vanholme R, Boerjan W, Ralph J, Mansfield SD (2016) Designer lignins: harnessing the plasticity of lignification. Curr Opin Biotechnol 37: 190-200
- Nakano Y, Yamaguchi M, Endo H, Rejab NA, Ohtani M (2015) NAC-MYB-based transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in land plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 6: 288
- Naoumkina MA, Modolo LV, Huhman DV, Urbanczyk-Wochniak E, Tang Y, Sumner LW, Dixon RA (2010) Genomic and coexpression analyses predict multiple genes involved in triterpene saponin biosynthesis in Medicago truncatula. The Plant cell 22: 850-866
- Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Orešič M (2010) MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 395
- Pogorelko G, Lionetti V, Fursova O, Sundaram RM, Qi M, Whitham SA, Bogdanove AJ, Bellincampi D, Zabotina OA (2013) Arabidopsis and Brachypodium distachyon transgenic plants expressing Aspergillus nidulans acetylesterases have decreased degree of polysaccharide acetylation and increased resistance to pathogens. Plant physiology 162: 9-23
- Pushpa D, Yogendra KN, Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC, Murphy A (2014) Identification of late blight resistance-related metabolites and genes in potato through nontargeted metabolomics. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 32: 584-595
- Rawat N, Pumphrey MO, Liu S, Zhang X, Tiwari VK, Ando K, Trick HN, Bockus WW, Akhunov E, Anderson JA, Gill BS (2016) Wheat Fhb1 encodes a chimeric lectin with agglutinin domains and a poreforming toxin-like domain conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nat Genet 48: 1576-1580
- Ris-Stalpers C, Trifiro MA, Kuiper GG, Jenster G, Romalo G, Sai T, van Rooij HC, Kaufman M, Rosenfield RL, Liao S, et al. (1991) Substitution of aspartic acid-686 by histidine or asparagine in the human androgen receptor leads to a functionally inactive protein with altered hormone-binding characteristics. Mol Endocrinol 5: 1562-1569
- Sattler S, Funnell-Harris D (2013) Modifying lignin to improve bioenergy feedstocks: strengthening the barrier against pathogens?[†]. Frontiers in Plant Science 4: 70
- Scofield SR, Huang L, Brandt AS, Gill BS (2005) Development of a Virus-Induced Gene-Silencing System for Hexaploid Wheat and Its Use in Functional Analysis of the Lr21-Mediated Leaf Rust Resistance Pathway. Plant Physiology 138: 2165
- Singh R, Rathore YS, Singh NS, Peddada N, Ashish, Raychaudhuri S (2013) Substitution of glutamate residue by lysine in the dimerization domain affects DNA binding ability of hapr by inducing structural deformity in the DNA binding domain. PLOS ONE 8: e76033

- Su Z, Bernardo A, Tian B, Chen H, Wang S, Ma H, Cai S, Liu D, Zhang D, Li T, Trick H, St. Amand P, Yu J,
 Zhang Z, Bai G (2019) A deletion mutation in TaHRC confers Fhb1 resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Nature Genetics 51: 1099-1105
- Su Z, Jin S, Zhang D, Bai G (2018) Development and validation of diagnostic markers for Fhb1 region, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 131: 2371-2380
- Thomma BP, Cammue BP, Thevissen K (2002) Plant defensins. Planta 216: 193-202
- **Tobimatsu Y, Schuetz M** (2019) Lignin polymerization: how do plants manage the chemistry so well? Current Opinion in Biotechnology **56:** 75-81
- **Tohge T, Fernie AR** (2010) Combining genetic diversity, informatics and metabolomics to facilitate annotation of plant gene function. Nature protocols **5:** 1210-1227
- Vogt T (2010) Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Mol Plant 3: 2-20
- Voss-Fels KP, Qian L, Gabur I, Obermeier C, Hickey LT, Werner CR, Kontowski S, Frisch M, Friedt W, Snowdon RJ, Gottwald S (2018) Genetic insights into underground responses to Fusarium graminearum infection in wheat. Scientific reports 8: 13153
- Wang W, Zhu Y, Du J, Yang Y, Jin Y (2015) Influence of lignin addition on the enzymatic digestibility of pretreated lignocellulosic biomasses. Bioresource Technology 181: 7-12
- Webb B, Sali A (2016) Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics54: 5.6.1-5.6.37
- Xie M, Zhang J, Tschaplinski TJ, Tuskan GA, Chen J-G, Muchero W (2018) Regulation of lignin biosynthesis and its role in growth-defense tradeoffs. Frontiers in plant science 9: 1427-1427
- Xu B, Escamilla-Trevino LL, Sathitsuksanoh N, Shen Z, Shen H, Zhang YH, Dixon RA, Zhao B (2011) Silencing of 4-coumarate:coenzyme A ligase in switchgrass leads to reduced lignin content and improved fermentable sugar yields for biofuel production. New Phytol **192:** 611-625
- Yang F, Jensen JD, Svensson B, Jorgensen HJ, Collinge DB, Finnie C (2012) Secretomics identifies Fusarium graminearum proteins involved in the interaction with barley and wheat. Mol Plant Pathol 13: 445-453
- Yogendra KN, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC, Sarmiento F, Rodriguez E, Mosquera T (2017) StWRKY8 transcription factor regulates benzylisoquinoline alkaloid pathway in potato conferring resistance to late blight. Plant science : an international journal of experimental plant biology **256**: 208-216
- Yogendra KN, Pushpa D, Mosa KA, Kushalappa AC, Murphy A, Mosquera T (2014) Quantitative resistance in potato leaves to late blight associated with induced hydroxycinnamic acid amides. Funct Integr Genomics 14: 285-298
- Zhang S, Liang M, Naqvi NI, Lin C, Qian W, Zhang L-H, Deng YZ (2017) Phototrophy and starvation-based induction of autophagy upon removal of Gcn5-catalyzed acetylation of Atg7 in Magnaporthe oryzae. Autophagy 13: 1318-1330
- Zhang Y, Wu L, Wang X, Chen B, Zhao J, Cui J, Li Z, Yang J, Wu L, Wu J, Zhang G, Ma Z (2019) The cotton laccase gene GhLAC15 enhances Verticillium wilt resistance via an increase in defence-induced lignification and lignin components in the cell walls of plants. Mol Plant Pathol 20: 309-322

- Zhao Q, Nakashima J, Chen F, Yin Y, Fu C, Yun J, Shao H, Wang X, Wang ZY, Dixon RA (2013) Laccase is necessary and nonredundant with peroxidase for lignin polymerization during vascular development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25: 3976-3987
- Zhong R, Demura T, Ye ZH (2006) SND1, a NAC domain transcription factor, is a key regulator of secondary wall synthesis in fibers of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 3158-3170
- **Zhong R, Richardson EA, Ye Z-H** (2007) The MYB46 Transcription Factor Is a Direct Target of SND1 and Regulates Secondary Wall Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **19:** 2776
- Zhou J, Lee C, Zhong R, Ye ZH (2009) MYB58 and MYB63 are transcriptional activators of the lignin biosynthetic pathway during secondary cell wall formation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **21**: 248-266
- Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam SZ, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F, Soding J, Lupas AN, Alva V (2018) A completely reimplemented mpi bioinformatics toolkit with a new hhpred server at its core. J Mol Biol **430**: 2237-2243

 Table 4.1 List of high fold change resistance-related induced metabolites identified in NIL-R+BSMV:Talac4 (TaLAC4 silenced NILs).

Sl No.	Observed	Exact	AME	Database	Name	Fold	Classification
	Mass ^d	Mass		ID		Change	
1	246.0534	246.053	2.3068	C2162	Isopimpinellin	13.7**	Coumarins
2	330.0956	330.095	1.5293	C20470	1-O-Vanilloyl-beta-D-glucose	4.26**	HCAAs
3	580.2152	580.216	-0.7401	C189	(+)-Syringaresinol O-beta-D-glucoside	3.42*	Phenylpropanoids
4	340.3344	340.334	0.7581	C8281	Docosanoic acid	2.76**	Cutin, suberine and wax biosynthesis
5	326.1349	326.137	-5.1653	C15451	Hinokitiol glucoside	2.67**	Flavonoids
6	578.2006	578.2	1.1499	C1876	Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside	2.38**	Phenylpropanoids
7	342.1315	342.132	-0.0965	C761	Coniferin	2.48*	Phenylpropanoids
8	236.0680	236.069	-1.9681	C9313	5,6,7-Trimethoxycoumarin	2.22**	Coumarins

Significance (Students *t*-test): *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Detailed compound identification is presented in Supp. Table 4.2. AME: Accurate Mass Error= ((Observed mass - expected mass) / expected mass) X 10^6 , Fold-change of resistance-related induced (RRI) metabolites, where **RRI** = (NIL-R (P)+BSMV:00/NIL-R (M)+ BSMV:00)/(NIL-R (P)+BSMV:*Talac4*/NIL-R (M)+ BSMV:*Talac4*); R is resistant genotype, P & M are pathogen or mock inoculated; BSMV:00 is non-silenced; BSMV:*Talac4* is silenced.

Table 4.2 GenBank accession numbers of proteins used in the phylogeny study.

S.No.	Purpose	Name of gene/Protein/region	Genbank Accession number	Plant source	Referenc
					e/ Source
1	Protein phylogeny	unnamed protein product	CDM80721.1	Triticum aestivum	NCBI
2	Protein phylogeny	unnamed protein product	VAH81677.1	Triticum turgidum subsp. durum	NCBI

^d Observed Mass: To the observed mass one H mass was added because the LC/MS analysis was done in negative ionization mode.

3	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4-like	XP_020188127.1	Aegilops tauschii subsp. tauschii	NCBI
4	Protein phylogeny	predicted protein	BAJ99773.1	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	NCBI
5	Protein phylogeny	Laccase-4	EMS51326.1	Triticum urartu	NCBI
6	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4	XP_003564592.1	Brachypodium distachyon	NCBI
7	Protein phylogeny	laccase LAC5-6	AAL73968.1	Lolium perenne	NCBI
8	Protein phylogeny	PREDICTED: laccase-4	XP_006644993.1	Oryza brachyantha	NCBI
9	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4	XP_004970542.1	Setaria italica	NCBI
10	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4	XP_025814142.1	Panicum hallii	NCBI
11	Protein phylogeny	Laccase-4	PWZ31748.1	Zea mays	NCBI
12	Protein phylogeny	laccase-13	XP_002458747.1	Sorghum bicolor	NCBI
13	Protein phylogeny	laccase-13-like	XP_025814042.1	Panicum hallii	NCBI
14	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4-like	XP_020113582.1	Ananas comosus	NCBI
15	Protein phylogeny	laccase-4	XP_010920538.1	Elaeis guineensis	NCBI
16	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_021912089.1	Carica papaya	NCBI
17	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17	XP_006424707.1	Citrus clementina	NCBI
18	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_008785574.1	Phoenix dactylifera	NCBI
19	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17	XP_012064932.1	Jatropha curcas	NCBI
20	Protein phylogeny	Laccase 17	EOY13993.1	Theobroma cacao	NCBI
21	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_017618468.1	Gossypium arboreum	NCBI
22	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17	XP_011094888.1	Sesamum indicum	NCBI
23	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_021757290.1	Chenopodium quinoa	NCBI
24	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_010922297.1	Elaeis guineensis	NCBI
25	Protein phylogeny	laccase-17-like	XP_012465812.1	Gossypium raimondii	NCBI
26	Protein phylogeny	unnamed protein product	CBI16199.3	Vitis vinifera	NCBI

Table 4.3 List of primers	used in the	experiments.
---------------------------	-------------	--------------

	Name	Forward	Reverse
Gene sequencing	TaLAC4	ATGGCCATTACTATCTCCTCCGG	TCAGCATTTGGGCATGTCAGAC
Gene expression	TaLAC4	GAACAACGTCTCCCTAGTGC	GTGTAGTTGAACGGCGACA
VIGS Fragment amplification	TaLAC4_VIGS	GATACTCATGTGTGCCATGGTT	AAGAAAGTCAGCTATGAACGGG
VIGS gene expression	VIGS_TaLAC4	GATACTCATGTGTGCCATGGTT	AAGAAAGTCAGCTATGAACGGG
Fungal biomass	Tri6	TCTTTGTGAGCGGACGGGACTTTA	TGTTGGTTTGTGCTTGGACTCAT
Reference gene	TaActin	ACCTTCAGTTGCCCAGCAAT	CAGAGTCGAGCACAATACCAGT
			TG

Figure 4.1 Physical map of the QTL-Fhb1 on the short arm of wheat chromosome 3B. Location of the flanking markers (yellow color) reported by Cuthbert et al. (2006) (Cuthbert et al., 2006) are shown on the left side and location of laccase (*TaLAC4*) identified within the QTL-Fhb1 as one of the candidate genes among five potential candidates identified is shown on the right side.



Figure 4.2 Ab-initio characterization of *TaLAC4* gene. (a) Schematic diagram depicting the *TaLAC4* gene structure containing exon, intron and coding regions; (b) Conserved domain predicted based on NCBI Conserved Domain Database Search, it shows the presence of three conserved Cu-oxidase domains; (c) The phylogenetic analysis was based on proteins like TaLAC4 protein retrieved from NCBI database BLAST search with >70 % sequence identity. Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. Bootstrap confidence values were based on 100 iterations. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method. MEGA7 was used to generate the phylogenetic tree using a maximum-likelihood method. The NCBI accession numbers of all the proteins used are provided in Table 4.2.





0.050

Figure 4.3 Molecular docking of TaLAC4 with lignin model compounds. Lignin model compounds with their respective binding sites, (a) sinapyl alcohol (L1: PHE`267/CA, GLN` 265\CA), (b) guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl (L2: PHE`267/CD1), (c) syringyl β-O-4 syringyl β-O-4 sinapyl alcohol (L3: TRP`316/CE2), and (d) guaiacyl β-O-4 syringyl β-β syringyl β-O-4 guaiacyl (L3: TRP`316/CE2) on the macromolecule (TaLAC4) was performed using AutoDockTools.



Figure 4.4 Sequencing of *TaLAC4* gene in the NILs with contrasting alleles. (a) Polymorphism screening of *TaLAC4* gene among resistant and susceptible NILs. Multiple sequence alignment of *TaLAC4* gene sequences identified two significant substitutions, lysine to glutamate at position 250 (K250E) and histidine to aspartate at position 252 (H252D) in NIL-S. These mutations have been previously reported to affect DNA binding ability and dissociating ligand-receptor complex, respectively (Ris-Stalpers et al., 1991; Singh et al., 2013); (b) Relative gene expression of *TaLAC4* in resistant and susceptible NIL induced by *F. graminearum* and mock (water) inoculation at 48 hpi based on qRT-PCR. Here target gene expression is normalized to reference gene *TaActin*. Significant differences in expression levels of RP as compared to SP using Students *t*-test: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

		1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
	Laccase_CG Laccase_SNIL Laccase_SNIL Consensus	HALTI HALTI HALTI HALTI HATTI	SSGLINDSLL SSGLINDSLL SSGLINDSLL SSGLINDSLL SSGLINDSLL	HATCHLEVYO HATCHLEVYO HATCHLEVYO HATCHLEVYO HATCHLEVYO	NOCI TRAVUL HUGI TRAVUL AQGI TRAVDE AQGI TRAVDE	HIDHINVTRI HIDHINVTRI HIDHINVTRI HIDHINVTRI	CONSERVITY CONSERVITY CONSERVITY CONSERVITY	NGDITGPELI NGDITGPELI NGDITGPELI NGDITGPELI NGDITGPELI	nrlgurvnyr Hrlgurvnyr Rregorvnyr Rregorvnyr	VTNEVRUNHS VTNEVRHNMS VTNEVRHNMS VTNEVRHNMS	DINNGI ROMRIN Dinngi Romrin Dinngi Romrin Dinngi Romrin Tinngi Romrin	JHOGPOYY JHOGPOYY JHOGPOYY JHOGPOYYT JHOGPOYYT	OCPIONSON OCPIONSON OCPIONSON OCPIONSON	YYKETVIRO YYKETVIRO YYKETVIRO YYKETVIRO	RGTLAAH RGTLAAH RGTLAAH RGTLAAH RGTLAAH
		131	140	150	160	1/0	190	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
	Laccase_CS Laccase_SHIL Laccase_RHIL Consensus	AHTSH DHTSH HHLSH HHLSH	FRSTVYGAT FRSTVYGAT FRSTVYGAL FRSTVYGAL	VTLPKLGVPY VTLPKLGVPY VTLPKLGVPY VTLPKLGVPY	PEPAPHKELP PEPAPHKELP PEPHPHKELP	PVTFGENNIS PVTFGENNIS PVTFGENNIS PVTFGENNIS	SOTEATYNTA SOTEOTYNTO SOTEALYNTA SOTEALYNTA	LKVGGAPHIS LKVGGAPHIS LKVGGAPHIS LKVGGAPHIS	DRFTTNGLPG DRFTTNGLPG DRFTLNGLPG DRFTLNGLPG	PLYNCSAKDTI PLYNCSAKDTI PLYNCSAKDTI PLYNCSAKDTI	FKLKVEP6KRYT FKLKVEP6KRYT FKLKVEP6KRYT FKLKVEP6KRYT	1 PL VNARLA 1 RL VNARLA 1 RL VNARLA 1 RL VNARLA	DELEESVAN DELEESVAN DELEESVAN DELEESVAN	ITI. TVVEVDA ITI. TVVEVDA ITI. TVVEVDA ITI. TVVEVDA ITI. TVVEVDA	VYVKPFT VYVKPFT VYVKPFT VYVKPFT
1-1		261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350	360	370	380	390
(a)	Laccase_CS Laccase_SHIL Laccase_RHII Concensus	VRILY VRILY VRILY VRILY	ISPEDITNY ISPEDITNY ISPEDITNY ISPEDITNY	LLNTKPRYPO LLNTKPRYPO LLNTKPRYPO LLNTKPRYPO	ANF YNFHRPY Anf Ynfarpy Anf Ynfarpy Anf Ynfarpy	STIRPGTFO	IS TVHGLLEY IS TVHGLLEY IS TVHGLLEY IS TVHGLLEY	KNP65P5HRSI RNP65P5ARSI RNP65P5ARSI RNP65P5ARSI	FURHLPIFKP FORMLPIFKP FORMLPIFKP FORMLPIFKP	HLPYFNUTNF Hlpyfnotnf Hlpyfnotnf Hlpyfnotnf	VIRFTIKLRSLI VIRFTIKLRSLI VIRFTIKLRSLI VIRFTIKLRSLI	REKNYPHIN ATKOYPARN ATKOYPARN ATKOYPARN ATKOYPARN	USVURREFE QSVORREFE QSVORREFE QSVORREFE	IGLGILPCPI IGLGILPCPI IGLGILPCPI IGLGILPCPI	KNNTCQG KNNTCQG KNNTCQG KNNTCQG
		391	400	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500	510	520
	Laccase_CS Laccase_SHIL Laccase_RHIL Consensors	PNGTQ PNGTQ PNGTQ PNGTQ	FOODVANYS Foodvanys Frankvanys Frankvanys	LVLPSTALLO LVLPSTALLO LVLPSTALLO LVLPSTALLO	SHETGLTSGV SHETGLTSGV SHETGLTSGV SHETGLTSGV	YOONFPONPI YOON PONP YHNN PONPI YAANFPONPI	SPENYTGTP SPENYTGTP SPENYTGTP SPENYTGTP	PHATEWATCT Phatewatct Phatewatct Phatewatct Phatewatct	KLEALSENTS Klealsents Klealsents Klealsents	VELVHOOTST VELVHOOTST VELVHOOTST VELVHOOTST	LGTESHPI HLIN Ugieshplhlin Ugieshplhlin Ugieshplhlin	EHFFYYGO Senffyygo Senffyygo Senffyygo	FGHYDRVKTH FGHYDRVKTH FGHYDRVKTH FGHYDRVKTH	YOKENI, VOPYO YOKENI, VOPYO YOKENI, VOPYO YOKENI, VOPYO	CRHTVGV LRHTVGV ERHTVGV ERHTVGV
		521	500	540	550	500	570	570							
	Lacease_CS Lacease_SMTL Lacease_RMTL Consensus	PROGR PROGR PROGR PROGR	VHIRFLADK VATRFLADK VAIRFLADK VAIRFLADK VAIRFLADK	PGVAFMACHL PGVAFMACHL PGVAFMACHL PGVAFMACHL	EVHTTAGLAN FVHTTAGLAN EVHTTAGLAN EVHTTAGLAN	ANDVODGSKI ANDVODGSKI ANDVODGSKI HNDVODGSKI	SOKLLPPPS SOKLLPPPS SOKLLPPPS	Diapiko Diapiko Diapiko Diapiko							



Figure 4.5 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) fragments were designed to specifically knock-down the *TaLAC4* gene. The knock-down fragment comprising the coding region and 3' UTR region is boxed.



Figure 4.6 BSMV based virus-induced gene silencing of the phytoene desaturase (PDS) gene. Efficacy of BSMV based virusinduced gene silencing where resistant NIL spikes rub inoculated with PDS gene fragment (BSMV:*TaPDS*) shows bleaching symptom compared to the negative control (BSMV:00).



Figure 4.7 BSMV based virus-induced gene silencing of TaLAC4 gene. (a) F. graminearum-infected spikes of wheat resistant NILs with TaLAC4 non-silenced and silenced spikes and rachis samples, respectively, at 15 dpi. The red arrow indicates the spikelet inoculated; (b) Confirmation of knocking down of TaLAC4 by assaying relative transcript expression of TaLAC4 normalized to reference gene TaActin in NIL-R+BSMV: Talac4 (silenced plant) wheat NILs compared to NIL-R+BSMV:00 (non-silenced plant) at 48 hpi post- F. graminearum inoculation. Significant differences in expression of BSMV: Talac4 (silenced) as compared to BSMV:00 (non-silenced) were analyzed using Student's *t*- test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.



(a)

Figure 4.8 Effect of *TaLAC4* silencing in FHB resistant near-isogenic line (NIL-R), inoculated with *F. graminearum* or mocksolution. Confirmation of knocking down of *TaLAC4* by analyzing disease severity and fungal biomass in NILs, based on visual observations and qPCR following point inoculation of *F. graminearum*; (a) Proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD); (b) Fungal biomass in BSMV-infected plants at 6 dpi with *F. graminearum*. Relative copy number of *tri6* fungal housekeeping gene (=fungal biomass) was quantified in *TaLAC4* knocked down (BSMV:*Talac4*) plants and compared with control (BSMV:00). Here relative target gene copy number is normalized to reference gene *TaActin*. Significant differences in expression of BSMV:*Talac4* (silenced) as compared to BSMV:00 (non-silenced) were analyzed using Student's *t*-test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.



Figure 4.9 Total lignin quantification using acetyl bromide method and phloroglucinol-HCL staining. (a) the graph showing %ABSL (acetyl bromide soluble lignin) in both BSMV:*Talac4* (silenced) and BSMV:00 (non-silenced) samples; (b) Phloroglucinol-HCL staining of rachis cross-section for lignin detection. BSMV:*Talac4* (silenced) mock or pathogen inoculated; BSMV:00 (non-silenced) mock or pathogen inoculated, MX: metaxylem, PX: protoxylem, SC: sclerenchyma cells. Significant differences in %ABSL of BSMV:*Talac4* (silenced) as compared to BSMV:00 (non-silenced) using Students *t*-test: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.



CONNECTING STATEMENT FOR CHAPTER V

In the previous sections, based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach, we have identified few potential candidates for FHB resistance and a higher accumulation of resistance-related induced metabolites mainly related to the phenylpropanoid pathway. In the last Chapter, we have investigated the role of TaLAC4 associated with cell wall reinforcement due to induced G lignin deposition as the possible resistance mechanism predominant in wheat QTL-Fhb1. In Arabidopsis, secondary wall-associated NAC domain (SND) and its close homologs NAC secondary wall thickening promoting factor (NST), including vascular-related NAC-domain (VND), act as master switches to regulate the activation of the cellulose, xylan and lignin biosynthetic genes (Zhong et al., 2006; Mitsuda et al., 2007). However, the primary transcriptional regulatory mechanism of lignin and secondary cell wall biosynthesis mediated by NAC transcription factor in response to pathogen attack is less explored. Therefore, Chapter V explores the potential role of a NAC transcription factor 32-like in regulating the expression of the TaLAC4 gene and other lignin specific pathway genes to combat F. graminearum infection through cell wall reinforcement. Thus, it was hypothesized that the silencing of the *TaNAC032* in the resistant NIL would compromise resistance against FHB drastically since it would be inapt to synthesize RR metabolite(s) significantly higher in abundance, which directly or indirectly limits pathogen progression. Among the potential candidates for FHB resistance discussed in Chapter III, NAC transcription factor 32like was one of the potential candidates underlying QTL-Fhb1. Therefore, this study was aimed to characterize TaNAC032 in wheat NILs for FHB resistance functionally and to understand the regulatory network it encompasses.

Nancy Soni wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and she conceived the experimental design, performed all the laboratory and greenhouse experiments. Mr. Bara Altartouri assisted with microscopy. Mr. Niranjan Hegde provided valuable suggestions related to the gene silencing experiment and assisted in greenhouse work. Dr. Raj Duggavathi provided lab access to perform qRT-PCR and tissue sectioning for histochemical study. Dr. Farhad Nazarian-Firouzabadi provided a protocol for qRT-PCR data analysis. Dr. Kushalappa conceived the idea, aided in designing the experiments and edited the drafts of the manuscript.

CHAPTER V

TaNAC032 transcription factor regulates lignin-biosynthetic genes to combat Fusarium head blight in wheat

Nancy Soni¹, Bara Altartouri², Niranjan Hegde¹, Raj Duggavathi³, Farhad nazarian-Firouzabadi⁴ and Ajjamada C. Kushalappa¹

¹Plant Science Department, McGill University, Quebec, Canada; ²Department of Biological Sciences, Université de Montréal, Quebec, Canada; ³Animal Science Department, McGill University, Quebec, Canada, ⁴Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Ajjamada C. Kushalappa Professor, McGill University Ste. Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada H9X3V9 Tel: 1(514-709-5874) Email: <u>ajjamada.kushalappa@mcgill.ca</u>

Reprinted with permission from Elsevier:

Soni N, Altartouri, B, Hegde N, Duggavathi, R, Nazarian-Firouzabadi, F. and Kushalappa AC, 2020. *TaNAC032* transcription factor regulates lignin-biosynthetic genes to combat Fusarium head blight in wheat. *Plant Science* p.110820.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2021.110820

5.1 Abstract

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a destructive disease affecting cereal crops globally due to mycotoxin contamination of grains that reduce yield and quality. Among hundreds of QTLs identified for resistance, the QTL-Fhb1 is of significant interest even today, for its major contribution to FHB resistance. Previously, QTL-Fhb1 dissection based on a combined metabologenomics approach, identified a few potential resistance genes, including a NAC (NAM, ATAF and CUC) like transcription factor for FHB resistance. Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis confirmed NAC to be the wheat *TaNAC032*. Also, the quantitative RT-PCR studies revealed a greater induced expression of *TaNAC032* in resistant NIL in comparison to susceptible NIL upon *Fusarium graminearum* (*Fg*) infection. The virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) based functional validation of *TaNAC032* in resistant NIL confirmed increased disease severity and fungal biomass. Metabolic profiling revealed low abundances of resistance-related (RR) metabolites in *TaNAC032* silenced NIL-R compared to non-silenced. Silenced plants showed decreased transcript abundances of RR metabolite biosynthetic genes associated with a reduction in total lignin content in rachis, confirming the regulatory role of *TaNAC032* in wheat in response to *Fg* infection. If *TaNA032* is mutated in an FHB susceptible cultivar, it can be edited to enhance FHB resistance.

5.2 Introduction

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a severe disease of wheat, barley, and maize worldwide. Among several species, *Fusarium graminearum* (*Fg*) is the most common one identified from FHB affected wheat. The FHB symptoms include discoloration or browning of kernels due to mycotoxin contamination of grains, leading to a reduction in quality and quantity (Bai and Shaner, 2004). FHB epidemics cause severe social or economic turmoil (McMullen et al., 1997). Breeding resistant wheat varieties are an environmentally safe and efficient way to reduce FHB outbreaks (Zhu et al., 2019). Hundreds of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been identified wherein >50 QTLs were found to be associated with resistance to *Fg* spread through rachis, following single floret inoculation (type II) (Buerstmayr et al., 2009). Among these, QTL-Fhb1 (syn. *Qfhs.ndsu.3BS*, *Fhb1*) on chromosome arm 3BS has been confirmed to be the most prominent and stable QTL, contributing up to 60% of the phenotypic variations in FHB resistance against distinct genetic backgrounds and environments (Waldron et al., 1999; Anderson et al., 2001; Buerstmayr et al., 2002). The QTL-Fhb1, initially identified in Sumai 3-derived mapping populations, was later fine mapped to an ~1 Mb interval flanking between molecular markers sts3B-32 and sts3B-206 (Bai et al., 1999; Waldron et al., 1999; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Choulet et al., 2010). Ever since, different research groups identified several genes and proposed various probable resistance mechanisms, but none has been confirmed without contradictions (Rawat et al., 2016; Schweiger et al., 2016; Su et al., 2019). Therefore, the genetic determinants underlying QTL-Fhb1 contributing to FHB resistance are still elusive.

Map-based cloning of QTL-Fhb1 from Sumai 3, a Chinese wheat cultivar, showed a poreforming toxin-like (PFT) gene at QTL-Fhb1 conferring FHB resistance (Rawat et al., 2016). Other transcriptome analyses ruled out PFT as the candidate gene using yeast-based assay, including the pathogen-dependent expression analysis, where no anti-fungal activity was observed (Schweiger et al., 2016). Following that, a recent transcriptomic study confirmed putative histidine-rich calcium-binding protein (*TaHRC*) role in conferring QTL-Fhb1 resistance to FHB (Li et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019). Likewise, several genes and differentially accumulated resistance-related (RR) metabolites and proteins in response to Fg infection in wheat NILs have been identified based on transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics approach (Liu et al., 2008; Schweiger et al., 2016). However, FHB resistance conferred by these genes has not been validated, except for PFT and *TaHRC*, in QTL-Fhb1 (Rawat et al., 2016; Su et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019).

In response to biotic stress, plants exhibit resistance through hierarchies of resistance (R) genes with roles, including immune receptors (which recognize elicitors/effectors), phytohormone biosynthetic genes, mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), and transcription factors (TFs). These genes regulate RR metabolite biosynthetic genes and RR protein-coding genes to further suppress the pathogen (Kushalappa et al., 2016). Advancements in integrated omics approaches have paved the way through FHB resistance. Therefore, approaches like metabolomics integrated with genomics or transcriptomics are considered one of the best tools to decipher the underlying mechanisms of genes. For instance, an integrated transcriptomics and metabolomics approach has unveiled the induction of resistance genes and differential accumulation of resistance-related metabolites in wheat and potato against FHB and late blight, respectively (Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017; Yogendra et al., 2017). Similarly, integrated metabolo-genomics studies identified the agmatine coumaroyl transferase (*TaACT*) gene and the *TaWRKY* transcription factor in QTL-2DL, imparting resistance against FHB (Kage et al., 2017; Kage et al., 2017). Our previous study, based on the combined metabolo-genomics approach, has functionally validated the role of

laccase 4 (TaLAC4) gene underlying QTL-Fhb1 in pathogen-induced lignification of secondary cell walls to contain the spread of Fg to initial infection (Soni et al., 2020).

The NAC (NAM, ATAF and CUC) proteins, which constitute an abundant plant-specific transcription factor (TFs), are characterized by the highly conserved N-terminal NAC domain. Wherein the NAC domain functions as a DNA-binding domain that is imperative for oligomerization into dimers (Olsen et al., 2005; Puranik et al., 2012), and the C-terminal domain functions as a transcriptional regulatory domain (Olsen et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2010). Functions of several NAC TFs from other plant species have been explored against biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens based on virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) or antisense mediated suppression in host plants. For instance, the silencing of *TaNAC1*, *TaNAC21/22*, or *TaNAC30* showed enhanced resistance against *Puccinia striformis* f. sp. *tritici*, whereas *TaNAC30* negatively regulated stripe rust resistance in wheat (Feng et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018). Overexpression of *TaNAC6* suggested enhanced resistance against *Blumeris graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*), while silencing compromised the resistance, further confirming the role of *TaNAC6* in resistance against *Bgt* (Zhou et al., 2018).

In Arabidopsis thaliana, secondary wall-associated NAC domain protein (SND1) and vascular-related NAC domain 7 (VND7) functions as the master regulators of secondary wall biosynthesis in fibers and vessels (Zhong et al., 2006; Yamaguchi et al., 2011). These NACs along with other secondary walls NACs (SWNs) binds to an imperfect palindromic 19-bp consensus sequence designated as secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE), (T/A)NN(C/T) (T/C/G)TNNNNNNA(A/C)GN(A/C/T) (A/T), in the promoters of their direct targets (Zhong et al., 2010). The identification of SWN direct targets (SNBE sites) indicates an essential advancement in dissecting the transcriptional network regulating secondary wall biosynthesis (McCarthy et al., 2011). Subsequently, several studies have reported NAC TFs to regulate lignin biosynthesis, a crucial defense substance in plant cell walls. For instance, secondary wallassociated NAC domain protein/ NAC secondary wall thickening promoting factor 3 (SND1/NST3) and its close homolog NST1, NST2, vascular-related NAC domain 6 (VND6) and VND7 upstream of MYB46/MYB83 are involved in the regulation of lignin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis (Zhong et al., 2006). An ethylene response-related factor, GbERF1, was associated with increased resistance against Verticillium dahlia in Gossypium barbadense by activating the lignin biosynthetic pathway genes such as PAL, C4H, C3H, HCT, CoMT, CCR, and F5H (Guo et al., 2016). However, NAC TF's role, from QTL-Fhb1, in the regulation of phenylpropanoid pathway genes leading to reinforcement of secondary cell walls, in response to Fg infection has not been reported.

Although there are 19997 NAC TFs in plant species, including 263 in wheat, knowledge regarding NAC TF roles in plant defense against biotic stress is still limited. Therefore, the identification and functional characterization of NAC TFs in response to pathogen attack is critical to reveal resistance in wheat against FHB. The present study identified and functionally characterized *TaNAC032* TF, in wheat resistant NIL (NIL-R) with an FHB resistance background. Our study revealed that the *TaNAC032* regulated the R genes in the phenylpropanoid pathway, increasing the abundances of RR metabolites that were deposited to reinforce the secondary cell walls around the Fg infection site, thus reducing the further advancement of the pathogen from the inoculated spikelet to other spikelets through rachis, conferring a high level of rachis resistance.

5.3 Materials and methods

5.3.1 Plant materials and experimental design

The near-isogenic lines (NILs) used in this study were derived from the mapping population derived from the resistant Chinese spring wheat cultivar, Sumai 3, and FHB susceptible wheat cultivar, Thatcher (Cuthbert et al., 2006). The NILs were genotyped with microsatellite markers and fine mapped within a 1.27-cM interval (S/T) to facilitate the segregation of Fhb1, with FHB resistance background (Cuthbert et al., 2006). The seeds of NILs with FHB resistant and susceptible alleles of QTL-Fhb1, with FHB resistance background, were obtained from Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada. The pots in greenhouse benches were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) consisting of resistant and susceptible NILs, pathogen and mock inoculations and five biological replications over time (Soni et al., 2020). The experimental units consisted of at least three pots with nine plants. The greenhouse was maintained at 23 ± 2 °C temperature, 16 h photoperiod, and 70 ± 10 % relative humidity throughout the growing period (Kumar et al., 2016). A compound slow-releasing fertilizer 14:14:14 (NPK) was applied once in 15 days, at the rate of 5g per pot (Kage et al., 2017; Karre et al., 2017). Essential steps were taken to prevent the development of any diseases or insects on plants.

5.3.2 Fungal inoculum production and inoculation

F. graminearum isolate (155.SLS) obtained from Dr. S. Rioux, CÉROM, Quebec was initially maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium (DIFCO Laboratories Detroit, Michigan, USA) for four days at 26 °C. It was sub-cultured on Rye B agar media for four days and exposed to near UV light for three days to produce spores. From these, macroconidia were harvested and spore concentration was adjusted to 1×10^5 macroconidia/ml using a hemocytometer (American Scientific Products, USA). Three alternate spikelets in a spike at 50 % anthesis stage (GS=65) (Zadoks et al., 1974) were point inoculated with ten µL of either macro conidial suspension or mock solution using a syringe (GASTIGHT 1750 DAD, Reno, USA) (Kumar et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017; Soni et al., 2020). Plants were covered with transparent bags sprayed with water to maintain high humidity and were removed after 48 hpi (Gunnaiah et al., 2012).

5.3.3 Metabolite extraction and LC-high resolution MS/MS analysis

Metabolites from rachis samples were extracted as described previously (Soni et al., 2020). Briefly, rachis samples collected at 72 hpi were weighed and ground with the pre-chilled mortar and pestle with liquid nitrogen. Metabolites were extracted from 5 replicates for each treatment, initially in 60% ice-cold aqueous methanol and subsequently with 100% ice-cold methanol to extract most of the polar, semi-polar, and non-polar metabolites from the sample (Bollina et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2016). About 100 µL of filtrate or sample extract was used for metabolite analysis in a negative ionization mode using a high-resolution mass spectrometer (HRMS) (Q ExactiveTM Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (LC-MS/MS) Thermo Fisher, USA) using a 5 cm XB-C18 kinetex column. The samples were analyzed in a randomized mode to avoid any structural errors associated with the LC-MS. The data files obtained from LC-MS/MS were converted to mzxml/.cdf format and were exported into a bioinformatics tool MZmine2 for peak deconvolution, peak detection, spectral filtering and normalization of peaks (Katajamaa and Oresic, 2005). The abundance of peaks was subjected to a Students *t*-test to identify significant treatment metabolites. The peaks were identified with a compound name based on monoisotopic mass match and fragmentation patterns using different databases PlantCYC, METabolite LINk (METLIN), and Kyoto encyclopedia genes and genomes (KEGG) (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). Further, RR metabolites were classified into resistance-related constitutive (RRC=RM/SM) and resistance-related induced (RRI=(RP/RM)/(SP/SM) metabolites (Gunnaiah et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2016).

5.3.4 Identification of NAC as a candidate gene underlying QTL-Fhb1

120

Our previous study, based on QTL-Fhb1 sequencing, gene expression, and metabolic profiling of resistant and susceptible NILs, identified the *TaLAC4*, in QTL-Fhb1, as a candidate gene for FHB resistance (Soni et al., 2020). This database was further explored to identify a TF that would bind to the *TaLAC4*, to enhance FHB resistance, which revealed a TF NAC 32 like, and this was further explored.

5.3.5 Sanger sequencing and genetic polymorphism analysis

The coding sequence of TaNAC032 was amplified in wheat genotypes, including NILs derived from S/T population using gene-specific primer pairs (Table 2). A thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada) was used to carry out gene amplification set to the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for the 30 s, 56 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a final extension for 10 mins at 72 °C. The PCR products were run on the 1 % agarose gel, and a band size of 950bp was cut and purified from the gel, cloned into the pGEMR -T Easy vector (Promega, USA), and Sanger sequenced using the ABI Automated DNA sequencer. Followed by that, multiple sequence alignment was performed using MultAlin (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/). Also, nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acid sequences using the ExPASy Translate Tool (http://web.expasy.org/translate/). The amino acid sequences were then analyzed for the conserved domain using the SMART domain prediction tool (Letunic et al., 2015) and confirmed using the NCBI Conserved Domain Database (NCBI CDD). The protein sequences of more than 80% identity were retrieved from the NCBI database and used to construct a phylogenetic tree using MEGA 7 software with bootstrap confidence value set to 100 iterations, and Poisson correction method for the evolutionary distance measurement. The maximum likelihood method was to construct the tree. All the accession numbers of the sequences from the NCBI database used in building a phylogenetic tree are given in Table 3.

5.3.6 Real-time Quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was isolated from three biological replicates using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen Inc.). Purified total RNA $(1-2 \mu g)$ was then reverse transcribed into cDNA using an iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, ON, Canada). The equal quantity of cDNA (20ng) of each sample was initially used to perform semi-qPCR and later confirmed based on real-time qPCR using Qi SYBR Green supermix (BioRad, Canada) in a CFX384TM Real-Time system (BioRad, Canada). *TaActin* transcript level was used to normalize the target mRNA abundance. PCR results were analyzed

using comparative Δ - Δ Ct method (2– $\Delta\Delta$ CT) (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). For statistical significance, the Students *t*-test was used. Primer blast software was used for designing primers for qRT-PCR analysis, listed in Table 2.

5.3.7 *In-silico* promoter analysis of RR metabolites biosynthetic genes (R_{RRM}) and their interaction with *TaNAC032*

The promoter regions of the phenylpropanoid pathway-related genes were analyzed for secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE) to identify the direct downstream targets of *TaNAC032* using DNA Pattern Find software <u>https://www.bioinformatics.org/sms2/dna_pattern.html</u> (Zhong et al., 2010). The target gene promoters identified with SNBE sequences were further subjected to constructing a gene target network using the software Genemania v3.1.2; mainly, physical interactions between the targets were considered.

5.3.8 Constructing knockdown vectors, in vitro transcription of viral RNA, and plant inoculation

A highly conserved and unique region of 237bp fragment was used for virus-induced gene silencing experiment using target-specific forward (5'- ACGTGTATTTCCTCCAGCC -3') and reverse primers (5'- GGGGATATAAACTGTCATCGATTTT -3'). The targeted region was chosen for transient gene silencing from the N-terminus region of TaNAC032 protein comprised of the NAC domain and 3' UTR region as the most divergent sequence to increase the specificity (Scofield et al., 2005). The gene fragment was further analyzed based on BLASTX and BLASTN analysis in the NCBI and siRNA Scan tool (http://bioinfo2.noble.org/RNAiScan.htm) for confirming siRNA generation efficiency and the absence of off-targets in the modified viral genome. The confirmed fragment was amplified from cDNA and cloned into a pGEMT-easy vector (Promega, USA), and to confirm the target sequence, the recombinant plasmid was sent for Sanger sequencing. Simultaneously, the VIGS fragment, along with using the Notl restriction enzyme (New England BioLabs), was successfully sub-cloned into a pSL038-1 vector, which codes for the modified y genome of barley stripe mosaic virus (Cakir and Scofield, 2008). A pSL038-1 vector carrying phytoene desaturase (PDS) gene serves as a positive control and without any plant gene as a negative control. The plasmids p $\alpha 46$ (BSMV α), p γ SL038-1 was linearized with Mlu1restriction enzyme whereas, $p\beta 42sp1$ (BSMV β) was linearized by using Spe1 enzyme. All linearized plasmids were converted to capped in vitro transcripts using mMESSAGE

mMACHINE® T7 in vitro transcription kit (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, USA). A 20 μ L reaction contained ten μ l of 2x dNTPs, 1 μ g of linearized plasmid, two μ L of 2X buffer, two μ L of enzyme mix, and water to a final volume of 20 μ L. Reaction set-up was scaled up as per the requirements of the experiment. The reaction mix was incubated at 37 °C for 3-4 h, and in vitro transcription was confirmed by running one μ L of the transcript with nine μ L of RNase-free water on 1 % agarose gel. During the inflorescence emergence growth stage (Zadoks et al., 1974), flag leaves and spikelets of the resistant NIL (NIL-R) were rub inoculated with all the three in vitro transcript reactions (α , β and γ BSMV) in 1:1:1 ratio (1 μ L of each was used) along with 22.5 μ L inoculation buffer (IB) which further facilitates viral infection due to its abrasive properties (Scofield et al., 2005; Basnet et al., 2012). The experimental units consisted of two plants, with a total of ten spikes inoculated separately with the test (BSMV: *Tanac032*) and negative control (BSMV: 00), repeated over five biological replicates. Fifteen wheat spikes were also rub inoculated with BSMV + PDS, which served as a positive control.

5.3.8.1 TaNAC032 gene silencing confirmation and disease evaluation

After 12-15 dpi with BSMV virus, three alternate spikelets in at least five spikes per replication were inoculated with ten μ L *Fg* spore suspension. Moisture was maintained for 48 h with watersprayed plastic bags to facilitate the infection. The bags were removed, and samples were collected at 48 hpi for real-time qPCR analysis, 72 hpi for metabolite analysis, and at 7 dpi for fungal biomass quantification, and were immediately put in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further use. Disease severity in NILs was quantified, following point inoculation of one pair of spikelets, as the proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD) in ten spikes per replicate, at 3 d intervals until 15 dpi, from which the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated (Hamzehzarghani et al., 2005; Soni et al., 2020). Statistical significance of the data was performed using the Students *t*-test. To access resistance based on fungal biomass, genomic DNA was extracted, and fungal biomass was quantified using real-time qPCR by measuring the relative copy number of the fungal housekeeping gene *tri6* normalized with *TaActin*. The relative gene copy number based on real-time qPCR was used to estimate fungal biomass (Kumar et al., 2016).

5.3.8.2 Lignin detection using Weisner test

For histological analysis of lignin, initially, the rachis samples were embedded in cryomoulds using Shandon CRYOMATRIX (Richard-Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo). Following that, sections

of 10 µm thickness were cut using a cryotome machine (Leica, CM1850, Canada) set at -20 °C and immediately collected on slides treated with a 5 % 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APES) solution. The samples were then mounted in phloroglucinol–HCl, a stain specific for lignin (Hu et al., 2017). Slides were mounted with glycerol and immediately observed under a light microscope, OLYMPUS BX51, and photographed (Hu et al., 2017).

5.3.8.3 Lignin quantification based on acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) assay

Lignin was quantified using acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL), as described by Barnes and Anderson (Barnes and Anderson, 2017). Tissues used were rachis samples from wheat NILs. Absorption at 280 nm measurements was made on a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, DE, USA). A comparison of total lignin content was expressed as acetyl bromide soluble lignin (ABSL) with the previously established extinction coefficient. The mass percentage of ABSL was calculated using formula: %ABSL= (A280/ ϵ *L)*(D/m)*100, Where A280 = Absorbance at 280 nm (Blank corrected), ϵ = extinction coefficient (g-1 L cm-1), L = spectrophotometer path length (cm), D = dilution factor from digested AIR, m = mass of destarched AIR (mg) and AIR = alcohol insoluble residue.

5.4 Results

5.4.1 Sequencing and *Ab-initio* characterization of a novel *TaNAC032* transcription factor identified in wheat NILs

The QTL-Fhb1 sequencing and metabolomics of resistant and susceptible NILs identified NAC transcription factor 32, like as one of the potential candidate genes conferring FHB resistance. The predicted full-length NAC gene was sequenced in nine wheat genotypes including NILs (Sumai 3, NIL-R (S/T), NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher). BLAST analysis positioned *TaNAC* within the QTL-Fhb1 region flanking between XSTS3B-138 and XSTS3B-142 markers (Fig. 5.1a). Further, FGENESH gene-finder based sequence analysis indicated NAC comprising three exons and two introns. The full-length sequence of *TaNAC* was 2492 bp, including an open reading frame (ORF), 3' untranslated region (UTR), and 5' UTR region. A near-upstream element (NUE - AAATAA), one of the plants canonical polyadenylation signals, was found in the 3' UTR region (Loke et al., 2005). Domain analysis of *TaNAC* comprised of 308 amino acids using InterProScan program http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/InterProScan/ confirm the presence of NAC domain spanning 12-170 intervals (Quevillon et al., 2005). The complete coding
sequence was submitted to the NCBI data repository and was assigned a GenBank accession number MT512636. Phylogeny analysis among the cereal crops suggested the close homology of novel wheat NAC with *Aegilops tauschii* NAC transcription factor 32-like orthologous *Triticum aestivum TaNAC* with 96.92% identity, thus designated as *TaNAC032* in this study (Fig. 5.1b). Whereas BLAST search in Arabidopsis indicated a close homology with NAC domain-containing protein 29 (AT1G61110.1), which is well characterized in positive regulation of leaf senescence (Guo et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2016).

5.4.2 TaNAC032 gene expression following pathogen inoculation

A qRT-PCR based expression analysis following Fg inoculation showed a significant (P < 0.01) increase in *TaNAC032* expression by 2.15-fold in NIL-R, as compared to NIL-S (Fig. 5.1c). Mock treated samples also showed a similar pattern wherein NIL-R represented a 1.31-fold significant increase in expression than NIL-S. Similarly, *TaNAC032* expression was higher in NIL-R pathogen treated samples when compared to mock-treated samples in both the NILs (Fig. 5.1c), suggesting *TaNAC032* as a potential candidate for FHB resistance.

5.4.3 Polymorphism in TaNAC032 protein

Multiple sequence comparisons of the *TaNAC032* gene between wheat NILs derived from QTL-Fhb1 and differing at contrasting alleles revealed a deletion of 121 nucleotides at 179-bp position by a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) at the 320-bp position in NIL-S (Fig. 5.2a). The large deletion in the nucleotide sequence resulted in the deletion of 41 amino acids at the protein level, comprised of the NAC domain. Whereas, no deleterious effect was observed for the SNP on amino acid sequence analysis. The deleterious effect of deletion on protein functionality was further confirmed based on in-silico analysis by Phyre 2 Investigator (Kelley et al., 2015). The absence of alpha chain was observed in NIL-S when compared with NIL-R (Fig. 5.2b). Further, to confirm the genetic mutation leading to FHB susceptibility, the *TaNAC032* gene was amplified and sequenced from nine wheat genotypes including NILs (Sumai 3, NIL-R (S/T), NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher) with varying levels of FHB resistance (Fig. 5.3a). Further, the three-dimensional structure prediction of both the mutated and non-mutated *TaNAC032* in the wheat genotypes was confirmed based on Phyre 2 Investigator (Fig. 5.3b).

5.4.4 In silico promoter analysis and physical interaction of RR metabolite biosynthetic genes (RRRM) with *TaNAC032*

Primarily, the promoter regions of the key RR metabolite biosynthetic genes (*R_{RRM}*) from the phenylpropanoid pathway were searched for a 19bp consensus sequence, common cis-acting element, namely secondary wall NAC binding elements (SNBE) (Zhong et al., 2010), to study the downstream *R* gene targets of *TaNAC032* (Fig. 5.4). In silico promoter, the analysis revealed one or more characteristic secondary NAC binding elements for the following genes: *TaCCR*, *TaCAD*, *TaLAC4*, and *TaMYB* (Table 1). Subsequently, the functional significance of the SNBE sites is validated based on Arabidopsis as a search organism; the probable interaction of *TaNAC032* with these downstream *R_{RRM}* genes using GeneMANIA software (<u>http://www.genemania.org/</u>) was confirmed. The network resulted in direct interaction between *TaNAC032* and the *R_{RRM}* genes designated as *ATCCR2*, *ATCAD5*, *ATLAC17*, and *AtMYB97* with *ANAC029* orthologous in Arabidopsis (Fig. 5.5). Apart from confirming *in-silico* predicted interaction, these preliminary results suggest that *TaNAC032* is critical for regulating lignin pathway-specific genes such as *TaCCR*, *TaCAD*, *TaLAC4*, and *TaMYB* to biosynthesize lignin and strengthening secondary cell wall.

5.4.5 Silencing of pathogen-induced *TaNAC032* increased susceptibility in wheat resistant NIL to *Fg infection*

The Fg induced TaNAC032 gene was knocked down using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in NIL-R to prove the resistance functions in wheat NILs against FHB. Initially, recombinant plasmids harboring the gamma genome of the modified barley stripe mosaic virus with phytoene desaturase (positive control/ BSMV: PDS) and TaNAC032 (test / BSMV: Tanac032) were developed (Fig. 5.6a). These recombinant plasmids, together with other BSMV virus plasmids harboring alpha and beta genome was linearized, *in-vitro* transcribed, and rub inoculated on wheat spikes to initiate the infection. After 12 days post rubbing, bleaching symptoms were observed on spikes treated with the positive control (BSMV: PDS), which proves the efficiency of the gene silencing system. The expression levels of TaNAC032 transcripts were analyzed post 48 h of Fg infection to understand a similar effect observed on wheat spikes rubbed with the test (BSMV: Tanac032) (Fig. 5.6b, c). 5.64-FC reduced the mRNA levels of Tanac032 (test gene) as compared to the negative control (BSMV: 00), thus confirming the transient silencing of the TaNAC032

protein (Fig. 5.7a). Upon pathogen inoculation, at seven days post-inoculation (dpi), fungal biomass was estimated in silenced and non-silenced plants using qPCR. The silenced plants were found to accumulate more fungal biomass as compared to non-silenced by 2.5-FC (Fig. 5.7b), which further suggests the crucial role played by the *TaNAC032* transcription factor in governing FHB resistance in wheat NILs. The disease severity analysis of silenced NILs (BSMV: *Tanac032*) and non-silenced NILs (BSMV: 00) was assessed by inoculating a pair of spikelets mid-region of the spike with *Fg*. The proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD) was significantly higher in silenced NIL-R (0.89) as compared to non-silenced NIL-R (0.18) (Fig. 5.7c). Furthermore, the relative gene expression levels of the downstream *R_{RRM}* genes: *TaCCR* (3.19-FC), *TaCAD* (2.09-FC), *TaLAC4* (2.07-FC) and *TaMYB* (1.13-FC) were significantly (P < 0.01) lower in *Tanac032* silenced as compared to non-silenced samples upon *Fg* inoculation (Fig. 5.7d). This further confirmed that *TaNAC032* serves as a master regulator of genes related to the lignin biosynthetic process.

5.4.6 Semi-comprehensive metabolomics revealed biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids and lignan glycosides by the downstream R_{RRM} genes that were regulated by TaNAC032 in wheat

Semi-comprehensive metabolomics of wheat NIL-R, with silenced (susceptible) and control, nonsilenced TaNAC032 (resistant) samples collected at 72 hpi, was conducted using liquid chromatography and high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS/MS). A total of 20517 monoisotopic mass peaks were consistently detected across all replicates, including adducts, of which only 337 significant peaks were retained for further analysis at p-value P < 0.05. The fold change (FC) of the peaks were calculated by dividing the peaks abundance in non-silenced treatment compared to silenced NILs. The RRI metabolites indicate a higher fold change in nonsilenced control (resistant) is due to the reduction of abundance when silenced. The RRI metabolites mainly belonged to *monolignols*: Coniferin (FC= 5.87), *lignan glycoside*: Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside (FC= 3.19), and *phenylpropanoid biosynthesis*: 4-Hydroxycinnamyl alcohol 4-D-glucoside (FC= 1.93). The abundances of significant metabolites in silenced and non-silenced samples upon pathogen inoculation are also depicted as boxplot (BoxPlotR: a web-tool for the generation of box plots) (Fig. 5.8). Notably, all these RRI metabolites were also detected in several previous studies in Wheat- F. graminearum interactions. These were known to be involved in secondary cell wall modification and the lignin biosynthetic process to contain the pathogen to initial infection.

5.4.7 The silenced *Tanac032* in NIL-R revealed altered lignin composition in rachis

Phloroglucinol-HCL staining (Wiesner test) showed a significant decrease in the total lignin accumulation in the silenced (BSMV: *Tanac032*) rachis as compared to non-silenced (BSMV: 00), along with the retarded vascular bundle shape, which could be the result of reduced cell division and expansion (Fig. 5.9a, b). Also, acid-soluble lignin test of silenced and non-silenced rachis samples upon Fg inoculation indicated that the silencing of *TaNAC032* caused a substantial reduction of 46.98 % in the total lignin content in silenced NILs (Fig. 5.9c), which further suggests the possible involvement of *TaNAC032* in the regulation of lignin biosynthesis.

5.5 Discussion

In response to plant stress, members of the NAC gene family transcription factors (TFs) serve as the largest transcriptional regulators involved in regulating transcriptional reprogramming (Nuruzzaman et al., 2013). Several studies have reported the role of NAC TFs in the secondary cell wall (SCW) biosynthesis and biotic and abiotic stress responses (Yamaguchi et al., 2011; Nakashima et al., 2012; Puranik et al., 2012). In Arabidopsis, secondary wall-associated NAC domain (SND) and its close homologs NAC secondary wall thickening promoting factor (NST), including vascular-related NAC-domain (VND), act as master switches to regulate the activation of the cellulose, xylan and lignin biosynthetic genes (Zhong et al., 2006; Mitsuda et al., 2007). Silencing of SND1 and NST1 restricted secondary wall thickening and lignin deposition in fibres, indicating lignin biosynthesis under the control of SND1 and NST1 master switches (Zhong et al., 2006; Mitsuda et al., 2007). Among SND1 direct targets such as MYB46, SND3, and MYB103, KNAT7, the MYB46 acts as another level of the master switch to activate the entire secondary wall biosynthetic program (Zhong et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2008). Besides, other findings identified MYB58 and MYB63 as the direct transcriptional activators of lignin biosynthesis, which are downstream targets of SND1 and MYB46, suggesting they are part of SND1 and MYB46mediated transcriptional network regulating secondary cell wall biosynthesis (Zhou et al., 2009). A network was established between TFs and SCW metabolic genes of Arabidopsis employing protein-DNA interaction study (Taylor-Teeples et al., 2015). In these interactions, the previously reported TFs could recognize the promoter sequences of other downstream TFs and SCW metabolic genes, leading to secondary cell wall reinforcement (Taylor-Teeples et al., 2015). This implies the need to identify genes involved in SCW formation and revealing the interaction

between them. The qRT-PCR based gene expression analysis revealed higher induced expression of *TaNAC032* in NIL-R, following inoculation with *F. graminearum* (*Fg*), as compared to NIL-S (Fig. 5.1c). Transient silencing of *TaNAC032* gene in NIL-R resulted in increased susceptibility to FHB through reduced abundances of transcripts and metabolites belonging to the lignin biosynthetic pathway. The role of *TaNAC032* in regulating downstream *R_{RRM}* genes that biosynthesize RRI metabolites, which directly or indirectly limit pathogen progression, is discussed below.

For the functionality of any organism, the gene sequences and encoding protein sequences need to be intact. However, mutations or deletions in the nucleotide sequences due to acquired changes can interrupt cellular processes and often hold the key to understand the gene functions. In this study, the TaNAC032 gene was sequenced in eight wheat genotypes, with varying FHB resistance levels, to confirm its association with FHB resistance. The sequences from susceptible wheat genotypes, NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher, all localized a deletion of 121 nucleotides at position 191, which corresponds to 41 amino acids constituting NAC domain (Fig. 5.3a). The NAC domain is an N-terminal module of ~160 amino acids found in proteins of the NAC family of plant-specific transcriptional regulators (Aida et al., 1997). Although NAC proteins are commonly involved in developmental processes such as the formation of the shoot apical meristem, floral organs and lateral shoots, and plant hormonal control, their role in defense still needs to be elucidated. In this study, the presence of an intact NAC domain in resistant wheat genotypes, Sumai-3 and NIL-R, suggests the possible role in conferring FHB resistance in Wheat. This was further substantiated by a three-dimensional structure prediction, which revealed the absence of alpha-helix chain in mutated genotypes, which directly act as the recognition element in regulatory and related proteins (Fig. 5.3b), further displaying the candidacy of TaNAC032 in FHB resistance in Wheat. Interestingly, the TaNAC032 was mutated in both NIL-R, and NIL-S derived from fixed susceptible (HC374/3*98B69-L47) (HC/98) genetic background (HC374 = Wuhan1/Nyubai) for Type II resistance. Previously, both the NILs derived from wheat genotype Nyubai were highly susceptible to Fg's spread through rachis, following inoculation of one pair of spikelets (Gunnaiah et al., 2012). Whereas in the NILs derived from Sumai 3 mapping populations, only the NIL-S was mutated, confirming that the TaNAC032 is the gene responsible for FHB resistance in wheat. This

encouraged us to functionally validate the *TaNAC032* gene for the plausible role in FHB resistance in Wheat NILs.

To affirm the role of *TaNAC032* in FHB resistance, the NILs harboring functional copy of *TaNAC032* were knocked-down based on the virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) approach. Among several gene silencing approaches, VIGS has proven a versatile, functional genomics tool widely used in plant families such as in Wheat, barley, tobacco, tomato, and Arabidopsis to decipher the target gene(s) functions (Kage et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2019). The qRT-PCR results revealed a significant reduction in the transcript levels of *TaNAC032* in silenced FHB resistant genotype as compared to non-silenced (Fig. 5.7a), while relatively higher than susceptible NIL (NIL-S) (Fig. 5.7a). The disease severity and the fungal biomass significantly increased in the silenced NIL (Fig. 5.7b, c), confirming the role of *TaNAC032* in FHB resistance. To further unveil the exact mechanisms of *TaNAC032* TF in governing FHB resistance, a semi-targeted metabolomics study was performed.

Protein and metabolites as the end products of a functional gene can explain phenotype better than transcripts (Karre et al., 2019). To identify the downstream targets of TaNAC032, we did semi-targeted metabolomics combined with qRT-PCR analysis. LC-HRMS analysis revealed differential accumulation of metabolites belonging mainly to phenylpropanoids and phenylpropanoid lignan glycosides: Coniferin (FC= 5.87), Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside (FC= 3.19) and 4-Hydroxycinnamyl alcohol 4-D-glucoside (FC= 1.93). These metabolites were significantly lower in abundances in silenced compared to non-silenced NIL-R plants upon mock and pathogen (Fg) inoculation (Fig. 5.8). As plant cell wall is a mechanical barrier to the pathogen progression, lignin deposition leads to the reinforced cell wall that is more resistant to fungal cell wall degrading enzymes and also limits diffusion of mycotoxins produced by a pathogen (Siranidou et al., 2002; Sattler and Funnell-Harris, 2013). For instance, coniferin, a glucoside of coniferyl alcohol and 4-Hydroxycinnamyl alcohol 4-D-glucoside, a glucoside of paracoumaryl alcohol derivatives, serve as an intermediate in cell wall lignification. Likewise, lignans such as podorhizol beta-D-glucoside also exhibit antifungal phytoalexin in cell wall strengthening to prevent pathogen entry (Cho et al., 2007; Ishihara et al., 2008). Previous metabolomics study also led to the identification of several RR metabolites belonging mainly to phenylpropanoid pathway, particularly hydroxycinnamic acids (HCAAs) such as N-caffeoylputrescine, feruloyl-2hydroxyputrescine, coumaroylagmatine, and coumaroyl-putrescine in response to Fg infection in

wheat NILs and RILs (Gunnaiah and Kushalappa, 2014; Dhokane et al., 2016; Kage et al., 2017). The metabolites identified in this study, mainly belonging to the phenylpropanoid related pathway directly or indirectly, are involved in the biosynthesis and polymerization of lignin as a primary component of the cell wall to orchestrate resistance to FHB infestation.

To further strengthen the leads, a histopathology study was conducted in both TaNAC032 silenced and non-silenced rachis samples. As a result, the Wiesner test showed lesser lignin deposition in the vascular bundles in silenced samples (Fig. 5.9a, b). Following that, total lignin quantification based on acetyl bromide method revealed a significant reduction in ABSL% in silenced NIL rachis samples than in non-silenced (Fig. 5.9c), which further confirmed the role of NAC transcription factor in the regulation of lignin biosynthesis. Secondary wall NACs (SWNS) bind to an imperfect palindromic 19-bp consensus sequence known as secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE) in the promoter region of their direct targets. These upstream regulators directly activate the downstream transcription factors leading to secondary wall biosynthesis and reinforcement (Zhong et al., 2010). As promoter region of these genes consists of multiple SNBE sites that provide insights into the sophisticated transcriptional program and the underlying evolutionary mechanism (Zhong et al., 2010). Correspondingly, the promoter region analysis of phenylpropanoid pathway-related genes identified TaCCR, TaCAD, TaLAC4, and a TF TaMYB with SNBE elements in their promoter region, suggesting TaNAC032 regulates these downstream R_{RRM} genes by binding to their cis-regulatory elements (Table 1). Also, the Genemania software revealed the physical interaction of TaNAC032 with R_{RRM} genes, TaCCR, TaCAD, TaLAC4, and a TF, TaMYB for the biosynthesis of RR metabolites mainly related to the phenylpropanoid pathway (Fig. S2). The qRT-PCR study revealed the downregulation of these genes by 3.19-, 2.09-, 2.07- and 1.13-fold change, respectively. These results combined with metabolomics study can confirm the lignin-specific pathway-related genes as a downstream target of TaNAC032 TF and explain resistance mechanisms against FHB in wheat NILs.

The NAC TFs are known to exhibit either positive or negative transcriptional regulation of downstream genes. Like, in wheat responses to abiotic and biotic stresses, the *TaNAC4* gene functions as a transcriptional activator, whereas *ANAC032* was identified as both a negative and positive regulator of JA and SA signalling as an immune response against *Pst* (Xia et al., 2010; Allu et al., 2016). Similarly, *TaNAC1*, despite having a transcription activation domain in its C-

131

terminal, was unable to display transcriptional activity (Wang et al., 2015). A significant reduction in transcript levels of R_{RRM} genes observed along with the reduced accumulation of monolignols derivatives and lignan glycosides in *TaNAC032* silenced rachis as compared to non-silenced explains the role of *TaNAC032* TF in the positive regulation of downstream targets. The decrease in metabolite abundances in silenced rachis compared to non-silenced can be explained based on the mutation in the NAC domain of the *TaNAC032* gene in NIL-S, which alters the transcriptional activity.

Proposed model of TaNAC032 regulatory network in response to FHB infection in wheat

Silencing study of the TaNAC032 transcription factor combined with metabolomics, gene expression data, and gene targets interaction network analysis unveiled its novel role in regulating defense response in wheat against FHB. This interaction is represented in a working model of FHB resistance in wheat governed by TaNAC032 (Fig. 5.10). Semi-targeted metabolomics analysis of rachis samples in silenced NIL-R suggested reduced abundances of phenylpropanoids and lignan glycosides. Further, gene expression analysis revealed the positive regulation of these genes by TaNAC032 TF. However, future research must focus more on DNA-protein interactions based on in vivo observations to reveal the network dynamics because modelling based on in vitro data only indicates several possibilities of the network. The proposed transcriptional regulatory network of SCW reinforcement (Fig. 5.10) could be an excellent model for such advanced studies. To summarize, this study presents the role of TaNAC032 in regulating lignin biosynthetic genes to reinforce plant secondary walls of cells around pathogen infection, which further acts as a barrier to orchestrate pathogen entry, thus providing resistance against FHB. The TaNAC032 gene, if nonfunctional, can be edited in commercial cultivars, based on gene editing technologies to improve FHB resistance in wheat, provided the cultivar is also associated with rest of the hierarchy of genes to biosynthesize lignin as proposed here (Kushalappa et al., 2016). If the TaLAC4 gene is also mutated in the cultivar, then in addition to TaNAC32, this gene also must be edited (Soni et al., 2020).

5.6 Author Contributions

N.S. conducted all the lab and greenhouse experiments, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. B.A. helped in microscopy, and N.H. helped in the VIGS experiment, FN provided helped in qRT-PCR data analysis, R.D. provided access to his lab and lab facilities, and A.K obtained funding and supervised the research.

5.7 Compliance with ethical standards

The authors declare that the experiments comply with the McGill Environment, Health and Safety guidelines and the current laws of Canada.

5.8 Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

5.9 Acknowledgments

This project was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), McGill Sustainability Systems Initiative (MSSI) and Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l'Alimentation du Québec (MAPAQ), Québec, Canada. We thank Dr. S. Fox, AAFC, Winnipeg, Canada for providing wheat NILs and Dr. S. Rioux, CÉROM, Quebec, Canada for providing Fg isolate (155.SLS) and Mr. Yves Dion, Centre de recherche sur les grains (CÉROM) for guidance and support.

REFERENCES

- Aida M, Ishida T, Fukaki H, Fujisawa H, Tasaka M (1997) Genes involved in organ separation in Arabidopsis: an analysis of the cup-shaped cotyledon mutant. The Plant Cell 9: 841
- Allu A, Brotman Y, Xue G-P, Balazadeh S (2016) Transcription factor ANAC032 modulates JA/SA signalling in response to Pseudomonas syringae infection. EMBO reports 17
- Anderson JA, Stack RW, Liu S, Waldron BL, Fjeld AD, Coyne C, Moreno-Sevilla B, Fetch JM, Song QJ, Cregan PB, Frohberg RC (2001) DNA markers for Fusarium head blight resistance QTLs in two wheat populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 102: 1164-1168
- Bai G, Kolb FL, Shaner G, Domier LL (1999) Amplified fragment length polymorphism markers linked to a major quantitative trait locus controlling scab resistance in wheat. Phytopathology 89: 343-348
- Bai G, Shaner G (2004) Management and resistance in wheat and barley to fusarium head blight. Annual Review of Phytopathology 42: 135-161
- **Barnes WJ, Anderson CT** (2017) Acetyl bromide soluble lignin (absl) assay for total lignin quantification from plant biomass. Bio-protocol **7:** 2149
- Basnet BR, Glover KD, Ibrahim AMH, Yen Y, Chao S (2012) A QTL on chromosome 2DS of 'Sumai 3' increases susceptibility to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Euphytica 186: 91-101

- Bollina V, Kumaraswamy GK, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S, Faubert D, Hamzehzarghani H (2010) Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics application to identify quantitative resistance-related metabolites in barley against Fusarium head blight. Mol Plant Pathol **11**: 769-782
- Buerstmayr H, Ban T, Anderson JA (2009) QTL mapping and marker-assisted selection for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat: a review. Plant Breeding 128: 1-26
- Buerstmayr H, Lemmens M, Hartl L, Doldi L, Steiner B, Stierschneider M, Ruckenbauer P (2002) Molecular mapping of QTLs for Fusarium head blight resistance in spring wheat. I. Resistance to fungal spread (Type II resistance). Theor Appl Genet 104: 84-91
- Cakir C, Scofield SR (2008) Evaluating the ability of the barley stripe mosaic virus-induced gene silencing system to simultaneously silence two wheat genes. Cereal Research Communications **36:** 217-222
- Cho HY, Lee-Parsons CW, Yoon SY, Rhee HS, Park JM (2007) Enhanced benzophenanthridine alkaloid production and protein expression with combined elicitor in Eschscholtzia californica suspension cultures. Biotechnol Lett 29: 2001-2005
- Choulet F, Wicker T, Rustenholz C, Paux E, Salse J, Leroy P, Schlub S, Le Paslier MC, Magdelenat G,
 Gonthier C, Couloux A, Budak H, Breen J, Pumphrey M, Liu S, Kong X, Jia J, Gut M, Brunel D,
 Anderson JA, Gill BS, Appels R, Keller B, Feuillet C (2010) Megabase level sequencing reveals
 contrasted organization and evolution patterns of the wheat gene and transposable element spaces. Plant
 Cell 22: 1686-1701
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Thomas J, Cloutier S, Brule-Babel A (2006) Fine mapping Fhb1, a major gene controlling fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor Appl Genet 112: 1465-1472
- Dhokane D, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2016) Integrated metabolo-transcriptomics reveals fusarium head blight candidate resistance genes in wheat qtl-fhb2. PloS one **11**: e0155851-e0155851
- Feng H, Duan X, Zhang Q, Li X, Wang B, Huang L, Wang X, Kang Z (2014) The target gene of tae-miR164, a novel NAC transcription factor from the NAM subfamily, negatively regulates resistance of wheat to stripe rust. Mol Plant Pathol 15: 284-296
- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC (2014) Metabolomics deciphers the host resistance mechanisms in wheat cultivar Sumai-3, against trichothecene producing and non-producing isolates of Fusarium graminearum. Plant Physiol Biochem 83: 40-50
- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC, Duggavathi R, Fox S, Somers DJ (2012) Integrated Metabolo-Proteomic Approach to Decipher the Mechanisms by Which Wheat QTL (Fhb1) Contributes to Resistance against Fusarium graminearum. PLOS ONE 7: e40695
- Guo P-G, Bai G-H, Li R-H, Shaner G, Baum M (2006) Resistance gene analogs associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Euphytica 151: 251-261
- Guo W, Jin L, Miao Y, He X, Hu Q, Guo K, Zhu L, Zhang X (2016) An ethylene response-related factor, GbERF1-like, from Gossypium barbadense improves resistance to Verticillium dahliae via activating lignin synthesis. Plant Mol Biol 91: 305-318

- Hamzehzarghani H, Kushalappa AC, Dion Y, Rioux S, Comeau A, Yaylayan V, Marshall WD, Mather DE (2005) Metabolic profiling and factor analysis to discriminate quantitative resistance in wheat cultivars against fusarium head blight. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology **66:** 119-133
- Hu R, Xu Y, Yu C, He K, Tang Q, Jia C, He G, Wang X, Kong Y, Zhou G (2017) Transcriptome analysis of genes involved in secondary cell wall biosynthesis in developing internodes of Miscanthus lutarioriparius. Scientific Reports 7: 9034
- Ishihara A, Hashimoto Y, Tanaka C, Dubouzet JG, Nakao T, Matsuda F, Nishioka T, Miyagawa H, Wakasa K (2008) The tryptophan pathway is involved in the defense responses of rice against pathogenic infection via serotonin production. The Plant Journal 54: 481-495
- Jensen MK, Kjaersgaard T, Nielsen MM, Galberg P, Petersen K, O'Shea C, Skriver K (2010) The Arabidopsis thaliana NAC transcription factor family: structure-function relationships and determinants of ANAC019 stress signalling. The Biochemical journal **426**: 183-196
- Kage U, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2017) Identification and characterization of a fusarium head blight resistance gene TaACT in wheat QTL-2DL. Plant Biotechnology Journal 15: 447-457
- Kage U, Yogendra KN, Kushalappa AC (2017) TaWRKY70 transcription factor in wheat QTL-2DL regulates downstream metabolite biosynthetic genes to resist Fusarium graminearum infection spread within spike. Scientific Reports 7: 42596
- Karre S, Kumar A, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC (2017) Metabolo-transcriptome profiling of barley reveals induction of chitin elicitor receptor kinase gene (HvCERK1) conferring resistance against Fusarium graminearum. Plant Mol Biol 93: 247-267
- Karre S, Kumar A, Yogendra K, Kage U, Kushalappa A, Charron J-B (2019) HvWRKY23 regulates flavonoid glycoside and hydroxycinnamic acid amide biosynthetic genes in barley to combat Fusarium head blight.
 Plant Molecular Biology 100: 591-605
- Katajamaa M, Oresic M (2005) Processing methods for differential analysis of LC/MS profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 6: 179
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ (2015) The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10: 845-858
- Kim HJ, Nam HG, Lim PO (2016) Regulatory network of NAC transcription factors in leaf senescence. Curr Opin Plant Biol **33**: 48-56
- Kumar A, Yogendra KN, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, Dion Y, Choo TM (2016) WAX INDUCER1 (HvWIN1) transcription factor regulates free fatty acid biosynthetic genes to reinforce cuticle to resist Fusarium head blight in barley spikelets. Journal of experimental botany 67: 4127-4139
- Kushalappa AC, Yogendra KN, Karre S (2016) Plant innate immune response: Qualitative and quantitative resistance. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 35: 38-55
- Kushalappa AC, Yogendra KN, Sarkar K, Kage U, Karre S (2016) Gene discovery and genome editing to develop cisgenic crops with improved resistance against pathogen infection. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 38: 279-295

- Letunic I, Doerks T, Bork P (2015) SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2015. Nucleic acids research 43: D257-D260
- Li G, Zhou J, Jia H, Gao Z, Fan M, Luo Y, Zhao P, Xue S, Li N, Yuan Y, Ma S, Kong Z, Jia L, An X, Jiang G, Liu W, Cao W, Zhang R, Fan J, Xu X, Liu Y, Kong Q, Zheng S, Wang Y, Qin B, Cao S, Ding Y, Shi J, Yan H, Wang X, Ran C, Ma Z (2019) Mutation of a histidine-rich calcium-binding-protein gene in wheat confers resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nature Genetics 51: 1106-1112
- Liu S, Pumphrey M, Gill B, Trick H, Zhang J, Dolezel J, Chalhoub B, Anderson J (2008) Toward positional cloning of Fhb1, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Cereal Research Communications 36: 195-201
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402-408
- Loke JC, Stahlberg EA, Strenski DG, Haas BJ, Wood PC, Li QQ (2005) Compilation of mrna polyadenylation signals in arabidopsis revealed a new signal element and potential secondary structures. Plant Physiology 138: 1457
- McCarthy RL, Zhong R, Ye Z-H (2011) Secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE), a key cis-acting element required for target gene activation by secondary wall NAC master switches. Plant Signaling & Behavior 6: 1282-1285
- McMullen M, Jones R, Gallenberg D (1997) Scab of wheat and barley: A re-emerging disease of devastating impact. Plant Dis 81: 1340-1348
- Mitsuda N, Iwase A, Yamamoto H, Yoshida M, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Ohme-Takagi M (2007) NAC Transcription Factors, NST1 and NST3, Are Key Regulators of the Formation of Secondary Walls in Woody Tissues of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **19:** 270
- Nakashima K, Takasaki H, Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2012) NAC transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. Biochim Biophys Acta **1819**: 97-103
- Nuruzzaman M, Sharoni AM, Kikuchi S (2013) Roles of NAC transcription factors in the regulation of biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. Frontiers in microbiology **4:** 248
- Olsen AN, Ernst HA, Leggio LL, Skriver K (2005) NAC transcription factors: structurally distinct, functionally diverse. Trends Plant Sci 10: 79-87
- Puranik S, Sahu PP, Srivastava PS, Prasad M (2012) NAC proteins: regulation and role in stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 17: 369-381
- Quevillon E, Silventoinen V, Pillai S, Harte N, Mulder N, Apweiler R, Lopez R (2005) InterProScan: protein domains identifier. Nucleic Acids Research 33: W116-W120
- Rawat N, Pumphrey MO, Liu S, Zhang X, Tiwari VK, Ando K, Trick HN, Bockus WW, Akhunov E, Anderson JA, Gill BS (2016) Wheat Fhb1 encodes a chimeric lectin with agglutinin domains and a poreforming toxin-like domain conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nat Genet 48: 1576-1580
- Sattler S, Funnell-Harris D (2013) Modifying lignin to improve bioenergy feedstocks: strengthening the barrier against pathogens?[†]. Frontiers in Plant Science 4: 70

- Schweiger W, Steiner B, Vautrin S, Nussbaumer T, Siegwart G, Zamini M, Jungreithmeier F, Gratl V,
 Lemmens M, Mayer KFX, Bérgès H, Adam G, Buerstmayr H (2016) Suppressed recombination and
 unique candidate genes in the divergent haplotype encoding Fhb1, a major Fusarium head blight resistance
 locus in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129: 1607-1623
- Scofield SR, Huang L, Brandt AS, Gill BS (2005) Development of a Virus-Induced Gene-Silencing System for Hexaploid Wheat and Its Use in Functional Analysis of the Lr21-Mediated Leaf Rust Resistance Pathway. Plant Physiology 138: 2165
- Singh B, Kukreja S, Salaria N, Thakur K, Gautam S, Taunk J, Goutam U (2019) VIGS: a flexible tool for the study of functional genomics of plants under abiotic stresses. Journal of Crop Improvement 33: 567-604
- Siranidou E, Kang Z, Buchenauer H (2002) Studies on symptom development, phenolic compounds and morphological defence responses in wheat cultivars differing in resistance to fusarium head blight. Journal of Phytopathology 150: 200-208
- Soni N, Hegde N, Dhariwal A, Kushalappa AC (2020) Role of laccase gene in wheat NILs differing at QTL-Fhb1 for resistance against Fusarium head blight. Plant Science 298: 110574
- Su Z, Bernardo A, Tian B, Chen H, Wang S, Ma H, Cai S, Liu D, Zhang D, Li T, Trick H, St. Amand P, Yu J,
 Zhang Z, Bai G (2019) A deletion mutation in TaHRC confers Fhb1 resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Nature Genetics 51: 1099-1105
- Su Z, Jin S, Zhang D, Bai G (2018) Development and validation of diagnostic markers for Fhb1 region, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 131: 2371-2380
- Taylor-Teeples M, Lin L, De Lucas M, Turco G, Toal TW, Gaudinier A, Young NF, Trabucco GM, Veling
 MT, Lamothe R (2015) An Arabidopsis gene regulatory network for secondary cell wall synthesis. Nature
 517: 571-575
- Waldron BL, Moreno-Sevilla B, Anderson JA, Stack RW, Frohberg RC (1999) RFLP mapping of QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Crop Science 39: 805-811
- Wang F, Lin R, Feng J, Chen W, Qiu D, Xu S (2015) TaNAC1 acts as a negative regulator of stripe rust resistance in wheat, enhances susceptibility to Pseudomonas syringae, and promotes lateral root development in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana. Frontiers in plant science 6: 108-108
- Wang Y, Xu L, Shen H, Wang J, Liu W, Zhu X, Wang R, Sun X, Liu L (2015) Metabolomic analysis with GC-MS to reveal potential metabolites and biological pathways involved in Pb & Cd stress response of radish roots. Scientific reports 5: 18296
- Wang Z, Xia Y, Lin S, Wang Y, Guo B, Song X, Ding S, Zheng L, Feng R, Chen S, Bao Y, Sheng C, Zhang X,
 Wu J, Niu D, Jin H, Zhao H (2018) Osa-miR164a targets OsNAC60 and negatively regulates rice immunity against the blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. The Plant Journal 95: 584-597
- Xia N, Zhang G, Liu XY, Deng L, Cai GL, Zhang Y, Wang XJ, Zhao J, Huang LL, Kang ZS (2010) Characterization of a novel wheat NAC transcription factor gene involved in defense response against stripe rust pathogen infection and abiotic stresses. Mol Biol Rep 37: 3703-3712

- Yamaguchi M, Mitsuda N, Ohtani M, Ohme-Takagi M, Kato K, Demura T (2011) VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN7 directly regulates the expression of a broad range of genes for xylem vessel formation. Plant J 66: 579-590
- Yogendra KN, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC, Sarmiento F, Rodriguez E, Mosquera T (2017) StWRKY8 transcription factor regulates benzylisoquinoline alkaloid pathway in potato conferring resistance to late blight. Plant science : an international journal of experimental plant biology **256**: 208-216
- Zadoks JC, Chang TT, Konzak CF (1974) A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed Research 14: 415-421
- Zhong R, Demura T, Ye ZH (2006) SND1, a NAC domain transcription factor, is a key regulator of secondary wall synthesis in fibers of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 3158-3170
- Zhong R, Lee C, Ye ZH (2010) Global analysis of direct targets of secondary wall NAC master switches in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant 3: 1087-1103
- Zhong R, Lee C, Zhou J, McCarthy RL, Ye Z-H (2008) A Battery of Transcription Factors Involved in the Regulation of Secondary Cell Wall Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 20: 2763
- Zhong R, Richardson EA, Ye Z-H (2007) The MYB46 Transcription Factor Is a Direct Target of SND1 and Regulates Secondary Wall Biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **19:** 2776
- Zhou J, Lee C, Zhong R, Ye Z-H (2009) MYB58 and MYB63 Are Transcriptional Activators of the Lignin Biosynthetic Pathway during Secondary Cell Wall Formation in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell 21: 248
- Zhou W, Qian C, Li R, Zhou S, Rui-qi Z, Xiao J, Wang X, Zhang S, Xing L, Cao A (2018) TaNAC6s are involved in the basal and broad-spectrum resistance to powdery mildew in wheat. Plant Science 277
- Zhu Z, Hao Y, Mergoum M, Bai G, Humphreys G, Cloutier S, Xia X, He Z (2019) Breeding wheat for resistance to Fusarium head blight in the Global North: China, USA, and Canada. The Crop Journal 7: 730-738

 Table 5.1 Promoter sequence analysis of downstream resistance-related metabolite biosynthetic genes (RRRM) harboring secondary wall NAC binding element (SNBE).

Gene	UniProtKB/TrEMBL;Acc	SNBE Sequences	Position (bp)
TaCCR	Q4KUK8	TTCTCTTATATATAAGAAA	-976
TaCAD	D7PGW0	AATTTTATTTGGCAAGCAT	-933
TaLAC4	A0A077RUW0	AATTGTGGGCATGAAGCTA	-901
ТаМҮВ	G9DR80	TTTCGTTCCTCCGAAGAAT	-133

TaCCR = T. aestivm cinnamoyl-CoA reductase gene; TaCAD = T. aestivum cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene; TaLAC4 = T. aestivum laccase gene; TaMYB = T. aestivum R2R3-MYB transcription factor gene. Position = is the localization of SNBE upstream to the ATG start site.

Table 5.2 List of primers used in this study.

	Name	Forward	Reverse		
Gene sequencing	TaNAC	CCGACTCCGAGCTAGTGCCGTG	TCGTGCGCAAGGCAACACCTAA		
Gene expression	TaNAC_qRT-PCR	TCAAGAGGGACCTCTCGTTC	CCTCGAACATGGACAAGGAC		
	TaACTIN	ACCTTCAGTTGCCCAGCAAT	CAGAGTCGAGCACAATACCAGTTG		
Promoter sequencing	TaCCR_pro	ATATGAGATGTCGTGCTATTCTCTT	CCGGAGTTGGTGGGAAACT		
	TaCAD_pro	TGCCCGTGATTTACTTGCCT	GGGATGGATGGAAGGGTTGC		
	TaLAC4_pro	ATATGCGCGCACGTACTAGA	TAGGGTGTGTGTGTGTCAAGCC		
	TaMyb_pro	ACTCTGATTCCAGGCTTCCG	AGTGCTTGCGTTGTACTGTG		
VIGS gene expression	TaNAC-VIGSqPCR	ACGTGTATTTCCTCCAGCC	GGGGATATAAACTGTCATCGATTTT		
	TaCCR	TGTCCATTCGATCGGTCATA	TGTGCGTCATACAGCACTGA		
	TaCAD	GGCATTTGCCTGAACTTGAT	TAAGGCCGGATTTCATACCA		
	TaLAC4	TCGCGGCTGATGTTTAATTT	GCTATGAACGGGCTAAACACA		

ТаМуb	CCCTCACCCTGATTGTTTTG	GGCTTAGTTGCATGGAGAGC
TaACTIN	ACCTTCAGTTGCCCAGCAAT	CAGAGTCGAGCACAATACCAGTTG
Tri6	TCTTTGTGAGCGGACGGGACTTTA	TGTTGGTTTGTGCTTGGACTCAT

Table 5.3 Genbank accession numbers of proteins used in the phylogeny study.

S.No.	Purpose	Name of gene/Protein/region	Genbank Accession number	Plant source	Referenc
					e/ Source
1	Protein phylogeny	unnamed protein product	CDM82556.1	Triticum aestivum	NCBI
2	Protein phylogeny	unnamed protein product	VAH75368.1	Triticum turgidum subsp. durum	NCBI
3	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 32-like	XP_020200711.1	Aegilops tauschii subsp. tauschii	NCBI
4	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 56	XP_003565559.1	Brachypodium distachyon	NCBI
5	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 25	XP_002454866.1	Sorghum bicolor	NCBI
6	Protein phylogeny	NAC domain-containing protein 72-	RLM91813.1	Panicum miliaceum	NCBI
		like			
7	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 32-like	XP_025815776.1	Panicum hallii	NCBI
8	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 32	XP_004971462.1	Setaria italica	NCBI
9	Protein phylogeny	NAC domain-containing protein 2	XP_010904917.1	Elaeis guineensis	NCBI
10	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29-like	XP_008782049.1	Phoenix dactylifera	NCBI
11	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29-like	XP_020256631.1	Asparagus officinalis	NCBI
12	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 56-like	XP_020099751.1	Ananas comosus	NCBI
13	Protein phylogeny	NAC domain-containing protein 2-like	XP_020689086.1	Dendrobium catenatum	NCBI
14	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor NAM-2	PKA47255.1	Apostasia shenzhenica	NCBI
15	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29	XP_020688947.1	Dendrobium catenatum	NCBI
16	Protein phylogeny	NAC domain-containing protein 18	XP_020701043.1	Dendrobium catenatum	NCBI
17	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29-like	XP_020597561.1	Phalaenopsis equestris	NCBI

18	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29-like	XP_021637435.1	Hevea brasiliensis	NCBI
19	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 29-like	XP_028088451.1	Camellia sinensis	NCBI
20	Protein phylogeny	NAC transcription factor 32-like	XP_020585190.1	Phalaenopsis equestris	NCBI

Figure 5.1 *In silico* analysis of the *TaNAC032* gene. (a) QTL-Fhb1 representation on the short arm of wheat chromosome 3B. The location of *TaNAC* identified within the QTL-Fhb1 region is presented on the right side of the 3B chromosome; (b) Neighborjoining phylogenetic analysis of *TaNAC* protein (highlighted in the red box) with already reported homology proteins from other crop plants, identified close homology with NAC designated as *TaNAC032* in *Aegilops tauschii* (c) Relative transcriptional changes of *TaNAC032* at 48 hpi in wheat NILs. RM & SM: resistant and susceptible NIL mock-treated; RP & SP: resistance and susceptible NIL pathogen (*Fg*) treated. *TaActin* was used to normalize the target gene expression. Significance between resistant NIL (NIL-R) and susceptible NIL (NIL-S) treatments were analyzed using student's *t*-test *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.



Figure 5.2 *TaNAC032* sequencing and sequence analysis. (a) Sequence alignment of *TaNAC032* DNA sequence variation between resistant NIL (NIL-R) and susceptible NIL (NIL-S) presented a deletion of 121-bp at 179 nucleotide position highlighted in blue; (b) MultAlin based amino acid sequence comparison revealed 41 amino acids deletion in NIL-S at the corresponding position highlighted in green depicts deletion of conserved NAC domain spanning 12 - 170 intervals.



Figure 5.3 *TaNAC032* sequencing and sequence analysis in wheat genotypes (Sumai 3, NIL-R (S/T), NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher) with varying levels of FHB resistance. (a) MultiAlin based *TaNAC032* gene sequence comparison in nine wheat genotypes including Sumai3, NIL-R (S/T), NIL-S (S/T), NIL-R (HC/98), NIL-S (HC/98), Frontana, Pasteur and Thatcher; (b) Phyre 2 Investigator software based 3D-structure prediction of both the mutated and non-mutated *TaNAC032* in the wheat genotypes.

	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
NACO32_Sumai3 NACO32_NIL-R(S/T) NACO32_NIL-S(S/T) NACO32_NIL-R(HC/88) NACO32_NIL-S(HC/98) NACO32_Frontana NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Thatcher Consensus	MEEGFY MEEGFY MEEGFY	VFRGCELI VFRGCELI VFRGCELI	PPGFRFQPTDQE PPGFRFQPTDQE PTDQE PTDQE GVPVPPTDQE PTDQE GVPVPPTDQE PTDQE	IIVCYLKRKY IIVCYLKRKY IIVCYLKRKY IIVCYLKRKY IIVCYLKRKY IIVCYLKRKY CYLKRKY iiVCYLKRKY	VASAASAV VASAASAV VASAASAV VASAASAV VASAASAVASI VASAASAVASI VASAASAV VASAASAV VASAASAV	TSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI AVTSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI AVTSIIADVDI AVTSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI TSIIADVDI	KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP KFDPHELP	SKNACMHTYGI GKNACMHTYGI DK DK DK DK DK dK	RSHAMQPVQS RSHAMQPVQS	SFVSISLTLSS SFVSISLTLSS	MHELPRKIN	TTRRSSGKGE TTRRSSGKGE 	IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK IFFFSPRDRK	YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP YPNGARP
	131	140	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220	230	240	250	260
NACO32_Sumai3 NACO32_NIL-R(S/T) NACO32_NIL-S(S/T) NACO32_NIL-R(HC/88) NACO32_NIL-S(HC/98) NACO32_Frontana NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Thatcher Consensus	NRTAGS NRTAGS NRTAGS NRTAGS NRTAGS NRTAGS NRTAGS	SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI SGYWKATI	GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA GTDKPILAAGGA	RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL RCLGVKKAL	VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG VFYQGRSPRG vFYQGRSPRG	IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENVMHEYRI IKTENYRI IKTENYRI IKTEN	LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA LHADAGAA	TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL TRHKPHDSHRL	DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI DDHYLCRYRI	KKGYAYAPDHD Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd Kkgyayapdhd	GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI GNPGAPSHAI	EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA EVQAIDSTTA	iahgaf godm iahgaf godm iahgaf godm iahgaf godm iahgaf godm rahgaf godm rahgaf godm rahgaf godm ahgaf godm	TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ TDGQLLQ
	261	270	280	290	300	310	320	330	340	350353				
NACO32_Sumai3 NACO32_NIL-R(S/T) NACO32_NIL-S(S/T) NACO32_NIL-R(HC/98) NACO32_NIL-S(HC/98) NACO32_Frontana NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Pasteur NACO32_Thatcher Consensus	YLMSG(YLMSG) YLMSG YLMSG YLMSG YLMSG YLMSG YLMSG YLMSG	GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI GSGQYDGI	AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH AGAISVAAGHVH	DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI DGARRESAPI	EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN EVHLASVLEN	IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI IKROLSFHAHDI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI)YYFLQPSKI	RANCHGGGAGH RANCHGGGAGH RANCHGGGAGH RANCHGG RANCHGG RANCHGG RANCHGG RANCHGG	HTDGDQLSPF HTDGDQLSPF HTDGDQLSPF	PTSLSMFEDD PTSLSMFEDD PTSLSMFEDD PTSLSMFEDD				

(a)



(b)

Figure 5.4 Canonical lignin biosynthesis pathway in plants. In the phenylpropanoid pathway, PAL (phenylalanine ammonialyase), C4H (cinnamate 4-hydroxylase), and 4CL (4-coumarate: CoA ligase) catalyzes the formation of hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA thioesters (p-HCA-CoA), which are then reduced by CCR (cinnamoyl-CoA reductase) and CAD (cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase) to give p-hydroxycinnamyl alcohols (monolignols). The monolignols synthesized in the cytosol, transported into cell walls, and polymerized into lignin via oxidative coupling reactions catalyzed by oxidases such as LAC* (laccase) and PRX (peroxidase). The precursors highlighted in blue were found containing SNBE sites.



Figure 5.5 *In-silico* DNA-protein interaction using the GeneMANIA server. Here dark-colored rounds indicate target genes, *ATCCR2* (*TaCCR*), *ATCAD5* (*TaCAD*), *ATLAC17* (*TaLAC4*), and *AtMYB97* (*TaMYB*).



Figure 5.6 Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of the *TaNAC032* gene. (a) Illustrations of constructs used in silencing study, where γ -vector of BSMV virus with *TaNAC032* gene fragment is used as a test; PDS (Phytoene desaturase) as positive control and empty γ -vector as negative control; (b) & (c) Phenotype of NILs spike and rachis section showing the efficacy of BSMV based virus-induced gene silencing of *TaNAC032* after inoculation with *F. graminearum* (*Fg*) based on discoloration or browning symptoms in silenced (BSMV: *Tanac032*) as compared to non-silenced (BSMV: 00) NIL-R plants respectively. Here, the red arrow indicates the point of inoculation.



Figure 5.7 Effect of *TaNAC032* silencing in FHB resistant near-isogenic line (NIL-R), inoculated with *F. graminearum* or mocksolution. (a) Confirmation of *TaNAC032* knock-down based on relative transcript expression levels of *TaNAC032* normalized to reference gene *TaActin* in the silenced plant (BSMV: *Tanac032*) compared to non-silenced (BSMV: 00) at 48 hpi after *Fg* inoculation; The control here represents susceptible NIL (NIL-S); (b) Fungal biomass in BSMV-infected plants at 6 dpi with *Fg*, the relative copy number of *Tri6* fungal housekeeping gene (= fungal biomass) was quantified in *TaNAC032* knocked down (BSMV: *Tanac032*) plants and compared with control (BSMV: 00). *TaActin* was used to normalize the target gene copy number; (c) Disease severity analysis in NILs, based on visual observations of the proportion of spikelets diseased (PSD) (d) Relative transcript levels of *TaCCR*, *TaCAD*, *TaLAC4*, and *TaMYB* in *TaNAC032* knocked down (BSMV: *Tanac032*) plants compared to non-silenced (BSMV: 00) at 48 hpi after *Fg* inoculation. *TaActin* was used to normalize the target gene expression. Significance between silenced and non-silenced treatments were analyzed using student's *t*-test *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001,







Figure 5.8 BoxPlot represents relative metabolite abundances of RRI metabolites in silenced (BSMV: *Tanac032*) and nonsilenced (BSMV: 00) NIL-R at 3 dpi after *Fg* inoculation. Coniferin, Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside, and 4- Hydroxycinnamyl alcohol 4-D-glucoside. Significant differences in expression levels as compared in silenced (BSMV: *TaNAC032*) with non-silenced (BSMV: 00) upon *Fg* inoculation using Students t-test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Here, EM: non-silenced (mock-treated), EP: nonsilenced (pathogen (*Fg*) treated), NM: *TaNAC032* silenced (mock-treated), NP: *TaNAC032* silenced (pathogen (*Fg*) treated).



Figure 5.9 Lignification induced by *TaNAC032* in rachis. (a) & (b) Phloroglucinol-HCL staining of non-silenced (BSMV: 00) and silenced (BSMV: *Tanac032*) rachis cross-section (Scale bar 0.1mm, 20μm) respectively. Here, MX: metaxylem, PX: protoxylem, SC: sclerenchyma cells; (c) Total lignin quantification based on acetyl bromide soluble lignin test. The graph showing %ABSL (acetyl bromide soluble lignin percentage) in both BSMV: *Tanac032* (silenced) and BSMV: 00 (non-silenced) rachis samples. The control here represents susceptible NIL (NIL-S). Significance between silenced and non-silenced treatments were analyzed using student's *t*-test *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.



(b)



Figure 5.10 Proposed model showing *TaNAC032* transcription factor regulating the biosynthesis of lignin specific pathway biosynthetic *R_{RRM}* genes to produce resistant-related metabolites (RMs). These (RMs) are phytoanticipins and phytoalexins, or their conjugate products deposited to reinforce secondary cell wall to combat against Fusarium head blight. Red-colored bold arrows indicate the regulatory gene network, including receptors, MAPK, transcription factor (TFs), and downstream genes. Broken arrows indicate *TaNAC032* TF mediated direct regulation of downstream genes.



CHAPTER VI

GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

6.1 General discussion and conclusions

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is a severe fungal disease affecting small grains such as bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*), durum wheat (*T. durum L.*), oat (*Avena sativa L.*), and barley (*Hordeum vulgare L.*). In warm and humid regions, *Fusarium graminearum* is the primary pathogen among several other Fusarium species. FHB infection leads to reduced yields, shriveled grains, mycotoxin contamination, and reduction in seed quality, which accounts for economic losses over billions of US dollar since 1990 (Snijders and Perkowski, 1990; Desjardins and Hohn, 1997; McMullen et al., 1997; Windels, 2000; Dahl and Wilson, 2018; Wilson et al., 2018). The primary mycotoxin produced by *F. graminearum* in the infected grain is Deoxynivalenol (DON), which remains in processed food and causes health risks in humans and animals (Desjardins and Hohn, 1997; Pestka, 2010).

Developing resistant cultivars by utilizing host resistance is the most promising approach to control FHB. Type I and type II are the two widely accepted types of resistance; Type I resistance is known as the resistance to initial infection, whereas Type II infection is the resistance to the spread of infection within the spike (Schroeder and Christensen, 1963). Type I resistance is mainly contributed by spike morphology and systemic innate immune response activation, which is more common in barley as compared to wheat (Mesterhazy, 1995; Foroud et al., 2012). Contrastingly, Type II resistance is controlled by several resistance genes with major and minor effects based on numerous genetic studies on various resistant sources (Rejesus et al., 1996; Ma et al., 2006). Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) has been extensively studied and reported for Type II resistance. Hundreds of QTLs associated with FHB resistance have been mapped in all wheat chromosomes, except 7D (Buerstmayr et al., 2009). Several candidate genes were identified from some key QTLs which contributed towards a better understanding of the pathogenesis and the underlying resistance mechanisms (Liu et al., 2008; Zhuang et al., 2013; Rawat et al., 2016; Schweiger et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019; Su et al., 2019; Paudel et al., 2020).

The FHB resistance QTL-Fhb1 (formerly named as *Qfhs.ndsu-3BS*) was first identified from Chinese cultivar Sumai 3 on chromosome arm 3BS (Anderson et al., 2001). QTL-Fhb1 accounts for 20-60 % of the phenotypic variation in FHB resistance and has been well defined as the most effective and stable QTL across different genetic backgrounds and various environment (Anderson et al., 2001; Buerstmayr et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2002; Somers et al., 2003; Buerstmayr et al., 2009; Basnet et al., 2012). Thus, QTL-Fhb1 is considered the primary resistance QTL in wheat breeding to improve FHB resistance. Several resistance mechanisms of QTL-Fhb1 have been proposed by various studies (discussed in Chapter II), but none has been validated without contradiction. Therefore, wheat QTL-Fhb1 still interests researchers worldwide to understand the underlying resistance mechanism.

This thesis was written with two broad objectives in mind; to identify Fusarium head blight (FHB) resistance genes based on a combined metabolo-genomics approach and, functionally characterize the candidate gene(s) in response to *F. graminearum* infection in resistant near-isogenic lines to unravel the underlying resistance mechanism. The functionally validated gene(s) can be corrected in the susceptible wheat cultivar based on genome editing approaches to improve FHB resistance.

The current study reported the novel candidates associated with FHB resistance in wheat major QTL-Fhb1. It functionally characterized both *TaLAC4* and *TaNAC032* in response to *F*. *graminearum* infection in wheat NILs. Although several FHB resistance candidates have been identified and functionally validated, the mechanism of resistance underlying QTL-Fhb1 has not been entirely understood. This study has taken the initiative to understand the resistance mechanism by focusing on metabolomics and genomics' combined approach. We have identified several resistance-related induced, and constitutive metabolites present significantly higher in abundance through the LC-HRMS-based metabolomics approach, mainly related to the phenylpropanoid pathway. This study functionally validated the laccase gene's role in the oxidative polymerization of monolignols to biosynthesize G lignin.

Further, the NAC transcription factor has been explored to be involved in the secondary cell wall biosynthesis. This study presents the role of *TaNAC032* in regulating lignin biosynthetic genes and biosynthesizing cell wall-related metabolites during pathogen infection, which further acts as a barrier to orchestrate pathogen entry, thus providing resistance against FHB. The

proposed transcriptional regulatory network of secondary cell wall reinforcement could be an excellent model for advanced plant studies related to biotic stress.

Following the candidate gene expression analysis in both resistant and susceptible NILs upon mock and pathogen inoculation, *in-silico* characterization and polymorphism detection, the candidate gene(s) were subjected to virus-induced based gene silencing to characterize their role in FHB resistance. The silenced NILs were studied for increased disease severity and fungal biomass, metabolic changes, gene expression analysis of RR metabolite biosynthetic genes, and lignin quantification. This study further opens the opportunity to explore other candidate genes involved in the hierarchy affect the reinforcement of SCW synergistically to confer a high level of resistance against FHB in wheat.

This study elucidates the lignin biosynthetic pathway's role and its biosynthetic gene(s) in conferring resistance against FHB through secondary cell wall thickening. The role of candidate genes such as *TaLAC4* was characterized in the response of *F. graminearum* infection. The biochemical characterization of rachis based on phloroglucinol-HCL staining and acetyl bromide soluble lignin quantitation has been explored. Besides, the role of *TaNAC032* in the regulation of lignin biosynthetic genes, including *TaLAC4*, was deciphered. Overall, this thesis identified and functionally characterized two resistant genes in the hierarchy, significantly contributing to enhanced resistance to FHB. The genes functionally validated in this study can be used to replace a mutated or non-functional gene in susceptible cultivar based on genome editing tools to improve FHB resistance in wheat and related species.

6.2 Future works

Analysis of enzyme kinetics

Chapter IV attributed the role of *TaLAC4* in oxidative polymerization of monolignols to biosynthesize guaiacyl (G) lignin that reinforce plant secondary cell walls to confer enhanced resistance against FHB in wheat QTL-Fhb1. We proposed a basis for this substrate based on molecular modeling/docking experiments wherein *TaLAC4* binds more effectively to guaiacyl 4-O-5 guaiacyl (L2: PHE`267/CD1). Transient silencing of *TaLAC4* in wheat resistant NILs significantly increased lignin precursor metabolite accumulation. These metabolites were mainly soluble phenolics such as coniferyl alcohol derivatives known to be used as a substrate for Laccase enzyme to biosynthesize G lignin subunit. These findings speculated the role of *TaLAC4* in basal immunity and induced G lignin units during the infection. However, future studies can be focussed

on detailed enzymatic studies to test the laccase catalytic properties. This can be studied by HPLC based analysis by analyzing the oxidation of different monolignols as substrates in the presence of recombinant *TaLAC4*. This HPLC based study could further confirm that the *TaLAC4* oxidizes only coniferyl alcohol and not hydroxyphenyl and sinapyl alcohol.

Genomics study of the candidate genes

A combined metabolo-genomics approach paved the way for identifying novel candidates that might confer a significant role in FHB resistance. In Chapter IV, the *TaLAC4* role has been deciphered based on virus-induced gene silencing for its role in pathogen-induced secondary cell wall lignification. This study opens the opportunity to explore other laccases in wheat and other FHB QTLs involving synergistically to confer a high level of resistance against FHB in wheat. Among five novel candidates identified in Chapter III, *TaLAC4* and *TaNAC032* have been functionally validated to their FHB resistance role. However, other candidate genes such as Cell Wall Invertase (CWIN), G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), and Glutamate synthase 1 (GLT1), needs to be investigated for their roles in FHB resistance to facilitate breeding programs.

In Chapter V, the role of *TaNAC032* TF in the positive regulation of lignin-biosynthetic genes to combat Fusarium head blight has been reported based on promoter analysis, gene interaction network and gene expression analysis. However, modelling based on in vitro data only indicates several possibilities of the network; therefore, future research must focus more on DNA-protein interactions based on in vivo observations to reveal the network dynamics.

Signaling pathway and related genes in FHB resistance

Plants have developed several defense mechanisms, including pre-formed mechanisms, such as physical barriers provided by the cell wall and cuticle and inducible mechanisms to control diseases (Makandar et al., 2015). Among inducible defense responses, pathogen attack induces several defense-related pathogenesis-related (PR) genes, wherein some of them encode for antimicrobial proteins (van Loon et al., 2006). Regulation of these genes depends on signaling molecules such as salicylic acid (SA) and jasmonic acid (JA). These phytohormones may bind to nuclear proteins harboring specific domains to activate further downstream regulatory and resistance-related metabolite (RRM) genes (Lumba et al., 2010). Among defense pathways, SA
mainly confers resistance against biotrophs, which derive nutrients from live host cells. In contrast, methyl JA and ethylene (ET) play a significant role in resistance to necrotrophic pathogens, which derive their nutrients from dead host cells.

This study has functionally characterized *TaLAC4* and *TaNAC032* genes in the underlying hierarchy QTL-Fhb1. The regulation of these genes may depend upon signaling molecules such as salicylic acid (SA) that may confer resistance against biotrophs by deriving nutrients from live host cells. In contrast, jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) play a significant role in resistance to necrotrophic pathogens, which derive their nutrients from dead host cells. Thus, it would be fascinating to explore other genes underlying QTL-Fhb1, mainly related to signaling pathways that might be involved in regulating the potential candidates identified in the QTL-Fhb1 in this study. Functional characterization of signaling pathway-related genes and understanding the hierarchical network of gene regulation would unveil the FHB resistance mechanism.

CRISPR/Cas9-induced targeted mutagenesis and gene replacement to enhance FHB resistance in wheat

Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 is a rapidly emerging genome editing technique that revolutionized fundamental and applied research in plant breeding. It has been successfully demonstrated in many cereal crops, including rice, wheat, maize, and barley (Ansari et al., 2020). The candidate genes such as *TaLAC4* and *TaNAC032* identified and functionally validated in this study can be cloned and sequenced in other wheat cultivars. Suppose the gene or transcript is mutated in the selected cultivar. In that case, the mutated alleles can be replaced with functional alleles of the genes using the CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing tool that enables editing parts of the genome by making single nucleotide changes or removing, adding or altering sections of the DNA sequence. Enhanced FHB resistance can be proved, providing both *TaNAC032* and *TaLAC4* must be edited in commercial cultivars associated with the rest of the genes' hierarchy to biosynthesize lignin.

Large scale field study

The edited genome cultivars need to be screened for the FHB occurrence under field conditions to facilitate breeding programs. This is crucial to evaluate the disease severity both phenotypically and genotypically under favorable environmental conditions to help the wheat growers practically.

REFERENCES

- Anderson JA, Stack RW, Liu S, Waldron BL, Fjeld AD, Coyne C, Moreno-Sevilla B, Fetch JM, Song QJ, Cregan PB, Frohberg RC (2001) DNA markers for Fusarium head blight resistance QTLs in two wheat populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 102: 1164-1168
- Ansari WA, Chandanshive SU, Bhatt V, Nadaf AB, Vats S, Katara JL, Sonah H, Deshmukh R (2020) Genome editing in cereals: approaches, applications and challenges. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 21: 4040
- Atanasov D (1920) Fusarium-blight (scab) of wheat and other cereals. US Government Printing Office
- Avila CM, Atienza SG, Moreno MT, Torres AM (2007) Development of a new diagnostic marker for growth habit selection in faba bean (Vicia faba L.) breeding. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 115: 1075
- Bacon CW, Hinton DM (2007) Potential for control of seedling blight of wheat caused by Fusarium graminearum and related species using the bacterial endophyte Bacillus mojavensis. Biocontrol science and technology 17: 81-94
- Bai G, Shaner G (1994) Scab of wheat: prospects for control. Plant Disease 78: 760-766
- Bai G, Shaner G (2004) Management and resistance in wheat and barley to fusarium head blight. Annual Review of Phytopathology 42: 135-161
- Bai G-H, Shaner G (1996) Variation in Fusarium graminearum and cultivar resistance to wheat scab. Plant disease80: 975–979
- **Bai GH, Shaner G, Ohm H** (2000) Inheritance of resistance to Fusarium graminearum in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **100:** 1-8
- Basnet BR, Glover KD, Ibrahim AMH, Yen Y, Chao S (2012) A QTL on chromosome 2DS of 'Sumai 3' increases susceptibility to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Euphytica 186: 91-101
- Bateman GL, Gutteridge RJ, Gherbawy Y, Thomsett MA, Nicholson P (2007) Infection of stem bases and grains of winter wheat by Fusarium culmorum and F. graminearum and effects of tillage method and maize-stalk residues. Plant Pathology 56: 604-615
- Benton HP, Wong DM, Trauger SA, Siuzdak G (2008) XCMS2: processing tandem mass spectrometry data for metabolite identification and structural characterization. Analytical chemistry 80: 6382-6389
- Bergstrom GC, Kalb DW, Schilder AMC, Cox WJ, Harman GE, Samimy C (1986) Assessment of the 1986 winter-wheat scab epidemic in new-york. *In*, Ed 11 Vol 77. Amer phytopathological soc 3340 pilot knob road, st paul, mn 55121, p 1613
- Bhuiyan NH, Selvaraj G, Wei Y, King J (2009) Gene expression profiling and silencing reveal that monolignol biosynthesis plays a critical role in penetration defence in wheat against powdery mildew invasion. Journal of experimental botany 60: 509-521
- Bisht NC, Gupta V, Ramchiary N, Sodhi YS, Mukhopadhyay A, Arumugam N, Pental D, Pradhan AK (2009) Fine mapping of loci involved with glucosinolate biosynthesis in oilseed mustard (Brassica juncea) using genomic information from allied species. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 118: 413-421

- Bollina V, Kumaraswamy GK, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S, Faubert D, Hamzehzarghani H (2010) Mass spectrometry-based metabolomics application to identify quantitative resistance-related metabolites in barley against Fusarium head blight. Mol Plant Pathol **11**: 769-782
- Bollina V, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S (2011) Identification of metabolites related to mechanisms of resistance in barley against Fusarium graminearum, based on mass spectrometry. Plant molecular biology 77: 355
- Bradley CA, McMullen MP (2008) Fungicides for FHB management: Past, present, and future. In. Citeseer, p 12
- Buerstmayr H, Ban T, Anderson JA (2009) QTL mapping and marker-assisted selection for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat: a review. Plant Breeding 128: 1-26
- Buerstmayr H, Lemmens M, Hartl L, Doldi L, Steiner B, Stierschneider M, Ruckenbauer P (2002) Molecular mapping of QTLs for Fusarium head blight resistance in spring wheat. I. Resistance to fungal spread (Type II resistance). Theor Appl Genet 104: 84-91
- Buerstmayr M, Huber K, Heckmann J, Steiner B, Nelson JC, Buerstmayr H (2012) Mapping of QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance and morphological and developmental traits in three backcross populations derived from Triticum dicoccum× Triticum durum. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 125: 1751-1765
- Burch-Smith TM, Schiff M, Liu Y, Dinesh-Kumar SP (2006) Efficient virus-induced gene silencing in Arabidopsis. Plant physiology 142: 21-27
- Cakir C, Gillespie ME, Scofield SR (2010) Rapid determination of gene function by virus-induced gene silencing in wheat and barley. Crop science 50: S-77
- Cao A, Xing L, Wang X, Yang X, Wang W, Sun Y, Qian C, Ni J, Chen Y, Liu D, Wang X, Chen P (2011) Serine/threonine kinase gene Stpk-V, a key member of powdery mildew resistance gene Pm21, confers powdery mildew resistance in wheat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 7727-7732
- **Champeil A, Doré T, Fourbet J-F** (2004) Fusarium head blight: epidemiological origin of the effects of cultural practices on head blight attacks and the production of mycotoxins by Fusarium in wheat grains. Plant science **166:** 1389-1415
- Choulet F, Alberti A, Theil S, Glover N, Barbe V, Daron J, Pingault L, Sourdille P, Couloux A, Paux E (2014) Structural and functional partitioning of bread wheat chromosome 3B. Science **345**
- Cummins GB (1978) JC Arthur: The man and his work. Annual Review of Phytopathology 16: 19-30
- Curtis TY, Muttucumaru N, Shewry PR, Parry MA, Powers SJ, Elmore JS, Mottram DS, Hook S, Halford NG (2009) Effects of genotype and environment on free amino acid levels in wheat grain: implications for acrylamide formation during processing. J Agric Food Chem 57: 1013-1021
- Cuthbert PA, Somers DJ, Thomas J, Cloutier S, Brule-Babel A (2006) Fine mapping Fhb1, a major gene controlling fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Theor Appl Genet 112: 1465-1472
- Dahl B, Wilson WW (2018) Risk premiums due to Fusarium Head Blight (FHB) in wheat and barley. Agricultural Systems 162: 145-153

- Das S, Upadhyaya HD, Bajaj D, Kujur A, Badoni S, Kumar V, Tripathi S, Gowda CLL, Sharma S, Singh S (2015) Deploying QTL-seq for rapid delineation of a potential candidate gene underlying major trait-associated QTL in chickpea. DNA research 22: 193-203
- **De Vos RC, Moco S, Lommen A, Keurentjes JJ, Bino RJ, Hall RD** (2007) Untargeted large-scale plant metabolomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Nature protocols **2:** 778
- **De Wolf ED, Madden LV, Lipps PE** (2003) Risk assessment models for wheat Fusarium head blight epidemics based on within-season weather data. Phytopathology **93:** 428-435
- **Del Ponte EM, Fernandes JMC, Bergstrom GC** (2007) Influence of growth stage on Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol production in wheat. Journal of Phytopathology **155:** 577-581
- **Desjardins AE, Hohn TM** (1997) Mycotoxins in plant pathogenesis. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions **10**: 147-152
- **Dexter JE, Clear RM, Preston KR** (1996) Fusarium head blight: effect on the milling and baking of some Canadian wheats. Cereal Chemistry **73:** 695-701
- **Dhokane D, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C** (2016) Integrated metabolo-transcriptomics reveals fusarium head blight candidate resistance genes in wheat qtl-fhb2. PloS one **11:** e0155851-e0155851
- Duan Y, Zhang X, Ge C, Wang Y, Cao J, Jia X, Wang J, Zhou M (2014) Development and application of loopmediated isothermal amplification for detection of the F167Y mutation of carbendazim-resistant isolates in Fusarium graminearum. Scientific reports 4: 7094
- **Duveiller E, Singh RP, Singh PK, Dababat AA, Mezzalama M** (2012) Wheat diseases and pests: a guide for field identification. CIMMYT: 138
- FAO (2016) World food situation.
- Fiehn O (2002) Metabolomics—the link between genotypes and phenotypes. *In* Functional genomics. Springer, pp 155-171
- Fiehn O, Kopka J, Dörmann P, Altmann T, Trethewey RN, Willmitzer L (2000) Metabolite profiling for plant functional genomics. Nature biotechnology 18: 1157-1161
- Foroud NA, Ouellet T, Laroche A, Oosterveen B, Jordan MC, Ellis BE, Eudes F (2012) Differential transcriptome analyses of three wheat genotypes reveal different host response pathways associated with Fusarium head blight and trichothecene resistance. Plant Pathology **61:** 296-314
- Francia E, Tacconi G, Crosatti C, Barabaschi D, Bulgarelli D, Dall'Aglio E, Valè G (2005) Marker assisted selection in crop plants. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 82: 317-342
- Gilbert J, Tekauz A (2011) Strategies for management of fusarium head blight (FHB) in cereals. Prairie Soils Crops J 4: 97-104
- **Golkari S, Gilbert J, Prashar S, Procunier JD** (2007) Microarray analysis of Fusarium graminearum-induced wheat genes: identification of organ-specific and differentially expressed genes. Plant Biotechnology Journal 5: 38-49
- **Goswami RS, Kistler HC** (2004) Heading for disaster: Fusarium graminearum on cereal crops. Molecular plant pathology **5:** 515-525

- **Gunnaiah R** (2013) Functional characterization of wheat fusarium head blight resistance qtl (fhb1) based on nontargeted metabolomics and proteomics. *McGill University*
- Gunnaiah R, Kushalappa AC, Duggavathi R, Fox S, Somers DJ (2012) Integrated Metabolo-Proteomic Approach to Decipher the Mechanisms by Which Wheat QTL (Fhb1) Contributes to Resistance against Fusarium graminearum. PLOS ONE 7: e40695
- Hall R, Beale M, Fiehn O, Hardy N, Sumner L, Bino R (2002) Plant metabolomics: the missing link in functional genomics strategies. *In*. Am Soc Plant Biol
- Ireta MJ, Gilchrist L (1994) Fusarium head scab of wheat (Fusarium graminearum Schwabe). CIMMYT
- Jang C, Chen L, Rabinowitz JD (2018) Metabolomics and isotope tracing. Cell 173: 822-837
- Jia H, Cho S, Muehlbauer GJ (2009) Transcriptome analysis of a wheat near-isogenic line pair carrying fusarium head blight–resistant and–susceptible alleles. Molecular plant-microbe interactions 22: 1366-1378
- Jia H, Zhou J, Xue S, Li G, Yan H, Ran C, Zhang Y, Shi J, Jia L, Wang X, Luo J, Ma Z (2018) A journey to understand wheat Fusarium head blight resistance in the Chinese wheat landrace Wangshuibai. The Crop Journal 6: 48-59
- Jiang GL, Shi J, Ward RW (2007) QTL analysis of resistance to Fusarium head blight in the novel wheat germplasm CJ 9306. I. Resistance to fungal spread. Theor Appl Genet 116: 3-13
- Jiang GL, Ward RW (2006) Inheritance of resistance to Fusarium head blight in the wheat lines 'CJ 9306'and 'CJ 9403'. Plant breeding **125:** 417-423
- Jonah PM, Bello LL, Lucky O, Midau A, Moruppa SM, Moruppa Ω (2011) Review: The importance of molecular markers in plant breeding programmes. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research 11: 4-12
- Kage U, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, McCartney C (2017) Identification and characterization of a fusarium head blight resistance gene TaACT in wheat QTL-2DL. Plant Biotechnology Journal 15: 447-457
- Kage U, Yogendra KN, Kushalappa AC (2017) TaWRKY70 transcription factor in wheat QTL-2DL regulates downstream metabolite biosynthetic genes to resist Fusarium graminearum infection spread within spike. Scientific Reports 7: 42596
- Kang G, Li G, Ma H, Wang C, Guo T (2013) Proteomic analysis on the leaves of TaBTF3 gene virus-induced silenced wheat plants may reveal its regulatory mechanism. Journal of proteomics 83: 130-143
- Karre S, Kumar A, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC (2017) Metabolo-transcriptome profiling of barley reveals induction of chitin elicitor receptor kinase gene (HvCERK1) conferring resistance against Fusarium graminearum. Plant Mol Biol 93: 247-267
- Kaspar S, Peukert M, Svatos A, Matros A, Mock HP (2011) MALDI-imaging mass spectrometry–an emerging technique in plant biology. Proteomics 11: 1840-1850
- **Katajamaa M, Miettinen J, Orešič M** (2006) MZmine: toolbox for processing and visualization of mass spectrometry based molecular profile data. Bioinformatics **22:** 634-636
- Kugler KG, Siegwart G, Nussbaumer T, Ametz C, Spannagl M, Steiner B, Lemmens M, Mayer KF,Buerstmayr H, Schweiger W (2013) Quantitative trait loci-dependent analysis of a gene co-expression

network associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in bread wheat (Triticum aestivumL.). BMC Genomics **14:** 728

- Kumar A, Karre S, Dhokane D, Kage U, Hukkeri S, Kushalappa AC (2015) Real-time quantitative PCR based method for the quantification of fungal biomass to discriminate quantitative resistance in barley and wheat genotypes to fusarium head blight. Journal of Cereal Science 64: 16-22
- Kumar A, Yogendra KN, Karre S, Kushalappa AC, Dion Y, Choo TM (2016) WAX INDUCER1 (HvWIN1) transcription factor regulates free fatty acid biosynthetic genes to reinforce cuticle to resist Fusarium head blight in barley spikelets. Journal of experimental botany 67: 4127-4139
- Kumaraswamy GK, Bollina V, Kushalappa AC, Choo TM, Dion Y, Rioux S, Mamer O, Faubert D (2011) Metabolomics technology to phenotype resistance in barley against Gibberella zeae. European Journal of Plant Pathology 130: 29-43
- Kushalappa AC, Gunnaiah R (2013) Metabolo-proteomics to discover plant biotic stress resistance genes. Trends in plant science 18: 522-531
- Kwon SJ, Jin HC, Lee S, Nam MH, Chung JH, Kwon SI, Ryu CM, Park OK (2009) GDSL lipase-like 1 regulates systemic resistance associated with ethylene signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant J 58: 235-245
- Lahlali R, Karunakaran C, Wang L, Willick I, Schmidt M, Liu X, Borondics F, Forseille L, Fobert PR, Tanino K (2015) Synchrotron based phase contrast X-ray imaging combined with FTIR spectroscopy reveals structural and biomolecular differences in spikelets play a significant role in resistance to Fusarium in wheat. BMC plant biology 15: 24
- Lahlali R, Kumar S, Wang L, Forseille L, Sylvain N, Korbas M, Muir D, Swerhone G, Lawrence JR, Fobert PR (2016) Cell wall biomolecular composition plays a potential role in the host type II resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Frontiers in microbiology 7: 910
- Lee W-S, Rudd JJ, Kanyuka K (2015) Virus induced gene silencing (VIGS) for functional analysis of wheat genes involved in Zymoseptoria tritici susceptibility and resistance. Fungal Genetics and Biology **79:** 84-88
- Legrand F, Picot A, Cobo-Díaz JF, Chen W, Le Floch G (2017) Challenges facing the biological control strategies for the management of Fusarium Head Blight of cereals caused by F. graminearum. Biological Control 113: 26-38
- Leonard KJ, Bushnell WR (2003) Fusarium head blight of wheat and barley. American Phytopathological Society (APS Press)
- Li G, Zhou J, Jia H, Gao Z, Fan M, Luo Y, Zhao P, Xue S, Li N, Yuan Y, Ma S, Kong Z, Jia L, An X, Jiang G, Liu W, Cao W, Zhang R, Fan J, Xu X, Liu Y, Kong Q, Zheng S, Wang Y, Qin B, Cao S, Ding Y, Shi J, Yan H, Wang X, Ran C, Ma Z (2019) Mutation of a histidine-rich calcium-binding-protein gene in wheat confers resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nature Genetics 51: 1106-1112
- Ling H (2008) Sequence analysis of GDSL lipase gene family in Arabidopsis thaliana. Pak J Biol Sci 11: 763-767
- Lisec J, Schauer N, Kopka J, Willmitzer L, Fernie AR (2006) Gas chromatography mass spectrometry–based metabolite profiling in plants. Nature protocols 1: 387

- Liu S, Abate ZA, Lu H, Musket T, Davis GL, McKendry AL (2007) QTL associated with Fusarium head blight resistance in the soft red winter wheat Ernie. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 115: 417-427
- Liu S, Anderson JA (2003) Targeted molecular mapping of a major wheat QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance using wheat ESTs and synteny with rice. Genome 46: 817-823
- Liu S, Pumphrey M, Gill B, Trick H, Zhang J, Dolezel J, Chalhoub B, Anderson J (2008) Toward positional cloning of Fhb1, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Cereal Research Communications 36: 195-201
- Liu X, Locasale JW (2017) Metabolomics: a primer. Trends in biochemical sciences 42: 274-284
- Lommen A, Kools HJ (2012) MetAlign 3.0: performance enhancement by efficient use of advances in computer hardware. Metabolomics 8: 719-726
- Lu H, Lin T, Klein J, Wang S, Qi J, Zhou Q, Sun J, Zhang Z, Weng Y, Huang S (2014) QTL-seq identifies an early flowering QTL located near Flowering Locus T in cucumber. Theoretical and applied genetics 127: 1491-1499
- Lumba S, Cutler S, McCourt P (2010) Plant nuclear hormone receptors: a role for small molecules in proteinprotein interactions. Annual review of cell and developmental biology 26: 445-469
- Ma HX, Zhang KM, Gao L, Bai GH, Chen HG, Cai ZX, Lu WZ (2006) Quantitative trait loci for resistance to fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol accumulation in Wangshuibai wheat under field conditions. Plant pathology 55: 739-745
- Ma M, Yan Y, Huang L, Chen M, Zhao H (2012) Virus-induced gene-silencing in wheat spikes and grains and its application in functional analysis of HMW-GS-encoding genes. BMC Plant Biology 12: 141
- Makandar R, Nalam VJ, Chowdhury Z, Sarowar S, Klossner G, Lee H, Burdan D, Trick HN, Gobbato E,
 Parker JE (2015) The combined action of ENHANCED DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY1,
 PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT4, and SENESCENCE-ASSOCIATED101 promotes salicylic acid-mediated
 defenses to limit Fusarium graminearum infection in Arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Plant-Microbe
 Interactions 28: 943-953
- Manmathan H, Shaner D, Snelling J, Tisserat N, Lapitan N (2013) Virus-induced gene silencing of Arabidopsis thaliana gene homologues in wheat identifies genes conferring improved drought tolerance. Journal of experimental botany 64: 1381-1392
- Marcussen T, Sandve SR, Heier L, Spannagl M, Pfeifer M, Jakobsen KS, Wulff BBH, Steuernagel B, Mayer KFX, Olsen O-A (2014) Ancient hybridizations among the ancestral genomes of bread wheat. Science 345: 1250092
- Matny ON (2015) Fusarium head blight and crown rot on wheat & barley: losses and health risks. Adv Plants Agric Res 2: 00039
- McMullen M, Jones R, Gallenberg D (1997) Scab of wheat and barley: A re-emerging disease of devastating impact. Plant Dis 81: 1340-1348
- Mesterhazy A (1995) Types and components of resistance to Fusarium head blight of wheat. Plant breeding **114:** 377-386

- Mesterházy Á, Bartók T, Mirocha CG, Komoroczy R (1999) Nature of wheat resistance to Fusarium head blight and the role of deoxynivalenol for breeding. Plant breeding **118**: 97-110
- Miller JD, Young JC, Sampson DR (1985) Deoxynivalenol and Fusarium head blight resistance in spring cereals. Journal of Phytopathology 113: 359-367
- Miller SS, Watson EM, Lazebnik J, Gulden S, Balcerzak M, Fedak G, Ouellet T (2011) Characterization of an alien source of resistance to Fusarium head blight transferred to Chinese Spring wheat. Botany 89: 301-311
- Mitsuda N, Iwase A, Yamamoto H, Yoshida M, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Ohme-Takagi M (2007) NAC Transcription Factors, NST1 and NST3, Are Key Regulators of the Formation of Secondary Walls in Woody Tissues of Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **19**: 270
- Mohan M, Nair S, Bhagwat A, Krishna TG, Yano M, Bhatia CR, Sasaki T (1997) Genome mapping, molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. Molecular breeding **3**: 87-103
- Mueller DS (2006) Fungicides: triazoles.
- Nadeem MA, Nawaz MA, Shahid MQ, Doğan Y, Comertpay G, Yıldız M, Hatipoğlu R, Ahmad F, Alsaleh A, Labhane N (2018) DNA molecular markers in plant breeding: current status and recent advancements in genomic selection and genome editing. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment 32: 261-285
- Nicholson RL, Hammerschmidt R (1992) Phenolic compounds and their role in disease resistance. Annual review of phytopathology **30:** 369-389
- Ogbonnaya FC, Subrahmanyam NC, Moullet O, De Majnik J, Eagles HA, Brown JS, Eastwood RF, Kollmorgen J, Appels R, Lagudah ES (2001) Diagnostic DNA markers for cereal cyst nematode resistance in bread wheat. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 52: 1367-1374
- Okazaki Y, Saito K (2012) Recent advances of metabolomics in plant biotechnology. Plant biotechnology reports 6: 1-15
- Palazzini JM, Ramirez ML, Torres AM, Chulze SN (2007) Potential biocontrol agents for Fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol production in wheat. Crop Protection 26: 1702-1710
- Parry DW, Jenkinson P, McLeod L (1995) Fusarium ear blight (scab) in small grain cereals—a review. Plant pathology 44: 207-238
- Paudel B, Zhuang Y, Galla A, Dahal S, Qiu Y, Ma A, Raihan T, Yen Y (2020) WFhb1-1 plays an important role in resistance against Fusarium head blight in wheat. Scientific reports 10: 1-15
- Paux E, Sourdille P, Salse J, Saintenac C, Choulet F, Leroy P, Korol A, Michalak M, Kianian S, Spielmeyer
 W (2008) A physical map of the 1-gigabase bread wheat chromosome 3B. science 322: 101-104
- Perry JN (1995) Spatial analysis by distance indices. Journal of Animal Ecology: 303-314
- Pestka JJ (2008) Mechanisms of deoxynivalenol-induced gene expression and apoptosis. Food additives and contaminants 25: 1128-1140
- Pestka JJ (2010) Deoxynivalenol: mechanisms of action, human exposure, and toxicological relevance. Archives of toxicology 84: 663-679
- Pluskal T, Castillo S, Villar-Briones A, Orešič M (2010) MZmine 2: Modular framework for processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. BMC Bioinformatics 11: 395

- Rafalski A (2002) Applications of single nucleotide polymorphisms in crop genetics. Current opinion in plant biology 5: 94-100
- Ramegowda V, Mysore KS, Senthil-Kumar M (2014) Virus-induced gene silencing is a versatile tool for unraveling the functional relevance of multiple abiotic-stress-responsive genes in crop plants. Frontiers in plant science 5: 323
- Ratcliff F, Harrison BD, Baulcombe DC (1997) A similarity between viral defense and gene silencing in plants. Science 276: 1558-1560
- Rawat N, Pumphrey MO, Liu S, Zhang X, Tiwari VK, Ando K, Trick HN, Bockus WW, Akhunov E, Anderson JA, Gill BS (2016) Wheat Fhb1 encodes a chimeric lectin with agglutinin domains and a poreforming toxin-like domain conferring resistance to Fusarium head blight. Nat Genet 48: 1576-1580
- Rejesus RM, Ginkel MV, Smale M (1996) Wheat breeders' perspectives on genetic diversity and germplasm use: Findings from an international survey. International Wheat Conference 5; Ankara (Turkey). *In*, Ed 633.11 IWC No. 5. CIMMYT.
- Ris-Stalpers C, Trifiro MA, Kuiper GG, Jenster G, Romalo G, Sai T, van Rooij HC, Kaufman M, Rosenfield RL, Liao S, et al. (1991) Substitution of aspartic acid-686 by histidine or asparagine in the human androgen receptor leads to a functionally inactive protein with altered hormone-binding characteristics. Mol Endocrinol 5: 1562-1569
- Robertson D (2004) VIGS vectors for gene silencing: many targets, many tools. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55: 495-519
- Rudd JC, Horsley RD, McKendry AL, Elias EM (2001) Host plant resistance genes for Fusarium head blight: sources, mechanisms, and utility in conventional breeding systems. Crop Science 41: 620-627
- Šafář J, Bartoš J, Janda J, Bellec A, Kubaláková M, Valárik M, Pateyron S, Weiserová J, Tušková R, Číhalíková J (2004) Dissecting large and complex genomes: flow sorting and BAC cloning of individual chromosomes from bread wheat. The Plant Journal **39:** 960-968
- Schauer N, Zamir D, Fernie AR (2005) Metabolic profiling of leaves and fruit of wild species tomato: a survey of the Solanum lycopersicum complex. Journal of experimental botany 56: 297-307
- Schmale DG, Munkvold GP (2009) Mycotoxins in crops: A threat to human and domestic animal health. The plant health instructor **3**: 340-353
- Schroeder HW, Christensen JJ (1963) Factors affecting resistance of Wheat to scab caused by Gibberella zeae. Phytopathology **53**: 831-838
- Schweiger W, Steiner B, Vautrin S, Nussbaumer T, Siegwart G, Zamini M, Jungreithmeier F, Gratl V,
 Lemmens M, Mayer KFX, Bérgès H, Adam G, Buerstmayr H (2016) Suppressed recombination and
 unique candidate genes in the divergent haplotype encoding Fhb1, a major Fusarium head blight resistance
 locus in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 129: 1607-1623
- Scofield SR, Huang L, Brandt AS, Gill BS (2005) Development of a Virus-Induced Gene-Silencing System for Hexaploid Wheat and Its Use in Functional Analysis of the Lr21-Mediated Leaf Rust Resistance Pathway. Plant Physiology 138: 2165

- Senthil-Kumar M, Gowda HVR, Hema R, Mysore KS, Udayakumar M (2008) Virus-induced gene silencing and its application in characterizing genes involved in water-deficit-stress tolerance. Journal of plant physiology 165: 1404-1421
- Shaner G, Buechley G (2001) New sources of resistance to Fusarium head blight of wheat. Canty SM et al. Eds: 203-203
- Shewry PR, Hey SJ (2015) The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. Food and energy security 4: 178-202
- Shulaev V (2006) Metabolomics technology and bioinformatics. Briefings in bioinformatics 7: 128-139
- Shulaev V, Cortes D, Miller G, Mittler R (2008) Metabolomics for plant stress response. Physiologia plantarum 132: 199-208
- Singh R, Rathore YS, Singh NS, Peddada N, Ashish, Raychaudhuri S (2013) Substitution of glutamate residue by lysine in the dimerization domain affects DNA binding ability of hapr by inducing structural deformity in the DNA binding domain. PLOS ONE 8: e76033
- Smith CA, Want EJ, O'Maille G, Abagyan R, Siuzdak G (2006) XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. Analytical chemistry 78: 779-787
- Snijders CHA, Perkowski J (1990) Effects of head blight caused by Fusarium culmorum on toxin content and weight of wheat kernels. Phytopathology 80: 566-570
- Soga T, Baran R, Suematsu M, Ueno Y, Ikeda S, Sakurakawa T, Kakazu Y, Ishikawa T, Robert M, Nishioka T (2006) Differential metabolomics reveals ophthalmic acid as an oxidative stress biomarker indicating hepatic glutathione consumption. Journal of Biological Chemistry 281: 16768-16776
- Somers DJ, Fedak G, Savard M (2003) Molecular mapping of novel genes controlling Fusarium head blight resistance and deoxynivalenol accumulation in spring wheat. Genome 46: 555-564
- Stack RW (2000) Return of an old problem: Fusarium head blight of small grains. Plant health progress 1: 19
- Su Z, Bernardo A, Tian B, Chen H, Wang S, Ma H, Cai S, Liu D, Zhang D, Li T, Trick H, St. Amand P, Yu J,
 Zhang Z, Bai G (2019) A deletion mutation in TaHRC confers Fhb1 resistance to Fusarium head blight in wheat. Nature Genetics 51: 1099-1105
- Su Z, Jin S, Zhang D, Bai G (2018) Development and validation of diagnostic markers for Fhb1 region, a major QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Theor Appl Genet 131: 2371-2380
- Sutton PN, Gilbert MJ, Williams LE, Hall J (2007) Powdery mildew infection of wheat leaves changes host solute transport and invertase activity. Physiologia Plantarum 129: 787-795
- Tai Y-S, Bragg J, Edwards MC (2005) Virus vector for gene silencing in wheat. Biotechniques 39: 310-314
- Takagi H, Abe A, Yoshida K, Kosugi S, Natsume S, Mitsuoka C, Uemura A, Utsushi H, Tamiru M, Takuno S (2013) QTL-seq: rapid mapping of quantitative trait loci in rice by whole genome resequencing of DNA from two bulked populations. The Plant Journal 74: 174-183

- Urano K, Maruyama K, Ogata Y, Morishita Y, Takeda M, Sakurai N, Suzuki H, Saito K, Shibata D, Kobayashi M (2009) Characterization of the ABA-regulated global responses to dehydration in Arabidopsis by metabolomics. The Plant Journal 57: 1065-1078
- Van Eck L, Schultz T, Leach JE, Scofield SR, Peairs FB, Botha AM, Lapitan NLV (2010) Virus-induced gene silencing of WRKY53 and an inducible phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in wheat reduces aphid resistance. Plant biotechnology journal 8: 1023-1032
- van Loon LC, Rep M, Pieterse CMJ (2006) Significance of inducible defense-related proteins in infected plants. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 44: 135-162
- Van Loon LC, Van Kammen A (1970) Polyacrylamide disc electrophoresis of the soluble leaf proteins from Nicotiana tabacum var.'Samsun'and 'Samsun NN': II. Changes in protein constitution after infection with tobacco mosaic virus. Virology 40: 199-211
- Van Sanford D, Anderson J, Campbell K, Costa J, Cregan P, Griffey C, Hayes P, Ward R (2001) Discovery and deployment of molecular markers linked to Fusarium head blight resistance: an integrated system for wheat and barley. Crop science 41: 638-644
- Vance CP, Kirk TK, Sherwood RT (1980) Lignification as a mechanism of disease resistance. Annual review of phytopathology 18: 259-288
- Várallyay É, Giczey G, Burgyán J (2012) Virus-induced gene silencing of Mlo genes induces powdery mildew resistance in Triticum aestivum. Archives of virology 157: 1345-1350
- Vinaixa M, Schymanski EL, Neumann S, Navarro M, Salek RM, Yanes O (2016) Mass spectral databases for LC/MS-and GC/MS-based metabolomics: State of the field and future prospects. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 78: 23-35
- Waldron BL, Moreno-Sevilla B, Anderson JA, Stack RW, Frohberg RC (1999) RFLP mapping of QTL for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. Crop Science 39: 805-811
- Wang Y, Xu L, Shen H, Wang J, Liu W, Zhu X, Wang R, Sun X, Liu L (2015) Metabolomic analysis with GC-MS to reveal potential metabolites and biological pathways involved in Pb & Cd stress response of radish roots. Scientific reports 5: 18296
- Ward JL, Baker JM, Beale MH (2007) Recent applications of NMR spectroscopy in plant metabolomics. The FEBS journal 274: 1126-1131
- Ward JL, Baker JM, Miller SJ, Deborde C, Maucourt M, Biais B, Rolin D, Moing A, Moco S, Vervoort J (2010) An inter-laboratory comparison demonstrates that [1 H]-NMR metabolite fingerprinting is a robust technique for collaborative plant metabolomic data collection. Metabolomics 6: 263-273
- Weigel D, Ahn JH, Blázquez MA, Borevitz JO, Christensen SK, Fankhauser C, Ferrándiz C, Kardailsky I, Malancharuvil EJ, Neff MM (2000) Activation tagging in Arabidopsis. Plant physiology 122: 1003-1014
- Wilson W, Dahl B, Nganje W (2018) Economic costs of fusarium head blight, scab and deoxynivalenol. World Mycotoxin Journal 11: 291-302
- Windels CE (2000) Economic and social impacts of Fusarium head blight: changing farms and rural communities in the Northern Great Plains. Phytopathology 90: 17-21

- Xiao J, Jin X, Jia X, Wang H, Cao A, Zhao W, Pei H, Xue Z, He L, Chen Q, Wang X (2013) Transcriptomebased discovery of pathways and genes related to resistance against Fusarium head blight in wheat landrace Wangshuibai. BMC Genomics 14: 197
- Xiao X, Ohm HW, Hunt GJ, Poland JA, Kong L, Nemacheck JA, Williams CE (2016) Genotyping-bysequencing to remap QTL for type II Fusarium head blight and leaf rust resistance in a wheat–tall wheatgrass introgression recombinant inbred population. Molecular Breeding **36:** 51
- Xue A, Chen Y, Voldeng H, Savard M, Tian X (2008) Biological control of Fusarium head blight of wheat with Clonostachys rosea strain ACM941. Cereal Research Communications **36:** 695-699
- Yang ZP, Gilbert J, Somers DJ, Fedak G, Procunier JD, McKenzie IH (2003) Marker assisted selection of Fusarium head blight resistance genes in two doubled haploid populations of wheat. Molecular Breeding 12: 309-317
- Yogendra KN, Dhokane D, Kushalappa AC, Sarmiento F, Rodriguez E, Mosquera T (2017) StWRKY8 transcription factor regulates benzylisoquinoline alkaloid pathway in potato conferring resistance to late blight. Plant science : an international journal of experimental plant biology **256**: 208-216
- Yogendra KN, Pushpa D, Mosa KA, Kushalappa AC, Murphy A, Mosquera T (2014) Quantitative resistance in potato leaves to late blight associated with induced hydroxycinnamic acid amides. Funct Integr Genomics 14: 285-298
- Yu J-B, Bai G-H, Cai S-B, Ban T (2006) Marker-assisted characterization of Asian wheat lines for resistance to Fusarium head blight. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 113: 308-320
- Yu JB, Bai GH, Zhou WC, Dong YH, Kolb FL (2008) Quantitative trait loci for Fusarium head blight resistance in a recombinant inbred population of Wangshuibai/Wheaton. Phytopathology 98: 87-94
- Zhao XE, Zhu S, Liu H (2020) Recent progresses of derivatization approaches in the targeted lipidomics analysis by mass spectrometry. Journal of Separation Science **43**: 1838-1846
- Zhong R, Demura T, Ye ZH (2006) SND1, a NAC domain transcription factor, is a key regulator of secondary wall synthesis in fibers of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18: 3158-3170
- Zhou W, Kolb FL, Bai G, Shaner G, Domier LL (2002) Genetic analysis of scab resistance QTL in wheat with microsatellite and AFLP markers. Genome 45: 719-727
- Zhu Z, Bonnett D, Ellis M, He X, Heslot N, Dreisigacker S, Gao C, Singh P (2016) Characterization of Fusarium head blight resistance in a CIMMYT synthetic-derived bread wheat line. Euphytica **208**: 367-375
- Zhuang Y, Gala A, Yen Y (2013) Identification of functional genic components of major fusarium head blight resistance quantitative trait loci in wheat cultivar Sumai 3. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 26: 442-450
- Zou Z, Ishida M, Li F, Kakizaki T, Suzuki S, Kitashiba H, Nishio T (2013) QTL analysis using SNP markers developed by next-generation sequencing for identification of candidate genes controlling 4-methylthio-3butenyl glucosinolate contents in roots of radish, Raphanus sativus L. PLoS One 8: e53541

APPENDICES

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 3. 1 Resistance related (RR) metabolites (P<0.05) detected in the wheat NILs rachis following *F*.

graminearum (Fg) or mock-solution inoculation.

Obse rved	Rete ntion	ID	Name	Observed fragments	Database fragmentation	Chemical group	PubMed ID
mass	time					.	
(Da) ^e							
248.1	14.83	C05643	6-	80.16, 93.22, 101.29, 113.10,	131.06, 132.04, 141.04, 203.08,	Tryptophan	26331804, 26927635
122			Hydroxymelato	119.12, 119.85, 132.13,	205.09, 231.07	metabolism	
			nin	141.12, 164.16, 177.25,			
				190.26, 205.27, 219.19,			
				231.21, 249.27			
102.0	32.68	LMFA0	3-hydroxybut-	57.249775,59.163879,85.135	No MS	Fatty acids	
329		1030978	2-enoic acid	574,59.13623,71.027458			
290.1	15.94	C09492	Laurenobiolide	85.34, 93.16, 112.17, 119.13,	68.99, 171.13, 185.13, 231.10,	Sesquiterpend	oids
544				127.20, 135.22, 154.17,	232.13, 243.13, 245.08		
				171.18, 185.32, 197.12,			
				209.21, 232.23, 249.24,			
				263.30, 274.24, 291.38,			
				298.99			
476.2	16.69	C09420	Emetamine	57.01, 61.99, 78.96, 101.02,	15.02,43.05,57.03,101.05,	Alkaloids bio	synthesis
644				137.06, 153.00, 174.28,	262.18,272.16,274.18,		
				197.12, 247.21, 291.20,	421.25,423.26,431.26,447.26,450.26		
				309.28, 339.05, 381.90,	,451.26,452.28,462.26,463.26		
				416.06, 431.08, 462.09,			
				477.12			
161.0	15.4	C06330	Quinoline-3,4-	101.02,	104.05,105.03,106.06.107.05,120.04,1	32.04,134.02	
491			diol	113.02,119.05,120.04,131.03,	,134.06,136.04,146.02,162.05		https://doi.org/10.1101/
				144.04,148.05,161.02,162.05,			2020.10.30.363010
				163.06,180.48			

^e Raw mass - 1.008 (atomic mass of hydrogen) = Observed mass, as the metabolite analysis was based on positive mode

118.0 239	1.32	SUC	succinate	No MS	No MS	Carboxylate	17032043, 30084118
164.0	4.99	KOX001	4-Coumaric	148.25, 135.11,	163.03, 147.04, 135.05, 119.05,	Phenylprop	https://doi.org/10.1111/
473		42	acid	131.14,119.16, 91.45	91.05	anoid	ijfs.12898, 32560111
206.0	18.97	CPD-	p-	No MS	No MS	phenylprop	32471084
579		12208	coumaroyldiket			anoid	
			ide				
210.0	16.29	44805	Sinapyl-alcohol	No MS	194.05, 179.03, 161.02,151.03,	Phenylprop	26811086
891					133.02, 105.03	anoid	
238.0	18.95	6705	Sinapic acid	No MS	237.07, 133.06,103.05	phenylprop	33412688, 12590493
84			methyl ester			anoid	
356.1	14.48	64481,	1-O-feruloyl-β-	337.08, 295.18,	No MS	Phenylprop	12569404, 19721758
104		C17759	D-glucose	235.29,217.25,193.16,		anoid	
		ļ		175.17, 160.19, 134.16			
372.1	20.12	CPD-	(+)-sesamolinol	No MS	No MS	Phenylpropar	noid
203	0.4	8927		225.54.221.00			00000550
3/6.1	9.4	LOGAN	Loganate	325.76, 331.00,	375.12, 339.13, 329.08,213.07,	Alkaloid	28922750
361		ATE		315.21,221.32, 217.02,	169.08, 113.08		
07.6.1	15.0	000747	A 11	161.12,153.25, 143.25			20000752
5/6.1	15.3	C08/4/	Ailanthone	356.93, 345.19,	No MS	Terpenoid	29899752
510				327.23,195.27, 179.33,			
414.1	11.45	<u> </u>		267.2.162.22	No MS	Dhanularona	hoid
414.1	11.45		(-)- Dodonhvllotovi	207.2,105.25		Filenyipiopai	1010
211			n				
440.2	23.56	I MGP1	$P\Delta(17.1(97)/0)$	No MS	No MS	Glyceropho	27663684
766	25.50	0050002	1 A(17.1(52)/6) 0).1-(97-			spholipids	27003004
700		0050002	heptadecenovl)-			sphonpids	
			sn-glycero-3-				
			phosphate				
520.1	18.56	C17529.	(-)-Pinoresinol	501.23.357.28.267.28.179.18	No MS	Phenylprop	17030818, 18030664
936		71761	glucoside			anoid	,
540.1	25.61	C10548	Cleistanthin A	No MS	No MS	Phenylpropar	noid
623							
564.1	16.48		Isorhamnetin 3-	473.19,443.18,383.26,353.18,	No MS	Flavonoid	6948582
068			(6"-	503.17,545.18			
			malonylglucosi				
			de)				
580.2	17.56	C10890	(+)-	339.2, 327.2, 356.54, 459.16	No MS	Lignan	26492237
147			Syringaresinol				

			O-beta-D-				
			glucoside				
592.2	28.2	LMGP0	PI(18:4(6Z,9Z,	No MS	No MS	Glyceropho	27194736
638		6050017	12Z,15Z)/0:0)			spholipids	
654.1	19.4	LMPK1	Iristectorigenin	329.19,314.10,299.21	No MS	Flavonoid	28922750
786		2050397	A 7-O-				
			gentiobioside				

Supplementary Table 3. 2 Putative candidate genes and gene ontology (GO) underlying QTL-Fhb1.

Gene	Second Annotation (<i>Aegilops</i> <i>Tauschii</i>)	UniPro	tKB		Gene On	tology	
Gene No.	Final Annotation	UniPr ot ID	Gene name	Protein Name	GO accessio n number	GO term name	Biological Process
#1	EXECUTER 2		No gene annotated	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 0304	response to singlet oxygen	
#2	Sarcoplasmic reticulum histidine-rich calcium-binding protein	D8L9 U3	TAA_ctg0954 b.00390.1	Sarcoplasmic reticulum histidine-rich calcium- binding protein	GO:000 5509	calcium ion binding	
#3	Glycosyltransferase, HGA- like	D8L9 S8	hga2	Glycosyltransferase	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	
#4	Glutamate decarboxylase	D8L9 S2	gad1	Glutamate decarboxylase	GO:003 0170	pyridoxal phosphate binding	glutamate metabolic process, carboxylic acid metabolic process
#5	Polygalacturonase 3	D8L9 S1	pg3	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 3824	catalytic activity	
#6	F-box like domain superfamily containing protein	A0A3 B6FE 66	TRAES_3BF0 50600020CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 5515	protein binding	
#7	Phosphatidylserine synthase	D8L9 R0	TAA_ctg0954 b.00080.1	Phosphatidylserine synthase	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	
#8	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 1	A0A3 B6FH K1	No gene annotated	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	GO:000 3824	catalytic activity	response to oxidative stress

#9	Cell wall invertase	A0A3 B6EE	No gene	Uncharacterized	GO:000	hydrolase activity,	carbohydrate metabolic
		A8	annotated	protein	-555	glycosyl compounds	process
#10	Uncharacterized protein		No gene annotated	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 5524	ATP binding	protein amino acid phosphorylation
#11	Rust resistance kinase Lr10	A0A3 B6FE Y5	No gene annotated	Protein kinase domain- containing protein	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	protein amino acid phosphorylation
#12	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 conversed domain	A0A0 77RU H6	TRAES_3BF1 71700050CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein			
#13	Putative disease resistance protein RGA3			Uncharacterized protein	GO:004 3531	ADP binding	
#14	NAC domain-containing protein 75	A0A0 77RQ D0	TRAES_3BF0 96900070CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 3677	DNA binding	regulation of transcription, DNA- dependent
#15	G-patch domain containing protein	W5C ZF8	TRAES_3BF0 96900030CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 3676	nucleic acid binding	
#16	G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase (RKS1), LECRK1	A0A0 77RZ C2	TRAES_3BF0 87100050CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	phosphorylation, protein amino acid phosphorylation
#17	NAC transcription factor 32- like	A0A0 77RZ D5	TRAES_3BF1 00600040CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 5634	nucleus	regulation of transcription, DNA- dependent
#18	Purple acid phosphatase	F6MI W5, C4PK L0	TRAES_3BF0 26700100CFD _c1, PAPhy	Purple acid phosphatase	GO:004 6872	metal ion binding	
#19	Calmodulin TaCaM2-2	P9405 8	TRAES_3BF0 79400020CFD _c1	Calmodulin TaCaM2-2	GO:000 5509	calcium ion binding	
#20	Cytochrome P450 72A14-like	W5D6 D0	TRAES_3BF0 21100050CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:002 0037	heme binding	oxidation reduction
#21	Peroxidase 19-like	A0A3 B6FS 14	No gene annotated	Peroxidase	GO:004 6872	metal ion binding	response to oxidative stress, oxidation reduction

#22	Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc-1)	A0A3 B6FN 69	No gene annotated	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 5524	ATP binding	protein amino acid phosphorylation
#23	Violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE) domain containing protein	W5D6 Y2	TRAES_3BF0 93600100CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:005 5114	oxidation-reduction process	oxidation reduction
#24	WD40 repeat domain containing protein	W5D4 F5	TRAES_3BF0 07900030CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 5737	cytoplasm	
#25	Glutamate synthase 1	A0A3 B6FN M9	No gene annotated	Uncharacterized protein	GO:001 6491	oxidoreductase activity	nitrogen compound metabolic process, oxidation reduction
#26	1,3-beta-glucan synthase	A0A0 77RZ R5	TRAES_3BF0 46100040CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	
#27	DUF1421 domain containing protein	W5CS Z6	TRAES_3BF0 46100050CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein			
#28	Potassium channel KAT3-like	W5D6 C9	TRAES_3BF0 90300160CFD _c1	Cyclic nucleotide- binding domain- containing protein	GO:001 6021	integral component of membrane	
#29	Heat shock protein 70	W5C XB0	TRAES_3BF0 23000010CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 6457		
#30	UDP-arabinopyranose mutase 1-like	A0A0 77RV B3	TRAES_3BF0 03200130CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:001 6866	intramolecular transferase activity	
#31	Beta-glucosidase BoGH3B- like	W5D3 09	TRAES_3BF0 74800100CFD _c1	Uncharacterized protein	GO:000 4553	hydrolase activity, hydrolyzing O- glycosyl compounds	carbohydrate metabolic process
#32	Scarecrow-like protein 9	A0A3 B6FT 64	No gene annotated	Uncharacterized protein			
#33	Laccase-4-like	A0A0 77RU W0	TRAES_3BF0 90100140CFD _c1	Laccase	GO:000 5507	copper ion binding	oxidation reduction
#34	Protein PELOTA 1-like	W5D2 Z2	TRAES_3BF0 56100010CFD _c1	Protein pelota homolog	GO:004 6872	metal ion binding	

#35	NB-ARC domain-containing	A0A0	TRAES_3BF0	Uncharacterized	GO:004	ADP binding	
	protein	77S3	41300010CFD	protein	3531		
		Y9	_c1				
#36	Two-component response	A0A3	No gene	Two-component	GO:000	DNA-binding	regulation of
	regulator ORR25-like	B6G0	annotated	response regulator	3700	transcription factor	transcription, DNA-
		N7				activity	dependent
#37	SKP1-like protein	W5D5	TRAES_3BF0	Uncharacterized	GO:000	ubiquitin-dependent p	rotein catabolic process
		22	52700010CFD	protein	6511		
			_c1				

Supplementary Table 4.1 Lists the predicted minimum binding energy scores (kJ/mol), RMSD of best-fit predicted

laccase models with lignin model compounds obtained from AutoDock Vina software.

Ligand (Modelled Protein-ID)	L1	L2	L3	L4
Binding energies (kJ/mol)	-16.02472	-21.21288	-0.71128	1.86
Amino acid residues of modelled laccase in	GLN265, ASP207	PHE267, GLN265	GLN188, ARG185, VAL138, ASN170	THR442, LEU306
contact				
root mean square deviation (RMSD) (nm)	0.64	0.7	0.79	0.84

Supplementary Table 4.2 List of high fold change metabolites identified in NIL-R+BSMV:Talac4 (TaLAC4 silenced

NILs).

Ι	0	Ε	Α	D	Na	F	Cl	Observed Fragmentation	Database Fragmentation
D	bs	х	Μ	а	me	0	ass		
	er	а	Е	t		1	ific		
	ve	с		а		d	ati		
	d	t		b		С	on		
	Μ	Μ		а		h			
	as	a		s		a			
	s	s		e		n			
		s		Ι		g			
				D		e			

4 7 2 9	2 4 6. 0 5 3 3 6 8	2 4 6. 0 5 2 8	2 3 0 6 8	C 2 1 6 2	Iso pim pin elli n	1 3 7 * *	Co um ari ns	61.99,74.02,78.96,88.04,96.97,110.0 2,129.10,145.06,153,163.07,171.01,1 83.11,201.12,210.08,227.11,244.03,2 45.04,246.05	31.01838972,44.99765427,55.01838972,163.04,173.0602545,177.0551691,18 3.0395191,191.0344337,203.0708192,227.0500838,247.0606485
9 6 8	3 3 0. 9 5 6 0 5	3 3 0. 9 5 1	1 5 2 9 3	C 2 0 4 7 0	1- O- Van illo yl- beta -D- glu cos e	4 .2 6 *	HC AA s	61.99,78.96,83.05,87.01,99.08,99.92, 113.10,127.11,137.10,139.11,145.06, 155.11,167.14,171.10,176.93,183.14, 193.12,201.11,211.13,212.14,214.89, 229.14,230.15,241,255.23,256.24	No MS
$\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\8\\4\\4\end{array}$	5 8 0. 2 1 5 1 7 1	5 8 0. 2 1 5 6	- 0 7 4 0 1	C 1 8 9	(+)- Syri nga resi nol O- beta -D- glu cosi de	3 4 2 *	Ph eny lpr opa noi ds	61.99,78.96,89.02,123.04,153,163.04 ,165.05,193.05,207,225.01,243.02,25 5.23,279.23,299.04,314.04,329.07,35 5.12,368.09,383.08,411.31,476.10,49 1.13,509.79,558.03,579.29,600.37	No MS
1 2 4 5 7	3 4 0. 3 3 4 3 5 8	3 4 0. 3 3 4 1	0 7 5 8 1	C 8 2 8 1	Doc osa noi c acid	2 7 6 *	Cut in, sub eri ne and wa x bio	61.99,75.56,83.05,97.03,114.02,125, 10,139.11,163.11,171.10,183.01,184. 02,199.13,225.15,245.19,252.08,281. 08,289.18,309.08,324.10,339.20,340. 20,341.20,355.83	$\begin{array}{c} 17.00273965,\!41.00273965,\!43.01838972,\!43.05477522,\!44.99765427,\!57.07042\\ 529,\!59.01330434,\!71.08607535,\!75.10172542,\!99.11737548,\!114.1330255,\!127.1\\ 486756,\!141.1643257,\!155.1799757,\!169.1956258,\!183.2112759,\!197.2269259,\!2\\ 11.242576,\!225.2582261,\!239.2738761,\!253.2895262,\!267.3051762,\!279.305176\\ 2,\!291.3051762,\!293.3208263,\!295.3364764,\!303.3051762,\!311.2950055,\!321.315\\ 7409,\!323.2950055,\!339.3263056 \end{array}$

							syn the sis		
1 5 7	3 2 6. 1 3 4 9 1 5	3 2 6. 1 3 6 6	- 5 1 6 5 3	C 1 5 4 5 1	Hin okit iol glu cosi de	2 6 7 *	Fla vo noi ds	59.01,71.01,85.03,97.06,125.10,127. 05,128.03,145.06,146.07,171.10,183. 01,185.12,209.12,211.13,221.12,229. 14,239.13,247.21,265.22	No MS
8 5 4	5 7 8. 2 0 0 5 6 5	5 7 8. 1 9 9 9	1 1 4 9 9	C 1 8 7 6	Pod orhi zol beta -D- glu cosi de	2 3 8 *	Ph eny lpr opa noi ds	59.01,80.96,94.98,125.02,152.98,164 .99,183,207,225.01,243.02,277.22,29 9.04,310.08,322.09,325.11,337.11,35 3.07,383.08,415.18,439.10,476.10,49 7.21,513.48,567.67,577.27,607.34	No MS
3 6 8 6	3 4 2. 1 3 1 4 6 7	3 4 2. 1 3 1 5	- 0 0 9 6 5	C 7 6 1	Con iferi n	2 4 8 *	Ph eny lpr opa noi ds	59.01,60.02,71.01,85.03,89.02,96.97, 101.02,113.02,131.05,145.03,161.04, 171.10,179.06,201.11,209.12,225.15, 252.08,267.10,283.26,291.20,292.20, 313.04,328.06,343.25,355.48,363.43	45.0334912,137.0597059,145.0647913,149.0597059,161.0597059,163.06009 99,163.075356,165.0910061,181.0859207,297.0968793,307.1176147,311.112 5294,325.1281794,343.1387441
1 5 7 5	2 3 6. 0 6 8 0 3 5	2 3 6. 0 6 8 5	- 1 9 6 8 1	C 9 3 1 3	5,6, 7- Tri met hox yco um arin	2 2 2 *	Co um ari ns	53.13,61.99,72.99,78.96,94.98,113.0 2,119.05,125.02,145.03,147,160.09,1 66.95,168.10,190.99,206.42,217.16,2 20.15,221.15,233.15,235.17,236.17,2 36.91,247	93.97,124.93,141.85,154.95,168.96,186.92,217.07

Supplementary Text

Figure 4.2 c Phylogenetic analysis for *TaLAC***.** The phylogenetic study was performed to understand the *TaLAC* gene relation with other laccases in the wheat genomes and other plant species such as *Hordeum vulgare*, *Brachypodium distachyon*, *Zea mays*, *Oryza brachyantha*, *Setaria italica*, *Panicum hallii* etc. The *TaLAC* sequence was used to search against the NCBI protein database to extract similar sequences in other plant species. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA7.0 software. The *TaLAC* gene (unnamed protein product in *T. aestivum*) is grouped along with the laccase-13-like or laccase-4 in other cereal crops. However, they were distinctly divided into two separate subgroups, indicating similarity among the laccases. Nevertheless, they have specific differences between them when it comes to the evolutionarily closed species. The *TaLAC* gene sequence (unnamed protein product in *T. aestivum*) having a recent common ancestor with laccase-4-like in *A. tauschi* and laccase-4 in *T. aratu*, suggested it be laccase-4 in *T. aestivum*.

Figure 5.1 b Phylogenetic analysis for NAC transcription factor 32-like. An unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by performing multiple sequence alignments of NAC proteins from several plants using the Neighbor-Joining method with well-supported bootstrap values with MEGA7.0 to confirm the phylogenetic relationships between the wheat NAC transcription factors and evaluate the evolutionary history of the TaNAC gene families among other related plant species. From the phylogenetic analysis, it can be concluded that the TaNAC (characterized as unnamed protein product) in Triticum aestivum have certain close homology with NAC transcription factor-32 like in Aegilops tauschii subsp. tauschii. Also, it can be seen that TaNAC is closely grouped with NAC transcription factor 56, NAC transcription factor 32, NAC transcription factor 25, NAC transcription factor-32 like, and NAC domain-containing protein 72like in Brachypodium distachyon, Setaria italica, Sorghum bicolor, Panicum halli, and Panicum miliaceum respectively. This further indicated that TaNAC in Triticum aestivum shares a close phylogenetic relationship with other wheat genomes and cereal crops during the evolutionary process. Furthermore, the phylogenetic relationship analysis can provide novel insights into the evolution of diverse NAC transcription factor gene family members, gene multiplicity and their putative functions in wheat.

Figure 5.8 BoxPlot represents relative metabolite abundances of RRI metabolites in silenced (BSMV: *Tanac032*) and non-silenced (BSMV: 00) NIL-R at 3 dpi after *Fg* inoculation. Coniferin, Podorhizol beta-D-glucoside, and 4-Hydroxycinnamyl alcohol 4-D-glucoside. Significant differences in expression levels as compared in silenced (BSMV: *TaNAC032*) with non-silenced (BSMV: 00) upon *Fg* inoculation using Students *t*-test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. The box plots show the normalized values or concentration (mean +/- one standard deviation). The boxes range from the 25% and the 75% percentiles; the 5% and 95% percentiles are indicated as error bars; circles indicate single data points. Horizontal lines indicate medians within each box. Here, EM: non-silenced (mock-treated), EP: non-silenced (pathogen (*Fg*) treated), NM: *TaNAC032* silenced (mock-treated), NP: *TaNAC032* silenced (pathogen (*Fg*) treated).

PERMISSION FOR MANUSCRIPT USE

Personal use

Authors can use their articles, in full or in part, for a wide range of scholarly, non-commercial purposes as outlined below:

- Use by an author in the author's classroom teaching (including distribution of copies, paper or electronic)
- Distribution of copies (including through e-mail) to known research colleagues for their personal use (but not for Commercial Use)
- Inclusion in a thesis or dissertation (provided that this is not to be published commercially)
- Use in a subsequent compilation of the author's works
- Extending the Article to book-length form
- Preparation of other derivative works (but not for Commercial Use)
- Otherwise using or re-using portions or excerpts in other works

These rights apply for all Elsevier authors who publish their article as either a subscription article or an open access article. In all cases we require that all Elsevier authors always include a full acknowledgement and, if appropriate, a link to the final published version hosted on Science Direct.

Commercial use

This is defined as the use or posting of articles:

- For commercial gain without a formal agreement with the publisher.
 - For example by associating advertising with the full-text of the article, by providing hosting services to other repositories or to other organizations (including where an otherwise non-commercial site or repository provides a service to other organizations or agencies), or charging fees for document delivery or access
- To substitute for the services provided directly by the journal.
 - For example article aggregation, systematic distribution of articles via e-mail lists or share buttons, posting, indexing, or linking for promotional/marketing activities,

by commercial companies for use by customers and intended target audiences of such companies (e.g. pharmaceutical companies and healthcare professionals/physician-prescribers).

If you would like information on how to obtain permission for such uses <u>click here</u> or if you would like to make commercial use of the article please visit the <u>Permissions Support Center</u>.

Internal institutional use

- Use by the author's institution for classroom teaching at the institution and for internal training purposes (including distribution of copies, paper or electronic, and use in coursepacks and courseware programs, but not in Massive Open Online Courses)
- Inclusion of the Article in applications for grant funding
- For authors employed by companies, the use by that company for internal training purposes

BIOSAFETY RELATED DOCUMENTS

McGill University Environmental Health and Safety

Room 426, McTavish 3610 Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT

Nancy Soni

Department of Plant Science SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED CORE TRAINING IN Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System (W.H.M.I.S.)

ON 23-Aug-16

Joseph Vincelli

Joseph Vincelli EHS Operations Manager Valid Until Friday, August 23, 2019

LOW over

Wayne Wood Director, EHS

McGill University Environmental Health and Safety

Room 426, McTavish 3610 Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT

Nancy Soni

Department of Plant Science successfully completed core training in Introduction to Biosafety

О 13-Мау-16

Joseph Vincelli

Joseph Vincelli EHS Operations Manager Valid Until Monday, May 13, 2019

Wayne Wood Director, EHS