# APPLICATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL NITROGEN ON BROCCOLI

## (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck)

GROWN ON ST BLAISE SOILS.

by

Bernard Vigier

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Department of Renewable Resources Macdonald College of McGill University Ste Anne de Bellevue, Québec, Canada

Ċ

ų,

(

 $\odot$ 

December 1983

M.Sc. BERNARD VIGIER

RENEWABLE RESOURCES

APPLICATION OF SUPPLEMENTAL N ON BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck) GROWN ON ST-BLAISE SOILS.

ABSTRACT

Experiments on the methods of application, of urea were tested in combination with Mo for two years at two soil pH levels. Feed-grade urea was applied in bands at a rate of 60 kg N/ha and as foliar sprays at a rate of 13.5 kg N/ha. Mo was applied, as a foliar spray, at 0.2 kg Mo/ha.

Mo treatments delayed maturity significantly when compared to control plots in all trials, while they increased plant NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels significantly during the 1981 trial at a soil pH of 6.5. Banded urea treatments increased broccoli yields significantly during 1982 trials and increased plant NO<sub>3</sub>-N and total N in the three trials at soil pH values of 6.5 and 7.3.

The estimated fertilizer N recovery by broccoli plants was increased with foliar urea compared to banded urea applications.

M.Sc. BERNARD VIGIER

#### **RENEWABLE RESOURCES**

FERTILISATION AZOTEE SUPPLEMENTAIRE APPLIQUEE AU BROCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck) CULTIVE SUR SOLS ST-BLAISE.

Ġ.

RESUME

Des essais de fertilisation combinés d'urée et de Mo ont été effectués durant deux années à deux niveaux de pH de sol. L'urée de type alimentaire fut appliquée à raison de 60 kg N/ha en bandes et 13,5 kg N/ha en solution foliaire. Une solution de Mo fut appliquée sur le feuillage à raison de 0,2 kg Mo/ha.

Les applications de Mo ont diminué significativement la maturité hâtive dans tous les essais, tandis qu'elles ont augmenté la teneur en NO3-N du feuillage sur un sol à pH 6.5 en 1981. L'urée en bandes a augmenté de manière significative le rendement en brocoli durant les essais de 1982 et a accru le niveau des NO3-N et du N total du feuillage dans trois essais sur des sols à pH 6.5 et 7.3.

La récupération estimée de l'engrais N par le brocoli a été supérieure avec l'application d'urée foliaire lorsque comparée à celle d'urée en bandes.

UREA APPLICATIONS AND BROCCOLI YIELDS

Suggested short title:

٥.

C

Ċ

ţ

B. VIGIER

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authorization by the Research Station, Agriculture Canada, St. Jeansur-Richelieu to pursue graduate studies is hereby gratefully acknowledged. I would especially like to thank Dr. A.F. Mackenzie, professor of soil science, for suggesting the methodology used in this work.

Many people have encouraged and aided the author in bringing this work to completion: my wife Deborah with her understanding and patience; J.A. Millette and P. Kirby for their help with the statistics; P.E. Chamberland for some enlightening discussions; D. Choquette for plant tissue extractions in the St. Jean-sur-Richelieu Research Station laboratory and Agriculture Canada CBRI Analytical Services in Ottawa for analyses of soil samples.

(+

#### FOREWORD

This thesis is presented as two papers, noted as Chapters 3 and 4 respectively, to be submitted for publication. It contains an overall introduction and ends with a combined summary.

ii

. . 22

• · ·

(``

,	¢	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page	
	ACKNOWLEDG	EMENTS	i	
-	FOREWORD .	······	ii	
	TABLE OF CO	DNTENTS	iii	
	LIST OF TAI	BLES	FT <sup>22</sup> o V	
	CHAPTER 1.	INTRODUCTION	1 /	•
	CHAPTER 2.	GENERAL LITERATURE REVIEW	3	
		<ul> <li>a) Forms of N absorbed by plants</li> <li>b) Methods of N application</li> <li>c) Efficiency of nutrients applied in sprays</li> <li>d) Mechanisms affecting the absorption of N</li> <li>e) Urea toxicity</li> <li>f) Effect of Mo on N absorption</li> <li>g) Physiological disorders and N</li> </ul>	• • •	
		REFERENCES	13	
	CHAPTER 3.	EFFECTS OF BANDED VERSUS FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF UREA IN COMBINATION WITH MOLYBDENUM ON YIELD AND N RECOVERY BY BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. ssp italica Plenck)	18	,
,		INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	19	٠. ,
<u>'۵</u>		MATERIALS AND METHODS	22	- ,
•		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	29	
		CONCLUSIONS	43	
	۱,	REFERENCES	44	, , 1
	CONNECTING	PARAGRAPH	48	1
	CHAPTER 4.	EFFECTS OF SUPPLEMENTAL N FERTILIZATION OF SOILS AT DIFFERENT pH VALUES ON NUTRIENT CONTENT OF BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck) LEAVES	49	8 4 8
-		INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	50	,
		MATERIALS AND METHODS	53 <sup>′</sup>	I

. iii

-,

(

, TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 4 Cont'd

£

C.

	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55	•
٨	CONCLUSIONS	68	
	REFERENCES	69	
CHAPTER 5.	SUMMARY	72	,
APPENDIX		73	

iv

Ć

Page

### LIST OF TABLES

Table

(

(.

(

`

۶.

7

# CHAPTER 3

1	Some properties of St. Blaise soils (0-20 cm depth) after the	
	experiments at l'Acadie experimental farm in 1981 and 1982	23
2	Schedule and rates of applications of treatments at l'Acadie	
	farm on broccoli plants	25
3	Monthly meteorological data at l'Acadie farm in 1981 and 1982.	30
4	Broccoli growth results combined over: 4 trials during 1981-	
	1982, 2 trials during 1981, 2 trials during 1982 and trials	
	åt pH 6.5 during 1981-1982 using a split-plot analysis	31
5	Broccoli growth results combined over 4 trials in 1981-1982	ъ
	using a split-plot analysis	33
6	Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1981 trials	
	at pH 6.5	34
- 7	Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1981 trials	Ĺ
	at pH 7.3	35
8	Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1982 trials	
	at pH 5.1	36
9	Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1982 trials	
1	at pH 6.5	37
10	Effects of methods of application of urea on broccoli spear	-
	weight observed over combined pH trials during 1981-1982 in a	
	split-plot analysis	38
	•	

Page

LIST OF TABLES

	Tab	le	Page
1	3	CHAPTER 3	
	11	Estimated percentage N recovery (ENR) during 1981 and 1982	
		calculated over 4 sampling dates and analyzed as a split-plot	
		in time	39
	12	Results of interactions between each level of Mo treatment	
		and methods of urea application for the estimation of the	•
	<i>\$</i> ,	percentage of N recovery (ENR) by broccoli plants during 1981	
		and 1982 trials	41
		•	

1

(

# LIST OF TABLES

c

٥

()

Tai	ble	Page
	CHAPTER 4	æ
1	Total N-levels in broccoli plants during 1981 and 1982 trials	i.
	at different soil pH values	56
2	NO3-N levels in broccoli plants during 1981 and 1982 trials	
ය	at different soil pH values	57
3	Coefficients of regression analyses between the nutrient	
	content of broccoli plants and yield parameters taken at 4	ł
	sampling dates over 24 plots during 1981 and 1982	59
• 4	Coefficients of regression analyses between the nutrient-	
	content of broccoli plants taken at 4 sampling dates during	-
	1981 and 1982	60
5	K levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values	
	during 1981 and 1982 trials	61
6	Ca levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH	æ
	values during 1981 and 1982 trials	63 👈
× 7	Mg levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH	,
· •	values during 1981 and 1982 trials	64
8	B levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values	•
•	during 1981 and 1982 trials	65

vii

: ٢

鼠

APPENDIX

Tal	ble	Page
1	Summary of broccoli nutrient content interactions of 24 plots	,
	at different dates during 1981 trials at two soil pH levels	74
2	Summary of broccoli nutrient content correlations with plant	
	yield parameters at different sampling dates during 1981	
	trials at two soil pH levels	75
3	Summary of broccoli nutrient content interactions of 24 plots	£
	at different dates during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels	<b>76</b> -
4	Summary of broccoli nutrient content correlations with plant	
	yield parameters at different sampling dates during <sup>°</sup> 1982	-
	trials at two soil pH levels	77
- 5	Plants, NO <sub>3</sub> -N levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling during	ډ
,	1981 trials at two soil pH levels	78
6	Plants NO <sub>3</sub> -N levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during	
	1982 trials at two soil pH levels	7 <del>9</del>
7	Plants total N levels at 50, 52, 71 and 53 days sampling	0 、
	during 1981 trials at two soil pH levels	80
8	Plants total N levels at 74, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling	 
	during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels	81
9	Plants K levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling during 1981	
9 9	trials at two soil pH levels	82 ,
10	Plants K levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 1982	
	trials at two soil pH levels	83
	•-	*

49

C'

viíi

### APPENDIX

7

5

Table	Page
11 Plants B levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 198	32
trials at two soil pH levels	. 84
12 Plants B levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 198	12
trials at two soil pH levels	. 85
13 Plants Ca levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling durin	ıg
1981 trials at two soil pH levels	. 86
14 Plants Ca levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling durin	ıg
1982 trials at two soil pH levels $\ldots$	. 87
15 Plants Mg levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling durin	ıg
1981 trials at two soil pH levels	. 88
16 Plants Mg levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling durin	ig
1982 trials at two soil pH levels $\ldots$	. 89
17 Estimated N recovery (ENR) by broccoli plants during 198	n È
<b>trials</b> at 4 sampling dates	. 90
18 Estimated N recovery (ENR) by broccoli plants during 198	12
<b>trials</b> at 4 sampling dates	. 91
19 Covariance analysis of soil NO <sub>3</sub> -N levels adjusted to initia	<b>1</b>
levels at the end of the 1981 trials, at two soil depths an	iđ ,
soil pH levels	. 92

()

Arris 2 " 25

{

ix

#### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

Intensive fertilization programs which are required by most vegetable crops have promoted the development of application techniques which minimize labour and integrate these operations with other cultural practices. Foliar applications of fertilizers have been found to be practical with vegetables, such as <u>Brassica</u> crops, which require a large amount of N fertilizer and a variety of micro-nutrients.

This method, which is less energy consuming, is already in use by some farmers on broccoli (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck). It is preferred to the more conventional technique of side-dressed or banded applications along the row with supplemental N.

....

The benefits of added N, which will be discussed in the following chapter, can be significant but high levels of N applications can result in problems specific to Brassicae.

The following experiment was designed to study the effect of urea, used as a N fertilizer source, applied in bands along the row and in solution on the foliage in combination with foliar applications of Mo, on broccoli yields. The performance of the crop, soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N, and total  $\overline{N}$ , K, Mg, Ca and B levels in the plant were monitored in an attempt to relate these nutrient levels to added N and Mo. The Mo treatment was applied mainly to determine if it had an effect on the recovery of the N fertilizer by the plant. Trials were undertaken at different soil pH values with similar soil fertility levels and texture.

A general literature review will follow on N fertilization and Brassicae with more emphasis on broccoli plants. The next two consecutive

-1-

chapters (3 and 4) will be presented discussing first, yield results and N recovery by plants and second, the effects of the treatments on the plant nutrient status. The final chapter will be a summary of the results obtained.

¥ ;

1

一下子子 四十二 一下 一下 一下 一下

#### CHAPTER 2

#### GENERAL LITERATURE REVIEW

#### a) Forms of nitrogen absorbed by plants

52

Plants take up N either in the nitrate or ammonium forms of N. Steward <u>et</u>. <u>al</u>. (1959) mentioned that the contrast between the two N sources (NO<sub>3</sub>-N or NH<sub>4</sub>-N) was self-evident, with NO<sub>3</sub>-N leading to low soluble N in the plant or little more than enough N for the protein synthesis and glutamine formation, while the use of NH<sub>4</sub>-N salts led to an accumulation of soluble N beyond the needs of synthesis. According to Bollard (1959), ammonium nutrition can limit growth by concentrating the energy production of the plant into making carbon skeletons required for the storage of NH<sub>4</sub>-N, thus diverting energy away from growth. Therefore, even if both forms are required, NO<sub>3</sub>-N is the primary form of inorganic N available for nutrition.

Okalebo and Mackenzie (1978) reported that plant roots were shown to have little tolerance to NH3-N, especially in a situation where nitrification was reduced. Bollard (1959) reported that plant response to N-forms can vary according to the crop concerned, but generally, NO3-N ' gives the most consistent results in experiments done on sterile soils.

According to Barker and Hills (1980), the horticulture industry depends increasingly on ammoniacal fertilizers since soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N leaching and denitrification losses are quite high with NO<sub>3</sub>-N sources. However, Gorin (1962) stated that the rapid conversion of NH<sub>4</sub>-N into NO<sub>3</sub>-N limits the

- 3 -

effectiveness of applying ammoniacal N as a means of increasing N fertilizer utilization by plants. Geraldson (1967) reported that, in most soils, about 80 to 90% of the soluble N is present as NO<sub>3</sub>-N and 10 to 20% as NH<sub>4</sub>-N. Plant abnormalities could be induced by  $NH_4^+$  when in large proportions in the soil solution, because this cation can become competitive to the uptake of other cations.

In an experiment conducted by Pimpini (1973) with ammonium nitrate  $(NH_4NO_3)$  and urea  $(CO(NH_2)_2)$  fertilizers, both applied to the soil at a rate of 300 kg N/ha on cauliflower (<u>Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.</u>) plants, the NO<sub>3</sub>-N level in leaves was 11% higher with urea, showing a higher utilization of urea by this Brassica crop.

High rates of added N can also result in NO<sub>3</sub>-N accumulation in the plant, especially in <u>Brassicae</u> which are considered to be NO<sub>3</sub> accumulators and may reach toxic levels with regard to human consumption (Maynard, 1976). However, in the case of broccoli and Brussels sprouts (<u>Brassica</u> <u>oleracea</u> var <u>gemmifera</u> L.), Munro <u>et al</u>. (1978) found that plant N levels declined as plant maturity increased, therefore reducing the risk of toxicity.

#### b) Methods of N applications

Controversy still exists between foliar and soil banded applications of N, as to which method is to be preferred. The first experiments on these methods were made on fruit trees, where benefits of foliar applications were related to the deep rooting depth of trees that could delay the absorption of fertilizers applied to the soil surface. Experiments carried out on apple (<u>Malus sylvestris Mill.</u>) trees have shown equal benefits in

- 4 -

yields from either foliar or banded applications of urea (Fisher, 1952; Leece, 1979; Thorne, 1953). However, leaf sprays of urea caused a more rapid, albeit more temporary, N response than soil applications. Experiments conducted by Nelson (1956) showed that urea sprays on corn (<u>Zea mays L.</u>) did not produce superior yield benefits compared to the same amount of N applied to the soil as side-dressing.

ſ.

Magnifico <u>et al</u>. (1979) reported that broccoli plants removed 559 kg N/ha and 723 kg K/ha; whereas, P uptake was in the range of only 23 kg/ha.. The same authors indicated that only 10% of N fertilizer was actually recovered in the harvested broccoli spears when 135 kg N/ha was applied in bands, suggesting that a large amount of N was applied in relation to a small recovery by the plants. Cutcliffe (1971) reported a 5% increase of marketable broccoli spears as fertilizer N applied in bands was increased from 90 to 180 kg N/ha.

Other experiments on cauliflower by Rajput and Singh (1975) indicated a better response in plant height and number of leaves to split basal (in bands) applications of urea compared to split N foliar applications. Smith and Chalk (1980) compared fertilizer band applications of aqueous ammonia, ammonium sulphate and  $^{15}N$  labelled urea and noticed that urea and ammonia produced an alkaline environment in the soil, while ammonium sulphate produced an acid one, affecting the <u>Nitrobacter</u> activity in nitrate formation through the biological oxidation of nitrate.

To conclude this section, benefits from either method are debatable because higher plants can take up urea as well as the products of its hydrolysis by both the root systems and the aerial part. Panak <u>et al</u>. (1981) observed that not only the translocation and the assimilation of N

- 5

from urea was possible but some carbon was absorbed as well.

c) Efficiency of nutrients applied in sprays

According to Engelstad and Russel (1975), application of micronutrients by foliar sprays is an accepted practice, since metals applied this way are not fixed in the soil and are more readily available to the plants. This technique is a common practice on fruit trees which have deep roots, because the nutrients applied as foliar sprays are more readily absorbed by the plant as compared to nutrients which are applied to the soil. However, foliar applications of macro-nutrients have not generally been successful because of the difficulty in getting significant quantities of N, P or K into the plant foliage without causing serious leaf damage. Thorne (1953) noticed that the response to foliar applications of N, P and K was similar only to N applications on apple trees suggesting that N is the macro-nutrient mostly absorbed in sprays.

Mengel and Kirkby (1978) reported that urea is the most common form of N applied which is readily taken up and metabolized in the leaf tissue. Hinsvark <u>et al</u>. (1953) and Islam and Rashid (1973) observed a number of horticultural crops which did respond favorably to foliar applications of urea and noted that plants such as cucumbers which showed the least tolerance to urea had the greatest urease activity response. The greater effectiveness of foliar-applied urea as compared to the other inorganic ionic forms, was believed to be a result of its non-polar organic properties.

-6-

đ

#### d) Mechanisms affecting the absorption of N

(

Different aspects of nitrogen absorption have been extensively reviewed concerning soil water content, soil cation exchange capacity, temperature, soil pH, urease activity and nitrification rate.

Nutrient uptake by leaf cell is the same as that of nutrients absorbed by plant root cell, the main step being the transport through the biological membrane; i.e., the plasmalemma. Mengel and Kirkby (1978) mentioned that the uptake of nutrients in ionic forms from a solution was limited to the outer epidermal cells of the leaves which are covered by the cuticle, which consists of wax films alternating with cutin lamellas. The rate of uptake is controlled by the diffusion of plant nutrients from the water film on the leaf surface through the cuticle and cell wall to the plasmalemma.

The efficiency of the nutrient uptake by leaf tissue is directly related to the amount of time the nutrient solution will remain as a fine film covering the leaf surface. Therefore, spraying should be done on cool, cloudy days or in the evening to reduce the evaporation and the crystallization of minerals on the leaves (Volk and McAuliffe, 1954).

Bollard (1959) suggested that the urea-N in the leaves may be broken down on the surface of the plant by the urease-enzymatic activity producing carbon-dioxide and ammonium ions which are converted into nitrate. In soil application of urea, temperature is an important factor for improving the rate of hydrolysis. Terman (1979) reported an increase in the rate of hydrolysis from 50 to 85% with temperature ranging from 10 to 20°C on a silt-loam after two weeks.

On the foliage, the rapid hydrolysis induced by high urease activity

will cause an accumulation of hydrolytic products which could increase foliage injury (Hinsvark <u>et al.</u>, 1953). In this experiment the most rapid rate of hydrolysis occurred when urea was applied to cucumber (<u>Cucumis</u> <u>sativus L.</u>) leaves, which had the greatest sensitivity to toxicity and had the greatest urease activity.

Other work done by Volk and McAuliffe (1954), on the rate of foliar absorption of urea by tobacco (<u>Nicotiana tobacum</u> L.) plants, has shown that the 'cutinized layer' of the leaves acted as a physical barrier and may be one of the major factors limiting absorption. Therefore, it is possible that thickly cutinized leaves of <u>Brassica</u> crops would be less permissive to nutrient absorption. Another aspect investigated, by these authors, was the time of foliar application which gave an uptake 3 to 10 times larger when applications were done at night compared to during the day; further, the absorption in the morning was three times greater than in the afternoon. Therefore, the time of application is also very important.

#### e) Urea toxicity

Urea toxicity could occur if ammonia or nitrite toxic levels are reached, during the ammonification process, which is a transient state. However, urea grading could be a source of toxicity by itself because it is related to biuret content. This toxic compound is formed through the process involving the conversion of urea into pellets by spraying a concentrated solution at high temperature. Biuret, when present in foliage sprays has some toxic effects on some plants; however, soil applications of a urea-biuret mixture (10% biuret) at a rate of 100 kg N/ha were not found harmful to corn, cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), tomato (Lycopersicon

-8-

esculentum Mill.) and oats (<u>Avena sativa</u> L.) (Jacob, 1959). On the other hand, foliage burning could occur if there is more than 1% biuret if urea is applied on the foliage (Tisdale and Nelson, 1975).

The type of foliage sprays seems to affect toxicity as well. Chesnin and Shafer (1953) found a higher tolerance of corn, wheat (<u>Triticum</u> <u>vulgare</u>, Vill.) and alfalfa (<u>Medicago sativa</u> L.) when urea solutions were sprayed with fine droplets and more toxicity when droplet size was relatively larger.

#### f) Effect of Mo on N absorption

It is well known that molybdenum (Mo) deficiency is responsible for the whiptail disorder of broccoli and caulif<u>lower</u> (Stout and Johnson, 1956). Field responses to Mo by <u>Brassicae</u> have been reported from widely separated geographical regions.

Treatments of horticultural and field crops with Mo must be moderate because there is a link between high levels of Mo in feeds and molybdenosis However, Mo has many functions in the plant. in livestock. Spencer and Wood (1954) identified at least three metabolic roles of this element. It was found to be required for the nitrogen-fixation reactions of both free-living and symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacteria and the composition of the nitrate-reductase systems of non-leguminous plants. The third metabolic role suggested by is that molybdate the authors in physiological concentrations, inhibits the acid phosphatase of

- 9 -

higher plants.

The second mentioned function of Mo in specific enzymes is corroborated by Haam and Zwerman (1976) who emphasized the evidence that Mo had an effect on N fixation, not only on legume crops through the nitrogenase contained in the root-nodule bacteria but on non-legume crops through the nitrate-reductase of Azotobacter micro-organisms. Anderson (1956)identified Mo as being an essential component of the enzyme nitratereductase, which is obtained from Neurospora and Aspergillus. Gupta and Lipsett (1981) reported that nitrate reductase and nitrogenase require Mo for the reduction of NO3-N and in the fixation of N2, respectively. Evans (1956) and Steward et al. (1959) reported that there is considerable evidence that more Mo is required for growth with NO3-N than when NH4-N is used. It is interesting to note that molybdate (MoO<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup>), which is a common form of Mo, is readily absorbed by the leaves and can be translocated in plants whose requirements are approximately in the order of 0.1 to  $1.0 \ \mu g/g$ of dry-matter (Haan and Zwerman, 1976; Mengel and Kirkby, 1978).

Fido <u>et al</u>. (1977) observed that, for many <u>Brassicae</u> grown either with NO<sub>3</sub>-N or with NH<sub>4</sub>-N (urea form), under non-sterile conditions, younger leaves started to develop whiptail disorder characteristics such as curling inwards or cupping of the leaf margin. Therefore, the presence of NO<sub>3</sub>-N can increase Mo deficiency and the incidence of whiptail or a chloroplast breakdown encountered in cauliflower and broccoli.

Alexander and Stark (1959) reported similar results where high NO<sub>3</sub>-N level in cauliflower gave a Mo deficient appearance to the plant. These observations were corroborated by Spencer and Wood (1954) who noticed that the addition of Mo in a sodium-molybdate form resulted in both a rapid

-10-

decrease in the level of  $NO_3^{-}N$  and an increase in the protein content of tomato plants. At the same time, the nitrite  $(NO_2-N)$  level increased rapidly in plants treated with Mo. In this case, it is possible that Mo application had enhanced the denitrification process by increasing the nitrate-reductase activity discussed above.

#### g) Physiological disorders and N

Plants of the cruciferous family or <u>Brassica</u> crops are very susceptible to disorders, such as, hollow stem and whiptail observed in broccoli and cauliflower, and brown-heart in cabbage (<u>Brassica oleracea</u> var. <u>capitata</u> L.), turnips (<u>Brassica rapa</u> L.) and rutabagas (<u>Brassica napo brassica</u> Mill.). Such disorders have been related to nutrient deficiencies. Brown-heart has been associated with a B deficiency and whiptail with Mo, as observed above. Hollow-stem in broccoli has been associated with factors, such as, spacing and N fertilization increment (Cutcliffe, 1972; Gupta and Cutcliffe, 1972).

Corrective amounts of these nutrients are usually sufficient to correct these problems. However, N fertilization can affect the availability of these micro-nutrients.

In Prince Edward Island, Cutcliffe and Gupta (1980) reported experiments on cauliflower and Brussels sprouts where applied N affected the B concentration in the plant dry-matter. Increasing rates of ammonium nitrate fertilizer, from 0 to 336 kg/ha resulted in B increases from 22 to 40 ug/g of dry-matter at soil pH ranging from 5.3 to 5.9. This benefit of N on B availability has been observed by Chamberland (1981) on turnips where N applications reduced the brown-heart disease symptoms. These

- 11 -

preliminary results show a reduction in B deficiency when N and P are supplied to the soil in a 1:2 ratio; i.e., 50 kg P/ha-without any B application. However, in soils which have a high B content, it was found that as the rate of applied N was increased, the symptoms of B toxicity observed on plants, such as, citrus species, wheat (<u>Triticum aestivum L.</u>) and barley (<u>Hordeum distichon L.</u>) leaves decreased (Chapman and Vanselow, 1955; Gupta <u>et al.</u> 1973). Therefore, it appears that a balance must exist in the intake of B and N by plants.

Mo deficiency symptoms increased when there was an excess of N fertilizer applied on cauliflower (Wilson and Waring, 1948; Alexander and Stark, 1959).

This outlook on the fertilization of <u>Brassica</u> crops was necessary for a better understanding of the problems involved and to give a proper orienta-

- 12

#### REFERENCES

ALEXANDER, A.G. and F.C. STARK. 1959. Growth of cauliflower as influenced by nitrate, calcium and molybdenum relationships. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 74: 607-615.

ANDERSON, A.J. 1956. Molybdenum as a fertilizer. Adv. Agron. 8: 163-202.

BARKER, A.V. and H.A. HILLS. 1980. Ammonium and nitrate nutrition of horticultural crops. Hort. Rev. 2: 395-423.

BOLLARD, E.G. 1959. Urease, urea and ureides in plants. Utilization of N and its compounds by plants. Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology. Number XIII. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England, p. 304-329.

CHAMBERLAND, E. 1981. Effet de la fertilisation N, P et K sur le coeur brun du rutabaga. Resultats preliminaires. Phytoprotection 62: 17-21.

CHAPMAN, N.D. and VANSELOW, A.P. 1955. Boron deficiency and excess. Calif. Citrogr. 40: 31-34.

CHESNIN, L. and N. SHAFER. 1953. Foliage application of urea to grain and forage crops. Agron. J. 45: 576-580.

CUTCLIFFE, J.A. 1971. Effects of plant population and harvest date on yield and maturity of single-harvested broccoli. HortScience 6: 482-484.

CUTCLIFFE, J.A. 1972. Effects of plant spacing and nitrogen on incidence of hollow stem in broccoli. Can. J. Plant Sci. 52: 833-834. CUTCLIFFE, J.A. and U.C. GUPTA. 1980. Effects of added N, P and K on leaf tissue. Boron concentration of three vegetable crops. Can. J.

-13-

Plant Sci. 60: 571-576.

ENGELSTAD, O.P. and D.A. RUSSEL. 1975. Fertilizers for use under tropical conditions: foliar applications of fertilizers. Adv. Agron. 27: 202-208.

EVANS, H.J. 1956. Role of molybdenum in plant nutrition. Soil Sci. 81: 199-208.

FIDO, R.J., C.S. GUNDRY, E.J. HEWITT and B.A. NOTTON. 1977. Ultrastructural features of molybdenum deficiency and whiptail of cauliflower leaves: effects of nitrogen source and tungsten substitution for molybdenum. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 4: 675-689.

FISHER, E.G. 1952. The principles underlying foliage applications of urea for nitrogen fertilization of the McIntosh apple. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 59: 91-98.

GERALDSON, C.M. 1967. Evaluation of the nutrient intensity and balance system of soil testing. Soil Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc. 27: 59-67.

GORIN, C.A.I. 1962. Control of nitrification. Soil Sci. 93: 211-218.

GUPTA, U.C. and J.A. CUTCLIFFE. 1972. Effects of lime and boron on brown-heart, leaf-tissue calcium/boron ratios and boron concentrations of rutabaga. Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 36: 936-939.

GUPTA, U.C., J.D.E. STERLING and H.G. NASS. 1973. Influence of various rates of compost and nitrogen on the boron toxicity symptoms in barley and wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. 53: 451-456.

GUPTA, U.C. and J. LIPSETT. 1981. Molybdenum in soils, plants and animals. Adv. Agron. 34: 73-115.

HAAN, F.A.M. and P.J. ZWERMAN. 1976. Pollution of soil in: soil chemistry; A. Basic elements. Edited by G.H. Bolt and M.G.M. Bruggenwert. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, N.Y., p. 92-271. HINSVARK, O.N., S.H. WITTNER and H.B. TUKEY. 1953. The metabolism of foliar-applied urea. I: Relatives rates of 14CO<sub>2</sub> production by certain vegetable plants treated with labelled urea. Plant Physiol. 28: 70-76.

ISLAM, M.A. and M.M. RASHID. 1973. Tolerance of different winter vegetables to the foliar application of urea. Bangladesh Hort. 1: 12-15.

JACOB, K.D. 1959. Fertilizer production and technology of urea. Adv. Agron. 11: 259-260.

LEECE, D.R. 1979. Comparison of urea foliar sprays containing hydrocarbon or silicone surfactants with soil applied nitrogen in maintaining the leaf nitrogen concentration of prune trees. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 104: 644-648.

MAGNIFICO, V., V. LATTANZIO and G. SARLI. 1979. Nutrient uptake by broccoli plants. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 104: 201-203.

MAYNARD, D.N. 1976. Nitrate accumulation in vegetables. Adv. Agron. 28: 71-114.

MENGEL, K. and E.A. KIRKBY. 1978. Principles of plant nutrition; foliar application of urea. Edited by the International Potash Institute, Berne, Switzerland, p. 276-277.

MUNRO, D.C., D.C. MACKAY and J.A. CUTCLIFFE. 1978. Relation of nutrient content of broccoli and Brussels sprouts leaves to maturity and fertilization with N, P, K manure. Can. J. Plant Sci. 58: 385-394.

NELSON, L.B. 1956. Mineral nutrition of corn: foliar absorption of nutrients. Adv. Agron. 8: 334-335.

OKALEBO, J.R. and A.F. MACKENZIE. 1978. A field comparison study of ammonium phosphates and superphosphates, urea and ammonium nitrate on corn growth in five Quebec soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58: 221-228. PANAK, H., G. NOWAK and J. NOWAK. 1981. Phosphorus effect on the

uptake, translocation and accumulation of the <sup>14</sup>C-urea in orchard grass. Plant Soil 60: 3-10.

PIMPINI, F. 1973. The influence of different nitrogen forms and increasing nitrogen doses on the content of total nitrogen and of nitrate in cauliflower plants. Acta Hort. 29: 307-317.

RAJPUT, C.B.S. and K.P. SINGH. 1975. Response of cauliflower cultivar Snowball-16 to various levels and methods of nitrogen application. Bangladesh Hort. 3: 24-30.

SMITH, C.J. and P.M. CHALK. 1980. Comparison of the efficiency of urea, aqueous ammonia and ammonium sulphate as nitrogen fertilizers. Plant Soil 55: 333-337.

SPENCER, D. and J.G. WOOD. 1954. The role of molybdenum in nitrate reduction in higher plants. Aust. J. Biol. Sci. 7: 425-432.

STEWARD, F.C., F. CRANE, K. MILLAR, R.M. ZACHARIAS, R. RABSON and D. MARGOLIS. 1959. Nutritional and environmental effects on the N metabolism of plants. Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology Number XIII. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, p. 148-176.

STOUT, P.R. and C.M. JOHNSON. 1956. Molybdenum deficiency in

horticultural and field crops. Soil Sci. 81: 183-185.

TERMAN, G.L. 1979. Volatilization losses of nitrogen as ammonia from surface-applied fertilizers, organic amendments and crop residues. Agron. J. 71: 189-229.

TISDALE, S.L. and W.L. NELSON. 1975. Soil fertility and fertilizers, 3rd edition. Edited by Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., N.Y., 694 pages.

THORNE, G.N. 1953. Absorption of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium from nutrient sprays by leaves. J. Exp. Bot. 5: 37-48.

VOLK, R.J. and C. MCAULIFFE. 1954. Factors affecting the foliar absorption of nitrogen labelled urea by tobacco. Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 18: 308-312.

WILSON, R.D. and E.J. WARING. 1948. Some observations and experiments concerning the role of molybdenum in the nutrition of cauliflower. J. Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci. 14: 141-145.

.-17-

()

CHAPTER 3

5

\$

EFFECTS OF BANDED VERSUS FOLIAR APPLICATIONS OF UREA IN COMBINATION WITH MOLYBDENUM ON YIELD AND N RECOVERY BY BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. ssp. italica Plenck)

(

( 1

ź

-18-

1

#### INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Nitrogen requirement of <u>Brassica</u> crops is high compared to many other vegetable crops. Therefore, methods of application which can reduce input without decreasing yield can be beneficial. Because of its great solubility and rapid absorption in the leaf, use fertilizer has the advantage of being applicable either to the soil or to the foliage.

The use of foliar or banded applications of urea is still controversial for N supplemental fertilization of <u>Brassica</u> crops. Fisher (1952), Leece (1979) and Thorne (1953) have shown equal benefits from either foliar or soil banded urea on fruit trees. On <u>Brassicae</u>, Rajput and Singh (1975) indicated an increase in plant height and number of leaves on cauliflower with soil-applied urea, compared to solutions applied on the foliage.

(

Smith and Chalk (1980) observed that a temporary alkaline environment in the soil was produced with banded urea and one could conclude that Cu, Zn, Mn and B availability would be reduced, whereas Mo would be increased. Hinsvark <u>et al.</u> (1953) reported other <u>set</u> is benefits of foliar applications of urea on horticultural crops. Islam and Rashid (1973) noticed that plants which had the greatest urease activity had the least tolerance to urea. Bollard (1959) explained the urea utilization by leaves<sup>4</sup> by saying that urea was broken down on the surface of the leaves producing carbon-dioxide through the urease activity. N was then transformed rapidly into NH<sub>4</sub>-N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N and absorbed by leaves. Therefore an important limiting factor in urea absorption may be the urease activity in the leaves. Bollard (1959) further stated that yield responses to the NO<sub>3</sub>-N form gave the most consistent results; whereas, urea-N effects were / difficult to estimate because its transformation into NH4-N was very rapid.

An experiment by Pimpini (1973) on cauliflower demonstrated that 300 kg N/ha when broadcast on the soil, increased NO3-N in plant leaves by 11% with urea compared with ammonium-nitrate, suggesting a greater utilization of the urea fertilizer. However, Okalebo and Mackenzie (1978) reported that release of free ammonia (NH3) through the urea hydrolysis, may cause some injury to the roots in some cool soil conditions. According to Bollard (1959) ammonium fertilizers lead to an accumulation of soluble N in the plant beyond the needs of synthesis and limit growth by concentrating plant into the energy production of the making carbon-skeletons required for storage of NH4-N and diverting this energy away from plant growth. Volk and McAuliffe (1954) reported that injuries caused by foliar applications of urea should be minimal with broccoli plants because the leaves have a cutinized layer which acts as a barrier against N absorption.

Wilson and Waring (1948) observed in field experiments that N fertilizers had a tendency to induce a greater amount of whiptail, caused by a Mo deficiency. They believed that Mo behaved as a catalyst in the reduction processes in cauliflower plants that reduced NO<sub>3</sub>-N to NH<sub>4</sub>-N and amino-acids. Hewitt and Gundry (1970) supported these views and suggested that Mo was not needed by plants when they were grown with NH<sub>4</sub>-N in the absence of NO<sub>3</sub>-N. Other work reported by Steward <u>et al</u>. (1959) described that Mo requirement was selective according to the N source used, because more Mo was required for NO<sub>3</sub>-N than for NH<sub>4</sub>-N fertilizer source. Fido <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. (1977) found that Mo deficiency was more likely to occur if N

-20-

#### fertilizers were added.

The positive interaction of N and Mo was partially explained by Anderson (1956) who mentioned that in non-legumes, Mo could have an effect on N fixation through its activity on <u>Azotobacter</u> micro-organisms and the formation of nitrate-reductase in the soil. Candela <u>et al</u>. (1957) reported that high NO<sub>3</sub>-N level in cauliflowers was a manifestation of Mo deficiency. As a result of this review, it is clear that foliar and soil urea applications may have a different impact on N absorption. Further, Mo seems to play a key role in N metabolism. Therefore, it was decided to study the effect of foliar and soil urea applications on broccoli yields 'and N recovery as influenced by added Mo.

- - -

n

-21-

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted on a St-Blaise soil series (Lajoie, 1964), located at l'Acadie Experimental Farm of Agriculture Canada near St. Jeansur-Richelieu, Quebec. All fields were tiled-drained. Four locations were selected for successive trials in 1981 and 1982. Two sites were selected each year to eliminate the potential hazard of fertilizer residues.

#### a) Field trials 1981 and 1982

The sites were selected on the Farm in a radius of a few hundred metres, with soil pH values of 6.5 and 7.3 in 1981 and 5.1 and 6.5 in 1982. The soil texture was loam and silt-loam at low and high pH sites in 1981, respectively and clay-loam at both sites in 1982 (Table 1).

Fertilizer was applied broadcast at a rate of 80 kg N/ha, 320 kg  $P_{205}$ /ha and 160 K<sub>2</sub>0/ha during both years except for a supplemental liming with hydrated limestone in 1981 at a rate of 1000 kg/ha on the low pH field for a final soil pH of 6.5 at the beginning of the experiment.

Soil hot-water-soluble B levels were in the range of 0.3 ug/g of dry soil and were considered as being below sufficiency levels. Therefore, in order to control this deficiency, foliar sprays of boron<sup>1</sup> were applied four times at ten-day intervals, at a rate of 0.7 kg B/ha on all plots in combination with a pesticide<sup>2</sup> used to control the cabbageworm (<u>Pieris rapae</u> (L.)).

<sup>1</sup> SOLUBOR; 20.5% B.

<sup>2</sup> AMBUSH 50 EC (Permetrine)

						1					
YH SOIL	EAR AND Water ph	pH in CaCl <sub>2</sub>	Р	К	Mg	В	Sand	Silt	Clay	C.E.C.	0.M.*
				kg/ha		ug/g		%		me/100 ·g	×
1981	TRIALS:										· .
рН	6.5	5.8	251	194	316	0.22	36.9	41.0	22.1	23.2	6.2
рH	7.3	6.8	313	221	466	0.28	23.9	54.0	22.1	14.2	5.8
		3									
1982	TRIALS:										
pН	5.1	4.7	124	302	441	0.48	25.1	40.0	34.9	16.9	5.3
pН	6.5	6.2	104	248	522	0.32	31.1	34.0	34.9	13.7	4.3

Table 1. Some properties of St-Blaise soils (0 - 20 cm depth) at l'Acadie Experimental Farm in 1981 and 1982.

\* Organic-matter content by loss on ignition at 430°C for 16 hours.

-23-

ø

1

1.
The same broccoli variety (cv. Premium Crop) was used in both years with rows 90 cm apart and 45 cm in-row spacing. Direct seeding was done on June 2 in 1981, while in 1982, four-week-old plants were transplanted on June 22 to avoid some irregularity in plant growth observed the previous year with the direct seeded crop.

Two forms of applications of feed-grade urea<sup>1</sup> were used. One applied to the soil in bands, the other applied to the foliage, with and without Liquid Molybdenum<sup>2</sup>, which were compared with a control, for a total of six treatments (Table 2). Banded applications of urea were applied twice at ten- day intervals with a Planet Junior hand-seeder at a rate of 30 kg N/ha to a depth of 2.5 cm, for a total application of 60 kg N/ha. Foliar treatments were applied three times at ten-day intervals at a rate of 4.5 kg N/ha for a total application of 13.5 kg N/ha. A Mo solution was sprayed three times in combination with urea treatments for a total application of 0.2 kg Mo/ha (Gupta, 1979). A surfactant<sup>3</sup> was used with all foliar treatments (Inden, 1975). A tractor-mounted pesticide sprayer was used for all foliar treatments which applied 2800 L/ha of solution at a pressure of 2350 kPa using cone jet<sup>4</sup> sprayers.

All treatments were applied to plots measuring 5 by 5 m and were arranged in a factorial experiment in a completely randomized block design

- 1 FEED GRADE UREA (1% biuret content). BULL'S EYE, GENSTAR CHEMICALS LTD.
- <sup>2</sup> THIS-LIQUID MOLYBDENUM (4% actual Mo). STOLLER CHEMICAL COMPANY INC.
- 3 AGRAL 90.

4 JOHN BEAN, NUMBER 2, TRADE MARK.

,	T	reatment	applications	r
			\ Tim	e
TREATMENTS	Total rate	Number	1981 Trials	1982 Trials
	kg/ha		ومن Number of days	after seeding
BANDED UREA	60 kg	2	35, 45	56,66
FOLIAR UREA	13.5 kg N	3	40, 51, 72	65, 76, 85
CONTROL -	0	0		
FOLIAR Mo plus	0.2 kg Mo	3	40, 51, 72	65, 76, 85
BANDED UREA	60 kg N	2	35, 45	56, 66
FOLIAR Mo plus	0.2 kg Mo	3	40, 51, 72	65, 78, 85
FOLIAR UREA	13.5 kg N	3	40, 51, 72	65, 78, 85
FOLIAR Mo	0.2 kg Mo	3	40, 51, 72	65, 78, 85

0

\*

Table 2. Schedule and rates of applications of treatments at l'Acadie farm on broccoli plants.

<u>\_</u>\*

CĴ

ł.

-25-

with four blocks. Each plot was isolated by a 5 m buffer-zone to prevent any drift during the application of foliar treatments.

Harvest was done by sampling 10 consecutive broccoli spears in the center-row, weighing them and measuring their diameter, while a 4 spearsample was drived at 60°C for 24 h. for an estimation of spear dry-weight. Plant heights and a spear damage index were recorded on the total sample and the percentage of spears harvested at mid-harvest was reported as early yield.

# b) Sampling and analysis

Soil samples were taken at two depths (0-20 and 25-50 cm) before treatments in June, in the centre of each plot and after harvest in September. One week after banded urea was applied, an additional sampling was taken at the 0-20 cm soil depth. As suggested by Westfall <u>et al</u>. (1978), soil samples were oven-dried at 45°C within 12 hours after collection and stored for soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N determination. Following a method published by McKeague (1978), NO<sub>3</sub>-N was determined in a water extract (1:2) with an Orion specific electrode.

Leaf-midribs sections were collected for total N analysis four times during the 1981 and 1982 trials when a similar plant physiological development. was reached at 50, 52, 71, 73 days and 75, 77, 84, 87 days after seeding, respectively in each year. Young léaf-midrib sections were taken from the highest mature leaf on the stem of 10 plants, as recommended by Lorenz and Tyler (1978) and were initially put in an ice-box and later frozen at -5°C within a few hours of collection. Prior to drying at 60°C, all leaf samples were thawed rapidly by washing in distilled and deionized

-26-

water and digested for total N content, following a method established by Thomas et al. (1967) and analyzed by colorimetry with a Technicon auto-analyzer total N method<sup>1</sup>.

# c) Estimation of N recovery (ENR) by plants

The percentage of N recovery in the crop was calculated using the 'difference' method as reported by Westerman and Kurtz (1973) using the following equation:((NF-NC)/R)x100, where:

NF = kg of total N content in plants per ha in treated plots

NC = kg of total N content in plants per ha in control plots

R = kg of total N fertilizer per ha in urea treatments

Total N content in the midrib section of young, mature leaves was considered as representative of the total N content of the plant, as reported by Pimpini (1973). An estimate of the total plant dry-matter production was necessary for these calculations as well. Therefore, an additional 10-plant sample was obtained from each field during the 1982 trials in order to estimate the ratio of spear weight as compared to total plant weight. The spear dry-weight was found to be 20% of the total plant dry-matter production in the collected sample. This ratio was in accordance with ratios found by Magnifico et al. (1979) on broccoli plants.

During the 1981 and 1982 trials, the ENR by plants was calculated over four sampling dates, one day prior and one day after two foliar urea applications. The data were analyzed as a split-plot-in-time experiment,

<sup>1</sup> INDUSTRIAL METHOD No. 334-74W/B 1974. TECHNICON INDUSTRIAL SYSTEMS, TARRYTOWN, N.Y., 10591, U.S.A.

-27-

pooling the results of four dates into one analysis to overcome the effect of sampling dates on the ENR.

# d) Statistical analyses

To assess the effects of soil #H, location and years, yield results were analyzed as a split-plot experiment with splits over four trials, over each year and over pH 6.5 during both years. The appropriate error term was used to estimate the main effects (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

-28-

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## a) Meteorological data

Meteorological data recorded over the two consecutive years of the trials indicated that rainfall over the growing season was 46% higher than the 14-year average during 1981 trials, while the 1982 season had 20% less rainfall (Table 3). Dry conditions observed in May and June of 1982 may have stressed young transplants which could explain the 12-day delay in harvesting compared to 1981 trials. Mean daily-temperatures were not different than the average year, over the four-month period studied.

#### b) Yields

The total harvesting period was spread over 78 to 85 days in 1981 trials and 90 to 110 days after seeding in 1982. A significant difference in yield was obtained by pooling the results of all four trials, showing that soil pH levels and year of trials had affected broccoli yield parameters. A possible pH effect was noticed during 1981 trials because the mean spear fresh-weight obtained at soil pH 6.5 was actually 8% higher (significant at the 0.05 level) than the trial at soil pH 7.3. This effect could be related to a deficiency in soil B which was more acute during 1981 trials (Table 1). During 1982 trials, the effect of soil pH on fresh and dry spear weight was not significant (Table 4).

Broccoli spear fresh-weights and plant heights obtained on the soil at pH 6.5 during 1981 trials, were significantly higher than in the 1982 trial at the same soil pH. It is suggested that climatic factors affected yields differently in each year.

-29-

Table 3. Monthly meteorological data at l'Acadie Farm in 1981 and 1982.

「ちょうちょうちょうちょうちょうちょう

THE MARK

	Rainfell			Mean	daily temperature	
MONTH	1981	1982	Avg.*	1981	1982	Avg.*
		(mm) -		······································	(°C) -	
MAY	, 67	22	76	13.9	14.5	13.0
JUNE	141	80	98	18.3	16.6	17.8
JULY	129	· 84	89	20.7	20.6	20.4
AUGUST	-186	101	96	18.5	17.1	19.3
_		- <b>4</b>			-	
TOTAL:	523	287	359		-	
AVERAGE :				17,9	17.2	17.5

-30-

å (

Table 4. Broccoli growth results combined over: 4 trials during 1981-1982, 2 trials during 1981, 2 trials during 1982 and trials at pH 6.5 during 1981-1982 using a split-plot analysis.

4	Broccol	i spear		4
• *	Fresh	Dry	Plant	Early
COMBINATIONS	weight	weight weight		yield
	(g/s	pear)	(cmi)	· (Z)
PH AND YEAR EFFECT				
1981 at pH 6.5	532.8 a	50.5 a	77.8 a	43 b
1981 at pH 7.3	496.0 a	42.6 b	79.0 a	66 a
1982 at pH 5.1	483.9 a	44.0 Ъ	65.6 b	82 a
1982 at pH 6.5	432.1 b	43.6 b	64.7 b	41 b
F-value	8.0*	4.4*	115.4*	14.0*
C.V. (%)	14	16	. 4	36
-1 222200 TN 1001				
ph EFFECT IN 1901	527 8 4	50.5 -	77 9	43 h
pu 7 3	· /06.0 b	42.6 b	79.0	45 0
pn 7.5 F-valuð	18 7*	14 3*	0.8	43 6**
C.V. (2)	12.7	14	4	34
	• •	*-	•	34
PH EFFECT IN 1982				Ø
	483.8	44.0	65.6	82 #
pH 6.5	432.1	43.6	64.7	41 b
F-value	2.7	0.02	4.2	23.2*
C.V. (%)	16	19	5	38
YEAR EFFECT				
1981 at pH 6.5	532.8 a	50.5	77.8 a	43
1982 at pH 6.5	432.1 b	44.0	64.7 b	41
F-value	22.7*	6.3	114.0**	0.08
C.V. (%)	14	18	4	58

\* Significant differences at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant differences at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

,

-31-

It is possible that the above-average rainfall observed during 1981 increased yields.

A decrease in the percent early-yield values, which reflect a delay in plant maturity, was observed over pooled results of all trials, when Mo treatment was applied (Table 5). However, there was no delay in plant maturity with the addition of N fertilizer, as observed by Cutcliffe <u>et</u> al. (1967, 1968) on cauliflower and broccoli.

Looking at individual trials, the effect of urea treatments on broccoli yield parameters appeared to be significant only during 1982 trial at soil pH 6.5 (Tables 6, 7, 8, 9). However, when results were pooled over both 1982 trials, dry spear-weights of broccoli were significantly increased by 18% with urea applied in bands when compared to the average values of foliar ures applications and control plots. Fresh spear-weights obtained with banded and foliar urea were not significantly different (Table 10).

# c) Estimated percentage N recovery (ENR) by plants

The Mo treatment was found to have a significant effect in the 1981 trial at soil pH 7.3, reducing ENR by 87% when compared to untreated plots (Table 11). This trend was not significant in the other trials and was not improved by using logarithmic transformation of the data. Foliar urea treatments resulted in a significant increase in ENR at soil pH 6.5, while at soil pH 7.3, this increase was found but to a lesser extent; i.e. only at the 0.10 level of probability. ENR values for foliar urea were 235 and 72% more than for banded urea, at soil pH values of 6.5 and 7.3, respectively, during 1981 trials.

	Broccoli	spear			
	Fresh	Dry	Plant	Early	
COMBINATIONS	weight	weight	height	yield	
	(g/sp	ear)	(cm)	(%)	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OV	VER ALL N TR	EATMENTS			
0.0 kg Mo/ha	490.3	45.9	71.9	63 <b>a</b>	
0.2 kg Mo/ha	482 1-	44.4	71.6	54 b	
<b>F-value</b>	0.4	1.0	0.3	4.3*	
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OV	VER ALL MO L	EVELS			
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	508.3 .	47.4	72.3	58	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	482.6	44.5	71.6	54	
Control	467.7	43.6	71.5	62	
<b>F-value</b>	3.0	2.3	0.6	1.2	
INTERACTIONS	(F-value)				
Mo and urea	1.6	1.2	1.2	0.2	
(Trial) and Mo	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.1	
(Trial) and urea	1.3	2.1	1.8	0.4	
(Trial) and Mo and urea	0.8	1.1	0.9	1.4	
· · ·		$\sim$	<b>B</b>		
Mean	486	45	72	58	
C.V. (%)	14	16	4	'36	

Table 5. Broccoli growth results combined over 4 trials in 1981-1982, using a split-plot analysis.

\* Significant difference at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

(Trial) = soil pH and year effects

C.V. = coefficient of variability

-33- -

( .

Ś

TREATMENTS	Spear diameter	Plant height	Spear fresh weight	Spear dry weight
	cm		g	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVER	R ALL N TRE	ATMENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	21.2	76.7	542.7	52.3
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	21.2	78.8	522.8	48.7
F-value	0.08	2.90	0.49	1.35
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	R ALL MO LE	VELS		
<b>Banded urea</b> (60 kg N/ha)	20.6	76.6	525.0	48.7
Foliar urea (13.5) kg N/ha)	21.9	78.0	555.7	53.7
Control	21.1	78.8	517.5	49.2
F-value	1.74	1.12	0.68	1.07
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS			<i>-\</i>	
Banded urea	21.1	75.8	544.5	51.9
Foliar urea	21.5	76.7	540.8	52 <b>.9</b>
Control	21.0	77.7	542.7	52.2
Mo and banded urea	20.2	77.4	505.6	45.5
Mo and foliar urea	22.3	79.2	570.5	54.5
Mo	21.2	79.9	492.4	46.2
Mean	21.2	7 <b>7.8</b> ·	532.8	50.5
F-v <b>a</b> lue	0.81	0.05	0.78	0.70
c.v.(%)	6.5	3.9	13.0	15.1

# Table 6. Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1981 trials at pH 6.5.

C.V.= coefficient of variability

1

ì

(·

Ċt

( . 🔊

ĩ

\*\*

`,

.

-34-

TREATMENTS .	Spear diameter	Plant height	Spear fresh weight	Spe <b>ar</b> dry weight
	cm		g	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVE	R ALL N TRE	ATMENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	20.2	79.8	489.6	42.4
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	20.7	78.2	502.4	42.8
<b>F-value</b>	1.21	2.78	0.31	0.03
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVE	R ALL Mo LE	VELS		
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	. 20.0	80.2	517.5	43.8
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	20.6	78.2	485.5	40.3
Control	20.7	78.7	485.1	43.7
F-value	0.85	1.42	0.88	1.53
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS				
Banded urea	19.7	80.9	513.6	42.6
Foliar urea	20.2	78.9	470.4	40.0
Control	20.5	79.8	484.9	44.7
Mo and banded urea	20.3	79.5	521.4	45.1
Mo and foliar urea	20.9	77.6	500.7	40.6
Мо	20.9	77.7	485.2	42.7
Mean	20.5	7 <b>9.</b> 0	496.0	42.6
• F-value	0.03	0.08	0.16	0.48
C.V.(%)	5.8	3.0	11.3	10.8

# Table 7. Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1981 trials atpH 7.3.

C.V.= coefficient of variability

1

TREATMENTS	,Spear diameter	Plant height	Spear 'fresh weight	Spear dry weight
	cm		g	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVE	R ALL N. TREA	TMENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	18.4	66.0	498.4	45.5
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	18.1	65.1	469.4	42.4
<b>F-value</b>	0.48	0.54	0.92	1.03
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVE	R ALL MO LEV	ELS	• •	
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	18.5	65.5	508.6	46.2
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	18.1	66.5	457.0	42.3
Control	18.3	64.6	486.0	43.3
F-value	0.36	0.71	0.97	0.58
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS	-	N		
Banded urea	19.0	65.4	528.3	45.1
Foliar urea	17.6	67.2	436.8	42.6
Control	18.7	65.5	530.1	48.9
Mo and banded urea	18.0	65.6	488.9	47.3
Mo and foliar urea	18.6	65.8	477.2	42.0
Mo	17.8	63.8	442.0	37.8
Mean	18.3	65.6	483.9	44.0
<b>F-value</b>	2.21	0.21	1.53	1.69
C.V.(%)	2.9	2.5	0.8	8.7

# Table 8. Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1982 trials at pH 5.1.

いたいですいいないのであるのである

**C.V.=** coefficient of variability

( )

()

TREATMENTS	Spear diameter	Plant height	Spear fresh weight	Spe <b>ar</b> dry weight
****	cm		g	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVE	R ALL N TRE	ATMENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	17.8	65.1	430.3	43.4
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	17.9	64.3	433.9	43.8
<b>F-value</b>	0.12	0.52	0.02	0.01
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVE	R ALL Mo LE	VELS	54 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
<b>Banded urea</b> (60 kg N/ha)	18.6	66.7	482.0 a	, 50.9° a
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	17.7	63.7	432.1 ab	41.6 ab
Control	17.2	63.7	382.2 Ь	383.3 b
<b>F-value</b>	2.49	3.11	4.42*	4.11*
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS	3			
Banded urea	18.1	65.0	450.0	47.5 <sup>/</sup>
Foliar urea "	17.7	65.8	446.9	<b>45.</b> 1
Control	17.5	64.4	394.1	37.7
Mo and banded urea	19.1	68.3	513.9	54.3
Mo and foliar urea	17.8	61.5	417.3	38.1
. Mo	16.9	63.0	370.4	39.0
Mean	17.9	64.7	432.1	43.6
F-value C.V.(%)	0.84 3.5	3.95* 2.1	1.22 7.8	1.17 10.4

Table 9. Broccoli growth characteristics at harvest during 1982 trials at pH 6.5.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability

(

-37-

Table 10. Effect of methods of application of urea on broccoli spear weight observed over combined pH trials during 1981-1982, in a split-plot analysis.

	1981	trials	1982 trials		
METHODS OF UREA APPLICATION	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Fresh weight	Dry weight	
			g		
<b>Ba</b> nded urea (60 kg N/ha)	521	46	495 a <sup>,</sup>	49 a	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	521	. 47	. 445 ab	42 b	
Control	501	46	434 Ъ	41 b	
Mean '	511	46	458	44	
F-value	0.5	<b>0.1</b>	3.4*	4.0*	
C.V. (%)	13	14	16	19	

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

**C.V.** = coefficient of variability

	1981 tr	ials	1982 trials		
TREATMENTS	pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	рН 6,5	
	ار بال والله الله الله الله الله الله الله ا	(% EN	(R)		
DATES				-	
1 .	, 6	77	-86	85	
2	177	- 125	-79	80	
3	278	27 🐐 ,	-5	143	
4	89	122	~53	108-	
F-value "	1.84	0.75	<sup>~</sup> 1.70	2.33	
FOLIAR Mo					
0.0 kg Mo/ha	° 131	131 a	-58	120	
0.2 kg Mo/ha 🗧	144	44 Ь	-54	88	
F-value	0.03	4.35*	0.03	0.81	
UREA APPLICATIONS		· · · ·			
In bands (60 kg N/ha)	20₀ Þ	° 52 ¥	-6 a	73	
Foliar (13.5 kg N/ha)	255 a ,	124 <sup>7</sup>	-106 b	136	
Means	138	88	-56	104	
F-value	8.07**	2.91	21.05**	3.14	
INTERACTIONS		3			
Date and Mo	0.17	0.15	0.04	0.14	
Date and Urea	1.04	0.79	1.45	0.01	
Mo and Urea	0.02	4.09*	4.15*	4.19	
Date and Mo and Urea	- 0.13	< 0.09	1.80	1.05	
C.V. (%)	243	194	158	138	

Table 11. Estimated percentage of N recovery (ENR) during 1981 and 1982 calculated over 4 sampling dates and analysed as a split-plot in time.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.10 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

Note: Statistical significance was similar using logarithmic transformations of X+1000 reducing C.V. in the range of 2-4%.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

;

۰.

-39-

In 1982, at soil pH 5.1, ENR values were negative with a significantly larger deficit in ENR with the use of foliar urea. In this case, it was possible that the soil pH and nutrient levels were too low, and prevented a positive response with urea treatments. It is also possible that low ENR values may have been due to the enhancement of toxic materials in the soil which prevented nutrient uptake when treatments were applied. However, in all other trials more N appeared to be recovered by the plants than was supplied in the foliar treatments. It i.s possible that over-estimation of urea recovery was calculated with the 'difference' method used, as reported by Jansson (1966) in comparative studies with tracer techniques. The so- called 'priming effect' reported by Westerman and Kurtz (1973) could have induced -an extra plant growth due to other interactions between soil, fertilizer, microbe and plant, which induced more native soil N absorption than with control plants. The ENR of soil-applied urea could have been affected by the soil organic-matter content, since Tomar and Soper (1981) reported that, in incubation studies, the soil organic-matter content accounted for more than half of the variation in N tied up in the soil.

However, there was a significant interaction between Mo and urea on ENR in three of the trials (Table 11). This effect is better expressed in Table 12, where it appeared that significant differences occurred only with urea applications when there was no Mo addition. Therefore, the benefit of Mo application in improving the ENR was not verified, since there was no difference in ENR values between foliar and banded urea when Mo was added. It appeared that Mo reduced the ENR with foliar urea considerably, except at soil pH 6.5 in 1981.

-40-

Table 12. Results of interactions between each level of Mor treatment and methods of urea application for the estimation of the percentage N recovery (ENR) by broccoli plants during 1981 and 1982 trials.

2 1	1981 tr	ials	1982 trials		
INTERACTIONS	pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5	
		(% EN	IR)		
METHODS OF N APPLICATION WITH	IOUT Mo	, Ż			
Banded ure# (60 kg N/ha)	19	53, b	15 a	53 b	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	244	210 a	-130 b	188 a	
Mean	132	132	-58	121	
F-value	3.69	6.93**	<b>`19.38**</b>	7.26**	
METHODS OF N APPLICATION WITH	Mo				
Banded urea (50 kg N/ha)	21 b	51	-26	93	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	267 a '	38	-82	84	
Meań	144	45	-54	89	
* F-value	4.41*	0.05	3.31	0.03	

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 Jevel; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

, -41-

÷.

3

à,

# d) Soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N

To assess the net effect of treatments, an analysis of covariance was performed on the NO<sub>3</sub>-N level obtained at harvest using levels before treatment applications as a concomitant variable (Table 19, Appendix). Adjusted means of NO<sub>3</sub>-N were in the range of 2.9  $\mu$ g/g of dry-soil during 1981. The analysis of soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N did not result in any significant effect of the treatments, even when logarithmic transformations were used to reduce the coefficient of variability. NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels observed here could be considered as low according to sampling results reported by Chamberland (1976) in an earlier experiment at l'Acadie Farm.

However, soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N data recorded for each field in 1981, before the application of treatments, correlated well with broccoli plant height at the 0.05 level of significance ( $\dot{r} = 0.77$ ), showing that plant growth was only affected by the initial NO<sub>3</sub>-N level which was not dependent upon treatments.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Broccoli yields were affected by soil pH and climatic variation during each year of the trials. However, the application of foliar Mo, at a rate of 0.2 kg/ha, decreased early yield significantly when compared to untreated plants.

During the 1982 trials, broccoli dry spear weight was significantly increased when urea was applied in bands at a rate of 60 kg N/ha, when compared to foliar urea and control treatments.

The estimated percentage fertilizer N recovery by plants, with foliar applied urea, was significantly higher, compared to band applied urea, during the 1981 trial at soil pH 6.5. In 1982 at soil pH 5.1, negative ENR values were obtained with foliar urea, showing that foliar urea might be less effective than banded urea at low soil pH.

Fresh and dry weights of broccoli were significantly reduced by 7 and 16%, during 1981 trials at soil pH 7.3, when compared to trials at soil pH 6.5.

Native soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels increased broccoli plant heights without increasing the weight of spears and these levels were not affected by treatments during sampling at the end of the season.

From this study, we can conclude that Mo application might not be

Banded urea appeared to be a better method to apply supplemental N than foliar urea, especially at higher soil pH levels. This, despite the fact that ENR was higher with foliar urea applications.

## REFERENCES

ANDERSON, A.J. 1956. Molybdenum as a fertilizer. Adv. Agron. 8: 162-202.

BOLLARD, E.G. 1959. Nutritional and environmental effects on the N metabolism of plants: urease, urea and ureides in plants. Symposia of the Soc. Exp. Biol., Number XIII. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England, p. 304-329.

CANDELA, M.I., E.G. FISHER and E.J. HEWITT. 1957. Molybdenum as a plant nutrient. Some factors affecting the activity of nitrate reductase in cauchiflower plants grown with different N sources and Mo levels in sand culture. Plant Physiol. 32: 280-288.

CHAMBERLAND, E. 1976. Evolution des nitrates dans quelques systemes

CUTCLIFFE, J.A., D.C. MACKAY and D.C. MUNRO. 1967. Effect of N, P, K and manure on size, rate of development and yield of Brussels sprouts. Can. J. Plant Sci. 47: 641-648.

CUTCLIFFE, J.A., D.C. MUNRO and D.C. MACKAY. 1968. Effect of N, P, K and manure on terminal, lateral and total yields and maturity of broccoli. Can. J. Plant Sci. 48: 439-446. FIDO, R.J., C.S. GUNDRY, E.J. HEWITT and B.A. NOTTON. 1977. Ultrastructural features of molybdenum deficiency and whiptail of cauliflower leaves; effects of N source and tungsten substitution for molybdenum. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 4: 675-689.

FISHER, E.G. 1952. The principles underlying foliage applications of urea for nitrogen fertilization of the McIntosh apple. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 59: 91-98.

GUPTA, U.C. 1979. Effect of methods of application and residual effect of molybdenum on the molybdenum concentration and yield of forages on Podzol soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 59: 183-189. HEWITT, E.J. and C.S. GUNDRY. 1970. The molybdenum requirement of plants in relation to nitrogen supply. J. Hort. Sci. 45: 351-358. HINSVARK, O.N., S.H. WITTNER and H.B. TUKEY. 1953. The metabolism of foliar applied urea. Relative rates of <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> production by certain vegetable plants treated with labelled urea. Plant Physiol. 28: 70-76.

INDEN, T. 1975. Minor elements for vegetables. Ext. Bull. No. 55. Edited by the Aspac Food Fert. Technol. Cent. (Asian Pac. Council): p. 1-20.

ISLAM, M.A. and M.M. RASHID. 1973. Tolerance of different winter vegetables to the foliar applications of urea. Bangladesh Hort. 1: 12-15.

JANSSON, S.L. 1966. Nitrogen transformation in soil organic-matter in: The use of isotopes in soil-organic studies. Published by the FAO/IAEO, Pergamon Press, Inc., Oxford. p.283-296.

-45-

LAJOIE, P.G. 1964. Soil map of l'Acadie Experimental Farm (1:2400), Quebec. Department of Agriculture, Research Branch, Ottawa.

LEECE, D.R. 1979. Comparison of urea foliar sprays containing hydrocarbon or silicone surfactants with soil applied N in maintaining the leaf N concentration of prune trees. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 104: 644-648.

LORENZ, O.A. and K.B. TYLER. 1978. Plant tissue analysis of vegetable crops. Division of Agricultural Sciences, University of California, edited by H.M. Reisenauer. Bulletin 1879: 2124.

MAGNIFICO, V., V. LATTANZIO and G. SARLI. 1979. Nutrient uptake by broccoli plants. J. Hort. Sci. 104: 201-203.

McKEAGUE, J.A. ed. 1978. Manual on soil sampling and methods of analysis, second edition. Published by the Can. Soc. Soil Sci., Ottawa, Section 4, 209 pagés.

OKALEBO, J.R. and A.F. MACKENZIE. 1978. A field comparison study of ammonium phosphates and superphosphates, urea or ammonium nitrate on corn growth in five Quebec soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 58; 221-228. PIMPINI, F. 1973. The influence of different N forms and increasing N doses on the content of total N and nitrate in cauliflower plants. Acta Hort. 29: 307-317.

RAJPUT, C.B.S. and K.P. SINGH. 1975. Response of cauliflower cultivar Snowbell-16 to various levels and methods of N'applications. Bangladesh Hort. 3: 24-30.

SMITH, C.J. and P.M. CHALK. 1980. Comparison of the efficiency of urea, aqueous ammonia and ammonium sulphate as N fertilizers. Plant Soil 55: 333-337.

-46-

STEEL, R.G.D. and J.H. TORRIE. 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics, 2nd edition. Published by MacGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 450 pages.

STEWARD, F.C., F. CRANE, K. MILLAR, R.M. ZACHARIAS, R. RABSON and D. MARGOLIS. 1959. Nutritional and environmental effects on the N metabolism of plants. Symposia of the Soc. Exp. Biol., Number XIII. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England, p. 148-176.

THOMAS, R.L., R.W. SHEARD and J.R. MOYER. 1967. Comparisons of conventional and automated procedures for N, P and K analysis of plant material using a single digestion. Agron. J. 59: 240-243.

THORNE, G.N. 1953. Absorption of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium from nutrients sprays by leaves. J. Exp. Botany 5: 37-48.

TOMAR, J.S. and R.J. SOPER. 1981. An incubation study of N added as urea to several Manitoba soils with particular reference to retention of N. Can. J. Soil Sci. 61: 1-10.

VOLK, R.J. and C. MCAULIFFE. 1954. Factors affecting the foliar absorption of N labelled urea by tobacco. Proc. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 18: 308-312.

WESTERMAN, R.L. and L.T. KURTZ. 1973. Priming effect of <sup>15</sup>N-labelled fertilizers on soil nitrogen in field experiments. Proc. Soil Sci. Soc, Am. 37: 725-727.

WESTFALL, D.G., M.A. HENSON and E.P. EVANS. 1978. The effect of soil samples handling between collection and drying in nitrate concentration. / Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 9: 169-185.

WILSON, R.D. and E.J. WARING. 1948. Some observations and experiments concerning the role of Mo in the nutrition of cauliflower.J.Aust.

-47-

# CONNECTING PARAGRAPH

In Chapter 3, the N recovery by plants with different N treatments suggested that the proportion of N fertilizer used by plants, On a per kg basis, was greater with foliar applications of urea than with banded urea. Mo treatment reduced the N recovery at one site and the maturity of broccoli plants at all sites. Thus, Mo may have had an antagonistic effect on nutrient uptake. Further, N effects on yield may have been affected by changes in nutrient uptake as a result of N fertilizer treatments. However, broccoli plant heights were correlated positively with soil NO3-N content which was not affected by the urea fertilizer treatments.

Because of possible N-nutrient interaction, further studies on the effect of N and Mo treatments on plant K, Ca, Mg and B status over the growing season were carried out. These results are reported in Chapter 4.

48

CHAPTER 4

EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTAL N FERTILIZATION OF SOILS -AT DIFFERENT PH VALUES ON NUTRIENT CONTENT OF BROCCOLI (Brassica oleracea L. \*ssp. italica Plenck) LEAVES

٠

Ĩ

「おうちんまた」をとうますとき、いいいた」、「なない」

# INTRODUCTION AND' LITERATURE REVIEW

Nitrogen fertilization and its impact on Mo deficiency of <u>Brassica</u> crops has been observed. Stout and Johnson (1956) reported that whiptail was a physiological disorder associated with a Mo deficiency. Fido <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. (1977) noticed an increase in the incidence of whiptail, when NO<sub>3</sub>-N fertilizer was used. Mo deficiencies associated with whiptail have been reported earlier by Wilson and Waring (1948) and subsequently by Alexander and Stark (1959) on cauliflowers (<u>Brassica oleracea var. botrytis</u> L.) which were fertilized with an excess of N. Anderson (1956), working with <u>Neurospora</u> and <u>Aspergillus</u>, noted that N and Mo interactions could be related to the role of Mo in the formation of the nitrate-reductase enzyme.

However, the interaction of N-Mo with foliar or banded urea may be different. Absorption sites for banded urea are at the root level where competition with other nutrients may occur. Foliage applications should not affect other nutrients because there is no competition for sites. Thus, nutrient interactions with foliar-applied N may be less than those with soil-applied N, as observed by Forshey (1963).

Concerning the utilization of foliar-applied urea, Bollard (1959) suggested that urea-N may be hydrolyzed on the leaf surface with consequent uptake of ammonium-ion and production of carbon-dioxide, through the activity of the urease enzyme. Following this uptake, there is a rapid conversion of NH4-N to NO3-N in the plant.

Soil N fertilization can affect the availability of micro-nutrients to a larger extent, since some nutrients could compete for absorption sites at

-50-

the root level. Cutcliffe and Gupta (1980) have reported that soil applied N increased the B concentration in dry-matter of cauliflower whereas, Chamberland (1981) observed that N applications reduced B deficiency symptoms on turnips (<u>Brassica rapa</u> L.). Therefore, it is possible that B can be better utilized with N fertilization. On the other hand, the Mo deficiency observed in cereals and grasses was found to be related to the N status since Mo deficiency symptoms may be essentially those of NO<sub>3</sub> ion accumulation, which can be reduced by supplying Mo (Gupta and Lipsett, 1981).

According to Bohn <u>et al.</u> (1979), the strength of ion adsorption by minerals generally increases with increasing ion charge and with decreasing hydrated ion size. Therefore, monovalent ions are more easily displaced from soil exchange sites and should compete more for plant absorption sites than would divalent and trivalent ions which are retained in soil more strongly. The relatively small hydration energies of NH4<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> result in easy dehydration and strong retention by soils, according to the same author. However, an anion, such as NO3<sup>-</sup>, is retained weakly and is, therefore, rather mobile in the soil and readily moves to plant roots by mass flow.

Coleman <u>et al.</u> (1958) reported that divalent cations such as, Ca<sup>++</sup> and Mg<sup>++</sup> compete with one another, but high levels of K<sup>+</sup> markedly depress the quantities of Ca<sup>++</sup> and Mg<sup>++</sup> in plants. Moreover, Bohn <u>et al.</u> (1979) observed that a high Ca<sup>++</sup> status in the soil is desirable because it reflects low concentrations of other, potentially troublesome exchangeable cations such as, Al<sup>+++</sup> in acid soils.

Krauskopf (1972) and Chesnin (1972) observed that large anions such

-51-

as;  $Mo04^{2-}$  were found at pH values above 5 or 6, while at lower pH values, H<sub>2</sub>Mo04<sup>-</sup> was more predominant. Other anions such as, B(OH)4<sup>-</sup> are not abundant since neutral soluble B species, mainly H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (uncharged) appeared to predominate in plants and in soil solutions at pH values under 9.2. Thus, it seemed pertinent to study the effect of N and Mo additions and methods of N applications on nutrient contents in broccoli leaves.

52-

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two methods of N application, foliar vs banded urea, were used with broccoli plants (<u>Brassica oleracea</u> L. spp. <u>italica</u> Plenck, cv. Premium Crop), in combination with Mo applied on the foliage. This experiment was carried out during 1981 and 1982, at selected locations on l'Acadie Experimental Farm of Agriculture Canada near St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, Quebec. Details of soil conditions, rates of fertilizer application and experimental design are reported in Chapter 3.

Samples of leaf-midribs were collected four times during the growing season. The midrib of the youngest fully-developed leaf was sampled on a total of 10 plants from two rows, at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days after seeding in 1981 and at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days in 1982. The first sampling date in each year was selected when plots had approximately 25% of the plants with spears reaching 2.5 cm in diameter.

Leaf-midribs were stored at  $-5^{\circ}$ C, prior to washing with distilled, deionized water and then dried at 60°C before being ground to pass a 20 mesh sieve. Chemical analyses were performed within one and five months following sample collection during 1981 and 1982, respectively.

Total N determinations were reported earlier (Chapter 3). NO<sub>3</sub>-N was extracted in boiling.water as reported by Look Kin and Mackenzie (1970), and analyzed by colorimetry with a technicon auto-analyzer NO<sub>3</sub>-N method<sup>1</sup>.

Leaves were digested according to the method of Thomas  $\underline{et}$  al. (1967) and analyzed for K, Ca and Mg using a flame atomic absorption spectrophoto-

Industrial method No. 487-77A. 1977. Technicon Industrial Systems, Tarrymore, N.Y., 10591, U.S.A.

-53-

meter. Boron content determination of the 1981 samples was carried out according to the method of Hatcher and Wilcox (1950), while in 1982, samples were prepared according to the method of Basson et al. (1969) and analyzed by colorimetry with azomethine-n (McKeagur, 1978

A visual index was computed to assess the damage caused by hollow stem on spear rot at harvest time. Damages were ranked into four categories as follows:

Category 0 = no visual disorder

Category 1 = damaged spear canopy due to rot

Category 2 = hollow stem in spear

Category 3 = hollow stem and spear rot

This ranking was transformed into a damage index, (DI) percentage, according to a method reported.by Basu et al. (1973) in which

 $DI = [\mathbf{\xi} (No. \mathbf{x} Cat.)] / (3 \mathbf{x} No.P.) \mathbf{x} 100 \text{ where,}$ 

No. = number of plants per category

Cat. = category number

No.P. = number of plants sampled

Results were treated using non-parametric statistics and median values were reported as suggested by Steel and Torrie (1980). A Spearman correlation coefficient ( $r_s$ ) was computed according to Daniel (1978).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# a. Leaf Total-N and NO3-N Contents

Total N level was markedly lower than the 5.2 to 6.62 sufficiency levels for optimum broccoli yield (Table 1) as reported by Munro <u>et al.</u> (1978) However, NO<sub>3</sub>-N level was generally above the critical level of 7000  $\mu$ g/g of dry-matter, established by Lorenz and Maynard (1980), (Table 2).

 $NO_3-N$  and total N variations with time were more significant in 1981 trials than in 1982 trials because the longer sampling period in 1982 may have represented a greater variation in plant maturity. However, during both years the  $NO_3-N$  and total N levels in the plants decreased.

Total N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels with banded urea were significantly higher than control plants in all trials, except on the soil of  $pH^{+}6.5$  in 1981. In two out of the three trials with significant results, total N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels were higher with banded urea compared to foliar urea, while at soil pH 5.1 there was only an increase in N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N when compared to control plants. Therefore, foliar urea had no effect on total and NO<sub>3</sub>-N contents.

The Mo treatment significantly increased the NO<sub>3</sub>-N level in the leafmidribs only in the 1982 trial at soil pH 6.5. At this same site (see Chapter 3), Mo reduced broccoli early yield, which is associated with a delay in plant maturity. Thus, increased NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels were associated with delayed maturity.

Yield parameters were related more consistently to total N than with  $NO_3-N$  (Table 3). There was a positive correlation of total N and  $NO_3-N$  with fresh spear weight in 3 of the 4 trial's. Total N was correlated positively with plant height at all sites, but  $NO_3-N$  only at 2 sites.

-55-

an a				- 
- •	1981	Trials	1982	Trisls
TREATMENTS	pH 6.5	рН 7.3	pH 5.1	- pH 6.5
, <u>an an a</u>			-matter basis	
DATES				
1	3 <b>-80</b> a	4.11 a	2.95 a	2.48 ba
2	3.57 p	i 3.88 a	3.51 bc	2.45 0
3	× 3.07 ∦	b 3.27 t	2.63 b	2.80 ab
4	2.65/	b 2.92 b	2.41 c	3.10 a
F-value	41.34**	25.78**	39. <b>99**</b>	11.97**
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER A	LL N TREA	TMENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	3.20	3.55	2.64	2.71
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	3.34	3.53	2.61	2.70
F-value	1.55	0.06	0.64	0.04
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER A	LL MO LEV	ELS		
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	3.34	3.75 a	2.70 a	2.91 a
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	3.31	3.44 b	2.63 ab	2.6 <b>8</b> b
Control	3.17	3.44 b	2.54 b	2.53 b
Mean	3.27	3.54	2.63	2.71
F-value	0.80	6.37**	<b>₫</b> , 7.63** .	21.16**
INTERACTIONS		p	-value	
Date and Mo	0.98	L-0.83	0.13 .	0.45
Date and Urea 🐐	0.56	1.83	2.38*	1.16
Mo and Urea	0.05	0.17	0.29	1.44
Date and Mo and Urea	0.44	0.72 »	0.49	0.37
C.V. (Z)	17	11	<sup>1</sup> 1 <b>6</b>	_9 v

# Table 1 Total N levels<sup>1</sup> in broccoli plants during 1981 and 1982 trials, at different soil pH values

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

<sup>1</sup> Levels in  $\mu g/g$  of dry-matter = levels in  $\chi \times 10000$ .

-56-

• • • •

-	1981 Trisle		1987 Trials		
TREATMENTS •	рH	6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5
			2 NO3-N dry	-matter bas	18
DATES					
1	1	.34 a	1.42 a	1.47 <b>a</b>	1.37 a
2	1	.21 🔹	1.15 b	1.54 a	1.40 #
3 ,	0	.63 Ь	0.82 c	1.22 Ь	.1.16 b
4	0.	.59 Ь	0.71	d 1.55 a	1.30 a
F-value	92	. 20**	20.17**	21.85**	4.73**
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVER	ALL N	TREAT	<b>ENTS</b>		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	0	88 8	1.05	1.44	1.33
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	1	01 a	1.00	1.45	1.30
F-value	4	.55*	1.06	0.05	0.57
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL MO	D LEVEI	S		
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	1	. 00	1.15 a	1.54 a	1.42 a
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	0	.92	0.93 b	1.42 ab	1.30 b
Control	0	.92	0.99 b	1.37 Б	1.21 b
Mean	0	.95	1.03	1.45	1.32
F-value	0	69	8.19**	∉.29**	11.29**
INTERACTIONS			F-value		
Date and Mo	0.	17	0.48	0.35	0.31 -
Date and Urea	, 0.	.40	0.97	1.37	0.89
Mo and Urea	0.	30	0.68	0.63	0:24
Date and Mo and Urea	0.	.48	0.31	0.95	0.50
C.V. (%)	32		21	12	13

# Table 2. NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels<sup>1</sup> in broccoli plants during 1981 and 1982 trials, at different soil\_pH values.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

<sup>1</sup> Levels in  $\mu g/g$  of dry-matter = levels in  $% \chi = 10000$ .

-57--

Thus, it was obvious that N was deficient in all sites, with the exception of the site at soil pH 5.1. in 1982. At this site, NO<sub>3</sub>-N leaf contents were the highest, but total N values were the lowest. Thus, the status of the soil at pH 5.1 was different than in the other trials. It is possible that there was an accumulation of NO<sub>3</sub>- ions which were not assimilated or converted to protein-N as readily by the plant due to antagonistic ions interfering in acid soils (Bohn <u>et al.</u>, 1979).

Positive interaction between total N and NO<sub>3</sub>-N in plants was observed throughout the trials (Table 4). Positive correlations between total N as well as NO<sub>3</sub>-N with B were found during 1981 trials; whereas, there was no correlation in 1982. Therefore, it is possible that B was less limiting during 1982 than in 1981. Total N was correlated positively to K only at soil pH 5.1 and 7.3, while NO<sub>3</sub>-N and K correlated only at soil pH 7.3. Other plant-N correlations were observed between NO<sub>3</sub>-N and Ca in three trials. Negative correlations were observed between total N and Ca as well as total N and Mg in the 1982 trial at soil pH 6.5. This relationship of Ca or Mg to N was reflected in a decrease in yield with added Ca and Mg (Table 3).

It is clear that NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels found in this experiment were not excessive, and in fact, were in the range of normal values.

# b) Leaf K Content

Potassium decreased in time, as expected, in all trials (Table 5). Levels were higher than critical levels in broccoli of 1.7 to 2.2% observed by Munro <u>et al</u>. (1978). Therefore, K was not considered to be limiting growth.

VARIABLES		<b>1981</b> T	rials	1982 Trials	
		pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1.	pH 6.5
				. R <sup>2</sup>	
FRESH	SPEAR WEIGHT VERSUS		_ •.	·	
	NO3-N	2 0 <b>.20</b> *	0.52**	NS	
	Total N	0.22*	0.53**	NS	0.26**
	B	NS	0.19*	NS	NS
	K	NS	0.41**	(-)0.19*	NS
	Ca	NS	NS	NS	(-)0.22*
	Mg	NS	NS	NS	(-)0.48**
			·. ••		
TOTAL	PLANT HEIGHT ` VERSUS				
	NO3-N	0.28**	0.37**	NS	NS
	Total N .	0.20*	0.33**	0.22*	0.26**
-	В .	NS	NS	NS	NS NS
	к	NS	0.19*	NS 1	NS
	Ca	NS	NS	NS	(-)0.26**
1	Mg	NS	` NS	NS	NS

Table Coe

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level.

(-) = r values were negative

ſ

- K-

 $NS = R^2$  values were not significant

-59-
Table 4.	Coefficients of regression	analyses between	the nutrient-content
	of broccoli plants taken at	4 sampling dates	over <sub>a</sub> 24 plots during
•	1981 and 1982.		

連連法シ

	1981 7	Tials .	198	2 Trials
TISSUE NUTRIENTS	pH 6.5	рН 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5
*** <u>**********************************</u>	······································		R <sup>2</sup>	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
NO3-N X Total N	`       0.83 <del>**</del>	0.81**	0.49**	0.67**
ХВ	0.30**	0.16**	NS	NS
XK	NS	0.59**	NS	NS
\ X Ca	0.31**	0.50**	0.26**	NS
X Mg	NS	. <b>NS</b> -	NS c	NS
Total N X B	0.53**	0.16**	NS	NS
' X K	NS	0.58**	0.19*	NS
X Ca	0.19*	0.24*	NS	(-)0.21*
X Hg	NS	NS	0.51**	(-)0.28**
в хк	NS	NS	NS	. NS
X Ca	NS	NS	NS	NS
X Hg	NS	NS	NS	NS
K X Ca	NS	0.35**	NS	NS
X Mg	NS	NS	(-)0.19*	NS
Ca "X Mg	0.16#	NS -	0.51**	0.27**

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level.

(-) = r values were negative

C

NS =  $R^2$  values were not significant

-60-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ية. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	1981 T	rials	1982	Trials
TREATMENTS	pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5 ·
		- Z K, dry-1	natter basis	;
DATES	·	• •		, <i>,</i>
1	4.59 a	4.47 a	4.29 a	3.88 a
2	4,57 a	· 4.18 a	3.88 b	3.52 ab'
3,	3.37 Ь	3.30 b	3.54 <sup>·</sup> c	3.29 bo
4	3.45 Б	-3.19 b	3.39 c	<b>3.03</b> c
F-value	88.48**	,3 <b>1</b> ₊48 <b>*</b> *	83.92**	10.07**
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF HO OVER	ALL N TREATM	ENTS	<b>•</b> ·	••
(0.0 kg <sup>°</sup> Mo/ha)	3.76 Б	3.78	3.83 a	3.40
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	4.24 a	3.79	3.73 Ъ	3.46
F-value	15.08**	0.00	4.84*	1.30
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL No LEVEL	5		
Banded ures (60 kg N/ha)	3.77 Ь	3.86	3.73	3.39
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	4.13 a	3.60	3.78 .	3.39
Control	4.09 🔹	3.89	3.82	3.50
Hean	4.00	3.79'	3.78	3.43
F-value	3.37*	2.92	1.24	2.07
INTERACTIONS		'F-1	/alue	, 
Date and Ho	0.15	0.:28	0.11	0.74 -
Date and Urea	0.54	0.49	0.95	1.37
Ho and Urea	2,67	0.43	0.39	0.88 .
Date and Mo and Urea	0.88	0.12	0.49	0.61
" c.v. ( <b>x</b> )	15	14	6	7

# Table 5. K levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values during 1981 and 1982 trials.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level, \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

6

-61-

The effect of Mo treatment on K levels was not constant as there was significantly higher K with Mo in 1981 at soil pH 6.5 but less K with Mo was observed in 1982 at soil pH 5.1. The reason for this difference is not clear.

Banded N reduced K, but foliar N did not, in one trial only. Thus, the banded N treatment could have competed for root absorption of K<sup>+</sup> through the presence of NH4<sup>+</sup> in the soil. Therefore, ion competition could have occurred only for banded urea, as was mentioned earlier.

### c) Leaf Ca and Mg Content

Calcium content decreased in 1981 at soil pH 6.5 and increased with time in 1982 (Table 6). Ca and Mg levels were not affected by treatments in 1981. In 1982, Ca levels in plants appeared to be higher with banded urea at soil pH 5.1, but were lower with banded urea at soil pH 6.5. Foliar urea did not change Ca contents from control levels. Thus, banded N effects on Ca seem limited and inconsistent.

Magnesium tended to decrease with time, except at soil pH 5.1 (Table 7). Interestingly, at this site both foliar and banded urea increased Mg content. However, this increase in Mg was not related to increased yields. Thus, Mg-did not appear to be deficient. Mo had no effect on Mg or Ca levels in the leaves.

## d) Leaf B Content

Boron was the only nutrient studied which did not decrease in concentration as the plants matured (Table 8). B levels were lower than sufficiency requirements of 20 to 53 µg B/g of dry-matter established by

-62-

	1981 T	rials	1982	Trials	
TREATMENTS	pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5	
		- % Ca, dr	y-matter basi	.\$	
DATES			*		
1	1.17 8	1.25	0.94 c	1.09 t	
2	1.07 ab	1.12	1.01 b	1.14 b	
3 .	0 <b>.98</b> b	1.20	0. <b>98</b> bc	1.07 t	
4	0.96 b	1.13	1,20 a	1.32 a	
F-value	5.76*	1.05	86.83**	14.57**	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER	ALL N TREATM	ENTS	\		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	1.05	1.21	1.02	1.14	
(0.2  kg Mo/ha)	1.04	.1.14	1.04	1.16	
F-válue	0.17	3.09	0.60	1.68	
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL Mo LEVEL	s			
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	1.08	1.19	1.06 a	1.12 b	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	1.05	1.14	1.02 b	1.17 #	
Control	1.00	1.21	1.00 b	1.15 ab	
Hean .	1.05	1.18	1.03	1.15 .	
F-value	1.26	1.27	4.15*	3.35*	
INTERACTIONS	444 445 446 446 446 446 446 446 446 446	F	-value	, and also an an an an an an an an	
Date and Mo	2.44	0.83	0.82	0.35	
Date and Urea	0.40	2.11	0.75	0.95	
no and Urea	1.50	0.36	2.65	0.44	
Date and Mo and Urea	0.61	• 0.45	1.74	0.40	
C V (7)	21 ·	73	, 	7	

## Table 6. Ca levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values during 1981 and 1982 trials.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

З,

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

0

Γ

٩.,

-63-

•	1981 T	rials	1982	Trials
TREATMENTS	pH 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	pH 6.5
		- % Mg, dry-	-watter basi	.8
DATES			· · ·	
3	035 a	, n. 30 p	033 h	0.61.4
2	0.34 a	0.37 a	0.36	0.41 a
3	0.24 L	0.30 b	0.29 c	0.36 b
·4 L	- 0.23 b	0.28 b	0.32 bc	0.33 b
F-value	70.55**	7.59*	11.18**	16.11**
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER	ALL N TREATM	ENTS		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	0.28	0.33	0.32	0.38
(0.2 kg Mo/ha) *	0.29	0.34	0.33	0.38
F-value	1.27	0.12	1.97	0.10
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL MO LEVEL	5		
Banded-urea (60 kg N/ha)	· 0.30	0.34	0.33 a	0.38
Banded-úrea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	0.30 0.28	0.34 0.34 ·	0.33 a • 0.33 a	0.38 0.39
Banded-urea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control	0.30 0.28 0.28	0.34 0.34 0.33	0.33 a • 0.33 a 0.31 b	0.38 0.39 0.37
Banded-úrea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Hean	0.30 0.28 0.28 0.29	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.34	0.33 a • 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 .	0.38 0.39 0.37 - 0.38
Banded-úrea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value	0.30 0.28 0.28 0.29 1.18	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04	0.33 a 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 . 3.26*	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74
Banded-úrea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value INTERACTIONS	0.30 0.28 0.28 0.29 1.18	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04	0.33 a 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 3.26* value	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74
Banded-Grea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value INTERACTIONS	0.30 0.28 0.28 0.29 1.18 	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04 F-V 0.47	0.33 a • 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 3.26* value	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74
Banded-Grea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value INTERACTIONS Date and Mo Date and Urea	0.30 0.28 0.29 1.18 1.05 0.37	0.34 0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04 F-v 0.47 0.47	0.33 a 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 3.26* value 1.15 1.04	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74
Banded-Grea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value INTERACTIONS Date and Mo Date and Urea Mo and Urea	0.30 0.28 0.29 1.18 1.05 0.37 1.31	0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04 F-v 0.47 0.47 3.62*	0.33 a 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 3.26* value 1.15 1.04 3.91,	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74 0.27 0.85 3.00
Banded-Grea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control Mean F-value INTERACTIONS Date and Mo Date and Urea Mo and Urea Date and Mo and Urea	0.30 0.28 0.29 1.18  1.05 0.37 1.31 0.08	0.34 0.33 0.34 1.04 F-v 0.47 0.47 3.62* 0.87	0.33 a 0.33 a 0.31 b 0.32 3.26* value 1.15 1.04 3.91, 1.08	0.38 0.39 0.37 0.38 0.74 0.27 0.85 3.00 0.24

## Table 7. Mg levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values during 1981 and 1982 trials.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

•

2

(~

-64-

*\* ،* \_

上海に

-	1981	frials ·	1982 Trials			
TREATMENTS	рН 6.5	pH 7.3	pH 5.1	рН 6.5		
		ug/g B, dry-	-matter ba	sis		
DATES	-			• • •		
1	27.0 a	28.0 a	31.4 ab	24.6 b		
2	23.8 Ь	23.2 Ь	29.2 Ъ	24.8 t		
3	22.8 Ь	19.0 c	26.5 Ь	•28.5 a		
4	27.7 a	25.4 ab	36.1 a	25.7 t		
<b>F-value</b>	8.92**	15.93*	3.87*	10.96**		
OLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER	ALL N TREATM	<b>IENTS</b>		24. "HA		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	25.0	23.6	30.8	25.8		
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	25.7	24.2	30.7	26.2		
F-value	1.45	1.13	0.04	1.78		
ETHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL MO LEVEI	.s				
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	25.8 a	24.0	30.8	26.5 a		
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	26.0 a	24.1	30.9	25.5 t		
Control	24.2 р	23.6	30.7	25.8 at		
Mean	25.3	23.9	30.8	26.0		
F-value	4.21*	0.29	0.04	4.03*		
NTERACTIONS		F-1	alue;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Date and Mo	0.99	0.93	0.48	2.13		
ate and Urea	0.87	0.45	0.85	0.60		
lo and Urea	0.20	2.11*	0.34 -	0.18		
ate and Mo and Urea	0.21	0.95	0.16	1.37		
	11	γ <b>Δ</b>	ò	6		

# Table 8. B levels in broccoli plants grown at different soil pH values during 1981 and 1982 trials.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

C.V. = coefficient of variability.

٩,

Γ

Cutcliffe and Gupta (1980) for some Brassicae, namely, cauliflower and Brussels sprouts.

Plant B levels increased as soil pH values decreased. This was probably a function of soil B levels (see Chapter 3). There was no effect of Mo on plant B levels. Urea treatments influenced B levels in plant-'leaves at the two sites with pH values of 6.5 (1981 and 1982). In 1981, both N treatments increased plant B levels. In 1982, plants with banded urea had higher B content than plants with foliar urea, but neither treatment differed from the control.

The increase in plant B observed at one site (1981) at pH 6.5, is in accordance with work reported by Cutcliffe and Gupta (1980) where <u>Brassiça</u> crops appeared to utilize B better when N was applied. The difference due to the methods of urea applications was not consistent and no site related properties were clearly related to these inconsistencies.

The N effect on plant B did not appear at low soil pH values, probably because B availability was sufficient at soil pH 5.1, with a soil B content of 0.5 ug B/g of dry soil. The lack of N effect on the soil with pH 7.3 with a soil B content of 0.3 ug B/g of dry soil, could be due to low B levels. For example, broccoli spear weight appeared to be affected by plant B levels only at this site, where B levels were correlated positively with spear weight (Table 3). Thus, the major impact of added N on B uptake appeared to be only at neutral pH values.

### e) Broccoli Damage Index (DI)

A median value of 75% was observed for the damage index (DI) during 1981 trials; compared to a DI of 25% in 1982. The DI differences were not

-66-

associated with treatments. However, DI values in 1981. trials were positively correlated at the 0.01 level ( $r_s = 0.59$ ) with plant NO3-N content across all plots (n = 48). There was no significant correlation of plant B with DI, which indicated that the hollow stem disorder was not related to B contents or possible deficiencies, as observed by Gupta and Cutcliffe (1973).

The NO<sub>3</sub>-N and DI correlation was not found in 1982 trials despite higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels compared to 1981 levels. Thus, neither B or added N were necessarily associated with DI values.

·67-

### CONCLUSIONS

Methods of added N influenced N contents in plants with banded urea being more effective in increasing N uptake However, this increased N uptake was not necessarily antagonistic with regards to K, Ca, Mg and B uptake. However, the tendency for banded urea to decrease K (1981, pH 6 5) and Ca (1982, pH=6.5) in one site out of four indicated that there may have been competition between NH4<sup>+</sup> released in urea hydrolysis and K<sup>+</sup> and  $\vec{Ca}^{++}$ for root absorption sites Obviously, this competitive effect was not widespread.

Molybdenum had no effect on Ca, B and Mg levels despite the tendency to increase K content in one trial which was not felt to indicate a trend. Thus, in general, added N and Mo had little effect on the concentration of nutrients in the plant leaves and, at the rates used, did not create serious nutritional imbalances. However, K and Ca depressions have to be considered as possibilities.

The damage index observed seemed to be related to  $NO_3-N$  levels, but the  $NO_3-N$  level required varied from year to year. Thus, other environmental factors seemed to interact with  $NO_3-N$  as regards to the expression of the index.

Boron levels in broccoli leaves were higher with banded urea during both years at soil pH 6.5 when compared to B levels in control plots. Thus, the effects of added urea on the plant nutrient concentrations were marginal.

-68-

#### REFERENCES

ALEXANDER, A.G. and F.C. STARK. 1959. Growth of cauliflower as influenced by nitrate, calcium and molybdenum relationships. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 74: 607-615.

ANDERSON, A.J. 4956. Molybdenum as a fertilizer. Adv. Ágron. §: 162-202.

BASSON, W.D., R.G. BÖHMER and D.A. STANTON 1969- Automated procedure for the determination of boron in plant tissue. Analyst 94: 1135-1141.

BASU, P.K., R. CRETE, A.G. DONALDSON, C.G. GOURLEY, J.H. HAAS, F.R. HARPER, C.H. LAWRENCE; W.L. SEAMAN, H.N.W. TOMS, S.I. WONG and R.C. ZIMMER. 1973. Prevalence and severity of diseases of processing peas in Canada. 1970-71. Can. Plant Dis. Surv. 53: 49-57.

BOHN, H.L., B.L. MCNEAL and G.A. O'CONNOR. 1979. Soil chemistry. Edited by John Wiley and Sons, Wiley-Interscience Publications, N.Y., U.S.A., 329 pages.

BOLLARD, E.G. ,1959. Nutritional and environmental effects on the N metabolism of plants: urease, ureides and urea in plants. Symposia of the Society for Experimental Biology. Number XIII. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, England; p. 304-329.

CHAMBERLAND, E. 1981. Effet de la Fertilisation N, P et K sur le Coeur Brun du Rutabaga. Resultats Preliminaires. Phytoprotection 62: 17-21.

CHESNIN, L. 1972. The fertilizer handbook. Edited by W.C. White and D.N. Collins, Fertilizer Institute, Washington, D.C., p. 65-84. COLEMAN, N.T., E.J. KAMPRATH and S.B. WEED. 1958. Liming. Adv.

69-

Agron. 10 475-522.

CUTCLIFFE, J.A. and U.C. GUPTA. 1980. Effects of added N, P and K on leaf tissue. Boron concentration of three vegetable crops. Can. J. Plant Sci. 60. 571-576.

DANIÈL, W.W. 1978. Applied nonparametric statistics. -Published by Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, U.S.A., 503 pages.

FIDO, R.J., C.S. GUNDRY, E.J. HEWITT and B.A. NOTTON. 1977. Ultrastructural features of molybdenum deficiency and whiptail of cauliflower leaves: effects of N source and tungsten substitution for Mo. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 4: 675-689.

FORSHEY, C.G. 1963. A comparison of soil nitrogen fertilization and urea sprays as sources of nitrogen for apple trees in sand culture. Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 83: 32-45.

CUPTA, U.C. and J.A. CUTCLIFFE. 1973. Boron nutrition of broccoli, Brussels sprouts, and cauliflower grown on Prince Edward Island soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 53: 275-279.

GUPTA, U.C. and J. LIPSETT. 1981. Molybdenum in soils, plants, and animals. Adv. Agron. 34: 73-115.

HATCHER, J.T. and L.V. WILCOX. 1950. Colorimetric determination of boron using Carmine. Anal. Chem. 22: 567-569.

KRAUSKOPF, K.B. 1972. Chapter 2: Geochemistry of micro-nutrients in: Micro-nutrients in agriculture. Edited by J.J. Mortvedt, P.M. Giordana and W.L. Lindsay, Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A., p. 7-40.

LOOK KIN, W.K. and A.F. MACKENZIE. 1970. Effect of time and rate of N applications on yield, nutritive value index, crude protein and

-70-

nitrate content of Brome grass. Agron J, 62 442#4444.

LORENZ, O.A. and D.N. MAYNARD. 1980 Knott's Handbook for vegetable growers, second edition. Published by Wiley-Interscience Publication, 390 pages.

McKEAGUE, J.A. ed. 1978. Azomethine-H method for Boron; Manual on Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, second edition. Published by Can. Soc. Soil Sci., Ottawa, p. 181-182.

MUNRO, D.C., D.C. MACKAY and J.A. CUTCLIFFE. 1978. Relation of "nutrient content of broccoli and Brussels sprouts leaves to maturity and fertilization with N, P, K and manure. Can. J. Plant Sci. 58. 385-394.

STEEL, R.G.D. and J.H. TORRIE. 1980. Principles and Procedures of Statistics, 2nd edition. Published by MacGraw-Hill Book, Co., Inc., 450 pages.

STOUT, P.R. and C.M. JOHNSON. 1956. Molybdenum deficiency in horticultural crops. Soil Sci. 81: 18'3-185.

THOMAS, R.L., R.W. SHEARD and J.R. MOYER. 1967. Comparisons of conventional and automated procedures for N, P and K analysis of plant material using a single digestion. Agron. J. 59: 240-243. WILSON, R.D. and E.J. WARING. 1948. Some observations and

experiments concerning the role of molybdenum in the nutrition of cauliflower. J. Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci. 14: 141-145.

-71-

## CHAPTER 5

#### SUMMARY

This experiment constitutes original work as the direct effect of Mo on N. recovery by broccoli had not been reported in the literature. The benefits of fither banded or foliar applied urea on broccoli and other members of the cruciferous family were still inconclusive. This experiment showed that Mo treatment decreased the number of plants harvested early by an average of 14% in all treats, without affecting total yields.

The delay in plant maturity observed with Mo application could be quite detrimental for the fresh market because it is much more profitable to produce as early as possible. But for processing, this would not make any difference because harvest is done in a single harvest operation done later during the season.

The estimated percentage N recovery by plants of the supplemental fertilizer was 13 times greater with foliar applied urea compared to banded urea at soil pH 7.3 and 6.5, during both years.

The use of foliar N had only slight effects on broccoli as compared to banded urea, although it was noted that the use of the former method resulted in higher recovery by plants.

\* Banded urea applications increased broccoli fresh-spear weights by 14% during 1982 and appeared to be a better method to apply supplemental N fertilizer. Therefore, the interpretation of supplemental N effects on broccoli could be based mostly on yield results, rather than on leaf-nutrient concentrations.

·72-\_



, , ,

, ( \ .

ά. . . .

APPENDIX

-73-

aTable l.	Summary of	broccoli	nutrients	content	interactions	over 2	24	plots,	at	different	dates
	during 1981	trials at	two soil	pH.	,				0	-	

	- •	pH Da	6.5 AYS		pH 7.3 DAYS						
NTERACTIONS	50	52	71	73	5	0	52	71	73		
			یو میں او محمد ہو محمد ہے۔ اس میں 20 دود میں جو خو ہور خود خان ن		R <sup>2</sup>			······			
03-N X T.N.	0.46** '	0.79**	0.72**	، 0 <b>, 58*</b> *		0.16*	0.35**	0.72**	0.61**		
x B	· NS	NS	0.19*	0.25**		0.40**	NS	NS	NS		
` x K	0.23*	0.38**	NS >	NS		0.55**	0.50**	0.53**	0.56**		
x Ca	NS	0.34**	NS	0.35**		0.24**	0.25*	0.36**	0.21*		
x Mg	0.37**	NS	0.61**	NS .	J	NS	NS	0,41**	0.56**		
	NO <sup>1</sup>		0 9744	0 / 0++				0.10+	,		
T.N. X B	NS	NS	0.3/**	0.48**		NS	NS	0.19*	NS		
xĸ	0.41**	0.34*	NS	NS	-	0.21*	0.19*	0.58**	0.59**		
x Ca	NS	0.25*	NS	NS		NS	N5	NS	NS		
x Mg	NS	NS .	0.34**	NS	(	-)0.1/*	NS	0.21* -	0.22*		
. В V	NO	NC	NC	NC		n seles	NC	NC	NC		
	NO	NS	NS NG	NO		0.20	NS	NS	_ 80		
x Ca	NS	NS	NS	NS		0.19	NS	· NS	NS		
x mg	NS	NS	. 0,18*	NS		NS	NS	ND	NS		
КхСа	NS	NS	s" NS	NS		NS	NS	0.20*	NS		
x Mg	NS	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS	0.23*	0.30*		
Cax Mg	0.21*	0.26*	NS	NS	-	0.20*	NS	0.21*	NS		

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level.

میں میں

 $R^2$  = coefficient of regression

(-) = negative r values

NS = non significant

·		r pH DA	€ 6.5 AYS °	1,	محم ب		рН 7 ДАУ	• 3 S	
INTERACTIONS	50	>2	71	73	-	50	52	71	73
		و الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الل			- R <sup>2</sup> -		میں ہے جو بھی جو بھی ہے۔ اندہ جن سے کے اور جو جو بچے رہے تھے گیے		
F.S.W. <sup>a</sup> VERSUS:				ð		•	e		•
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	N.S.	N.S.	0.23*	0.22*		0.33**	0.19*	0.46**	0.35**
Total Ń	N.S.	N.S.	0.24*	0.20*		0.33**	0.42**	0.46**	0.37**
B	N.S.	Ň.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	0.18*	N.S.
ĸ	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		0.28**	N.S.	0.26**	0.29**
Ć Ca	N.S.	0.16*	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Mg.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	0.19*	0.21*
T.P.H. <sup>b</sup>								*	•
VERSUS:						•			
NO3-N	/ 0.19*	N.S.	0.25*	0.23*		<b>0.35**</b>	N.S.	0.19*	0.33**
Total N	0.26*	0.16*	N.S.	N.S.		0.34**	0.30**	0.26*	N.S.
В	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
• K	0.36*	N.S	N.S.	N.S.		0,• 24 *	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Ca	N.S.	/ N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
Mg ·	N.S.	N.S.	0.23*	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

Table 2. Summary of broccoli nutrients content correlations with plant yield parameters, at different sampling dates, during 1981 trials at two soil pH.

<sup>a</sup> F.S.W. = fresh spear weight

<sup>b</sup> T.P.H. = total plant height

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level.

Correlations were calculated over data collected on each plot.

N.S. = non significant results

75

	1	-	pH D	5.1 AYS		•	pH 6.5 DAYS·					
INTERACTIONS	Б.	75_	77	84 -	87		75	77	84	87		
<u></u>						R2						
$NO_2 - N \times T_N$	_	N.S.	N.S.	0.20*	0.18* -		0.23*	0.51**	0.28**	0.69**		
x B	-	N.S.	N.S.	0.21*	(-)0.25*		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
x K		N.S.	0.24*	0.38**	0.22*		N.S.	· N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
x Ca		0.25*	0.50**	N.S.	0.24*		0.19*	N.S.	0.18*	N.S.		
x Mg )	_	N.S.	0.25*	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
T.N. x B		N.S.	N.S.	0.50**	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.17*		
хK		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S. '	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
x Ca		(-)0.29**	N.S.	` N. S.	N.S.		N.S.	(-)0.30*	N.S.	N.S.		
x Mg		(-)0.44**	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		(-)0.35**	(-)0.34**	N.S.	N.S.		
- B x K		N.S.	N.S.	(-)0.19*	(-)0.45**		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.22*		
x Ca		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
x Mg	•	N.S.	0.19*	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
КхСа		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	-	N. S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
x Mg		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
Ca x Mg	-	0.40**	0.44**	0.35**	0.31**		0, 25*	0.51**	0.53**	0.38**		

Table 3. Summary of broccoli nutrients content interactions over 24 plots at different sampling dates, during 1981 trials at two soil pH.

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level

N.S. = non significant

(-) = negative r value

. Table 4. Summary of broccoli nutrients content correlations with plant yield parameters, at different sampling dates, during 1982 trials at two soil pH.

11

,		, PH D	5.1 AYS	-	pH 6.5 DAYS						
INTERACTIONS	75	-77	. 84	-87	•	75	72	84	. 87		
,											
			ل این علم که ایم که اینا که چه	و ختا کو نده که بودکه بود که انتراک	R <sup>2</sup> -	<u>س سالی کے جب بنا</u> کے بی ک					
F.S.W. <sup>a</sup>			F								
VERSUS:	s,					-					
NO3-N	N.S.	• N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	0.33*	0.20*	0.33**		
Total N	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	,	0.52**	"- 0 <b>.58**</b>	0.68**	0.54**		
В	N.S.	0.19*	N.S.	N.S.	-	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
K '	N.S.	(-)0.20*	N.S.	N.S.		(-)0.26**	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
Ca	N.S.	· N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	(-)0.21	N.S.	N.S.		
Mg	N.S.	/ N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	- -	(-)0.41**	(-)0.37*	(-)0.22*	N.S.		
T.P.H.b											
VERSUS:			د								
NO3-N	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.17*		
Total N	N.Ś.	N.S.	0.19*	0.22*		0.19*	N.S.	0.16*	0.31**		
В	0.20*	N.S.	N.S.	✤ N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	0.24*	N.S.		
K	N.S.	N.S. ·	N.S.	N.S.	••	N.S	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		
Ca	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		· N.S.	(-)0.18*,	, N.S.	N.S.		
Mg	N.S.	/ N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.		

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level.

a F.S.W. = fresh spear weight

b T.P.H. = total plant height

N.S. = non significant results

(-) = negative r values

-		~		N	03-N			
- /		рH	6.5			pH 7.3		
TREATMENTS	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		%			
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER	ALL N T	REATMENTS	* n					
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	1.25	1.17	0 <b>.59</b>	0.51	1.45	1.15	0.84	0.78
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	1.44	1.25	0.68	0.67	1.40	1.15	0.80	0.64
F-value:	2.81	0,41	0.79	1.27	0.20	0.01	0.16	1.46
۰ .		2						. \
METHODS OF N, APPLICATION OVER	ALL Mo	LEVELS						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	1.44	1.25	0.68	0.67	1.40	1.15	_0.80	0.64
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	1.27	1.26	0.62	0.52	1.36	1.12	0.70	0.61
Control	1.41	1.15 ,	0.58	0.54	1.37	1.17	0.82	0.65
F-value:	0.46	0.26	0.44	0.66	1.32	0.25	2.36	2.04
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS						*		
Banded	1.26	1.11	0.72	0.52	1.59	1.15	0.94	0.94
Foliar urea 📑	1.14	.1.29	0.53	0.46	1.37	1.14	0.79	0.76
Control	1.36	1.13	0.51	0.55	1.38	1.14	0.78	0.63
Mo and banded urea	1.45	1.35	0.69	0.89	1.49	1.18	0.93	0.80
Mo and foliar urea	1.41	1.24	0.72	0.59	1.34	1.08/	0.61	0.45
Мо	1.46	1.18	0.65	0.53	1.37	1.21	<u>`</u> 0₊87	0.67
Mean:	1.34	1.22	0.64	0 <b>.59</b>	1.42	1.15	0.82	0.71
F-value:	0.20	0.43	0.30	0.60	0.07	0.31	0.79	0.83
Coefficient of								
variation (%):	38.3	26.3	44.8	61.9	18.4	16.1	27.1	37.6

Table 5. Plant nitrate-N levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling during 1981 trials at two soil pH levels.

**\*** 

		•	-	NO	3-N			
		pH 5	.1			рН	6.5	
FREATMENTS	/ /5	77	84	87	75	77	84	87
				%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVER AL	L N TREAT	1ENTS			•			
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	1.48	1.52	1.20	1.57	1.38	1.41	1.17	1.35
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	1.47	1.56	1.24	¥• 52	1.37	1.32	1.16	1.18
F-value:	0.36	0.20	0.68	0.32	0.08	0.66	0.02	1.78
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AL	L Mo LEVE	LS ·			-	<i>,</i>	•	
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	1.50	1.70a	1.31	1.66	1.41	1.52	1.32a	1.41
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	1.46	1.58ab	1.14	1.52	1.36	1.36	l.16ab	1.34
Control	1.45	1.36 b	1.21	1.47	1.35	1.20	1.02 b	1.04
F-value:	0.28	3.70*	3.63	2.21	0.27	3.03	6.46**	3.2
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS	•					l	)	
Banded urea	1.47	1.73	1.22	1.65	^ 1 <b>.</b> 39	1.57	1.37	1.4
Foliar urea	1.51	1.47	1.12	1.52	1.41	1.35	1.14	1.4
Control	1.45	1.35	1.24	1.54	1.32	1.30	J.99	1.2
Mo and banded urea	1,52	1.63	1.40	1.66	1.43	1.48	1.27	1.4
Mo and foliar urea <sup>7</sup>	1.42	1.68	1.16	1.51	1.31	1.38	1.16	1.2
Мо	<b>'1.46</b>	1.37	1.17	1.40	1.37	1.11	1.04	0.8
Mean:	1.47	1.54	1.22	1,55	1.37	1.36	1.16	1.2
F-value:	0.61	0.91	<b>1.9</b> 0	0.44	0.37	. 0.35	0.40	0.7
Coefficient of		<i>]</i> ‡			•			
variation (%):	8.6	15.8	10.4	12.4	14.8	19.9	15.2	25.5

. Table 6. Plant nitrate-N levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels.

, ~>

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

· ·				Tota	al N	v		
		рН	6.5	·····		рН	7.3	
TREATMENTS	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
				% -		و بیچ میں جند حدیث سے بی		
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER AL	L N TREAT	MENTS					¥	-
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	3.83	3.52	2.83	2.63	4.13	3.86	3.37	2.84
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	3.77	3.63	3.30	2.67	4.08	3.90	3.16	2.99
F-value:	0.07	0.32	• 3.52	0.04	0.11	0.11	0.76	0.46
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AL	L MO LEVE	LS						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	3.87	, 3.46	3.26	2.78	4.18	3.99	3.46	3.11
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	3.69	3.75	3.09	2.70	4.14 .	3.89	\ 3.08	2.84
Control	3.85	3.51	2.85	2.48	4.00	3.76	3.26	2.80
.F-value:	0.27	0.80	0.87	0.52	0.49	1.28	0 <b>.9</b> 4	0.79
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS		2						
Banded urea	3.93	3.29	3.14	2.63	4.08	4.00	3.59	2.95
Foliar urea	. ,3.63	, 3.88	2.79	2.72	4.19	3.90	3.24	2.95
Control	3.94	3.89	2.57	2.54	4.13	3.69	3.27	2.64
Mo and banded urea	3.82	3,63	3.37	2.93	4.28	3.98	3.34	3.27
Mo and foliar urea	3.62	3.40	2.68	4.10	3.88	3.84	3.24	2.97
Мо	3.76	3.63	3.14	2.42	3.87	3.84	3.24	2.93
Mean:	3.83	3.57	3.07	2.65	4.11	3.88	3.27	2.92
F-value:	0.17	0.88	0.22	0.27	0.73	0.23	0.14	0.66
<ul> <li>Coefficient of</li> </ul>			-	7	,			
variation (%):	14.8	14.1	20.7	23.6	9.7	7.6	17.9	<sup>17.3</sup>

Table 7. Plant total N levels at 50 52 71 and 73 days sampling during 1981 trials tuo nt

-80-

0041

Table 8. Plant total N levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels.

*}-*

				Tota	L N	•		<u></u>
~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		рН 5	5.1			pH	6.5	r
TREATMENTS	<b>,</b> 75	77	<sub>,</sub> 84	87	75	77	84	87
<u> </u>	r					,		
-				%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER A	LL N TREAT	MENTS		3		/ ħ,		
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	2.98	2.51	2.64	2.42	2.48	2.47	2,76	3.13
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	2.92	2.51	2.62	2.39	2.48	2.42	2.84	3,07
F-value:	1.40	0.00	0.10	0.08	0 <b>.</b> 02 <sup>°</sup>	0.25	0.73	0.46
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER A	LL Mo LEVE	LS						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	2.94	2.54	2.75a	2.58a	2.60	2.60	3.10a	3.34a
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	2.97	2.50	2.70a	2.36 Ъ	2.49	2.38	2.80 b	3.06
Control	2,94	2.49	2.44 b	2.29 b	2.36	2.36	2.51 c	2.90
F-value:	0.17	0.64	5 <b>.</b> 26*	3.89*	2.10	2.33	13.00**	8.17*
INDIVIDUAL, TREATMENTS	1		`			×	~	>_
Banded urea	2.98	2.46	2.78	2.58	2.54	2.65	3.00	3.28
Foliar urea	<b>3.00</b>	2.54	2.74	,2.37	2.54	2.45	2.79	\$219
Control	2.95	2.54	2141	2.30	2.38	2.32	2.50	2.93
Mo and banded urea	2.89	2.62	2.73	2.57	2.66	2.55	3.20	3.40
Mo and foliar urea	2.93	2.47	2.66	2.35	2.44	2.31	2.82	2.94
Мо	2.93	2.45	2.46	2.27/	2.34	2.40	2.52	2.88
Mean:	2.95	2.51	2.63	2.41	2.48	2.45	2.80	3.10
F-value:	🎽 0.16	<u>,</u> 4.48*	0.20	0.01	0.44	0.43	0.40	1.40
Coefficient of	-	~					~	-
variation (%):	- 4.2	3.8	8.2	9:2	9.9	10.5	8.5	7.3

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are onot significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

7 .

Table 9.	Plant potassium	levels	at	50,	52,	71	and	73	days	sampling	during	1981	trials	at	two	soil
	pH levels.															

6

				к				
		рН	6.5.			pH	7.3	
TREATMENTS	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
				% -			بر میں کرنے ہیں میں معموم ہیں۔ و میں طاقہ جانہ ہونے زیانہ آھے خانہ ہو	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER AL	L N TREATM	ENTS		~				
(0.0 kg Mo/ha) (0.2 kg Mo/ha) F-value:	4.30 b 4.89a 5.30*	4.37 4.78 2.34	<sup>4</sup> 3.17 b 3.57а 5.01*	3.19 3.72 3.62	4.53 4.42 0.15	4.13 4.23 0.37	3.39 3.21 0.69	3.14 3.25 0.44
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AL	L Mo LEVEL	S						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha) Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha) Control F-value:	4.41 4.57 4.80 0.79	4.51 4.62 4.59 0.06	,2,98a 3,69 b 3,44 b 5,61*	3.19 3.63 b 3.54 0.93	4.48 4.22 4.73 1.14	4.22 4.03 4.28 0.77	3.16 3.26 3.48 0.79	3.21 3.12 3.25 0.24
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS				, .				
Banded urea Foliar urea Control Mo and banded urea Mo Mean: F-value: Coefficient of	4.13 4.16 4.62 4.70 4.98 4.99 4.60 0.23	4.00 4.57 4.56 5.03 4.67 4.62 4.57 1.44	2.74 3.53 3.25 3.22 3.85 3.64 3.37 0.07	2.48 3.63 3.46 3.90 3.63 3.62 3.45 2.61	4.58 4.23 4.77 4.38 4.21 4.68 4.47 0.04	4.17 4.04 4.18 4.27 4.04 4.37 4.18 0.12	3.15- 3.58 3.43 3.16 2.94 3.53 3.30 1.22	3.19 3.10 3.12 3.23 3.13 3.38 3.19 0.22
variation (%):	13.3	14.5	13.3	20.4	15.6	10.0	16.4	14.0

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

-82-

·	,,	``````````````````````````````````````			ĸ	***		<u></u>
		pH′.	5.1			pH	6.5	
TREATMENTS	75	77	84	87	75	77	84	87
				%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER AL	L N TREATM	IENTS						
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	4.35	3.92	3.57	3.45	3.82	3.54	3.22	3.02
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	4.23	3.84	3.51	3.34	3.93	3.49	3.35	3.05
F-value:	2.02	0 <b>.09</b>	0.38	2.51	1.05	0.30	2.46	0.07
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OUVER AL	L Mo LEVEI	.S						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	4.17	3.88	3.55	3.34	3.84	3.51	3.29	2.93
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	4.26	3.93	3.54	3.40	3.73	3.43	3.32	3.09
Control	4.43	3.84	3.54	3.45	4.06	3.61	3.25	3.08
F-value:	2.93	0.31	0.00	0.84	2.94	1.25	0.23	0.95
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS								
Banded urea	4.17	₃.92	3.51	3.44	3.75	3.52	3.24 /	2.79
Foliar urea	4.36	<sup>1</sup> 3.94	3.61	. 3.41	3.76	3.45	3.26	3.13
Control	4.53	3.92	3.60	3.50	3.95	3,65	3.16	3.14
Mo and banded urea	4.18	3.84	3.58	3.23	3.93	3,50	. 3.34	3.08
Mo and foliar urea	4.16	3.92	3.48	3.39	3.71	3.41	3.37	3.04
Ňo	4.34	3.77	3.47	3.39	4.17	3.56	3.34	3.02
Mean:	4.29	3.88	3.54	3.39	3.88	3.52	3.29	3.03
F-value:	0.52	0.20	0.46	0.66	0.53	0.04	0.08	1.76
Coefficient of	-							
variation (%):	5.3	5.7	6.7	5.2	7.4	6.5	6.5	8.5

Table 10. Plant potassium levels at 75, 77, 84 and 57 days sampling during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels.

-83-

						B			7
		·	рH	6.5			pН	7.3	
TREATMENTS	· `}	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
		میں میں میں اور	ه مه گه او که خو مو هو هو س		μg/g	بد است المان الم	<u>میں بینی طرح کی تاریخ میں میں میں م</u> ریک	میں میں بین میں میں میں ہیں۔ ، جب عاد جنہ بینہ جنہ بنیہ جنہ میں جب	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF	Mo OVER A	LL N TREAT	MENTS		•				
(0.0  kg Mo/ha)		27.4	23.6	22.4	26.9	28.2	22.8	18.3	-24.5 E
(0.2  kg Mo/ha)		26.6	23.9	23.5	28.5	27.8	23.6	19.6	26.3a
F-value:	₹u	0.79	0.13	0.54	2.27	0.16	0.78	1.48	4.63*
METHODS OF N APPLICAT	ION OVER A	LL MO LEVE	LS,				~		
Banded urea (60 kg	N/ha)	28.0	23.3	23.9	27.8	28.6	23.0	19.2	25.3
Foliar urea (13.5 k	g N/ha)	27.0	24.2	23.7	29.2	27.8	23.0	19.8	25.3
Control	0	26.0	- 23.7	21.3	26.2	27.7	23.6	17.9	25.1
F-value:		2.00	0.30	<i>F</i> 1.04	2.51	0.27	0.25	1.21	0.20
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS		•		-					
Banded urea		28.9	23.1	23.0	26.3	29.4	21.3	17.6	24.2
Foliar urea		27.4	24.4'	22.9	29.0	27.3	22.9	18.8	24.7
Control		25.8	23.3	21.3	25.5	27.9	24.3	18:5	24.5
Mo and banded urea		27.2	23.6	24.8	29.3 <sup>°</sup>	27.7	24.6	20.7	26.4
Mo and foliar urea		26.5	24.1	24.5	29.3	28.2	23.2	20.8	26.8
Мо		26.1	24.1	21.4	27.0	27.5	23.0	17.3	25.6
Mean:		27.0	23.8	23.0	27.7	28.0	23.2	18.9	25.4
F-value:		0.45	0.12	0.12	0.50	0.49	2.61	1.48	0.20
Coefficient o	f								
variation (	%):	8.0	10.1	16.6	9.8	9.5	9.2	13.7	7.5

Table 11. Plant boron levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days' sampling during 1981 trials at two soil/pH levels.

3

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's multiple range test.

5				r	В			
	***************************************	рН	5.1			рH	6.5	
TREATMENTS -	75	77	84	87	75 。	77	84	87
				µg/g		*		
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER A	LL N TREAT	IENTS		5,0				•
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	31.0	29.6	26.6	36.2	24.6	24.9	27.9 ь	25.6
(0.2  kg Mo/ha)	31.9	28.8	26.4	35.9	24.5	24.6	29.6a	25.9
F-value:	0.37	0.85	0.06	0.13	0.01	0.39	4.19*	0.70
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER A	LL Mo LEVE	LS						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	31.9	27.8	26.7	36.7	24.9	25.2	29.5	26.6a
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	31.0	29 <b>.</b> 9″	26.7	35.9	23.7	24.6	28.4	25.2
Control	31.4	29.8	26.0	35.5	25.1	24.4	28.4	25.4
F-value:	0.13	2.37	0.37	0.71	1.72	0.82	0.73	4.53
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS								. ''
Banded urea	31.0	28.0	27.0	36.4	24.7	26.5	28.3	26.2
Foliar urea	30.7	30.8	26.7	36.6	23.9	24.1	<b>28.</b> 0	25:4
Control	31.3	29.9	26.1	35.7	25.2	24.3	27.4	.25.1
Mo and banded urea	32.8	27.7	26.5	37.1	25.1	24.0	30.6	27.0
Mo and foliar urea	31.3	28.9	26.8	35.3	23.6	25.2	28.9	25.0
Мо	31.6	29.7	25.9	35.3	24.9	24.6	29.4	25.8
Mean:	31.4	29.2	26.5	36.1	24.6	24.8	28.8	25.7
F-value:	0.09	0.40	0.05	0.46	0.16	4.14*	0.30	0.82
Coefficient of								
variation (%):	12.1	10.0 <sup>.</sup>	7.3	6.1	6.5	5.5	7.1	4.0

Table 12. Plant boron levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels.

٦,

Ŋ

Ũ

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

-85-

		۰ -		С	a '		e	
,		рН	6.5			pН	7.3	
TREATMENTS	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
				%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER A	LL N TREAT	IENTS	ف					
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	1.12	1.13	1.06	0.91	1.28	1.16	1.19	1.20
(0.2  kg Mo/ha)	1.22	1.01	0.90	1.01	1.21	1.08	1.21	1.07
F-value:	1.94	2.97	3.47	0.71	0.02	1.67	0.13	2.17
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER A	LL Mo LEVEI	LS	*					
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	1.20	1.12	·0 <b>.99</b> -	1.02	1.31	1.02	1.24	1.17
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	1.22	1.10	0.96	0.94	1.23	1.18	1.17	0.98
Control	1.08	<b>∖ 0.98</b>	1.00	0.94	1.22	1.16	1.21	1.2
F-value:	1.42	\1.71	0.07	0.22	0.56	2.90	0.43	2.94
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS		/		\$				
Banded urea	1.21	1.14	1.18	1.03	1.35	1.05	1.19	1.25
Foliar urea	1.12	1.19	0.99	0.85	1.24	1.28	1.22	1.05
Control ·	0 <b>.9</b> 7	1.04	1.00	0.86	1.28	1.15	1.17	- 1.3
Mo ahd banded urea	1.18	1.10	0 <b>.79</b>	1.01	1.26	0.99	1.28	1.10
Mo and foliar urea	1.27	1.01	0.93	1.02	1.22	1.09	1.11	0.91
Mo	1, 20	0.91	1.00	1.01	1.14	1:17	1.25	1.19
Mean:	- 1.17	1.07	0 <b>.98</b>	0.86	1.25	1.12	1.20	1.13
F-value:	1.10	0.39	2.14	0.26	0.26	1.00	1.20	0.01
Coefficient of			-			*		
variation (%):	15.6	51.9	21.3	31.3	13.6	13.0	12.4	18.3

.

5

Table 13. Plant calcium levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling during 1981 trials at two soil pH levels.

 $\sim$ 

-86-

Table 14. Plant calcium levels at 75, 77, 84 and 87 days sampling during 1982 trials at two soil pH levels.

0

- -

 $\bigcirc$ 

TREATMENTS	75	<u>рн 5</u> 7.7	84	97		pH	6.5		
TREATMENTS	75	7.7	84	97	the second s	рН 6.			
				07	75	77	84	87	
		د. دو بروه هم هو وا هی هو می د	میں میں جو جو میں میں کہ ایک <sup>م</sup>	%	میں ہورہ جو ہوتی ہوتی ہیں۔ میں این کر کا کا <sup>ر</sup> اور <sub>کا</sub> میں میں کا				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF MO OVER AL	L N TREATME	INTS		<u> </u>					
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	0.91 в	1.00	0.99 .	1.19	1.09	1.10	1.06	1.30	
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	0 <b>.97</b> a	1.02	0 <b>.9</b> 7	1.20	1.09	1.12	1.08	1.34	
F-valuę:	10.64**	0.11	0.47	0.01	.0.00	0.42	0.37	1.27	
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AL	L Mo LEVELS	•			,			-	
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	0.96	1.06	1.02	1.21	1.06	1.10	1.06	1.27	
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	0.93	1.02	Q.94	1.20	1.11	1.11	1.09	1.38	
Control	0.92	0.94	0 <b>.9</b> 8	1.18	1.11	1.12	1.06	1.30	
F-value:	, 1.99	3.62	2.20	0.22	1.96	0.06	0.56	2,75	
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS	3							-	
Banded urea	0.93	1.09	0.97	1.22	s 1.05	1.10	1.08	1.26	
Foliar urea	0.90	0.95	0.98	1.16	1.11	1.08	1.07	1.36	
Control a	0.90	0.98	1.02	1.20	I.12	1.12	1.04	1.27	
Mo and banded urea	1.00	1.04	1.07	1.21	1.06	1.10	1.04	1.29	
Mo and foliar urea	0 <b>.96</b>	1.10	0.91	1.24	1.11	1.14	1.12	1.40	
Mo	0.94	0.91	0.93	1.15	1.11	1.11	<sub>2</sub> 1.09	1.33	
Mean:	0 <b>.9</b> 4	1.01	0.98	1.20	1.09	1.11	`1 <b>.</b> 07	1.32	
F-value:	0.29	3 <b>.</b> 66*	4.75*	0.55	0.06	0.47	-1.24	0.06	
Coefficient of								-	
variation (%):	4.7	9.3	6.7	10.1	5.6	6.6	6.7	7.4	

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

-87-

Table 15. Plant magnesium levels at 50, 52, 71 and 73 days sampling during 1981 trials at two soil pH levels.

 $\bigtriangledown$ 

				м	g	•	,	
		pH	6.5		0	pH 7	7.3	
TREATMENTS	50	52	71	73	50	52	71	73
				%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVER AN	L N TREAT	MENTS						-
(0.0 kg_Mo/ha)	0.35	0.33	0.21	0.21	0.39	0.36	0.29	0.29
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	0.36	0.35	0.23	0.24	0.37	0.37	0.30	0.28
F-value:	0.72	1.10	2.12	0.98	0.28	0.12	0.20	0.35
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AI	L Mo LEVE	LS						
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	Ð.36	0.34	0.24	0.25	0.39	0.36a	0.31	0.29
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	0.35	0.34	0.21	0.20	0.40	0.39 в	0.29	0,28
Control	0.35	0.34	0.21	0.23	0.36	0.36a	0.29	0.27
F-value:	0.12	0.04	1.26	0.87	0.26	5 <b>.</b> 45*´	0.45	0.20
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS				· >	с.			
Banded urea	0.37	0.35	0.23	0.25	0.40	0.34	0.29	0.28
Foliar urea	0.33	0.36	0.20	0.19	0.39	0.41	0.31	0.32
Control	0.34	0.34	0.18	0.20	0.37	0.34	0.28	0.27
Mo and banded urea	0.36	0.32	0.24	0.25	0.39	0.37	0.32	0.31
Mo and foliar urea	0.36	0.33	0.21	0.22	0.41	0.36	0.28	0.25
Мо	0.37	0.34	0.24	0.25	0.32	0.37	0.30	0.28
Mean:	0.35	0.34	`0 <b>.</b> 22	0.23	0.39	0.37	0.30	0.28
F-value:	0.43	0.18	0.89	0.28	0.20	8.11**	1.30	1.60
Coefficient of								
variation (%):	15.7	14.6	18.4	30.2	10.3	5.8	13.7	19.6

\* Significant differences at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant differences at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

13

-88-

A

Table 16.	Plant magnesium	levels	at	75,	77,	84	and	87	days,	sampling	during	1982	trials	at	two	soil
	pH levels.												٠			
		<u>\$</u>														

	4		<u>.</u>	Mg	ς			5
-		рН	5.1			рН	6.5	
TREATMENTS	75	77	84	87	75	77	84	87
		·		%				
FOLIAR APPLICATION COF MO OVER AL	L N TREATM	ENTS			~			
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	0.32a	0.35	0.30	0.32	0.42	0.41	0.36	0.33
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	0.34 Ъ	037	0.29	0.32	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.34
F-value:	7.12**	1.02	0.72	0.41	0.11	0.02	0.00	1.38
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER AL	L Mo LEVELS	5					-	•
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	0.33	0.38	0.31	0.32	0.40	0.42	0.37	0.34
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha)	0.33	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.42	0.41	0.37	0.35
Control	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.32	0.43	0.41	0.34	0.32
F-value:	1.14	2.02	1.33	0.22	0.69	0.08	1.23	1.77
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS								
Banded urea	0.31	0.36	0,30	0.32	0.41	0.44	0.39	0.34
Foliar urea	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.31	0.42	0.41	0.37	0.34
Control	0.32	0.35	0.30	0.32	0.42	0.39	0.33-	0.30
Mo and banded urea	0.35	0.40	0.31	0.32	0.39	0.40	0.35	0.34
Mo and foliar urea	0.34	0.39	0.28	0.33 -	0.41	0.42	0.37	0.35
Мо	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.32	0.43	0.52	0.36	0.34
Mean:	0.33	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.41	0.41	0.36 🗘	0.33
F-value:	1.20	2.28	1.17	0.64	0.08	1.28	1.52	1.46
Coefficient of							-	¢
variation (%):	5.9	15.3	10.1	7.9	12.3	10.1	11.7	9.3

ê,

-89-

\* Significant at the 0.05 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter. are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

								Ŷ		
,							ENR			
	•	λ.		pH	6.5			pl	H 7.3	
TREATMENTS	, `` ,	),	50	52	71	. 77	- 50	52	· 73	77
						%				f
FOLIAR APPLICA	TION OF M	OVER AL	L N TREAT	MENTS				i		
(0.0 kg Mo/h	a)	٢	37	178	226	85	125	155	95	162
(0.2  kg Mo/h)	a)		-25	176	330	93	39	94	-41	83
F-value	e:		0.12	0.00	0.53	-0.00	0.53	0.79	1.91	1.82
METHODS OF N A	PPLICATIO	N OVER AL	L Mo LEVE	LS				,		
Banded urea	(60 kg N/	ha)	-22	-3	79a	25	33	57	45	71
Foliar urea	(13.5 kg)	N/ha)	34	356	477Ъ	153	120	192	~ 9 _	<sup>°</sup> 175
F-value	e:		0.10	3.68	7.58*	* 0.74	0.69	3.92	0.14	3.16
INDIVIDUAL TREA	ATMENTS	•	-						•	
Banded urea			-1	-14	77	14	28	63 <sup>°</sup>	63	58
Foliar urea			75	370	374	156 -	201	247	126	266
Mo and bande	d urea		-42	9.	81	35	39	51	28	84
Mo and folia	r urea		-7	343	580	151	39	138	-109	83
Mean:			6	177	278	89	77	125	. 27	123
F-value	e:		0.01	0.02	0.49	0.72	. 0.69	0.51	1.04	3.22
Coeffi	cient of					•				P
vari	átion (%)	:	2955	106	52	168	182	55	363	48
	N									

Table 17. Estimated N recovery (ENR) by broccoli plants during the 1931 trials at 4 sampling dates.

÷.

>

(j a

\*\* Significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

-90-

 $\frown$ 

-91

.

اهي.

Table 18.	Estimated N	recovery	(ENR)	by	broccoli	plants	during	the	1982	trials	at	4 samplin	ig da	ates.
-----------	-------------	----------	-------	----	----------	--------	--------	-----	------	--------	----	-----------	-------	-------

e)		<b>₽</b> ₽		E	NR -			
	**************************************	pH	5.1	pH 6.5				
TREATMENTS	75	77	84	87	75	77	84	87
********	میں برجہ ہوتے ہیں جارہ کری کری کری کری کری کری ہے۔ - میں خرب کری			%	مار می خواند و بی می می می می می		است میں کی میں جب ہو۔ میں اس	
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OVER	ALL N TREA	TMENTS			*		بر ج	₿.
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	-93	-79	-2	-55	100	104	141	136
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	-80	-78	-8	-50	71	58	145	80
F-value:	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.24	0.50	0.02	0.83
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OVER	ALL Mo LEV	ELS	,					
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	-17a	-17a	Ĩ-7 .	4a	57	60	92	82
Foliar urea (13,5 kg N/ha)	-156 b	-140 в	-17	-10 ь	115	101	193	133
F-value:	5.56*	6.44*	0.40	32.9**	-6.98	0.38	1.2Î	0.67
INDIVIDUAL TREATMENTS								
Banded urea	3	-10	38	28a	37	51	65	58
Foliar urea	-189	-149	-42	<del>-</del> 138 c	164	156	217	214
Mo and banded urea	-36	-25	-25	-19ab	76	70	120	107
Mo <sup>,</sup> and foliar urea	-124	-131	<sup>*</sup> 9	-81 bc	67	45	170	53
Mean:	-87	-79	-5	-53	72	81	143	108
F-value:	0.76	0.12	2.43	6.95*	1.33	0.99-	0.32	2.86
Coefficient of								
variation (%):	68	62	72	37	83	81	64	. 58
							د	

\* Significant at the 0.01 level; \*\* significant at the 0.01 level; means followed by the same letter are not different according to Duncan's new multiple range test.

>

Table 19. Covariance analysis of soil NO3-N levels, adjusted to initial levels at the end of the 1981 trials at two soil depths and soil pH levels.

r.		ADJUSTE	D, MEANS	ı		
	рН	6.5	рн 7.3			
TREATMENTS	(0-20 cm)	(25-50 cm)	(0-20 cm)	(25-50 сш)		
	با المان بازی منابع الله با الله الله بين ماري مي مان الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال	(µg N/g d	ry-soil)	میں والی ایک کی کری ہیں۔ ایک میں والی ایک کی کری کری کری کری کری کری کری کری کر		
FOLIAR APPLICATION OF Mo OV	ER ALL N TRE	ATMENTS	ч. в м			
(0.0 kg Mo/ha)	2.94	2.78	2.93	3.00		
(0.2 kg Mo/ha)	2.22	2,55	3.34	3.52		
F-value:	0.80	0.46	0.58	1.94		
METHODS OF N APPLICATION OV	ER ALL MO LE	ŶELS				
Banded urea (60 kg N/ha)	<u>1.79</u>	2.19	2.88	3.22		
	) 3.32	2.85	3.08	2 12		
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha				7.12		
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha Control	2.63	2.95	3.45	3.43		
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha Control Mean:	2.63 2.58	2.95	3.45 3.14	3.43 3.26		
Foliar urea (13.5 kg N/ha Control Mean: F-value:	2.63 2.58 1.08	2.95 2.67 1.51	3.45 3.14 0.40	3.43 3.26 0.23		

¥:

l

-92-

1

1

4