Determinants of the Short Term Dynamics of PCB Uptake by the Plankton.

Ъy

Guylaine Richer

A thesis presented to the Faculty of Graduate Studies of McGill University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Biology Department McGill University Montréal, Québec Canada.

-

1

January 1991

Guylaine Richer 1991

Abstract

Many equations show that various aspects of the ecological fate of a contaminant are partly determined by chemical structure, but few studies combine such "quantitative structure-activity relations" with environmental properties that may modulate those effects, so the importance of such properties remains unquantified. This study determines the effects of variations in suspended biomass, dissolved and colloidal organic carbon, and pH on the time course of 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl uptake by laboratory cultures of <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>. Variations in pH had no effect, but uptake was enhanced by higher levels of biomass (in ppm by volume) and depressed by higher levels of organic carbon (Abs, m⁻¹, measured as absorbance at 440 nm). The laboratory coefficients for these measured effects on hexachlorobiphenyl were combined with existing relations based on molecular connectivity (X) or capacity ratio (K') to yield semi-empirical equations to predict the instantaneous rate of uptake (rate, % min⁻¹) and bioconcentration factor (BCF) of organic contaminants as:

Log rate = -3.30 + 0.32 X + 1.1 Log biomass - 0.42 Log Abs Lof BCF = 4.11 + 0.86 Log K' - 0.87 Log biomass - 0.22 Log Abs

The utility of these equations was assessed by comparing time courses of hexachlorobiphenyl uptake predicted from them with time courses observed in water from eleven Quebec lakes. Plots of observed vs predicted values from three replicate runs for each lake had a mean coefficient of determination (r^2) of 0.84 (range = 0.64 to 0.95); the average slope (0.84) did not differ from unity (range = 0.49 to 1.75) nor did the average intercept (2.1) differ from zero (range = -6.2 to 11.2). Similar predictions which ignore the effects of color and biomass had similar r^2 values (mean = 0.8, range = 0.51 to 0.93) in plots of observed vs predicted uptake, but the higher slopes (mean = 2.80, range = 1.52 to 3.73) and lower intercepts (mean = -6.7, range = -19 to 6.5) indicated that the prediction based only on contaminant properties underestimated observed uptake.

「日日のからくろうしょ

Ī

ł.

Résumé

Plusieurs équations démontrent que de nombreux aspects de l'impact environnemental d'un contaminant sont partiellement déterminés par la structure chimique de ce dernier. Seules quelques études combinent les relations quantitatives entre la structure chimique d'un contaminant et ses activités biologiques, avec les propriétés environnementales qui peuvent altérer ces effets. L'importance de ces propriétés demeure donc trop souvent ignorée Cette étude évalue les effets occasionnés par une variation de la biomasse en suspension, du carbone organique dissout et colloidal, et du pH sur la course temporelle d'assimilation d'hexachlorobiphényl-2,2',4,4',5,5' par une culture de l'algue verte Selenastrum capricornutum. La variation du pH n'a eu aucun effet, cependant, le taux d'assimilation a été rehaussé par les concentrations élevées en biomasse (en ppm par volume) et réduit par les concentrations élevées en carbone organique (Abs, m⁻¹, mesurée comme étant l'absorbance à 440 nm). Les coefficients expérimentaux de ces effets ont été combinés aux relations existantes basées sur la connectivité moléculaire (X) ou le facteur de capacité (K'). Des equations semi-empiriques ont été créés pouvant prédire le taux d'assimilation (rate, min⁻¹) et le facteur de bioconcentration (BCF) des contaminants organiques;

Log rate = -3.30 + 0.32 X + 1.1 Log biomasse - 0.42 Log Abs Log BCF = 4.11 + 0.86 Log K' - 0.87 Log biomasse - 0.22 Log Abs

L'utilité de ces équations fut evaluée en comparant les courses temporelles d'assimilation prédites par celles-ci avec les courses temporelles observées en utilisant l'eau de onze lacs du Québec. Les graphiques représentant les valeur observées en fonction des valeurs prédites, issues de trois réplicats effectués en laboratoire pour chaque lac, avaient un coefficient de determination moven (r^2) de 0.84 (variation = 0.64 à 0.95), une pente moyenne (0.84) non differente de l'unité (variation = 0.49 à 1.75) et un abcisse à l'origine moyen (? 1) non différent de zéro (variation = -6.2 à 11.2).

Les graphiques représentant les valeurs observees en fonction des valeurs prédites utilisant les équations qui ignorent les effets de la couleur et de la biomasse avaient des valeurs de r^2 similaires (moyenne = 0.8, variation = 0.5) à 0.93) cependant leur pente était plus elevée (moyenne = 2.80, variation = 1.5) à 3.73) et leur valeur d'abcisse à l'origine inférieure (movenne = -6.1) variation = -19 à 6.5). Ces résultats indiquent que les predictions basees uniquement sur les propriétés du contaminant à l'étude sous-estime la course temporelle d'assimilation observee.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ŝ

.

* ***

٢

.

ļ

A STATE OF A STATE OF

| Abstract | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Resumé | |
| Table of contents | |
| List of Tables and Figures | |
| Preface | |
| Acknowledgements | |
| General Introduction 1 | |
| Introduction 4 | |
| Materials and methods | |
| Results and Discussion 11 | |
| Conclusion | |
| References | , |
| Table of Appendix |) |

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

| Figure 1 | The uptake of PCB C-14 by <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u> | 12 |
|----------|--|-----|
| Figure 2 | Curves of best fit describing the uptake of PCB C-14 by <u>S</u> . <u>capricornutum</u> at 5ppm |] + |
| Figure 3 | The average time course of PCB C-14 uptake by <u>S</u> . | |
| | <u>capricornutum</u> at different biomasses | 16 |
| Figure 4 | The relationships between Log biomass and (A) Log | |
| | instantaneous rate of uptake and (B) log bioconcentration | |
| | factor | 17 |
| Figure 5 | The effect of colour on (A) the instantaneous rate of uptake | |
| | and (B) the bioconcentration factor . | 21 |
| Figure 6 | Comparison of (A) instantaneous rates of uptake and (B) | |
| | bioconcentration factors observed and that predicted | 28 |
| Figure 7 | Comparison of the time course of PCB uptake calculated from | |
| | equations developed in this study and from Mailhot (1987) | |
| | when applied to Lake Waterloo and Lac des Piles | 30 |
| Figure 8 | Comparison of the time course of PCB uptake calculated | |
| | from equations developed in this study and from Mailhot | |
| | (1987) when applied to Lac Lusignan and Lac D'Argile | 31 |

∿ #

| Table 1 | Instantaneous rates of uptake and bioconcentration | |
|---------|--|----|
| | factors of hexachlorobiphenyl in suspensions of | |
| | Selenastrum observed over a range of acidities | 2? |
| Table ? | Selected physical and chemical characteristics of the lakes sampled for this study | 24 |
| Table 3 | Results of stepwise regressions of the instantaneous | |

Preface

「「「「「「「「「」」」」

ł

;

こう ちょうご ちょうちょう

had a water and the second

The regulations of the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research of Medill University require the following statements

This thesis is presented in the form of one paper, to be co-authored by my supervisor, Dr. R. H. Peters, for submission to scientific journals as permitted by Faculty regulations.

This thesis constitutes a contribution to original knowledge as follows

- (1) The environmental variables, biomass and colour affect the instantaneous rate of uptake and bioconcentration factor (BCF) of 2,2',4,4',5,5'hexachlorobiphenyl by the green alga <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u> while the pH does not affects either.
- (2) Predictive equations developed from relationships between physico-chemical properties of PCB and the instantaneous rate of uptake and bioconcentration factor were improved by including the laboratory coefficients of the effects of biomass and colour Strong correlations exist between the observed instantaneous rate of uptake and BCF from 11 lakes of Québec and values predicted from the equations Equations using physico-chemical properties alone were less effective

reknowledgments

I am infinitely grateful to my supervisor, Dr. R.H. Peters, without whom this thesis would never have reached its completion. I consider myself extremely fortunate to have worked under the supervision of a scientific thinker of great vision yet also a joyful man with an open mind, always available for help and encouragement

En second lieu, j'aimerais remercier Helène Mailhot pour son support technique et moral durant les deux annees qu'à durée cette thèse. Je désire également remercier Donald St-Laurent d'Environnement Canada de même que, Jérome Dion, Richard Leboeuf, Denise Cormier, Anne-Marie Cousineau, Sylvie Donato, Martin Perusse, Sylvain Menard et Ghislaine Durot pour leur contribution active a cette recherche et leur précieuse amitie.

A tous mes frères et soeurs, Michel, Jacqueline, Johanne, Jean-Maurice et François, je dis un gros MERCI Finalement, je ne remercierai jamais assez ma mere, Rolande, pour la confiance qu'elle me porte, ses encouragements, sa determination et son dynamisme qui me servent si souvent de modeles, sans compter son inepuisable source de tendresse. General introduction:

In 1976, the U.S. EPA proposed regulatory controls under the "toxic substances controls act" to discontinue the use of PCBs in heat transfer systems and to initiate the replacement of these systems with non-PCB units to prevent food contamination in industry (Cairns & al., 1986). Since then, PCB production and release into the environment have declined. However, significant quantities are still in use in older electrical equipment (Tanabe, 1988) and there is good reason to worry about the present and future concentrations of PCB in the environment. PCBs are now globally distributed in the environment, as evidenced by their detection in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere from the Arctic to the Antarctic (Tanabe & Tatsukawa, 1986). Recent studies have also demonstrated the presence of highly toxic PCB congeners such as 3,3',4,4'.tetrachlorobiphenyl, 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl and 3,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl in commercial PCB mixtures and biological samples, including humans tissues (Tanabe & al., 1987).

Laboratory tests show PCBs to be carcinogenic and to affect normal fertility, pregnancy, birth and development (Fuller and Hobson, 1986). Accidental poisoning of human beings from PCB contaminated bran-oil shows that PCB can cause acne-form eruptions and pigmentation of the skin, deformit. of the nails, increased eye discharge and, in severe cases, enlargement of the follicular pores all over the body surface, to name just a few symptoms (Higuchi, 1976; Kuratsune, 1980; Chen & al, 1981).

PCBs are a class of synthetic chlorinated organic compounds. Biphenyl is the basic structural unit, and chlorine atoms may be added at positions 2 to 6 and 2' to 6' resulting in the potential production of 209 species of chlorobiphenyls (Sawhney, 1986). PCB's do not easily break down chemically or naturally, and burn only at very high temperatures. Even then, they may form co-contaminants such as poly-chlorinated dibenzofurans (Tanabe & al., 1987). The aqueous solubility of all PCB's is very low, but solubility decreases with increases in the degree of chlorination (Sawhney, 1986). Thus weakly chlorinated compounds have the wider distribution but highly chlorinated compounds accumulate more in biota. Mono, di-, and trichlorobiphenyls are significantly biodegraded and volatilized whereas PCBs with five or more Cl atoms tend to sorb to suspended particles and sediments (Tabak & al., 1981)

To create models to predict toxicity, sorption, distribution or any other biological activity of PCB and other organic contaminants, researchers have developed a series of quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSARs). Different QSARs predict the bioconcentration factor at equilibrium (Neely & al., 1974; Metcalf & al., 1975) and the acute toxicity (Veith & al., 1983; Zitko, 1975) of organic contaminants. Recent QSARs studies have been used to treat the time course of uptake of organic compounds by fish (Spacie & Hamelink, 1982), invertebrates (Lohner & Collins, 1987) and algae (Mailhot, 1987)

The purpose of this study is to improve the predictive power of the equations developed by Mailhot (1986, 1987) to predict the short term dynamics of sestonic uptake of contaminant. To this end, I first quantified the impacts

~76 Yi**a**r

> مور بر بار

the environmental variables, biomass, organic matter and pH, on PCB uptake by algal in laboratory experiments and thus verified the application of those results to the time course of uptake of PCB by natural seston. Determinants of the Short Term Dynamics of PCB Uptake by the Plankton

Introduction

Planktonic contamination by xenobiotic chemicals has been a growing concern since Woodwell et al. (1967) first described bioaccumulation in a Long Island marsh. Since the plankton is a point of entry of water borne contaminants into the local food web and the biosphere (Sodergren and Gelin, 1983; Hardy & al., 1985; Mahanty, 1986), there is a need for tools that predict the sorption of pollutants by living particles in aquatic environments (Hansen, 1979). The long term fate of any xenobiotic partly depends on whether it is in solution or bound to particles, and on the extent and speed of its binding. These properties, which also represent the short term fate of the contaminant, vary greatly among contaminants, absorbants (Kanazawa, 1988) and presumably environments.

Nost studies of sorption dynamics consider the interaction of the contaminants with individual species of algae, invertebrates, or fish in laboratory experiments. Comparatively few studies have looked at the dynamics of sorption in natural systems (Paris et al., 1977; Paris & Lewis, 1976; Biggs, 1980; Brown et al., 1982; Lal et al., 1987; Harding & Phillips, 1978). Of the different studies of contaminant dynamics, only the work of Mailhot (1987) was directed explicitly to the development of quantitative models to predict the time course of contaminant sorption by planktonic organisms. She examined the time course of biological uptake of nine organic contaminants by the green alga <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u> and described the parameters of those time courses, the bioaccumulation factors (BCF) and the instantaneous rates of uptake, as functions of quantitative indices of chemical structure (Mailhot, 1986, 1987) The instantaneous rates of uptake were best described as a function of the first order connectivity index, a numerical measure of branching in the molecular skeleton; BCF was best described as a function of the capacity ratio a measure of retention time in a reversed-phase HPLC column system.

Mailhot's models were tested in the field using chlorinated benzenes (Mailhot & Peters, 1990) and showed similar instantaneous rates for penta- and tetrachlorabenzenes. Unfortunately, their techniques depended on small sample volumes and an awkward concentration step, and did not measure the uptake by natural plankton reliably. As a result, neither the equilibrium concentration nor the BCF could be defined for oligo-chlorinated compounds. Rates of uptake for these oligo-chlorinated compounds were lower than expected from extrapolation of the laboratory curves, but since the same compounds were not used in the laboratory experiments, this comparison cannot be direct. In addition, their field study did not include representatives of the most lipophilic and biologically active chlorinated organics, like DDT or PCE, nor did the laboratory model include the effects of environmental factors, like biomass or dissolved organic carbon, that might influence sorption. Clearly, more work is needed

This study reevaluates the applicability of Mailhot's relationships for one form of PCB under a broader range of laboratory and field conditions The 2, 2', 4, 4', 5, 5'-hexachlorobiphenyl configuration was selected because of its high biological activity and because Mailhot used it in her laboratory studies. The effects of algal biomass, dissolved and colloidal organic matter, and pH on the uptake of PCB by laboratory cultures of algae were

assessed first, to determine if these factors greatly influence the instantaneous rate of uptake or BCF. Biomass could dilute sestonic uptake of PCB so that the amount of contaminant per sestonic particle decreases as biomass increases. (Neudorf & Kahn, 1975; Biggs, 1980; O'Connor and Connely, 1980). Lake colour, which reflects dissolved and colloidal organic matter including humic materials, may bind to organic contaminants (Rasmussen et al., 1989; Servos et al., 1989; Leversee et al., 1983; Wershaw et al., 1969) or it could seem to enhance their solubility in water (Chiou et al., 1987; Leversee et al., 1983) in either case reducing its biological availability. Finally, pH may affect the biological activity of organics by changing the physicochemical properties of the contaminant or the sorbing surface, as Kaiser and Valdmanis (1981) suggest pH may affect the uptake of ionizing organics, like pentachlorophenol. To test the relevance of these laboratory results to the field, I further examined the uptake of PCB in 11 lakes to compare uptake in nature with predictions based on laboratory results.

á

Materials and Methods

3

×

To test and extend Mailhot's model, her technique had to be modified for the field. The amount of radioactivity cannot be increased because PCB is insoluble at higher concentrations, so sample volumes were increased and the results from otherwise similar experiments compared to hers to ensure that both techniques measure the same phenomena. I then assessed the influence of biomass, pH and organic matter on laboratory uptake and finally, compared these results to uptake by natural lake plankton.

Radiochemical:

Radioactively labelled 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl was purchased in a 14 C-radiolabelled form from Sigma Chemical Company, Missouri, U S.A. The specific activity was 7.4 x 10^{11} Bq Mol⁻¹. This was diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored in 2-ml ampoules at a concentration of 37 KBq ml⁻¹

<u>Algal cultures:</u>

A stock culture of the test organism <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u> was obtained from Donald St-Laurent of Environment Canada (Longueuil, Quebec), and maintained in the synthetic media recommended in the <u>S. capricornutum</u> Printz algal assay: bottle test (Miller et al., 1978). Flasks were shaken at 100 rpm at $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C and pH=7, illuminated from above at 400 foot-candles by cool white fluorescent light on a 15hL:9hD light cycle. Stock cultures were transferred to fresh medium every 7 days. Cell density was determined by haemocytometer counts at day 7 and at the start of each experiment. Biomass of these cultures was calculated from these counts assuming an average algal volume of $40 \ \mu m^3$ cell⁻¹ Bacterial and fungal contamination was monitored by plating 1 ml aliquots of stock on nutrient agar and incubating these plates with the cultures Contaminated cultures were discarded.

Uptake experiments:

To begin each uptake experiment, 10 μ l of radioactive PCB were added to each of three 400-ml aliquots of algal suspension or lake water in Pyrex beakers, closed with rubber stoppers to minimize evaporation of the PCB. Thereafter, at intervals from 20 min to 5h, two 5-ml aliquots were withdrawn from each of the triplicates and placed in scintillation vials to determine the total concentration of PCB in the flask. Simultaneously, 10-ml subsamples were also withdrawn and used to determine the portion of the radioactivity associated with particles To this end, the 10-ml sample was centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 min, then 5 ml of supernatant were withdrawn and placed in a scintillation vial Because the pellet was too small to be handled. the remainder was vortexed to resuspend the pellet and also placed in a scuttilliation vial. All samples then received 10 ml of scintillation cocktail (Ready Safe, Beckman) and their radioactivities were determined by a LKB Wallac 1215 Rack Beta II liquid scintillation counter. If A_t is the average total activity as determined in the two 5 ml replicates, A_s is the activity in the supernatant as determined in top 5 ml of the centrifugate and A_i the activity in the lower 5 ml of centrifgate, then the percentage of activity associated with particles (Y, \mathfrak{F}) can be determined as: Y = 100 (A₁ - A₅)/A₁. This adjusts the total activity for any loss to the containers during handling. In these experiments the use of relatively

large volumes, short exposures and glass containers reduced such losses to 5 (SD = 15%, n = 89). These samplings were performed in duplicate at each sample time and for each flask, and the results averaged for analysis

Laboratory manipulations .

The effect of biomass on uptake was tested in the laboratory using concentrations of <u>Selenastrum</u> ranging from 1 to 200 ppm by volume Algal suspensions for each uptake experiment were made by diluting the cultures with sterile stock solution to yield triplicate 400-ml volumes at the appropriate The effects of variations in organic matter and pH were cell concentration examined at a standard concentration of 25 ppm (6 x 10^5 cells ml⁻¹). To obtain dissolved and colloidal organic material, commercial peat moss was boiled in distilled water for several hours, then the mixture was filtered four times through a 35 μ m mesh and finally through a GF/C glass fibre filter Several serial dilutions produced a wide range of organic concentrations Levels of organic matter (DOC, mg 1^{-1}) were measured as absorbance at 440 nm (Abs, m^{-1}) which can be converted to Hazen units from the regression in Bowling et al. (1986) and then to dissolved organic carbon following Rasmussen et al. (1989) $DO(= 1.86 + 1.84 \text{ Abs} - 0.038 \text{ Abs}^2$ Salts were added to produce a nutrient solution which was identical to the algal assay medium, except for the presence of the organic material and the pH was adjusted to 7

To determine the effects of acidity, six different pH levels were obtained by adding 0 1 N NaOH or HCl to the diluted cell suspensions in the standard synthetic medium to yield pH values ranging from 4 to 9, as measured with a Corning pH meter.

Q

Hield Samples

lake water was collected from the euphotic zone (defined as twice the Secchi disc depth) of 11 lakes in southern Quebec in July and August 1990 using a 5-m long, 2 5-cm diameter Tygon tube. The samples were then filtered through a 250 μ m mesh to remove zooplankton or large phytoplankton that could increase variability in the amount of particulate matter in the experiment without significantly affecting the mean (Prepas and Rigler, 1982; Prepas and Samples were then transported in plastic carboys to the Vickery, 1984) Montreal laboratory where they were analyzed and used in uptake experiments within 24 h of collection. Concentrations of seston (mg dry weight 1^{-1}), colour, total phosphorus and chlorophyll a (mg m^{-3}), and pH were routinely determined to characterize the sample. Seston dry weight was measured gravimetrically as the change in the dry mass of a preweighed, Whatman GF/C glass fibre filter, produced by filtering 1 1 of lake water. Live biomass was estimated as seston dry mass/0.32 (Peters & Downing, 1984). Colour was measured as absorbance at 440 nm. Total phosphorus was estimated with the molybdenum blue procedure (Riley and Murphy, 1962), after digestion under pressure with potassium persulphate (Menzel and Corwin, 1965). Chlorophyll a, uncorrected for phaeophytin, was measured following Ostrofsky (in Peters and Bergmann, 1982).

Results and Discussion

Each uptake experiment yielded a series of estimates of the percentage of total radioactivity associated with particles at each sampling time averaged over the three replicate flasks. The results of three such experiments are shown in Figure 1.

A number of models could be fit to such data so the dynamics of uptake in different experiments and under different conditions could be compared. Mailhot (1986, 1987) assessed linear (Y=a+bX), exponential (Y=a[1-e^{-bX}]), and hyperbolic (Y=X/[aX-b]) models of the change in percentage uptake of ¹⁴C-PCB (Y, in %) with time (X, in minutes). On the basis of coefficient of determination, the best two-parameter model was the square hyperbola:

$$I = aX/(b+X)$$
(1)

where the parameters a and b are fitted constants. Initial estimates of a and b were fit to the data by a basic program developed by Raynald Pomerleau (Formic Videotex System, personal communication). These estimates were then used to find the best fit of the data to the model with the Statgraphics commercial statistical package.

The parameters of the square hyperbola could then be used to calculate two basic descriptors of the short term flux and fate of the initially dissolved contaminants: the instantaneous rate of uptake ($\frac{1}{min}$) and the equilibrium level as reflected by the bioconcentration factor (BCF, Bq ml⁻¹ algae ÷ Bq ml⁻¹ solution). The instantaneous rate of uptake of

PCB is the first derivative of equation 1 at time = 0:

Instantaneous rate of uptake = a/b (2)

Figure 1

Â

The uptake of PCB C-14 by <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u>. The curve represents the time course predicted using equations from Mailhot (1987) and the symbols are the mean values of duplicate measurements from each of these replicate experiments in this study. Biomass = 5ppm; pH = 7.



Ì

Time (min)

The bioaccumulation factor is related to a, the asymptotic value of Y achieved us time (X) approaches infinity and X/(b+X) approaches unity:

BCF = a/[(100-a)* individual cell volume * cell concentration] (3). It is these variables that Mailhot (1987) used to describe and predict the short term dynamics of different organic contaminants as functions of the physical and chemical characteristics of the chemicals. The present study uses the same variables to compare its results to Mailhot's and to assess the effect of biomass, dissolved organic material and pH on PCB uptake in both lai statory cultures and natural waters.

Comparisons of Techniques

If these experiments are to be relevant to extending the predictive equations developed by Mailhot (1987), then they should yield results comparable to hers when measured under otherwise identical experimental conditions. Figure 1 compares the time course of PCB uptake by <u>Selenastrum</u> predicted from Mailhot's equations with the data generated by the present study under the same experimental conditions. In general, the shape and the magnitude of predictions and observations were similar, but the predicted curve tends to overestimate the observed initial uptake and to underestimate the observed equilibrium level. A similar bias occurs when Mailhot's own data are compared to the predictions of her relationships. Apparantly, Mailhot's general relations yield similar, slightly biased, descriptions of PCB dynamics and the results from the modified technique are consistent with her data. Figure 2

.

Curves of best fit describing the uptake of C-14 PCB by <u>S</u>. <u>capricornutum</u> at a concentration of 5ppm (10^5 cells ml⁻¹) and pH = 7 as determined in four separate experiments by Mailhot (1987) and in three separate experiments in this study.



ł

Ę

Time (min)

Thus, it appears that the results of the two techniques are consistent in the shape of the curve, in the absolute value of the instantaneous rates of uptake and BCF, and in their relation to quantitative structure activity relationships describing general patterns in organic contaminant uptake.

Effects of Biomass on PCB uptake by laboratory cultures:

1

Each uptake experiment yielded three estimates of each parameter of the square hyperbola (a and b in equation 1), each pair being based on the time course of uptake in a single flask. Because these triplicate estimates could not be considered fully independent, they were used to calculate average time courses of uptake (Fig 3) from average instantaneous rates of update and average BCF's (Fig 4) for each combination of experimental conditions. The individual estimates from separate flask were used only to guage the amount of variation among replicates.

Figure 3 shows the time courses of uptake calculated from the averaged parameters for each level of algal biomass. With the exception of experiments at the lowest <u>Selenastrum</u> calculations (1 ppm), the fits to the individual replicates underlying these curves were quite good. Coefficients of determination increase from 0.92 to 0.97 (mean=0.95) at 5 ppm to 0.97 to 1 0 (mean=0.99) at 200 ppm. Estimates of instantaneous rates of uptake and BCF are similarly better defined at higher algal concentrations, as is apparent in the lower standard deviation associated with these estimates at higher biomasses (Fig 4). This increased precision reflects the strength of the response at higher algal levels. As Fig. 3 shows, both the asymptote and the speed at which this asymptote is achieved increase with biomass. At the Figure 3

, The second s

The average time course of PCB C-14 uptake by <u>S</u>. <u>capricornutum</u> at different biomasses expressed in ppm by volume. Dotted lines join the proportion of uptake at the time of the earliest measurement to the intercept.



¥.

Ī

, 19₁₁

Figure 4

1

T

The relationships between Log biomass and (A) the logarithm of the instantaneous rate of uptake and (B) the logarithm of BCF. Because the BCF at 1 ppm was poorly defined (see text), that regression is based only on the six BCF values from experiments at or above 5 ppm. The statistics associated with the regression in panel A needed to determine the confidence limits of the coefficients and predictions are $SE_{slope} = 0.09$; $SE_{intercept} = 0.14$; $S_{xy} = 0.12$; mean Log biomass = 1.52 and $\Sigma x^2 = 1.82$. Statistics for the regression in panel $SE_{slope} = 0.17$; $S_{xy} = 0.14$; mean Log biomass = 1.52 and $\Sigma x^2 = 1.82$.



t

فر ته حر نہ highest biomass, the rate of approach to the asymptote is so fast that the instantaneous rate of uptake is again less certainly defined by these experiments.

At the lowest level of biomass (1 ppm), uptake curves were much more poorly defined ($r^2=0.52$ to 0.56) and the rate of uptake is so slow that the asymptotic levels could not be accurately described. Of the three asymptotes obtained, only one was of an order of magnitude consistant with those generated at higher concentrations; the other two exceeded 100% and were not used. Instantaneous rates of uptake were more consistant, but still had greater uncertainty than those measured at higher biomasses. One negative value for the instantaneous rate at 1 ppm was excluded from further analyses.

Despite the short-comings of the estimates at the lowest algal concentrates, the effects of biomass on PCB uptake are very clear. As biomass increases, PCB is taken up more rapidly and more completely, although the proportionate increase in the asymptote is much less than the proportionate increase of biomass (Fig 3). Regression showed a significant, positive, but shallow, relation between asymptote (a) and biomass, such that loga = 1.4 + 0.14 log biomass. Thus the asymptote increased less than twice with the 40-fold increase in biomass from 5 to 200 ppm and the total uptake over the 5 h of these experiments increased only 4-fold (Fig 3). As a result, the contaminant concentration in the cells, the amount per cell, and the BCF decline rapidly as biomass increases. In fact, the coefficient relating BCF to biomass (Fig 4a), is not significantly different from -1 suggesting that, over this range of algal concentrations, bioconcentration factor may be a simple inverse function of biomass. Instantaneous rates of uptake instead increase almost directly with

biomass (Fig 4b). In short, these laboratory experiments show that increased biomass results in proportionately more rapid uptake, moderate increases in the total amount of uptake, but dramatic decreases in bioconcentration factor.

Several authors have made similar observations on the effect of biomass on BCF and contaminant accumulation using different compounds, species and methods (Neudorf & Kahn, 1975; Biggs et al., 1980; Hardy et al., 1985). Quantitatively, a literature survey by Thomann et al. (1986) suggest that BCF will decline as biomass^{-1 2} to biomass^{-0 44} over this range of concentration of suspended solids. The observations in the present study are consistent with values reported earlier by O'Connor and Connolly (1980) and show that these values apply to living organisms. The effect of biomass on rate of uptake seems not to have been assessed previously.

Effects of organic colour and pH

Dilution of the peat moss infusions provided a series of <u>Selenastrum</u> suspensions in nutrient medium that ranged from clear to deeply stained. Because absorption at short wavelengths is closely correlated with dissolved organic carbon (Moore, 1987), DOC could be estimated as lying between 3 and 20 mg 1⁻¹ from the equation developed earlier by combining regressions from Rasmussen et al. (1989) and from Bowling et al. (1986). Although this estimation cannot indicate if natural dissolved and colloidal material is qualitatively similar to that in peat infusions, it does show that the infusions contained amounts of DOC that were quantitatively similar to those found in nature (Bowling et al, 1986; Rasmussen et al., 1989). Because the intended replicates in these experiments varied substantially in absorbance, they could not be combined or averaged, so each flask was treated as an independent sample Both instantaneous rates of PCB uptake and BCF declined as absorbance increased, but these relations did not appear to be linear. Comparison of several simple transformations (linear, log-linear, quadratic, log-log) showed that double logarithmic transforms were most effective in normalizing variance and linearizing the relations. These regressions showed that instantaneous rate of uptake was reduced by 30% over the range of absorbances in our experiments. BCF also declined, but the effect was less strong and the decline less marked (Fig. 5).

These results indicate that dissolved organic carbon can reduce both the rate and extent of contaminated uptake by planktonic organisms. Since the rate of uptake is more affected than the equilibrium concentration, the main effect of DOC in this experiment was to slow uptake. The equilibrium level was less affected, but the modest reduction in BCF suggests that at least some PCB remains bound to the DOC even at the end of the experiment. A number of previous authors have suspected that DOC may influence contaminant dynamics (Mailhot and Peters, 1990; Evans, 1989; Chiou et al., 1987; Leversee et al., 1983).

Although acute changes in pH might be expected to influence the dynamics of contaminant uptake (Sawhney, 1986) Table 1 indicates no effect of pH on BCF's or instantaneous rates of uptake by <u>Selenastrum</u>. Since the coefficients of variation of BCF and instantaneous rate across these experiments were only 28% and 31% respectively, the lack of pattern in the response to changes in pH does not reflect large unexplained variation, but consistency in both parameters despite changes in pH under the conditions in these cultures. This does not eliminate the possibility that pH may be important under other conditions, for example where the absorptive capacity of natural dissolved organic carbon may be affected by changes in acidity.

Figure 5

٠<u>÷</u>,

The effect of colour measured as absorbance at 440 nm on (A) the instantaneous rate of uptake of PCB by <u>Selenastrum</u> and (B) the bioconcentration factor of PCB uptake. Absorbance has been approximately converted to equivalent concentrations of DOC to yield the upper axis. The statistics for regression in panel A are $SE_{slope} = 0.08$; $SE_{intercept} = 0.05$; $S_{xy} = 0.15$; mean Log Abs = 0.50 and $\Sigma x^2 = 3.80$. Statistics for the regression in panel B are $SE_{slope} = 0.10$; $SE_{intercept} = 0.07$; $S_{xy} = 0.19$; mean Log Abs = 0.50 and $\Sigma x^2 = 3.80$.



(
Table 1. Instantaneous rates of uptake (rate) and bioconcentration factors (BCF) of hexachlorobiphenyl in suspensions of <u>Selenastrum</u> observed over a range of acidities. Standard deviations at each pH reflect variation among three replicates, those of the averages reflect variation among the means of those triplicates.

2

| рН | Log rate | SD | Log BCF | SD |
|---------|----------|------|---------|------|
| 4 | -0.12 | 0 13 | 4 66 | 0 12 |
| 5 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 4.28 | 0.46 |
| 6 | -0.20 | 0.12 | 4.61 | 0.25 |
| 7 | -0.24 | 0.10 | 4.45 | 0.33 |
| 8 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 4.46 | 0.34 |
| 9 | -0.15 | 0.21 | 4.45 | 0.18 |
| Average | -0.10 | 0 12 | 4.48 | 0.13 |
| | | | | |

Uptake by natural plankton

The 11 lakes used as sources of natural water were selected to represent the range of natural conditions. Table 2 shows that this sampling was successful in terms of biomass, for the waters varied by almost an order of magnitude, and in terms of lake trophic states, for transparency and concentrations of both phosphorus and chlorophyll indicate that these waters range from oligotrophic to moderately eutrophic. Colour indicates a range from clear to moderately tea-coloured. Values of DOC calculated from absorbance suggest concentrations between 8 and 24 mg 1⁻¹, which would include almost half of the lakes covered in the data collection of Rasmussen et al. (1989). The study lakes were more alkaline and less varied in pH than hoped, perhaps because they were sampled in mid-Summer. Simple correlations showed no significant collinearities among these potential predictor variables.

The time courses of PCB uptake in these samples followed the same patterns as those observed in laboratory experiments and also fit the square hyperbola. Again, as biomass increased the asymptote and the speed at which this asymptote was approached increased, and the time courses were well defined at both low and high biomasses. Indeed, the coefficient of determination (r^2) for all lakes lay from 0.94 to 1.00, but one estimate of uptake rate for Lac des Piles was negative and was therefore not used in these analyses. The parameters of the square hyperbolas fit to these data were of the same magnitude as those observed in laboratory experiment and were used to generate estimates of instantaneous rates of uptake and BCF of PCB by the plankton in these lakes. These latter variables were used to compare uptake in the field with those predicted on the basis of laboratory observations.

Table 2. Selected physical and chemical characteristics of the lakes sampled tor this study. Biomass (ppm by volume) is calculated as the dry weight of suspended material divided by 0.32, Abs is absorbance (m^{-1}) at 440 nm as a measure of dissolved organic carbon, TP is total phosphorus concentration (in mg m⁻³), Chl is the concentration of chlorophyll a (chl, mg m⁻³) and SD is the Secchi disc transparency in m.

B---

| Lake | Biomass | Absorbance | рH | TP | Ch1 | Secchi |
|--------------|---------|------------|-----|------|-----|--------|
| des Piles | 1.4 | 0.58 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 12.5 |
| Magog | 2.4 | 0.90 | 8.0 | 19.9 | 1.9 | 4.2 |
| Memphremagog | 2.9 | 0.41 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 38 |
| Croche | 4.0 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 |
| Brome | 4.2 | 0.42 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 5.8 | 4.0 |
| Aylmer | 4.4 | 2 3 | 7.3 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 2 5 |
| Hertel | 5.0 | 0.8 | 9.2 | 14.8 | 15 | ر' ۲۰ |
| Lusignan | 5.4 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 9.0 | 0.2 | 14 14 |
| Coulombe | 7.1 | 3.4 | 7.0 | 13.9 | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| Waterloo | 9.0 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 28.7 | 4.5 | 2.2 |
| Argile | 9.5 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 10 2 | 1.4 | 4.5 |

2+

Stepwise multiple regression showed that plankton biomass had a strong effect on both instantaneous uptake and BCF (table 3). Qualitatively, biomass had similar effects in both laboratory and field studies, for instantaneous rate rose and BCF declined as biomass increased. Variations in biomass explained almost all the variation in BCF $(r^2 = 0.97)$, but was a less powerful determinant of the instantaneous rate of uptake $(r^2 = 0.48)$. Since the coefficients of these predictor variables were not significantly different from 1 and -1 respectively, the behaviour of PCB uptake by plankton also appears quantitatively similar to that by laboratory cultures. Neither absorbance nor pH had a significant effect in these analyses, but this might be expected, given the small number of data points available. Given that limitation, it is interesting that DOC, as measured by absorbance at 440 nm, had a negative effect on BCF that was almost significant (P<0.1). The consistency of this effect with the similar effect of absorbance in laboratory suggests that this negative relation may be real. By the same token, the very weak, positive effect of absorbance on instantaneous rate was not supported by the laboratory results and should probably be ignored. Acidity had no noticable effect in either field or laboratory experiments.

The laboratory regressions developed by Mailhot (1987) and in this study were combined to produce two semi-empirical relations that could be used to predict the uptake of organic contaminants in nature. Coefficients of the predictor variables were assumed to be those obtained in laboratory regressions and the elevation of each relation was calculated from the laboratory means of this study, under the assumption that the semi-empirical regressions must pass through those means and the observation that they were not significantly different from those of Mailhot's study. Connectivity index (X) and capacity

1.2

Table 3. The results of stepwise regressions of the instantaneous rate of uptake and bioconcentration factor of PCB against plankton biomass (biomass, ppm) and absorbance at 440 nm (Abs, m^{-1}). Although pH was included in the analysis it never approached significance in either model.

•

| Εqι | ation | | SD | Р | S _{xy} | r ² |
|-----|------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1. | log Rate = | -0.80 | 0.15 | | 0.17 | 0.48 |
| | | +0.63 log biomass | | | | |
| 2 | log Rate = | -0.55 | 0.28 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.54 |
| | | +0.56 log biomass | 0.23 | 0.04 | | |
| | | +0.19 log Abs | 0.18 | 0.33 | | |
| 3. | log BCF = | 5.83 | 0.048 | 0.0001 | 0.054 | 0 97 |
| | | -1.12 log biomass | 0.069 | 0.0001 | | , |
| 4. | log BCF = | 5.70 | 0.079 | 0.0001 | 0.047 | , 0,98 |
| | | -1.08 log biomass | 0.064 | 0.0001 | | |
| | | -0.051 log Abs | 0.051 | 0.093 | | |

ratio (K') were used in these relations because they are the predictors Mailhot (1987) identified as the best variables to predict instantaneous rates and BCF's from indices of chemical structure.

log Rate = $-3.30 + 0.32 \times + 1.1$ log Biomass - 0.42 log Abs (4) log BCF = 4.11 + 0.86 log K' $\cdot 0.87$ log Biomass - 0.22 log Abs (5) where biomass is expressed as ppm (volume/volume) and Abs is absorbance (m⁻¹) at 440 nm.

The predictive power of these relations was tested by plotting and regressing observed vs predicted values for the logarithms of both instantaneous rate and BCF. Figure 6 compares these results with the ideal 1:1 relation obtained by perfect predictions. The predictions explain a substantial part of the observed variations in both comparisons, the slopes approach the ideal value of 1 and the intercepts are not significantly different from 0. However, the instantaneous rates observed in Lac Lusignan and Lac d'Argile were so low that no significant relation existed between observed and predicted BCF when all lakes were included in the regression. These two lakes were subsequently excluded from both regressions in figure 6, even though the BCF values for both lakes appear to follow the relation for other lakes. A similar analysis in which absorbance was not used to develop the predictions was almost as effective ($r^2=0.83$, slope=0.79 intercept=0.39 for Log rate; $r^2=0.98$, slope=1.31, intercept=-1.63 for Log BCF), so DOC need not to be considered to produce these approximate predictions. However, since the range of natural lake color exceeds that studied, absorbance can be included in making the predictions until data from a larger range of DOC is used to assess its utility

28

Figure 6

Comparison of (A) instantaneous rates of uptake and (B) bioconcentration factors observed in experiments with the natural plankton of lakes and that predicted from laboratory relations derived from experiments with <u>Selenastrum</u> cultures. The solid curve is the 1:1 line. The points represented by open circles, from Lac D'Argile and Lac Lusignan, were not included in the regressions.

ļ

۶ ۲



Í

(

To determine the effectiveness of these predicted parameters in reconstructing the time course of PCB uptake, the predicted BCF's and rates were used to develop a predicted time course which could be compared with the time courses observed in the plankton experiments and the predicted time course from Mailhot (1987). Plots of predicted vs observed values at all sampling times in each replicate for each of the 11 lakes suggest that this approach is reasonably effective, for the explained variation was high (mean $r^2 = 0.84$; range = 0.64 to 0.95) and the slope (mean = 0.82; range = 0.49 to 1.75) and intercept (mean = 2.10, range = -6.2 to 11.2) were not far from ideal. Equations 4 and 5 are more effective in predicting observed time courses than are equations that ignore the effects of biomass and colour. Mailhot's (1987) equations yielded predicted values that were also well correlated with observed uptake (mean $r^2 = 0.80$; range = 0.51 to 0.93), but the slopes (mean -2.8; range = 1.52 to 0.93) and the intercepts (mean = -6.7; range = -19.2 to 6.5) of the observed vs predicted regressions indicate that equations based solely on indices of chemical structure tended to underestimate the observed uptake (fig. 6 & 7).

The effectiveness of the predictions is most apparent when the predicted time courses are compared to the observed uptake values for lakes of high and low biomasses (Figure 7). However, the time course of uptake of Lac Lusignan and Lac D'Argile (fig.8) are not well represented by either curves predicted from equations 4 or 5 or from Mailhot (1986, 1987). I have no explanation for this discrepancy: the individual values of the physico-chemical properties measured for these two lakes are not exceptional compared to the other lakes in this study, but there are so many unmeasured properties that many explanations are still possible. For example, the high values of suspended solids and low

Figure 7

Comparison of the time courses of PCB uptake calculated from parameters predicted from equations 4 and 5 and from Mailhot (1987) with the uptake observed in waters from two lakes of very different biomasses, eutrophic Lake Waterloo and oligotrophic Lac des Piles. These two lakes represent extremes in terms of the biomass in the lake samples included in this study. The mean r² for all eleven fits of this study was 0.84, that for Lake Waterloo was 0.81 and that for Lac des Piles was 0.84.



Time (min)

~** ~** Figure 8

Comparison of time course of PCB uptake calculated from parameters predicted on the basis of equations (4) and (5) of this study and of equation by Mailhot (1987) with the uptake observed in waters from Lac Lusignan and Lac D'Argile. Uptake in these lakes was not well predicted by either set of equations.



PCB C-14 uptake (%)

5 .

values of chlorophyll in both lakes may indicate the presence of suspended clays that may sorb less PCB than expected. Clays have lower carbon contents than living tissue and sorption rises with carbon level (Sawhney, 1986). Unfortunately, such speculations are empty without more information that must be a goal for further research.

Conclusion:

It is gratifying that predictions from the improved predictive equations for instantaneous rate of uptake and BCF's are highly correlated with the values observed in nature for 2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl and that these regressions allow quite good predictions of the time course of PCB uptake in 9 of 11 trials. Nevertheless, it is perfectly conceivable that these equations may not prove as powerful for another congener of PCB, or another family of compounds. For example, Leversee & al. (1983) found that the effects of a specific concentration of humic acid may depend on the chemical studied and Neudorf & Khan (1975) indicate that the size or composition of the cells may affect uptake The anomalous behavious of Lac D'Argile and Lac Lusignan in this study suggest that other environmental factors can significantly affect the uptake of even this PCB. For all these reasons, the present relations must be considered tentative and ephemeral. Nevertheless, the present level of success shows that the short term uptake of organic contaminants is a predictable phenomenon if both contaminant and sorbent and their mutual environment are considered.

REFERENCES

- Biggs, D.C.; Powers, C.D.; Rowland, R.G.; O'Connors, H.B., Jr.; Wurster, C F. Uptake of polychlorinated biphenyls by natural phytoplankton assemblages Field and laboratory determination of ¹⁴C-PCB particle-water index of sorption. Environ. Poll. 1980, 22(A), 101-110.
- Bowling, L.C.; Steane, M.S.; Tyler, P.A. The spectral distribution and attenuation of underwater irradiance in Tasmanian inland waters. Freshwater Biology. 1986, 16, 313-335.
- Brown, M.P.; McLauglin, J.J.A.; O'Connor, J.M.; Wyman, K. A mathematical model of PCB bioaccumulation. In: Plankton ecological modelling.1982, 15, 29-47
- Cairns, T.; Doose, M.G.; Froberg, J.E.; Jacobson, R.A.; Siegmund, E G Analytical chemistry of PCBs. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.I, ed. by J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 1-46.
- Chen, P.H.; Chang, K.T.; Lu, Y.D. Polychlorinated biphenyls and polychlorinated dibenzofurans in the toxic rice-bran oil that caused PCB poisoning in Taichung. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1981, 26, 489-495

Chiou, C.T.; Kile, D.E.; Brinton, T.I.; Malcolm, R.L.; Leenheer, J.A.; MacCarthy, P. A comparison of water solubility enhancements of organic solutes by aquatic humic materials and commercial humic acids. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1987, 21, 1231-1234.

.

- Evans, H.E. The binding of three PCB congeners to dissolved organic carbon in freshwaters. Chemosphere. 1988, 17, 2325-2338.
- Fuller, G.B.; Hobson, W.C. Effect of PCBs on reproduction in mammals. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.II, ed. by J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 101-126.
- Hansen, S.D. Experiments on the accumulation of lindane (-BHC) by the primary producers <u>Chlorella</u> spec. and <u>Chlorella</u> <u>pyrenoidosa</u>. Arch. Environm. Contam. Toxicol. 1979, 8, 721-731.
- Harding, L.W., Jr.; Phillips, J.H., Jr. Polychlorinated biphenyls: transfer from microparticulates to marine phytoplankton and effects on photosynthesis. Science. 1978, 202, 1189-1192.
- Hardy, J.T.; Dau'le, D.D.; Felice, L.J. Aquatic fate of synfuel residuals: bioaccumulation of aniline and phenol by the freshwater phytoplankter <u>Scenedesmus quadricauda</u>. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 1985, 4, 29-35.

Higuchi, K. (Ed.) PCB poisoning and pollution. 1976, New York, Academic Press.

- Kaiser, K.L.E.; Valdmanis, I Apparent octanol/water partition coefficients of pentachlorophenol as a function of pH. Can. J. Chem. 1981, 60, 2104.
- Kanazawa, J. Prediction of biological concentration potential of pesticides in aquatic organisms. Rew. Plant. Protec. Res. 1980, 13, 27-36.

- Kuratsune, M. Yusho. In: Halogenated biphenyls, terphenyls, naphthalenes, dibenzodioxins and related products. ed. by R.D. Kimbrough, Amsterdam, Elsevier/North-Holland Biomedical Press. 1980, pp 287-302.
- Lal, S.; Lal, R.; Sanena, D.M. Bioconcentration and metabolism of DDT, fenitrothion and chlorpyrifos by the blue-green algae <u>Anabaena</u> sp. and <u>Aulosira fertilissima</u>. Environm. Pollution. 1987, 46, 187-196.
- Leversee, G.J.; Landrum, P.F.; Giesy, J.P.; Fannin, T. Humic acids reduce bioaccumulation of some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Can J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 1983, 40(suppl.2), 63-69
- Lohner, T.W., and W.J. Collins. Determination of uptake rate constants for six organochlorides in midge larvae. Env. Toxicol. Chem. 1987, 6, 137-146.
- Mailhot, H. The use of some physico-chemical properties to predict algal uptake of organic compounds. M Sc Thesis, Mc Gill University. 1986
- Mailhot, H. Prediction of algal bioaccumulation and uptake rate of nine organic compounds by ten physicochemical properties. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1987, 21, 1009-1013.
- Mailhot, H.; Peters, R.H. Short-term sorption of chlorinated benzenes by concentrated seston. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 1990, 24, in press
- Mahanty, H.K. Polychlorinated biphenyls: accumulation and effects upon plants In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.II. ed. by J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 1-8.

Menzel, D.W; Corwin, N. The measurement of total phosphorus based on the liberation of organically bound fractions by persulphate oxidation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1965, 10, 280-282.

i,

- Metcalf, R.L.; Sanborn, J.R.; Lu, P.Y.; Nye, D. Laboratory model ecosystem studies of the degradation and fate of radiolabeled tri-, tetra-, and pentachlorobiphenyl compared with DDE. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1975, 3, 151-165.
- Miller, W.E.; Greene, J.C.; Shiroyama, T. The <u>Selenastrum capricornutum</u> Printz algal assay bottle test experimental design, application and data interpretation protocol. 1978, U.S. EPA: Corvallis, OR.
- Moore, T.R. An assessment of a simple spectrophotometric method for the determination of dissolved organic carbon in freshwaters. New Zealand J. Mar. Freshwater Res. 1987, 21, 585-589.
- Murphy, J.; Riley, J.P. A modified single solution method for the determination ofphosphate in natural waters. Anal. Chim. Acta. 1962, 27, 31-36.
- Neely, W.B.; Branson, D.R.; Blau, G.E. Partition coefficient to measure bioconcentration potential of organic chemicals in fish. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1974, 8, 1113-1115.
- Neudorf, S.; Khan, M.A.Q. Pick-up and metabolism of DDT, dieldrin and photodieldrin by a fresh water algae (<u>Ankistrodesmus amalloides</u>) and a microcrustacean (<u>Daphnia pulex</u>). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1975, 13, 443-450.

O'Connor, D.J.; Connolly, J.P.; Thomas, N.A. The Great Lakes Ecosystem modelling of the fate of PCBs. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.III, ed. J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 153-180.

. . .

- Paris, D.F.; Lewis, D.L. Accumulation of methoxychlor by microorganisms isolated from aqueous systems. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1976, 15, 24-32
- Paris, D.F.; Lewis, D.L.; Barnett, J.T. Bioconcentration of toxaphene by microorganisms. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1977, 17, 564-572.
- Peakall, D.B. Accumulation and effects on birds. In: PCBs and the environment Vol.I, ed. J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 31-48.
- Peters, R.H.; Bergmann, M. A comparison of different phosphorus fractions as predictors of particulate pigment levels in lake Memphremagog and its tributaries. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1982, 39, 785-790.
- Peters, R.H.; Downing, J.A. Empirical analysis of zooplankton filtering, and feeding rates. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1984, 29, 763-784.
- Prepas, E.E.; Rigler, F.H. A test of a simple model to predict short-term changes in the phosphorus concentration in lake water. Verh. Internat Ver. Limnol. 1981, 21, 187-196.
- Prepas, E.E.; Vickery, J. Contribution of particulate phosphorus (>250µm) to the total phosphorus pool in lake water. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1984, 41, 351-363.

- Rasmussen, J.B.; al., The humic content of lake water and its relationship to watershed and lake morphometry. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1989, 34, 1336-1343.
- Sawhney, B.L. Chemistry and properties of PCBs in relation to environmental effects. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.I, ed. J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 47-64.
- Servos, M.R.; Muir, D.C.G.; Webster, G.R.B. The effect of dissolved organic matter on the bioavailability of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins. Aquat. Toxicol. 1989, 14, 169-184.
- Sodergren, A.; Gelin, C. Effects of PCBs on the rate of carbon-14 uptake in phytoplankton isolates from oligotrophic and eutrophic lakes. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1983, 30, 191-198.
- Spacie, A.; Hamelink, J.L. Alternative models for describing the bioconcentration of organics in fish. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 1982, 1, 309-320.
- Tabak, H.H.; Quave, S.A.; Mashni, C.I.; Barth, E.F. Biodegradability studies with organic priority pollutant compounds. J. Water Pollution Control Federation. 1981, 53, 1503-1518.
- Tanabe, S. PCB problems in the future: foresight from current knowledge. Env. Pollut. 1988, 50, 5-28.

Ţ

Tanabe, S.; Tatsukawa, R. Distribution, behavior and load of PCBs in the oceans. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.I, ed. J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 143-161.

1.0

- Tanabe, S.; Kannan, N.; Subramanian, A.; Watanabe, S.; Tatsukawa, R. Highly toxic coplanar PCBs: Occurence, source, persistency and toxic implications to wildlife and humans. Environ. Pollut. 1987, 47, 147-163
- Thomann, R.V.; Connolly, J.P.; Thomas, N.A. The Great Lakes Ecosystem modelling of the fate of PCBs. In: PCBs and the environment. Vol.III, ed J.S. Waid, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press. 1986, pp 153-180.
- Veith, G.D.; Call, D.J.; Brooke, L.T. Structure-toxicity relationships for the fathead minnow, <u>Pimephales promelas</u>: narcotic industrial chemicals Can J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1983, 40, 743-748.
- Woodwell, G.M.; Wuster, C.F.; Isaacson, P.A. DDT residues in an east coast estuary: a case of biological concentration of a persistent pesticide Science. 1967, 156, 821-824.
- Zitko, V. In: Structure-Activity Correlations in studies of toxicity and bioconcentration with aquatic organisms. Proceeding of a Symposium, Burlington, Ontario, 1975; Veith, G.D.: Konasewich, D.E. Eds. Great Lakes Regional Office, International Joint Commision: Windsor Ontario, 1975, pp 7-24.

TABLE OF APPENDIX

¥.

| List of lakes sampled and localities 41 |
|---|
| Physico-chemical properties of lakes sampled 42 |
| Values used in figure 1 43 |
| Values used in figure 2 44 |
| Values used in figure 3 45 |
| Values used in figure 4 46 |
| Values used in figure 5 48 |
| Values used in figure 6 49 |
| Data used in figure 7 51 |
| Data used in figure 8 53 |
| Data used in figure 9 55 |
| Figure 9 62 |
| Data from all biomass experiments |
| Data from all colour experiments |
| Data from all pH experiments |

| Date | Lakes | Nearest Town | Latitude | Longitude |
|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------|
| 01.06.90 | Hertel | St-Hilaire | 45 33′ N | 73 10' W |
| 13.06.90 | Croche | St-Hippolyte | 45 55' N | 74 W |
| 15.06.90 | des Piles | Grand'Mère | 46 40' N | 72 55' W |
| 17.06.90 | Lusignan | St-Michel-des | 46 40' N | 74 W |
| | | Saints | | |
| 18.06.90 | Waterloo | Waterloo | 45 20' N | 72 31' W |
| 19.06.90 | Memphrémagog | Magog | 45 08' N | 72 13' W |
| 24.06.90 | Magog | Deauville | 45 20' N | 72 03' W |
| 28.06.90 | Brome | Knowlton | 45 15' N | 72 30' W |
| 05.08.90 | Coulombe | St-Gérard | 45 50' N | 71 20' W |
| 05.08.90 | Aylmer | St-Gérard | 45 50' N | 71 22' W |
| 05.08.90 | D'Argile | Notre-Dame- | 45 50' N | 75 32' W |
| | | de-la-Salette | | |
| | | | | |

Hannes & J.

Ī

PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF LAKES SAMPLED IN THIS STUDY MEASURED IN LABORATORY

100

| Lakes | chl a (mg/l) | abs (m) | TP (ug/l) | SP (ug/l) | PP (ug/l) | dry wt (mg/l) | secchi (m) | рH |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Hertel Croche | 1.462 0.965 | 0.078 | 14.85 3.97 | 2.35 | 12.5 | 1.61 1.27 | 4.5 4.25 | 9.2 6.1 |
| des Pile Lusignan Waterloo | s 0.2 0.16 4.46 | 0.058 0.04 0.112 | 2.266 8.98 28.7 | 1.208 0 18.3 | 8.98 10.4 | 1.72 | 4.4 | 7.18 7.4 |
| Memphre. | 2.659 | 0.041 | 7.507 | 1.877 | 5.63 | 0.94 | 3.75 | 7.84 |
| Aylmer Coulombe | 2.12 | 0.228 | 10.89 | 5.18 5.54 | 5.71 | 1.41 | 2.5 | 7.33 |
| Argile Brome | 1.41 5.794 | 0.061 | 10.179 | 2.5 NA | 7.68 NA | 3.05 | 4.5 | 7.08 |

ALC: NO

-

· •

| | mean value experiment study | s of dup] s at 5ppm | licate n of this | predicted % uptake using equations from Mailhot (1987) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | uptake (%) |
| 2 | NA | NA | NA | 0.633341 |
| 15 | NA | NA | NA | 3.585172 |
| 19 | NA | NA | 3 | 4 777597 |
| 20 | 2.8 | NA | NA | 4.368212 |
| 21 | NA | 0 | NA | 4.508896 |
| 30 | NA | NA | NA | 5,588883 |
| 40 | 6.3 | NA | 4.1 | 6.496602 |
| 44 | NA | 4.9 | NA | 6.797707 |
| 45 | NA | NA | NA | 6.868449 |
| 60 | NA | NA | NA | 7,756351 |
| 62 | 10.3 | NA | 3.2 | 7.854614 |
| 68 | NA | 5.8 | NA | 8.127157 |
| 75 | NA | NA | NA | 8.408547 |
| 82 | NA | NA | 8.9 | 8.657111 |
| 84 | 8.9 | NA | NA | 8.722891 |
| 88 | NA | 3.4 | NA | 8.848186 |
| 90 | NA | NA | NA | 8.907897 |
| 105 | NA | NA | NA | 9.302496 |
| 106 | NA | NA | 11 | 9.325880 |
| 107 | 11.5 | NA | NA | 9.348941 |
| 113 | NA | 6.1 | NA | 9.480895 |
| 120 | NA | NA | NA | 9.622175 |
| 130 | NA | NA | 12.3 | 9.803583 |
| 131 | 7.8 | NA | NA | 9.820543 |
| 136 | NA | 12.5 | NA | 9,902419 |
| 150 | NA | NA | NA | 10,10850 |
| 151 | NA | NA | 7 | 10.12205 |
| 154 | 9 | NA | NA | 10.16186 |
| 158 | NA | 11.5 | NA | 10.21305 |
| 180 | MA | NA | NA | 10.46098 |
| 210 | NA | NA | NA | 10.72819 |
| 216 | 11.7 | NA | 11.9 | 10.77406 |
| 227 | NA | 19.8 | NA | 10.85276 |
| 240 | NA | NA | NA | 10.93773 |
| 261 | 15 | NA | NA | 11.05939 |
| 265 | NA | NA | 17.1 | 11,08065 |
| 279 | NA | 12.1 | NA | 11.15084 |
| 300 | NA | NA | NA | 11.24522 |

| best fit at 5ppm in | best fit at 5ppm in |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| this study | Mailhot (1987) |

-

| Time (min) | exp 1 | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp 4 |
|-------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2 | 0.496045 0. | 183246 | 0.232103 | 0.386201 | 0.492793 | 0.656548 | 0.693972 |
| 15 | 3.082370 1. | 338866 | 1.656955 | 2.643161 | 3.254055 | 4.356861 | 3.834710 |
| 19 | 3.708653 1.0 | 682530 | 2.068169 | 3.260260 | 3.975549 | 5.329773 | 4.493359 |
| 20 | 3.855536 1. | 767602 | 2.169103 | 3.409514 | 4.147993 | 5.562682 | 4.642883 |
| 21 | 3.998828 1. | 352339 | 2.269305 | 3.556837 | 4.317430 | 5.791671 | 4.787007 |
| 30 | 5.146443 2.0 | 600 266 | 3.139485 | 4.801711 | 5.719119 | 7.691376 | 5.882666 |
| 40 | 6.181242 3.4 | 01419 | 4.044075 | 6.033541 | 7.055276 | 9.511225 | 6.789109 |
| 44 | 6.539872 3. | 13455 | 4.388968 | 6.487437 | 7.535411 | 10.16732 | 7.086929 |
| 45 | 6.625293 3. | 7 9 07 3 3 | 4.473754 | 6.597734 | 7.651119 | 10.32560 | 7.156694 |
| 60 | 7.736908 4.9 | 16087 | 5.680944 | 8.115484 | 9.206093 | 12.45918 | 8.025779 |
| 62 | 7.864606 5.0 | 61500 | 5.833270 | 8.300265 | 9.390790 | 12.71340 | 8.121219 |
| 68 | 8.223908 5.4 | 91469 | 6.278930 | 8.832613 | 9.917473 | 13.43928 | 8.385167 |
| 75 | 8.602969 5.9 | 981527 | 6.778384 | 9.414984 | 10.48459 | 14.22245 | 8.656509 |
| 82 | 8.944869 6.4 | 59559 | 7.257088 | 9.959544 | 11.00653 | 14.94465 | 8.895212 |
| 84 | 9.036484 6. | 593 99 2 | 7.390229 | 10 .10869 | 11.14810 | 15.14078 | 8.958228 |
| 88 | 9.212325 6.8 | 360060 | 7.651853 | 10 .39893 | 11.42190 | 15.52038 | 9.078081 |
| 90 | 9.296748 6.9 | 91715 | 7.780390 | 10.54015 | 11.55433 | 15.70412 | 9.135116 |
| 105 | 9.865001 7.9 | 50839 | 8.698892 | 11.52386 | 12.46259 | 16.96666 | 9.510713 |
| 106 | 9.899251 8.0 | 13060 | 8.757412 | 11.58506 | 12.51829 | 17.04422 | 9.532899 |
| 107 | 9.933093 8.0 | 075071 | 8.815608 | 11.64576 | 12.57343 | 17.12103 | 9.554771 |
| 113 | 10.12796 8.4 | 42812 | 9.158142 | 11.99958 | 12.89310 | 17.56656 | 9.679771 |
| 120 | 10.33897 8.8 | 862676 | 9.543911 | 12.39121 | 13.24336 | 18.05534 | 9.813324 |
| 130 | 10.61354 9.4 | 45996 | 10.07067 | 12.91462 | 13.70571 | 18.70149 | 9.984384 |
| 131 | 10.63943 9. | 03293 | 10.12185 | 12.96478 | 13.74968 | 18.76299 | 10.00035 |
| 136 | 10.76490 9. | 87021 | 10.37379 | 13.21002 | 13.96380 | 19.06265 | 10.07738 |
| 150 | 11.08455 10. | 55782 | 11.04616 | 13.85069 | 14.51659 | 19.83736 | 10.27084 |
| 151 | 11.105/7 10. | 61158 | 11.09241 | 13.89404 | 14.55365 | 19.88936 | 10.28354 |
| 154 | 11.16823 10. | //18/ | 11.22980 | 14.02229 | 14.66305 | 20.04289 | 10.32083 |
| 158 | 11.24885 10. | 98328 | 11.40990 | 14.18917 | 14.80486 | 20.24199 | 10.36874 |
| 180 | 11.64437 12. | 10081 | 12.34119 | 15.03096 | 15.51073 | 21.23457 | 10.60031 |
| 210 | 12.08015 13. | 51127 | 13.46912 | 16.00514 | 16.30849 | 22.35946 | 10.84889 |
| 210 | 12.15598 13 | 1/895 | 13.6//46 | 16.17991 | 16.44950 | 22.55864 | 10.89146 |
| 227 | 12.28677 14 | 25802 | 14.04595 | 16.48523 | 16.69431 | 22.90468 | 10.96442 |
| 240 | 12.42902 14. | 60000 | 14.40032 | 16.82288 | 16.96282 | 23.28458 | 11.04311 |
| 201 24 c | 12.03432 13 | 04991 | 15.08559 | 17.32132 | 17.35501 | 23.84013 | 11.15559 |
| 203 | 12.0/000 13 | 30340 | 15.19896 | 17.41030 | 17.42450 | 23.93866 | 11.17523 |
| 2/3 | 12./9045 16 | 33686 | 15.58239 | 17.70817 | 17.65599 | 24.26703 | 11.24001 |
| 200 | 12.952/0 1/ | 19891 | 16.12125 | 18+11891 | 17.97235 | 24.71626 | 11.32701 |

ŧ

average time course of PCB uptake at all different biomasses

UPTAKE OF PCB C-14 (%)

| Time (min) | lppm | 5ppm | lOppm | 25ppm | 50ppm | 100ppm | 200pp# |
|---------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| | | | | | | | |

| 20 | 0.189849 | 2.090566 | 5.000797 | 9.009249 | 16.18747 | 29.34495 | 30.11994 |
|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 40 | 0.379699 | 3.909714 | 8.601497 | 15.05995 | 24.69816 | 34.30239 | 37.51818 |
| 60 | 0.569549 | 5.507080 | 11.31788 | 19.40392 | 29.94635 | 36.34930 | 40.86393 |
| 80 | 0.759398 | 6.920890 | 13,44010 | 22.67401 | 33.50627 | 37.46718 | 42.77102 |
| 100 | 0.949248 | 8.181065 | 15.14388 | 25.22464 | 36.07968 | 38,17153 | 44.00318 |
| 120 | 1.139098 | 9.311356 | 16.54187 | 27.26971 | 38.02676 | 38.65600 | 44.86483 |
| 140 | 1.328947 | 10.33086 | 17.70962 | 28.94598 | 39.55135 | 39.00964 | 45.50125 |
| 160 | 1.518797 | 11.25510 | 18.69967 | 30.34496 | 40.77750 | 39.27915 | 45.99054 |
| 180 | 1.708647 | 12.09684 | 19.54972 | 31.53020 | 41.78504 | 39.49136 | 46.37843 |
| 200 | 1.898496 | 12.86665 | 20.28751 | 32.54720 | 42.62764 | 39.66278 | 46.69349 |
| 220 | 2.088346 | 13.57338 | 20.93389 | 33.42941 | 43.34274 | 39,80415 | 46.95447 |
| 240 | 2.278195 | 14.22447 | 21.50487 | 34.20197 | 43.95724 | 39,92273 | 47.17419 |
| 26 0 | 2.468045 | 14.82624 | 22.01290 | 34.88412 | 44.49098 | 40.02362 | 47.36172 |
| 280 | 2.657895 | 15.38409 | 22.46786 | 35.49085 | 44.95890 | 40.11050 | 47.52365 |
| 300 | 2.847744 | 15.90267 | 22.87765 | 36.03401 | 45.37246 | 40.18610 | 47.66489 |

5

panel A: mean data from all biomass experiments of this study

| predicted | from |
|---------------------------|------|
| Log rate Log biom regress | ion |
| (%/min) (mg/1) | a |
| -1.4652 | Ś |
| -0.8532 0.699 -0.020 | 9 |
| -0.2427 1.3979 -0.0871 | 0 |
| 0.1098 1.699 0.2290 | 5 |
| 0.7068 2 0.545 | 51 |
| 0.9099 2.301 0.8611 | .5 |

| Regression Output: | |
|---------------------|----------|
| Constant | -1.55493 |
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.123356 |
| R Squared | 0.982172 |
| No. of Observations | 7 |
| Degrees of Freedom | 5 |

| X Ca | beffi | ici | ent(s) | 1.049991 |
|------|-------|-----|--------|----------|
| Std | Err | of | Coef. | 0.063263 |

| Regression Output | :: |
|---------------------|-----------|
| Constant | -1.68251 |
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.118934 |
| R Squared | ().9/0143 |
| No. of Observations | 4 |
| Degrees of Freedom | |

X Coefficient(s) 1.124271 Std Err of Coef. 0.087879

√ ₹

4

11

panel B: mean data from all biomass experiments of this study although the regression exclude lppm experiment results

| | | predicted from |
|----------|----------|----------------|
| Log BCF | Log biom | regression |
| 0 | (mg/1) | |
| 4.7122 | 0 | 5,084828 |
| 5,1018 | 0.699 | 4.8235 |
| 4.7194 | 1 | 4.478043 |
| 4.5469 | 1.3979 | 4.216628 |
| 4, 38 35 | 1.699 | 3.9553 |
| 3.7747 | 2 | 3.693971 |
| 3.726 | 2.301 | |

| Regression | Output: |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Constant | 5.691715 |
| Std Err of f Est R Squared | 0.946945 |
| No. of Observations | 6, |
| Degrees of Freedom | 4 |

X Coefficient(s) -0.86820 Std Err of Coef. 0.102751

Regression Output:Constant5.116682Std Err of Y Est0.307750R Squared0.695518No. of Observations7Degrees of Freedom5

X Coefficient(s) -0.53339 Std Err of Coef. 0.157831

| pinel A: data from all | panel B: data from all |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| colour effects experiments | colour effects experiments |
| of this study | of this study |

| Log abs L | og rate regression | Log abs | Log BCF | regression |
|------------|--------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| -1.10790 - | 0.06073 -0.00467 | -1.10790 | 4.427242 | 4.583739 |
| -1.05551 0 | .064764 -0.02668 | -1.05551 | 4.863132 | 4.572213 |
| -1.05060 - | 0.13351 -0.02874 | -1.05060 | 4,566343 | 4.571134 |
| -0.93554 - | 0.31621 -0.07707 | -0.93554 | 4.396704 | 4.545819 |
| -0.86646 - | 0.18644 -0.10608 | -0.86646 | 4.651810 | 4.530621 |
| -0.82390 - | 0.11650 -0.12395 | -0.82390 | 4.377979 | 4.521259 |
| -0.73992 - | 0.25014 -0.15922 | -0.73992 | 4.759796 | 4.502784 |
| -0.72353 0 | .002913 -0.16611 | -0.72353 | 4.484769 | 4.499178 |
| -0.65955 0 | .116215 -0.19298 | -0.65955 | 4.525899 | 4.485102 |
| -0.56543 - | 0.27803 -0.23251 | -0.56543 | 4.451617 | 4.464394 |
| -0.52143 - | 0.22584 -0.25099 | -0.52143 | 4.207311 | 4.454715 |
| -0.47366 - | 0.03143 -0.27106 | -0.47366 | 4.444716 | 4.444205 |
| -0.07727 - | 0.59641 -0.43754 | -0.07727 | 4.425191 | 4.357000 |
| -0.07007 - | 0.39737 -0.44057 | -0.07007 | 4.258589 | 4.355415 |
| -0.05354 - | 0.39795 -0.44750 | -0.05354 | 4.298154 | 4.351780 |
| 0.232742 - | 0.79142 -0.56775 | 0.232742 | 4.752217 | 4.288796 |
| 0.245759 - | 0.45466 -0.57321 | 0.245759 | 4.177911 | 4.285932 |
| 0.274157 - | 0.58455 -0.58514 | 0.274157 | 4.043126 | 4.279685 |
| | | | | |

| Regression Output | : | Regression Output | t: |
|--|----------|---|----------|
| Constant | -0.46513 | Constant | 4.338866 |
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.152516 | Std Err of Y Est | 0.192856 |
| R Squared | 0.639170 | R Squared | 0.243434 |
| No. of Observations | 18 | No. of Observations | 18 |
| Degrees of Freedom | 16 | Degrees of Freedom | 16 |
| X Coefficient(s) -0.41631 Std Err of Coef. 0.078199 | | X Coefficient(s) -0.22430 Std Err of Coef. 0.09888 | 6 2 |

Two laws and when

panel A: measured instantaneous rate of uptake of nine lakes sampled in this study and the predicted values using the semi-empirical equation

. .

| | Log rate | Log rate | |
|---------------|----------|----------|--|
| | (%/min) | (%/min) | |
| Lac des Piles | -0.8162 | -0.92638 | |
| Croche | -0.5108 | -0.56362 | |
| Memphremagog | -0.4778 | -0.52224 | |
| Magog | -0.4447 | -0.76650 | |
| Aylmer | -0.3481 | -0.64147 | |
| Hertel | -0.3269 | -0.38292 | |
| Brome | -0.1726 | -0.35391 | |
| Coulombe | -0.1577 | -0.48372 | |
| Waterloo | -0.0562 | -0.17258 | |
| | | | |

Observed Predicted

| Regression | Output: |
|---------------------|----------|
| Constant | 0.101772 |
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.122778 |
| R Squared | 0.749311 |
| No. of Observations | 9 |
| Degrees of Freedom | 7 |
| | |

X Coefficient(s) 0.878165 Std Err of Coef. 0.191983

- -

. . . /

49

- ser an ent -s justices

.

panel B: measured BCF from nine lakes sampled in this study and the predicted values using the semi-empirical equation

- × 、

, Alter

Observed Predicted Log BCF Log BCF

| Waterloo | 4.7618 | 4.97024 |
|---------------|--------|----------|
| Coulombe | 4.861 | 4.951445 |
| Aylmer | 5.0285 | 5.170634 |
| Hertel | 5.0476 | 5.223346 |
| Croche | 5.1193 | 5.277362 |
| Brome | 5.1851 | 5.348885 |
| Memphremagog | 5.2642 | 5.487763 |
| Magog | 5.4355 | 5.492434 |
| Lac des Piles | 5.6553 | 5.724244 |
| | | |

.

Regression Output:

| Constant | -0.53760 |
|---------------------|----------|
| Std Err of Y Est | 0.060165 |
| R Squared | 0.958563 |
| No. of Observations | 9 |
| Degrees of Freedom | 7 |
| 5 | |

man a compte a contra a more to

X Coefficient(s) 1.074515 Std Err of Coef. 0.084439

DATA USED IN FIGURE 7

R

| upt | ake | (%) | of | РСВ | C-14 | |
|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|-------|--|
| in | Lake | Wat | erl | 100 I | water | |

predicted uptake (%) of PCB C-14 from Mailhot (1987) and this study

. .

~

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 22 42 62 82 103 125 147 251 287 | 5.6 17.4 21.1 24.3 24.1 25.4 28.6 23.5 31.9 | 12.3 14.8 21.4 22.2 26.4 31.1 30.7 28.4 27.2 | 14.9 18 17 25 25.3 29.4 27.5 27.8 28.5 | 4.644892 6.650918 7.854614 8.657111 9.254733 9.715661 10.06696 11.00366 11.18824 | 11.16410 17.43179 21.76829 24.94700 27.48354 29.54828 31.19011 35.88413 36.86787 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.84 | 0.81 |

51

ţ

uptake (%) of PCB C-14 in Lac des Piles water

predicted uptake (%) of PCB C-14 from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 21 | 4.5 | 3.2 | 3 | 4.508896 | 2.353209 |
| 43 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 6.725219 | 4.558802 |
| 63 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 7.902286 | 6.367232 |
| 82 | 10.5 | 5.3 | 8 | 8.657111 | 7.935424 |
| 101 | 13 | 15.7 | 14.3 | 9.205596 | 9.375801 |
| 121 | 18.3 | 12.7 | 16 | 9.641343 | 10.77037 |
| 141 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 20 | 9.979721 | 12.05475 |
| 199 | 18.1 | 16.5 | 26.6 | 10.63807 | 15.26455 |
| 253 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 26.7 | 11.01511 | 17.71159 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.76 | 0.84 |

parts.

DATA USED IN FIGURE 8

•

| uptake (%) of PCB C-14 | predicted uptake (%) of PCB C-14 |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| in Lac Lusignan water | from Mailhot (1987) and this study |

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|------------|
| 19 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 0 | 4.222592 | 9.144592 |
| 39 | 8.4 | 12.3 | 6.4 | 6.416439 | 15.71613 |
| 58 | 12.1 | 7 | 13 | 7.653994 | 20.24339 |
| 77 | 13 | 9.1 | 12.6 | 8.482653 | 23.70151 |
| 97 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 9.102955 | 26.55690 |
| 116 | 17.4 | 16.1 | 14 | 9.543016 | 28.74033 |
| 136 | 10.7 | 16.4 | 15.4 | 9.902419 | 30.63107 |
| 215 | 21.3 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 10.76657 | 35.62594 |
| 273 | 21.2 | 25.5 | 28.6 | 11.12153 | 37.88543 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

R Squared:

0.76

0.81

ى بو

÷ .

| uptake | (%) of PCBC-14 | |
|--------|----------------|--|
| in Lac | D'Argile water | |

and the state of the state of the second a subsect of a second

and some the way of a manufacture of the second of the sec

predicted uptake (%) of PCB C-14 from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 19 | 4.8 | 7 | 10.2 | 4.222592 | 13.02018 |
| 36 | 12.7 | 10.6 | 7.5 | 6.162950 | 19.94912 |
| 54 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 16.2 | 7.435930 | 24.88225 |
| 74 | 15 | 19.6 | 17.1 | 8.370502 | 28.72138 |
| 94 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 19.9 | 9.021892 | 31.51470 |
| 112 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 20.1 | 9.459636 | 33.44916 |
| 132 | 21.5 | 21.2 | 23.2 | 9.837303 | 35.15667 |
| 204 | 19.6 | 22.6 | 20.9 | 10.68005 | 39.10185 |
| 252 | 25.7 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 11.00941 | 40.69662 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.83 | 0.84 |

*

~

54

.

am 7,5-24 - 24500 35

ι
DATA USED IN FIGURE 9 IN APPENDIX

4

uptake (%) of PCB C-14 predicted uptake (%) from in Lake Aylmer water Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 20 | 11.4 | 11.8 | 9.2 | 4.368212 | 4.046938 |
| 40 | 10.6 | 11.9 | 11.2 | 6.496602 | 7.344444 |
| 57 | 16.5 | 9.9 | 12 | 7.601199 | 9.702231 |
| 77 | 18.5 | 11.6 | 18 | 8.482653 | 12.07043 |
| 97 | 15.7 | 21.3 | 20.7 | 9.102955 | 14.09164 |
| 117 | 13.7 | 11.4 | 13.3 | 9.563189 | 15.83692 |
| 140 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 21.5 | 9.964608 | 17.57088 |
| 200 | 25 | 23.8 | 22.8 | 10.64661 | 21.09574 |
| 250 | 31.4 | 23.8 | 20.4 | 10.99788 | 23.27464 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.62 | 0.7 |

ۍ ت

¥.,

| upt | ake 🛛 | (%) | of | PCB | C-14 |
|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| in | Lake | Bro | ome | | |

, **199**

predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 17 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 3.915469 | 6.6232 |
| 37 | 15.8 | 12.3 | 15.6 | 6.249700 | 12.42689 |
| 55 | 20.1 | 22.7 | 20.3 | 7.492205 | 16.43251 |
| 74 | 20.4 | 16.8 | 19 | 8.370502 | 19.80109 |
| 95 | 21.8 | 23 | 21.4 | 9.049319 | 22.79064 |
| 115 | 28.5 | 27.4 | 24.2 | 9.522580 | 25.11434 |
| 137 | 23 | 27.3 | 20.6 | 9.918233 | 27.23224 |
| 197 | 30 | 33.2 | 25.8 | 10.62078 | 31.45540 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.9 | 0.88 |

:

ა ნ

....

| uptake | (%) | of | PCB | C-14 | |
|---------|-------|------|-----|------|--|
| in Lake | e Hei | rtel | wat | er | |

- 1

predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Tíme (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 20 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 4.368212 | 7.015267 |
| 42 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 13.4 | 6.650918 | 12.61372 |
| 62 | 15.4 | 14.7 | 18.7 | 7.854614 | 16.46763 |
| 81 | 17.3 | 14.3 | 17.9 | 8.623392 | 19.38515 |
| 102 | 20.2 | 20 | 20.4 | 9.230340 | 22.00422 |
| 121 | 21.2 | 19.5 | 28 | 9.641343 | 23.96530 |
| 141 | 25.5 | 21.3 | 24.8 | 9.979721 | 25.71011 |
| 206 | 30.1 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 10,69636 | 29.86025 |
| 263 | 24.3 | 27 | 25.5 | 11.07009 | 32.31243 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.89 | 0.88 |

57

۹. رو

| uptake | (%) of | PCB C-14 | , |
|---------|---------|----------|---|
| in Lake | e Magog | water | |

,

June -

predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| 20 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 4.368212 | 3.954205 |
| 40 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 6.496602 | 7.232478 |
| 62 | 11.7 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 7.854614 | 10.24695 |
| 83 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 13.4 | 8.690273 | 12.67772 |
| 102 | 13.8 | 20 | 20.8 | 9.230340 | 14.57979 |
| 123 | 22.3 | 19.7 | 23.2 | 9.678964 | 16.41681 |
| 141 | 24.2 | 20.3 | 23.5 | 9.979721 | 17.80808 |
| 208 | 26.3 | 24.6 | 23.5 | 10.71241 | 21.89174 |
| 253 | 25.3 | 26.3 | 29.8 | 11.01511 | 23.94727 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.87 | 0.91 |

58

phiene .

| | uptake in Lako | (%) of Pe e Coulomb | CB C-14 e water | predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study | | |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|------------|--|
| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study | |
| 17 | 8.6 | 12.7 | 7.5 | 3.915469 | 4.851824 | |
| 25 | 87 | 16.4 | 10 | 6.073825 | 8.829032 | |
| 55 | 16.9 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 7.492205 | 12.28857 | |
| | 10.0 | 10.0 | 14 4 | 8,408547 | 15.03846 | |
| 15 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 8.994046 | 17.07183 | |
| 93 | 16.6 | 21.9 | 10.4 | 9,522580 | 19.13404 | |
| 115 | 22.2 | 21.8 | 22.9 | 0 996622 | 20.7 | |
| 135 | 20.8 | 21.9 | 19.6 | 7.000422 | 2017 | |
| 196 | 20.5 | 25.6 | 26.9 | 10.61202 | 24.25169 | |
| 253 | 32 | 21.2 | 27.3 | 11.01511 | 26.52091 | |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.73 | 0.77 | |

.

| upt | ake | (%) | of | PCB | C-14 | |
|-----|------|-----|------|-------|------|--|
| in | Lake | Cro | oche | e wat | er | |

1

predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 20 39 59 79 98 117 137 213 | 4.5 4.8 11.6 15.2 15.4 20.4 20.7 27.1 | 5.9 4.5 13.1 16 11.9 21.2 17.9 20.1 21.4 | 5.1 7 15.2 12.1 13.4 14.1 18.8 25.8 | 4.368212 6.416439 7.705700 8.554226 9.129181 9.563189 9.918233 10.75140 11.15562 | 4.884090 8.596 11.79451 14.44859 16.58283 18.42 20.09645 24.80959 27.60183 |
| 280 | 24.0 | 21.4 | R Squared: | 0.82 | 0.82 |

60

uptake (%) of PCB C-14 in Lake Memphremagog water

**

9

predicted uptake (%) from Mailhot (1987) and this study

| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | Mailhot | this study |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 24 44 66 85 105 125 145 237 266 | 5.5 12.1 10 16.8 15.1 19.6 19.6 28.4 22.2 | 6.1 12.4 13 11.8 16.4 19.1 18.3 24.1 25.8 | 10.9 13.5 14.6 10.9 15.9 21.2 19.3 22.9 26.3 | 4.903725 6.797707 8.039803 8.754979 9.302496 9.715661 10.03852 10.91883 11.08588 | 6.295690 10.39363 14.05237 16.67685 19.02824 21.04609 22.79668 28.56030 29.85739 |
| | | | R Squared: | 0.8 | 0.88 |

<u>61</u>

Í

Figure 9

Comparison of the time course of PCB uptake calculated from equations developed in this study and from Mailhot (1987) when applied to all sampled lakes except Lac D'Argile and Lac Lusignan.



ź

Time (min)

DATA FROM ALL BIOMASS EXPERIMENTS

• •

Ē

| uptake (%) of PCB C-14 by | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | Selenastr | um capric | ornutum at lppm | | 5ppm | | | lOppm | | |
| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | |
| 19 | NA | NA | -2.9 | NA | NA | 3 | NA | NA | -1.1 | |
| 20 | -3.2 | NA | NA | 2.8 | NA | NA | 5.3 | NA | NA | |
| 21 | NA | -4.1 | NA | NA | -3.6 | tIA | NA | -0.7 | NA | |
| 40 | 3 | NA | -2.5 | 6.3 | NA | 4.1 | 10.6 | NA | 9.4 | |
| 44 | NA | -9.9 | NA | NA | 4.9 | NA | NA | 8.2 | NA | |
| 62 | 2.4 | NA | -3.1 | 10.3 | NA | 3.2 | 15.7 | NA | 10.5 | |
| 68 | NA | -1.3 | NA | NA | 5.8 | NA | NA | 13.6 | NA | |
| 82 | NA | NA | -1.5 | NA | NA | 8.9 | NA | NA | 12 | |
| 84 | 1.2 | NA | NA | 8.9 | NA | NA | 13.5 | NA | NA | |
| 88 | NA | 1.8 | NA | NA | 3.4 | NA | NA | 9.4 | NA | |
| 106 | NA | NA | 0.7 | NA | NA | 11 | NA | NA | 18.4 | |
| 107 | 9.7 | NA | NA | 11.5 | NA | NA | 12.8 | NA | NA | |
| 113 | Nr. | -1.3 | NA | NA | 6.1 | NA | NA | 13.8 | NA | |
| 1 30 | NA | NA | 0.9 | NA | NA | 12.3 | NA | NA | 19.2 | |
| 131 | -1.8 | NA | NA | 7.8 | NA | NA | 23.4 | NA | NA | |
| 136 | NA | 1.2 | NA | NA | 12.5 | NA | NA | 22.5 | NA | |
| 151 | NA | NA | -().9 | NA | NA | 7 | NA | NA | 18.4 | |
| 154 | 6.5 | NA | NA | 9 | NA | NA | 19 | NA | NA | |
| 158 | NA | 1 | NA | NA | 11.5 | NA | NA | 23.9 | NA | |
| 216 | 3.8 | NA | 2 | 11.7 | NA | 11.9 | 17.6 | NA | 16.2 | |
| 227 | NA | 6.2 | NA | NA | 19.8 | NA | NA | 21.6 | NA | |
| 261 | 1.3 | NA | NA | 15 | NA | NA | 21.9 | NA | NA | |
| 265 | NA | NA | 5.5 | NA | NA | 17.1 | NA | NA | 21.4 | |
| 279 | NA | 1.9 | NA | NA | 12.1 | NA | NA | 21.2 | NA | |

#** A

| | | 25ppm | | | 50ppm | 64 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp l | exp 2 | exp } |
| 21 | NA | NA | 1.9 | NA | 17.8 | 11.7 |
| 27 | -16.5 | 5.9 | NA | 5.9 | NA | NA |
| 45 | NA | NA | 11.6 | NA | 36.9 | 32.1 |
| 51 | 18.7 | 15.9 | NA | 29.4 | NA | NA |
| 67 | NA | NA | 25 | NA | 41.8 | 38.9 |
| 77 | 25 | 21 | NA | 23.7 | NA | NA. |
| 90 | NA | NA | 24.1 | NA | + 3.4 | 35.4 |
| 102 | 29.1 | 22.6 | NA | 35.3 | NA | NA |
| 114 | NA | NA | 26.6 | NA | 39.4 | 35.9 |
| 124 | 29.4 | 42.6 | NA | 32.3 | NA | N N |
| 138 | NA | NA | 29.9 | NA | 44 | +1.7 |
| 149 | 36.3 | 30.7 | NA | 31 | NA | NA |
| 161 | NA | NA | 35.2 | NA | 46.1 | +2 |
| 173 | 35.9 | 27.1 | NA | 41.4 | NA | N.Ā. |
| 230 | NA | NA | 30.9 | NA | 45 | 44.9 |
| 242 | 35.9 | 30.7 | NA | 34.9 | NA | NA |
| 285 | NA | NA | 34 | NA | 37.9 | 58.8 |
| 302 | 33.3 | 24.3 | NA | 35.4 | NA | NA |

•

.

.

| | | 100ppm | | | 200ppm | | |
|------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| Time (min) | exp l | exp 2 | exp 3 | exp 1 | exp 2 | exp 3 | |
| 22 | 31.4 | 28.2 | 26.9 | 44.9 | 39.4 | 32.9 | |
| 42 | NA | 33.6 | 35.5 | NA | NA | 12.8 | |
| 48 | 31.2 | NA | NA | 44.6 | 48.1 | NA | |
| 65 | NA | 40.4 | 28.9 | NA | NA | 38.8 | |
| 69 | 44.5 | NA | NA | 43.2 | 46.1 | NA | |
| 86 | NA | 37.7 | 38 | NA | NA | 38.3 | |
| 89 | 36.6 | NA | NA | 44.3 | 44 | NA | |
| 108 | NA | 37.1 | 33.8 | AV | NA | 40.7 | |
| 112 | 36.8 | NA | NA | 45.6 | 50.3 | NA | |
| 128 | NA | 39.9 | 37.3 | NA | NA | 40.1 | |
| 135 | 39.3 | NA | NA | 43.3 | 49.4 | NA | |
| 150 | NA | 41.1 | 42.2 | NA | NA | 46.2 | |
| 158 | 41.4 | NA | NA | 48.5 | 53 | NA | |
| 222 | 40.8 | NA | NA | 44 | 48.8 | NA | |
| 226 | NA | 35.3 | 35.4 | NA | ١A | 41.2 | |
| 285 | 42.5 | NA | NA | 46.3 | 52.6 | NA | |
| 289 | NA | 43.7 | 41.1 | MA | NA | 49.6 | |

DATA FROM ALL COLOUR EXPERIMENTS

Ű,

uptake (%) of PCB C-14 by S.capricornutum

absorbance /m at 440nm.

| Time (min) | 0 .78 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 1.16 | 1.36 | 1.50 |
|------------|--------------|------|------|------|--------|------|
| 19 | 4.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 6.8 |
| 20 | NA | NA | 5.3 | NA | 3.7 | NA |
| 21 | NA | 0.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 27 | NA | NA | NA | -5.4 | NA | NA |
| 40 | 20.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 20.2 |
| 41 | NA | NA | 19.1 | NA | 18.7 | NA |
| 42 | NA | 35.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 52 | NA | NA | NA | 27.5 | NA | NA |
| 61 | 25.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 18.9 |
| 63 | NA | 34.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 64 | NA | NA | 26.2 | NA | 26.4 | NA |
| 79 | 22.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 18.7 |
| 83 | NA | 41.5 | NA | 20.9 | NA | NA |
| 86 | NA | NA | 28.5 | NA | 27.8 | NA |
| 98 | 26.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 24.3 |
| 106 | NA | 39.9 | 30.2 | 18.1 | 34 . 1 | NA |
| 121 | 30.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 27.6 |
| 127 | NA | NA | 33.8 | NA | 34 | NA |
| 128 | NA | 42.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 131 | NA | NA | NA | 22.4 | NA | NA |
| 139 | 24.6 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 19.4 |
| 148 | NA | 44.7 | 28.9 | 18.1 | 31.5 | NA |
| 166 | NA | NA | NA | 19 | NA | NA |
| 203 | NA | NA | 36.2 | NA | 36.2 | NA |
| 204 | 31.3 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 30.7 |
| 227 | NA | NA | NA | 25.6 | NA | NA |
| 228 | NA | 47.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 257 | 29.4 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 26.3 |
| 269 | NA | NA | 36.6 | NA | 42 | NA |
| 280 | NA | 46.8 | NA | 33.1 | NA | NA |

absorbance /m at 440nm.

| Time (min) | 1.82 | 1.89 | 2.19 | 2.72 | 3.01 | 3.36 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| 19 | ×A | NA | 9.5 | NA | VA. | 5.9 |
| 21 | 10.4 | 11.4 | NA | 6.5 | NA | 27 |
| 27 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 11.9 | NA |
| 38 | NA | NA | 30 | NA | NA | 17.8 |
| 42 | 13+5 | 21.5 | NA | 14.1 | NA | NA |
| 52 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 16.6 | NA |
| 59 | NA | NA | 30.1 | NA | NA | 30.2 |
| 63 | 19.1 | 31.1 | NA | 19.4 | NA | NA |
| 78 | NA | NA | 37 | NA | NA | 28.3 |
| 83 | 25.2 | NA | NA | NA | 15.4 | NA |
| 84 | NA | 28.7 | NA | 24.2 | NA | NA |
| 97 | NA | NA | 29.3 | NA | NA | 27.7 |
| 104 | NA | 27.8 | NA | 25 | NA | NA |
| 106 | 26.8 | NA | NA | NA | 12.3 | NA |
| 119 | NA | NA | 37.4 | NA | NA | 29.2 |
| 123 | NA | 31.9 | NA | 26 | NA | NA |
| 128 | 26.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 131 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17.9 | NA |
| 141 | NA | NA | 39.6 | NA | NA | 32.1 |
| 143 | NA | 26.8 | NA | 18.2 | NA | NA |
| 148 | 31.6 | NA | NA | NA | 19.4 | NA |
| 166 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 16.2 | NA |
| 204 | NA | NA | 42.3 | NA | NA | 38.4 |
| 218 | NA | 38.8 | NA | 27 | NA | NA |
| 227 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 19.2 | NA |
| 228 | 35.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | MA. |
| 255 | NA | NA | 38.9 | NA | NA | 30.4 |
| 279 | NA | 36.8 | NA | 35.1 | NA | NA |
| 280 | 34.4 | NA | NA | NA | 28.2 | NA |

ő.

1

| Time (min) | 8.37 | 8.51 | ε.84 | 17.09 | 17.61 | 18.80 |
|------------|------|------|------|--------------|-------|-------|
| 19 | NA | NA | 4.7 | NA | NA | 0.5 |
| 20 | NA | 2.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 21 | -22 | NA | NA | NA | 4.3 | NA |
| 27 | NA | NA | NA | -23.2 | NA | NA |
| 38 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 3 |
| 40 | NA | NA | 12.1 | NA | NA | NA |
| 41 | NA | 3.7 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 42 | 7 | NA | NA | NA | 6.1 | NA |
| 52 | NA | NA | NA | 11.7 | NA | NA |
| 59 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 13.8 |
| 61 | NA | NA | 15 | NA | NA | NA |
| 63 | 10.1 | NA | NA | NA | 13.5 | NA |
| 64 | NA | 11.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 78 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| 79 | NA | NA | 11.4 | NA | NA | NA |
| 83 | 12.9 | NA | NA | 14.1 | NA | NA |
| 84 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14.3 | NA |
| 86 | NA | 16 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 97 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 9.3 |
| 98 | NA | NA | 16.2 | NA | NA | NA |
| 104 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 16.2 | NA |
| 106 | 14.1 | 16.7 | NA | 13.3 | NA | NA |
| 119 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 9.2 |
| 121 | NA | NA | 18.9 | NA | NA | NA |
| 123 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14.8 | NA |
| 127 | NA | 18.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 128 | 17.2 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 131 | NA | NA | NA | 15.1 | NA | NA |
| 139 | NA | NA | 20.7 | NA | NA | NA |
| 141 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 12.8 |
| 143 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 11.7 | NA |
| 148 | 18.3 | 20.6 | NA | 13.2 | NA | NA |
| 166 | NA | NA | NA | 15.2 | NA | NA |
| 203 | NA | 23 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 204 | NA | NA | 25.2 | NA | NA | 21.3 |
| 218 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17.3 | NA |
| 227 | NA | NA | NA | 24.2 | NA | NA |
| 228 | 19.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 255 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 10 |
| 257 | NA | NA | 19.9 | NA | NA | NA |
| 269 | NA | 26.5 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 279 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 17.9 | NA |
| 280 | 17.5 | NA | NA | 25 .7 | NA | NA |

pH4

\$

とうそう

-

· · · · · · · · · · · ·

,

, ,

,

٢

· · · ·

the second

uptake (%) of PCB C-14 by S.capricornutum in medium at different pH

| Time (min) | exp l | Time (min) | exp 2 | Time (min) | exp 3 |
|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| 19 | 8.1 | 20 | 11.3 | 18 | 9.8 |
| 40 | 15.1 | 43 | 19.1 | 37 | 29 |
| 61 | 17.8 | 64 | 23.7 | 58 | 29.2 |
| 82 | 23.7 | 91 | 26.2 | 79 | 31.9 |
| 104 | 25 | 110 | 24.7 | 100 | 38.8 |
| 126 | 32 | 130 | 27.7 | 121 | 40.8 |
| 148 | 32.1 | 152 | 31.3 | 143 | 38.1 |
| 213 | 32.9 | 214 | 36.2 | 197 | 45 2 |
| 276 | 32.8 | 265 | 36.2 | 265 | 48.4 |

pH5 Time exp l Time exp 2 Time exp 3 (min) (min) (min) 21 12 21 8.9 19 7.4 41 18 21.5 41 39 9.9 62 32 62 23.3 58 12.8 31.9 84 84 20.1 79 11.8 106 31.3 106 28.1 97 8.7 128 39.3 128 30.6 117 11.1 143 30.9 12.6 143 27.2 141 222 37.8 222 33.2 207 7.5 277 37 277 38 276 12.9

| | | рН6 | | | |
|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| Time (min) | exp l | Time (min) | exp 2 | Time (min) | exp 3 |
| 19 | 4.2 | 20 | 7.7 | 18 | 7.1 |
| 40 | 13.6 | 43 | 21.1 | 37 | 14 |
| 61 | 16.3 | 64 | 24.1 | 58 | 21.3 |
| 82 | 19.6 | 91 | 35.8 | 79 | 24.3 |
| 104 | 27 | 110 | 27.2 | 100 | 19.7 |
| 126 | 27.5 | 1 30 | 31.5 | 121 | 22.1 |
| 148 | 24.8 | 152 | 37.8 | 143 | 28.3 |
| 213 | 29.3 | 214 | 37.7 | 197 | 30.1 |
| 276 | 32.4 | 265 | 39 | 265 | 25.7 |

ł

ļ

Ţ

-

Í

| Time (min) | exp l | Time (min) | exp 2 | Time (min) | exp 3 |
|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| 21 | 4.2 | 19 | 10.5 | 20 | 1.8 |
| 4] | 18.8 | 39 | 13.1 | 40 | 11.5 |
| 62 | 31.3 | 58 | 10.1 | 64 | 16.6 |
| 84 | 23.8 | 79 | 21 | 87 | 13.9 |
| 106 | 40 | 97 | 21.1 | 106 | 22.2 |
| 128 | 39.7 | 117 | 22.7 | 127 | 21.3 |
| 143 | 36.2 | 141 | 21.8 | 148 | 17.3 |
| 222 | 41.3 | 207 | 27.1 | 212 | 20.5 |
| 277 | 42.6 | 276 | 25.1 | 265 | 19.9 |

pH8

| Time (min) | exp l | Time (min) | exp 2 | Time (min) | exp 3 |
|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| 21 | 4.5 | 19 | 3.5 | 20 | 3.1 |
| 41 | 10.3 | 39 | 51.2 | 40 | 8.9 |
| 62 | 30.7 | 58 | 13 | 64 | 16.9 |
| 84 | 37.1 | 79 | 17.8 | 87 | 19.7 |
| 106 | 40.2 | 97 | 18.4 | 106 | 14.8 |
| 128 | 41.1 | 117 | 20.4 | 127 | 17 |
| 143 | 39 | 141 | 23.2 | 148 | 19.1 |
| 222 | 40.6 | 207 | 23.2 | 212 | 17.6 |
| 277 | 43.9 | 276 | 15 | 265 | 16.8 |

рН9

| Time (min) | exp 1 | Time (min) | exp 2 | Time (min) | exp 3 |
|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| 19 | 5.9 | 20 | 10 | 18 | 3.4 |
| 40 | 11 | 43 | 28.2 | 37 | 10.7 |
| 61 | 16.2 | 64 | 23.7 | 58 | 18.6 |
| 82 | 25.4 | 91 | 29.9 | 79 | 26.1 |
| 104 | 25.9 | 110 | 26.4 | 100 | 18.5 |
| 126 | 30.5 | 130 | 36.9 | 121 | 22.7 |
| 148 | 24.4 | 152 | 29 | 143 | 24.7 |
| 213 | 30.3 | 214 | 27.2 | 197 | 31.1 |
| 276 | 27.3 | 265 | 37.1 | 265 | 27.6 |