

E-mail: bjorn.sundby@mcgill.ca

- **ABSTRACT**
- 

 We used a diagenetic model to test the hypothesis that manganese-rich layers in gas hydrate- bearing Arctic Ocean sediments are reliable time markers for interglacial periods. In the model, diagenesis is fuelled by two sources of reactive carbon: particulate organic carbon settling to the sediment surface, and methane diffusing up from deep gas hydrate deposits. The model includes oxidation of organic carbon and soluble reduced manganese by oxygen supplied continuously from an invariant bottom-water oxygen reservoir; reduction of particulate manganese by hydrogen sulfide generated through anaerobic methane oxidation; transport of dissolved oxygen and manganese by diffusion; and advective transport of particulate components by burial. Particulate organic matter and particulate manganese are only supplied to the sediment during interglacials. Sulfate reduction is not modeled explicitly; instead, the effect of anaerobic methane oxidation on Mn reduction is simulated at the lower boundary of the model by prescribing that particulate manganese is reduced there to soluble Mn(II). The soluble reduced Mn then diffuses upward and is oxidatively precipitated to Mn(IV) by downward diffusing oxygen. The upward flux of soluble Mn(II) is thus a function of the rate at which particulate manganese is advected into the Mn-reduction layer at the bottom of the model; it is not synchronous with events at the sediment-water interface. Model runs reveal that, under idealized but realistic conditions for the Arctic Ocean, oxidation of upward-diffusing Mn(II) generates post-depositional manganese enrichments that cannot readily be distinguished from the manganese-rich sediment layers that accumulate during interglacials. This compromises the use of manganese-rich layers as proxies for interglacial periods. In contrast, manganese-rich layers may be used as first-order markers of interglacial periods in sediments where gas hydrates or other forms of reactive carbon are absent.

 Keywords: Arctic Ocean, sediments, methane oxidation, manganese remobilization, glacial-interglacial cyclicity, modeling.

- 
- 

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

 Poor preservation of microfossil records in Arctic Ocean sediments has spurred the search for alternative proxies for the Quaternary chronology of these sediments (Jacobsson, 2000; and references therein). Manganese-rich interbedded layers, found in numerous long cores recovered from the Central Arctic Ocean ( März et al., 2011; Löwemark et al., 2014; Macdonald and Gobeil, 2012) are strong candidates as proxies of interglacial periods. A time scale based on manganese content and sediment color in a core from the Lomonosov Ridge correlates closely with an independent paleomagnetic chronology of the same core, based on Brunhes-age estimates of geomagnetic excursions (Jacobsson, 2000; Löwemark et al., 2008). Recent geochemical work has shown that the manganese-rich layers are also rich in several trace metals as well as in biogenic and ice-rafted carbonate and contain preserved traces of bioturbation (März et al., 2011; Löwemark et al., 2014).

 There are few measurements of dissolved manganese in long cores from the Arctic. Dickens et al. (2007) measured manganese in a long core from about 1200 m depth on the Lomonosov Ridge and found increasing concentrations of dissolved Mn in the pore water beginning a few m below the sea floor and reaching maximum values at 20 m depth. In a more detailed study, März et al. (2011) working with a long core from 1200 m depth on the Mendeleev Ridge, found an upward directed gradient of dissolved manganese between 6 and

 3 m, suggesting possible overprinting of diagenetically mobilized manganese on existing Mn-rich layers.

 A scenario, whereby the fluxes of particulate organic carbon and manganese to the sea floor in the Arctic Ocean varied dramatically during the glacial cycles of the Quaternary is consistent with observations. During glaciations, the absence of primary production virtually shuts off the flux of organic carbon, and the ice cover on the continents slows down the delivery of manganese-rich sedimentary material to the sea floor. During interglacials, primary production is turned on and delivers fresh organic carbon to the sea floor while rivers and the expanded continental shelves deliver terrigenous organic carbon and manganese-bearing particulate matter (Macdonald and Gobeil, 2012). Upon burial, manganese-rich interglacial sediments enter the geological record sandwiched between layers of manganese-poor glacial sediment. If the manganese-rich layers remain intact, they mimic the glaciation-interglaciation cyclicity. Several plausible mechanisms for delivering manganese-rich sediment to the sea floor during interglacials have been proposed (e.g. Macdonald and Gobeil, 2012).

 The hypothesis that Mn-rich sediment layers enter the geological record intact rests on the assumption that diagenetic remobilization and redistribution of manganese is fuelled exclusively by organic carbon settling from the water column and that manganese diagenesis stops when this carbon has been consumed. The objective of the present study is to test this hypothesis and verify if manganese-rich layers in deep Arctic Ocean sediments can be used as a proxy for interglacial periods. It is not our intention to reconstruct the past. Specifically, we test this hypothesis for sediments that host gas hydrates. Such sediments are abundant in the Arctic Ocean where vast amounts of methane hydrates are believed to be stored (Buffett and Archer, 2004; Klauda and Sandler, 2005). The actual amounts are uncertain (Milkov, 2004).

#### **2. MODEL DESCRIPTION**

#### *2.1 The conceptual model*

 The choice of diagenetic model for this study was dictated by our objective, which was to test the hypothesis that manganese-rich layers in deep Arctic Ocean sediments can be used as a proxy for interglacial periods. To this end, we chose the simplest possible model that would help us meet this objective and left out reactions that could give a more complete description of diagenesis such as oxidation of Fe(II) and ammonia by oxygen and reduction of Fe(III) by hydrogen sulfide. The sedimenting reactive organic matter is assumed to be mineralized entirely through oxic respiration (the mineralization process is carbon limited), so a more complex model that includes the products of anaerobic mineralization pathways would 106 not be expected to change our conclusions.

 The model we used is a one-dimensional non-steady state diagenetic transport-reaction model that captures the essential features of manganese diagenesis, i.e. reduction of Mn(IV) to Mn(II) (dissolution), reoxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(IV) (precipitation), transport of dissolved manganese by diffusion, and transport of precipitated manganese by advection. Figure 1 shows the conceptual version of the model. It includes two sources of reactive organic carbon: fresh organic matter settling through the water column and methane released from gas hydrates deep in the sediment column. The reactive organic carbon deposited on the sediment surface is consumed in reactions with dissolved oxygen as it is buried and advected downward. Oxygen diffuses into the sediment from a permanently oxygenated water column. The second source of carbon, methane, is oxidized by micro-organisms that use sulfate as a terminal electron acceptor. This process, anaerobic methane oxidation (AMO), is known to take place in

 the sulfate-methane transition layer (SMT) where upward diffusing methane and downward diffusing sulfate are consumed and become depleted. AMO produces sulfide, which reduces oxidized particulate Mn(IV) to soluble Mn(II) (Aller and Rude, 1988). Methane may also reduce oxidized manganese directly (Beal et al., 2009). The model domain is bounded at the top by the sediment surface and at the bottom by a depth that remains at a fixed distance from the upper boundary. Thus, both boundaries move upwards at the rate with which sediment 125 accumulates, set at 1 cm  $Ky<sup>-1</sup>$  in the model. One may reasonably expect that the sediment accumulation rate is higher during interglacials than during glacials and, therefore, that the assumption of constant sedimentation rate may not be realistic. Nonetheless, since the since 128 the differential rates are not known, and given that the spatial scale of Mn redistribution  $(-2 \text{ m})$  is greater than the thickness of layer of sediment deposited during one cycle, making this assumption is not expected to change the conclusions.

 The reactions in the SMT that produce hydrogen sulfide are not included explicitly in the model, nor is the reduction of Mn(IV) to Mn(II) by hydrogen sulfide. Instead, reducing conditions are prescribed near the bottom of the model domain. There, particulate oxidized manganea, advected downward by burial, is reduced to soluble Mn(II), which diffuses upwards until reoxidized by downward diffusing oxygen. The thickness of the prescribed reduction layer (a few cm in the numerical model implementation) is not critical because the production rate of dissolved Mn(II) is transport controlled, i.e. the rate of reductive dissolution overwhelms the rate of supply of reducible manganese. The choice of a 5-m thick model domain was inspired by the data of März et al. (2011), which suggest that dissolved manganese is produced at about this depth in their Lomonosov Ridge core.

 Since the model assumes that the entire sedimentation flux of reactive organic matter is consumed by oxygen, early diagenesis of manganese within the interglacial layer is not

 included, nor are fluctuations in the flux of particulate manganese and organic carbon that could create multiple peaks within an interglacial layer (Katsev et al., 2006). Multiple peaks in the manganese content of a layer being advected towards the deep reduction zone will affect the instantaneous production rate of soluble reduced manganese in the reduction zone, but it will not change the total dissolved-manganese flux originating in that layer.

 The oxygen flux and the penetration of oxygen into the sediment are governed by the oxidation of organic carbon and reduced manganese. At the end of an interglacial, the manganese-rich layer is covered by sediment free of organic carbon and manganese and is progressively buried. Consequently, oxygen diffuses further down into the sediment as it progressively oxidizes the reactive organic carbon that was supplied and survived oxidation during the interglacial period.

 The choice to keep the lower boundary of the model domain at a fixed distance from the sediment surface throughout a glacial cycle was pragmatic considering the complexity of the processes that act upon the depth of the SMT. On the one hand, interrupting the flux of organic carbon to the sediment at the end of an interglacial period would deepen the oxygen penetration and the depth of the SMT (Contreras et al., 2013). On the other hand, the lowering of the sea level that characterizes a glacial period decreases the pressure on the sediment and causes the stability field of gas hydrates, and thus the depth at which gas hydrates decompose and release methane, to move closer to the sediment surface (Dickens, 1995; Paull et al., 1999). The combined effect of these two opposing processes on the location within the sediment of the SMT would be difficult to predict, but we will attempt to addres it in a subsequent paper.

### *2.2 Numerical implementation*

 The conceptual model was implemented numerically as a transient transport-reaction model (e.g. Donnadieu et al., 2002; Berg et al., 2003), the details of which are described in Table 1. As outlined above, the model complexity was limited to the processes and species that relate to manganese diagenesis on the time scale considered. The parameter values we used are listed in Table 2. Dissolved species are Mn(II) and O2. Solid species are manganese oxide (Mn(IV)) and labile organic carbon (OC). Particulate OC is deposited by sedimentation at the top of the domain.

 As described above, the flux of particulate manganese to the sediment was kept constant during the interglacial period and was null during the glacials. The abrupt transition in the manganese flux at the beginning and the end of an interglacial period could cause numerical problems for the model. To smoothen the transitions at the beginning and end of an interglacial and thus avoid this problem, we included particle mixing in the 6 cm thick surface layer. The particle mixing was modeled as a diffusion analogous process, equivalent to biodiffusion. The mixing coefficient was kept constant from 0-3 cm, below which it decayed exponentially to zero at 6 cm (e.g. Maire et al., 2008). The mixing process generates a layer of manganese-rich sediment in which the vertical manganese distribution has the shape of a Gaussian curve. This layer is then buried.

 Diffusion affects the distribution of soluble species and is described in porous media by Fick's first law with their respective diffusivities corrected for tortuosity. Porosity is assumed constant, a fair assumption below a few tens of centimeters. Burial is assumed to be an advective process with constant burial velocity. The concentration of oxygen is assumed constant at the upper boundary and zero at the lower boundary. A zero dissolved manganese concentration is imposed at the top of the model domain, and a zero flux of dissolved Mn is imposed at the base of the domain. Imposing a zero Mn(II) flux across the lower model

 boundary ignores the possible presence of sink for Mn(II) deeper in the sediment such as the coprecipitation of Mn(II) with calcium carbonate or the precipitation of a distinct Mn(II) carbonate. We have no information that can be used to establish the location of such a sink (depth below the lower model boundary) and can therefore not estimate a downward directed gradient and flux of Mn(II). In the absence of a flux across the lower boundary, the inventory of manganese within the model domain builds up, and eventually—over several glacial- interglacial cycles—leads to a shallowing of the oxygen penetration depth during interglacials (see fig. 3).

 We applied a repeating pattern of high fluxes of OC and Mn(IV) during interglacials and null fluxes of OC and Mn(IV) during glacials (Table 1). The model was tuned by varying the flux and the reactivity of the OC and the duration of the interglacial period until the model produced manganese oxide profiles comparable to profiles not subjected to diagenesis. The equations 203 for conservation of mass for the four species were solved using a finite element approach implemented with the PDE module of COMSOL Multiphysics ®.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### *3.1 Burial of manganese-rich layers in sediments where gas hydrates are absent*

 In the absence of decomposing gas hydrates that can supply methane to the sediment pore water, the Mn-rich interglacial sediment layers would remain intact once buried. Under these conditions, the model does not falsify the hypothesis that manganese-rich layers in Arctic Ocean sediments can be used as a proxy for interglacial periods. Although this statement is self-evident, it is not trivial, because it offers the means to locate a sedimentary manganese record that has not been compromised by post-depositional alterations. As pointed out by

 Borowski et al. (1996,1999), the pore-water sulfate distribution is sensitive to the presence of 216 sub-surface methane and, thus, the absence of a sulfate gradient can be interpreted as an absence of decomposing gas hydrates.

# *3.2 Burial of manganese-rich layers in gas hydrate containing sediments* .

 Reducing conditions generated by the presence of methane at depth in the sediment column lead to the remobilization and redistribution of manganese. Figure 2 illustrates this with a model run over five successive glacial-interglacial cycles, each lasting 100 Ky. During the interglacial period of each cycle, manganese was added to the sea floor, where sediment accumulation and particle mixing combined to generate a Gaussian-shaped peak in the vertical manganese distribution. When the run started, the 5-m thick sediment column contained five of these layers, identical and equally spaced as the initial condition for the Mn(IV) distribution. During the run, 5 m of new sediment – including five new manganese-rich layers – were added 228 to the sediment column while the top of the model domain kept track with the upward moving sea floor. By the end of the model run, the initial five interglacial layers had been advected into the reduction layer (SMT), and their manganese content had been remobilized and redistributed in the overlying sediment column. Of the five interglacial layers added to the 232 sediment column during the course of the model run, the two most recent ones were not altered, but the three older layers grew in size and changed shape because of the precipitation of remobilized manganese.

 Figure 2 demonstrates that even when one starts out with a simple pattern of solid- phase manganese distributed across the sediment column, the distribution pattern that results from diagenesis can be complex. In this model run, most of the remobilized manganese is redistributed across the deeper part of the sediment column; none of it seems to reach above

 about 2 m below the sediment surface. This result can be understood in light of the cyclic pattern of the oxygen penetration into the sediment. Figure 3 shows that during most of this run, oxygen penetrates nearly to the bottom of the model domain. Only during (relatively) brief 242 periods of time does the penetration depth rise towards the upper reaches of the sediment column. The timing of the shallow oxygen penetration events coincides with the interglacial 244 part of the cycle, as could be expected since this is when fresh reactive organic carbon is 245 delivered to the sea floor. It follows that this is the time when dissolved manganese  $-$  if present in the pore water – can be transported closest to the sea floor before being oxidized and reprecipitated. The relatively deep oxygen penetration in the model results during these periods can be attributed to the relatively low value we used for the organic carbon flux to the sediment during the interglacials.

 The complexity of the diagenetic remobilization of manganese is further illustrated in figure 4, which shows the results of a model run over a single glacial cycle, beginning when the bottom of a thick manganese-rich layer enters the reduction zone at the bottom of our sediment column. At the end of the 50 Ky cycle, the deepest Mn-rich layer (400-500 cm) had been reductively dissolved. The layer at 350 cm depth had been buried and grown in intensity because of the increased background concentration of Mn(IV). A new Mn-rich layer had appeared at 200-250 cm, and the original Mn-rich layer at 50 cm had been buried intact. Faced with the complexities of manganese diagenesis in our simple model sediment, it cannot be assumed that manganese-rich layers found in gas hydrate bearing sediments are primary features, laid down during interglacial periods.

#### *3.3 The deep manganese cycle*

 The presence and distribution of dissolved manganese in the pore water depend on the advection of manganese-rich sediment across the upper boundary of the reduction zone (SMT), 265 the timing of which is unlikely to be synchronous with the oxygen penetration pattern. Most of the time, as shown in figure 3, oxygen is present deep in the sediment, close to the bottom of the model domain. Therefore, the conditions in the pore water will be oxidizing most of the time and any dissolved manganese produced will only be able to diffuse a short distance before it is oxidized and precipitated. Except during and shortly after interglacial periods when oxygen penetration is shallow, dissolved manganese will reprecipitate deep in the sediment, close to 271 the SMT, and oxidized Mn may accumulate, perhaps to high levels, before it is buried again and enters a new cycle of dissolution, diffusion, oxidation, and burial. The deep recycling of manganese, the results of which can be seen just above the dissolution zone in figure 2, explains why the redistribution pattern of manganese is decoupled from the distribution pattern in the sediment column that undergoes burial.

# *4. Conclusion*

 A one-dimensional non-steady state diagenetic transport-reaction model reveals that destabilization of deep-seated gas hydrates and subsequent oxidation via anaerobic sulfate oxidation of methane diffusing up in the sediment column may remobilize manganese-rich sediment layers and thus compromise the use of such layers in Arctic Ocean sediments as a primary record of glacial-interglacial transitions. Unfortunately, neither the redistribution of manganese-rich layers nor the original manganese record can be readily reconstructed because vertical excursions of the sediment oxygen penetration depth (temporal variations in the distribution of dissolved oxygen in the sediment column) and the production of dissolved

 Mn (burial of manganese-rich layers in the sulfate methane transition zone) are not likely to be in phase.

 In contrast, where methane or other forms of secondary organic matter are absent from sediments, manganese-rich layers deposited during interglacials will be preserved, and their presence in the sediment column can be used as a proxy of interglacial periods. The absence of a sulfate gradient in the sediment pore-water is an indicator of this type of sediment because the sulfate distribution is sensitive to the presence of sub-surface methane.

### *Acknowledgements*

 The work of Dr. Christian März and his colleagues inspired this study, and Dr. März gave us access to their geochemical data from the Arctic Ocean. Comments and suggestions by Dr. David Burdige and an anonymous reviewer helped improve the manuscript. The Canadian Natural Research and Engineering Council and the Université de Bordeaux provided financial support. Bacchus, the God of Wine, provided spiritual guidance. We thank them all.

#### *References*

 Aller, R.C., Rude, P.D., 1988. Complete Oxidation of Solid-Phase Sulfides by Manganese and Bacteria in Anoxic Marine-Sediments. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 52(3): 751-765.

 Beal, E.J., House, C.H., Orphan, V.J., 2009. Manganese- and Iron-Dependent Marine Methane Oxidation. Science, 325(5937): 184-187.

 Berg, P., Rysgaard, S., Thamdrup, B., 2003. Dynamic modeling of early diagenesis and nutrient cycling. A case study in an Arctic marine sediment. American Journal of Science, 303(10): 905-955.

- Borowski, W.S., Paull, C.K., Ussler, W., 1996. Marine pore-water sulfate profiles indicate in situ
- methane flux from underlying gas hydrate. Geology, 24(7): 655-658.

- Borowski, W.S., Paull, C.K., Ussler, W., 1999. Global and local variations of interstitial sulfate gradients
- 311 in deep-water, continental margin sediments: Sensitivity to underlying methane and gas hydrates. Marine Geology, 159(1-4): 131-154.
- Boudreau, B.P., 1997. Diagenetic models and their implementation: modelling, transport and reactions in aquatic sediments. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Buffett, B., Archer, D., 2004. Global inventory of methane clathrate: sensitivity to changes in the deep ocean. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 227(3-4): 185-199.
- Clough, L.M., Ambrose, W. G., Cochran, J. K., Barnes, C., Renaud, P. E., Aller, R. C., 1997. Infaunal density, biomass and bioturbation in the sediments of the Arctic Ocean. Deep-Sea Research II, 44: 1693-1704.
- Contreras, S., Meister, P., Liu, B., Prieto-Mollar, X., Hinrichs, K. U., Khalili, A., Ferdelman T.G., Kuypers M.M.M., Jørgensen, B. B. (2013)). Cyclic 100-ka (glacial-interglacial) migration of subseafloor redox zonation on the Peruvian shelf. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
- *110*(45), 18098-18103.
- Dickens, G. R., Koelling, M., Smith, D. C., & Schnieders, L. (2007). Rhizon sampling of pore waters on scientific drilling expeditions: an example from the IODP Expedition 302, Arctic Coring Expedition (ACEX). *Scientific Drilling*, *4*(4), 1-4.
- Dickens, G.R., O'Neill, J.R., Rea, D.R., Owen, R.M. 1995. Dissociation of oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene. Paleoceanography, 10(6): 965-971.
- Donnadieu, Y., Lecroart, P., Anschutz, P., Bertrand, P., 2002. Bias in the paleoceanographic time 331 series: Tests with a numerical model of U, C-org, and Al burial. Paleoceanography, 17(3):
- 10.1029/2001PA000638
- Jacobsson, M., Løvlie, R., Al-Hanbali, H., Arnold, E., Backman, J.Morth, N., 2000. Manganese and
- color cycles in Arctic Ocean sediments constrain Pleistocene chronology. Geology, 28(1): 23-26.
- Katsev, S., Sundby, B., Mucci, A., 2006. Modeling vertical excursions of the redox boundary in sediments: Application to deep basins of the Arctic Ocean. Limnology and Oceanography, 51(4): 1581-1593.
- Klauda, J.B., Sandler, S.I., 2005. Global distribution of methane hydrate in ocean sediment. Energy & Fuels, 19(2): 459-470.
- Löwemark, L., Jakobsson, M., Morth, M., Backman, J., 2008. Arctic Ocean manganese contents and sediment colour cycles. Polar Research, 27(2): 105-113.
- Löwemark, L., März , C., O'Regan, M., Gyllencreutz, R., 2014. Arctic Ocean Mn-stratigraphy: genesis, synthesis and inter-basin correlation. Quaternary Science Reviews, 92: 97-111.
- Macdonald, R.W., Gobeil, C., 2012. Manganese Sources and Sinks in the Arctic Ocean with Reference to Periodic Enrichments in Basin Sediments. Aquatic Geochemistry, 18(6): 565-591.
- Maire, O. Lecroart P., Meysman F.J.R., Rosenberg R., Duchene J.C., Grémare A., 2008..
- Quantification of sediment reworking rates in bioturbation research: a review. Aquatic Biology, 2(3): 219-238.
- März, C., Stratmann, A., Matthiessen, J., Meinhardt, A.-K., Eckert, S., Schnetger, B., Vogt, C.,
- Brumsack, H.-J, 2011. Manganese-rich brown layers in Arctic Ocean sediments: Composition,
- formation mechanisms, and diagenetic overprint. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 75(23): 7668-7687.
- Milkov, A.V., 2004. Global estimates of hydrate-bound gas in marine sediments: how much is really out there? Earth-Science Reviews, 66(3-4): 183-197.
- Paull, C.K., Ussler, W., Dillon, W.P., 1991. Is the Extent of Glaciation Limited by Marine Gas-Hydrates. Geophysical Research Letters, 18(3): 432-434.
- 
- 
- 

# **Figure legends**

 **Figure 1**. Spatial representation of the conceptual model. In the time evolution of this system, the processes associated with step 4 only take place once step 5 has occurred for the first time.

 **Figure 2**. Distribution of oxidized particulate manganese (upper panels), reduced dissolved manganese (middle panels), and dissolved oxygen (lower panels) in a model sediment at the beginning and during a run of five successive glacial-interglacial cycles. Each cycle lasted 100 ka. During each interglacial period, particulate manganese was added at the sediment surface, generating a Gaussian-shaped solid-phase manganese peak. In the absence of reducing conditions at the bottom of the model domain, these peaks would remain intact, leading to the pattern of equally-spaced identically-shaped manganese peaks propagating downward. This distribution was then used as the initial state for a run of five glacial cycles, during which reducing conditions were imposed at the bottom of the model domain. The resulting pattern (t  $375 = 500$  ka) shows that new manganese-rich layers appeared below 175 cm sub-bottom depth. The background concentration and the inventory of manganese increased, but the increase is 377 restricted to depths below 175 cm. Panels at t= 125 ka and t = 140 ka show two contrasting situations during glacial and interglacial intervals, respectively.

 **Figure 3**: Model calculations of the temporal variations of the oxygen penetration depth into the sediment (in meters below sea floor). The shallowest oxygen penetration occurs during the interglacials. After an interglacial, oxygen penetration increases rapidly as it burns through residual reactive OC and remains deep until the beginning of a new interglacial when the delivery of reactive OC to the sea floor rapidly draws it back closer to the surface (Katsev et al., 2006). Dissolved manganese, originating in the deepest model domain, precipitates when it encounters oxygen and, thus, the depth where dissolved manganese reprecipitates tracks the oxygen penetration depth. The actual upward flux of dissolved manganese is determined by the advection of manganese-rich sediment into the manganese reduction zone (SMT) at the bottom of the model domain. The gradual shallowing of the oxygen penetration depth with time in the model calculation reflects the progressive accumulation of manganese within the model domain, a consequence of imposing zero flux of dissolved Mn(II) at the lower model boundary.

 **Figure 4**: Distribution of oxidized particulate manganese (upper panels), reduced dissolved manganese (middle panels), and dissolved oxygen (lower panels) of manganese-rich sediment after a single glacial-interglacial cycle. The initial solid-phase manganese distribution (upper right panel) consisted of three different manganese-rich layers un-equally spaced over a 5-m sediment column. Note the erosion of the deepest manganese-rich layer, the appearance of a new manganese-rich layer beginning at 2 m depth, and the increase in the background concentration of oxidized manganese below 2 m depth. Note also the shift in the oxygen penetration depth due to the presence/absence of a layer, rich in Mn and organic carbon, at the surface.

# **Table 1. Model description**



### **Dissolved and solid species included in the model**

# **Primary and secondary redox reactions**

 $OC = CH<sub>2</sub>O$  $OC + O_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma CO_2 + H_2O$  (Rom) 2 Mn<sup>2+</sup> + O<sub>2</sub> + 4 HCO<sub>3</sub>  $\longrightarrow$  2 MnO<sub>2</sub> + 4 CO<sub>2</sub> + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O (R<sub>1</sub>) Near the bottom of the model domain, it is assumed that a reductant is present in sufficient concentration to completely reduce the MnO<sub>x</sub> that reaches this boundary  $MnO_x$  + reductant  $\longrightarrow Mn^{2+}$  (R<sub>2</sub>)

# **Mass conservation equations**

$$
\frac{\partial \varepsilon C_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \varepsilon D_i \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \varepsilon w C_i \right) + \sum_j \varepsilon R_j
$$

 $t =$  time (ka);

*z* = vertical coordinate (positive downwards, cm);

 $C_i$  = solid or solute concentration (mmol/cm<sup>3</sup>);

 $\varepsilon = \varphi$  for solute species and (1- $\varphi$ ) for solid species;

 $\varphi$  = porosity;  $\varphi$  is constant with depth;

for solutes,  $D_i$  = effective diffusion coefficient, corrected for tortuosity;

for solids,  $D' = Db =$  particle mixing coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup>/ka)

 $w =$  sedimentation rate (cm/ka); w is assumed constant with time.

 $R_i$  = rates of chemical reactions (mmol/cm<sup>3</sup>/ka) for the different species:

*ROM* = - k *OC*

 $R_{0x}$  = - F k *OC* –  $R_1$  with F being the conversion factor, F = (1 -  $\varphi$ ) /  $\varphi$  $R_{Mn}$  = -2  $R_1$  + F  $R_2$ 

 $R_{MnOx} = -R_2 + (2/F) R_1$ 

*R1* = k1MnOx *O2 Mn2+*

*R2* = k2MnOx *MnOx*

# **Boundary conditions**



 $\Omega(t)$  is the time function

# **Initial conditions**

At  $t = 0$ ,  $OC(z) = 0$  mmol/cm<sup>3</sup>  $Mn^{2+}(z) = 0$  mmol/cm<sup>3</sup>  $MnO<sub>x</sub>(z) = MnO<sub>x-inter</sub>χ(z)$  $O_2(z) = 0$  mmol/cm<sup>3</sup>

For instance on Figure 2,  $\chi(z)$  is defined by

$$
\chi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(x - x_i)/\tau)} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((x - x_i)/\tau)} \right) - m
$$
  
x<sub>i</sub> = 40 + 100 (i-1)  
x<sub>i</sub>' = 60 + 100 (i-1)  
\tau is a constant

 $m =$  number of initial peaks ( $m = 5$ )

# **Time functions**

with  $F = F_{OM 20}$  or  $F_{MnOx 20}$  $F(t) = \mathbf{F}_{\text{glac}} + (\mathbf{F}_{\text{inter}} - \mathbf{F}_{\text{glac}}) \times \mathcal{Q}(t)$  $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(t - t_i)/\tau)} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((t - t_i)/\tau)} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{t}{1 + \exp((t - t_i)/\tau)} \right)$ 1  $1 + \exp(- (t - t_i))$  $\frac{n}{2}$  1  $\left[ \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(t - t_i)/\tau)} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((t - t_i)/\tau)} \right] \overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\setminus$ ſ  $\Omega(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(t - t_i)) / \tau} + \frac{1}{1 + \exp((t - t_i)) / \tau} \right)$ 

with  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$
t_i = (t_{\text{glac}} + t_{\text{inter}}) \times i - t_{\text{inter}}
$$

$$
t_i = (t_{\text{glac}} + t_{\text{inter}}) \times i
$$

n is the number of glacial-interglacial cycles during the simulation ( $n = 5$ ) τ is a constant

 $\bigg)$ 

 $\backslash$ 





1. (Katsev et al., 2006); 2. (Clough, 1997); 3. (Boudreau, 1997); 4. (Marz et al., 2011); 5. (Berg et al., 2003)

1. Diffusion of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  and settling of Mn(IV) + OC to the sea floor

- 2. Genesis of a layer of Mn(IV)-rich sediment on the sea floor
- 3. Burial of Mn(IV) and consumption of OC
- 4. Oxidation of upward diffusing Mn(II) by  $O_2$

5. Reduction of insoluble Mn(IV) to soluble Mn(II)

(1) Bottom water  $[O_2]$  is constant; settling fluxes of Mn(IV) and OC are constant during interglacials and zero during glacials.

- ②Accumulation of Mn(IV)-rich settling particulate matter through the water column forms a Mn(IV) rich layer on the sea floor
- ③During burial, OC becomes exhausted and the Mn(IV)-rich layer generated during an interglacial is preserved until it reaches the reduction zone.
- $(4)$  Mn(II) is reoxidized and precipitated as Mn(IV) when it encounters downward diffusing  $O_2$ . The  $O_2$ penetration depth depends on the oxygen demand of OM and Mn(II) oxidation.
- ⑤Anaerobic methane oxidation creates a reducing environment where insoluble Mn(IV) is reduced to soluble Mn(II). The upward flux of Mn(II) from this layer equals the flux of Mn(IV) into the layer. The fluxes depend on the distribution of Mn(IV) in the sediment column and the burial rate.

**Figure 1**. Spatial representation of the conceptual model. In the time evolution of this system, the processes associated with step 4 only take place once step 5 has occurred for the first time.



**Figure 2**. Distribution of oxidized particulate manganese (upper panels), reduced dissolved manganese (middle panels), and dissolved oxygen (lower panels) in a model sediment at the beginning and during a run of five successive glacialinterglacial cycles. Each cycle lasted 100 ka. During each interglacial period, particulate manganese was added at the sediment surface, generating a Gaussian-shaped solid-phase manganese peak. In the absence of reducing conditions at the bottom of the model domain, these peaks would remain intact, leading to the pattern of equally-spaced identically-shaped manganese peaks propagating downward. This distribution was then used as the initial state for a run of five glacial cycles, during which reducing conditions were imposed at the bottom of the model domain. The resulting pattern (t = 500 ka) shows that new manganese-rich layers appeared below 175 cm sub-bottom depth. The background concentration and the inventory of manganese increased, but the increase is restricted to depths below 175 cm. Panels at t= 125 ka and t = 140 ka show two contrasting situations during glacial and interglacial intervals, respectively.



**Figure 3**: Model calculations of the temporal variations of the oxygen penetration depth into the sediment (in meters below sea floor). The shallowest oxygen penetration occurs during the interglacials. After an interglacial, oxygen penetration increases rapidly as it burns through residual reactive OC and remains deep until the beginning of a new interglacial when the delivery of reactive OC to the sea floor rapidly draws it back closer to the surface (Katsev et al., 2006). Dissolved manganese, originating in the deepest model domain, precipitates when it encounters oxygen and, thus, the depth where dissolved manganese reprecipitates tracks the oxygen penetration depth. The actual upward flux of dissolved manganese is determined by the advection of manganese-rich sediment into the manganese reduction zone (SMT) at the bottom of the model domain. The gradual shallowing of the oxygen penetration depth with time in the model calculation reflects the progressive accumulation of manganese within the model domain, a consequence of imposing zero flux of dissolved Mn(II) at the lower model boundary.



**Figure 4**: Distribution of oxidized particulate manganese (upper panels), reduced dissolved manganese (middle panels), and dissolved oxygen (lower panels) of manganese-rich sediment after a single glacial-interglacial cycle. The initial solid-phase manganese distribution (upper right panel) consisted of three different manganese-rich layers un-equally spaced over a 5-m sediment column. Note the erosion of the deepest manganese-rich layer, the appearance of a new manganese-rich layer beginning at 2 m depth, and the increase in the background concentration of oxidized manganese below 2 m depth. Note also the shift in the oxygen penetration depth due to the presence/absence of a layer, rich in Mn and organic carbon, at the surface.